

Submit a Manuscript: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/ Help Desk: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx DOI: 10.4329/wjr.v6.i10.756 World J Radiol 2014 October 28; 6(10): 756-778 ISSN 1949-8470 (online) © 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

TOPIC HIGHLIGHT

WJR 6th Anniversary Special Issues (8): fMRI

Role of functional imaging in the development and refinement of invasive neuromodulation for psychiatric disorders

Nolan R Williams, Joseph J Taylor, Kayla Lamb, Colleen A Hanlon, E Baron Short, Mark S George

Nolan R Williams, Joseph J Taylor, Kayla Lamb, Colleen A Hanlon, E Baron Short, Mark S George, Brain Stimulation Laboratory, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC 29425, United States

Joseph J Taylor, Colleen A Hanlon, Mark S George, Ralph H Johnson VA Medical Center, Charleston, SC 29401, United States Joseph J Taylor, Colleen A Hanlon, Mark S George, Center for Biomedical Imaging, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC 29425, United States

Author contributions: Williams NR was the primary author; Taylor JJ and Hanlon CA wrote critical sections of the imaging physics; Williams NR and Lamb K designed and created the tables; George MS and Short EB edited as senior authors. Supported by NIDA R25

Correspondence to: Nolan R Williams, MD, Brain Stimulation Laboratory, Medical University of South Carolina, 67 President Street, Charleston, SC 29425,

United States. willianr@musc.edu

 Telephone:
 +1-843-7920333
 Fax:
 +1-843-7923187

 Received:
 March 3, 2014
 Revised:
 July 4, 2014

 Accepted:
 August 27, 2014
 Published online:
 October 28, 2014

Abstract

Deep brain stimulation (DBS) is emerging as a powerful tool for the alleviation of targeted symptoms in treatment-resistant neuropsychiatric disorders. Despite the expanding use of neuropsychiatric DBS, the mechanisms responsible for its effects are only starting to be elucidated. Several modalities such as quantitative electroencephalography as well a intraoperative recordings have been utilized to attempt to understand the underpinnings of this new treatment modality, but functional imaging appears to offer several unique advantages. Functional imaging techniques like positron emission tomography, single photon emission computed tomography and functional magnetic resonance imaging have been used to examine the effects of focal DBS on activ-

ity in a distributed neural network. These investigations are critical for advancing the field of invasive neuromodulation in a safe and effective manner, particularly in terms of defining the neuroanatomical targets and refining the stimulation protocols. The purpose of this review is to summarize the current functional neuroimaging findings from neuropsychiatric DBS implantation for three disorders: treatment-resistant depression, obsessive-compulsive disorder, and Tourette syndrome. All of the major targets will be discussed (Nucleus accumbens, anterior limb of internal capsule, subcallosal cingulate, Subthalamic nucleus, Centromedial nucleus of the thalamus-Parafasicular complex, frontal pole, and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex). We will also address some apparent inconsistencies within this literature, and suggest potential future directions for this promising area.

© 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Key words: Deep brain stimulation; Functional neuroimaging; Functional magnetic resonance imaging; Functional magnetic resonance imaging; Cortical stimulation; Nuclear imaging

Core tip: Deep brain stimulation (DBS) is emerging as a powerful tool for the alleviation of targeted symptoms in treatment-resistant neuropsychiatric disorders. Most recently, functional magnetic resonance imaging has been used to examine the effects of focal DBS on activity in a distributed neural network. The purpose of this review is to summarize the current functional neuroimaging findings from neuropsychiatric DBS implantation and to discuss some apparent inconsistencies within this literature, and to suggest potential future directions for this promising area.

Williams NR, Taylor JJ, Lamb K, Hanlon CA, Short EB, George



MS. Role of functional imaging in the development and refinement of invasive neuromodulation for psychiatric disorders. *World J Radiol* 2014; 6(10): 756-778 Available from: URL: http:// www.wjgnet.com/1949-8470/full/v6/i10/756.htm DOI: http:// dx.doi.org/10.4329/wjr.v6.i10.756

INTRODUCTION

Deep brain stimulation (DBS) is a form of invasive neuromodulation in which electrodes are implanted into a neuroanatomical target (nucleus or fiber tract) in an effort to modulate activity throughout a neural network^[1]. Neuropsychiatric disorders can also be modulated through stimulation of cortical nodes in a neural network with similar efficacy and reduced risk^[2,3]. The cortex can be stimulated either non-invasively from outside the skull with techniques like transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS), or by placing stimulation paddles close to the surface of the brain under the skull but outside of the dura (epidural). For the purpose of this review, these cortical stimulation studies with invasive stimulation paddles and external generators are also labeled DBS, even though the electrodes are not deep. The imaging issues with these invasive cortical stimulation methods are the same as for traditionally defined DBS. These network modulations are driven by stimulation parameters that can be optimized for maximal therapeutic benefits. DBS was first developed for movement disorders wherein high frequency electrical stimulation of the thalamus has the capacity to reduce tremors^[4]. Since that time, DBS has been investigated for treatment-resistant neuropsychiatric disorders^[5,6]. In this context, high frequency electrical stimulation of limbic system targets has the capacity to alter mood and alleviate affective symptoms. Similar to DBS for movement disorders^[7], invasive neuromodulation for neuropsychiatric disorders has similar reported open-label efficacy rates as lesion surgeries (although never directly compared) but unlike lesion surgery offers reversibility and fewer side-effects^[8,9].

Neuropsychiatric disorders are beginning to be classified based on limbic, cognitive, and motoric^[10,11] circuit dysfunction^[12]. DBS likely exerts its effects by directly modulating the target node(s) and indirectly modulating the regions connected to that node^[1,13]. Functional neuroimaging studies have attempted to capture these downstream network effects induced by DBS^[13]. While traditional nuclear medicine techniques such as positron emission tomography (PET) imaging have successfully captured gross DBS-induced changes in neural networks, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) is poised to offer more refined insights^[14]. Additionally, basic science techniques like optogenetics are now being used to explore the precise neural changes associated with DBS in animal models of disease^[15].

This review begins by describing the functional imaging techniques that have been used to examine the effects of focal DBS on activity in a distributed neural network. Thereafter the three neuropsychiatric syndromes of interest will be introduced and their DBS targets briefly identified. The subsequent sections describe the cortical and subcortical targets for each disorder in further detail, as well as discuss their imaging results and relevance to neuropsychiatric symptoms. Table 1 summarizes advantages, disadvantages and cautions for each imaging method. Tables 2-5 and Figures 1-4 are categorized by neuropsychiatric disease and illustrate the effect each DBS target has on downstream nodes.

PET

PET can safely and effectively probe the effects of DBS without disrupting the function of the device or its electrodes. PET uses short-lived radioisotopes to create a static image of averaged neural activity over time based on changes in oxygen metabolism, glucose, blood flow or neurotransmitter receptor binding^[16,17]. PET data are static in that they are averaged over time. Thus, PET cannot yield information about the immediate (*e.g.*, second to second) time course of change induced by an experimental manipulation, although oxygen PET can image activity for one minute and can thus show changes over a few minutes.

PET is the predominant functional imaging technique in the psychiatric DBS literature, in part because it was available much earlier than modalities like fMRI, and because it is technically less difficult to combine DBS with PET^[14]. Although PET continues to make significant contributions to clinical Neuroscience, the technique has a number of limitations that are relevant to DBS research. First, PET has poor temporal and spatial resolution relative to fMRI. These resolution limitations might make it difficult to accurately assess DBS-induced changes in small subcortical nodes in limbic neural networks. Second, PET requires injections of radioactive tracers into patients who have no medical indication for such exposure. Furthermore, the cost and availability of these radiotracers can be prohibitive. Third, PET studies typically have methodological variations that make it difficult to compare data between studies. For example, a study that uses repeated injections of ¹⁵O-H₂O PET to measure cerebral blood perfusion over 60-120 s intervals^[18] cannot be contextualized with a study that uses a single injection of 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (¹⁸F-FDG) PET to measure glucose metabolism over a 60 min interval^[19]. Fourth, PET measures of binding capacity are not specific for receptor occupancy and could reflect altered receptor density^[20,21]. This lack of clarity might make it difficult to assess the pharmacological effects of DBS. These are just a few of the considerations that should be kept in mind when considering PET DBS research.

On the other hand, there are few if any problems with performing PET studies in patients with DBS electrodes implanted, unlike with fMRI. Also, single photon emission computed tomography imaging, with perfusion tracers that capture activity summed over a minute or two, offers a less expensive and readily available op-



Table 1 Advantages and disadvantages of imaging methods

Modality	Advantages	Disadvantages
PET	Unlikely to disrupt implant placement or function	Cost, availability and health risks of radiotracers
	Widely available	Static data (averaged over set time interval)
	Technically simple to combine with DBS (relative to fMRI)	Poor temporal and spatial resolution (relative to fMRI)
	Customized radiotracers to measure binding capacity	Difficult to interpret data (receptor binding vs density)
MRI	Time-locked data	BOLD signal interpretation (temporal lag, anatomical imprecision)
	High temporal and spatial resolution (relative to PET)	DBS safety considerations (lead migration, secondary lesioning)
	Flexible data acquisition, processing and analysis	Possible deactivation of implanted impulse generators
	Possible analysis of ON and OFF settings	Potential DBS-related artifacts on images

PET: Positron emission tomography; DBS: Deep brain stimulation; fMRI: Functional magnetic resonance imaging; BOLD: Blood oxygen level dependent.

Table 2 Obsessive compulsive disorder deep brain stimulation targets: Activation/deactivation of cortical and subcortical targets

	STN DBS	ALIC DBS	VCVS DBS
Frontal cortax		^Nuttin ^{1a}	
Modial frontal gurue	L o Iouno ^{1f}	Inuturi	
Interior frontal garage	tre leune	17110 ^{1b}	
Supplementary meter area	¹ Min ^{1b,5}	$\sqrt{2}$	
Supplementary motor area		↓Zuo	1 Vricht ^{1d,7}
			$ R R R R R R R R R R R R \mathsf$
Lateral PFC	A 5 10.5		Figee , Knight
Dorsolateral PFC	Min ²		Sturm, T Knight
Medial PFC	↓Le Jeune ⁻		Figee , T Knight
Cingulate	. 1b . 1f	. 1b 2	↑Sturm; ↑ Knight ^{ru} ,
Anterior cingulate cortex	↑Min [™] ; ↓Le Jeune [™]	↓Zuo ^{n0,2}	↑ Knight'"; ↑Rauch"
Orbitofrontal cortex	↓Le Jeune ²	\downarrow Zuo ^{1b} ; \downarrow Abelson ³	↑Rauch ¹¹
Insula	↑Min ^{1b}		↑ Figee; ↑Knight ^{1d,6}
Striatum		↑ Nuttin ^{1a}	
Caudate	↑Min ^{1b}	↓Zuo ^{1b}	↑Figee
Putamen	↑Min ^{1b}		figee; JSturm; Rauch
Nucleus accumbens			↑Figee ^{1c}
Temporal cortex	↑ Min ^{1b,4}		
Superior temporal gyrus		\downarrow Zuo ^{1b} ; \uparrow Nuttin ^{1a}	
Medial temporal gyrus		↑Nuttin ^{1a}	
Thalamus	↑ Min ^{1b,4}	↓Zuo ^{1b}	↑Figee; ↓Knight ^{1d,6} ; ↑Rauch ^{1f}
Pons			↑Nuttin ^{1a}
Hippocampus/parahippocampus	↑ Min ^{1b,4}		↑ Knight ^{1d,6}
Globus pallidus	,		↑ Rauch ^{1f}
-			

¹Statistically significant, ^a*P* < 0.05, ^b*P* < 0.001, ^d*P* < 0.0001, ^f*P* < 0.005, ^c*P* = 0.031 *vs* scans; ²Statistically correlated with treatment response; ³Decreased activity for 2 of 3 patients; ⁴Significant activation to STN *vs* Gpi; ⁵Significant at 2 V; ⁶As the stimulation voltage increased from 3 V to 5 V, the region of BOLD signal modulation increased; ⁷As the stimulation voltage increased from 3 V to 5 V, the region of BOLD signal modulation area decreased for *n* = 2 pigs. STN: Subthalamic nucleus; ALIC: Anterior capsulotomy of anterior limb of internal capsules; DBS: Deep brain stimulation; VCVS: Ventral (anterior internal) capsule/ventral striatum; BOLD: Blood oxygen level dependent; PFC: Prefrontal cortex.

tion^[18,22,23].

fMRI

One of the most popular ways to assess brain function is to employ fMRI. Most fMRI studies use blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) signals that are based on magnetization differences between oxygenated and deoxygenated hemoglobin^[24]. Thus, fMRI can be used to make inferences about neural activity based on time-locked alterations in neurovascular coupling. Although BOLD fMRI is widely used, it does have limitations. The BOLD hemodynamic response takes about 1-3 s to peak, which is a lifetime in terms of neuronal firing. Also, the BOLD signal reflects general vascular changes arising from new metabolic demands, and is not precise to the actual neurons driving the signal. That is, the BOLD response is anatomically imprecise or "smudged" with respect to the actual activated neurons, like "the garden being flooded for want of one thirsty flower"^[25]. Finally, BOLD changes occur both when a brain region is acting to excite activity, and when it is attempted to regulate of inhibit brain activity. Thus the precise interpretation of the BOLD signal direction is problematic.

In the DBS literature, fMRI is often used to examine the function of neural network(s) before and after targeted stimulation^[26,27]. Safety considerations such as MRI-induced lead migration and secondary heat lesioning have greatly slowed the utilization of this technology in patients with DBS implanted electrodes^[28]. There is also some concern that fMRI may deactivate implanted impulse generators, although this phenomenon has been linked to low battery^[29] and more recent studies have not



	NAc_DBS	SCG_DBS	DLFPC_ EpCS
Frontal cortex			
Ventral superior frontal sulcus	↓Bewernick ^{1c}		
Dorsal superior frontal sulcus	↓Bewernick ^{1c}		
Medial frontal gyrus	↑Schlaepfer; ↓Bewernick ^{1c}		
Superior frontal gyrus	∱Schlaepfer		↓Kopel ¹²
Inferior frontal gyrus	↓Schlaepfer ^{1a}		
Prefrontal cortex (PFC)	↑Knight ^{1d,11}		
Dorsomedial PFC	∱Schlaepfer ^{1a}	↓Lozano ⁴	
Dorsolateral PFC	∱Schlaepfer ^{1a}	$Mayberg^{8}$; $\downarrow Lozano^{4,6f}$	↑Kopell ^{1f} ;↓Kopell ²
Ventral prefrontal	· · · · ·		
Ventrolateral prefrontal	↓Schlaepfer¹ª; ↑Knight	↑Lozano ^{6f}	
Ventromedial prefrontal	↓Schlaepfer ^{1a}	^Lozano ⁴	
Medial prefrontal		↓Mayberg ⁸	
Dorsal frontal pole		↓Lozano ⁴	
Ventral frontal pole		↓Lozano ⁴	
Cingulate	∱Schlaepfer¹ª; ↑Knight ^{1d,11}		
Posterior cingulate	↓Bewernick ^{1c}	↑Mayberg ^{7b} ; ↑Lozano ⁵	↓Kopell ²
Subgenual cingulate	↓Bewernick ^{1c,2}	\downarrow Mayberg ^{7b} ; \uparrow Lozano ⁴	
Anterior cingulate	↓Schlaepfer ^{1a} ; ↑Knight ^{1d}	↑Mayberg ⁸ ; ↓Lozano ^{6f}	
Orbitofrontal cortex	Bewernick ^{1e}	↓Mayberg ^{9b}	[↑] Kopell ^{1f}
Lateral orbitofrontal		↑Lozano⁴	
Medial orbitofrontal		↓Lozano ^{6f}	
Premotor cortex		$Mayberg^{7b}$; $Lozano^{3}$	
Dorsolateral premotor		↓Lozano ³	
Striatum		•	
Ventral striatum	∱Schlaepfer¹ª; ↑Knight		
Caudate	\downarrow Bewernick ^{1c} ; \uparrow Schlaepfer ^{1c}	↑(rostral) ↓(caudal)Lozano ⁴	
Putamen	↑Schlaepfer		
Insula	↓Schlaepfer ^{1a} ; ↑Knight ^{1d}	↓Mayberg ^{7b} ; ↑Lozano ⁴	
Amygdala	[↑] Schlaepfer ^{1a} ; ↑Bewernick ^{1c,2}		
Pons	· · · ·	↓Lozano ⁵	
Thalamus	↓Bewernick ^{1c} ; ↓Schlaepfer ^{1a} ↓Knight ^{1d,10}		
Hypothalamus		↓Mayberg ^{7b}	
Hippocampus/parahippocampus	∱Schlaepfer; ↑Knight ^{1d}	↑Lozano ^{4,5}	

Table 3 Treatment-resistant depression deep brain stimulation targets: Activation/deactivation of cortical and subcortical targets

¹Statistically significant, ^b*P* < 0.001, ^d*P* < 0.001, ^d*P* < 0.005, ^f*P* < 0.005, ^c*P* = 0.05, ^e*P* = 0.038 *vs* scans; ²Statistically correlated with change in depression scale; ³de/activation at 3 mo only; ⁴de/activation at 3 and 6 mo; ⁵de/activation at 6 mo only; ⁶significant between scans at 6 mo only; ⁷significant between scans at 3 and 6 mo; ⁸statistically correlated at 3 and 6 mo; ⁹significant between scans at 3 mo only; ¹⁰as the stimulation voltage increased from 3 V to 5 V, the region of BOLD signal modulation increased; ¹¹as the stimulation voltage increased from 3 V to 5 V, the region of BOLD signal modulation area decreased for *n* = 2 pigs; NAc: Nucleus accumbens; DBS: Deep brain stimulation; SCG: Subcallosal cingulate gyrus; DLFPC: Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; EpCS: Epidural cortical stimulation; BOLD: Blood oxygen level dependent.

observed it^[30]. To address some of these concerns, DBSfMRI studies have typically been conducted utilizing externalized leads connected to pulse generators that are housed in the fMRI control room. This setup may reduce the risk of hardware malfunction^[31]. This also means that most DBS fMRI studies are performed in humans immediately after surgical implantation and before connecting the DBS electrodes to the generator implanted in the chest wall. This makes longitudinal studies problematic.

