Light-sensitive Phytochrome-Interacting Factors (PIFs) are not required to regulate phytoene synthase gene expression in the root

M Águila Ruiz-Sola, Antía Rodríguez-Villalón† , and Manuel Rodríguez-Concepción*

Centre for Research in Agricultural Genomics (CRAG) CSIC-IRTA-UAB-UB; Campus UAB Bellaterra; Barcelona, Spain

† Current address: Department of Plant Molecular Biology; University of Lausanne; Lausanne, Switzerland

Keywords: Arabidopsis, carotenoid, dark, PIF, phytoene synthase, root, salt

Carotenoids are plastidial isoprenoids essential for the protection of photosynthetic tissues against excess light. They also serve as precursors of apocarotenoid hormones such as abscisic acid (ABA) and strigolactones. The first enzyme of the carotenoid pathway, phytoene synthase (PSY), is also the main rate-limiting step. Unlike that observed in most plants, PSY is encoded by a single gene in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Whereas the *PSY* gene is induced by light in photosynthetic tissues, a root-specific upregulation of *PSY* expression by salt stress and ABA has been recently demonstrated. Here we report that transcription factors of the Phytochrome-Interacting Factor (PIF) family, previously shown to repress *PSY* expression in etiolated seedlings and mature leaves, do not influence *PSY* expression in roots. Together, our results suggest that organ-specific pathways regulate *PSY* expression and hence carotenoid production in response to different environmental cues.

Carotenoids are isoprenoid metabolites with a strong interest as natural pigments in the industry. Additionally, they are a source of retinoids (including vitamin A) and health-promoting metabolites in the human diet.^{1,2} Plant carotenoids are synthesized in plastids. In chloroplasts of photosynthetic tissues, carotenoids contribute to light harvesting and photoprotection.³ In some plant species, they also accumulate at high levels in chromoplasts of flowers and fruits, contributing to their colors.^{4,5} Oxidative cleavage of carotenoids produce apocarotenoids with roles either as pigments and flavors that attract pollinators or seed-dispersing animals or as hormones such as abscisic acid (ABA) and strigolactones that regulate plant development and the interaction of plants with their environment.⁶⁻⁹

The first specific reaction of the carotenoid pathway is the production of phytoene from 2 geranylgeranyl diphosphate molecules catalyzed by the phytoene synthase (PSY) enzyme.¹⁰ The transcriptional regulation of PSY levels is a major factor controlling the production of carotenoids during deetiolation, when a burst in carotenoid biosynthesis takes place to protect the emerging photosynthetic apparatus against excess light.^{11,12} In deetiolating *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants, the expression of the single gene encoding PSY is directly controlled by transcription factors of the Phytochrome-Interacting Factor (PIF) family.¹² In particular, PIF1 was shown to bind to the *PSY* promoter

to downregulate its transcriptional activity in the dark. Other PIFs (PIF3, PIF4 and PIF5) were also found to contribute to repress *PSY* expression and hence carotenoid biosynthesis, resulting in low carotenoid levels in dark-grown (etiolated) seedlings.¹² Upon illumination, PIFs are degraded following interaction with the photoactivated form of phytochromes, $13,14$ eventually resulting in a strong derepression of *PSY* expression and hence an increased production of carotenoids in deetiolating seedlings.12,15 PIFs also appear to have a role in regulating *PSY* expression and carotenoid accumulation in leaves from plants grown under different photoperiods.¹² However, the role of these light-sensitive transcription factors in roots (organs that normally develop in the dark) remain virtually unexplored.

We recently reported that the *Arabidopsis PSY* gene is differentially regulated in shoot (photosynthetic) and root tissues.16 In the root, *PSY* expression is highest in the vascular area and it rapidly increases in response to salt stress. This induction results in an activated flux to the carotenoid pathway and an enhanced production of ABA precursors to fuel a sustained production of the hormone, in agreement with that proposed in other plants like maize (*Zea mays*) and rice (*Oryza sativa*).17-20 Also similar to that observed in other plant species, ABA treatment upregulates *PSY* gene expression in *Arabidopsis* roots.16 These results provide evidence of a conserved feedback mechanism to ensure the production of carotenoid

^{*}Correspondence to: Manuel Rodríguez-Concepción; Email: manuel.rodriguez@cragenomica.es

Submitted: 04/17/2014; Revised: 05/15/2014; Accepted: 05/15/2014; Published Online: 05/21/2014

Citation: Ruiz-Sola MÁ, Rodríguez-Villalón A, Rodríguez-Concepción M. Light-sensitive Phytochrome-Interacting Factors (PIFs) are not required to regulate phytoene synthase gene expression in the root. Plant Signaling & Behavior 2014; 9:e29248; PMID: 24847843; http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/psb.29248

