

Published in final edited form as:

Mol Psychiatry. 2008 January ; 13(1): 84–89. doi:10.1038/sj.mp.4002061.

Linkage on chromosome 14 in a genomewide linkage study of a broad anxiety phenotype

Christel M. Middeldorp^{1,2}, Jouke-Jan Hottenga¹, P. Eline Slagboom³, Patrick F. Sullivan⁴, Eco J.C. de Geus¹, Danielle Posthuma¹, Gonneke Willemsen¹, and Dorret I. Boomsma¹

¹Department of Biological Psychology, VU University Amsterdam, Van der Boechorststraat 1, 1081 BT, Amsterdam ²Department of Psychiatry, VU University Medical Center, Valeriusplein 9, 1075 BG, Amsterdam ³Leiden University Medical Center, Molecular Epidemiology, Einthovenweg 20, 2333ZC Leiden, The Netherlands ⁴Departments of Genetics and Psychiatry, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7264 USA

Abstract

Several linkage studies on anxiety have been carried out in samples ascertained through probands with panic disorder. The results indicated that using a broad anxiety phenotype instead of a DSM-IV anxiety disorder diagnosis might enhance the chance of finding a linkage signal. In the current study, a genome-wide linkage analysis was performed on anxiety measured with a self-report questionnaire whose scores are highly correlated with DSM-IV anxiety disorders. The self-report questionnaire was included in five surveys of a longitudinal study of the Netherlands Twin Register. Genotype and phenotype data were available for 1,602 twins and siblings. To estimate Identity By Descent (IBD), additional genotype data for 564 parents and 22 siblings were used. Linkage analyses were carried out using MERLIN-Regress on the average anxiety scores across time. A linkage signal (LOD-score 3.4, empirical p-value 0.07) was obtained at chromosome 14 for marker D14S65 at 105 cM (90% confidence interval 99 cM - 115 cM bounded by markers D14S1434 and D14S985). This finding replicates a linkage finding for a broad anxiety phenotype in a clinically based sample, indicating that the region might harbor a QTL associated with the whole spectrum of general anxiety, i.e. from the normal to the clinical range. Moreover, genome-wide linkage and association studies on emotionality in mice obtained significant results in a syntenic region on mouse chromosome 12. Two homolog genes lie in this region –*Dkl1* (delta-like 1 homolog, Drosophila) and *Rtl1* (retrotransposon-like 1). Future association studies of these genes are warranted.

Keywords

anxiety; genomewide linkage; family study; stai; genetics

Introduction

Anxiety disorders are common, often with a chronic and disabling course, and cause significant mental health care problems. In epidemiological samples in the Netherlands and in the United States lifetime prevalence rates between 19% and 28% were reported for any anxiety disorder.^{1,2} Genetic epidemiological studies have shown that the etiology of these disorders is in part genetic with heritability estimates varying between 23% and 50%.³⁻⁵ Several genome-wide linkage studies have tried to identify genomic regions harboring QTLs that influence the vulnerability for anxiety disorders. All these studies,⁶⁻¹⁵ ascertained the pedigrees through probands with panic disorder, except for one study¹⁶ that included pedigrees with at least one member having an anxiety disorder or somatoform pain. Panic disorder is the most frequently analyzed phenotype.^{6-8,13,14} Two studies focused on panic disorder with comorbid medical conditions i.e. renal/bladder conditions, thyroid irregularities, mitral valve prolapse and severe headaches.^{11,15} Simple phobia and social phobia have each been investigated once.^{9,10} Other anxiety phenotypes,^{12,14,16} have been based on findings from genetic epidemiological studies indicating a common genetic background for anxiety disorders,^{4,17} Thorgeirsson *et al.*¹⁶ considered anxiety disorder or somatoform pain as indicators of affection status. Smoller *et al.*¹⁴ and Kaabi *et al.*¹² constructed new phenotypes of anxiety proneness.

