

The role of long-acting injectable antipsychotics in schizophrenia: a critical appraisal

Sofia Brissos, Miguel Ruiz Veguilla, David Taylor and Vicent Balanzá-Martinez

Abstract: Despite their widespread use, long-acting injectable (LAI) antipsychotics (APs) are often regarded with some negativity because of the assumption of punishment, control and insufficient evolution towards psychosocial development of patients. However, LAI APs have proved effective in schizophrenia and other severe psychotic disorders because they assure stable blood levels, leading to a reduction of the risk of relapse. Therapeutic opportunities have also arisen after introduction of newer, second-generation LAI APs in recent years. Newer LAI APs are more readily dosed optimally, may be better tolerated and are better suited to integrated rehabilitation programmes. This review outlines the older and newer LAI APs available for the treatment of schizophrenia, with considerations of past and present pharmacological and therapeutic issues. Traditional, evidence-based approaches to systematic reviews and randomized clinical trials are of limited utility in this area so this paper's blending of experimental trials with observational research is particularly appropriate and effective.

Keywords: adherence, atypical, clinical trial, depot, history, long-acting injections, pharmacology, treatment, typical

Historical background of long-acting injectable antipsychotics

Soon after the introduction of antipsychotics (APs) in the 1950s, poor adherence to oral formulations was found to be a critical issue. This led to the development in 1966 of the first long-acting injectable (LAI) AP fluphenazine enanthate, and fluphenazine decanoate some 18 months later, to reduce the incidence of side effects of the former [Johnson, 2009]. Haloperidol decanoate became available in Europe in 1981 and in the USA in 1986 [Knudsen, 1985]. Clinical trial results showed a dramatic reduction in the morbidity of schizophrenia [Gottfries and Green, 1974; Johnson, 2009]. However, the concept of LAIs for schizophrenia was not initially received warmly by the medical profession for fears of increased side effects, lack of efficacy, and the fact this was seen as an attempt by psychiatrists to impose a treatment upon patients without due regard to their feelings or rights [Johnson, 2009]

as well as the potential for medicolegal problems [Glazer and Kane, 1992]. However, with subsequent surveys and trials suggesting their benefits [Rifkin *et al.* 1977; Schooler *et al.* 1980; Hogarty *et al.* 1979], LAIs became more widely adopted.

The introduction of the oral second-generation APs (SGAs) brought claims of better tolerance and less severe side effects, even though their use may be hindered by metabolic syndrome [Meyer and Stahl, 2009]. They also have potential to prevent or reverse accelerated frontotemporal cortical grey matter decline, and to provide a greater degree of neuroprotection than first generation APs (FGAs) [Keefe et al. 2004; Robinson et al. 2006]. The introduction of SGAs led to a decline in the use of LAI FGAs [Patel et al. 2003; Patel and David, 2005]. However, it soon became clear that atypical characteristics did not bring better adherence rates with oral SGAs. The recent introduction of LAI SGAs allows psychiatrists once

Ther Adv Psychopharmacol 2014, Vol. 4(5) 198–219 DOI: 10.1177/ 2045125314540297

© The Author(s), 2014. Reprints and permissions: http://www.sagepub.co.uk/ journalsPermissions.nav

Correspondence to: Sofia Brissos, MD
Psychiatrist, Lisbon's
Psychiatric Hospitalar
Centre, Rua Conde de
Redondo, nº 8 3º dt.,
Lisbon, 1150, Portugal
brissos.sofia@gmail.com;
sofiabrissos@chpil.

Miguel Ruiz Veguilla, MD, PhD

min-saude.pt

Grupo Psicosis y Neurodesarrollo, Instituto de Biomedicina de Sevilla (IBIS), Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocio /CSIC/Universidad de Sevilla, Unidad de Hospitalizacion de Salud Mental, Sevilla, Spain

David Taylor, PhD, FRPharmS

South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, Pharmacy Department, Maudsley Hospital, Denmark Hill, London, UK

Vicent Balanzá-Martinez , MD. PhD

Catarroja Mental Health Unit, University Hospital Doctor Peset, FISABIO, Valencia; and Section of Psychiatry, University of Valencia, CIBERSAM, Valencia, Spain

again to prescribe LAIs without losing any of the potential advantages of the SGAs by using this form of delivery [Johnson, 2009].

Bioavailability and dosing of LAI APs

While oral APs are converted to active and inactive metabolites and only a relatively small portion reaches the brain [Jann et al. 1985], LAIs bypass the initial deactivating process by avoiding first-pass metabolism in the liver [Dencker, 1984; Marder et al. 1989]. In this way, a higher proportion of the drug is available centrally [McEvoy, 2006], which arguably can allow the use of the lowest effective dose [Gerlach, 1995]. As a rule, calculation of total doses for oral versus LAI APs over time will usually show lower doses for the LAIs. That said, appropriate prescribing and dosing of LAIs is complicated by their long half-lives, delayed release (up to 28 days in the case of risperidone) and risk of postinjection delirium/sedation syndrome (olanzapine pamoate) [Novakovic et al. 2013]. Lack of clear dose-response data lead clinicians to approximate dosing in their clinical practice; even where fixed-dose studies have established 'dose-response' curves, there is debate regarding the clinical value of doses at the higher and lower end of the dose range [Taylor, 2009]. The pharmacokinetic and clinical characteristics of most commonly used LAI APs are described in Table 1.

Attitudes of clinicians and patients to LAI APs

So far, few reports have addressed this question and the mixed quality of surveys makes generalizations problematic. A negative attitude amongst clinicians regarding LAI APs is common [Heres et al. 2006; Patel et al. 2010; Jaeger and Rossler, 2010], especially when considering recent onset patients [Heres et al. 2006; Kirschner et al. 2013]. Recently, a survey of 891 European psychiatrists and nurses revealed that 96% preferred LAI medications to oral treatment for patients with chronic schizophrenia, whereas only 40% preferred them for first-episode patients [Geerts et al. 2013]. The overestimated compliance by psychiatrists also limits their use [Heres et al. 2008; Samalin et al. 2013].

Regarding patients themselves, the majority have a negative impression of LAIs and perceive them as more coercive [Patel *et al.* 2010; Jaeger and Rossler, 2010], and patients are thought to be

more negative towards LAIs than psychiatrists and relatives [Perkins, 2002; Patel et al. 2010].

However, patients are often not fully informed about LAIs by their psychiatrist [Patel et al. 2010; Potkin et al. 2013], and treatment decisions are usually made without patient or caregiver input, with LAIs not being discussed in about 50% of patients taking oral AP [Potkin et al. 2013]. However, when offered, more LAI-naïve patients express neutral (47%) or favourable (16%), rather than unfavourable (37%) responses [Potkin et al. 2013]. Moreover, patients who have tried LAIs prefer this treatment over oral APs [Patel and David, 2005; Heres et al. 2007; Patel et al. 2009; Waddell and Taylor, 2009; Caroli et al. 2011], referring that they 'feel better', have a more 'normal life' and find injections 'easier to remember' [Olfson et al. 1999; Svedberg et al. 2003; Caroli et al. 2011]. Regarding convenience, both patients and staff show a positive attitude and satisfaction towards LAIs [Walburn et al. 2001; Waddell and Taylor, 2009].

In subjects with a first episode of psychosis (FEP), psychiatrists frequently presume that patients will not accept LAI APs [Kirschner et al. 2013] and few patients are offered this treatment choice [Kirschner et al. 2013]. Although some FEP patients perceive LAI as more coercive and stigmatizing [Patel et al. 2010], others have a favourable perception, just like their relatives and primary caregivers [De la Gándara et al. 2009].

Finally, psychiatrists' prescription of LAI APs may also be influenced by other factors; a study by Heres and colleagues [Heres et al. 2008] and a replicated French study from Samalin and colleagues [Samalin et al. 2013] found two clusters of factors preferentially taken into account by psychiatrists for the prescription of a depot form. Cluster I corresponded to patients with a past history of relapse and poor compliance with oral forms; however, there was a group of patients (cluster II), which corresponded to patients with a high level of insight and a high level of therapeutic alliance, factors not traditionally associated with the use of LAI APs. Another interesting point was the preferential use of LAI APs for patients with behavioural disorders to curb impulsiveness and aggressiveness or dangerousness [Llorca et al. 2013].

In short, preference for LAIs is mainly dependent on both the psychiatrists' and the patients'

Table 1. Pharmacokinetic and clinical features of most commonly used first-generation LAI antipsychotics and new second-generation LAI antipsychotics.

				,			,		
Name	Year	Vehicle	Dose range (mg)	Administration interval (weeks)	Time to achieve peak plasma concentration (days)	Half-life (days) (multiple dose)	Time to steady state (weeks)	Local of administration	Storage
Fluphenazine enanthate [Curry et al. 1979]	1966	Sesame oil	12.5- 100	1-4	2-3	AN A	8 or more	Gluteal muscle	15-30°C
Fluphenazine decanoate [Curry et al. 1979]	1967	Sesame oil	12.5-	1-4	0.3-1.5	14	m	Gluteal muscle	15-30°C
Flupenthixol Decanoate [Jørgensen et al. 1980; Viala et al. 1984]	1970	Viscoleo	10-50	2-4	7	17		Gluteal muscle	15–30°C
Zuclopenthixol Decanoate [Poulsen et al. 1994]	1976	Viscoleo	200 -	2-4	4-7	7–19	ω	Gluteal muscle	15–30°C
Haloperidol decanoate [Reyntijens <i>et al.</i> 1982; Kissling <i>et al.</i> 1985]	1967	Sesame oil	20-400	7	3-9	21	8–12	Gluteal muscle	15-30°C
Perphenazine decanoate [Knudsen, 1985; Larsen and Hansen, 1989]	1960s	Sesame oil		2-4	1-7	14	12	Gluteal muscle	15–30°C
Risperidone long-acting [Agid et al. 2010; Gefvert et al. 2005; Thyssen et al. 2010]*	2001	Water (microsphere polymers suspension)	25-50	2	28	4-6	∞	Gluteal or deltoid muscle	2–8°C (up to 25°C for 1 week)
Paliperidone palmitate [Gilday and Nasrallah, 2012]\$	2009	Water (suspension of nanoparticles)	25–150	4		25-49		Gluteal or deltoid muscle	15-30°C
Olanzapine pamoate [Agid <i>et al.</i> 2010; Rosaria and Alice, 2010] [‡]	2009	Water (microcrystalline suspension)	150– 300	2 or 4	2-4	14-30	8-12	Gluteal muscle	15-30°C
Aripiprazole long-acting [Nahata and Saini, 2009]§	2009-10	Water (microparticles)		7	5-7	29-46	12	Gluteal muscle	

gluteus or deltoid. Has the advantage of a nonhepatic metabolism, no need for oral supplementation, reconstitution or refrigeration.

†Post-injection delirium sedation syndrome (PDSS) occurred in 1.4% of patients participating to the developing phase of olanzapine pamoate; as for metabolic adverse effects, olanzapat day 8, both in the deltoid muscle, and once monthly thereafter in either the man 100 mg at day 8, both in the deltoid muscle, and once monthly thereafter in either the *Available for injection into deltoid muscle, with increased flexibility in administration, since 2010 [Muirhead et al. 2006]; needs oral supplementation in the first 3 weeks of treatment.

ine might modulate insulin-stimulated glucose transport in adipocytes and skeletal muscle cells, just as other atypicals.
§The duration of release might vary according to the particles' size; after the first injection, needs supplementation with oral antipsychotic for 2 weeks; http://www.otsuka-us.com/Products/Documents/Abilify.M.Pl.pdf (accessed 3 June 2014).

LAI, long-acting injectable; NA, not available.

Table 2. Summary of potential advantages and disadvantages of long-acting injectable antipsychotics as compared to oral antipsychotics.

