

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript**

Dev Dyn. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 November 01.

#### Published in final edited form as: Dev Dyn. 2014 November ; 243(11): 1487–1498. doi:10.1002/dvdy.24186.

## **The Role of Zic Genes in Inner Ear Development in the Mouse: Exploring Mutant Mouse Phenotypes**

**Andrew P. Chervenak**1,2, **Lisa M. Bank**1, **Nicole Thomsen**3, **Hannah C Glanville-Jones**3, **Jonathan Skibo<sup>4</sup>, Kathleen J. Millen<sup>4</sup>, Ruth M. Arkell<sup>3</sup>, and Kate F. Barald<sup>1,2,5,\*</sup>** 

<sup>1</sup> Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of Michigan Medical School, 3728 BSRB, 109 Zina Pitcher Place, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2200, USA.

<sup>2</sup> Cellular and Molecular Biology Graduate Program, University of Michigan Medical School, 1150 W. Medical Center Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA.

<sup>3</sup> Early Mammalian Development Laboratory Research School of Biology. The Australian National University Canberra ACT 0200 Australia

4 Seattle Children's Research Institute, Center for Integrative Brain Research, Seattle, WA 98101

<sup>5</sup> Department of Biomedical Engineering, College of Engineering, 2200 Bonisteel Boulevard, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2099, USA.

## **Abstract**

**Background—**Murine Zic genes (Zic1-5) are expressed in the dorsal hindbrain and in periotic mesenchyme (POM) adjacent to the developing inner ear. Zic genes are involved in developmental signaling pathways in many organ systems, including the ear, although their exact roles haven't been fully elucidated. This report examines the role of Zic1, Zic2, and Zic4 during inner ear development in mouse mutants in which these Zic genes are affected

**Results—**Zic1/Zic4 double mutants don't exhibit any apparent defects in inner ear morphology. By contrast, inner ears from  $Zic2^{kd/kd}$  and  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mutants have severe but variable morphological defects in endolymphatic duct/sac and semicircular canal formation and in cochlear extension in the inner ear. Analysis of otocyst patterning in the  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mutants by in situ hybridization showed changes in the expression patterns of Gbx2 and Pax2.

**Conclusions—The experiments provide the first genetic evidence that the Zic genes are** required for morphogenesis of the inner ear. Zic2 loss-of-function doesn't prevent initial otocyst patterning but leads to molecular abnormalities concomitant with morphogenesis of the endolymphatic duct. Functional hearing deficits often accompany inner ear dysmorphologies, making  $Zic2$  a novel candidate gene for ongoing efforts to identify the genetic basis of human hearing loss.

## **Keywords**

Zic1; Zic2; Zic4; inner ear; embryogenesis; mouse

<sup>\*</sup>**Corresponding Author** Kate F. Barald Department of Cell and Developmental Biology Department of Biomedical Engineering 3053 BSRB 109 Zina Pitcher Place Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2200 kfbarald@umich.edu tel 734-647-3376 fax 734-763-1166.

## **Introduction**

The Zic genes encode a family of zinc finger-containing transcription factors. The zinc finger domain is known to participate in both DNA binding and protein binding, enabling ZIC proteins to participate in a range of interactions (reviewed in Ali, Bellchambers et al. 2012, Houtmeyers, Souopgui et al. 2013). For example, ZIC proteins can act as classical transcription factors to bind DNA and control transcription (Aruga, Yokota et al. 1994, Yang, Hwang et al. 2000, Salero, Perez-Sen et al. 2001, Ebert, Timmer et al. 2003, Mizugishi, Hatayama et al. 2004, Sakurada, Mima et al. 2005, Lim, Hong et al. 2010) or they can act as co-factors to bind other proteins and influence gene transcription without themselves contacting DNA (Koyabu, Nakata et al. 2001, Mizugishi, Aruga et al. 2001, Pan, Gustafsson et al. 2011, Pourebrahim, Houtmeyers et al. 2011). The vertebrate ZIC proteins are generally encoded by five genes at three genomic locations. Zic1 and Zic4 exist as a divergently transcribed tandem gene pair, as do  $Zic2$  and  $Zic5$ , while  $Zic3$  exists as a singleton (Houtmeyers, Souopgui et al. 2013).

Each of the gene pairs appears to share some regulatory elements, such that Zic1 and Zic4 have highly overlapping mRNA expression patterns as do Zic2 and Zic5 (Houtmeyers, Souopgui et al. 2013). Furthermore, in some cases the expression of all five Zic genes overlaps, such as during inner ear development in both mouse and chick (Chervenak, Hakim et al. 2013), raising the possibility that the  $Zic$  genes could act redundantly during development. Mutation of individual *Zic* genes does, however produce exclusive phenotypes indicating partial functional divergence (Grinberg and Millen 2005, Houtmeyers, Souopgui et al. 2013). The multifunctional nature of the ZIC proteins enables them to act in a wide range of processes as demonstrated by the pleiotropic nature of Zic mutant phenotypes (Grinberg and Millen 2005, Houtmeyers, Souopgui et al. 2013).

Because of the redundant and multifunctional features of Zic activity, the use of phenotype analysis to infer the mechanisms of Zic gene function is difficult. Despite the long-term availability of Zic mouse mutants and a growing list of Zic-dependent biological processes (Houtmeyers, Souopgui et al. 2013), the molecular basis of Zic requirements is generally unknown and it is likely that further Zic-dependent processes remain to be discovered. To determine whether the Zic genes may be involved in inner ear development, we recently characterized the expression of Zic1-5 (mouse) and Zic1-4 (chick) in the region of the developing inner ear of chick and mouse embryos (Chervenak, Hakim et al. 2013). Each of the Zic genes is expressed in the dorsal hindbrain and periotic mesenchyme (POM) adjacent to the developing inner ear, but not in the developing otic epithelium, in either mouse or chick embryos. Similar to findings for other regions where the Zic genes are expressed (Elms, Scurry et al. 2004), each Zic gene has a unique spatiotemporal expression pattern during inner ear development, but the spatio-temporal expression of any individual Zic gene partially overlaps with another/others (Chervenak, Hakim et al. 2013). Moreover, the Zic genes have been proposed to interact with the SHH, BMP and WNT signaling pathways (Rohr, Schulte-Merker et al. 1999, Nyholm, Wu et al. 2007), each of which is implicated in otic vesicle development. The Zic genes may function with the neuroepithelium itself to control the production of the otic vesicle patterning signals, or within the POM to relay signals from one or more of the neuroepithelial derived pathways. Alternatively, they may

participate in the mesenchymal-epithelial signaling required for the development of the inner ear.

In this study we used phenotype analysis to determine which, if any, of the murine Zic genes play a non-redundant role during inner ear development. The inner ears from animals homozygous null for the *Zic1/Zic4* gene pair (Grinberg, Northrup et al. 2004, Blank, Grinberg et al. 2011) were examined and found to be indistinguishable from those of wild type animals at all stages examined between E11.5 and E15.5. In contrast, the inner ears from either of two different mutant alleles of Zic2 (Nagai, Aruga et al. 2000, Elms, Siggers et al. 2003) exhibited a variety of structural defects, including loss of the endolymphatic duct and sac, loss of, truncation of or morphological malformation of the semicircular canals and cochlear duct. In addition, the size of the otocyst and resulting inner ears was much smaller than that in wild type littermates. Molecular analysis revealed that initial patterning of the otocyst (at E9.5) occurs as expected but abnormalities in the level and/or distribution of key transcription factors is perturbed from E10.5 onwards.