An overview of the results^{7,9,10} from these studies shows that no genomic region has been unequivocally identified to harbor a QTL involved in anxiety. Table 1 summarizes the most promising results so far. One region on chromosome 7 and one region on chromosome 1 showed linkage in two scans^{6,8,13,14} with LOD scores from 1.71 to 2.23. A linkage signal on chromosome 14 was observed for panic disorder, simple and social phobia in a sample of 20 families with 153 individuals.⁸⁻¹⁰ The highest LOD scores (>4) have been reported on chromosome 13¹⁵ when analyzing panic disorder with comorbid medical conditions and on chromosomes 4¹² and 9¹⁶ when analyzing a broad anxiety phenotype. The results of the latter two studies suggest that using a broad anxiety phenotype might enhance the chance of finding a linkage signal.

In the current study, linkage analyses were carried out on longitudinal self-report measures of anxiety, i.e. the State Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) – Trait version.^{18,19} The sample consisted of 1,602 siblings with phenotype and genotype data. Additional genotype data, available for 564 parents and 22 siblings, were used to estimate Identity by Descent (IBD). The families were selected from a Dutch population based twin-family sample. Earlier analyses have shown that, in a subsample, the scores on the STAI are strongly associated with DSM-IV²⁰ diagnoses of panic disorder and/or agoraphobia, social phobia and generalized anxiety disorder.²¹ Consequently, it can be considered a broad anxiety phenotype.

Materials and methods

Subjects

This study is part of a longitudinal survey study of the Netherlands Twin Register (NTR) that has assessed twin families roughly every two years since 1991. Sample selection and

response rates are described in detail in Boomsma *et al.*²² A sub-sample was approached to provide DNA. For this linkage study, genotype and STAI data were available for 539 dizygotic male twins and brothers, 758 dizygotic female twins and sisters, 108 monozygotic male and 197 monozygotic female twins. DNA was also available for 249 fathers and 315 mothers and for 22 siblings for whom anxiety data were missing. In total, 566 families and 2,188 genotyped subjects were included in the linkage analyses.

Instruments

In 1991, 1993, 1997, 2000 and 2002, anxiety was measured with the STAI-trait version^{18,19}, which consist of 20 items that are scored on 4-point scales (Cronbach's alpha is 0.92). Examples of items are "I worry too much over something that really does not matter", "I feel nervous and restless", "I feel secure". The answer categories were "almost never", "sometimes", "often" and "almost always". As the distribution of the scores was slightly skewed, the scores were log transformed according to earlier analyses.²³

The association between STAI scores and DSM-IV mood and anxiety disorders, assessed with the Composite International Diagnostic Interview²⁴ was analyzed in a sub-sample of 1248 family members, 521 men and 727 women. Prevalence rates of lifetime major depression, panic disorder and/or agoraphobia, generalized anxiety disorder and social phobia were respectively 15%, 6.4%, 5.8% and 4.5%, with higher prevalence rates in men than in women. Subjects with these panic disorders scored significantly higher on the STAI than subjects without a mood or anxiety disorder. The difference was more than one standard deviation.²¹

Analyses of the data obtained in 1991, 1993 and 1997 showed that STAI scores are related to self-report neuroticism^{25,26} and depression scores.²⁷⁻²⁹ with correlations between 0.60 and 0.75²¹. Genetic analyses of the STAI scores showed a heritability of around 45% without any evidence that different genes influence variation in men and women, as correlations between same-sex and opposite-sex twin and sibling pairs were the same.²³

Genotyping

DNA from the siblings and their parents was extracted from either whole blood or buccal swaps following standard protocols.^{30,31} Samples were genotyped by the Marshfield Mammalian Genotyping Service and/or the Molecular Epidemiology Section, Leiden University Medical Centre. The genotype data from these screens were combined. Allele calling and binning were equalized between markers that were present in multiple scans, using ~ 30 control samples. In case there were inconsistencies, the data were set to unknown for tested markers (binning and allele calling inconsistencies) and persons (genotyping errors). Sex and prior measured zygosity were checked with the marker data. Pedigree relations in the entire samples were checked with the GRR program.³² Errors of Mendelian inheritance were detected with Pedstats.²⁶ Markers and samples were removed if their total error rate was more than 1%; in all other cases the specific erroneous genotypes were set as unknown. Unlikely recombinants were detected using Merlin and erroneous genotypes were removed with Pedwipe.³³