Advantages

- No need for daily administration
- Guaranteed administration and transparency of adherence [Gerlach, 1995; Remington and Adams, 1995]
- Allows healthcare professionals to be alerted and to intervene appropriately if patients fail to take their medication [NICE, 2009]
- Less probability for rebound symptoms and rapidly occurring/abrupt relapses
- Overcome partial adherence or overt nonadherence
- If a relapse occurs, it is due to other reasons beyond noncompliance [Waddell and Taylor, 2009]
- Reduced risk of unintentional or deliberate overdose [Gerlach, 1995; Remington and Adams, 1995]
- Lower relapse rates [Walburn et al. 2001; De la Gándara et al. 2009; Gabel et al. 2010; Kane et al. 2010]
- Minimal gastrointestinal absorption problems, circumventing first-pass metabolism [Dencker, 1984; Marder et al. 1989]
- More consistent bioavailability [Waddell and Taylor, 2009]
- More predictable correlation between dosage and plasma levels [Rocca et al. 2013]
- Reduced peak-trough plasma levels [McEvoy, 2006]
- Improved patient outcomes [Olfson et al, 1999]
- Improved patients' and physicians' satisfaction [Peuskens et al. 2010]
- Regular contact between the patient and mental healthcare team [Pandarakalam, 2003]

Disadvantages

- Slow dose titration [Heres et al. 2007]
- Longer time to achieve steady state levels [Heres et al. 2007; Remington and Adams, 1995; Knox et al. 2004]
- Less flexibility of dose adjustment [Gerlach, 1995]
- Delayed disappearance of distressing and/or severe side effects
- Pain at the injection site can occur, and leakage into the subcutaneous tissue and/or the skin may cause irritation and lesions (especially for oily long-acting injectable)
- Burden of frequent travel to outpatient clinics or home visits by community nurses for their administration
- Risperidone long-acting injectable needs refrigeration, which may be cumbersome in some latitudes
- Perception of stigma

experience with such compounds. Educating staff, patients and families can help address prejudice and stigma towards LAI APs, increase familiarity and ultimately increase preference for this type of medication [Waddell and Taylor, 2009].

These attitudes determine, at least in part, the prevalence of use of LAIs. There is a lack of robust data on the frequency of use of LAI APs in schizophrenia patients [Adams *et al.* 2001], making it difficult to detail trends in use [Kissling, 1991]. LAI use varies greatly between different regions of the world and over the years [Kissling, 1991; Rothbard *et al.* 2003; Patel and David, 2005].

Overall, the use of LAIs diminished dramatically after the introduction of oral SGAs in the 1990s [Patel *et al.* 2003; Patel and David, 2005], and nowadays the proportion of patients prescribed LAI ranges from 10 to 50% [De Risio and Lang, 2014]. Higher prevalence in use (30–50%) is seen in Austria, UK, East Asia, Turkey and Portugal [De Risio and Lang, 2014], whereas lower numbers have been reported in the USA (13–28%)

[Covell et al. 2002; Offord et al. 2013], New Zealand (15%) [Humberstone et al. 2004], and Italy (10%) [De Risio and Lang, 2014]. However, it remains that, regardless of setting, and despite the purported advantages of LAI APs, their use is still limited to the maintenance treatment of chronic, multi-episode schizophrenia.

Potential advantages and disadvantages of

The pros and cons associated with the use of LAI formulations are summarized in Table 2.

LAI APs offer a number of advantages compared with oral medication, including not having to remember to take drugs daily, reducing the risk of unintentional or deliberate overdose, and transparency of adherence [Gerlach, 1995; Remington and Adams, 1995], allowing healthcare professionals to be alerted and to intervene appropriately if patients fail to take their medication [NICE, 2009]. When patients stop medication, plasma levels decrease more slowly than with oral

formulations, giving time for the healthcare professionals to intervene at an early stage and lowering the probability of rebound symptoms or of a relapse occurring as rapidly. Moreover, if a patient suffers a relapse, despite receiving regular LAI treatment, it is then clear that compliance is not the reason [Remington and Adams, 1995].

Other benefits include more consistent bioavailability [Remington and Adams, 1995] and more predictable correlation between dosage and plasma levels [Rocca et al. 2013], reduced peaktrough plasma levels [McEvoy, 2006], improved patient outcomes [Peuskens et al. 2010a; Kaplan et al. 2013], improved patient and physician satisfaction [Peuskens et al. 2010b], lower relapse rates [Emsley et al. 2008a, 2008b; Gaebel et al. 2010] than oral therapy and more regular contact with the mental healthcare team [Pandarakalam, 2003].

The main disadvantage of LAIs relates to the slow dose titration and the long time required to achieve steady state levels [Agid et al. 2010]. This disadvantage is most evident in acutely ill individuals, in whom there would be a need for rapid dose titration within days of initiating treatment. In addition, during this time oral AP supplementation may be necessary, adding to the complexity of the titration process. It is also more difficult to make sensible dose adjustments because attainment of steady state plasma levels may take more than 2 months after a dose change. For these reasons, the initiation of LAIs has generally been confined to those periods when a patient is at least partially stabilized on their existing treatment. With some newer LAIs, such as paliperidone palmitate, rapid therapeutic levels can be attained by means of a 'loading dose regimen', allowing for its use in patients with moderate symptoms, and bringing about a rapid onset of action with no need for oral supplementation [Gilday and Nasrallah, 2012].

Severe side effects, such as tardive dystonia, dyskinesia and neuroleptic malignant syndrome (NMS) [Glazer, 1984; Kane et al. 1998], and postinjection syndrome with olanzapine [Novakovic et al. 2013], may occur; nevertheless, there are rare and there seems to be no increased risk for EPS liability [Glazer, 1984; Marder et al. 1984; Glazer and Kane, 1992; Adams et al. 2001], tardive dyskinesia [Adams et al. 2001], or NMS in LAI treatment.

Pain at the injection site can occur, and leakage of small amounts of the drug into the subcutaneous tissue and/or the skin may as well cause irritation and lesions. The lack of LAI formulations for all oral APs may also limit the use of some LAIs in clinical practice [Heres *et al.* 2008; Samalin *et al.* 2013]. Finally, risperidone LAI (RLAI) needs refrigeration, which may be cumbersome.

The importance of ensuring treatment continuity in schizophrenia

The relapsing, lifelong course of schizophrenia, along with the potentially progressive nature of the disease, justifies the continuity of treatment in the majority of patients. Today, the presence of structural and functional abnormalities in the brain of these patients has been well documented [Agarwal et al. 2010; Brugger et al. 2011; Nickl-Jockschat et al. 2011]. Although the interpretation of these data is often challenging and much remains to be understood, the observed brain abnormalities seem to progress with illness [Agarwal et al. 2010; Nickl-Jockschat et al. 2011].

Studies in animal models suggest that chronic exposure to APs may contribute to decreases in brain tissue volume associated with the disease [Ho et al. 2011], and a significant correlation between greater duration and intensity of AP treatment and reduced brain tissue volume has also been reported in patients [Ho et al. 2011]. However, a study carried out in recently diagnosed patients showed that prolonged treatment with the SGA RLAI was associated with stable white matter volume in comparison with a decrease in volume observed in patients treated with the oral formulation of the same molecule [Bartzokis et al. 2012]. These data suggest that, by modifying adherence, RLAI may differentially impact myelination and account for the better long-term outcomes compared with oral risperidone. Thus, the risk-benefit balance of long-term treatment needs to be further assessed.

Finally, a factor leading to inadequate and/or premature treatment discontinuation is poor adherence to AP medication, and inadequate adherence to treatment is one of the major issues in the management of patients with schizophrenia.

The consequences of (in)adequate adherence to AP treatment

The definition of medication adherence or compliance varies in the literature but has recently been defined as ≥80% of medication taken over

12 months and/or <1 week missed medications (over 3 months) [Velligan *et al.* 2009]. Studies have shown nonadherence rates to oral medication in schizophrenia to be as high as 50% [Glazer, 2007]. Moreover, adherence behaviour changes and fluctuates over time in a dynamic fashion and should be considered as a core aspect of the illness [Weiden, 2007].

Poor adherence is a relevant risk factor for relapse in both chronic [Valenstein *et al.* 2002; Leucht and Heres, 2006] and recent-onset patients [Morken *et al.* 2008], and most hospital readmissions are because of some degree of nonadherence, even if is often unclear whether nonadherence is the cause or the consequence of the relapse [Kane and Garcia-Ribera, 2009].

Among pharmacologic interventions aimed to enhance adherence, expert consensus and recent guidelines have proposed switching to a LAI when lack of insight, comorbid substance use, persistent symptoms, logistic problems, lack of routines or lack of family/social support makes adherence to oral APs problematic [NICE, 2009; Kane and Garcia-Ribera, 2009; Velligan *et al.* 2010; Koola *et al.* 2012].

Better outcomes, as evidenced by an improvement in clinical symptoms and functioning, a reduction in the use of concomitant medication, the rate of hospitalization and the duration of hospital stay, have been shown to correlate with better adherence [Olivares *et al.* 2009], and adherent patients have significantly lower hospital costs than nonadherent patients [Gilmer *et al.* 2004; Dilla *et al.* 2013].

Better outcomes among adherent patients may be a result of the continuous availability of medication, but it may also be easier for patients with good functioning levels and better controlled symptoms to take their medication on a daily basis [Olivares *et al.* 2009]. Thus, adherence is a key factor for treatment continuity and, at the same time, uninterrupted treatment administration appears to favour good adherence [Altamura *et al.* 2012].

Forensic implications of LAI APs

Psychosis has been considered an important risk factor for violence, but recently the UK Prisoner Cohort Study revealed that schizophrenia was associated with violence only in the absence of treatment (odds ratio = 3.76) [Keers et al. 2014]. Untreated schizophrenia was associated with the emergence of persecutory delusions at follow-up, which were associated with violence; therefore, maintaining psychiatric treatment after release can substantially reduce violent recidivism among prisoners with schizophrenia [Keers et al. 2014].

LAI APs have been recommended in involuntary admission to hospital, with the goal of increasing treatment compliance among first episode schizophrenia (FES) patients [Stip et al. 2011], and of avoiding future deterioration [Vaughan et al. 2000; Muirhead et al. 2006]. Even if it appears paradoxical, this coercive step does not seem to alter the therapeutic alliance [Trudeau et al. 1999].

In this context, naturalistic studies comparing oral to LAI APs for schizophrenia patients on involuntary outpatient treatment have revealed better medication adherence, fewer crisis referrals, and fewer relapses in the LAI group [Vaughan et al. 2000; Swartz et al. 2001; Muirhead et al. 2006; Swartz et al. 2001], and that LAIs may be an important tool to improve outcomes in these specific clinical population [Zhornitsky and Stip, 2012].

There are no data to suggest that a physician incurs greater liability with one route of AP administration than with another [Glazer and Kane, 1992]. The essential medicolegal issue is the extent to which physicians meet the standard of care by which liability is measured, rather than whether oral, short-term injectable, or a LAI was prescribed [Glazer and Kane, 1992]. For medicolegal purposes, a meaningful interaction between the physician and the patient can be documented by including brief quotes from the patient and/or family. It is difficult to discuss the benefits and risks of AP treatment with patients whose condition frequently involves neurocognitive deficits/ dysfunction [Brissos et al. 2011; Ekerholm et al. 2012]. Nevertheless, physicians should always discuss treatment options and inform patients that LAI treatment is among the best treatment approaches [Glazer and Kane, 1992].

Guidelines and recommendations for the treatment of schizophrenia with LAI APs

The first guidelines published in 1998, already recommended that LAI APs should be considered for 'any patients with schizophrenia for

whom long-term treatment is indicated' [Kane et al. 1998]. Several guidelines recommend LAI AP medication only for patients with recurrent relapses related to partial or full nonadherence, or patients with persistent positive symptoms [Lehman et al. 2004; Canadian Psychiatric Association, 2005], although more recent guidelines have introduced subtle changes. For example, the Texas Medication Algorithm Project [Moore et al. 2007] recommends that the clinicians consider LAI APs in patients who are inadequately adherent 'at any stage'. Similarly, guidance from the National Institute for Clinical Excellence in the UK states that clinicians should consider offering LAI APs to patients who would 'prefer such treatment after an acute episode and where avoiding covert nonadherence to AP medication is a clinical priority' within the treatment plan [NICE, 2009].