Figure 1. Electronic fluorescent pictographic (eFP) representations of the levels of transcripts encoding PIFs (PIF1, PIF3, PIF4, and PIF5) in *Arabidopsis* roots. Data were obtained from the Arabidopsis eFP browser at www.bar.utoront.ca²³ and correspond to root material from 5- to 6-d-old seedlings collected by fluorescence-activated cell sorting.²⁴

precursors for ABA synthesis in the root. By contrast, neither salt nor ABA treatments have any effect on the expression of *PSY* in shoot tissues, where carotenoid levels are much higher and hence ABA synthesis can occur from available precursors.¹⁶

Besides directly repressing *Arabidopsis PSY* expression,¹² PIF1 (also referred to as PIL5) has been shown to regulate the expression of genes involved in ABA synthesis and signaling during seed germination.^{21,22} We therefore reasoned that PIF1 and perhaps other light-sensitive PIFs might also act in the root to regulate *PSY* gene expression in response to salt stress and/or ABA signals. Based on the data available from the eFP browser, genes encoding PIF1, PIF3, PIF4, and PIF5 are expressed in the root stele (**Fig. 1**), similar to that observed for *PSY*. 16 Because PIF protein stability is also a key determinant of their biological function, we next aimed to determine whether the accumulation of PIF proteins (and hence their putative impact on *PSY* expression) was light-dependent. For this experiment, we used available transgenic plants constitutively expressing a fusion of PIF3 to β-glucuronidase (GUS) previously shown to be useful as a reporter of PIF3 protein levels.25 These *35S:GUS-PIF3* plants, together with control 35S: GUS-GFP plants,¹¹ were grown under long day (LD) conditions for 6 d and, in the subjective morning of day 7 (5h after the lights went on in the LD chamber), they were either transferred to constant dark or left under LD (**Fig. 2A**). Root samples were taken 24h later in the dark or in the light and stained for GUS activity. As expected,

roots from control *35S:GUS-GFP* plants showed similar GUS staining in all the tissues and light conditions (**Fig. 2B**). By contrast, roots from *35S:GUS-PIF3* plants collected in the light showed very little GUS staining, whereas those from dark-grown samples displayed a strong activity of the GUS-PIF3 protein in the vasculature (**Fig. 2B**). These results indicate that PIF3 (and, most likely, other PIFs) might accumulate under physiologically normal conditions (i.e., in roots growing underground in the dark). They also demonstrate that light prevents the accumulation of PIF3 in the root stele, suggesting that PIF stability in roots is regulated by light signals as previously reported for whole plants.^{13,14} The preferential accumulation of the GUS-PIF3 protein in the vascular tissue of dark-incubated *35S:GUS-PIF3* roots might suggest that this protein is not stable in other tissues of the root (since the *35S* promoter is expected to produce the fusion protein in all the cell types, as observed in *35S:GUS-GFP* roots). However, analysis of additional reporter lines would be necessary to draw any conclusion on this matter.

With this information in hand, we next evaluated whether PIFs contributed to the control of *PSY* gene expression in roots under normal conditions and in response to an abiotic (salt) stress. A quadruple mutant defective in PIF1, PIF3, PIF4, and PIF5, referred to as *pifQ*, 26 and wild-type plants were grown together under LD and then either moved to the dark or left under LD conditions as described above (**Fig. 2A**). After 24h, the seedlings were transferred in the dark or in the light to fresh medium either supplemented or

not with 200 mM NaCl and incubated for 2h (**Fig. 2C**). Analysis of *PSY* transcript levels showed no major differences between genotypes (wild-type vs. *pifQ*) or light conditions (dark vs. light). In response to salt treatment, the induction of *PSY* expression was also similar in wild-type and mutant roots, both in darkness and in the light (**Fig. 2C**). We therefore conclude that PIFs do not significantly contribute to regulate *PSY* expression in *Arabidopsis* roots.