## **Results**

#### **Morphological Analysis of Inner Ears from Zic Mutant Mice**

**Murine Zic1 and Zic4 are not required for inner ear development—**Ears from Zic1<sup>+/-</sup>; Zic4<sup>+/-</sup> and Zic1<sup>-/-</sup>; Zic4<sup>-/-</sup> mouse embryos at E11.5 (Fig. 1A, 1B) and E15.5 (Fig. 1C, 1D) were paint-filled to examine changes in inner ear morphology. The combined loss of Zic1 and Zic4 had no noticeable effect on the structures of the inner ear at the times examined (E11.5 and E15.5; cf. Fig. 1B and Fig. 1A; cf. Fig. 1D and Fig. 1C).

Partial loss-of-Zic2 is sufficient to impair inner ear development—The *Zic2<sup>kd</sup>* allele ( $Zic2<sup>tm1Jaru</sup>$ , MGI: 2156825), generated by homologous recombination, expresses a decreased level of the wild-type Zic2 transcript and protein. Homozygotes die prenatally and exhibit variable spine bifida and anencephaly (Nagai, Aruga et al. 2000). Heterozygotes are viable and fertile. To determine whether Zic2 function is required for inner ear development, paint-filled inner ears from  $Zic2^{t/4}$ ,  $Zic2^{t/kd}$ , and  $Zic2^{kd/kd}$  embryos were compared at E11.5, E13.5, E16.5, and E18.5 (Fig. 2). At E11.5 when the endolymphatic duct normally begins to emerge from the dorsal surface of the otocyst and the cochlear duct emerges ventrally, no morphological differences were detected in the inner ears of Zic2<sup>kd/kd</sup> embryos (cf. Fig. 2A and Fig. 2B). The inner ear undergoes further morphological changes, including the formation of the semicircular canals and the emergence and coiling of the cochlea, and by E13.5, the inner ear has assumed its distinctive three-dimensional shape. Wild type (Fig. 2C) and heterozygous (Fig. 2D) embryos have inner ears that contain all structures, including the three semicircular canals (anterior, lateral, and posterior), the endolymphatic sac, cochlea, saccule, and the utricle, which cannot be seen in this view of the ears. In contrast, the inner ears from  $Zic2^{kd/kd}$  embryos (Fig. 2E) lack the endolymphatic duct/sac, have missing or incomplete semicircular canals, and have a cochlea that initially grows dorsally rather than anteriorly (cf. Fig. 2E and Figs. 2C, 2D). Further refinements to the inner ear structures occur by E16.5, including outgrowth and coiling of the cochlea, and these changes are evident in the wild type inner ear (Fig. 2F). In comparison, the inner ears

from Zic2<sup>kd/kd</sup> embryos have an indeterminate shape, with a failure of most of the structures to develop, though a partial semicircular canal has formed in the embryo pictured, as has a rudiment of the cochlea (Fig. 2G). Comparisons of the inner ears from the  $Zic2^{kd/kd}$ embryos at E13.5 (Fig. 2E) and E16.5 (Fig. 2G) show variability in the severity of the inner ear phenotype. At E18.5, in the wild type inner ear. the cochlea has coiled further (Fig. 2H). Inner ears from  $Zic2^{kd/kd}$  embryos again show a variability in the severity of the phenotype, with some ears only missing one semicircular canal and having a slightly deformed cochlea (Fig. 2I), while others are missing multiple semicircular canals and the saccule and have a more severely affected cochlea (Fig. 2J).

## **Severe loss of Zic2 function further impairs inner ear development—**To

corroborate this finding, the inner ears from a more severe  $Zic2$  allele ( $Zic2^{Ku}$ , MGI: 1862004) were also examined. This chemically induced allele encodes a Zic2 protein that is unable to bind DNA due to a missense mutation that alters a canonical residue in the  $4<sup>th</sup>$  zinc finger (Elms, Siggers et al. 2003, Brown, Paraso et al. 2005). Embryos homozygous for this allele die at mid-gestation (Elms, Siggers et al. 2003) and display classical holoprosencephaly (Warr, Powles-Glover et al. 2008) and laterality defects (Barratt, Glanville-Jones et al. 2014), indicating that it is a more severe allele than  $Zic2^{kd}$ . Inner ears from  $Zic2^{t/4}$ ,  $Zic2^{Ku/4}$ , and  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  embryos were also paint-filled at E11.5 and E12.5 to look for changes in inner ear morphology (Fig. 3). At E11.5, the gross morphology of inner ears from heterozygotes (Fig. 3B) appeared identical to those from wild type embryos (Fig. 3A). Inner ears from  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mutants, however, were noticeably smaller and had truncated endolymphatic ducts and cochleae compared to either wild type or heterozygous littermates (cf. Fig. 3C and Figs. 3A, 3B). To quantify the size differences observed in the  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  inner ears, we measured and compared the length and width of the inner ears from Zic $2^{t/4}$ , Zic $2^{Ku/4}$ , and Zic $2^{Ku/Ku}$  embryos (Fig. 3F, 3G, 3H). Zic $2^{Ku/Ku}$  inner ears were significantly shorter along both axes when compared to  $Zic2^{t/4}$  and  $Zic2^{Ku/4}$  littermates (Fig. 3G, 3H). In addition,  $Zic2^{Ku/4}$  ears were significantly shorter (relative to wild type) along their dorsal-ventral (but not anterior-posterior) axis (Fig. 3G, 3H).

By E12.5, inner ears from  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mutants appeared to be even smaller compared to those from heterozygotes, but the heterozygote mutant ears had roughly the same morphological features as those seen in the wild type inner ear (cf. Fig. 3D). In addition, inner ears from the Zic2<sup>Ku/Ku</sup> mutants were rotated ~90°, such that any evidence of an emerging rudimentary endolymphatic duct pointed laterally instead of dorsally (Fig. 3E). At E12.5, the  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$ mutants are close to death and a large proportion of the recovered embryos are in an advanced state of necrosis making them unsuitable for histological analysis. This led to a small sample size at E12.5 preventing quantification of otocyst size. The mid-gestation lethality also precluded the analysis of inner ear morphology at later time-points. The severe morphological defects of the developing ear in  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  embryos suggests they may be a good model for characterization of the molecular mechanism(s) underlying the observed phenotype and these embryos were chosen for further analysis.

## **Gross Morphology of Zic2Ku/Ku Mid-gestation Embryos**

The nervous system of  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  embryos is profoundly affected such that, as previously described, by E9.5, the embryos exhibit neural tube closure defects, forebrain haematomas and a "kinked" neural tube throughout the trunk of these embryos (Elms, Siggers et al. 2003). This study did not document later neurectoderm phenotypes nor the location and gross morphology of the developing inner ear. We therefore examined these aspects of the Zic2<sup>Ku/Ku</sup> phenotype. At E9.5, the Zic2<sup>Ku/Ku</sup> mutants are easily distinguished from their wild type and heterozygous littermates due to large regions of the neural tube that fail to close (arrowheads in Fig. 4C). The overall size of the  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mutants, however, is not noticeably different from that of their  $Zic2^{t/2}$  or  $Zic2^{Ku/2}$  littermates (*cf.* Fig. 4C and Figs. 4A, 4B). Similarly, the overall size and shape of the otocyst in  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mutants are indistinguishable from that of wild type or heterozygous littermates  $(cf. Fig. 4C'$  and Figs. 4A', 4B'). In kumba mutants, in which the neural tube fails to close properly in the region of the hindbrain, the distance between the otocysts was greater than in  $Zic2^{t/4}$  and  $Zic2^{Ku/4}$ littermates (*cf.* Fig. 4C" and Figs. 4A", 4B").  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mutants also displayed exencephaly in the midbrain (Fig. 4D), malformation of the forebrain, including hematomas (yellow arrows in Fig. 4D'), and a kinked neural tube in the trunk region of the embryo (Fig. 4D").