Many of the original DBS-fMRI studies were performed during the roughly 2-3-wk interval between lead implantation and IPG placement. During this time, stimulator extension wires can be accessed for externalized operation and stimulation^[32]. While this approach is useful for examining the short-term effects of DBS on the limbic/cognitive networks, it cannot be used to assess long-term effects that may evolve or change over time^[33]. Furthermore, in the weeks after surgery, a "microlesion effect" may result from mechanical manipulation at the site of lead placement. Such a lesion could itself have a unique functional imaging signature^[34] and therefore complicate predictions about the long-term effects of limbic DBS. These microlesion effects have also been shown to have unanticipated effects on adjacent neural circuits^[35,36]. IPG replacement surgery has been proposed as an optimal window of time during which the chronic effects of DBS may be explored^[37].

There are several sources of potential MRI artifacts in patients with DBS implants: 1-the skull-cap, 2- the metallic portion of the electrode contact, 3- the electrical stimulation itself, and 4-movement^[38,39]. Some of these artifacts can be reduced or eliminated. Some of these artifacts are disease specific, such as the participant having tics in the scanner^[40]. The artifact generated by the skull-cap, for example, can be addressed by replacing ferromagnetic screws with non-ferromagnetic options^[41] or by post-processing techniques normally used for sinus artifacts^[30]. Other artifacts, like those generated by the metallic portion of the lead during echoplanar acquisition^[29,42], are more difficult to address. The deep brain

	icep brain se	and a getter the			
	Gpi_DBS	Thalamic_DBS	Nac-ALIC_DBS	Pf_DBS	CM_DBS
Prefrontal cortex	↓Min ⁶		∱Knight ^{1d,5}	↑Kim ^{7,8b,9,10b}	↑Kim ^{7,8a,9,10a}
Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex	↑Min ^{1b}				
Cingulate					
Anterior cingulate cortex	↑Min ^{1b}				
Dorsalanterior cingulate cortex			↑Knight⁴	↓Kim ^{7,8,10}	↓Kim ^{7a,8,9,10}
Dorsal Posterior cingulate cortex				↓Kim ^{7,8a,10}	↓Kim ^{7d,8,9,10}
Motor cortex					
Primary motor cortex	↑Min ^{1b}			↓Kim ^{7,8a,9,10a}	↓Kim ^{7,8b,9,10a}
Premotor cortex	↑Min ^{1b}			↓Kim ^{7,8a,9,10a}	↓Kim ^{7a,e,f, 8ba,9a,10a}
Primary somatosensory cortex	↑Min ^{1b}			↓Kim ^{7,8a,9,10a}	↓Kim ^{7,8,9,10a}
Insula	↑Min ^{1b}		↑Knight ^{1d,4}	↓Kim ^{7,9} ↑ 8a,10a	↓Kim ^{7,8,9,10}
Temporal lobe					
Inferior temporal gyrus		↓Kuhn		$\downarrow \text{Kim}^7 \uparrow^{8a,10}$	$\downarrow \text{Kim}^{7,9} \uparrow^{8,10}$
Striatum					
Caudate	↑Min ^{1b}	↓Kuhn ↓Vernaleken		↓Kim ^{8,10}	$Mim^7 \downarrow^{8,10}$
Putamen		†Kuhn †Vernaleken		↓Kim ^{8,10}	$Mim^7 \downarrow^{8,10}$
Thalamus		↓Kuhn ↓Vernaleken	↓Knight ^{1d,4}		
Right thalamus		↑ Kuhn ²			
Left thalamus		↓Kuhn ³			
Central thalamic nucleus					†Kim ⁸
Hippocampus				↓Kim ^{7,8,10}	↓Kim ⁸
Parahippocampal cortex			†Knight¹ ^{1d,4}	↓Kim ^{7,8,10}	↓Kim ^{7,8,10}

 Tourette syndrome deep brain stimulation targets: Activation/deactivation of cortical and subcortical targets

¹Statistically significant scans; ^b*P* < 0.001, ^d*P* < 0.001, ^a*P* < 0.05 *vs* scans, ^cResponse at 130 Hz 3 V was significant *vs P_f* contact site at *P* < 0.05, ^fresponse at 60 Hz 3 V was significant *vs* Pf, ²Patient #3, unilateral had reduction of putamen activity; ³Only in unilateral patient; ⁴As the stimulation voltage increased from 3 V to 5 V, the region of BOLD signal modulation increased; ⁵As the stimulation voltage increased from 3V to 5V, the region of BOLD signal modulation area decreased for *n* = 2 pigs; ⁶Activation decreased at 2V; ⁷Response @ 130 Hz 3 V; ⁸Response @ 130 Hz 5 V; ⁹Response @ 60 Hz 3 V; ¹⁰Response @ 60 Hz 3 V; ¹⁰Response @ 60 Hz 5 V; Gpi: Globus pallidus interna; DBS: Deep brain stimulation; NAc-ALIC: Nucleus accumbens-anterior limb of internal capsule; CM-Pf: Centromedian-parafascicular nuclei complex; BOLD: Blood oxygen level dependent.

stimulation itself can also create MR artifact in the area around the tissue-lead interface. This has been addressed by turning off the device minutes before the scan^[13]. This artifact, if present, prevents analysis of DBS-induced activity in the area surrounding the electrode. Some authors have chosen to address these issues with strict statistical thresholding in offline analysis^[43,44].

Despite its limitations, DBS-fMRI can provide unique and valuable information regarding the neurobiological effects of DBS^[45,46]. Most psychiatric DBS-fMRI studies have only utilized the device in the OFF condition^[13]. Newer protocols, however, have begun to explore the possibility that DBS-fMRI could be performed in the ON condition in patients with fully-implanted DBS hardware^[30,43]. One recent study successfully imaged Parkinson's disease (PD) patients with subthalamic nucleus (STN) DBS in the ON and OFF setting with no reported adverse effects^[43]. This protocol utilized a 1.5 Tesla MRI scanner as well as a special transmit-receive (T/R) head coil. The specific absorption ratio in the head was limited to under 0.1 W/kg for this experiment. This protocol was first performed in a phantom, demonstrating no significant heating with the sequences utilized in the human study^[30]. We encourage anyone considering such a technique to consult with a magnetic resonance physicist and take great caution as this is a risky undertaking. While this protocol appears to be safe, it is yet to be utilized in patients with DBS for psychiatric conditions.

NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISEASE AND THEIR DBS TARGETS

This portion of the review will focus on the imaging of DBS in three neuropsychiatric syndromes: 1-obsessivecompulsive disorder (OCD), 2-major depressive disorder (MDD), and 3-Tourette syndrome (TS). The following sections will identify common DBS targets for each disorder and review relevant functional imaging data.

OCD and its DBS targets

OCD is a neuropsychiatric condition characterized by functionally impairing obsessions and compulsions. The obsessions occur as recurrent and ego-dystonic ideas, images, or impulses. By contrast, the compulsions are stereotyped, repetitive mental acts or behaviors that are performed with the intention of reducing anxiety generally related to the obsessions. OCD affects approximately 2%-3% of the population and 20%-40% of patients with OCD remain severely disabled despite conventional treatments like exposure/response prevention as well as medications such as selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. DBS for severe, treatment-resistant OCD was recently approved through a humanitarian device exemption^[47]. Several targets have been explored for this indication, including the nucleus accumbens (NAc)^[48,49], the anterior limb of the internal capsule $(ALIC)^{[50]}$, the ventral capsule/ventral striatum (VCVS)^[51-53] and the STN^[54,55].

WJR www.wjgnet.com



Figure 1 Obsessive compulsive disorder deep brain stimulation. ^aAs stimulation voltage increased from 3 V 130 Hz to 5 V 130 Hz, the region of BOLD signal modulation increased; bAs stimulation voltage increased from 3 V 130 Hz to 5 V 130 Hz, the region of BOLD signal modulation decreased for *n* = 2 pigs. SMA: Supplementary motor area; NAc: Nucleus accumbens; PFC: Prefrontal cortex; BOLD: Blood oxygen level dependent.

Four of the main OCD targets that have been imaged with PET include the ALIC^[56], VCVS^[57], STN^[58], and NAc^[50,59](Table 2, Figure 1). Functional MRI has been performed following NAc DBS in both animals^[26] and more recently in humans with OCD^[13].

MDD and its DBS targets

Depression is a psychiatric disorder characterized by extreme sadness and/or melancholia that is of sufficient length and interferes with activities of daily living as well as socialization. One in five people will experience an episode of major depression at some point in their lifetime. The World Health Organization has indicated that major depressive disorder is one of the four most disabling illnesses worldwide^[60]. Currently, antidepressants and psychotherapy are the primary modes of treatment, although that is evolving^[61]. Minimally invasive brain stimulation methods like electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) and TMS are typically reserved for patients with treatment-resistant depression^[62]. DBS is currently being utilized in a clinical research setting for patients who do not respond to the aforementioned therapies. Three of the main depression targets that have been imaged with PET include the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex^[3], subcallosal cingulate^[63,64], and the nucleus accumbens^[65,66] (Table 3, Figure 2). Two of the depression targets are also OCD targets have been imaged for OCD, but not depression include the anterior limb of the internal capsule^[56], ventral capsule/ventral striatum)^[57]. Functional MRI has been performed in NAc in non-depressed animals^[26].

TS

TS is a neuropsychiatric movement disorder that affects approximately 1% of the world's population, typically in childhood and/or adolescence. TS is characterized by at least two motor tics and one or more vocal tics that persist for more than a year. Approximately 90% of individuals with TS have at least one comorbid psychiatric symptom. These symptoms include attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, OCD, anxiety disorders, or impulse control disorders. DBS for TS has been shown to reduce the frequency and severity of motor tics as well as their associated psychiatric symptoms^[47]. Although the precise mechanism of action remains unclear, DBS for TS appears to diminish dopamine signaling in the thalamus and the striatum^[16]. Multiple targets have been introduced for TS DBS, including the thalamic centromedian nucleus and parafasicular complex (CM-pf)^[67], the globus pallidus



Williams NR et al. Imaging in DBS for neuropsychiatric disorders



Figure 2 Treatment-resistant depression deep brain stimulation. NAc: Nucleus accumbens; DLPFC: Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; EpCS: Epidural cortical stimulation; SCG: Subcallosal cingulate gyrus.

internus (GPi)^[68], the ALIC, and NAc^[69] (Table 4, Figure 5) While three of these targets (GPi^[70], ALIC, and NAc) have had functional neuroimaging performed in other conditions (as described above), only the medial thalamus has been imaged in the TS population^[16,17]. In a large animal model, both the CM-Pf and GPi have been imaged using fMRI^[27,71].

DBS TARGETS AND DOWNSTREAM NODES IN NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DBS

Cortical targets and nodes

Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (BA 9 and BA 46): The dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) (BA 9 and 46)^[72] is a critical node in the mesocortical system, a dopami-

WJR www.wjgnet.com



Figure 3 Parkinson's disease deep brain stimulation. DLPFC: Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; PFC: Prefrontal cortex; ACC: Anterior cingulate cortex; DACC: Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; SMA: Supplementary motor area; STN: Subthalamic nucleus; DBS: Deep brain stimulation.

nergic tract that modulates anticipation, goal selection, planning monitoring, and the use of feedback in task performance^[73]. The DLPFC is critical for working memory of both spatial and non-spatial information^[74]. There has been some suggestion that direct stimulation (activation) of the DLPFC may serve to modulate parietal attentional networks involved in the automatic processing of salient environmental stimuli. For example, a recent meta-analysis of 162 imaging studies revealed that co-activation of BA 9 (which spans the dorsolateral and medial prefrontal cortices) and midbrain regions like the periaqueductal gray (PAG) is essential for assigning emotional valence^[75]. These results may partially explain how the DLPFC plays such a critical role in mood regulation^[76]. In depression, the left DLPFC is hypoactive and thought to be associated with negative emotional judgment. The right

Williams NR et al. Imaging in DBS for neuropsychiatric disorders



Figure 4 Tourette syndrome deep brain stimulation. [®]Response at 130 Hz 3V AND 60 Hz 3V decreased, but increased at 130 Hz 5V and 60 Hz 5V; [®]Response decreased at 130 Hz 3V, but increased at 130 Hz 5V and 60 Hz 5V; [®]Response decreased at 130 Hz 3V and 60 Hz 3V, but increased at 130 Hz 5V and 60 Hz 5V; [®]Response decreased at 130 Hz 3V and 60 Hz 3V, but increased at 130 Hz 5V and 60 Hz 5V; [®]Response decreased at 130 Hz 3V and 60 Hz 3V, but increased at 130 Hz 5V and 60 Hz 5V; [®]Response decreased at 130 Hz 3V and 60 Hz 3V, but increased at 130 Hz 5V and 60 Hz 5V; [®]Response decreased at 130 Hz 3V and 60 Hz 5V; [®]Response decreased at 130 Hz 3V and 60 Hz 5V; [®]Response decreased at 130 Hz 3V and 60 Hz 5V; [®]Response decreased at 130 Hz 3V and 60 Hz 5V; [®]Response decreased at 130 Hz 3V and 60 Hz 5V; [®]Response decreased at 130 Hz 3V and 60 Hz 5V; [®]Response decreased at 130 Hz 3V and 60 Hz 5V; [®]Response decreased at 130 Hz 3V and 60 Hz 5V; [®]Response decreased at 130 Hz 3V and 60 Hz 5V; [®]Response decreased at 130 Hz 5V and 60 Hz 5V

DLPFC is hyperactive and this is linked to attentional modulation^[77]. The DLPFC has been demonstrated to have various subcortical connections, including but not limited to the mediodorsal nucleus of the thalamus^[78], the caudate^[79], hippocampus^[80], subcallosal cingulate^[81], and amygdala^[82] to name a few.