In fact, several authors propose that LAI APs should not be restricted to patients with adherence problems, but instead should be more widely prescribed [Altamura *et al.* 2012], and systematically offered to all patients through shared decision-making [Llorca *et al.* 2013].

Kane and Garcia-Ribera further suggested that 'any patient for whom long-term treatment is indicated should be considered a candidate for long-acting injections', and that even if patients refuse this option, it would be helpful to discuss it further to better understand the potential advantages [Kane and Garcia-Ribera, 2009].

Regarding FES, current guidelines have a conservative position, but recent evidence suggests that these perhaps need to be updated [Parellada et al. 2012]. In fact, given the importance of continuous treatment in the early phases of schizophrenia, LAI APs may also be a treatment option [Kim et al. 2012; Emsley et al. 2013], and in the context of a shared decision-making approach [Parellada et al. 2012; Kirschner et al. 2013], it could reduce the negative image and stigmatization attached to depots [Kirschner et al. 2013].

Long-acting injectable APs in the early phase of schizophrenia

Treatment of FES is particularly important to improve long-term outcomes, as most clinical and psychosocial deterioration with cognitive decline and progressive structural changes in brain volume [Agarwal *et al.* 2010; Brugger *et al.* 2011;

Nickl-Jockschat *et al.* 2011] occur within the first 5 years from the disease onset [Rocca *et al.* 2013; Kim *et al.* 2013].

In this initial phase, pharmacological intervention favourably affect symptomatic control and functional outcomes [Schooler, 2003; McGorry et al. 2007; Lindenmayer et al. 2009; Viala et al. 2012]; thus the primary goal of treatment during this period is to prevent a subsequent relapse and to restore sociooccupational functioning to the premorbid level [Kim et al. 2013]. However, poor medication adherence is particularly common in FES [Coldham et al. 2002], and is among the leading reasons for relapse in FES according to a recent meta-analysis [Alvarez-Jiménez et al. 2008]. This is due to several factors; many individuals in the early stages do not accept the illness itself or its severity, and there can even be a false sense of treatment being unnecessary or an unwanted imposition [Kane and Garcia-Ribera, 2009]. This leads to relapse rates in FES over 70% as early as 1 year after diagnosis [Emsley et al. 2012]. The cohort study by Tiihonen and colleagues in 2588 FES patients found that fewer than 50% of patients in the Finnish healthcare system continued treatment for the first 2 months after their initial hospitalization. Interestingly, in this study, route of administration affected relapse; LAIs had a 64% lower relapse rate than the equivalent oral medication [Tiihonen et al. 2011].

Despite this, in many countries fewer than 10% of psychiatrists offer patients LAIs after a FES [Knudsen, 1985]. However, some authors propose that LAI APs are perhaps most suited to patients in the early stage of illness, before disease progression associated with poor adherence occurs [Emsley et al. 2013], and since the advantages outweigh potential adverse effects [Rocca et al. 2013; Taylor and Ng, 2013]. The best rationale for using LAI APs in FES comes from the fact that frequent relapses occur during the first years of the illness [Alvarez-Jiménez et al. 2008] and there is evidence for decreased rate of relapse with LAI medication compared with oral APs in FES [Tiihonen et al. 2011; Kim et al. 2008].

Taylor and Ng carried out a systematic review to find out whether LAI APs should be used in early schizophrenia. The authors identified 10 studies: 2 cohort studies, 3 (RCTs) and 5 open studies. In spite of the limited number of studies, especially RCTs, they concluded that LAIs may be useful in

the treatment of FES. The use of LAIs in FEPs may be more effective than oral medication in controlling symptoms and relapse [Taylor and Ng, 2013]. Tolerability of APs is a particular concern in FES. Usually, medication-naïve individuals are acutely sensitive to APs in terms of responsiveness as well as side effects [Kelly et al. 2005; Alvarez-Jiménez et al. 2008; Francey et al. 2010] including extrapyramidal symptoms (EPS) [Chatterjee et al. 1995] and weight gain [Strassnig et al. 2007]. However, it is generally admitted that LAIs have a more acceptable side effect profile in comparison with their oral counterparts due to lower variation in peak and trough levels, and any concerns over debilitating side effects may be due to dosing errors [Taylor, 2009].

Regarding which LAI is more suitable for FES, there is an absence of long-term RCTs comparing LAIs with oral medication regarding efficacy, tolerability, relapse prevention and global outcomes [Parellada *et al.* 2012], and most evidence concerns RLAI. We also need studies examining patients' preferences, acceptability and attitudes toward LAIs in early phases of the illness, as well as data about nonadherence rates of LAI SGAs in early phases of schizophrenia. There is also a lack of cost-effectiveness studies comparing LAIs with oral AP treatments specifically focusing on FES.

The question of whether effective early intervention positively influences long-term outcome also needs to be more effectively addressed [Parellada *et al.* 2012]. We need to know whether we are able to alter disease trajectory to clinical and neurological deterioration that mainly occurs within the first 3–5 years following the onset of the illness, e.g. the 'critical period' [Birchwood *et al.* 1998].

A positive answer for benefits on disease progression would provide support to an emerging literature regarding the neuroprotective effects of APs, especially SGAs [Bartzokis *et al.* 2011; Gassó *et al.* 2010].

In summary, psychiatrists may start considering the option of LAI APs, especially SGAs, to more patients with first-episode or recent-onset schizophrenia in a shared decision-making approach [Parellada *et al.* 2012]. In this sense, current clinical guidelines regarding LAI AP use in FES may be too much conservative and need perhaps to be updated [Parellada *et al.* 2012]. Nevertheless, studies with high methodology quality are needed to confirm already existing results.

AP discontinuation after FEP: the role of LAI formulations

Considerable debate exists surrounding the need to maintain or discontinue AP medication after the FES [Emsley et al. 2013]. There are 10 RCT studies addressing discontinuation in cases of FEP, but the results are contradictory [Hogarty and Ulrich, 1977, 1998; Kane et al. 1982; Crow et al. 1986; McReadie et al. 1989; Gitlin et al. 2001; Wunderick et al. 2007; Chen et al. 2010; Boonstra et al. 2011; Gaebel et al. 2002, 2011] (Table 3). The rate of relapse in AP and placebo interventions showed heterogeneous results. Some authors [Kane et al. 1982; McReadie et al. 1989; Gaebel et al. 2002, 2011] reported lower rates of relapse for the AP intervention (0-6%); on the other hand, Crow and colleagues found that 46% of the patients on active medication had a psychotic relapse within 2 years [Crow et al. 1986], whereas Chen and colleagues reported similar rates, but in 1 year [Chen et al. 2010]. Boonstra and colleagues reported a higher rate of relapse on placebo intervention in 2 years (82%) [Boonstra et al. 2011], and a similar rate in 1 year (79%) was described by Chen and colleagues [Chen et al. 2010]. On the other hand, Gitlin and colleagues [Gitlin et al. 2001] and Gaebel and colleagues [Gaebel et al. 2010a, 2010b] reported low rates of relapse in conjunction with placebo intervention (13% in 6 months and 19% in 1 year, respectively). Overall, studies suggest that maintenance treatment is more effective than discontinuation, and only 1 of the 10 studies reports beneficial effects for the discontinuation intervention [Gaebel et al. 2002].

To the best of our knowledge, there are no RCTs evaluating the efficacy of discontinuation with LAIs. In the studies evaluating this, the percentage of patients on LAIs varied greatly and the percentage of those relapsing after discontinuation of a depot was not reported [Hogarty et al. 1977; Kane et al. 1982; Crow et al. 1986; McReadie et al. 1989; Gitlin et al. 2011; Boonstra et al. 211]. Interestingly, all the studies but one [Crow et al. 1986] showed lower rates of relapse with the AP intervention.

The question of whether the onset of psychosis associated with AP withdrawal is a relapse of the disorder or else a new phenomenon caused by the withdrawal of APs ('supersensitivity psychosis') is an important matter [Moncrieff, 2006]. If the latter were the case, then the choice of the drug could prove significant. Chouinard and Jones proposed that APs with a short half-life would be at a

Table 3. Randomized clinical trials evaluating the rate of relapse comparing discontinuation *versus* maintenance antipsychotic medication after a FEP.

Studies	Sample size	Treatment duration (months)	Discontinuation	Follow up (months)	Rate of relapse placebo <i>versus</i> maintenance	LAI (%)
Kane <i>et al.</i> [1982]	28	12	-	12	41% <i>versus</i> 0%*	Yes
Crow et al. [1986]	120	1	Reduce 50% first month Placebo second month	12	46% versus62%*	Yes (52.5)
McCreadie et al. [1989]	15	24	-	12	57% vs 0%*	Yes
Hogarty and Ulrich, [1977, 1988]	75	-	-	12 24	61% vs 27%* 64% vs 43%*	Yes (30)
Gitlin <i>et al.</i> [2001]	53	12	-	6 (3 crossover)	13% vs 1%*	Yes (100)
Gaebel <i>et al.</i> [2002]	115	12	50% every 2 weeks	24	42% vs 38%	No
Wunderink et al. [2007]	131	6	Step by step (clinical opinion)	18	43% <i>versus</i> 21%*	Yes (4)
Chen <i>et al.</i> [2010]	178	12	4–6 weeks	12	79% <i>versus</i> 41%*	No
Boonstra et al. [2011]	20	12	6–12 weeks	6	82% <i>versus</i> 12%*	Yes (5)
Gaebel <i>et al.</i> [2011]	96	12	3 months	12	19% <i>versus</i> 0%*	No

*p < 0.05.

FEP, first episode of psychosis; LAI, long-acting injectable.

greater risk of developing 'supersensitivity psychosis' [Chouinard and Jones, 1980]. The relation between onset and physiological withdrawal is clearer in drugs with a short half-life, especially clozapine [Verghese et al. 1996]. The rate of relapse after discontinuation is reduced after gradual discontinuation compared with abrupt discontinuation of APs [Moncrieff, 2006]. In a meta-analysis, Viguera and colleagues found that abrupt withdrawal was associated with 65% of relapse in six months as opposed to only 32% with gradual withdrawal [Viguera et al. 1997].

Bearing in mind the factors associated with psychosis relapse after discontinuation, there is some evidence in favor of using LAIs in FEP. Most FEP subjects will experience discontinuation 1 or 2 years after their index episode. The option of LAIs may minimize both the factor that increases the risk of supersensitivity and the risk of nonadherence, which is the major cause of relapse.

Supersensitivity psychosis is related with an upregulation of the dopamine D₂ receptor density

in the brain, as a consequence of long-term treatment with APs. Clozapine has been proposed as the treatment for supersensitivity psychosis [Kane et al. 1988] and RLAI has also been proposed as an effective treatment [Gaebel et al. 2002]. RLAI may prevent the fluctuation of dopamine D₂ receptor occupancy over time associated with the relapse of psychosis after discontinuation [Kane et al. 2003; Eerdenkens et al. 2004]. In summary, RLAI may be considered as a first-line treatment in FEP, while RLAI may reduce the risk of relapse in two scenarios or strategies, both continuation and AP withdrawal.

Long-acting injectable versus oral AP formulations

The first studies that compared LAIs with oral AP treatment were carried out on inpatients during the 1960s and 1970s, following the introduction of LAIs. A meta-review of controlled clinical studies comparing oral *versus* LAI FGAs, including more than 800 patients, found no differences in relapse rates, tolerability and anticholinergic use, whereas clinical improvement was significantly

more likely with LAIs [Adams et al. 2001]. However, these studies suffered from a number of methodological limitations, namely being carried out for a short period of time, and in inpatient settings where oral adherence may not be problematic. In fact, in a subsequent review, apart from one study, relapse was significantly higher for patients medicated with oral APs than depots [Schooler, 2003].

Later studies threw a wider net, addressing a broader range of outcome measures and employing different methodological approaches. In fact, studies of patients initiated or switched from oral to LAI APs reported significant improvements not only in schizophrenia symptom control, but also in quality of life, satisfaction and functioning [Kaplan *et al.* 2013].