As development progresses, the  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mutants can be further distinguished from their wild type and heterozygous littermates. At E11.5,  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mutants are visibly smaller than  $Zic2^{t/\tau}$  and  $Zic2^{Ku/\tau}$  embryos (*cf.* Fig. 5C and Figs. 5A, 5B). In addition, all mutants have an open neural tube (arrowheads in Fig. 5C. An enlarged view of the caudal neural tube defect is seen in Fig. 5C', an enlarged view of exencephaly in the hindbrain is depicted in Fig. 5C") and some embryos display a kinked or bent tail (asterisk in Fig. 5C). To quantify the size difference between the mutants and their littermates, we measured along the neural tube from the middle of the otocyst to the middle of the forelimb and compared these distances among the three genotypes (schematic in Fig. 5D). The  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mutants had a significantly shorter otocyst-toforelimb distance compared to either wild type or heterozygous littermates (Fig. 5E). We found no difference in somite numbers among the Zic $2^{t/+}$ , Zic $2^{Ku/+}$ , or Zic $2^{Ku/Ku}$  embryos, indicating that the shortened otocyst-to-forelimb distance was not due to defects in somitogenesis. Instead, the shortened distance likely results from defects in the specification of segments along the neural tube. Consistent with this explanation, previous work found that rhombomeres 3 and 5 were smaller in the  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mutants at E9.5, which may at least partially explain the shortened otocyst-toforelimb distance (Elms, Siggers et al. 2003).

One day later at E12.5 (Fig. 6), the accumulated neural tube closure defects cause the *kumba* mutants to look very different from either the  $Zic2^{t/2}$  or  $Zic2^{Ku/4}$  embryos.  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$ embryos are smaller than their littermates and have open neural tubes in both caudal and rostral regions (cf. Fig. 6C and Figs. 6A, 6B; arrowheads in Fig. 6C denote regions of open neural tube). At higher magnifications, exencephaly in the hindbrain (Fig. 6C'), spina bifida in the caudal neural tube (Fig. 6C'), and a kinked tip of the tail (Fig. 6C") can be seen more clearly.

## **Altered Positioning of the Otocyst Relative to the Hindbrain in Zic2Ku/Ku Mutants**

The location and position of the otocyst was examined in embryos at all stages (E9.5 to E12.5) and ears from the  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mice were found to be abnormally positioned relative to the neural tube. The precise nature of the positional abnormalities varied between mutants (Fig. 7A), but included: tilting of the ears so that the dorsal-ventral axis of the otocyst was now oriented in a dorso-lateral to ventro-medial direction (TILTED); curling of the open neural tube around the upper portion of the otocyst (CURL-OVER); an increase in the distance between the otic epithelium and the neural tube (SEPARATED), and; abnormally shaped otocysts (ALTERED SHAPE). The neural tube closure defects also varied and could be classified into distinct types (Fig. 7B), including open neural tube (Type I), open neural tube that curled (Type II), and neural tube that improperly closed (Type III).

## **In Contrast to Effects on the Inner Ear, Otocyst Patterning is Unaffected in the Zic2Ku/Ku Mutants**

The altered position of the otocyst, relative to the hindbrain as well as the failure of endolymphatic duct formation suggested that otocyst patterning might be affected by loss of  $Zic2$  function. Cells in the otic epithelium integrate multiple signals, especially from the SHH, WNT, and BMP pathways, leading to regionalized gene expression in the otic epithelium. We next looked at the expression of genes that are regulated by WNT, SHH, and BMP signaling and that are expressed in specific regions of the otic epithelium to determine if loss of Zic2 function interfered with signal transduction by one or more pathways.

The  $Pax2$  transcription factor is one of the first genes expressed in the otic epithelium (Hutson, Lewis et al. 1999) and its expression is positively regulated by SHH signaling but partially restricted by WNT and BMP signals from the dorsal hindbrain (Riccomagno, Martinu et al. 2002, Riccomagno, Takada et al. 2005). Pax2 expression (Fig. 8) was relatively unchanged in the otic epithelium of the  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mutants at E9.5 and E10.5 when compared to inner ears from  $Zic2^{t/\tau}$  and  $Zic2^{Ku/\tau}$  littermates (*cf.* Figs. 8A, 8D and Figs. 8B, 8E, Figs. 8C, 8F). At a later stage of development (E12.5), Pax2 expression was greatly reduced in the otic epithelium of the  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mutants when compared to inner ears from  $Zic2^{t/\tau}$  and  $Zic2^{Ku/\tau}$  littermates (*cf.* Figs 8G and Figs. 8H, 8I). The *Pax2* expression pattern in mutant embryos suggested that the earliest events in otocyst patterning are unaltered by Zic2 loss, but that the maintenance of expression of this key inner ear patterning gene *is*  affected by Zic2 loss.

We therefore examined the distribution of further genes known to be expressed in a regionalized manner within the developing otocyst in response to neurectoderm-derived signals.

The expression of the *Dlx5* transcription factor is negatively regulated by SHH signaling and positively regulated by WNT signaling (Riccomagno, Martinu et al. 2002, Riccomagno, Takada et al. 2005). The region of *Dlx5* expression in the otic epithelium of the *Zic2*<sup>Ku/Ku</sup> embryos remained associated with the cells in which it is normally found in the otocyst, although the otocyst itself is tilted laterally  $(cf. Fig. 9C and Figs. 9A, 9B)$ . The lack of a morphologically identifiable emerging endolymphatic duct and sac (ED/ES) is evident in

this profoundly altered morphological landscape, in which the relationship of the developing inner ear to the affected/open NT is quite different from that in heterozygotes ( $cf.$  Figs. 9A, 9B and Fig. 9C).

The regional expression of the *Gbx2* transcription factor is positively regulated by both SHH and WNT signaling within the domain that encompasses the presumptive endolymphatic duct cells (Riccomagno, Martinu et al. 2002, Riccomagno, Takada et al. 2005). The regional expression or extent of  $Gbx2$  transcripts in otocysts from  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mutants, however, was much less than that seen in  $Zic2^{t/+}$  and  $Zic2^{Ku/+}$  inner ears at E10.5 (*cf.* Fig. 9F and Figs. 9D, 9E), establishing this as the first detected molecular abnormality in mutant otocysts. Again, the lack of an emerging ED/ES was noted in the  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mutant embryos.

Lfng is a SHH-responsive gene whose expression shifts in the absence of Shh. Although the region of expression of *Lfng* in the otic epithelium of  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  embryos (Fig. 9G, 9H, 9I) appeared to change relative to that found in  $Zic2^{Ku/4}$  and  $Zic2^{t/2}$  embryos, it is possible this apparent change was due to the malformation of the neural tube and altered/missing outgrowth of the ED/ES from the dorsal portion of the otocyst. The open neural tube displaced the otocyst laterally, causing the region of *Lfng* expression to appear to have shifted laterally. If the otocyst were tilted medially back into its normal position relative to the D-V axis, the region of Lfng expression would be indistinguishable from that found in otocysts from wild type mice. Lfng expression also appears to be more extensive in the otocyst in  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mice (cf. Fig. 9I and Figs. 9G, 9H). However, since the outgrowth of the endolymphatic duct and sac is altered (either completely absent or severely delayed developmentally) in the ears of  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mice (Figure 3C), this apparent expansion of expression could be explained by alterations in the dorsal outgrowth of the otic epithelium.