After cleaning, only sib-pairs were selected that had at least 280 autosomal markers genotyped for each individual. The average number of markers genotyped in siblings was 366 (range 280–761) and the average heterozygosity of autosomal markers was 75% with an average spacing of 7 cM. For the statistical analyses, the Haldane mapping function was used. The marker positions were interpolated via locally weighted linear regression from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) build 35.1 physical map positions and the Rutgers genetic map.^{34,35} The information of the parents and siblings without phenotypes was used to obtain estimates of the IBD status of the sibling pairs (see below).

Statistical analysis

The sib-pair strategy to map loci influencing quantitative traits is based on identifying marker alleles that are inherited IBD. If a marker is co-segregating with a trait, then siblings whose trait values are more alike, are more likely to receive the same alleles IBD at a closely linked marker locus than siblings whose resemblance for the trait is less. IBD refers to the fact that siblings share a particular allele because they received it from the same parent. The number of alleles that are IBD in sib-pairs is thus 0, 1 or 2, but unless both parents are heterozygous for different marker alleles, it is not always possible to determine IBD status exactly. In families in which the parents are not genotyped, IBD is estimated using population allele frequencies for the markers. Allele frequencies were obtained from the observed genotype data.

Linkage analysis was carried out in MERLIN -regress.³⁶ The regression analysis implemented in this software package is based on a modified method initially proposed by Haseman and Elston to investigate linkage in sibling pairs.³⁷ In brief, the multipoint IBD sharing is regressed on trait squared sums and squared differences, among all pairs of siblings.³⁶ The trait squared sums and differences indicate the resemblance between the siblings. The method takes into account incomplete IBD information. Mean, variance and heritability are user-specified.

Anxiety scores were adjusted for age and sex, as women score higher and older subjects score somewhat lower. The sex and age adjusted scores were averaged over the five measurement occasions. In MERLIN-regress, repeated measures can be entered in the analysis as an average across occasions with further specification of the test-retest correlation and the number of measurements for each individual (in this case varying from 1 to 5) in order to readjust the trait variance.³⁸ The intra-class correlation between the five measures was estimated in SPSS using a mixed model procedure, which allows using all available data, even of the subjects who did not participate every occasion.

The 1-LOD-drop support interval was used as an estimate for the 90% confidence interval of any QTL locations,³² and was used to examine linkage replication compared to previously reported locations and candidate genes (using Ensembl: www.Ensembl.org and OMIM: www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?db=OMIM).

Empirically derived P-values were calculated from 1000 simulated data sets using the gene-dropping method implemented in MERLIN -regress.³⁹

Results

Mean age and anxiety scores across the five occasions were calculated for the total sample (N=11,336) of twins and siblings and for the linkage sample (N=1606). The subjects who provided DNA were slightly older than the total sample of twins and siblings in (31.6 years versus 27.1 years). The anxiety scores were comparable (33.6 and 33.5 with standard deviations of 8.0 and 8.5 respectively). After the log-transformation, the scores were normally distributed in both samples.

The heritability was specified at 42%. This estimate was based on analyses in MERLIN-regress using the option “random sample” and was comparable to the results of earlier genetic epidemiological analyses of STAI scores.²³ Subjects had participated on average three times. The intra-class correlation of the five anxiety measures was 0.58.

Figure 1 shows the results of the linkage analysis. A LOD score of 3.4 (empirical p-value = 0.07) was found on chromosome 14 at 105cM (90% confidence interval 99cM-115cM). There was no other region with suggestive or significant linkage. Figure 2 shows the linkage results for chromosome 14 indicating that marker D14S65 showed the highest LOD-score and the linkage peak was bounded by markers D14S1434 and D14S985.

Discussion

A genomewide linkage study on a broad anxiety phenotype was performed in a sample of 1,602 twins and siblings with additional genotype data of 564 parents and 22 siblings used to estimate the IBD status of the sibling pairs. There was evidence for linkage on chromosome 14 at 105cM with a LOD score of 3.4 and an empirical p-value of 0.07.