The advantage of LAI *versus* oral APs in improving adherence has been demonstrated by Leucht and colleagues, who conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis on long-term RCTs on schizophrenia outpatients [Leucht *et al.* 2011]. The results also indicated a lower risk of relapse (10% and 30% relative and absolute risks, respectively) and dropout for inefficacy.

Kishimoto and colleagues performed the largest meta-analysis of 21 RCTs comparing LAI *versus* oral APs' efficacy for relapse prevention in schizophrenia [Kishimoto *et al.* 2014]. Surprisingly, and in contrast to previous meta-analysis, they found that pooled LAIs were not superior to orals in all of the examined relapse-related outcomes; the only exception was fluphenazine-LAI, which showed significant superiority over oral APs in several relapse-related outcomes.

Regarding LAI SGAs, a recent meta-analysis showed greater efficacy *versus* placebo, but no significant differences compared with oral APs [Fusar-Poli *et al.* 2013]. Most RCTs comparing RLAI and oral APs have shown a superiority of RLAI in relation to adherence, clinical improvement, reduction in EPS and prolactin levels [Chue *et al.* 2005a; Bai *et al.* 2007] and reduction of relapses and hospitalizations [Olivares *et al.* 2001], but not all [MacFadden *et al.* 2010]. Regarding olanzapine, there seems to be similar efficacy between the oral and long-acting formulations [Kane *et al.* 2010]. At the time of writing, there was no comparative data regarding aripiprazol LAI.

Negative results of RCTs might have been driven by methodological questions, since the comparative effectiveness of AP formulations is sensitive to research designs [Kirson et al. 2013; Kane et al. 2013a]. Of note, most of the studies were too short in length to evaluate relapse prevention adequately. However, patients consenting to clinical trials of LAIs may not be representative of those prescribed LAIs in real-world settings [Haddad et al. 2009], since they might over-represent patients with better engagement with healthcare providers, better adherence to treatment, lower illness severity and better cognitive capabilities to understand complex issues. In fact, patients who do not reliably take their oral medications may be less likely to volunteer for RCTs, particularly if a treatment arm includes a LAI [McEvoy, 2006; Davis, 2010]. It is also important to recognize that participation in a controlled trial alters the ecology of treatment delivery and experience. Patients in RCTs are likely to receive more and different types of attention than those in routine care, from measures of adherence to reminders to attend clinical/research assessment sessions, or to the provision of free medication [Correll et al. 2011; Kane et al. 2013a]. In addition, more frequent monitoring during a trial enables psychiatrists to change dosages according to the symptoms and to provide supportive psychotherapy. It is difficult to determine what role these factors might have in altering patterns of medication-taking in contrast to routine care and to what extent they might, therefore, diminish the potential advantages of LAIs in RCTs [Offord et al. 2013; Kishimoto et al. 2014].

Since a major advantage of LAIs is overcoming partial/nonadherence, the differences between oral and LAI formulations are better evaluated in naturalistic settings, and especially in long-term studies [Schooler, 2003]. Therefore, observational, effectiveness studies provide more interesting information for clinicians and health authorities, as they include representative samples of patients, use pragmatic variables, reproduce routine treatment conditions, and are done in real-world clinical settings [Stahl, 2001; March et al. 2005; Kane et al. 2013a].

Several observational studies have compared LAI FGAs with first- and second-generation oral APs, but results have been inconclusive. Two prospective studies comparing LAI FGAs with various oral FGAs reported the former were associated with lowered risk of rehospitalization and longer

time to discontinuation [Tiihonen et al. 2006; Zhu et al. 2008]. In contrast, two prospective studies comparing LAI FGAs with various oral SGAs found the oral SGAs to be associated with lower risk of readmission and higher odds ratio of achieving remission compared with LAIs [Conley et al. 2003; Haro et al. 2006, 2007]. In the same vein, the electronic records of patients medicated with LAI SGAs were compared with oral APs over a 30-month period revealed that LAI SGAs were associated with significantly lower mean number of rehospitalizations, emergency room visits and fewer hospitalization days compared with oral APs [Lafeuille et al. 2013].

Mirror-image studies evaluate the effect before versus after initiation of LAI treatment, with patients serving as their own controls. Mirrorimage studies published in the early 1970s provided the first clinical evidence favouring LAIs versus oral APs in terms of frequency and duration of hospitalizations [Denham and Adamson, 1971; Gottfries and Green, 1974; Marriot and Hiep, 1976; Devito et al. 1978]. Later such studies performed during the 1980s confirmed relapse rates are significantly higher with oral APs versus LAIs [Johnson et al. 1983]. More recently, a large cohort observational study in France with 1859 patients followed for up to 12 months found a 34% reduction in the risk of hospitalization in patients treated with RLAI compared with patients receiving other treatments, including depot formulations [Grimaldi-Bensouda et al. 2012]. A 2-year, prospective, observational study also showed that, compared with oral APs, RLAI was associated with better treatment retention, greater improvement in clinical symptoms and functioning, and greater reduction in both number and length of hospital stays [Young and Taylor, 2006; Olivares et al. 2009; Kane et al. 2013b].

A recent retrospective study involving more than 3600 patients showed that those who initiate LAIs versus oral APs have greater reductions in the number of hospitalizations for any cause and for schizophrenia relapse compared with before treatment began [Offord et al. 2013]; this was found even though patients who initiated LAIs had a much greater disease severity compared with patients who began oral treatment.

Nevertheless, observational studies also have important limitations; the fact that patients are not randomized may bias the LAI group, including a greater proportion of patients with low adherence (i.e. treatment failures by previous history and comorbidity) that are more likely to receive a LAI [Olivares et al. 2011]. Moreover, these studies can be confounded by independent events, such as a reduction of hospital beds [Davis et al. 1994], and some authors have highlighted that this methodological strategy has an 'inherent bias towards improvement' [Haddad et al. 2009]. Finally, the few studies that report tolerability data [Adams et al. 2001; Marchiaro et al. 2005; Haro et al. 2006] did not use direct measures (i.e. rating scales) but clinical observations regarding anticholinergic use. Moreover, since relapse rates vary as a function of stage of illness, this too must be factored into the study design [Agid et al. 2010].

At present, no head-to-head studies of oral APs and olanzapine pamoate (other than with oral olanzapine), paliperidone palmitate, or aripiprazol long-acting are available. In face of methodological limitations, it is risky to extrapolate findings on other variables such as cost-effectiveness or adherence, and more studies are needed comparing RLAI, paliperidone LAI and aripiprazol LAI with oral APs to be able to make conclusions regarding their specific differences in clinical outcomes. In the next section, studies comparing LAI FGAs and LAI SGAs are summarized.

First- versus second-generation LAI APs

Data regarding differences between LAI FGAs and SGAs are much sparser. LAI FGAs have a ludicrously wide range of licensed doses and are often given in too high a dose; for haloperidol decanoate optimally effective doses appear to be around 50–100 mg per 4 weeks [Taylor, 2005]. Although use of higher doses is difficult to support given data available, slow rise to steady state may explain this common practice [Taylor, 2005].

Switching studies regard mainly RLAI and have shown that patients with mild, residual symptomatology treated with conventional depots experience significant improvement in psychiatric and movement disorder symptoms [Lasser *et al.* 2004; Turner *et al.* 2004; Marinis *et al.* 2007; Lai *et al.* 2009]. Of note, in real world settings, RLAI has been shown to significantly reduce hospitalizations rates as compared with conventional depots [Grimaldi-Bensouda *et al.* 2012].

Patients treated with RLAI have also been shown to be much more satisfied with themselves, their

health and sleep than those on haloperidol depot [Marinis *et al.* 2007; Mihajlović *et al.* 2011], and have also reported better quality of life [Marinis *et al.* 2007].

More recently, in a 24-week open-label trial, Suzuki and Gen have shown that switching from haloperidol decanoate to RLAI may improve cognitive function including memory, executive function, motor processing function, and attention [Suzuki and Gen, 2012].

Covell and colleagues found no significant differences in psychopathology, hospitalizations, sexual side effects, new-onset tardive dyskinesia or EPS, and in time to treatment discontinuation in a naturalistic study of patients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder who were taking haloperidol decanoate (n = 40) or fluphenazine decanoate (n = 22), and were randomly assigned to stay on current LAI FGA or switch to RLAI [Covell et al. 2012]. However, when the 6-month naturalistic follow-up period was included, time to treatment discontinuation was significantly shorter for individuals assigned to switch than for individuals assigned to stay (10% of stayers discontinued versus 31% of switchers; p = 0.01). On the other hand, patients who switch to RLAI from conventional depots may experience significantly more weight gain and in prolactin levels [Lai et al. 2009; Covell *et al.* 2012].

As far as we know, there are no data comparing the SGAs olanzapine pamoate, paliperidone palmitate and aripiprazol LAI with conventional FGA depots. An ongoing study will compare paliperidone palmitate to aripiprazol LAI [Clinicaltrials.gov identifier: NCT01795547].

Cost-effectiveness of LAI AP treatment

Schizophrenia accounts for 1.5–3% of national healthcare expenditures [Knapp et al. 2004], and to reduce the burden of illness and ensure efficient resource use, cost-effective treatment is essential [Achilla and McCrone, 2013]. In that sense, treatment options that improve compliance and reduce side effects, relapse rates and rehospitalizations are of paramount importance; LAI APs have potential advantages over daily medication in improving these factors [Fleischacker et al. 2003; Almond et al. 2004; Haycox, 2005; Keith, 2009]. However, high acquisition and administration costs may limit their more widespread utilization [Moore et al. 1998; Oh et al. 2001; Chue et al. 2005b]. On

the other hand, even though drug costs of LAI APs are significantly higher than oral APs, schizophrenia patients initiating the former incur less healthcare cost in comparison with those initializing the latter [Lin *et al.* 2013].

Achilla and McCrone have reviewed the available evidence on the cost effectiveness of LAI APs [Achilla and McCrone, 2013]; the majority of studies are on RLAI and demonstrated that it was the dominant strategy in terms of cost-effectiveness relative to oral or other LAI drugs. RLAI was dominated by olanzapine in either oral or LAI formulation only in a Slovenian and a US study. Furthermore, in two UK studies, use of RLAI increased the hospitalization days and overall healthcare costs, relative to other atypical or typical LAI APs [Achilla and McCrone, 2013]. This was not found in a study of 250 patients 1 year after initiation of RLAI; mean days spent in hospital increased following initial administration of RLAI, with increase in direct healthcare costs [Young and Taylor, 2006]. The authors identified limitations to this study, including lack of a parallel control group and its short duration.

Therefore, RLAI is likely to be a cost-effective, first-line strategy for managing schizophrenia compared with other oral or depot formulations, irrespective of country-specific differences [Achilla and McCrone, 2013]. However, inconsistencies in study methodologies and in the reporting of study findings suggest caution needs to be applied in interpreting these findings.

Although indirect costs constitute a substantial proportion of the costs associated with schizophrenia, pharmacoeconomic studies have considered only the direct costs of health services, probably due to the limited availability of data and the difficulties associated with measuring them accurately. Thus, the adoption of a full societal perspective has been almost impossible. Finally, most economic evaluation studies employed decision analytical models rather than trial-based or observational designs.

A recent meta-analysis showed that costly LAI SGAs offer little advantage in reducing the psychotic symptoms, with no superior effect in comparison with oral administration [Fusar-Poli *et al.* 2013]. However, using clinical trial data to evaluate whether LAI APs provide a benefit for adherence or clinical outcomes over oral APs is difficult since patients involved in clinical trials are

monitored to a much greater extent and are more likely to adhere to treatment [Offord *et al.* 2013], and hence lack external validity.

In addition to the likelihood of having a direct impact on hospital costs of care for schizophrenia patients, the marked reduction in the incidence of relapses and hospital length of stay will likely allow for patients using LAI APs to function better socially, maintain a job with less absenteeism and abuse substances to a lesser degree, all of which could potentially contribute towards a large reduction in the indirect costs of schizophrenia, which in the US in 2002 were estimated at \$32.4 billion [Wu et al. 2005]. Earlier introduction of LAI APs may provide a considerable benefit to patient outcome and potentially reduce the burden on healthcare resources [Offord et al. 2013].