## **Expression of Mesenchymal Genes is Relatively Unchanged in Zic2Ku/Ku Mutants**

In  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mutants, there is an increase in the distance between the neural tube and the otocyst, and that this increase in distance corresponded to an increase in the number of cells filling the space between these two structures. We looked at the expression of  $Tbx1$  and Brn4 to determine if these cells were periotic mesenchyme cells or regions of condensing cartilage as we had done in our earlier study (Chervenak, Hakim et al. 2013). The changed position of the otocyst relative to the sources of WNT and SHH signals may have affected the expression of both Tbx1 and Brn4, two genes that are regulated by WNT and SHH signaling (Riccomagno, Martinu et al. 2002, Riccomagno, Takada et al. 2005). Tbx1 is normally expressed in the dorso-lateral half of the otic epithelium and in the mesenchyme between the neural tube and otic epithelium, as well as in the mesenchyme adjacent to the ventral otic epithelium. This expression pattern was found in inner ears from  $Zic2^{t/4}$ , Zic $2^{Ku/4}$ , and Zic $2^{Ku/Ku}$  embryos at both E9.5 and E10.5 and in Zic $2^{Ku/Ku}$  embryos, the cells found between the neural tube and the developing inner ear were  $TbxI^+$ , indicating that these are periotic mesenchyme cells (data not shown). In mice with engineered Tbx1 lossof-function, neural fate is expanded and the VIIIth cranial ganglion rudiment (which would go on in WT animals to form both the auditory/spiral ganglion and the vestibular ganglion) is duplicated posteriorly (Raft, Nowotschin et al. 2004). The inner ear in the Tbx-loss of function mice is hypoplastic with no vestibular apparatus or coiled cochlear duct. The

authors (Raft, Nowotschin et al. 2004) suggested that  $Tbx1$  could be a "selector gene" controlling neural and sensory organ fate specification in the otocyst. A Tbx1 null homozygous mutation causes otocyst hypoplasia and arrest of inner ear morphogenesis (Jerome and Papaioannou 2001, Vitelli, Viola et al. 2003). Tbx1 heterozygosity is also associated with chronic otitis media (Liao, Kochilas et al. 2004). However, based on these limited experiments, it could not be determined whether the increase in periotic mesenchyme we observed was due to increased proliferation of periotic mesenchyme cells or a result of periotic mesenchyme cells being displaced due to the severe morphological defects in these embryos.  $Brn4$  expression at E9.5 and E10.5 also appeared to be unchanged in the  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mutants, indicating no apparent changes in the regions of condensing cartilage (data not shown).

## **Discussion**

The Zic genes encode a family of transcription factors, which interact with a variety of signal transduction pathways, including the SHH, WNT and BMP pathways that are known to be involved in inner ear development. Each of the Zic proteins is expressed in the POM during the early stages of inner ear development. Here we investigate for the first time whether mutation of the Zic genes impairs inner ear development. We find that combined loss of the Zic1/Zic4 bi-gene has no apparent effect on inner ear development but that moderate or severe loss of Zic2 function is associated with inner ear dysgenesis. This dysgenesis can be traced to the earliest stages of the otic morphogenesis because there is no evidence of endolymphatic duct initiation at E10.5 and decreased size of the developing inner ear is evident by E11.5. The initial patterning of the otocyst epithelium appears independent of Zic2 function with the first molecular defects detected at E10.5.

## **Zic2 Acts Non-cell Autonomously to Influence Inner Ear Development**

Either mild (*Zic2<sup>kd/kd</sup>*) or severe (*Zic2<sup>Ku/Ku</sup>*) loss of murine *Zic2* function results in significant defects in inner ear morphology. In the  $Zic2^{kd/kd}$  inner ears, both dorsal (vestibular) and ventral (cochlea) structures are severely affected, notable by E13.5. Defects in the inner ears of  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mice are seen earlier, starting at E11.5, and likely reflect the effect of further decreasing levels of Zic2 function. Inner ears from  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mice exhibit either delayed or absent outgrowth of both the endolymphatic sac and endolymphatic and cochlear ducts, and are smaller than wild type ears along both the  $A-P$  ( $\sim 60\%$  of wild type size) and D-V axes (~50% of wild type size) at both E11.5 and E12.5 (Fig. 3). The midgestation demise of  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  embryos precludes direct comparison with  $Zic2^{kd/kd}$  embryos past E12.5. It therefore remains unknown whether the more severe allele leads to different effects on inner ear development or accelerates the onset of the same defects seen in the Zic $2^{kd/kd}$  mice. Many other Zic2-associated phenotypes are semi-dominant (i.e. are more severe/have an earlier onset in the homozygous state) (Elms, Siggers et al. 2003) and it is possible that the more severe loss-of-function in the  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  embryos accelerates the onset of the *Zic2<sup>kd/kd</sup>* defects.

During the initial stages of murine inner ear development  $Zic2$  is expressed in the dorsal hindbrain and in the mesenchyme adjacent to the otic epithelium (Chervenak, Hakim et al.

2013). *Zic2* expression in the mesenchyme expands in a dorsal to ventral wave between E9.5 and E11.5, with expression initially restricted to the dorsal and medial periotic mesenchyme at E9.5 (Chervenak, Hakim et al. 2013). Zic2-expressing periotic mesenchyme cells completely surround the otic epithelium from earliest developmental times but Zic2 is not expressed in the otic epithelium in any of the species examined (Chervenak, Hakim et al. 2013). The expression data imply that  $Zic2$  acts in a non-cell autonomous manner to influence inner ear morphogenesis.

#### **Function of Zic2 During Inner Ear Development**

There are several possible mechanisms by which Zic2 function may influence inner ear development. First, Zic2 function may be required within the hindbrain neurectoderm to direct inner ear development. The importance of the hindbrain for inner ear development is evident form the analysis of mice with mutation of either Hoxal or Mafb (aka kreisler) (Vazquez-Echeverria, Dominguez-Frutos et al. 2008). Both Hoxa1 and Mafb are transcription factors that are expressed in the hindbrain but not inner ear, but mutation of either is associated with inner ear defects that include absence of the endolymphatic duct. The abnormal inner ear development in these mutants is attributed in particular to defects in rhombomere 5 (r5), the region of the hindbrain adjacent to the developing otic placode.

The mechanism by which the hindbrain directs inner ear morphogenesis is not completely known, but loss the Gbx2 gene phenocopies many aspects of the Kreisler mutation, including the failure of endolymphatic duct development.  $Gbx2$  is a transcription factor expressed in the endolymphatic duct region of the otocyst and a likely downstream target of hindbrain signaling (Lin, Cantos et al. 2005). The possibility that the inner ear dysmorphology results from loss of Zic2 function in the neurectoderm is particularly enticing in view of the known disruption to hindbrain patterning in  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  embryos, in which the size of r5 is decreased (Elms, Siggers et al. 2003) and the fact that altered  $Gbx2$ expression in the otocyst is the first molecular abnormality detected in the inner ear of  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  embryos (Fig 9).