In most plants, PSY is encoded by small gene families with members that show different expression profiles. In part due to the spatial distribution of gene expression, some isoforms are involved in the biosynthesis of carotenoids in chloroplastcontaining photosynthetic tissues, whereas others participate in the production of carotenoids in the chromoplasts of the fruit, the amyloplasts of the seed, or the leucoplasts of the root.⁵ The genes encoding chloroplast PSY enzymes involved in photosynthesis are light-regulated, whereas those preferentially found in the root (such as maize and rice PSY3 isoforms) are not responsive to light but to salt, osmotic, or water stress, and specifically to ABA.17-20 The existence of a single gene encoding PSY in *Arabidopsis* implies that the same promoter must be able to differentially respond to signals coming from organs with distinct carotenoid requirements. This is particularly challenging when different signaling pathways converge in the same transcription factors. For example, PIFs modulate *Arabidopsis* gene expression in response to both light and hormone (including ABA) signals.13,14,27 However, we found that the *Arabidopsis PSY* gene is regulated by PIFs only in response to light signals in the shoot. Like other transcription factors of the bHLH type, PIFs preferentially bind to G-box sequences (CACGTG) in the promoter of target genes. Although both *PSY* and PIF-encoding genes are expressed in the same tissues of the root stele, it is possible that the G-box motifs of the *PSY* promoter are not available for PIF binding in the root. Strikingly, the G-box bound by PIF1 in the

PSY promoter overlaps with other motifs recognized by transcription factors involved in ABA signaling.^{28,29} It is therefore possible that such transcription factors and PIFs might compete for a binding site on the *PSY* promoter in different plant tissues, including those of the root vascular system. This possibility, however, awaits experimental validation.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Acknowledgments

We thank Rosa Rodriguez for excellent technical support. The work was mainly funded by research grants from the Spanish Dirección General de Investigación (DGI, code BIO2011–23680) and European Union FP7 (TiMet, contract 245143) to M.R.C. DGI also provided funding through a FPI fellowship to M.A.R.S. The Generalitat de Catalunya provided a FI fellowship to A.R.V. and support grants (2009SGR-26 and XRB program). We are members of the IBERCAROT carotenoid network funded by CYTED (code 112RT0445).