For the same region at chromosome 14, Kaabi *et al.*¹² reported a significant linkage result (nominal p = 0.005 and empirical p = 0.04). However, after adjusting for sib-pair age differences, the signal disappeared (nominal p = 0.07, empirical p not given). Possible explanations for the loss of linkage signal after age adjustment, as given by the authors, are chance due to power loss or differential gene expression at different ages. The first explanation seems to be the most probable. First, for the sib-pair age difference to be a confounding factor in a linkage analysis, it is necessary that it is associated both with the amount of resemblance between siblings and with the IBD-status. It seems unlikely that sib-pair age difference is associated with IBD-status.

Second, this region on chromosome 14 is syntenic with a region showing a significant association with emotionality in mice measured in two previous linkage studies (chromosome 12, 41cM-61cM)^{40,41} and in a recent genome-wide association analysis.^{42,43} The linkage studies were carried out in inbred mouse strains whose open field activity (OFA) was measured. Genome-wide association was analyzed in heterogeneous mice stocks. Multiple complex phenotypes were investigated in the heterogeneous mice stocks and the results are freely available on <http://gscan.well.ox.ac.uk>.^{42,43} Unconditioned anxiety was measured with the elevated plus maze (EPM), OFA and food hyponeophagia (FN). Genomewide significance was found in the region syntenic with our linkage signal for the open arm latency in the EPM. Two homolog genes lie in this region, i.e. *Dkl1*: delta-like 1

homolog (*Drosophila*) and *Rtl1*: retrotransposon-like 1. The function of these genes is still unknown, although for *Dlk1*, it has become clear that this gene is over expressed in brain tumors.^{44,45} None of these genes have ever been related to anxiety, but the results of the studies in mice together with our results indicate that it may be worthwhile to investigate the association. A further search did not reveal other genes under this peak that have been related to symptoms of anxiety. This does not exclude that they play a role, but it seems more obvious to start investigating *Dlk1* or *Rtl1*.

We have not replicated any other of the previous promising linkage results as summarized in Table 1. An explanation for this divergence in results could be the ascertainment of the sample. In contrast to the other studies in which the pedigrees are ascertained through probands with panic disorder or another anxiety disorder, our sample is selected from a Dutch population based twin-family sample. An advantage of a clinical based sample is that the chance is high that the most extreme subjects from the population, who have the largest burden of disease, are included in the analysis. A disadvantage, on the other hand, is that a clinical sample can be biased by, for example, help seeking behavior or a high prevalence of a comorbid disorder. This signifies that a linkage signal can be due to the disease, but also to other characteristics of a clinical sample. As our significant linkage result was also previously found in a clinical sample,¹² the region on chromosome 14 is possibly associated with the whole spectrum of general anxiety, i.e. from the normal to the clinical range.

Another reason that no replication to earlier results was seen could lie in the definition of phenotype across different studies. Scores on the STAI are highly associated with a diagnosis of generalized anxiety disorder, but less with panic disorder and/or agoraphobia and intermediately with social phobia.²¹ Furthermore, it has been suggested that the STAI not only measures anxiety, but also depression.⁴⁶ However, results of linkage studies of major depression did not overlap with our findings either.⁴⁶

A review on the comorbidity between anxiety and depression showed that anxiety and depression might be influenced by shared genes, possibly expressed in the personality trait neuroticism.¹⁷ Linkage findings for neuroticism were summarized by Fullerton et al⁴⁷ and do not include findings on chromosome 14 in humans.

To summarize, the current linkage study suggests that the region on chromosome 14, around 105cM, could harbor a gene associated with anxiety. The region is also syntenic with regions found to be associated with emotionality in mice (^{40,41} and <http://gscan.well.ox.ac.uk>). Further association studies could focus on the homolog genes *Dlk1* and *Rtl1*.

Acknowledgments

The study was supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research NWO/ZonMW (400-05-717, 911-03-016, 904-61-193, 985-10-002, 575-25-006), NIH R01 HL55976 and NHBLI Mammalian Genotyping Service (Marshfield). Statistical analyses were carried out on the Genetic Cluster Computer (<http://www.geneticcluster.org>) which is financially supported by the Netherlands Scientific Organization (NWO 480-05-003). CM was supported by the Hersenstichting Nederland (13F05(2).47).