A second possibility is that the defects in inner ear development are secondary to the neural tube defects found in Zic2 mutants. These neural tube closure defects affect the positioning of the otocyst relative to the hindbrain (Fig 7), and thus may alter the 'reach' of the WNT, BMP, and SHH signals from hindbrain to otocyst, resulting in altered patterning of the otocyst. Mutations in over 240 genes have been identified that lead to severe neural tube defects (Harris and Juriloff 2007, Harris and Juriloff 2010), but of these only a few, such as Pax2, show both inner ear and neural tube defects (Puschel, Westerfield et al. 1992, Torres, Gomez-Pardo et al. 1996). It therefore does not directly follow that neural tube defects prevent inner ear morphogenesis. In addition, both  $Zic2^{kd/kd}$  and  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mutants have severe neural tube defects (Nagai, Aruga et al. 2000, Elms, Siggers et al. 2003), but the severity of inner ear defects correlates with decreased Zic2 function.

It is also possible that the inner ear defects in the Zic2 mutants (Zic2<sup>kd/kd</sup> and Zic2<sup>Ku/Ku</sup>) are a direct result of the loss of Zic2 expression in the periotic mesenchyme. In this scenario, Zic2 could act either to promote the mesenchymal-epithelial interactions crucial for inner ear development, or to relay the secreted signals from the neuroepithelium to the otic vesicle

that drive both morphogenesis of the otic epithelium. The Tbx1 transcription factor is expressed in both the otocyst and in the POM and deletion of  $Tbx1$  from the POM alone results in defects in cochlear duct outgrowth and coiling (Braunstein, Monks et al. 2009). Similarly, mice null for Brn4, a Pou domain transcription factor expressed in the POM but not otic vesicle, display a reduction in the number of turns of the cochlear duct (Phippard, Lu et al. 1999). Furthermore, neither the expression of  $Tbx1$  nor  $Brn4$  in the POM was altered in  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  embryos. Therefore, if  $Zic2$  is required in the POM for inner ear morphogenesis, it does not act upstream of these transcription factors. It is also possible that the hindbrain-derived neural crest is abnormal in Zic2 mutant embryos and contributes to the excess POM seen in some mutants. Our previous analysis of neural crest cell development in  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  embryos detected no abnormalities in the hindbrain derived crest suggesting this is an unlikely scenario (Elms, Siggers et al. 2003). In the absence of a conditional allele of Zic2 to enable tissue specific inactivation of Zic2 it is difficult to distinguish whether Zic2 expression is required in the neurectoderm, the POM, or both tissues.

#### **Zic2 and auditory and vestibular function**

The mid-gestation lethality of  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  embryos precludes analysis of auditory and vestibular function in these animals. There is, however, evidence from other mouse models that experimentally induced alterations in otocyst morphogenesis can compromise inner ear function, even when hair and supporting cells are generated (Hatch, Noyes et al. 2007, Nichols, Pauley et al. 2008, Koo, Hill et al. 2009). Similarly, human genetic studies support that notion that stereotypic morphogenesis of the otocyst is necessary for normal auditory and vestibular function. For example, imaging reveals significant inner ear dysmorphologies in 30-40% of children with sensorineural hearing loss (Antonelli, Varela et al. 1999, Purcell, Johnson et al. 2003). The work presented here extends the list of murine genes known to be required for correct otocyst morphogenesis. Furthermore, the ZIC2 protein is highly conserved between mouse and human and Zic2 mutation in the mouse recapitulates the known human ZIC2 disease association of holoprosencephaly (Brown, Warburton et al. 1998, Warr, Powles-Glover et al. 2008). It seems probable that ZIC2 loss-of-function in humans may also result in altered inner ear development and deficits in auditory and/or vestibular function.

#### **The Loss of Zic1 and Zic4 May Be Compensated for by Other Zic Genes**

Zic1 and Zic4 have been shown to have redundant functions in cerebellar development, as loss of either *Zic1* or *Zic4* alone has a milder phenotype compared to a *Zic1/Zic4* double mutant (Aruga, Minowa et al. 1998, Grinberg, Northrup et al. 2004, Blank, Grinberg et al. 2011). When we analyzed the morphology of inner ears from  $Zic1^{-/-}$ ;  $Zic4^{-/-}$  embryos, we did not observe any obvious gross morphological defects in the size or shape of the developing inner ears through E15.5, the last stage examined. It is possible that Zic1 and Zic4 are involved in later development of the inner ear, such as in the development and maturation of the sensory patches. More likely, however, is that other Zic genes have redundant roles and can compensate for the loss of Zic1 and Zic4, as the expression of Zic1 and Zic4 overlap in the dorso-medial periotic mesenchyme in a region in which both Zic2 and Zic3 are also expressed in the developing inner ear in both the chick and mouse (Chervenak, Hakim et al. 2013).

## **Conclusions**

Our experiments provide the first genetic evidence that the Zic genes are required for morphogenesis of the inner ear. Analysis of inner ear development in  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mutants show that the dysmorphology is presaged by molecular abnormality of the otic epithelium with the expression level and/or distribution of key transcription factors in the otic epithelium (Pax2 and Gbx2) being altered by Zic2 loss-of-function. Experiments that distinguish whether there is a primary requirement for Zic2 function within the POM or whether earlier neurectoderm defects associated with Zic2 function are responsible for the inner ear development defects await the generation of a *Zic2* conditional allele. Given, however, that inner ear dysplasia is often associated with sensorineural hearing loss, Zic2 should be considered as a candidate gene in ongoing efforts to identify the genetic basis of human auditory defects.

## **Experimental Procedures**

#### **Mouse Husbandry**

The kumba (Ku) allele of  $Zic2$  was maintained by continuous backcross to  $129/SvEv$  mice, and mice from backcross 10 or beyond were used for analysis. Mice were maintained in a light cycle of 12 h light: 12 h dark, the midpoint of the dark cycle being 12 A.M. For the production of staged embryos, 12 P.M. on the day of the appearance of the vaginal plug is designated E0.5. Pregnant females were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. The uterine horns containing the embryos were dissected out and placed in PBS with 10% FBS. Embryos were then dissected out of the surrounding maternal tissues and Reichert's membrane was removed. Embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight, washed in 1X PBS (3 x 5 minutes), and then transferred to 30% sucrose in 1X PBS and rocked at 4°C overnight. Mice were genotyped by PCR screening of genomic DNA extracted from ear biopsy tissue (Thomsen, Ali et al. 2012) and embryos were genotyped using a fragment of extra embryonic tissue. Genomic DNA was extracted from embryonic tissue described previously (Arkell, Cadman et al. 2001).

#### **Embedding and Cryosectioning**

Embryos were transferred through three progressive changes of OCT (TissueTek) to remove excess sucrose. The embryos were then transferred to embedding molds, covered with OCT, and oriented such that the anterior portion of the ear pointed down. The molds were then frozen on dry ice and stored at −80°C until sectioning. 12 μm transverse sections through the ear were cut using a Microm HM500M cryostat and collected on SuperFrost Plus slides (Fisher). Sections were air-dried on the slides at room temperature for at least 30 minutes, and then stored at −80°C.

#### **In Situ Hybridization**

In situ hybridization using digoxigenin-labeled antisense probes was performed on sections from  $Zic2^{t/+}$ ,  $Zic2^{Ku/+}$ , and  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  embryos as previously described (Chervenak, Hakim et al. 2013). A minimum of 2 embryos per genotype was analyzed for each probe. Antisense probes were generated by digesting plasmids containing the cDNA sequences of the genes

of interest and then transcribing with the appropriate RNA polymerase. Images were acquired with an Olympus BX51 microscope equipped with an Olympus camera.