Finally, increase in medication adherence with the use of LAI APs may eventually induce a reduction in the pharmaceutical costs of schizophrenia treatment by a decrease in hospital stays that compensate their higher costs, especially SGAs [Niaz and Haddad, 2010]. Treatment with LAIs may be also more cost-effective than oral medication, and may reduce the suicide risk and the greater propensity to violence observed at least in a subset of persons with psychotic illnesses and comorbid substance/alcohol use disorders [Ravasio *et al.* 2009; Reichart and Kissling, 2013].

Unmet needs and prospect vistas

There is a need to increase the availability of additional LAI SGAs and to develop more reliable methods of AP delivery. Given the failure of the long-term oral treatments and bearing in mind that relapse can lead to serious consequences from all perspectives (biological and psychosocial), the future of schizophrenia pharmacotherapy will hopefully evolve to include better long-term delivery systems to more effectively address the high risk of relapse due to nonadherence in all phases of the illness. These should include not only longer extended release injectable formulations, but also intranasal formulations [Currier and Walsh, 2013], transdermal patches, subcutaneous implants of APs, and other longacting devices like AP pumps [Rabin et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2012], since one of the primary reasons why patients reject LAIs is the fear of needles [Kim et al. 2012].

A radical change in attitude among clinicians and patients is required to reconsider LAI APs from a new perspective: no longer medications of last resort, but rather a potential first step to ensure treatment continuity and maximize clinical remission [Altamura *et al.* 2012]. Achieving and maintaining clinical remission is important [Andreasen *et al.* 2005], since patients in remission show significantly better social functioning and quality of life [Brissos *et al.* 2011].

A leading new issue is the utilization of LAIs in FES to prevent cognitive decline induced by demyelinization [Niaz and Haddad, 2010; Bartzokis et al. 2011; Olivares et al. 2011]. This approach implies increasing mental health staff relations with patients to overcome the 'last resort treatment' image of LAI APs [Knudsen, 1985; Glazer, 2007; Davis, 2010; Olivares et al. 2011], since treating with LAI APs as early as possible, ideally from the first episode, can reduce relapse, number and duration of rehospitalization and cognitive symptoms, and improve the quality of life and functional outcomes [Viala et al. 2012]. Clearly, head-to-head comparison between two or more LAIs are critically needed to clarify the role of each compound in the longterm management of psychotic disorders.

For these reasons, the obvious advantages of these agents with regards to the problem of noncompliance, refractoriness and relapse prevention, need not be lost because of unfounded fears if not prejudices [Glazer and Kane, 1992].

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr Nuno Cunha for his helpful advice regarding pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics in previous versions of the manuscript. M.R.-V. received grant Estudio NONSTOP PI11/02334.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interest statement

S.B. was Medical Affairs Manager at Janssen Pharmaceutical from April 2010 to August 2013. Since then, she has served as consultant/advisor for Janssen on a sporadic basis. M.R.-V. has received grants and served as advisor or Continuing Medical Education (CME) speaker for the following entities: Bristol-Myers-Squibb;

Janssen; Juste; Otsuka; Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation; Consejería de Salud de la Junta de Andalucía; Plan Nacional de Drogas; and Fundación Alicia Koplowitz. D.T. has received research funding from and undertaken paid consultancy with Janssen, Servier, Otsuka and AstraZeneca. V.B.-M. has received grants and served as consultant, advisor or CME speaker during the last three years for the following entities: Almirall; Angelini; AstraZeneca; Bristol-Myers-Squibb; Janssen; Juste; Lundbeck; Otsuka; Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (CIBERSAM); and Fundación Alicia Koplowitz.

References

Achilla, E. and McCrone, P. (2013) The cost effectiveness of long-acting/extended-release antipsychotics for the treatment of schizophrenia: a systematic review of economic evaluations. *Appl Health Econ Health Policy* 11: 95–106

Adams, C., Fenton, M., Quairashi, S. and David, A. (2001) Systematic meta-review of depot antipsychotic drugs for people with schizophrenia. *Br J Psychiatry* 179: 290–299.

Agarwal, N., Port, J., Bazzocchi, M. and Renshaw, P. (2010) Update on the use of MR for assessment and diagnosis of psychiatric diseases. *Radiology* 255: 23–41.

Agid, O., Foussias, G. and Remington, G. (2010) Long-acting injectable antipsychotics in the treatment of schizophrenia: their role in relapse prevention. *Expert Opin Pharmacother* 11: 2301–2317.

Almond, S., Knapp, M., Francois, C., Toumi, M. and Brugha, T. (2004) Relapse in schizophrenia: costs, clinical outcomes and quality of life. *Br J Psychiatry* 184: 346–351.

Altamura, A., Aguglia, E., Bassi, M., Bogetto, F., Cappellari, L., De Giorgi, S. *et al.* (2012) Rethinking the role of long-acting atypical antipsychotics in the community setting. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol* 27: 336–349.

Alvarez-Jiménez, M., González-Blanch, C., Crespo-Facorro, B., Hetrick, S., Rodríguez-Sánchez, J., Pérez-Iglesias, R. *et al.* (2008) Antipsychotic-induced weight gain in chronic and first-episode psychotic disorders: a systematic critical reappraisal. *CNS Drugs* 22: 547–562.

Andreasen, N., Carpenter, W. Jr., Kane, J., Lasser, R., Marder, S. and Weinburger, D. (2005) Remission in schizophrenia: proposed criteria and rationale for consensus. *Am J Psychiatry* 162: 441–449.

Bai, Y., Ting Chen, T., Chen, J., Chang, W., Wu, B., Hung, C. et al. (2007) Equivalent switching dose

from oral risperidone to risperidone long-acting injection: a 48-week randomized, prospective, single-blind pharmacokinetic study. *J Clin Psychiatry* 68: 1218–1225.

Bartzokis, G., Lu, P., Amar, P., Raven, E., Detore, N., Altshuler, L. *et al.* (2011) Long acting injection *versus* oral risperidone in first-episode schizophrenia: differential impact on white matter myelination trajectory. *Schizophr Res* 132: 35–41.

Bartzokis, G., Lu, P., Raven, E., Amar, C., Detore, N., Couvrette, A. *et al.* (2012) Impact on intracortical myelination trajectory of long acting injection *versus* oral risperidone in first-episode schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 140: 122–128.

Birchwood, M., Todd, P. and Jackson, C. (1998) Early intervention in psychosis. The critical period hypothesis. *Br J Psychiatry Suppl* 172: 53–59.

Boonstra, G., Burger, H., Grobbee, D. and Kahn, R. (2011) Antipsychotic prophylaxis is needed sfter remission from a first episode psychotic episode in schizophrenia patients: results from an aborted randomised trial. *Int J Psychiatry Clin Pract* 15: 128–134.

Brissos, S., Dias, V., Balanzá-Martinez, V., Carita, A. and Figueira, M. (2011) Symptomatic remission in schizophrenia patients: relationship with social functioning, quality of life, and neurocognitive performance. *Schizophr Res* 129: 133–136.

Brugger, S., Davis, J., Leucht, S. and Stone, J. (2011) Proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy and illness stage in schizophrenia–a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Biol Psychiatry* 69: 495–503.

Canadian Psychiatric Association (2005) Clinical practice guidelines. Treatment of schizophrenia. *Can J Psychiatry* 50(13 Suppl. 1): 7–57.

Caroli, F., Raymondet, P., Izard, I., Plas, J., Gall, B. and Delgado, A. (2011) Opinions of French patients with schizophrenia regarding injectable medication. *Patient Prefer Adherence* 5: 165–171.

Chatterjee, A., Chakos, M., Koreen, A., Geisler, S., Sheitman, B., Woerner, M. et al. (1995) Prevalence and clinical correlates of extrapyramidal signs and spontaneous dyskinesia in never-medicated schizophrenic patients. Am J Psychiatry 152: 1724–1729.

Chen, E., Hui, C., Lam, M., Chiu, C., Law, C., Chung, D. *et al.* (2010) Maintenance treatment with quetiapine *versus* discontinuation after one year of treatment in patients with remitted first episode psychosis: random controlled trial. *BM*? 19: c4024.

Chouinard, G. and Jones, B. (1980) Neuroleptic–induced supersensitivity psychosis: clinical and pharmacologic characteristics. *Am J Psychiatry* 137: 16–21.

- Chue, P., Eerdekens, M., Augustyns, I., Lachaux, B., Molcan, P., Eriksson, L. *et al.* (2005a) Comparative efficacy and safety of long-acting risperidone and risperidone oral tablets. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* 15: 111–117.
- Chue, P., Heeg, B., Buskens, E. and van Hout, B. (2005b) Modelling the impact of compliance on the costs and effects of long-acting risperidone in Canada. *Pharmacoeconomics* 23(Suppl. 1): 62–74.
- Coldham, E., Addington, J. and Addington, D. (2002) Medication adherence of individuals with a first episode of psychosis. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 106: 286–290.
- Conley, R., Kelly, D., Love, R. and McMahon, R. (2003) Rehospitalization risk with second-generation and depot antipsychotics. *Ann Clin Psychiatry* 15: 23–31.
- Correll, C., Kishimoto, T. and Kane, J. (2011) Randomized controlled trials in schizophrenia: opportunities, limitations, and trial design alternatives. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci* 13: 155–172.
- Covell, N., McEvoy, J., Schooler, N., Stroup, T., Jackson, C., Rojas, I. *et al.*; Schizophrenia Trials Network (2012) Effectiveness of switching from long-acting injectable fluphenazine or haloperidol decanoate to long-acting injectable risperidone microspheres: an open-label, randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Psychiatry* 73: 669–675.
- Covell, N., Jackson, C., Evans, A. and Essock, S. (2002) Antipsychotic prescribing practices in Connecticut's public mental health system: rates of changing medications and prescribing styles. *Schizophr Bull* 28: 17–29.
- Crow, T., MacMillan, J., Johnson, A. and Johnstone, E. (1986) A randomised controlled trial of prophylactic neuroleptic treatment. *Br J Psychiatry* 148: 120–127.
- Currier, G. and Walsh, P. (2013) Safety and efficacy review of inhaled loxapine for treatment of agitation. *Clin Schizophr Relat Psychoses* 7: 25–32.
- Curry, S., Whelpton, R., de Schepper, P., Vranckx, S. and Schiff, A. (1979) Kinetics of fluphenazine after fluphenazine dihydrochloride, enanthate and decanoate administration to man. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 7: 325–331.
- Davis, J. (2010) The use of depot medications in the treatment of schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 167: 125–126.
- Davis, J., Matalon, L., Watanabe, M., Blake, L. and Matalon, L. (1994) Depot antipsychotic drugs. Place in therapy. *Drugs* 47: 741–773.
- De la Gándara, J., San Molina, L., Rubio, G., Rodriguez-Morales, A, Hidalgo Borrajo, R. and

- Burón, J. (2009) Experience with injectable longacting risperidone in long-term therapy after an acute episode of schizophrenia: the SPHERE Study. *Expert Rev Neurother* 9: 1463–1474.
- De Risio, A. and Lang, A. (2014) History and therapeutic rationale of long acting antipsychotics. *Curr Clin Pharmacol* 9: 39–52.
- Dencker, S. (1984) The risk/benefit ratio of depot neuroleptics: a Scandinavian perspective. *J Clin Psychiatry* 45: 22–27.
- Denham, J. and Adamson, L. (1971) The contribution of fluphenazine enanthate and decanoate in the prevention of readmission of schizophrenic patients. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 47: 420–430.
- Devito, R., Brink, L., Sloan, C. and Jolliff, F. (1978) Fluphenazine decanoate vs oral antipsychotics: a comparison of their effectiveness in the treatment of schizophrenia as measured by a reduction in hospital readmissions. *J Clin Psychiatry* 39: 26–34.
- Dilla, T., Ciudad, A. and Alvarez, M. (2013) Systematic review of the economic aspects of nonadherence to antipsychotic medication in patients with schizophrenia. *Patient Prefer Adherence* 7: 275–284.
- Eerdenkens, M., Hove, I., Remmerie, B. and Mannaert, E. (2004) Pharmacokinetics and tolerability of long-acting risperidone in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 70: 91–100.
- Ekerholm, M., Firus Waltersson, S., Fagerberg, T., Söderman, E., Terenius, L., Agartz, I. *et al.* (2012) Neurocognitive function in long-term treated schizophrenia: a five-year follow-up study. *Psychiatry Res* 200: 144–152.
- Emsley, R., Chiliza, B., Asmal, L., Mashile, M. and Fusar-Poli, P. (2013) Long-acting injectable antipsychotics in early psychosis: a literature review. *Early Interv Psychiatry* 7: 247–254.
- Emsley, R., Oosthuizen, P., Koen, L., Niehaus, D. and Martinez, G. (2012) Symptom recurrence following intermittent treatment in first-episode schizophrenia successfully treated for 2 years: a 3-year open-label clinical study. *J Clin Psychiatry* 73: e541–e547.
- Emsley, R., Oosthuizen, P., Koen, L., Niehaus, D., Medori, R. and Rabinowitz, J. (2008a) Remission in patients with first-episode schizophrenia receiving assured antipsychotic medication: a study with risperidone long-acting injection. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol* 23: 325–331.
- Emsley, R., Oosthuizen, P., Koen, L., Niehaus, D., Medori, R. and Rabinowitz, J. (2008b) Oral *versus* injectable antipsychotic treatment in early psychosis: post hoc comparison of two studies. *Clin Ther* 30: 2378–2386.