Unilateral stimulation of the DLPFC has bilateral effects^[83] as long as the corpus callosum is intact. While there have been varied downstream neural network changes in response to non-invasive magnetic stimulation of the DLPFC, it is clear that the effects of DLPFC stimulation are not isolated to a single node in the net-

	Williams NR et al.	Imaging	in DBS	for neuro	psychiatric	disorders
--	--------------------	---------	--------	-----------	-------------	-----------

limbic effects					
	STN_DBS				
Prefrontal					
Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex	†Hilker ^{1b}				
Lateral prefrontal cortex	∱Stefurak ^{1b}				
Cingulate					
Posterior cingulate	↓Stefurak ^{1b} ; ↑Hilker ^{1b}				
Anterior cingulate cortex	∱Stefurak ^{1b} ; ↑Hilker ^{1b}				
Dorsal anterior cingulate cortex	↓Stefurak ^{1b}				
Frontal cortex	∱Stefurak				
Medial frontal cortex	↓Stefurak ^{1b}				
Medial superior frontal	†Stefurak ^{1b}				
Orbitofrontal cortex	↑Hilker ^{1b}				
Parietal Inferior lobule	↑Hilker ^{1b}				
Frontal motor cortex	∱Stefurak ^{1b}				
Premotor cortex	∱Stefurak ^{1b}				
Primary motor cortex	∱Stefurak ^{1b}				
Medial supplementary motor area	↓Stefurak ^{1b}				
Ipsilateral parietal lobe	↓Stefurak ^{1b}				
Insula	∱Stefurak ^{1b}				
Superior temporal gyrus	†Stefurak ^{1b}				
Middle temporal gyrus	↓Hilker ^{1b}				
Inferior temporal gyrus	∱Stefurak ^{1b}				
Striatum					
Caudate	↓Stefurak ^{1b}				
Putamen	†Stefurak ^{1b}				
Lentiform nucleus	†Hilker ^{1b}				
Thalamus					
Ventrolateral thalamus	†Stefurak; †Hilker¹ª				
Ventroanterior thalamus	†Stefurak ^{1b}				
Dorsomedial nuclei of Thalamus	†Stefurak ^{1b}				
Pons	∱Stefurak ^{1b}				
Midbrain	∱Stefurak ^{1b}				
Cerebellum	†Stefurak ^{1b}				
Right Cerebellar posterior lobe	†Hilker ^{1a}				
Left Cerebellar posterior lobe	↓Hilker ^{1b}				
Parahippocampal cortex	†Stefurak ^{1b}				
Occipital lobe	↓Stefurak ^{1b}				

¹Statistically significant, ${}^{b}P < 0.001$, ${}^{a}P = 0.002 vs$ scans. STN: Subthalamic nucleus; DBS: Deep brain stimulation.

work. There is some suggestion that the antidepressant efficacy of non-invasive, repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of the left DLPFC sites is related to the anticorrelation of the subgenual cingulate, further supporting that the effects of DLPFC stimulation modulated deep structures in the neural network^[81]. It is also important to note that there are individual differences in DLPFC connectivity and these difference appear to affect efficacy of stimulation at this node^[84].

Left as well as bilateral epidural DLPFC stimulation has been shown to have a positive effect on depression^[2,3], and when combined with bilateral epidural frontopolar epidural cortical stimulation (EpCS) appears to be a durable therapy for treatment-resistant depression^[85]. Left DLPFC EpCS causes contralateral activation of the right DLPFC as well as superior frontal gyrus, cuneus, and posterior cingulate. In the Van Laere study, chronic, bilateral ALIC stimulation reduced right DLPFC activity on $\operatorname{PET}^{\scriptscriptstyle[56]}$. Conversely, in the Sturm study, DBS of the right NAc was shown to increase the activity of the right

DLPFC in one patient on PET^[59]. Subcallosal cingulate gyrus (SCG) DBS has been demonstrated to increase metabolism in bilateral DLPFC^[63] in an earlier study, then decrease DLPFC bilaterally in a later study^[64] at essentially the same time points of 3 and 6 $mo^{[63,64]}$. An increase in activity of the DLPFC was also seen with fMRI of NAc DBS in large animals^[26]. In a functional connectivity analysis of NAc DBS, the efficacy of the stimulation was related to reduced hyperconnectivity between the lateral (and medial) prefrontal cortex and the NAc^[13].

Frontopolar cortex (BA 10): Frontopolar cortex (FPC) is the most uniquely human area in the hominid brain and is the only part of the prefrontal cortex (PFC) that has no direct inputs from the sensory cortex^[86,87]. Several studies have demonstrated the frontal pole has a significant role in self-reflection, long-term goals, past or future events, or hypothetical scenarios^[87-89]. Damage to this area impairs an individual's ability to multitask^[90]. The FPC functions to consider events beyond the present moment^[87]. Pathological patterns of rumination and self-reflection are core features of depression. The FPC is being more and more implicated in the pathogenesis of depression as well as in its recovery^[91,92]. A recent neuroimaging meta-analysis demonstrated a consistent finding of increased resting-state activity in the frontal pole (BA10) in patients with depression^[93].

Invasive neuromodulation over the FPC (along with DLPFC) bilaterally has been implanted in 5 individuals with treatment-resistant depression, termed epidural cortical stimulation^[2]. Furthermore, subgenual cingulate DBS appears to only be effective when it contacts the white matter tracts that cause downstream changes in the frontal polar cortex^[47,94,95]. The tractographic connection to the frontal pole was the invasive neuromodulation target common between the ALIC target and the SCG target^[2,96]. Chronic deep brain stimulation of NAc reduces activity in the gyri that terminate in the area of the frontal polar cortex^[65]. The therapeutic effects of NAc DBS for OCD are related to reducing functional connectivity between the NAc and the FPC^[13].

The frontal pole can be divided into a medial (ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) part that is seen in all primates and a lateral part (the lateral frontopolar cortex (IFPC)) that is seen only in humans^[86]. Activity of the vmPFC has been shown to be increased with NAc deep brain stimulation in an animal model, but these animals were not noted to be depressed^[26]. Furthermore, it is unclear the function of the vmPFC in non-primate mammals, although it has been consistently demonstrated to have connections with the NAc^[97]. vmPFC has been implicated in the pathogenesis of obsessive-compulsive disorder through its neural network connections to nodes involved in affective and reward processing^[13,98]. A PET study investigating the effects of STN DBS for OCD demonstrated that a decrease in Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS) score, a 10-item scale designed to both determine severity of OCD and to moni-

tor improvement during treatment, was correlated with a decrease of metabolic activity in the vmPFC^[58].

Orbitofrontal cortex (BA 11 and BA 12/47): The orbitofrontal prefrontal cortex (OFC) includes BA 12 caudally (or more recently 47^[99] and BA 11 anteriorly^[100]. Orbitofrontal hyperactivity/hyperconnectivity has been demonstrated on numerous functional imaging studies of obsessive-compulsive disorder^[101] and Tourette^[102]. Numerous neuroimaging studies in idiopathic depression and Parkinson's-related depression have also implicated this area^[93,103]. Reduction of OFC activity is correlated with symptom improvement in medication and psycho-therapy trials^[104,105]. With DBS to the inferior thalamic peduncle (connection from OFC to thalamus), it has been demonstrated to have significant reduction in OCD and depression symptoms^[106]. OFC activity has been demonstrated to be reduced in chronic NAc stimulation^[65]. In ALIC DBS for OCD, reduction in OFC activity was associated with clinical response in one study^[50] and regardless of clinical response in another^[107], as well as in larger studies of VCVS DBS^[57], ALIC DBS^[56], and capsulotomy^[108]. It is also reduced in SCG stimulation^[63,64], potentially explaining its anti-obsessional effects^[109]. A PET study investigating the effects of STN DBS for OCD revealed that the decrease in Y-BOCS score was correlated with a decrease of metabolic activity in the orbitofrontal cortex^[58]. Given that decreased orbitofrontal metabolism is associated with Parkinson's related depression, this may explain why STN is associated with increased depressive symptoms^[47], although that is likely not the whole story^[110]. Reduction in the activity of the OFC appears to be clearly linked to improvements from OCD surgery regardless of the target or methodology chosen^[57,58,108]

Cingulate cortex (BA 23, BA 24, BA 25, BA 31, BA 32): The SCG is the portion of the cingulum that lies ventral to the corpus callosum^[111,112]. The SCG has been recognized for more than two decades to be an important node in the neural networks that contribute to mood regulation. This network includes cortical structures such as the DLPFC and the FPC as well as the subcortical structures such as the limbic system, thalamus, hypothalamus, and brainstem nuclei^[111]. It has been demonstrated that activity in the SCG can reflect antidepressant efficacy, regardless of the nature of the intervention^[81,113-115]. The SCG has reciprocal connections to the orbitofrontal, FPC, anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), intralaminar thalamus, hypothalamus and amygdala. The SCG also has afferents from BA 9/46 and efferents to numerous brainstem nuclei^[111,116,117]. The SCG was the first target chosen because of functional neuroimaging data and not as the result of lesioning studies^[63]. SCG was first targeted for depression DBS (unipolar and bipolar)^[63,64,118], but has also been targeted for anorexia^[109]. The gray matter of the subgenual cingulate has reduced activity with chronic NAc DBS^[65], ALIC DBS^[56] and SCG (white matter) DBS^[63]. The white matter of the subgenual cingulate has increased activity with SCG DBS^[63] and VCVS DBS^[57]. It appears that in order to achieve an antidepressant response, the active contact has to interface with the white matter tracts to the ventral pallidum, the mediodorsal (MD) thalamus, and to the FPC^[47,94].

The ACC has been heavily implicated in the neural circuitry of mood and anxiety regulation as well as the pathogenesis of TS^[119]. In depression, the ACC is underactive while the SCG is overactive^[120]. Conversely, the ACC is overactive in OCD during error processing^[121,122]. The ACC is involved in self-referential processing. It is an important area for determining treatment response^[120]. The higher the activity in the ACC, the higher likelihood of depression remission^[123]. Anterior cingulotomy has been demonstrated to be quite effective in the treatment of OCD and to a lesser degree depression, but with significant side effects^[9,124]. Success with anterior capsulotomy has been associated with significant reductions in dorsal anterior cingulate^[108]. DBS of the right NAc has been shown to increase the activity of the right ACC^[59] and animal studies have confirmed the laterality of these findings in a porcine model^[26]. VCVS DBS increases activity of ACC acutely^[57]. Conversely, DBS of the SCG demonstrated decreases in ACC metabolism^[63,64]. Interestingly, limbic STN DBS in appears to reduce activity in the ACC^[/1]. The ACC has significant connections to the posterior cingulate cortex (PCC) and the medial PFC, another target for invasive neuromodulation for depression^[2].

The PCC is a key node in the default mode network. It has been hypothesized that the posterior cingulate cortex has a role in supporting internally-directed cognition and increases in activity when individuals retrieve autobiographical memories or plan for the future^[125]. The PCC has been shown to be decreased with depression^[126] and obsessive-compulsive disorder^[127] and is associated with treatment response to multiple modalities^[128,129]. PCC activity is increased in the neural activity of the PCC is correlated with good response in DBS, but additionally in medication trials^[125]. Cingulate activity was increased with NAc DBS in a large animal model^[26] and increased in another case with OCD^[59], but appears to decrease after chronic NAc DBS^[65]. YBOCS scores for ALIC DBS were negatively correlated with PCC activity on PET^[56]. Activity of the PCC increases with SCG stimulation^[63,64]. The activity of SCG was reduced with limbic STN DBS^[58]. The PCC has been demonstrated to have reduced activity with chronic NAc stimulation for depression^[65], but this may reflect homeostatic mechanisms during chronic stimulation.

Insula: The anterior insula appears to be an important node in mood and anxiety regulation networks. A recent study demonstrated that relative hypo- or hyperactivity of the anterior insula is correlated with response to depression treatment whether cognitive behavioral therapy or medication^[130]. Disgust associated with OCD is thought to be mediated by the insula^[131]. The insula has also been



WJR www.wjgnet.com

implicated in tic generation in TS^[132]. The metabolism of the insula has been demonstrated to have a significant correlation preoperative Y-BOCS score in patients undergoing capsulotomy^[108]. Anterior insular activity is reduced with ALIC DBS stimulation ^[56] and NAc DBS stimulation^[66]. It is similarly reduced in SCG stimulation^[63,64] as well as in STN DBS (limbic)^[58]. Conversely, the insular signal has been demonstrated to also be decreased with a model of porcine CM-Pf DBS^[27], but increased in porcine NAc DBS^[26]. It should be noted that both porcine DBS studies utilized non-depressed animal subjects^[26,27].

Pre-supplementary motor area and supplementary motor area (BA 6): While the supplementary motor area (SMA) is an area of motor planning, the pre-SMA is an area related to response inhibition^[133]. Lesions to the SMA cause reduction of spontaneous movements and difficulty in performing voluntary motor acts^[134]. Inhibitory transcranial magnetic stimulation over the SMA and pre-SMA can reduce motor tics and OCD symptoms, respectively^[10,11]. The effects of ALIC DBS and anterior capsulotomy have been demonstrated to spread to the pre-SMA/SMA^[56,108]. SCG DBS has been shown to have effects on BA 6^[63,64].

SUBCORTICAL TARGETS

Striatum (nucleus accumbens, caudate and putamen)

The NAc is an important node in the human reward system. It can be divided into two principal parts; the core and the shell^[135]. The NAc core projects to the pallidal and nigral complexes and the NAc shell projects to the lateral hypothalamic areas, dopaminergic cell groups, and caudal mesencephallic areas^[136]. Hyperactivity of the NAc has been shown to have a negative correlation with recovery from depression^[123]. DBS has been implanted in the NAc not only for depression and OCD^[59], but also TS^[137], addiction^[138-142], eating disorders^[143], and even suggested for pain^[144].

DBS of the ALIC normalized pre-op hyperactivity of the NAc^[56]. The caudate has been shown to be involved in reward processing and hypoactive caudate responses to reward may be the functional explanation for anhedonia. The caudate has been shown to have reduced activity with chronic NAc stimulation^[65]. Furthermore, it has been implicated in the pathophysiology of OCD where specific symptom profiles can be mapped to subregions within the caudate nucleus^[145]. The caudate has been targeted for OCD DBS with apparent efficacy^[145]. VCVS has been shown to activate striatum^[57]. Right NAc inhibited the activity of the right dorsolateral rostral putamen^[59]. Recently, the white matter tract afferent from the ventral tegmental area, medial forebrain bundle, to the NAc was targeted for treatment-resistant depression with marked success^[146].

Amygdala and extended amygdalar complex

The amygdala is a brain nucleus that responds to novelty, salience and a variety of emotional stimuli. The amyg-

dala has been implicated as an important component of the neural network that underlies social behavior^[147]. The most commonly referenced role of the amygdala is in mediating fear and anxiety^[148]. The amygdala has been shown to be overactive in patients with both MDD and Bipolar Disorder^[149] and its activity is elevated in anticipatory anxiety in patients with generalized anxiety disorder^[150] and in contamination fear with OCD^[151]. Patients with Tourette appear to have altered functional connectivity of the amygdala^[152] as well as amygdala response to negative face pictures^[153,154]. Furthermore, the mean amygdala metabolism decreases in antidepressant treatment responders for depression, but persistence of elevated amygdala metabolism following remission is associated with a high risk for depressive relapse^[155].

DBS stimulation of the ALIC was shown to normalize baseline hyperactivity of the amygdala and trended towards significant correlation with reductions in YBOCS^[56], while this is not seen after anterior capsulotomy^[108]. Acutely (1 wk), there is an increase in amygdalar activity with NAc DBS^[66], which may explain the acute panic that has been observed^[156]. Reduction in amygdalar hyperactivity was correlated with response to chronic NAs DBS for depression and anxiety^[65]. In a functional connectivity analysis of NAc DBS, positive coupling was found with the amygdala^[13]. CM-Pf DBS in a large animal model demonstrated reductions in amydalar signal which may explain some of the positive psychiatric improvements from CM-Pf DBS for TS^[27].

The bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST) is part of the extended amygdaloid complex and a relay/integral regulator of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal stress axis^[157,158]. The BNST is the major output of the basolateral (BL) amygdala and has been associated with sustained fear^[159]. Some have suggested that the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis has been responsible for the positive effects of DBS that was targeted at the VCVS^[160]. In a recent world-wide analysis, it was noted that when the "VCVS target" was shifted posterior (*i.e.*, closer to the BNST), lower voltages were required to achieve efficacy^[51].

The amygdala has been implicated in the pathogenesis of autism^[161] and its hyperarousal has been seen on fMRI^[162]. There is one case of BL amygdalar DBS, which was performed for self-injurious behavior in autism. In addition to improving self injurious behavior, DBS of the BL amygdala was found to improve the core symptoms of the autism spectrum in the emotional, social, and cognitive domains^[163].