#### **Paint-filling of Inner Ears**

Inner ears from  $Zic2^{kd}$ ,  $Zic2^{kumba}$ , and  $Zic1/Zic4$  mouse embryos were paint-filled using previously described protocols (Kiernan 2006). A minimum of 2 ears per genotype was analyzed.

## **Imaging and Analysis of Zic2kumba Embryos**

Whole  $Zic2^{t/+}$ ,  $Zic2^{Ku/+}$ , and  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  embryos and paint-filled inner ears from  $Zic2^{t/+}$ ,  $Zic2^{Ku/4}$ , and  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  embryos were imaged using a Nikon SMZ1500 stereomicroscope and fitted with a Nikon Digital Sight DS-Ri1 camera. The distance from the otocyst to the forelimb and the axial dimensions (anterior-posterior and dorsal-ventral) of the inner ear were measured using NIS Elements D 3.1 software.

## **Acknowledgments**

The authors would like to thank members of the Barald lab, Dr. Ben Allen, Dr. Sally Camper, Dr. David Kohrman, Dr. John Kuwada, and Dr. Yehoash Raphael for helpful comments on the manuscript and advice on the experiments. We would also like to thank Dr. Jun Aruga (RIKEN Institute) for the  $Zic2^{kd}$  embryos, Dr. Douglas Epstein (University of Pennsylvania) for the Tbx1, Brn4, Dlx5, and Gbx2 probes, and Dr. Doris Wu (NIDCD) for the Lfng probe. This work was supported by NIH/NIDCD, 2 RO1 DC04184 and ARRA supplement (KFB) and the Cellular and Molecular Biology (T32-GM007315), Regenerative Sciences (5T90DK070071-05), and Hearing, Balance, and Chemical Senses (5T32DC000011-32) training grants (APC).

## **References**

- Ali RG, Bellchambers HM, Arkell RM. Zinc fingers of the cerebellum (Zic): transcription factors and co-factors. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2012; 44(11):2065–2068. [PubMed: 22964024]
- Antonelli PJ, Varela AE, Mancuso AA. Diagnostic yield of high-resolution computed tomography for pediatric sensorineural hearing loss. Laryngoscope. 1999; 109(10):1642–1647. [PubMed: 10522936]
- Arkell RM, Cadman M, Marsland T, Southwell A, Thaung C, Davies JR, Clay T, Beechey CV, Evans EP, Strivens MA, Brown SD, Denny P. Genetic, physical, and phenotypic characterization of the Del(13)Svea36H mouse. Mamm Genome. 2001; 12(9):687–694. [PubMed: 11641716]
- Aruga J, Minowa O, Yaginuma H, Kuno J, Nagai T, Noda T, Mikoshiba K. Mouse Zic1 is involved in cerebellar development. J Neurosci. 1998; 18(1):284–293. [PubMed: 9412507]
- Aruga J, Yokota N, Hashimoto M, Furuichi T, Fukuda M, Mikoshiba K. A novel zinc finger protein, zic, is involved in neurogenesis, especially in the cell lineage of cerebellar granule cells. J Neurochem. 1994; 63(5):1880–1890. [PubMed: 7931345]
- Barratt KS, Glanville-Jones HC, Arkell RM. The Zic2 gene directs the formation and function of node cilia to control cardiac situs. Genesis. 2014; 52(6):626–635. [PubMed: 24585447]
- Blank MC, Grinberg I, Aryee E, Laliberte C, Chizhikov VV, Henkelman RM, Millen KJ. Multiple developmental programs are altered by loss of Zic1 and Zic4 to cause Dandy-Walker malformation cerebellar pathogenesis. Development. 2011; 138(6):1207–1216. [PubMed: 21307096]
- Braunstein EM, Monks DC, Aggarwal VS, Arnold JS, Morrow BE. Tbx1 and Brn4 regulate retinoic acid metabolic genes during cochlear morphogenesis. BMC Dev Biol. 2009; 9:31. [PubMed: 19476657]
- Brown L, Paraso M, Arkell R, Brown S. In vitro analysis of partial loss-of-function ZIC2 mutations in holoprosencephaly: alanine tract expansion modulates DNA binding and transactivation. Hum Mol Genet. 2005; 14(3):411–420. [PubMed: 15590697]

- Brown SA, Warburton D, Brown LY, Yu CY, Roeder ER, Stengel-Rutkowski S, Hennekam RC, Muenke M. Holoprosencephaly due to mutations in ZIC2, a homologue of Drosophila odd-paired. Nat Genet. 1998; 20(2):180–183. [PubMed: 9771712]
- Chervenak AP, Hakim I, Barald KF. Spatiotemporal expression of Zic genes during vertebrate inner ear development. Dev Dyn. 2013
- Ebert PJ, Timmer JR, Nakada Y, Helms AW, Parab PB, Liu Y, Hunsaker TL, Johnson JE. Zic1 represses Math1 expression via interactions with the Math1 enhancer and modulation of Math1 autoregulation. Development. 2003; 130(9):1949–1959. [PubMed: 12642498]
- Elms P, Scurry A, Davies J, Willoughby C, Hacker T, Bogani D, Arkell R. Overlapping and distinct expression domains of Zic2 and Zic3 during mouse gastrulation. Gene Expr Patterns. 2004; 4(5): 505–511. [PubMed: 15261827]
- Elms P, Siggers P, Napper D, Greenfield A, Arkell R. Zic2 is required for neural crest formation and hindbrain patterning during mouse development. Dev Biol. 2003; 264(2):391–406. [PubMed: 14651926]
- Grinberg I, Millen KJ. The ZIC gene family in development and disease. Clin Genet. 2005; 67(4):290– 296. [PubMed: 15733262]
- Grinberg I, Northrup H, Ardinger H, Prasad C, Dobyns WB, Millen KJ. Heterozygous deletion of the linked genes ZIC1 and ZIC4 is involved in Dandy-Walker malformation. Nat Genet. 2004; 36(10): 1053–1055. [PubMed: 15338008]
- Harris MJ, Juriloff DM. Mouse mutants with neural tube closure defects and their role in understanding human neural tube defects. Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol. 2007; 79(3):187– 210. [PubMed: 17177317]
- Harris MJ, Juriloff DM. An update to the list of mouse mutants with neural tube closure defects and advances toward a complete genetic perspective of neural tube closure. Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol. 2010; 88(8):653–669. [PubMed: 20740593]
- Hatch EP, Noyes CA, Wang X, Wright TJ, Mansour SL. Fgf3 is required for dorsal patterning and morphogenesis of the inner ear epithelium. Development. 2007; 134(20):3615–3625. [PubMed: 17855431]
- Houtmeyers R, Souopgui J, Tejpar S, Arkell R. The ZIC gene family encodes multi-functional proteins essential for patterning and morphogenesis. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2013
- Hutson MR, Lewis JE, Nguyen-Luu D, Lindberg KH, Barald KF. Expression of Pax2 and patterning of the chick inner ear. J Neurocytol. 1999; 28(10-11):795–807. [PubMed: 10900085]
- Jerome LA, Papaioannou VE. DiGeorge syndrome phenotype in mice mutant for the T-box gene, Tbx1. Nat Genet. 2001; 27(3):286–291. [PubMed: 11242110]
- Kiernan AE. The paintfill method as a tool for analyzing the three-dimensional structure of the inner ear. Brain Res. 2006; 1091(1):270–276. [PubMed: 16600188]
- Koo SK, Hill JK, Hwang CH, Lin ZS, Millen KJ, Wu DK. Lmx1a maintains proper neurogenic, sensory, and non-sensory domains in the mammalian inner ear. Dev Biol. 2009; 333(1):14–25. [PubMed: 19540218]
- Koyabu Y, Nakata K, Mizugishi K, Aruga J, Mikoshiba K. Physical and functional interactions between Zic and Gli proteins. J Biol Chem. 2001; 276(10):6889–6892. [PubMed: 11238441]
- Liao J, Kochilas L, Nowotschin S, Arnold JS, Aggarwal VS, Epstein JA, Brown MC, Adams J, Morrow BE. Full spectrum of malformations in velo-cardio-facial syndrome/DiGeorge syndrome mouse models by altering Tbx1 dosage. Hum Mol Genet. 2004; 13(15):1577–1585. [PubMed: 15190012]
- Lim LS, Hong FH, Kunarso G, Stanton LW. The pluripotency regulator Zic3 is a direct activator of the Nanog promoter in ESCs. Stem Cells. 2010; 28(11):1961–1969. [PubMed: 20872845]
- Lin Z, Cantos R, Patente M, Wu DK. Gbx2 is required for the morphogenesis of the mouse inner ear: a downstream candidate of hindbrain signaling. Development. 2005; 132(10):2309–2318. [PubMed: 15829521]
- Mizugishi K, Aruga J, Nakata K, Mikoshiba K. Molecular properties of Zic proteins as transcriptional regulators and their relationship to GLI proteins. J Biol Chem. 2001; 276(3):2180–2188. [PubMed: 11053430]