References

1. Bijl RV, Ravelli A, van Zessen G. Prevalence of psychiatric disorder in the general population: results of The Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study (NEMESIS). *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. 1998; 33:587–595. [PubMed: 9857791]
2. Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Merikangas KR, Walters EE. Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2005; 62:593–602. [PubMed: 15939837]
3. Hettema JM, Neale MC, Kendler KS. A review and meta-analysis of the genetic epidemiology of anxiety disorders. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2001; 158:1568–1578. [PubMed: 11578982]
4. Hettema JM, Prescott CA, Myers JM, Neale MC, Kendler KS. The structure of genetic and environmental risk factors for anxiety disorders in men and women. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2005; 62:182–189. [PubMed: 15699295]
5. Middeldorp CM, Birley AJ, Cath DC, Gillespie NA, Willemsen G, Statham DJ, et al. Familial clustering of major depression and anxiety disorders in Australian and Dutch twins and siblings. *Twin Res Hum Genet*. 2005; 8:609–615. [PubMed: 16354503]
6. Crowe RR, Goedken R, Samuelson S, Wilson R, Nelson J, Noyes R Jr. Genomewide survey of panic disorder. *Am J Med Genet*. 2001; 105:105–109. [PubMed: 11424978]
7. Fyer AJ, Hamilton SP, Durner M, Haghighi F, Heiman GA, Costa R, et al. A third-pass genome scan in panic disorder: evidence for multiple susceptibility loci. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2006; 60:388–401. [PubMed: 16919526]
8. Gelernter J, Bonvicini K, Page G, Woods SW, Goddard AW, Kruger S, et al. Linkage genome scan for loci predisposing to panic disorder or agoraphobia. *Am J Med Genet*. 2001; 105:548–557. [PubMed: 11496373]
9. Gelernter J, Page GP, Bonvicini K, Woods SW, Pauls DL, Kruger S. A chromosome 14 risk locus for simple phobia: results from a genomewide linkage scan. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2003; 8:71–82. [PubMed: 12556910]
10. Gelernter J, Page GP, Stein MB, Woods SW. Genome-wide linkage scan for loci predisposing to social phobia: evidence for a chromosome 16 risk locus. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2004; 161:59–66. [PubMed: 14702251]
11. Hamilton SP, Fyer AJ, Durner M, Heiman GA, Baisre dL, Hodge SE, et al. Further genetic evidence for a panic disorder syndrome mapping to chromosome 13q. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2003; 100:2550–2555. [PubMed: 12604791]
12. Kaabi B, Gelernter J, Woods SW, Goddard A, Page GP, Elston RC. Genome scan for loci predisposing to anxiety disorders using a novel multivariate approach: strong evidence for a chromosome 4 risk locus. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2006; 78:543–553. [PubMed: 16532386]
13. Knowles JA, Fyer AJ, Vieland VJ, Weissman MM, Hodge SE, Heiman GA, et al. Results of a genome-wide genetic screen for panic disorder. *Am J Med Genet*. 1998; 81:139–147. [PubMed: 9613853]
14. Smoller JW, Acierno JS Jr, Rosenbaum JF, Biederman J, Pollack MH, Meminger S, et al. Targeted genome screen of panic disorder and anxiety disorder proneness using homology to murine QTL regions. *Am J Med Genet*. 2001; 105:195–206. [PubMed: 11304837]
15. Weissman MM, Fyer AJ, Haghighi F, Heiman G, Deng Z, Hen R, et al. Potential panic disorder syndrome: clinical and genetic linkage evidence. *Am J Med Genet*. 2000; 96:24–35. [PubMed: 10686548]
16. Thorgeirsson TE, Oskarsson H, Desnica N, Kostic JP, Stefansson JG, Kolbeinsson H, et al. Anxiety with panic disorder linked to chromosome 9q in Iceland. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2003; 72:1221–1230. [PubMed: 12679899]
17. Middeldorp CM, Cath DC, van Dyck R, Boomsma DI. The co-morbidity of anxiety and depression in the perspective of genetic epidemiology. A review of twin and family studies. *Psychol Med*. 2005; 35:611–624. [PubMed: 15918338]
18. Spielberger, CD.; Gorsuch, RL.; Lushene, RE. *STAI Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory*. Consulting Psychologists Press; Palo Alto, CA: 1970.