Subthalamic nucleus

The sensorimotor STN has been demonstrated to be an efficacious target for the treatment of PD, although stimulation in this node can cause a range of neuropsychiatric symptoms^[164]. In addition to the sensorimotor region, the STN also has limbic and executive regions with segregated circuitry involved in affective and cognitive processes^[164]. Several PET studies have identified activity changes in limbic and executive regions during STN-DBS in Parkinson's patients,



including the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and cingulate gyrus^[70,165,166]. The ventromedial or limbic STN has been demonstrated to have profound anti-OCD effects through two serendipitous findings where STN DBS treated both PD and OCD^[47].

Limbic STN DBS has been utilized successfully utilized for OCD^[54,55] and TS^[167]. Neuroimaging studies of the limbic and cognitive STN circuits have proven valuable, as these help with the STN DBS target refinement. Several of the STN DBS OCD patients underwent PET imaging^[58]. When compared to OFF stimulation, DBS ON resulted in reduction in activity of the cingulate, orbitofrontal cortex, and supplementary motor areas (BA 6). The cingulate and orbitofrontal cortex are major nodes in the emotional and executive networks and the SMA is intimately involved in OCD/TS pathophysiology as was described above. The cingulate, SMA, and orbitofrontal cortex are hyperfunctional in untreated OCD, suggesting that a possible mechanism of DBS action may be the normalization of the activity of the SMA, OFC and cingulate^[98,133,168,169]

Pallidum (globus pallidus interna, globus pallidus externa, and ventral pallidum)

The pallidal areas have been demonstrated to be immensely important in the treatment of movement disorders, such as Parkinson's disease. This target has been associated with much better neuropsychiatric side effect profiles for PD DBS than STN^[164]. The GPi has been implicated in the pathophysiology of TS^[170] and OCD^[171]. While the GPi has been implicated as a motor target, it is clear that the anterio-medial portions of the GPi are limbic. In fact the ventral pallidum has been implicated to be the limbic pleasure generator^[172]. Both TS and OCD are successfully treated with GPi $\mathrm{DBS}^{\scriptscriptstyle[68,173]}$ and there has even been some report of mood improvement with GPi DBS^[174]. The globus pallidus externa has also been demonstrated to treat TS^[175]. Surprisingly, many of the functional imaging studies do not demonstrate changes in the pallidum with DBS^[26,50,63]. The exception is PET of patients with VCVS DBS^[57]. The efficacy of SCG DBS is optimized when the active contact interfaces with the white matter tract to the ventral pallidum^[47,94].

Thalamus

Centromedian nucleus of the thalamus and parafascicular complex: The CM-Pf nuclei complex is located in the posterior part of the intralaminar thalamus and serves as the main basal ganglia input station. Additionally, the CM-Pf controls striatal dopamine function and provides a majority of the thalamic input to the striatum^[176-178]. CM-Pf lesions have been shown to cause complex attention deficits. This has been hypothesized to be due to the role of the CM-Pf in directing attention to motivationally relevant stimuli^[179]. The CM-Pf DBS target has been utilized in TS, but has also been shown to have positive effects on mood, anxiety, and OCD^[180].

In addition to the striatum, the CM-Pf has been demonstrated to have connections with the amygdala^[181], hippocampus^[182], globus pallidus^[183], nucleus accumbens^[184], insular cortex, and anterior cingulate cortex^[176]. A recent large animal study looking at DBS-fMRI in the CM-Pf study was recently completed^[27]. In this study, DBS at the Pf was shown to have negative BOLD downstream effects on the hippocampus as well as the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex and posterior cingulate cortex. DBS at the CM was shown to have negative BOLD downstream effects on the sensorimotor areas and the associative area. The observed differences in structural connections of CM versus Pf in non-human primate topographical analyses were verified by this large animal CM-Pf DBSfMRI study^[27]. The Pf has been demonstrated to innervate associative and limbic striatal areas^[185-187]. This large animal study also demonstrated a primarily limbic downstream effect with high frequency stimulation^[27]. Similarly, the CM innervates the sensorimotor striatal area^[185,188,189] The large animal study also confirmed that the CM is has primarily sensorimotor downstream effects with high frequency stimulation^[27].

Medial dorsal thalamus

The limbic nucleus of the thalamus, the medial dorsal nucleus of the thalamus, is an important node in the limbic circuitry. Using high-resolution 7T fMRI, Metzger, et.al. demonstrated general emotional arousal is localized to the mediodorsal nucleus while preceding attention and expectancy were localized to the intralaminar centromedian/parafascicular complex^[190]. A component of several of the frontal-striatal circuitry, the MD thalamus has been shown to be hyperactive in depression. In the depression associated with Parkinson's, volumetric studies have shown that the MD nucleus volume is larger than non-depressed controls, but hypoactive on the left in this population suggesting that the increase in volume is compensatory^[191]. There has been four cases where the MD was targeted for TS^[16,17]. In addition to improvement in TS symptoms, there was a hint of improvement in mood and obsessive thinking for at least one of these individuals^[16]. During chronic NAc stimulation, MD nucleus activity is reduced^[65]. This reduction in MD activity is also observed with ALIC stimulation^[56]. In large animal studies looking at porcine NAc DBS stim, similar reductions were seen^[26]. In a recent analysis of SCG DBS, tractography interfacing with the active contact was compared to presence or absence of remission and only individuals with white matter projections extending to the MD thalamus were able to achieve remission^[47]. It appears that the MD thalamus is a critical node in mood/affect regulation as well as mediating anxiety disorders.

OTHER MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING TECHNIQUES

White matter tracts, tractography, and correct positioning

It is possible to non-invasively determine the anatomical connection pathways using diffusion tractography



imaging. These pathways are assumed to be consistent with known anatomy^[192,193]. This MRI technology has been utilized in determining the elements that contact and are stimulated with DBS^[194]. The benefits observed in patients with DBS appear to heavily depend on exact electrode position, which can only be truly verified with sophisticated tractography techniques^[94,95,146,194-196]. In the case of medial forebrain bundle DBS, the target can only be identified with sophisticated tractography imaging as one cannot visualize this target on conventional imaging^[146]. Slight alterations in the position of the electrode in the SCG appear to change whether this target is efficacious or not^[95]. The white matter tracts have to be completely intact for DBS to be efficacious where any alteration in the macroanatomy of the limbic white matter tracts can cause the stimulation to be ineffective^[197,198].

Conversely, verification of correct position with EpCS has been shown to only require a postoperative computed tomography (CT) scan coregistered with the presurgical MRI scan. This method will allow for all four contact electrodes on each paddle lead to be identified. In all 5 subjects of the Nahas study, contact electrodes were over the bilateral frontal pole and dorsolateral pre-frontal cortex^[2]. In the Kopell study, the responders were noted to have the contacts of the electrodes placed at or anterior to the target site (DLPFC). Non-responders or partial responders had their electrodes placed posterior to the DLPFC target site^[3].

Functional connectivity analysis

Recent developments in noninvasive functional connectivity analysis demonstrate that this allows for a powerful network-level view of the neuropsychiatric disease^[199] and the effects DBS on that network^[13]. In this technique, the spontaneous fluctuations in BOLD are identified as the intrinsic marker for functional connectivity. A seed region is then identified and correlated with nodes in the connected neural network in an effort to determine areas where there is functional connectivity^[200]. The utility of this approach in DBS research comes from its ability to not only identify the aberrant functional connectivity of a particular neuropsychiatric disorder, but also normalize this aberrant functional connectivity through neuromodulation. This technique has been utilized to probe the resting state connectivity changes in patients receiving NAc DBS for OCD^[13]. In this study, the NAc DBS was shown to normalize aberrant hyperconnectivity between the NAc and lateral/medial prefrontal cortices. This reduction in connectivity correlated with the reductions in the YBOCS. While NAc is also a target for treatmentresistant depression (TRD) and TS, there have been no functional connectivity analysis studies in TRD and TS to date.

CONCLUSION

Invasive neuromodulation holds promise as a tool for treatment-resistant neuropsychiatric conditions^[47]. DBS

resides at an interface between neurophysiology, Neurosurgery, movement disorders Neurology, and interventional psychiatry^[201]. DBS has been demonstrated to be an effective therapy for essential tremor, dystonia and Parkinson's disease, and is being investigated in many other neuropsychiatric diseases^[47]. Advanced neuroimaging techniques aid in targeting, investigate the effects of, and further refine targeting of DBS all in a non-invasive manner. Although many of the functional imaging studies to date are limited to small case series and have had conflicting results, a growing trend is emerging. For most neuropsychiatric DBS imaging studies, the effects of DBS appears to have downstream effects on both cortical areas (DLPFC, FP, SCG, ACC, PCC, insula, SMA) as well as subcortical areas (thalamus, pallidum, STN, amygdala, striatum). These findings affirm that the therapeutic properties of DBS reside not only in local effects, but also downstream effects on the distributed neural network.

The effects and apparent efficacy of DBS for OCD appears to be related its downstream effects on the prefrontal cortex^[13], more specifically orbitofrontal cortex^[50,58]. This also appears to be true for invasive neuromodulation in treatment-resistant depression where the tractography and the functional imaging of depression DBS targets all converge on PFC^[13], more specifically Brodmann 10^[47,94-96]. Recent optogenetics findings have confirmed the critical role of the medial prefrontal cortex in mood regulation^[92]. While there has only been one study investigating the effects of direct BA 10 stimulation^[2], this technique appears remarkably durable^[85]. The critical role of the frontopolar cortex in mood regulation was further demonstrated when its activation was demonstrated to be associated with acute, stimulationinduced depressive symptoms in a patient with Parkinson' s and a mispositioned lead^[31]. Furthermore, this cortical stimulation approach seems to avoid many of the methodological challenges seen with other techniques such as the need for advanced tractography^[95,146] and the risk of cerebral hemorrhage^[198]. The therapeutic effects of TS DBS appears to be correlated with the therapy's ability to reduce dopamine levels in various downstream targets^[17].

While the apparent efficacy of DBS for neuropsychiatric disease is promising, there are several remaining questions. Are cortical or subcortical targets better for invasive neuromodulation? Will sophisticated tractography techniques be essential to the widespread implementation of this therapy for TRD^[95,196,202]? How do symptom clusters of a given neuropsychiatric disease correlate with the pre-operative functional imaging and the DBSinduced functional activation? Will imaging eventually dictate the target with the best chance of efficacy? Will DBS move beyond its current role to affect plasticity^[203]? Will DBS confirm findings that the basal ganglia may in fact be organized in a gradient of medial to lateral where emotional/reward (most medial)-cognitive-and motor subcomponents of the nuclei are in fact highly interconnected.

Williams NR et al. Imaging in DBS for neuropsychiatric disorders

As this field develops both scientifically and technically, we anticipate that larger studies will be conducted and our collective knowledge will converge. In addition to extending ongoing functional imaging studies in this area, future investigations will likely temporally pair functional MRI with information on structural integrity (including diffusion tensor imaging and voxel based morphometry) which will enable us to have a more comprehensive understanding of the effects of DBS on neural network integrity among DBS patients. Some disease specific issues to consider in future studies include inherent microstructural pathology in a given disease may influence the effect of DBS outcomes^[204,205], the role of acute *vs* chronic stimulation on neural network plasticity^[13], and baseline firing rates in areas downstream from the DBS target^[206]. DBS is an extremely nonselective method of stimulation and this non-specific nature may be harmful in one disorder^[207], while that same neural element being effica-cious in treating another^[146]. Currently, imaging studies are unable to distinguishing between circuits of interest and those being activated unintentionally. Current steering technologies coupled with functional imaging will potentially allow for isolation of a single neural element and the ability to image that neural element^[208,209]. Such an approach when coupled with the selective circuit manipulation of optogenetics^[15] may allow for further verification of findings. It is truly an exciting time to be embarking on a journey into the interface between advanced imaging and invasive neuromodulation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would also like to thank the Drug Abuse Research Track (DART) program at the Medical University of South Carolina with special thanks to Drs. Back and Brady, along with the grant that supports it [NIDA R25 DA020537-06 (PI's Back and Brady)].

REFERENCES

- Okun MS, Oyama G. Mechanism of action for deep brain stimulation and electrical neuro-network modulation (ENM). *Rinsho Shinkeigaku* 2013; **53**: 691-694 [PMID: 24097315 DOI: 10.5692/clinicalneurol.53.691]
- 2 Nahas Z, Anderson BS, Borckardt J, Arana AB, George MS, Reeves ST, Takacs I. Bilateral epidural prefrontal cortical stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. *Biol Psychiatry* 2010; 67: 101-109 [PMID: 19819427 DOI: 10.1016/ j.biopsych.2009.08.021]
- 3 Kopell BH, Halverson J, Butson CR, Dickinson M, Bobholz J, Harsch H, Rainey C, Kondziolka D, Howland R, Eskandar E, Evans KC, Dougherty DD. Epidural cortical stimulation of the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex for refractory major depressive disorder. *Neurosurgery* 2011; 69: 1015-1029; discussion 1029 [PMID: 21709597 DOI: 10.1227/ NEU.0b013e318229cfcd]
- 4 Benabid AL, Pollak P, Louveau A, Henry S, de Rougemont J. Combined (thalamotomy and stimulation) stereotactic surgery of the VIM thalamic nucleus for bilateral Parkinson disease. *Appl Neurophysiol* 1987; 50: 344-346 [PMID: 3329873 DOI: 10.1159/000100803]
- 5 Nuttin B, Cosyns P, Demeulemeester H, Gybels J, Meyer-

son B. Electrical stimulation in anterior limbs of internal capsules in patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Lancet* 1999; **354**: 1526 [PMID: 10551504 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(99)02376-4]

- 6 Vandewalle V, van der Linden C, Groenewegen HJ, Caemaert J. Stereotactic treatment of Gilles de la Tourette syndrome by high frequency stimulation of thalamus. *Lancet* 1999; **353**: 724 [PMID: 10073521 DOI: 10.1016/ S0140-6736(98)05964-9]
- 7 Hooper AK, Okun MS, Foote KD, Fernandez HH, Jacobson C, Zeilman P, Romrell J, Rodriguez RL. Clinical cases where lesion therapy was chosen over deep brain stimulation. *Stereotact Funct Neurosurg* 2008; 86: 147-152 [PMID: 18334856 DOI: 10.1159/000120426]
- 8 Yang JC, Ginat DT, Dougherty DD, Makris N, Eskandar EN. Lesion analysis for cingulotomy and limbic leucotomy: comparison and correlation with clinical outcomes. *J Neurosurg* 2014; **120**: 152-163 [PMID: 24236652 DOI: 10.3171/2013.9.JNS13839]
- 9 Dougherty DD, Baer L, Cosgrove GR, Cassem EH, Price BH, Nierenberg AA, Jenike MA, Rauch SL. Prospective long-term follow-up of 44 patients who received cingulotomy for treatment-refractory obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* 2002; **159**: 269-275 [PMID: 11823270 DOI: 10.1176/appi.ajp.159.2.269]
- 10 Le K, Liu L, Sun M, Hu L, Xiao N. Transcranial magnetic stimulation at 1 Hertz improves clinical symptoms in children with Tourette syndrome for at least 6 months. *J Clin Neurosci* 2013; 20: 257-262 [PMID: 23238046 DOI: 10.1016/ j.jocn.2012.01.049]
- 11 **Berlim MT**, Neufeld NH, Van den Eynde F. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) for obsessivecompulsive disorder (OCD): an exploratory meta-analysis of randomized and sham-controlled trials. *J Psychiatr Res* 2013; **47**: 999-1006 [PMID: 23615189 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpsychire s.2013.03.022]
- 12 **Ottowitz WE**, Dougherty DD, Savage CR. The neural network basis for abnormalities of attention and executive function in major depressive disorder: implications for application of the medical disease model to psychiatric disorders. *Harv Rev Psychiatry* 2002; **10**: 86-99 [PMID: 11897749 DOI: 10.1080/10673220216210]
- 13 Figee M, Luigjes J, Smolders R, Valencia-Alfonso CE, van Wingen G, de Kwaasteniet B, Mantione M, Ooms P, de Koning P, Vulink N, Levar N, Droge L, van den Munckhof P, Schuurman PR, Nederveen A, van den Brink W, Mazaheri A, Vink M, Denys D. Deep brain stimulation restores frontostriatal network activity in obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Nat Neurosci* 2013; 16: 386-387 [PMID: 23434914 DOI: 10.1038/nn.3344]
- 14 Siebner HR, Bergmann TO, Bestmann S, Massimini M, Johansen-Berg H, Mochizuki H, Bohning DE, Boorman ED, Groppa S, Miniussi C, Pascual-Leone A, Huber R, Taylor PC, Ilmoniemi RJ, De Gennaro L, Strafella AP, Kähkönen S, Klöppel S, Frisoni GB, George MS, Hallett M, Brandt SA, Rushworth MF, Ziemann U, Rothwell JC, Ward N, Cohen LG, Baudewig J, Paus T, Ugawa Y, Rossini PM. Consensus paper: combining transcranial stimulation with neuroimaging. *Brain Stimul* 2009; 2: 58-80 [PMID: 20633405 DOI: 10.1016/j.brs.2008.11.002]
- 15 Gradinaru V, Mogri M, Thompson KR, Henderson JM, Deisseroth K. Optical deconstruction of parkinsonian neural circuitry. *Science* 2009; **324**: 354-359 [PMID: 19299587 DOI: 10.1126/science.1167093]
- 16 Vernaleken I, Kuhn J, Lenartz D, Raptis M, Huff W, Janouschek H, Neuner I, Schaefer WM, Gründer G, Sturm V. Bithalamical deep brain stimulation in tourette syndrome is associated with reduction in dopaminergic transmission. *Biol Psychiatry* 2009; 66: e15-e17 [PMID: 19709645 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.06.025]