Fleischhacker, W., Eerdekens, M., Karcher, K., Remington, G., Llorca, P., Chrzanowski, W. *et al.* (2003) Treatment of schizophrenia with long-acting injectable risperidone: a 12-month open-label trial of the first long-acting second-generation antipsychotic. *J Clin Psychiatry* 64: 1250–1257.

Francey, S., Nelson, B., Thompson, A., Parker, A., Kerr, M., Macneil, C. *et al.* (2010) Who needs antipsychotic medication in the earliest stages of psychosis? A reconsideration of benefits, risks, neurobiology and ethics in the era of early intervention. *Schizophr Res* 119: 1–10.

Fusar-Poli, P., Kempton, M. and Rosenheck, R. (2013) Efficacy and safety of second-generation long-acting injections in schizophrenia: a meta-analysis of randomized-controlled trials. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol* 28: 57–66.

Gaebel, W., Jänner, M., Frommann, N., Pietzcker, A., Köpcke, W., Linden, M. *et al.* (2002) First vs multiple episode schizophrenia: two-year outcome of intermittent and maintenance medication strategies. *Schizophr Res* 53: 145–159.

Gaebel, W., Riesbeck, M., Wölwer, W., Klimke, A., Eickhoff, M., von Wilmsdorff, M. *et al.* (2011) Relapse prevention in first episode schizophrenia-maintenance ves intermittent drug treatment with prodrome –based early intervention: results of randomized controlles trial within the German research network on schizophrenia. *J Clin Psychiatry* 72: 205–218.

Gaebel, W., Schreiner, A., Bergmans, P, de Arce, R., Rouillon, F., Cordes, J. et al. (2010) Relapse prevention in schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder with risperidone long-acting injectable vs quetiapine: results of a long-term, open-label, randomized clinical trial. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 35: 2367–2377.

Gassó, P., Mas, S., Molina, O., Bernardo, M., Lafuente, A. and Parellada, E. (2012) Neurotoxic/neuroprotective activity of haloperidol, risperidone and paliperidone in neuroblastoma cells. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 36: 71–77.

Geerts, P., Martinez, G. and Schreiner, A. (2013) Attitudes towards the administration of long-acting antipsychotics: a survey of physicians and nurses. *BMC Psychiatry* 13: 58.

Gefvert, O., Eriksson, B., Persson, P., Helldin, L., Björner, A., Mannaert, E. *et al.* (2005) Pharmacokinetics and D2 receptor occupancy of long-acting injectable risperidone (Risperdal Consta) in patients with schizophrenia. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 8: 27–36.

Gerlach, J. (1995) Depot neuroleptics in relapse prevention: advantages and disadvantages. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol* 9(Suppl. 5): 17–20.

Gilday, E. and Nasrallah, H. (2012) Clinical pharmacology of paliperidone palmitate a parenteral long-acting formulation for the treatment of schizophrenia. *Rev Recent Clin Trials* 7: 2–9.

Gilmer, T., Dolder, C., Lacro, J., Folsom, D., Lindamer, L., Garcia, P. *et al.* (2004) Adherence to treatment with antipsychotic medication and healthcare costs among Medicaid beneficiaries with schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 161: 692–699.

Gitlin, M., Nuechterlein, K., Subotnik, K., Ventura, J., Mintz, J., Fogelson, D. *et al.* (2001) Clinical outcome following neuroleptic discontinuation in patients with remitted recent –onset schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 158: 1835–1842.

Glazer, W. (1984) Depot fluphenazine: risk/benefit ratio. *f Clin Psychiatry* 45: 28–35.

Glazer, W. (2007) Who receives long-acting antipsychotic medications? *Psychiatr Services* 58: 437.

Glazer, W. and Kane, J. (1992) Depot neuroleptic therapy: an underutilized treatment option. *J Clin Psychiatry* 53: 426–433.

Gottfries, C. and Green, L (1974). Flupenthixol decanoate in treatment of out-patients. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 255: 15–24.

Grimaldi-Bensouda, L., Rouillon, F., Astruc, B., Rossignol, M., Benichou, J., Falissard, B. *et al.*; CGS Study Group (2012) Does long-acting injectable risperidone make a difference to the real-life treatment of schizophrenia? Results of the Cohort for the General study of Schizophrenia (CGS). *Schizophr Res* 134: 187–194.

Haddad, P., Taylor, M. and Niaz, O. (2009) First-generation antipsychotic long-acting injections v. oral antipsychotics in schizophrenia: systematic review of Randomized controlled trials and observational studies. *Br J Psychiatry* 95: S20–S28.

Haro, J., Novick, D., Suarez, D., Alonso, J., Lépine, J. and Ratcliffe, M.; SOHO Study Group (2006) Remission and relapse in outpatient care of schizophrenia: three-year results from the Schizophrenia Outpatient Health Outcomes study. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 26: 571–578.

Haro, J., Suarez, D., Novick, D., Brown, J., Usall, J. and Naber, D.; SOHO Study Group (2007) Three-year antipsychotic effectiveness in the outpatient care of schizophrenia: observational *versus* randomized studies results. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* 17: 235–244.

Haycox, A. (2005) Pharmacoeconomics of long-acting risperidone: results and validity of cost-effectiveness models. *Pharmacoeconomics* 23(Suppl. 1): 3–16.

Heres, S., Hamann, J., Kissling, W. and Leucht, S. (2006) Attitudes of psychiatrists toward antipsychotic depot medication. *F Clin Psychiatry* 67: 1948–1953.

- Heres, S., Hamann, J., Mendel, R., Wickelmaier, F., Pajonk, F., Leucht, S. *et al.* (2008) Identifying the profile of optimal candidates for antipsychotic depot therapy A cluster analysis. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 32: 1987–1993.
- Heres, S., Schmitz, F., Leucht, S. and Pajonk, F. (2007) The attitude of patients towards antipsychotic depot treatment. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol* 22: 275–282.
- Ho, B., Andreasen, N., Ziebell, S., Pierson, R. and Magnotta, V. (2011) Long-term antipsychotic treatment and brain volumes: a longitudinal study of first-episode schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 68: 128–137.
- Hogarty, G., Schooler, N., Ulrich, R., Mussare, F., Ferro, P. and Herron, E (1979) Fluphenazine and social therapy in the aftercare of schizophrenic patients. Relapse analyses of a two-year controlled study of fluphenazine decanoate and fluphenazine hydrochloride. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 36: 1283–1294.
- Hogarty, G. and Ulrich, R. (1977) Temporal effects of drug and placebo in delaying relapse in schizophrenia outpatients. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 34: 297–301.
- Hogarty, G. and Ulrich, R. (1998) The limitations of antipsychotic medication on schizophrenia relapse and adjustments and the contribution of psychosocial treatment. *J Psychiatr Res* 32: 243–250.
- Humberstone, V., Wheeler, A. and Lambert, T. (2004) An audit of outpatient antipsychotic usage in the three health sectors of Auckland, New Zealand. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 38: 240–245.
- Jaeger, M. and Rossler, W. (2010) Attitudes towards long-acting depot antipsychotics: a survey of patients, relatives and psychiatrists. *Psychiatry Res* 175: 58–62.
- Jann, M., Ereshefsky, L. and Saklad, S. (1985) Clinical pharmacokinetics of the depot antipsychotics. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 10: 315–333.
- Johnson, D. (2009) Historical perspective on antipsychotic long-acting injections. *Br J Psychiatry* 195: S7–S12.
- Johnson, D., Pasterski, G., Ludlow, J., Street, K. and Taylor, R. (1983) The discontinuance of maintenance neuroleptic therapy in chronic schizophrenic patients: drug and social consequences. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 67: 339–352.
- Jørgensen, A. (1980) Pharmacokinetic studies in volunteers of intravenous and oral cis (Z)-flupentixol and intramuscular cis (Z)-flupentixol decanoate in Viscoleo. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 18: 355–360.
- Kane, J., Aguglia, E., Altamura, A., Ayuso Gutierrez, J., Brunello, N. and Fleischhacker, W. (1998) Guidelines for depot antipsychotic treatment in

- schizophrenia. European Neuropsychopharmacology Consensus Conference in Siena, Italy. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* 8: 55–66
- Kane, J., Detke, H., Naber, D., Sethuraman, G., Lin, D., Bergstrom, R. *et al.* (2010) Olanzapine long-acting injection: a 24-week, randomized, double-blind trial of maintenance treatment in patients with schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 167: 181–189.
- Kane, J., Eerdekens, M., Lindenmayer, J., Keith, S., Lesem, M. and Karcher, K. (2003) Long-acting injectable risperidone: efficacy and safety of the first long-acting atypical antipsychotic. *Am J Psychiatry* 160: 1125–1132.
- Kane, J. and Garcia-Ribera, C. (2009) Clinical guideline recommendations for antipsychotic longacting injections. *Br J Psychiatry Suppl* 52: 63–67.
- Kane, J., Honigfeld, G., Singer, J. and Meltzer, H. (1988) Clozapine for treatment-resistant schizophrenic. A double-blind comparison with chlorpromazine. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 45: 789–796.
- Kane, J., Kishimoto, T. and Correll, C. (2013a) Assessing the comparative effectiveness of long-acting injectable *versus* oral antipsychotic medications in the prevention of relapse provides a case study in comparative effectiveness research in psychiatry. *J Clin Epidemiol* 66(8 Suppl.): S37–S41.
- Kane, J., Rifkin, A., Quitkin, F., Nayak, D. and Ramos-Lorenzi, J. (1982) Fluphenazine vs placebo in patients with remitted, acute first episode schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 39: 70–73.
- Kane, J., Sanchez, R., Zhao, J., Duca, A., Johnson, B., McQuade, R. *et al.* (2013b) Hospitalization rates in patients switched from oral antipsychotics to aripiprazole once-monthly for the management of schizophrenia. *§ Med Econ* 16: 917–525.
- Kaplan, G., Casoy, J. and Zummo, J. (2013) Impact of long-acting injectable antipsychotics on medication adherence and clinical, functional, and economic outcomes of schizophrenia. *Patient Prefer Adherence* 13: 1171–1180.
- Keefe, R., Seidman, L., Christensen, B., Hamer, R., Sharma, T., Sitskoorn, M. *et al.* (2004) Comparative effect of atypical and conventional antipsychotic drugs on neurocognition in first-episode psychosis: a randomized, double-blind trial of olanzapine versus low doses of haloperidol. *Am J Psychiatry* 161: 985–995.
- Keers, R., Ullrich, S, Destavola, B. and Coid, J. (2014) Association of violence with emergence of persecutory delusions in untreated schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 171: 332–339.
- Keith, S. (2009) Use of long-acting risperidone in psychiatric disorders: focus on efficacy, safety and cost-effectiveness. *Expert Rev Neurother* 9: 9–31.