19. Van der Ploeg, H.; Defares, PB.; Spielberger, CD. Zelfbeoordelingsvragenlijst STAI, versie DY-1 en DY-2. Swets & Zeitlinger; Lisse: 1979.
20. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 4. APA; Washington DC: 1994.
21. Middeldorp, CM.; Cath, DC.; van den, BM.; Beem, AL.; van Dyck, R.; Boomsma, DI. The Association of Personality with Anxious and Depressive Psychopathology. In: Canli, T., editor. *The Biological Basis of Personality and Individual Differences*. Guilford Press; New York: 2006. p. 251-272.
22. Boomsma DI, Vink JM, Van Beijsterveldt TC, de Geus EJ, Beem AL, Mulder EJ, et al. Netherlands Twin Register: a focus on longitudinal research. *Twin Res.* 2002; 5:401–406. [PubMed: 12537867]
23. Boomsma DI, Beem AL, van den BM, Dolan CV, Koopmans JR, Vink JM, et al. Netherlands twin family study of anxious depression (NETSAD). *Twin Res.* 2000; 3:323–334. [PubMed: 11463154]
24. World Health Organization. Composite International Diagnostic Interview (version 2.1). WHO; Geneva: 1992.
25. Eysenck, HJ.; Eysenck, SBG. Eysenck Personality Inventory. Educational Industrial Testing Service; San Diego, Ca: 1964.
26. Wilde, GJS. Neurotische labiliteit gemeten volgens de vragenlijstmethode (The questionnaire method as a means of measuring neurotic instability). Van Rossen; Amsterdam: 1970.
27. Achenbach, TM. The Young Adult Self Report. University of Vermont, Dept of Psychiatry; Burlington, VT: 1990.
28. Beck AT, Rial WY, Rickels K. Short form of depression inventory: cross-validation. *Psychol Rep.* 1974; 34:1184–1186. [PubMed: 4424377]
29. Verhulst, FC.; Ende, Jv; Koot, HM. Handleiding voor de Youth Self Report. Afdeling Kinder-en Jeugdpsychiatrie, Sophia Kinderziekenhuis/Academisch Ziekenhuis Rotterdam/Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam; Rotterdam: 1997.
30. Meulenbelt I, Droog S, Trommelen GJ, Boomsma DI, Slagboom PE. High-yield noninvasive human genomic DNA isolation method for genetic studies in geographically dispersed families and populations. *Am J Hum Genet.* 1995; 57:1252–1254. [PubMed: 7485180]
31. Miller SA, Dykes DD, Polesky HF. A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1988; 16:1215. [PubMed: 3344216]
32. Abecasis GR, Cherny SS, Cookson WO, Cardon LR. GRR: graphical representation of relationship errors. *Bioinformatics.* 2001; 17:742–743. [PubMed: 11524377]
33. Abecasis GR, Cherny SS, Cookson WO, Cardon LR. Merlin--rapid analysis of dense genetic maps using sparse gene flow trees. *Nat Genet.* 2002; 30:97–101. [PubMed: 11731797]
34. Duffy DL. An integrated genetic map for linkage analysis. *Behav Genet.* 2006; 36:4–6. [PubMed: 16523245]
35. Kong X, Murphy K, Raj T, He C, White PS, Matise TC. A combined linkage-physical map of the human genome. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2004; 75:1143–1148. [PubMed: 15486828]
36. Sham PC, Purcell S, Cherny SS, Abecasis GR. Powerful regression-based quantitative-trait linkage analysis of general pedigrees. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2002; 71:238–253. [PubMed: 12111667]
37. Haseman JK, Elston RC. The investigation of linkage between a quantitative trait and a marker locus. *Behav Genet.* 1972; 2:3–19. [PubMed: 4157472]
38. Nash MW, Huezo-Diaz P, Williamson RJ, Sterne A, Purcell S, Hoda F, et al. Genome-wide linkage analysis of a composite index of neuroticism and mood-related scales in extreme selected sibships. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2004; 13:2173–2182. [PubMed: 15351774]
39. Sawcer S, Jones HB, Judge D, Visser F, Compston A, Goodfellow PN, et al. Empirical genomewide significance levels established by whole genome simulations. *Genet Epidemiol.* 1997; 14:223–229. [PubMed: 9181352]
40. Flint J, Corley R, DeFries JC, Fulker DW, Gray JA, Miller S, et al. A simple genetic basis for a complex psychological trait in laboratory mice. *Science.* 1995; 269:1432–1435. [PubMed: 7660127]