- Mizugishi K, Hatayama M, Tohmonda T, Ogawa M, Inoue T, Mikoshiba K, Aruga J. Myogenic repressor I-mfa interferes with the function of Zic family proteins. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2004; 320(1):233–240. [PubMed: 15207726]
- Nagai T, Aruga J, Minowa O, Sugimoto T, Ohno Y, Noda T, Mikoshiba K. Zic2 regulates the kinetics of neurulation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000; 97(4):1618–1623. [PubMed: 10677508]
- Nichols DH, Pauley S, Jahan I, Beisel KW, Millen KJ, Fritzsch B. Lmx1a is required for segregation of sensory epithelia and normal ear histogenesis and morphogenesis. Cell Tissue Res. 2008; 334(3):339–358. [PubMed: 18985389]
- Nyholm MK, Wu SF, Dorsky RI, Grinblat Y. The zebrafish zic2a-zic5 gene pair acts downstream of canonical Wnt signaling to control cell proliferation in the developing tectum. Development. 2007; 134(4):735–746. [PubMed: 17215296]
- Pan H, Gustafsson MK, Aruga J, Tiedken JJ, Chen JC, Emerson CP Jr. A role for Zic1 and Zic2 in Myf5 regulation and somite myogenesis. Dev Biol. 2011; 351(1):120–127. [PubMed: 21211521]
- Phippard D, Lu L, Lee D, Saunders JC, Crenshaw EB 3rd. Targeted mutagenesis of the POU-domain gene Brn4/Pou3f4 causes developmental defects in the inner ear. J Neurosci. 1999; 19(14):5980– 5989. [PubMed: 10407036]
- Pourebrahim R, Houtmeyers R, Ghogomu S, Janssens S, Thelie A, Tran HT, Langenberg T, Vleminckx K, Bellefroid E, Cassiman JJ, Tejpar S. Transcription factor Zic2 inhibits Wnt/betacatenin protein signaling. J Biol Chem. 2011; 286(43):37732–37740. [PubMed: 21908606]
- Purcell D, Johnson J, Fischbein N, Lalwani AK. Establishment of normative cochlear and vestibular measurements to aid in the diagnosis of inner ear malformations. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2003; 128(1):78–87. [PubMed: 12574763]
- Puschel AW, Westerfield M, Dressler GR. Comparative analysis of Pax-2 protein distributions during neurulation in mice and zebrafish. Mech Dev. 1992; 38(3):197–208. [PubMed: 1457381]
- Raft S, Nowotschin S, Liao J, Morrow BE. Suppression of neural fate and control of inner ear morphogenesis by Tbx1. Development. 2004; 131(8):1801–1812. [PubMed: 15084464]
- Riccomagno MM, Martinu L, Mulheisen M, Wu DK, Epstein DJ. Specification of the mammalian cochlea is dependent on Sonic hedgehog. Genes Dev. 2002; 16(18):2365–2378. [PubMed: 12231626]
- Riccomagno MM, Takada S, Epstein DJ. Wnt-dependent regulation of inner ear morphogenesis is balanced by the opposing and supporting roles of Shh. Genes Dev. 2005; 19(13):1612–1623. [PubMed: 15961523]
- Rohr KB, Schulte-Merker S, Tautz D. Zebrafish zic1 expression in brain and somites is affected by BMP and hedgehog signalling. Mech Dev. 1999; 85(1-2):147–159. [PubMed: 10415355]
- Sakurada T, Mima K, Kurisaki A, Sugino H, Yamauchi T. Neuronal cell type-specific promoter of the alpha CaM kinase II gene is activated by Zic2, a Zic family zinc finger protein. Neurosci Res. 2005; 53(3):323–330. [PubMed: 16157407]
- Salero E, Perez-Sen R, Aruga J, Gimenez C, Zafra F. Transcription factors Zic1 and Zic2 bind and transactivate the apolipoprotein E gene promoter. J Biol Chem. 2001; 276(3):1881–1888. [PubMed: 11038359]
- Thomsen N, Ali RG, Ahmed JN, Arkell RM. High resolution melt analysis (HRMA); a viable alternative to agarose gel electrophoresis for mouse genotyping. PloS one. 2012; 7(9):e45252. [PubMed: 23028882]
- Torres M, Gomez-Pardo E, Gruss P. Pax2 contributes to inner ear patterning and optic nerve trajectory. Development. 1996; 122(11):3381–3391. [PubMed: 8951055]
- Vazquez-Echeverria C, Dominguez-Frutos E, Charnay P, Schimmang T, Pujades C. Analysis of mouse kreisler mutants reveals new roles of hindbrain-derived signals in the establishment of the otic neurogenic domain. Dev Biol. 2008; 322(1):167–178. [PubMed: 18703040]
- Vitelli F, Viola A, Morishima M, Pramparo T, Baldini A, Lindsay E. TBX1 is required for inner ear morphogenesis. Hum Mol Genet. 2003; 12(16):2041–2048. [PubMed: 12913075]
- Warr N, Powles-Glover N, Chappell A, Robson J, Norris D, Arkell RM. Zic2-associated holoprosencephaly is caused by a transient defect in the organizer region during gastrulation. Hum Mol Genet. 2008; 17(19):2986–2996. [PubMed: 18617531]

Yang Y, Hwang CK, Junn E, Lee G, Mouradian MM. ZIC2 and Sp3 repress Sp1-induced activation of the human D1A dopamine receptor gene. J Biol Chem. 2000; 275(49):38863–38869. [PubMed: 10984499]

## **Bullet Points**

Loss of Zic1 and Zic4 has no effect on inner ear development

- **•** Mild or severe loss of Zic2 has profound effects on inner ear development, including mis-oriented and malformed inner ears
- **•** Loss of Zic2 results in loss of, truncation of or mis-direction of the endolymphatic duct and sac (ED/ES)
- Pax2 expression was greatly reduced in the otic epithelium of the  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$ mutants at E12.5 suggesting that expression of this key inner ear patterning gene was affected by Zic2 loss.
- $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mutants showed changes in the expression patterns of  $Gbx2$

Chervenak et al. Page 17



## **Figure 1. Morphology of Inner Ears From** *Zic1/Zic4* **Mice** Ears from *Zic1<sup>+/-</sup>;Zic4<sup>+/-</sup>* (A, C) and *Zic1<sup>-/-</sup>;Zic4<sup>-/-</sup>* (B, D) mice were paint-filled at E11.5 (A,B) and E15.5 (C, D) to look for changes in inner ear morphology. Abbreviations: D, dorsal; L, lateral; A, anterior; P, posterior. Scale bar in A, 100μm (applies to A, B); scale bar in C, 200μm (applies to C, D).