- Kelly, D., Conley, R. and Carpenter, W. (2005) First-episode schizophrenia: a focus on pharmacological treatment and safety considerations. *Drugs* 65: 1113–1138.
- Kim, B., Lee, S., Choi, T., Suh, S., Kim, Y., Lee, E. *et al.* (2008) Effectiveness of risperidone long-acting injection in first-episode schizophrenia: in naturalistic setting. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 32: 1231–1235.
- Kim, S., Lee, Y., Jang, J., Yoo, T., Kim, J., Shin, I. *et al.* (2013) Comparison of attitudes toward long-acting injectable antipsychotics among psychiatrists and patients. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol* 28: 80–86.
- Kim, S., Solari, H., Weiden, P. and Bishop, J. (2012) Paliperidone palmitate injection for the acute and maintenance treatment of schizophrenia in adults. *Patient Prefer Adherence* 6: 533–545.
- Kirschner, M., Theodoridou, A., Fusar-Pol, I., Kaiser, S. and Jäger, M. (2013) Patients' and clinicians' attitude towards long-acting depot antipsychotics in subjects with a first episode of psychosis. *Ther Adv Psychopharmacol* 3: 89–99.
- Kirson, N., Weiden, P., Yermakov, S., Huang, W., Samuelson, T., Offord, S. *et al.* (2013) Efficacy and effectiveness of depot *versus* oral antipsychotics in schizophrenia: synthesizing results across different research designs. *J Clin Psychiatry* 74: 568–575.
- Kishimoto, T., Robenzadeh, A., Leucht, C., Leucht, S., Watanabe, K., Mimura, M. *et al.* (2014) Longacting injectable vs oral antipsychotics for relapse prevention in schizophrenia: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Schizophr Bull* 40: 192–213.
- Kissling, W. (1991) Guidelines for neuroleptic relapse prevention in schizophrenia. In: Kissling, W. (ed.), *Guidelines for Neuroleptic Relapse Prevention in Schizophrenia*. Berlin: Springer Verlag, pp. 1–6.
- Kissling, W., Moller, H., Walter, K., Wittmann, B., Krueger, R. and Trenk, D. (1985) Double-blind comparison of haloperidol decanoate and fluphenazine decanoate effectiveness, side-effects, dosage and serum levels during a six months' treatment for relapse prevention. *Pharmacopsychiatry* 18: 240–245.
- Knapp, M., Mangalore, R. and Simon, J. (2004) The global costs of schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 30: 279–293.
- Knox, E. and Stimmel, G. (2004) Clinical review of a long-acting, injectable formulation of risperidone. *Clin Ther* 26: 1994–2002.
- Knudsen, P. (1985) Chemotherapy with neuroleptics: clinical and pharmacokinetic aspects with a particular view to depot preparations. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 72(Suppl. 322): 51–75.
- Koola, M., Wehring, H. and Kelly, D. (2012) The Potential Role of Long-acting Injectable

- Antipsychotics in People with Schizophrenia and Comorbid Substance Use. 7 Dual Diagn 8: 50–61.
- Lafeuille, M.H., Laliberté-Auger, F., Lefebvre, P., Frois, C., Fastenau, J., Duh, M.S. (2013) Impact of atypical long-acting injectable versus oral antipsychotics on rehospitalization rates and emergency room visits among relapsed schizophrenia patients: a retrospective database analysis. *BMC Psychiatry* 13: 221.
- Lai, Y., Huang, M., Chen, C., Tsai, C., Pan, C. and Chiu, C. (2009) Pharmacokinetics and efficacy of a direct switch from conventional depot to risperidone long-acting injection in Chinese patients with schizophrenic and schizoaffective disorders. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 63: 440–448.
- Larsen, N. and Hansen, L. (1989) Prediction of the optimal perphenazine decanoate dose based on blood samples drawn within the first three weeks. *Ther Drug Monit* 11: 642–646.
- Lasser, R., Bossie, C., Gharabawi, G. and Turner, M. (2004) Patients with schizophrenia previously stabilized on conventional depot antipsychotics experience significant clinical improvements following treatment with long-acting risperidone. *Eur Psychiatry* 19: 219–225.
- Lehman, A., Lieberman, J., Dixon, L., McGlashan, T., Miller, A., Perkins, D. *et al.*; American Psychiatric Association; Steering Committee on Practice Guidelines (2004) Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with schizophrenia, second edition. *Am J Psychiatry* 161(2 Suppl.): 1–56.
- Leucht, S. and Heres, S. (2006) Epidemiology, clinical consequences, and psychosocial treatment of nonadherence in schizophrenia. *J Clin Psychiatry* 67: 3–8.
- Leucht, C., Heres, S., Kane, J., Kissling, W., Davis, J. and Leucht, S. (2011) Oral *versus* depot antipsychotic drugs for schizophrenia—A critical systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised long-term trials. *Schizophr Res* 127: 83–92.
- Lin, J., Wong, B., Offord, S. and Mirski, D. (2013) Healthcare cost reductions associated with the use of LAI formulations of antipsychotic medications *versus* oral among patients with schizophrenia. *J Behav Health Serv Res* 40: 355–366.
- Lindenmayer, J., Liu-Seifert, H., Kulkarni, P., Kinon, B., Stauffer, V., Edwards, S. *et al.* (2009) Medication nonadherence and treatment outcome in patients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder with suboptimal prior response. *J Clin Psychiatry* 70: 990–996.
- Llorca, P., Abbar, M., Courtet, P, Guillaume, S., Lancrenon, S. and Samalin, L. (2013) Guidelines for the use and management of long-acting injectable antipsychotics in serious mental illness. *BMC Psychiatry* 13: article 340.

MacFadden, W., Ma, Y., Thomas, Haskins, J., Bossie, C. and Alphs, L. (2010) A prospective study comparing the long-term effectiveness of injectable risperidone long-acting therapy and oral aripiprazole in patients with schizophrenia. *Psychiatry* 7: 23–31.

March, J., Silva, S., Compton, S., Shapiro, M., Califf, R. and Krishnan, R. (2005) The case for practical clinical trials in psychiatry. *Am J Psychiatry* 162: 836–846.

Marchiaro, L., Rocca, P., LeNoci, F., Longo, P., Montemagni, C., Rigazzi, C. *et al.* (2005) Naturalistic, retrospective comparison between second-generation antipsychotics and depot neuroleptics in patients affected by schizophrenia. *J Clin Psychiatry* 66: 1423–1431

Marder, S., Hubbard, J., Van Putten, T. and Midha, K. (1989) Pharmacokinetics of long-acting injectable neuroleptic drugs: clinical implications. *Psychopharmacology* 98: 433–439.

Marder, S., Van Putten, T., Mintz, J., McKenzie, J., Lebell, M., Faltico, G. *et al.* (1984) Costs and benefits of two doses of fluphenazine. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 41: 1025–1029.

Marinis, T., Saleem, P., Glue, P., Arnoldussen, W., Teijeiro, R., Lex, A. *et al.* (2007) Switching to long-acting injectable risperidone is beneficial with regard to clinical outcomes, regardless of previous conventional medication in patients with schizophrenia. *Pharmacopsychiatry* 40: 257–263.

Marriot, P. and Hiep, A. (1976) A mirror image outpatient study at a depot phenothiazine clinic. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 10: 163.

McCreadie, R., Wiles, D., Grant, S., Crokett, G., Mahmood, Z., Livingston, M. *et al.* (1989) The Scottish first episode schizophrenia study. VII. Two year follow-up. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 80: 597–602.

McEvoy, J. (2006) Risks *versus* benefits of different types of long-acting injectable antipsychotics. *J Clin Psychiatry* 67(Suppl. 5): 15–18.

McGorry, P., Killackey, E. and Yung, A. (2007) Early intervention in psychotic disorders: detection and treatment of the first episode and the critical early stages. *Med J Aust* 187: S8–S10.

Meyer, J. and Stahl, S. (2009) The metabolic syndrome and schizophrenia. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 119: 4–14.

Mihajlović, G., Jovanović-Mihajlović, N., Radmanović, B., Radonjić, K., Djukić-Dejanović, S., Janković, S. *et al.* (2011) Quality of life of schizophrenic patients treated with haloperidol depot and injection preparation of long-lasting risperidone. *Srp Arh Celok Lek* 139: 36–40.

Moncrieff, J. (2006) Does antipsychotic withdrawl provoke psychosis? Review of the literature on rapid onset psychosis (supersensitivity psychosis) and withdrawal–related relapse. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 114: 3–13.

Moore, D., Kelly, D., Sherr, J., Love, R. and Conley, R. (1998) Rehospitalization rates for depot antipsychotics and pharmacoeconomic implications: comparison with risperidone. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* 55(Suppl. 4): 17–19.

Moore, T., Buchanan, R., Buckley, P., Chiles, J., Conley, R., Crismon, M. *et al.* (2007) The Texas Medication Algorithm Project antipsychotic algorithm for schizophrenia: 2006 update. *J Clin Psychiatry* 68: 1751–1762.

Morken, G., Widen, J. and Grawe, R. (2008) Non-adherence to antipsychotic medication, relapse and rehospitalisation in recent-onset schizophrenia. *BMC Psychiatry* 8: article 32.

Muirhead, D., Harvey, C. and Ingram, G. (2006) Effectiveness of community treatment orders for treatment of schizophrenia with oral or depot antipsychotic medication: clinical outcomes. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 40: 596–605.

Nahata, T. and Saini, T. (2009) Formulation optimization of long-acting depot injection of aripiprazole by using D-optimal mixture design. *PDA J Pharm Sci Technol* 63: 113–122.

NICE (2009) Schizophrenia: Core Interventions in the Treatment and Management of Schizophrenia in Primary and Secondary Care (update). NICE Clinical Guidelines No. 82. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence.

Niaz, O. and Haddad, P. (2010) Injectable longacting antipsychotics (LAI). In: Mortimer, A. and McKenna, P. (eds), *The Year in Schizophrenia*, 2010. Oxford: Atlas Medical Publishing, pp. 33–54.

Nickl-Jockschat, T., Schneider, F., Pagel, A., Laird, A., Fox, P. and Eickhoff, S. (2011) Progressive pathology is functionally linked to the domains of language and emotion: meta-analysis of brain structure changes in schizophrenia patients. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 261: S166–S171.

Novakovic, V., Adel, T., Peselow, E. and Lindenmayer, J. (2013) Long-acting injectable antipsychotics and the development of postinjection delirium/sedation syndrome (PDSS). *Clin Neuropharmacol* 36: 59–62.

Offord, S, Wong, B., Mirski, D., Baker, R. and Lin, J. (2013) Healthcare resource usage of schizophrenia patients initiating long-acting injectable antipsychotics vs oral. *J Med Econ* 16: 231–239.

Oh, P., Lanctôt, K., Mittman, N., Iskedjian, M. and Einarson, T. (2001) Cost-utility of risperidone

compared with standard conventional antipsychotics in chronic schizophrenia. J Med Econ 4: 137–156.

Olfson, M., Mechanic, D., Hansell, S., Boyer, C. and Walkup, J. (1999) Prediction of homelessness within three months of discharge among inpatients with schizophrenia. *Psychiatr Serv* 50: 667–673.

Olivares, J., Pinal, B. and Cinos, C. (2011) Comparison of long-acting antipsychotic injection and oral antipsychotics in schizophrenia. *Neuropsychiatry* 1: 275–289.

Olivares, J., Rodriguez-Morales, A., Diels, J., Povey, M., Jacobs, A., Zhao, Z. *et al*; e-STAR Spanish Study Group (2009) Long-term outcomes in patients with schizophrenia treated with risperidone long-acting injection or oral antipsychotics in Spain: results from the electronic Schizophrenia Treatment Adherence Registry (e-STAR). *Eur Psychiatry* 24: 287–296.

Pandarakalam, J. (2003) The long-acting depot antipsychotic drugs. *Hosp Med* 64: 603–608.