41. Plomin R, McClearn GE, Gora-Maslak G, Neiderhiser JM. Use of recombinant inbred strains to detect quantitative trait loci associated with behavior. *Behav Genet.* 1991; 21:99–116. [PubMed: 2049054]
42. Solberg LC, Valdar W, Gauguier D, Nunez G, Taylor A, Burnett S, et al. A protocol for high-throughput phenotyping, suitable for quantitative trait analysis in mice. *Mamm Genome.* 2006; 17:129–146. [PubMed: 16465593]
43. Valdar W, Solberg LC, Gauguier D, Burnett S, Klenerman P, Cookson WO, et al. Genome-wide genetic association of complex traits in heterogeneous stock mice. *Nat Genet.* 2006; 38:879–887. [PubMed: 16832355]
44. Altenberger T, Bilban M, Auer M, Knosp E, Wolfsberger S, Gartner W, et al. Identification of DLK1 variants in pituitary- and neuroendocrine tumors. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2006; 340:995–1005. [PubMed: 16403460]
45. Yin D, Xie D, Sakajiri S, Miller CW, Zhu H, Popoviciu ML, et al. DLK1: increased expression in gliomas and associated with oncogenic activities. *Oncogene.* 2006; 25:1852–1861. [PubMed: 16288219]
46. Camp NJ, Cannon-Albright LA. Dissecting the genetic etiology of major depressive disorder using linkage analysis. *Trends Mol Med.* 2005; 11:138–144. [PubMed: 15760772]
47. Fullerton J. New approaches to the genetic analysis of neuroticism and anxiety. *Behav Genet.* 2006; 36:147–161. [PubMed: 16365832]




Figure 1.
Results of the genome wide linkage analysis of the anxiety scores averaged across the five occasions.




Figure 2. Results of the genome wide linkage analysis for chromosome 14 with on the x-axis the position of the markers in cM (Haldane)

Table 1

Most promising linkage results for anxiety phenotypes (Regions with a significant linkage signal or a suggestive linkage signal found at least twice)

Location	LOD	N subjects/families	Phenotype	Sample ascertainment
Chromosome 1, 218–234 cM ^{8,14}	2.04	153/20	Panic disorder	Probands with panic disorder
	2.05*	99/1	Anxiety proneness	Probands with panic disorder
Chromosome 4, 157cM ¹²	>4	153/20	Broad anxiety phenotype	Probands with panic disorder
Chromosome 7, 47–57cM ^{6,13}	1.71	-/23	Panic disorder	Probands with panic disorder
	2.23	253/23	Panic disorder	Probands with panic disorder
Chromosome 9, 105cM ¹⁶	4.18	-/25	Anxiety/Panic disorder	Probands with panic attacks, GAD or phobias
Chromosome 13, 96cM ¹⁵	4.2	-/34	Panic disorder combined with bladder/kidney problems	Probands with panic disorder
Chromosome 14, 36–45cM ^{8–10}	3.7	129/14	Simple phobia	Probands with panic disorder
	2.93**	163/17	Social Phobia	Probands with panic disorder
	2.38*	153/20	Panic disorder	Probands with panic disorder

* This is the NPL score, not the LOD score

** This is the Zlr score, not the LOD score