References

- 1. Fraser PD, Bramley PM. The biosynthesis and nutritional uses of carotenoids. Prog Lipid Res 2004; 43:228-65; PMID:15003396; http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.plipres.2003.10.002
- 2. Botella-Pavía P, Rodríguez-Concepción M. Carotenoid biotechnology in plants for nutritionally improved foods. Physiol Plant 2006; 126:369-81; http://dx.doi. org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.2006.00632.x
- 3. Niyogi KK. PHOTOPROTECTION REVISITED: Genetic and Molecular Approaches. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 1999; 50:333-59; PMID:15012213; http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/ annurev.arplant.50.1.333
- 4. Hirschberg J. Carotenoid biosynthesis in flowering plants. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2001; 4:210- 8; PMID:11312131; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S1369-5266(00)00163-1
- 5. Howitt CA, Pogson BJ. Carotenoid accumulation and function in seeds and non-green tissues. Plant Cell Environ 2006; 29:435-45; PMID:17080597; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2005.01492.x
- 6. Nambara E, Marion-Poll A. Abscisic acid biosynthesis and catabolism. Annu Rev Plant Biol 2005; 56:165-85; PMID:15862093; http://dx.doi. org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.56.032604.144046
- 7. Van Norman JM, Sieburth LE. Dissecting the biosynthetic pathway for the bypass1 root-derived signal. Plant J 2007; 49:619-28; PMID:17217459; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.02982.x
- Xie X, Yoneyama K, Yoneyama K. The strigolactone story. Annu Rev Phytopathol 2010; 48:93- 117; PMID:20687831; http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/ annurev-phyto-073009-114453
- Walter MH, Strack D. Carotenoids and their cleavage products: biosynthesis and functions. Nat Prod Rep 2011; 28:663-92; PMID:21321752; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1039/c0np00036a
- 10. Ruiz-Sola MA, Rodríguez-Concepción M. Carotenoid biosynthesis in Arabidopsis: a colorful pathway. Arabidopsis Book 2012; 10:e0158; PMID:22582030; http://dx.doi.org/10.1199/ tab.0158
- 11. Rodríguez-Villalón A, Gas E, Rodríguez-Concepción M. Phytoene synthase activity controls the biosynthesis of carotenoids and the supply of their metabolic precursors in dark-grown Arabidopsis seedlings. Plant J 2009; 60:424-35; PMID:19594711; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.03966.x
- 12. Toledo-Ortiz G, Huq E, Rodríguez-Concepción M. Direct regulation of phytoene synthase gene expression and carotenoid biosynthesis by phytochromeinteracting factors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010; 107:11626-31; PMID:20534526; http://dx.doi. org/10.1073/pnas.0914428107
- 13. Leivar P, Quail PH. PIFs: pivotal components in a cellular signaling hub. Trends Plant Sci 2011; 16:19- 28; PMID:20833098; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. tplants.2010.08.003
- 14. Castillon A, Shen H, Huq E. Phytochrome Interacting Factors: central players in phytochromemediated light signaling networks. Trends Plant Sci 2007; 12:514-21; PMID:17933576; http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.tplants.2007.10.001
- 15. Rodríguez-Villalón A, Gas E, Rodríguez-Concepción M. Colors in the dark: a model for the regulation of carotenoid biosynthesis in etioplasts. Plant Signal Behav 2009; 4:965-7; PMID:19826226; http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/psb.4.10.9672
- 16. Ruiz-Sola MA, Arbona V, Gómez-Cadenas A, Rodríguez-Concepción M, Rodríguez-Villalón A. A root specific induction of carotenoid biosynthesis contributes to ABA production upon salt stress in arabidopsis. PLoS One 2014; 9:e90765; PMID:24595399; http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0090765
- 17. Li F, Vallabhaneni R, Wurtzel ET. PSY3, a new member of the phytoene synthase gene family conserved in the Poaceae and regulator of abiotic stressinduced root carotenogenesis. Plant Physiol 2008; 146:1333-45; PMID:18162592; http://dx.doi. org/10.1104/pp.107.111120
- 18. Welsch R, Wüst F, Bär C, Al-Babili S, Beyer P. A third phytoene synthase is devoted to abiotic stress-induced abscisic acid formation in rice and defines functional diversification of phytoene synthase genes. Plant Physiol 2008; 147:367-80; PMID:18326788; http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/ pp.108.117028
- 19. Li F, Vallabhaneni R, Yu J, Rocheford T, Wurtzel ET. The maize phytoene synthase gene family: overlapping roles for carotenogenesis in endosperm, photomorphogenesis, and thermal stress tolerance. Plant Physiol 2008; 147:1334-46; PMID:18508954; http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.122119
- 20. Li F, Tsfadia O, Wurtzel ET. The phytoene synthase gene family in the Grasses: subfunctionalization provides tissue-specific control of carotenogenesis. Plant Signal Behav 2009; 4:208-11; PMID:19721751; http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/psb.4.3.7798
- 21. Oh E, Yamaguchi S, Hu J, Yusuke J, Jung B, Paik I, Lee HS, Sun TP, Kamiya Y, Choi G. PIL5, a phytochrome-interacting bHLH protein, regulates gibberellin responsiveness by binding directly to the GAI and RGA promoters in Arabidopsis seeds. Plant Cell 2007; 19:1192-208; PMID:17449805; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.107.050153
- 22. Oh E, Kang H, Yamaguchi S, Park J, Lee D, Kamiya Y, Choi G. Genome-wide analysis of genes targeted by PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR 3-LIKE5 during seed germination in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 2009; 21:403-19; PMID:19244139; http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.108.064691
- 23. Winter D, Vinegar B, Nahal H, Ammar R, Wilson GV, Provart NJ. An "Electronic Fluorescent Pictograph" browser for exploring and analyzing large-scale biological data sets. PLoS One 2007; 2:e718; PMID:17684564; http://dx.doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000718
- 24. Brady SM, Orlando DA, Lee JY, Wang JY, Koch J, Dinneny JR, Mace D, Ohler U, Benfey PN. A highresolution root spatiotemporal map reveals dominant expression patterns. Science 2007; 318:801-6; PMID:17975066; http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/ science.1146265
- 25. Monte E, Tepperman JM, Al-Sady B, Kaczorowski KA, Alonso JM, Ecker JR, Li X, Zhang Y, Quail PH. The phytochrome-interacting transcription factor, PIF3, acts early, selectively, and positively in lightinduced chloroplast development. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004; 101:16091-8; PMID:15505214; http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0407107101
- 26. Leivar P, Tepperman JM, Monte E, Calderon RH, Liu TL, Quail PH. Definition of early transcriptional circuitry involved in light-induced reversal of PIF-imposed repression of photomorphogenesis in young Arabidopsis seedlings. Plant Cell 2009; 21:3535-53; PMID:19920208; http://dx.doi. org/10.1105/tpc.109.070672
- 27. Lau OS, Deng XW. Plant hormone signaling lightens up: integrators of light and hormones. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2010; 13:571-7; PMID:20739215; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2010.07.001
- Koussevitzky S, Nott A, Mockler TC, Hong F, Sachetto-Martins G, Surpin M, Lim J, Mittler R, Chory J. Signals from chloroplasts converge to regulate nuclear gene expression. Science 2007; 316:715- 9; PMID:17395793; http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/ science. 1140516
- 29. Fujita Y, Fujita M, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. ABA-mediated transcriptional regulation in response to osmotic stress in plants. J Plant Res 2011; 124:509-25; PMID:21416314; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10265-011-0412-3