Parellada, E., Velligan, D., Emsley, R. and Kissling, W. (2012) Long-acting injectable antipsychotics in first-episode schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res Treatment* 2012: 318535.

Patel, M. and David, A. (2005) Why aren't depot antipsychotics prescribed more often and what can be done about it? *Adv Psychiatr Treatment* 11: 203–213.

Patel, M., De Zoysa, N., Bernadt, M. and David, A. (2009) Depot and oral antipsychotics: patient preferences and attitudes are not the same thing. *J Psychopharmacol* 23: 789–796.

Patel, M., Haddad, P., Chaudhry, I., McLoughlin, S., Husain, N. and David, A. (2010) Psychiatrists' use, knowledge and attitudes to first and second generation antipsychotic long-acting injections: comparison over five years. *J Psychopharmacol* 24: 1473–1482.

Patel, M., Nikolaou, V. and David, A. (2003) Eliciting psychiatrists' beliefs about side effects of typical and atypical antipsychotic drugs. *Int J Psychiatry Clin Pract* 7: 117–120.

Perkins, D. (2002) Predictors of noncompliance in patients with schizophrenia. *J Clin Psychiatry* 63: 1121–1128.

Peusken, J., Mertens, C., Kusters, J. and Detraux, J. (2010a) Long acting risperidone in the treatment of schizophrenia: data from a 24-month Belgian electronic schizophrenia adherence registry (eSTAR): an observational study. *Acta Psychiatr Belg* 110: 34–46.

Peuskens, J., Olivares, J., Pecenak, J., Tuma, I., Bij de Weg, H., Eriksson, L. *et al.* (2010b) Treatment retention with risperidone long-acting injection: 24-month results from the Electronic Schizophrenia Treatment Adherence Registry (e-STAR) in six countries. *Curr Med Res Opin* 26: 501–509.

Potkin, S., Bera, R., Zubek, D. and Lau, G. (2013) Patient and prescriber perspectives on long-acting injectable (LAI) antipsychotics and analysis of in-office discussion regarding LAI treatment for schizophrenia. *BMC Psychiatry* 13: article 261.

Poulsen, J., Olesen, O. and Larsen, N. (1994) Fluctuation of serum zuclopenthixol concentrations in patients treated with zuclopenthixol decanoate in viscoleo. *Ther Drug Monit* 16: 155–159.

Rabin, C., Liang, Y, Ehrlichman, R., Budhian, A., Metzger, K., Majewski-Tiedeken, C. *et al.* (2008) In vitro and in vivo demonstration of risperidone implants in mice. *Schizophr Res* 98: 66–78.

Ravasio, R., Sanfilippo, L., De Paoli, G., Cerra, C., Fratino, P. and Della Giovanna, M. (2009) Analisi di costo-efficacia dello switch da un antipsicotico orale a risperidone a rilascio prolungato nel trattamento dei pazienti affetti da schizofrenia. *Giorn Ital Health Technol Ass* 2: 1–8.

Reichhart, T. and Kissling, W. (2013) Societal costs of nonadherence in schizophrenia: homicide/suicide. *M&B* 1: 19–22.

Remington, G. and Adams, M. (1995) Depot neuroleptic therapy: clinical considerations. *Can J Psychiatry* 40: S5–S11.

Reyntijens, A., Heykants, J., Woestenborghs, R., Gelders, Y. and Aerts, T. (1982) Pharmacokinetics of haloperidol decanoate. *Int Pharmacopsychiatry* 17: 238–246.

Rifkin, A., Quitkin, F. and Rabiner, C. (1977) Fluphenazine decanoate, fluphenazine hydrochloride given orally and placebo in remitted schizophrenia: relapse rate over one year. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 34: 43–47.

Robinson, D., Woerner, M., Napolitano, B., Patel, R., Sevy, S., Gunfez-Bruce, H. *et al.* (2006) Randomized comparison of olanzapine *versus* risperidone for the treatment of first-episode schizophrenia: 4-month outcomes. *Am J Psychiatry* 163: 2096–2102.

Rocca, P., Sandei, L., Bava, I. and Frieri, T. (2013) Risperidone long-acting injection in the treatment of first episode schizophrenia. *Curr Psychopharmacol* 2: 29–36.

Rosaria, D. and Alice, B. (2010) Profile of olanzapine long-acting injection for the maintenance treatment of adult patients with schizophrenia. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat* 6: 573–581.

Rothbard, A., Kuno, E. and Foley, K. (2003) Trends in the rate and type of antipsychotic medications prescribed to persons with schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 29: 531–540.

Samalin, L., Charpeaud, T., Blanc, O., Heres, S. and Llorca, P. (2013) Clinicians' attitudes toward the use of long-acting injectable antipsychotics. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 201: 553–559.

Schooler, N. (2003) Relapse and rehospitalization: comparing oral and depot antipsychotics. *J Clin Psychiatry* 64: 14–17.

Schooler, N., Levine, J., Severe, J., Brauzer, B., DiMascio, A., Klerman, G. et al. (1980) Prevention of relapse in schizophrenia: an evaluation of fluphenazine decanoate. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 37: 16–24.

Stahl, S. (2001) Does evidence from clinical trials in psychopharmacology apply in clinical practice? *J Clin Psychiatry* 62: 6–7.

Stip, E., Abdel-Baki, A., Bloom, D., Grignon, S. and Roy, M. (2011) An expert opinion from the Association des médecins psychiatres du Québec. *Can J Psychiatry* 56: 367–376.

Strassnig, M., Miewald, J., Keshavan, M. and Ganguli, R. (2007) Weight gain in newly diagnosed first-episode psychosis patients and healthy comparisons: one-year analysis. *Schizophr Res* 93: 90–98.

Suzuki, H. and Gen, K. (2012) The influence of switching from haloperidol decanoate depot to risperidone long-acting injection on the clinical symptoms and cognitive function in schizophrenia. *Hum Psychopharmacol* 27: 470–475.

Svedberg, B., Backenroth-Ohsako, G. and Lützén, K. (2003) On the path to recovery: patients' experiences of treatment with long-acting injections of antipsychotic medication. *Int J Ment Health Nurs* 12: 110–18.

Swartz, M., Swanson, J., Wagner, H., Burns, B. and Hiday, V. (2001) Effects of involuntary outpatient commitment and depot antipsychotics on treatment adherence in persons with severe mental illness. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 189: 583–592.

Taylor, D. (2005) Establishing a dose-response relationship for haloperidol decanoate. *Psychiatrist* 29: 104–107.

Taylor, D. (2009) Psychopharmacology and adverse effects of antipsychotic long-acting injections: a review. *Br J Psychiatry* 195: S13–S19.

Taylor, M. and Ng, K. (2013) Should long-acting (depot) antipsychotics be used in early schizophrenia? A systematic review. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 47: 624–630.

Thyssen, A., Rusch, S., Herben, V., Quiroz, J. and Mannaert, E. (2010) Risperidone long-acting injection: pharmacokinetics following administration in deltoid *versus* gluteal muscle in schizophrenic patients. *J Clin Pharmacol* 50: 1011–1021.

Tiihonen, J., Haukka, J., Taylor, M., Haddad, P., Patel, M. and Korhonen, P. (2011) A nationwide cohort study of oral and depot antipsychotics after first hospitalization for schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 168: 603–609.

Tiihonen, J., Wahlbeck, K., Lönnqvist, J., Klaukka, T., Ioannidis, J., Volavka, J. *et al.* (2006) Effectiveness of antipsychotic treatments in a nationwide cohort of patients in community care after first hospitalisation due to schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder: observational follow-up study. *BMJ* 333: 224.

Trudeau, M., Bruneau, M., Stip, E., Grünberg, F. and Boivin, M. (1999) [Categorical refusal of treatment by psychiatric patients: balance, survey and prospects]. *Can J Psychiatry* 44: 583–588.

Turner, M., Eerdekens, E., Jacko, M. and Eerdekens, M. (2004) Long-acting injectable risperidone: safety and efficacy in stable patients switched from conventional depot antipsychotics. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol* 19: 241–249.

Valenstein, M., Copeland, L., Blow, F., McCarthy, J., Zeber, J., Gillon, L. *et al.* (2002) Pharmacy data identify poorly adherent patients with schizophrenia at increased risk for admission. *Med Care* 40: 630–639.

Vaughan, K., McConaghy, N., Wolf, C., Myhr, C. and Black, T. (2000) Community treatment orders: relationship to clinical care, medication compliance, behavioural disturbance and readmission. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 34: 801–808.

Velligan, D.I., Weiden, P.J., Sajatovic, M., Scott, J., Carpenter, D., Ross, R., *et al.*, Expert Consensus Panel on Adherence Problems in Serious and Persistent Mental Illness (2009) The expert consensus guideline series: adherence problems in patients with serious and persistent mental illness. *J Clin Psychiatry* 70: 1–46

Velligan, D., Weiden, P., Sajatovic, M., Scott, J., Carpenter, D., Ross, R. *et al.* (2010) Strategies for addressing adherence problems in patients with serious and persistent mental illness: recommendations from the expert consensus guidelines. *J Psychiatr Pract* 16: 306–324.

Verghese, C., DeLeon, J., Nair, C. and Simpson, G. (1996) Clozapine withdrawal effects and receptor profiles of typical and atypical neuroleptics. *Biol Psychiatry* 39: 135–138.

Viala, A., Cornic, F. and Vacheron, M. (2012) Treatment adherence with early prescription of long-acting injectable antipsychotics in recent-onset schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res Treatment* 2012: 368687.

Viala, A., Hou, N., Ba, B., Durand, A., Dufour, H., D'Agostino, N. *et al.* (1984) Blood and plasma kinetics of cis(Z)-clopenthixol and fluphenazine in psychiatric patients after intramuscular injection of their decanoic esters. *Psychopharmacology* 83: 147–150.

Viguera, A., Baldessarini, R., Hegarty, J., van Kammen, D. and Tohen, M. (1997) Clinical risk following abrupt and gradual withdrawal of maintenance neuroleptic treatment. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 54: 49–55.

Waddell, L. and Taylor, M. (2009) Attitudes of patients and mental health staff to antipsychotic longacting injections: systematic review. *Br J Psychiatry Suppl* 195: s43–s50.

Walburn, J., Gray, R., Gournay, K., Quraishi, S. and David, A. (2001) Systematic review of patient and nurse attitudes to depot antipsychotic medication. *Br J Psychiatry* 179: 300–307.

Wang, L., Wang, A., Zhao, X., Liu, X., Wang, D., Sun, F. *et al.* (2012) Design of a long-term antipsychotic in situ forming implant and its release control method and mechanism. *Int J Pharm* 427: 284–292.

Weiden, P. (2007) Understanding and addressing adherence issues in schizophrenia: from theory to practice. *J Clin Psychiatry* 68: 14–19.

Wu, E., Birnbaum, H., Shi, L., Ball, D., Kessler, R., Moulis, M. *et al.* (2005) The economic burden of schizophrenia in the United States in 2002. *J Clin Psychiatry* 66: 1122–1129.

Wunderink, L., Nienhuis, F., Sytema, S., Slooff, C., Knegtering, R. and Wiersma, D. (2007) Guided discontinuation *versus* maintenance treatment in remitted first-episode psychosis: relapse rates and functional outcome. *J Clin Psychiatry* 68: 654–661.

Young, C. and Taylor, D. (2006) Health resource utilization associated with switching to risperidone long-acting injection. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 114: 14–20.

Zhornitsky, S. and Stip, E. (2012) Oral *versus* Long-Acting Injectable Antipsychotics in the Treatment of Schizophrenia and Special Populations at Risk for Treatment Nonadherence: A Systematic Review. *Schizophr Res Treatment* 2012: 1–12.

Zhu, B., Ascher-Svanum, H., Shi, L., Faries, D., Montgomery, W. and Marder, S. (2008) Time to discontinuation of depot and oral first-generation antipsychotics in the usual care of schizophrenia. *Psychiatr Serv* 59: 315–317.

Visit SAGE journals online http://tpp.sagepub.com

\$SAGE journals