- 17 Kuhn J, Janouschek H, Raptis M, Rex S, Lenartz D, Neuner I, Mottaghy FM, Schneider F, Schaefer WM, Sturm V, Gründer G, Vernaleken I. In vivo evidence of deep brain stimulationinduced dopaminergic modulation in Tourette's syndrome. *Biol Psychiatry* 2012; **71**: e11-e13 [PMID: 22129758 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.09.035]
- 18 George MS, Ketter TA, Post RM. SPECT and PET imaging in mood disorders. J Clin Psychiatry 1993; 54 Suppl: 6-13 [PMID: 8270597]
- 19 Varrone A, Asenbaum S, Vander Borght T, Booij J, Nobili F, Någren K, Darcourt J, Kapucu OL, Tatsch K, Bartenstein P, Van Laere K; European Association of Nuclear Medicine Neuroimaging Committee. EANM procedure guidelines for PET brain imaging using [18F]FDG, version 2. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2009; 36: 2103-2110 [PMID: 19838705 DOI: 10.1007/s00259-009-1264-0]
- 20 Harris RE, Clauw DJ, Scott DJ, McLean SA, Gracely RH, Zubieta JK. Decreased central mu-opioid receptor availability in fibromyalgia. J Neurosci 2007; 27: 10000-10006 [PMID: 17855614 DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2849-07.2007]
- 21 Zubieta JK, Smith YR, Bueller JA, Xu Y, Kilbourn MR, Jewett DM, Meyer CR, Koeppe RA, Stohler CS. Regional mu opioid receptor regulation of sensory and affective dimensions of pain. *Science* 2001; 293: 311-315 [PMID: 11452128 DOI: 10.1126/science.1060952]
- 22 George MS, Ring HA, Costa DC, Ell PJ, Kouris K, Jarritt P. Neuroactivation and Neuroimaging with SPET. London: Springer-Verlag, 1991: 1-100
- 23 Wyckhuys T, De Geeter N, Crevecoeur G, Stroobants S, Staelens S. Quantifying the effect of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in the rat brain by μSPECT CBF scans. *Brain Stimul* 2013; 6: 554-562 [PMID: 23127432 DOI: 10.1016/ j.brs.2012.10.004]
- 24 Ogawa S, Lee TM, Kay AR, Tank DW. Brain magnetic resonance imaging with contrast dependent on blood oxygenation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1990; 87: 9868-9872 [PMID: 2124706 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.87.24.9868]
- 25 Logothetis NK, Pauls J, Augath M, Trinath T, Oeltermann A. Neurophysiological investigation of the basis of the fMRI signal. *Nature* 2001; **412**: 150-157 [PMID: 11449264 DOI: 10.1038/news010712-13]
- 26 Knight EJ, Min HK, Hwang SC, Marsh MP, Paek S, Kim I, Felmlee JP, Abulseoud OA, Bennet KE, Frye MA, Lee KH. Nucleus accumbens deep brain stimulation results in insula and prefrontal activation: a large animal FMRI study. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e56640 [PMID: 23441210 DOI: 10.1371/journal. pone.0056640]
- 27 Kim JP, Min HK, Knight EJ, Duffy PS, Abulseoud OA, Marsh MP, Kelsey K, Blaha CD, Bennet KE, Frye MA, Lee KH. Centromedian-parafascicular deep brain stimulation induces differential functional inhibition of the motor, associative, and limbic circuits in large animals. *Biol Psychiatry* 2013; 74: 917-926 [PMID: 23993641 DOI: 10.1016/ j.biopsych.2013.06.024]
- 28 Gupte AA, Shrivastava D, Spaniol MA, Abosch A. MRIrelated heating near deep brain stimulation electrodes: more data are needed. *Stereotact Funct Neurosurg* 2011; 89: 131-140 [PMID: 21494064 DOI: 10.1159/000324906]
- 29 Georgi JC, Stippich C, Tronnier VM, Heiland S. Active deep brain stimulation during MRI: a feasibility study. *Magn Reson Med* 2004; 51: 380-388 [PMID: 14755664 DOI: 10.1002/ mrm.10699]
- 30 Carmichael DW, Pinto S, Limousin-Dowsey P, Thobois S, Allen PJ, Lemieux L, Yousry T, Thornton JS. Functional MRI with active, fully implanted, deep brain stimulation systems: safety and experimental confounds. *Neuroimage* 2007; 37: 508-517 [PMID: 17590355 DOI: 10.1016/j.Neuroimage.20 07.04.058]
- 31 **Stefurak T**, Mikulis D, Mayberg H, Lang AE, Hevenor S, Pahapill P, Saint-Cyr J, Lozano A. Deep brain stimulation

for Parkinson's disease dissociates mood and motor circuits: a functional MRI case study. *Mov Disord* 2003; **18**: 1508-1516 [PMID: 14673888 DOI: 10.1002/mds.10593]

- 32 Arantes PR, Cardoso EF, Barreiros MA, Teixeira MJ, Goncalves MR, Barbosa ER, Sukwinder SS, Leite CC, Amaro E. Performing functional magnetic resonance imaging in patients with Parkinson's disease treated with deep brain stimulation. *Mov Disord* 2006; **21**: 1154-1162 [PMID: 16671094 DOI: 10.1002/mds.20912]
- 33 Sestini S, Ramat S, Formiconi AR, Ammannati F, Sorbi S, Pupi A. Brain networks underlying the clinical effects of long-term subthalamic stimulation for Parkinson's disease: a 4-year follow-up study with rCBF SPECT. J Nucl Med 2005; 46: 1444-1454 [PMID: 16157526]
- 34 Pourfar M, Tang C, Lin T, Dhawan V, Kaplitt MG, Eidelberg D. Assessing the microlesion effect of subthalamic deep brain stimulation surgery with FDG PET. *J Neurosurg* 2009; 110: 1278-1282 [PMID: 19301972 DOI: 10.3171/2008.12. JNS08991]
- 35 Rozanski VE, Lieb M, Vollmar C, Mehrkens JH, Bötzel K. Evidence of a non-motor microlesion effect following deep brain surgery: a case report. *Acta Neurochir* (Wien) 2012; 154: 835-838 [PMID: 22281733 DOI: 10.1007/s00701-012-1281-9]
- 36 Lefaucheur R, Derrey S, Martinaud O, Wallon D, Chastan N, Gérardin E, Hannequin D, Maltête D. Early verbal fluency decline after STN implantation: is it a cognitive microlesion effect? J Neurol Sci 2012; 321: 96-99 [PMID: 22846795 DOI: 10.1016/j.jns.2012.07.033]
- Swan BD, Grill WM, Turner DA. Investigation of deep brain stimulation mechanisms during implantable pulse generator replacement surgery. *Neuromodulation* 2014; 17: 419-424 [PMID: 24118257 DOI: 10.1111/ner.12123]
- 38 Rezai AR, Baker KB, Tkach JA, Phillips M, Hrdlicka G, Sharan AD, Nyenhuis J, Ruggieri P, Shellock FG, Henderson J. Is magnetic resonance imaging safe for patients with neurostimulation systems used for deep brain stimulation? *Neurosurgery* 2005; 57: 1056-1062; discussion 1056-1062 [PMID: 16284576 DOI: 10.1227/01.NEU.0000186935.87971.2a]
- 39 Rezai AR, Phillips M, Baker KB, Sharan AD, Nyenhuis J, Tkach J, Henderson J, Shellock FG. Neurostimulation system used for deep brain stimulation (DBS): MR safety issues and implications of failing to follow safety recommendations. *Invest Radiol* 2004; **39**: 300-303 [PMID: 15087724 DOI: 10.1097/01.rli.0000124940.02340.ab]
- 40 Wang Z, Maia TV, Marsh R, Colibazzi T, Gerber A, Peterson BS. The neural circuits that generate tics in Tourette' s syndrome. *Am J Psychiatry* 2011; **168**: 1326-1337 [PMID: 21955933 DOI: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2011.09111692]
- 41 Giller C, Mehta S, Yanasak N, Jenkins P. Avoidance of electrode related MRI artifact during staged deep brain stimulator implantation. *J Neurol Surg A Cent Eur Neurosurg* 2012; 73: 320-323 [PMID: 22847067 DOI: 10.1055/s-0032-1322590]
- 42 Rezai AR, Lozano AM, Crawley AP, Joy ML, Davis KD, Kwan CL, Dostrovsky JO, Tasker RR, Mikulis DJ. Thalamic stimulation and functional magnetic resonance imaging: localization of cortical and subcortical activation with implanted electrodes. Technical note. J Neurosurg 1999; 90: 583-590 [PMID: 10067936 DOI: 10.3171/jns.1999.90.3.0583]
- 43 Kahan J, Mancini L, Urner M, Friston K, Hariz M, Holl E, White M, Ruge D, Jahanshahi M, Boertien T, Yousry T, Thornton JS, Limousin P, Zrinzo L, Foltynie T. Therapeutic subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation reverses cortico-thalamic coupling during voluntary movements in Parkinson's disease. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e50270 [PMID: 23300524 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0050270]
- 44 Liu HL, Chen HM, Wu YC, Lim SN, Huang CM, Hsu YY, Wai YY, Wu T. False-positive analysis of functional MRI during simulated deep brain stimulation: a phantom study. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2008; 27: 1439-1442 [PMID: 18504733 DOI: 10.1002/jmri.21222]

- 45 Chhabra V, Sung E, Mewes K, Bakay RA, Abosch A, Gross RE. Safety of magnetic resonance imaging of deep brain stimulator systems: a serial imaging and clinical retrospective study. J Neurosurg 2010; 112: 497-502 [PMID: 19681685 DOI: 10.3171/2009.7.JNS09572]
- 46 Jech R, Urgosík D, Tintera J, Nebuzelský A, Krásenský J, Liscák R, Roth J, Růzicka E. Functional magnetic resonance imaging during deep brain stimulation: a pilot study in four patients with Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 2001; 16: 1126-1132 [PMID: 11748747 DOI: 10.1002/mds.1217]
- Williams NR, Okun MS. Deep brain stimulation (DBS) at the interface of neurology and psychiatry. *J Clin Invest* 2013; 123: 4546-4556 [PMID: 24177464 DOI: 10.1172/JCI68341]
- 48 Huff W, Lenartz D, Schormann M, Lee SH, Kuhn J, Koulousakis A, Mai J, Daumann J, Maarouf M, Klosterkötter J, Sturm V. Unilateral deep brain stimulation of the nucleus accumbens in patients with treatment-resistant obsessive-compulsive disorder: Outcomes after one year. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 2010; **112**: 137-143 [PMID: 20006424 DOI: 10.1016/j.clineuro.2009.11.006]
- 49 Denys D, Mantione M, Figee M, van den Munckhof P, Koerselman F, Westenberg H, Bosch A, Schuurman R. Deep brain stimulation of the nucleus accumbens for treatmentrefractory obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2010; 67: 1061-1068 [PMID: 20921122 DOI: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2010.122]
- 50 Abelson JL, Curtis GC, Sagher O, Albucher RC, Harrigan M, Taylor SF, Martis B, Giordani B. Deep brain stimulation for refractory obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 2005; 57: 510-516 [PMID: 15737666 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.11.042]
- 51 Greenberg BD, Gabriels LA, Malone DA, Rezai AR, Friehs GM, Okun MS, Shapira NA, Foote KD, Cosyns PR, Kubu CS, Malloy PF, Salloway SP, Giftakis JE, Rise MT, Machado AG, Baker KB, Stypulkowski PH, Goodman WK, Rasmussen SA, Nuttin BJ. Deep brain stimulation of the ventral internal capsule/ventral striatum for obsessive-compulsive disorder: worldwide experience. *Mol Psychiatry* 2010; **15**: 64-79 [PMID: 18490925 DOI: 10.1038/mp.2008.55]
- 52 Greenberg BD, Malone DA, Friehs GM, Rezai AR, Kubu CS, Malloy PF, Salloway SP, Okun MS, Goodman WK, Rasmussen SA. Three-year outcomes in deep brain stimulation for highly resistant obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2006; **31**: 2384-2393 [PMID: 16855529 DOI: 10.1038/sj.npp.1301165]
- 53 Goodman WK, Foote KD, Greenberg BD, Ricciuti N, Bauer R, Ward H, Shapira NA, Wu SS, Hill CL, Rasmussen SA, Okun MS. Deep brain stimulation for intractable obsessive compulsive disorder: pilot study using a blinded, staggered-onset design. *Biol Psychiatry* 2010; 67: 535-542 [PMID: 20116047 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.11.028]
- 54 Chabardès S, Polosan M, Krack P, Bastin J, Krainik A, David O, Bougerol T, Benabid AL. Deep brain stimulation for obsessive-compulsive disorder: subthalamic nucleus target. *World Neurosurg* 2013; 80: S31.e1-S31.e8 [PMID: 22469523 DOI: 10.1016/j.wneu.2012.03.010]
- 55 Mallet L, Polosan M, Jaafari N, Baup N, Welter ML, Fontaine D, du Montcel ST, Yelnik J, Chéreau I, Arbus C, Raoul S, Aouizerate B, Damier P, Chabardès S, Czernecki V, Ardouin C, Krebs MO, Bardinet E, Chaynes P, Burbaud P, Cornu P, Derost P, Bougerol T, Bataille B, Mattei V, Dormont D, Devaux B, Vérin M, Houeto JL, Pollak P, Benabid AL, Agid Y, Krack P, Millet B, Pelissolo A. Subthalamic nucleus stimulation in severe obsessive-compulsive disorder. N Engl J Med 2008; **359**: 2121-2134 [PMID: 19005196 DOI: 10.1056/NEJ-Moa0708514]
- 56 **Van Laere K**, Nuttin B, Gabriels L, Dupont P, Rasmussen S, Greenberg BD, Cosyns P. Metabolic imaging of anterior capsular stimulation in refractory obsessive-compulsive

disorder: a key role for the subgenual anterior cingulate and ventral striatum. *J Nucl Med* 2006; **47**: 740-747 [PMID: 16644742]