**Figure 2. Reduced Levels of** *Zic2* **Result in Severe Inner Ear Morphological Defects** Ears from  $Zic2^{+/+}$  (A, C, F, H),  $Zic2^{+/kd}$  (D), and  $Zic2^{kd/kd}$  (B, E, G, I, J) embryos were paint-filled at E11.5 (A,B), E13.5 (C-E), E16.5 (F, G) and E18.5 (H-J) to look for changes in inner ear morphology. At E18.5, the ducts appear thicker due to a perilymphatic rather than endolymphatic-only fill (Kiernan 2006). Abbreviations: D, dorsal; M, medial; A, anterior; es, endolymphatic sac; cc, common crus; asc, anterior semicircular canal; psc, posterior semicircular canal; lsc, lateral semicircular canal; s, saccule; cd, cochlear duct. Scale bar in A, 100μm (applies to A, B); scale bar in C, 200μm (applies to C-E); scale bar in F, 200μm (applies to F, G); scale bar in H, 200μm (applies to H-J).





Inner ears from  $Zic2^{H/H}(A)$ ,  $Zic2^{Ku/H}(B, D)$ , and  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}(C, E)$  mouse embryos at E11.5 (A-C) and E12.5 (D, E) were paint-filled to assess changes in gross morphology. Measurements were taken along the anterior-posterior and dorsal-ventral axes of inner ears at E11.5 as shown in (F) and compared among the three genotypes. Comparison of ear dimensions along the anterior-posterior (G) and dorsalventral (H) axes. p-values are designated in the figure as follows: \*, p <0.05; \*\*\*, p <0.05; \*\*\*, p <0.005; \*\*\*\*, p <0.0001; n.s., not significant. Error bars in G and H represent the SEM. Abbreviations: D, dorsal; L, lateral; A, anterior; AP, anterior-posterior; DV, dorsal-ventral; ed, endolymphatic duct; cd,

cochlear duct. Scale bar in A, 100μm (applies to A-C); scale bar in D, 100μm (applies to D-E).

Chervenak et al. Page 21



## **Figure 4. Gross Morphology of** *Zic2kumba* **Embryos at E9.5**

 $Zic2^{t/+}$  (A-A") and  $Zic2^{Ku/+}$  (BB") embryos show no gross morphological defects at E9.5.  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  embryos (C-C", D-D") have severe defects in the forebrain, midbrain, hindbrain and caudal regions of the neural tube (arrowheads in C). Higher magnification images show exencephaly in the midbrain (D), malformation of the forebrain, including hematomas (D'; yellow arrows indicate hematomas), and a kinked neural tube in the trunk region of the embryo (D"). The otocysts appear to be normal in size and shape in  $Zic2^{t/+}$  (A'),  $Zic2^{Ku/+}$ (B'), and  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  (C') embryos. However, the paired otocysts from  $Zic2^{t/+}$  (A") and  $Zic2^{Ku/4}$  (B") embryos are located near the midline, while otocysts from  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  embryos (C") are located farther away from the midline due to the failure of the neural tube to close. White dashed lines outline the otocysts. Scale bar in A equals 200<sub>µm</sub> and applies to panels A-C; scale bar in A', 50μm (applies to panels A', B', C'); scale bar in A", 100μm (applies to panels A", B", C"); scale bar in D", 100μm (applies to panels DD").

Chervenak et al. Page 22



## **Figure 5. Gross Morphology of** *Zic2kumba* **Embryos at E11.5**

Although  $Zic2^{t/\pm}$  (A) and  $Zic2^{Ku/\pm}$  (B) embryos show no gross morphological defects at E11.5, the *Zic2<sup>Ku/Ku</sup>* embryos (CC") are smaller than both their *Zic2<sup>+/+</sup>* and *Zic2<sup>Ku/+</sup>* littermates, have severe defects in the hindbrain and caudal regions of the neural tube (arrowheads in C), and display a kinked tail (asterisk in C). Higher magnification images show failure of the caudal neural tube to close (C') and exencephaly in the hindbrain (C"). The trunk length of the embryos from the midpoint of the otocyst to the midpoint of the forelimb was measured as shown in (D) and compared among the three genotypes (E; n=6 for each genotype). p-values are designated as follows: \*, p<0.0001; n.s., not significant. Error bars in E represent the SEM. Scale bar in A, 500μm (applies to panels A-C); scale bar in C' , 200μm (applies to panels C'-C").

Chervenak et al. Page 23



## **Figure 6. Gross Morphology of** *Zic2kumba* **Embryos at E12.5**

 $Zic2^{t/+}$  (A) and  $Zic2^{Ku/+}$  (B) embryos show no gross morphological defects at E12.5. However, *Zic2<sup>Ku/Ku</sup>* embryos (C-C") are smaller than both their *Zic2<sup>+/+</sup>* and *Zic2<sup>Ku/+</sup>* littermates and have severe defects in the forebrain, hindbrain, and caudal regions of the neural tube (arrowheads in C). Higher magnification images show exencephaly in the hindbrain (C') and spina bifida in the caudal neural tube (C"). Scale bar in A, 1000μm (applies to panels A-C); scale bar in C', 500μm (applies to panels C'-C").





**Figure 7. Variability of the Inner Ear Phenotypes in** *Zic2Ku/Ku* **Mice**

(A) Variations observed in the shape and position of the otocyst relative to the neural tube in the  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mutants. (B) Variations observed in the extent of neural tube closure defects in the  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  mutants.

Chervenak et al. Page 25





In situ hybridization using a probe for Pax2 was performed on sections through the inner ear region of *Zic2<sup>+/+</sup>* (A, D, G), *Zic2<sup>Ku/+</sup>* (B, E, H), and *Zic2<sup>Ku/Ku</sup>* (C, F, I) embryos at E9.5 (A-C), E10.5 (D-F), and E12.5 (G-I). Blue dashed lines outline the otic epithelium.

Abbreviations: oe, otic epithelium; nt, neural tube; co, cochlea; d, dorsal; m, medial. Scale bar in A, 50μm (applies to A-F); scale bar in G, 200μm (applies to G-I).



**Figure 9. Comparison of** *Dlx5***,** *Gbx2***, and** *Lfng* **Expression in the Developing Inner Ear at E10.5 in the** *Zic2Ku* **Mouse Model**

In situ hybridization using probes for  $D/x5$  (A-C),  $Gbx2$  (D-F), and  $Lfng$  (G-I) were performed on sections through the inner ear region of  $Zic2^{t/+}$  (A, D, G),  $Zic2^{Ku/+}$  (B, E, H), and  $Zic2^{Ku/Ku}$  (C, F, I) embryos. Blue dashed lines outline the otic epithelium. Abbreviations: oe, otic epithelium; nt, neural tube; d, dorsal; m, medial. Scale bar in A, 50μm (applies to A-I).