- 57 Rauch SL, Dougherty DD, Malone D, Rezai A, Friehs G, Fischman AJ, Alpert NM, Haber SN, Stypulkowski PH, Rise MT, Rasmussen SA, Greenberg BD. A functional neuroimaging investigation of deep brain stimulation in patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. J Neurosurg 2006; 104: 558-565 [PMID: 16619660 DOI: 10.3171/jns.2006.104.4.558]
- 58 Le Jeune F, Vérin M, N'Diaye K, Drapier D, Leray E, Du Montcel ST, Baup N, Pelissolo A, Polosan M, Mallet L, Yelnik J, Devaux B, Fontaine D, Chereau I, Bourguignon A, Peron J, Sauleau P, Raoul S, Garin E, Krebs MO, Jaafari N, Millet B. Decrease of prefrontal metabolism after subthalamic stimulation in obsessive-compulsive disorder: a positron emission tomography study. *Biol Psychiatry* 2010; 68: 1016-1022 [PMID: 20951978 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.06.033]
- 59 Sturm V, Lenartz D, Koulousakis A, Treuer H, Herholz K, Klein JC, Klosterkötter J. The nucleus accumbens: a target for deep brain stimulation in obsessive-compulsive- and anxiety-disorders. *J Chem Neuroanat* 2003; 26: 293-299 [PMID: 14729131 DOI: 10.1007/978-3-540-32746-2_16]
- 60 Williams N, Simpson AN, Simpson K, Nahas Z. Relapse rates with long-term antidepressant drug therapy: a metaanalysis. *Hum Psychopharmacol* 2009; 24: 401-408 [PMID: 19526453 DOI: 10.1002/hup.1033]
- 61 Brunoni AR, Valiengo L, Baccaro A, Zanão TA, de Oliveira JF, Goulart A, Boggio PS, Lotufo PA, Benseñor IM, Fregni F. The sertraline vs. electrical current therapy for treating depression clinical study: results from a factorial, randomized, controlled trial. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2013; **70**: 383-391 [PMID: 23389323]
- 62 Schlaepfer TE, George MS, Mayberg H. WFSBP Guidelines on Brain Stimulation Treatments in Psychiatry. World J Biol Psychiatry 2010; 11: 2-18 [PMID: 20146648 DOI: 10.3109/156 22970903170835]
- 63 Mayberg HS, Lozano AM, Voon V, McNeely HE, Seminowicz D, Hamani C, Schwalb JM, Kennedy SH. Deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. *Neuron* 2005; 45: 651-660 [PMID: 15748841 DOI: 10.1016/ j.neuron.2005.02.014]
- 64 Lozano AM, Mayberg HS, Giacobbe P, Hamani C, Craddock RC, Kennedy SH. Subcallosal cingulate gyrus deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. *Biol Psychiatry* 2008; 64: 461-467 [PMID: 18639234 DOI: 10.1016/ j.biopsych.2008.05.034]
- 65 Bewernick BH, Hurlemann R, Matusch A, Kayser S, Grubert C, Hadrysiewicz B, Axmacher N, Lemke M, Cooper-Mahkorn D, Cohen MX, Brockmann H, Lenartz D, Sturm V, Schlaepfer TE. Nucleus accumbens deep brain stimulation decreases ratings of depression and anxiety in treatment-resistant depression. *Biol Psychiatry* 2010; 67: 110-116 [PMID: 19914605 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.09.013]
- 66 Schlaepfer TE, Cohen MX, Frick C, Kosel M, Brodesser D, Axmacher N, Joe AY, Kreft M, Lenartz D, Sturm V. Deep brain stimulation to reward circuitry alleviates anhedonia in refractory major depression. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2008; 33: 368-377 [PMID: 17429407 DOI: 10.1038/sj.npp.1301408]
- 67 Porta M, Brambilla A, Cavanna AE, Servello D, Sassi M, Rickards H, Robertson MM. Thalamic deep brain stimulation for treatment-refractory Tourette syndrome: two-year outcome. *Neurology* 2009; **73**: 1375-1380 [PMID: 19858459 DOI: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181bd809b]
- 68 Cannon E, Silburn P, Coyne T, O'Maley K, Crawford JD, Sachdev PS. Deep brain stimulation of anteromedial globus pallidus interna for severe Tourette's syndrome. *Am J Psychiatry* 2012; **169**: 860-866 [PMID: 22772329 DOI: 10.1176/ appi.ajp.2012.11101583]
- 69 Sachdev PS, Cannon E, Coyne TJ, Silburn P. Bilateral deep

brain stimulation of the nucleus accumbens for comorbid obsessive compulsive disorder and Tourette's syndrome. *BMJ Case Rep* 2012; **2012**: [PMID: 22977057 DOI: 10.1136/bcr-2012-006579]

- 70 Limousin P, Greene J, Pollak P, Rothwell J, Benabid AL, Frackowiak R. Changes in cerebral activity pattern due to subthalamic nucleus or internal pallidum stimulation in Parkinson's disease. *Ann Neurol* 1997; **42**: 283-291 [PMID: 9307248 DOI: 10.1002/ana.410420303]
- 71 Min HK, Hwang SC, Marsh MP, Kim I, Knight E, Striemer B, Felmlee JP, Welker KM, Blaha CD, Chang SY, Bennet KE, Lee KH. Deep brain stimulation induces BOLD activation in motor and non-motor networks: an fMRI comparison study of STN and EN/GPi DBS in large animals. *Neuroimage* 2012; 63: 1408-1420 [PMID: 22967832 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2 012.08.006]
- 72 Cieslik EC, Zilles K, Caspers S, Roski C, Kellermann TS, Jakobs O, Langner R, Laird AR, Fox PT, Eickhoff SB. Is there "one" DLPFC in cognitive action control? Evidence for heterogeneity from co-activation-based parcellation. *Cereb Cortex* 2013; 23: 2677-2689 [PMID: 22918987 DOI: 10.1093/cercor/bhs256]
- 73 Bonelli RM, Cummings JL. Frontal-subcortical circuitry and behavior. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci* 2007; 9: 141-51[PMID: 17726913]
- 74 **Fuster JM.** The prefrontal cortex. 4th ed. Amsterdam; Boston: Academic Press/Elsevier, 2008: 293
- 75 Kober H, Barrett LF, Joseph J, Bliss-Moreau E, Lindquist K, Wager TD. Functional grouping and cortical-subcortical interactions in emotion: a meta-analysis of neuroimaging studies. *Neuroimage* 2008; 42: 998-1031 [PMID: 18579414 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.03.059]
- 76 Lévesque J, Eugène F, Joanette Y, Paquette V, Mensour B, Beaudoin G, Leroux JM, Bourgouin P, Beauregard M. Neural circuitry underlying voluntary suppression of sadness. *Biol Psychiatry* 2003; **53**: 502-510 [PMID: 12644355 DOI: 10.1016/S0002-3223(03)01817-6]
- 77 Grimm S, Beck J, Schuepbach D, Hell D, Boesiger P, Bermpohl F, Niehaus L, Boeker H, Northoff G. Imbalance between left and right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in major depression is linked to negative emotional judgment: an fMRI study in severe major depressive disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 2008; 63: 369-376 [PMID: 17888408 DOI: 10.1016/ j.biopsych.2007.05.033]
- 78 Klein JC, Rushworth MF, Behrens TE, Mackay CE, de Crespigny AJ, D'Arceuil H, Johansen-Berg H. Topography of connections between human prefrontal cortex and mediodorsal thalamus studied with diffusion tractography. *Neuroimage* 2010; **51**: 555-564 [PMID: 20206702 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.02.062]
- 79 Leh SE, Ptito A, Chakravarty MM, Strafella AP. Frontostriatal connections in the human brain: a probabilistic diffusion tractography study. *Neurosci Lett* 2007; 419: 113-118 [PMID: 17485168 DOI: 10.1016/j.neulet.2007.04.049]
- 80 Goldman-Rakic PS, Selemon LD, Schwartz ML. Dual pathways connecting the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex with the hippocampal formation and parahippocampal cortex in the rhesus monkey. *Neuroscience* 1984; 12: 719-743 [PMID: 6472617 DOI: 10.1016/0306-4522(84)90166-0]
- 81 Fox MD, Buckner RL, White MP, Greicius MD, Pascual-Leone A. Efficacy of transcranial magnetic stimulation targets for depression is related to intrinsic functional connectivity with the subgenual cingulate. *Biol Psychiatry* 2012; **72**: 595-603 [PMID: 22658708 DOI: 10.1016/ j.biopsych.2012.04.028]
- 82 Selemon LD, Goldman-Rakic PS. Common cortical and subcortical targets of the dorsolateral prefrontal and posterior parietal cortices in the rhesus monkey: evidence for a distributed neural network subserving spatially guided

behavior. J Neurosci 1988; 8: 4049-4068 [PMID: 2846794]

- 83 Kimbrell TA, Little JT, Dunn RT, Frye MA, Greenberg BD, Wassermann EM, Repella JD, Danielson AL, Willis MW, Benson BE, Speer AM, Osuch E, George MS, Post RM. Frequency dependence of antidepressant response to left prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) as a function of baseline cerebral glucose metabolism. *Biol Psychiatry* 1999; 46: 1603-1613 [PMID: 10624541 DOI: 10.1016/S0006-3223(99)00195-X]
- Fox MD, Liu H, Pascual-Leone A. Identification of reproducible individualized targets for treatment of depression with TMS based on intrinsic connectivity. *Neuroimage* 2012;
 66C: 151-160 [PMID: 23142067 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2 012.10.082]
- 85 Williams NR, Short EB, Borckardt JJ, Schmidt M, Jeffery A, Korte JE, George MS, Takacs I, Nahas ZH. Five-Year Followup of Bilateral Epidural Prefrontal Cortical Stimulation for Treatment- Resistant Depression [Conference Poster]. *Biol Psychiatry* 2014
- 86 **Koechlin E.** Frontal pole function: what is specifically human? *Trends Cogn Sci* 2011; **15**: 241; author reply 3 [PMID: 21601507 DOI: 10.1016/j.tics.2011.04.005]
- Koechlin E, Hyafil A. Anterior prefrontal function and the limits of human decision-making. *Science* 2007; 318: 594-598 [PMID: 17962551 DOI: 10.1126/science.1142995]
- 88 Johnson MK, Raye CL, Mitchell KJ, Touryan SR, Greene EJ, Nolen-Hoeksema S. Dissociating medial frontal and posterior cingulate activity during self-reflection. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci* 2006; 1: 56-64 [PMID: 18574518 DOI: 10.1093/scan/ nsl004]
- 89 Addis DR, Wong AT, Schacter DL. Remembering the past and imagining the future: common and distinct neural substrates during event construction and elaboration. *Neuropsychologia* 2007; 45: 1363-1377 [PMID: 17126370 DOI: 10.1016/ j.neuropsychologia.2006.10.016]
- 90 Dreher JC, Koechlin E, Tierney M, Grafman J. Damage to the fronto-polar cortex is associated with impaired multitasking. *PLoS One* 2008; **3**: e3227 [PMID: 18795100 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0003227]
- 91 Hamani C, Diwan M, Isabella S, Lozano AM, Nobrega JN. Effects of different stimulation parameters on the antidepressant-like response of medial prefrontal cortex deep brain stimulation in rats. *J Psychiatr Res* 2010; 44: 683-687 [PMID: 20096858 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2009.12.010]
- 92 Covington HE, Lobo MK, Maze I, Vialou V, Hyman JM, Zaman S, LaPlant Q, Mouzon E, Ghose S, Tamminga CA, Neve RL, Deisseroth K, Nestler EJ. Antidepressant effect of optogenetic stimulation of the medial prefrontal cortex. *J Neurosci* 2010; 30: 16082-16090 [PMID: 21123555 DOI: 10.1523/JNEU-ROSCI.1731-10.2010]
- 93 Fitzgerald PB, Laird AR, Maller J, Daskalakis ZJ. A metaanalytic study of changes in brain activation in depression. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2008; 29: 683-695 [PMID: 17598168 DOI: 10.1002/hbm.20426]
- 94 **Mayberg H,** editor Optimizing Subcallosal Cingulate DBS for Treatment Resistant Depression [Conference Poster]. Society of Biological Psychiatry; 2013 May 1, 2013; San Francisco, Ca: Biol Psychiatry
- 95 Lujan JL, Chaturvedi A, Choi KS, Holtzheimer PE, Gross RE, Mayberg HS, McIntyre CC. Tractography-activation models applied to subcallosal cingulate deep brain stimulation. *Brain Stimul* 2013; 6: 737-739 [PMID: 23602025 DOI: 10.1016/j.brs.2013.03.008]
- 96 Gutman DA, Holtzheimer PE, Behrens TE, Johansen-Berg H, Mayberg HS. A tractography analysis of two deep brain stimulation white matter targets for depression. *Biol Psychiatry* 2009; 65: 276-282 [PMID: 19013554 DOI: 10.1016/ j.biopsych.2008.09.021]
- 97 Sesack SR, Grace AA. Cortico-Basal Ganglia reward net-

work: microcircuitry. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2010; **35**: 27-47 [PMID: 19675534 DOI: 10.1038/npp.2009.93]

- 98 Milad MR, Rauch SL. Obsessive-compulsive disorder: beyond segregated cortico-striatal pathways. *Trends Cogn Sci* 2012; 16: 43-51 [PMID: 22138231 DOI: 10.1016/j.tics.2011.11.003]
- 99 Kell CA, Neumann K, von Kriegstein K, Posenenske C, von Gudenberg AW, Euler H, Giraud AL. How the brain repairs stuttering. *Brain* 2009; **132**: 2747-2760 [PMID: 19710179 DOI: 10.1093/brain/awp185]
- 100 Rolls ET. The functions of the orbitofrontal cortex. Brain Cogn 2004; 55: 11-29 [PMID: 15134840 DOI: 10.1016/S0278-2626(03)00277-X]
- 101 Beucke JC, Sepulcre J, Talukdar T, Linnman C, Zschenderlein K, Endrass T, Kaufmann C, Kathmann N. Abnormally high degree connectivity of the orbitofrontal cortex in obsessive-compulsive disorder. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2013; **70**: 619-629 [PMID: 23740050 DOI: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.173]
- Weeks RA, Turjanski N, Brooks DJ. Tourette's syndrome: a disorder of cingulate and orbitofrontal function? *QJM* 1996;
 89: 401-408 [PMID: 8758042 DOI: 10.1093/qjmed/89.6.401]
- 103 Mayberg HS, Starkstein SE, Sadzot B, Preziosi T, Andrezejewski PL, Dannals RF, Wagner HN, Robinson RG. Selective hypometabolism in the inferior frontal lobe in depressed patients with Parkinson's disease. *Ann Neurol* 1990; 28: 57-64 [PMID: 2375634 DOI: 10.1002/ana.410280111]
- 104 Saxena S, Brody AL, Maidment KM, Dunkin JJ, Colgan M, Alborzian S, Phelps ME, Baxter LR. Localized orbitofrontal and subcortical metabolic changes and predictors of response to paroxetine treatment in obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 1999; 21: 683-693 [PMID: 10633474 DOI: 10.1016/S0893-133X(99)00082-2]
- 105 Nabeyama M, Nakagawa A, Yoshiura T, Nakao T, Nakatani E, Togao O, Yoshizato C, Yoshioka K, Tomita M, Kanba S. Functional MRI study of brain activation alterations in patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder after symptom improvement. *Psychiatry Res* 2008; **163**: 236-247 [PMID: 18667293 DOI: 10.1016/j.pscychresns.2007.11.001]
- 106 Jiménez F, Velasco F, Salin-Pascual R, Hernández JA, Velasco M, Criales JL, Nicolini H. A patient with a resistant major depression disorder treated with deep brain stimulation in the inferior thalamic peduncle. *Neurosurgery* 2005; 57: 585-593; discussion 585-593 [PMID: 16145540 DOI: 10.1227/01.NEU.0000170434.44335.19]
- 107 Nuttin BJ, Gabriëls LA, Cosyns PR, Meyerson BA, Andréewitch S, Sunaert SG, Maes AF, Dupont PJ, Gybels JM, Gielen F, Demeulemeester HG. Long-term electrical capsular stimulation in patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Neurosurgery* 2003; 52: 1263-1272; discussion 1263-1272 [PMID: 12762871 DOI: 10.1227/01.NEU.0000064565.49299.9A]
- 108 Zuo C, Ma Y, Sun B, Peng S, Zhang H, Eidelberg D, Guan Y. Metabolic imaging of bilateral anterior capsulotomy in refractory obsessive compulsive disorder: an FDG PET study. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2013; 33: 880-887 [PMID: 23443174 DOI: 10.1038/jcbfm.2013.23]
- 109 Lipsman N, Woodside DB, Giacobbe P, Hamani C, Carter JC, Norwood SJ, Sutandar K, Staab R, Elias G, Lyman CH, Smith GS, Lozano AM. Subcallosal cingulate deep brain stimulation for treatment-refractory anorexia nervosa: a phase 1 pilot trial. *Lancet* 2013; **381**: 1361-1370 [PMID: 23473846 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)62188-6]
- 110 **Temel Y**, Boothman LJ, Blokland A, Magill PJ, Steinbusch HW, Visser-Vandewalle V, Sharp T. Inhibition of 5-HT neuron activity and induction of depressive-like behavior by high-frequency stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2007; **104**: 17087-17092 [PMID: 17942692 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0704144104]
- 111 Hamani C, Mayberg H, Stone S, Laxton A, Haber S, Lozano AM. The subcallosal cingulate gyrus in the context of major depression. *Biol Psychiatry* 2011; 69: 301-308 [PMID:

21145043 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.09.034]

- 112 Riva-Posse P, Holtzheimer PE, Garlow SJ, Mayberg HS. Practical considerations in the development and refinement of subcallosal cingulate white matter deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. *World Neurosurg* 2013; 80: S27.e25-S27.e34 [PMID: 23246630 DOI: 10.1016/ j.wneu.2012.11.074]
- 113 Dougherty DD, Weiss AP, Cosgrove GR, Alpert NM, Cassem EH, Nierenberg AA, Price BH, Mayberg HS, Fischman AJ, Rauch SL. Cerebral metabolic correlates as potential predictors of response to anterior cingulotomy for treatment of major depression. *J Neurosurg* 2003; **99**: 1010-1017 [PMID: 14705729 DOI: 10.3171/jns.2003.99.6.1010]
- 114 Goldapple K, Segal Z, Garson C, Lau M, Bieling P, Kennedy S, Mayberg H. Modulation of cortical-limbic pathways in major depression: treatment-specific effects of cognitive behavior therapy. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2004; 61: 34-41 [PMID: 14706942 DOI: 10.1001/archpsyc.61.1.34]
- 115 Nobler MS, Oquendo MA, Kegeles LS, Malone KM, Campbell CC, Sackeim HA, Mann JJ. Decreased regional brain metabolism after ect. *Am J Psychiatry* 2001; **158**: 305-308 [PMID: 11156816 DOI: 10.1176/appi.ajp.158.2.305]
- 116 Barbas H, Saha S, Rempel-Clower N, Ghashghaei T. Serial pathways from primate prefrontal cortex to autonomic areas may influence emotional expression. *BMC Neurosci* 2003;
 4: 25 [PMID: 14536022 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2202-4-25]
- 117 Haber SN. The primate basal ganglia: parallel and integrative networks. *J Chem Neuroanat* 2003; **26**: 317-330 [PMID: 14729134 DOI: 10.1016/j.jchemneu.2003.10.003]
- 118 Holtzheimer PE, Kelley ME, Gross RE, Filkowski MM, Garlow SJ, Barrocas A, Wint D, Craighead MC, Kozarsky J, Chismar R, Moreines JL, Mewes K, Posse PR, Gutman DA, Mayberg HS. Subcallosal cingulate deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant unipolar and bipolar depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2012; 69: 150-158 [PMID: 22213770 DOI: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry]
- 119 Müller-Vahl KR, Kaufmann J, Grosskreutz J, Dengler R, Emrich HM, Peschel T. Prefrontal and anterior cingulate cortex abnormalities in Tourette Syndrome: evidence from voxel-based morphometry and magnetization transfer imaging. *BMC Neurosci* 2009; **10**: 47 [PMID: 19435502 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2202-10-47]
- 120 Pizzagalli D, Pascual-Marqui RD, Nitschke JB, Oakes TR, Larson CL, Abercrombie HC, Schaefer SM, Koger JV, Benca RM, Davidson RJ. Anterior cingulate activity as a predictor of degree of treatment response in major depression: evidence from brain electrical tomography analysis. *Am J Psychiatry* 2001; **158**: 405-415 [PMID: 11229981 DOI: 10.1176/ appi.ajp.158.3.405]
- 121 Fitzgerald KD, Welsh RC, Gehring WJ, Abelson JL, Himle JA, Liberzon I, Taylor SF. Error-related hyperactivity of the anterior cingulate cortex in obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 2005; 57: 287-294 [PMID: 15691530 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.10.038]
- 122 Endrass T, Klawohn J, Schuster F, Kathmann N. Overactive performance monitoring in obsessive-compulsive disorder: ERP evidence from correct and erroneous reactions. *Neuropsychologia* 2008; **46**: 1877-1887 [PMID: 18514679 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2007.12.001]
- 123 Fu CH, Steiner H, Costafreda SG. Predictive neural biomarkers of clinical response in depression: a meta-analysis of functional and structural neuroimaging studies of pharmacological and psychological therapies. *Neurobiol Dis* 2013; 52: 75-83 [PMID: 22659303 DOI: 10.1016/j.nbd.2012.05.008]
- 124 Sheth SA, Neal J, Tangherlini F, Mian MK, Gentil A, Cosgrove GR, Eskandar EN, Dougherty DD. Limbic system surgery for treatment-refractory obsessive-compulsive disorder: a prospective long-term follow-up of 64 patients. *J Neurosurg* 2013; **118**: 491-497 [PMID: 23240700 DOI:



10.3171/2012.11.JNS12389]

- 125 Leech R, Sharp DJ. The role of the posterior cingulate cortex in cognition and disease. *Brain* 2014; 137: 12-32 [PMID: 23869106 DOI: 10.1093/brain/awt162]
- 126 Mayberg HS, Liotti M, Brannan SK, McGinnis S, Mahurin RK, Jerabek PA, Silva JA, Tekell JL, Martin CC, Lancaster JL, Fox PT. Reciprocal limbic-cortical function and negative mood: converging PET findings in depression and normal sadness. *Am J Psychiatry* 1999; **156**: 675-682 [PMID: 10327898]
- 127 Saxena S, Brody AL, Maidment KM, Smith EC, Zohrabi N, Katz E, Baker SK, Baxter LR. Cerebral glucose metabolism in obsessive-compulsive hoarding. *Am J Psychiatry* 2004; 161: 1038-1048 [PMID: 15169692 DOI: 10.1176/appi. ajp.161.6.1038]
- 128 Rauch SL, Dougherty DD, Cosgrove GR, Cassem EH, Alpert NM, Price BH, Nierenberg AA, Mayberg HS, Baer L, Jenike MA, Fischman AJ. Cerebral metabolic correlates as potential predictors of response to anterior cingulotomy for obsessive compulsive disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 2001; **50**: 659-667 [PMID: 11704072 DOI: 10.1016/S0006-3223(01)01188-X]
- 129 Mataix-Cols D, Cullen S, Lange K, Zelaya F, Andrew C, Amaro E, Brammer MJ, Williams SC, Speckens A, Phillips ML. Neural correlates of anxiety associated with obsessivecompulsive symptom dimensions in normal volunteers. *Biol Psychiatry* 2003; **53**: 482-493 [PMID: 12644353]
- 130 McGrath CL, Kelley ME, Holtzheimer PE, Dunlop BW, Craighead WE, Franco AR, Craddock RC, Mayberg HS. Toward a neuroimaging treatment selection biomarker for major depressive disorder. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2013; **70**: 821-829 [PMID: 23760393 DOI: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.143]
- 131 Stein DJ, Arya M, Pietrini P, Rapoport JL, Swedo SE. Neurocircuitry of disgust and anxiety in obsessive-compulsive disorder: a positron emission tomography study. *Metab Brain Dis* 2006; 21: 267-277 [PMID: 16850255 DOI: 10.1007/s11011-006-9021-6]
- 132 Stern E, Silbersweig DA, Chee KY, Holmes A, Robertson MM, Trimble M, Frith CD, Frackowiak RS, Dolan RJ. A functional neuroanatomy of tics in Tourette syndrome. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2000; 57: 741-748 [PMID: 10920461]
- 133 de Wit SJ, de Vries FE, van der Werf YD, Cath DC, Heslenfeld DJ, Veltman EM, van Balkom AJ, Veltman DJ, van den Heuvel OA. Presupplementary motor area hyperactivity during response inhibition: a candidate endophenotype of obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* 2012; 169: 1100-1108 [PMID: 23032388 DOI: 10.1176/appi. ajp.2012.12010073]
- 134 Bannur U, Rajshekhar V. Post operative supplementary motor area syndrome: clinical features and outcome. *Br J Neurosurg* 2000; 14: 204-210 [PMID: 10912196 DOI: 10.1080/026886900408379]
- 135 Groenewegen HJ, Wright CI, Beijer AV, Voorn P. Convergence and segregation of ventral striatal inputs and outputs. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1999; 877: 49-63 [PMID: 10415642 DOI: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1999.tb09260.x]
- 136 Voorn P, Vanderschuren LJ, Groenewegen HJ, Robbins TW, Pennartz CM. Putting a spin on the dorsal-ventral divide of the striatum. *Trends Neurosci* 2004; 27: 468-474 [PMID: 15271494 DOI: 10.1016/j.tins.2004.06.006]
- 137 Kuhn J, Lenartz D, Mai JK, Huff W, Lee SH, Koulousakis A, Klosterkoetter J, Sturm V. Deep brain stimulation of the nucleus accumbens and the internal capsule in therapeutically refractory Tourette-syndrome. J Neurol 2007; 254: 963-965 [PMID: 17410328 DOI: 10.1007/s00415-006-0404-8]
- 138 Kuhn J, Lenartz D, Huff W, Lee S, Koulousakis A, Klosterkoetter J, Sturm V. Remission of alcohol dependency following deep brain stimulation of the nucleus accumbens: valuable therapeutic implications? J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2007; 78: 1152-1153 [PMID: 17878197 DOI: 10.1136/ jnnp.2006.113092]

- 139 Müller UJ, Voges J, Steiner J, Galazky I, Heinze HJ, Möller M, Pisapia J, Halpern C, Caplan A, Bogerts B, Kuhn J. Deep brain stimulation of the nucleus accumbens for the treatment of addiction. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2013; **1282**: 119-128 [PMID: 23227826 DOI: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2012.06834.x]
- 140 Kuhn J, Möller M, Treppmann JF, Bartsch C, Lenartz D, Gruendler TO, Maarouf M, Brosig A, Barnikol UB, Klosterkötter J, Sturm V. Deep brain stimulation of the nucleus accumbens and its usefulness in severe opioid addiction. *Mol Psychiatry* 2014; **19**: 145-146 [PMID: 23337942 DOI: 10.1038/mp.2012.196]
- 141 Heldmann M, Berding G, Voges J, Bogerts B, Galazky I, Müller U, Baillot G, Heinze HJ, Münte TF. Deep brain stimulation of nucleus accumbens region in alcoholism affects reward processing. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e36572 [PMID: 22629317 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0036572]
- 142 Voges J, Müller U, Bogerts B, Münte T, Heinze HJ. Deep brain stimulation surgery for alcohol addiction. *World Neurosurg* 2012; 80: S28.e21-S28.e31 [PMID: 22824557 DOI: 10.1016/j.wneu.2012.07.011]
- 143 Wu H, Van Dyck-Lippens PJ, Santegoeds R, van Kuyck K, Gabriëls L, Lin G, Pan G, Li Y, Li D, Zhan S, Sun B, Nuttin B. Deep-brain stimulation for anorexia nervosa. *World Neurosurg* 2013; 80: S29.e1-S29.10 [PMID: 22743198 DOI: 10.1016/ j.wneu.2012.06.039]
- 144 **Plow EB**, Malone DA, Machado A. Deep brain stimulation of the ventral striatum/anterior limb of the internal capsule in thalamic pain syndrome: study protocol for a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Trials* 2013; **14**: 241 [PMID: 23902631 DOI: 10.1186/1745-6215-14-241]
- 145 Aouizerate B, Cuny E, Martin-Guehl C, Guehl D, Amieva H, Benazzouz A, Fabrigoule C, Allard M, Rougier A, Bioulac B, Tignol J, Burbaud P. Deep brain stimulation of the ventral caudate nucleus in the treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder and major depression. Case report. *J Neurosurg* 2004; 101: 682-686 [PMID: 15481726 DOI: 10.1176/appi. ajp.162.11.2192]
- Schlaepfer TE, Bewernick BH, Kayser S, M\u00e4dler B, Coenen VA. Rapid effects of deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant major depression. *Biol Psychiatry* 2013; 73: 1204-1212 [PMID: 23562618 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.01.034]
- 147 Adolphs R. Social cognition and the human brain. *Trends Cogn Sci* 1999; **3**: 469-479 [PMID: 10562726 DOI: 10.1016/ S1364-6613(99)01399-6]
- 148 Davis M, Whalen PJ. The amygdala: vigilance and emotion. *Mol Psychiatry* 2001; 6: 13-34 [PMID: 11244481 DOI: 10.1038/ sj.mp.4000812]
- 149 Drevets WC, Price JL, Bardgett ME, Reich T, Todd RD, Raichle ME. Glucose metabolism in the amygdala in depression: relationship to diagnostic subtype and plasma cortisol levels. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 2002; **71**: 431-447 [PMID: 11830178 DOI: 10.1016/S0091-3057(01)00687-6]
- 150 Nitschke JB, Sarinopoulos I, Oathes DJ, Johnstone T, Whalen PJ, Davidson RJ, Kalin NH. Anticipatory activation in the amygdala and anterior cingulate in generalized anxiety disorder and prediction of treatment response. *Am J Psychiatry* 2009; **166**: 302-310 [PMID: 19122007 DOI: 10.1176/ appi.ajp.2008.07101682]
- 151 van den Heuvel OA, Veltman DJ, Groenewegen HJ, Dolan RJ, Cath DC, Boellaard R, Mesina CT, van Balkom AJ, van Oppen P, Witter MP, Lammertsma AA, van Dyck R. Amygdala activity in obsessive-compulsive disorder with contamination fear: a study with oxygen-15 water positron emission tomography. *Psychiatry Res* 2004; **132**: 225-237 [PMID: 15664794 DOI: 10.1016/j.pscychresns.2004.06.007]
- 152 Werner CJ, Stöcker T, Kellermann T, Wegener HP, Schneider F, Shah NJ, Neuner I. Altered amygdala functional connectivity in adult Tourette's syndrome. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2010; **260** Suppl 2: S95-S99 [PMID: 20960002

DOI: 10.1007/s00406-010-0161-7]

- 153 Neuner I, Kellermann T, Stöcker T, Kircher T, Habel U, Shah JN, Schneider F. Amygdala hypersensitivity in response to emotional faces in Tourette's patients. *World J Biol Psychiatry* 2010; **11**: 858-872 [PMID: 20560820 DOI: 10.3109/ 15622975.2010.480984]
- 154 Via E, Cardoner N, Pujol J, Alonso P, López-Solà M, Real E, Contreras-Rodríguez O, Deus J, Segalàs C, Menchón JM, Soriano-Mas C, Harrison BJ. Amygdala activation and symptom dimensions in obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Br J Psychiatry* 2014; 204: 61-68 [PMID: 24262816 DOI: 10.1192/bjp.bp.112.123364]
- 155 Drevets WC. Prefrontal cortical-amygdalar metabolism in major depression. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1999; 877: 614-637 [PMID: 10415674 DOI: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1999.tb09292.x]
- 156 Shapira NA, Okun MS, Wint D, Foote KD, Byars JA, Bowers D, Springer US, Lang PJ, Greenberg BD, Haber SN, Goodman WK. Panic and fear induced by deep brain stimulation. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2006; 77: 410-412 [PMID: 16484657 DOI: 10.1136/jnnp.2005.069906]
- 157 Choi DC, Furay AR, Evanson NK, Ostrander MM, Ulrich-Lai YM, Herman JP. Bed nucleus of the stria terminalis subregions differentially regulate hypothalamic-pituitaryadrenal axis activity: implications for the integration of limbic inputs. J Neurosci 2007; 27: 2025-2034 [PMID: 17314298 DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4301-06.2007]
- 158 Dunn JD. Plasma corticosterone responses to electrical stimulation of the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis. *Brain Res* 1987; 407: 327-331 [PMID: 3567648 DOI: 10.1016/0006-89 93(87)91111-5]
- 159 Davis M, Walker DL, Miles L, Grillon C. Phasic vs sustained fear in rats and humans: role of the extended amygdala in fear vs anxiety. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2010; **35**: 105-135 [PMID: 19693004 DOI: 10.1038/npp.2009.109]
- 160 Nuttin B, Gielen F, van Kuyck K, Wu H, Luyten L, Welkenhuysen M, Brionne TC, Gabriëls L. Targeting bed nucleus of the stria terminalis for severe obsessive-compulsive disorder: more unexpected lead placement in obsessive-compulsive disorder than in surgery for movement disorders. *World Neurosurg* 2013; 80: S30.e11-S30.e16 [PMID: 23268197 DOI: 10.1016/j.wneu.2012.12.029]
- 161 Markram K, Rinaldi T, La Mendola D, Sandi C, Markram H. Abnormal fear conditioning and amygdala processing in an animal model of autism. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2008; 33: 901-912 [PMID: 17507914 DOI: 10.1038/sj.npp.1301453]
- 162 Kleinhans NM, Johnson LC, Richards T, Mahurin R, Greenson J, Dawson G, Aylward E. Reduced neural habituation in the amygdala and social impairments in autism spectrum disorders. *Am J Psychiatry* 2009; 166: 467-475 [PMID: 19223437 DOI: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2008.07101681]
- 163 Sturm V, Fricke O, Bührle CP, Lenartz D, Maarouf M, Treuer H, Mai JK, Lehmkuhl G. DBS in the basolateral amygdala improves symptoms of autism and related selfinjurious behavior: a case report and hypothesis on the pathogenesis of the disorder. *Front Hum Neurosci* 2012; 6: 341 [PMID: 23346052 DOI: 10.3389/fnhum.2012.00341]
- 164 Williams NR, Foote KD, Okun MS. STN vs. GPi Deep Brain Stimulation: Translating the Rematch into Clinical Practice. *Mov Disord Clin Pract* 2014; 1: 24-35 [PMID: 24779023 DOI: 10.1002/mdc3.12004]
- 165 Hilker R, Voges J, Weisenbach S, Kalbe E, Burghaus L, Ghaemi M, Lehrke R, Koulousakis A, Herholz K, Sturm V, Heiss WD. Subthalamic nucleus stimulation restores glucose metabolism in associative and limbic cortices and in cerebellum: evidence from a FDG-PET study in advanced Parkinson's disease. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2004; 24: 7-16 [PMID: 14688612 DOI: 10.1097/01.WCB.0000092831.44769.09]
- 166 Geday J, Østergaard K, Johnsen E, Gjedde A. STN-stimulation in Parkinson's disease restores striatal inhibition of thalamocortical projection. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2009; 30:

112-121 [PMID: 18041743 DOI: 10.1002/hbm.20486]

- 167 Martinez-Torres I, Hariz MI, Zrinzo L, Foltynie T, Limousin P. Improvement of tics after subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation. *Neurology* 2009; **72**: 1787-1789 [PMID: 19451536 DOI: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181a60a0c]
- 168 Bourne SK, Eckhardt CA, Sheth SA, Eskandar EN. Mechanisms of deep brain stimulation for obsessive compulsive disorder: effects upon cells and circuits. *Front Integr Neurosci* 2012; 6: 29 [PMID: 22712007 DOI: 10.3389/fnint.2012.00029]
- 169 Evans DW, Lewis MD, Iobst E. The role of the orbitofrontal cortex in normally developing compulsive-like behaviors and obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Brain Cogn* 2004; 55: 220-234 [PMID: 15134855 DOI: 10.1016/S0278-2626(03)00274-4]
- 170 Mink JW. Basal ganglia dysfunction in Tourette's syndrome: a new hypothesis. *Pediatr Neurol* 2001; 25: 190-198 [PMID: 11587872 DOI: 10.1016/S0887-8994(01)00262-4]
- 171 Mataix-Cols D, Wooderson S, Lawrence N, Brammer MJ, Speckens A, Phillips ML. Distinct neural correlates of washing, checking, and hoarding symptom dimensions in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2004; 61: 564-576 [PMID: 15184236 DOI: 10.1001/archpsyc.61.6.564]
- 172 Ho CY. The Ventral Pallidum As a Limbic Pleasure Generator (Doctoral dissertaion). 2010. Available from: URL: http: //deepblue.lib.umich.edu/handle/2027.42/78836
- 173 Nair G, Evans A, Bear RE, Velakoulis D, Bittar RG. The anteromedial GPi as a new target for deep brain stimulation in obsessive compulsive disorder. *J Clin Neurosci* 2014; 21: 815-821 [PMID: 24524950 DOI: 10.1016/j.jocn.2013.10.003]
- 174 Kosel M, Sturm V, Frick C, Lenartz D, Zeidler G, Brodesser D, Schlaepfer TE. Mood improvement after deep brain stimulation of the internal globus pallidus for tardive dyskinesia in a patient suffering from major depression. *J Psychiatr Res* 2007; **41**: 801-803 [PMID: 16962613 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpsychires .2006.07.010]
- 175 Piedimonte F, Andreani JC, Piedimonte L, Graff P, Bacaro V, Micheli F, Vilela Filho O. Behavioral and motor improvement after deep brain stimulation of the globus pallidus externus in a case of Tourette's syndrome. *Neuromodulation* 2013; **16**: 55-58; discussion 58 [PMID: 23240689 DOI: 10.1111/j.1525-1403.2012.00526.x]
- 176 Van der Werf YD, Witter MP, Groenewegen HJ. The intralaminar and midline nuclei of the thalamus. Anatomical and functional evidence for participation in processes of arousal and awareness. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev* 2002; **39**: 107-140 [PMID: 12423763 DOI: 10.1016/S0165-0173(02)00181-9]
- 177 Smith Y, Raju D, Nanda B, Pare JF, Galvan A, Wichmann T. The thalamostriatal systems: anatomical and functional organization in normal and parkinsonian states. *Brain Res Bull* 2009; **78**: 60-68 [PMID: 18805468 DOI: 10.1016/j.brainresbull .2008.08.015]
- 178 Smith Y, Raju DV, Pare JF, Sidibe M. The thalamostriatal system: a highly specific network of the basal ganglia circuitry. *Trends Neurosci* 2004; 27: 520-527 [PMID: 15331233 DOI: 10.1016/j.tins.2004.07.004]
- 179 **Matsumoto N**, Minamimoto T, Graybiel AM, Kimura M. Neurons in the thalamic CM-Pf complex supply striatal neurons with information about behaviorally significant sensory events. *J Neurophysiol* 2001; **85**: 960-976 [PMID: 11160526]
- 180 Servello D, Porta M, Sassi M, Brambilla A, Robertson MM. Deep brain stimulation in 18 patients with severe Gilles de la Tourette syndrome refractory to treatment: the surgery and stimulation. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2008; 79: 136-142 [PMID: 17846115 DOI: 10.1136/jnnp.2006.104067]
- 181 Ottersen OP. Afferent connections to the amygdaloid complex of the rat with some observations in the cat. III. Afferents from the lower brain stem. *J Comp Neurol* 1981; 202: 335-356 [PMID: 7298902 DOI: 10.1002/cne.902020304]
- 182 **Cavdar S**, Onat FY, Cakmak YO, Yananli HR, Gülçebi M, Aker R. The pathways connecting the hippocampal for-

mation, the thalamic reuniens nucleus and the thalamic reticular nucleus in the rat. *J Anat* 2008; **212**: 249-256 [PMID: 18221482 DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-7580.2008.00858.x]

- 183 Berendse HW, Groenewegen HJ. Restricted cortical termination fields of the midline and intralaminar thalamic nuclei in the rat. *Neuroscience* 1991; 42: 73-102 [PMID: 1713657 DOI: 10.1016/0306-4522(91)90151-D]
- 184 Jayaraman A. Organization of thalamic projections in the nucleus accumbens and the caudate nucleus in cats and its relation with hippocampal and other subcortical afferents. J Comp Neurol 1985; 231: 396-420 [PMID: 3968245 DOI: 10.1002/cne.902310309]
- 185 Sadikot AF, Rymar VV. The primate centromedian-parafascicular complex: anatomical organization with a note on neuromodulation. *Brain Res Bull* 2009; 78: 122-130 [PMID: 18957319 DOI: 10.1016/j.brainresbull.2008.09.016]
- 186 Sadikot AF, Parent A, François C. Efferent connections of the centromedian and parafascicular thalamic nuclei in the squirrel monkey: a PHA-L study of subcortical projections. J Comp Neurol 1992; 315: 137-159 [PMID: 1372010 DOI: 10.1002/cne.903150203]
- 187 Parent M, Parent A. Single-axon tracing and three-dimensional reconstruction of centre median-parafascicular thalamic neurons in primates. *J Comp Neurol* 2005; 481: 127-144 [PMID: 15558721 DOI: 10.1002/cne.20348]
- 188 Sidibé M, Bevan MD, Bolam JP, Smith Y. Efferent connections of the internal globus pallidus in the squirrel monkey: I. Topography and synaptic organization of the pallidothalamic projection. J Comp Neurol 1997; 382: 323-347 [PMID: 9183697 DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19970609)382: 33.3.CO; 2-6]
- 189 Sidibé M, Paré JF, Smith Y. Nigral and pallidal inputs to functionally segregated thalamostriatal neurons in the centromedian/parafascicular intralaminar nuclear complex in monkey. J Comp Neurol 2002; 447: 286-299 [PMID: 11984822 DOI: 10.1002/cne.10247]
- 190 Metzger CD, Eckert U, Steiner J, Sartorius A, Buchmann JE, Stadler J, Tempelmann C, Speck O, Bogerts B, Abler B, Walter M. High field FMRI reveals thalamocortical integration of segregated cognitive and emotional processing in mediodorsal and intralaminar thalamic nuclei. *Front Neuroanat* 2010; 4: 138 [PMID: 21088699 DOI: 10.3389/fnana.2010.00138]
- 191 Cardoso EF, Maia FM, Fregni F, Myczkowski ML, Melo LM, Sato JR, Marcolin MA, Rigonatti SP, Cruz AC, Barbosa ER, Amaro E. Depression in Parkinson's disease: convergence from voxel-based morphometry and functional magnetic resonance imaging in the limbic thalamus. *Neuroimage* 2009; 47: 467-472 [PMID: 19398020 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.04.059]
- 192 Parker GJ, Stephan KE, Barker GJ, Rowe JB, MacManus DG, Wheeler-Kingshott CA, Ciccarelli O, Passingham RE, Spinks RL, Lemon RN, Turner R. Initial demonstration of in vivo tracing of axonal projections in the macaque brain and comparison with the human brain using diffusion tensor imaging and fast marching tractography. *Neuroimage* 2002; 15: 797-809 [PMID: 11906221 DOI: 10.1006/nimg.2001.0994]
- 193 Behrens TE, Johansen-Berg H, Woolrich MW, Smith SM, Wheeler-Kingshott CA, Boulby PA, Barker GJ, Sillery EL, Sheehan K, Ciccarelli O, Thompson AJ, Brady JM, Matthews PM. Non-invasive mapping of connections between human thalamus and cortex using diffusion imaging. *Nat Neurosci* 2003; 6: 750-757 [PMID: 12808459 DOI: 10.1038/nn1075]
- 194 Johansen-Berg H, Gutman DA, Behrens TE, Matthews PM, Rushworth MF, Katz E, Lozano AM, Mayberg HS. Anatomical connectivity of the subgenual cingulate region targeted with deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. *Cereb Cortex* 2008; 18: 1374-1383 [PMID: 17928332 DOI: 10.1093/cercor/bhm167]
- 195 Lehman JF, Greenberg BD, McIntyre CC, Rasmussen SA, Haber SN. Rules ventral prefrontal cortical axons use to reach their targets: implications for diffusion tensor imaging tractography and deep brain stimulation for psychiatric ill-

ness. J Neurosci 2011; **31**: 10392-10402 [PMID: 21753016 DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0595-11.2011]

- 196 Coenen VA, Panksepp J, Hurwitz TA, Urbach H, Mädler B. Human medial forebrain bundle (MFB) and anterior thalamic radiation (ATR): imaging of two major subcortical pathways and the dynamic balance of opposite affects in understanding depression. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci 2012; 24: 223-236 [PMID: 22772671 DOI: 10.1176/appi.neuropsych.11080180]
- 197 McNab JA, Voets NL, Jenkinson N, Squier W, Miller KL, Goodwin GM, Aziz TZ. Reduced limbic connections may contraindicate subgenual cingulate deep brain stimulation for intractable depression. *J Neurosurg* 2009; 111: 780-784 [PMID: 19284230 DOI: 10.3171/2009.2.JNS081299]
- 198 Coenen VA, M\u00e4deller B, Schlaepfer TE. Reply to: medial forebrain bundle stimulation-speed access to an old or entry into a new depression neurocircuit? *Biol Psychiatry* 2013; 74: e45-e46 [PMID: 23916389 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.06.017]
- 199 Lui S, Wu Q, Qiu L, Yang X, Kuang W, Chan RC, Huang X, Kemp GJ, Mechelli A, Gong Q. Resting-state functional connectivity in treatment-resistant depression. *Am J Psychiatry* 2011; 168: 642-648 [PMID: 21362744 DOI: 10.1176/appi. ajp.2010.10101419]
- 200 Di Martino A, Scheres A, Margulies DS, Kelly AM, Uddin LQ, Shehzad Z, Biswal B, Walters JR, Castellanos FX, Milham MP. Functional connectivity of human striatum: a resting state FMRI study. *Cereb Cortex* 2008; 18: 2735-2747 [PMID: 18400794 DOI: 10.1093/cercor/bhn041]
- 201 Williams NR, Taylor JJ, Snipes JM, Short BE, Kantor EM, George MS. Interventional Psychiatry: How should we incorporate neuromodulation into psychiatric education? *Acad Psychiatry* 2014; 38: 168-176 [PMID: 24554501 DOI: 10.1007/s40596-014-0050-x]
- 202 Cho ZH, Law M, Chi JG, Choi SH, Park SY, Kammen A, Park CW,Oh SH, Kim YB. An anatomic review of thalamolimbic fiber tractography: ultra-high resolution direct visualization of thalamolimbic fibers anterior thalamic radiation, superolateral and inferomedial medial forebrain bundles, and newly identified septum pellucidum tract. *World Neurosurg* 2013 Aug 22; Epub ahead of print [PMID: 23973452 DOI: 10.1016/j.wneu.2013.08.022]
- 203 Schweder PM, Joint C, Hansen PC, Green AL, Quaghebeur G, Aziz TZ. Chronic pedunculopontine nucleus stimulation restores functional connectivity. *Neuroreport* 2010; 21: 1065-1068 [PMID: 20926975 DOI: 10.1097/WNR.0b013e32833ce607]
- 204 Liu Y, Miao W, Wang J, Gao P, Yin G, Zhang L, Lv C, Ji Z, Yu T, Sabel BA, He H, Peng Y. Structural abnormalities in early Tourette syndrome children: a combined voxel-based morphometry and tract-based spatial statistics study. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e76105 [PMID: 24098769 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0076105]
- 205 Neuner I, Kupriyanova Y, Stöcker T, Huang R, Posnansky O, Schneider F, Tittgemeyer M, Shah NJ. White-matter abnormalities in Tourette syndrome extend beyond motor pathways. *Neuroimage* 2010; **51**: 1184-1193 [PMID: 20188196 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.02.049]
- 206 Shimamoto SA, Ryapolova-Webb ES, Ostrem JL, Galifianakis NB, Miller KJ, Starr PA. Subthalamic nucleus neurons are synchronized to primary motor cortex local field potentials in Parkinson's disease. J Neurosci 2013; 33: 7220-7233 [PMID: 23616531 DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4676-12.2013]
- 207 **Coenen VA**, Honey CR, Hurwitz T, Rahman AA, Mc-Master J, Bürgel U, Mädler B. Medial forebrain bundle stimulation as a pathophysiological mechanism for hypomania in subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation for Parkinson's disease. *Neurosurgery* 2009; **64**: 1106-1114; discussion 1106-1114 [PMID: 19487890 DOI: 10.1227/01. NEU.0000345631.54446.06]
- 208 **Chaturvedi A**, Foutz TJ, McIntyre CC. Current steering to activate targeted neural pathways during deep brain stimulation of the subthalamic region. *Brain Stimul* 2012; **5**:

Williams NR et al. Imaging in DBS for neuropsychiatric disorders

369-377 [PMID: 22277548 DOI: 10.1016/j.brs.2011.05.002]
209 Arsalidou M, Duerden EG, Taylor MJ. The centre of the brain: topographical model of motor, cognitive, affective,

and somatosensory functions of the basal ganglia. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2013; **34**: 3031-3054 [PMID: 22711692 DOI: 10.1002/hbm.22124]

P- Reviewer: Arsalidou M, Llamas EP, Sun Z, Tang GH S- Editor: Song XX L- Editor: A E- Editor: Lu YJ







Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx http://www.wjgnet.com

