



WJG 20<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Special Issues (14): Pancreatic cancer

## S-1 in the treatment of pancreatic cancer

Kentaro Sudo, Kazuyoshi Nakamura, Taketo Yamaguchi

Kentaro Sudo, Kazuyoshi Nakamura, Taketo Yamaguchi, Department of Gastroenterology, Chiba Cancer Center, Chiba 260-8717, Japan

**Author contributions:** Sudo K performed the literature search, performed data analysis, and wrote the manuscript; Nakamura K and Yamaguchi T performed data analysis and edited the manuscript.

**Correspondence to:** Kentaro Sudo, MD, PhD, Department of Gastroenterology, Chiba Cancer Center, 666-2 Nitona-cho, Chuo-ku, Chiba 260-8717, Japan. [kentarosudo9@yahoo.co.jp](mailto:kentarosudo9@yahoo.co.jp)  
Telephone: +81-43-2645431 Fax: +81-43-2628680

Received: October 28, 2013 Revised: April 7, 2014

Accepted: April 30, 2014

Published online: November 7, 2014

**Key words:** Pancreatic cancer; S-1; Chemotherapy; Randomized controlled trial; Chemoradiotherapy; Adjuvant therapy

**Core tip:** This review article focuses on clinical trials of S-1-based chemotherapy for advanced pancreatic cancer. Recently, S-1 has been demonstrated to be non-inferior to gemcitabine in overall survival for metastatic or locally advanced pancreatic cancer in a large-scale phase III study (GEST study). Furthermore, S-1 has been shown to be superior to adjuvant chemotherapy with gemcitabine in overall survival in patients with resected pancreatic cancer in another phase III study (JASPAC-01 study). In addition to gemcitabine, S-1 is now considered one of the key drugs in Japan.

### Abstract

S-1 is an oral 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) prodrug, which is designed to improve the antitumor activity of 5-FU by inhibiting dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase, the key enzyme of 5-FU catabolism. Recently, two important studies on the clinical use of S-1 for pancreatic cancer have been reported from Japan. In the first study (GEST study), S-1 demonstrated non-inferiority to gemcitabine (GEM) in overall survival (OS) for metastatic or locally advanced pancreatic cancer, but combination chemotherapy with GEM and S-1 did not show superiority to GEM in OS. In the second study (JASPAC-01 study), S-1 showed superiority to adjuvant chemotherapy with GEM in OS in patients with resected pancreatic cancer. In addition to GEM, S-1 is now regarded as the key drug in the management of pancreatic cancer in Japan. To date, many studies have investigated the effectiveness of S-1 in various settings, such as first-line chemotherapy for metastatic or locally advanced pancreatic cancer, second-line chemotherapy after GEM failure, and chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced disease. In this review, we focus on recent clinical trials of S-1-based chemotherapy for advanced pancreatic cancer.

Sudo K, Nakamura K, Yamaguchi T. S-1 in the treatment of pancreatic cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; 20(41): 15110-15118 Available from: URL: <http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v20/i41/15110.htm> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v20.i41.15110>

### INTRODUCTION

Pancreatic cancer is one of the most fatal malignancies worldwide. Gemcitabine (GEM) is accepted as the standard treatment in the management of pancreatic cancer based on a randomized controlled study reported by Burris *et al*<sup>[1]</sup> in 1997. In an effort to improve therapeutic efficacy, many clinical trials have been conducted. However, the prognosis of patients with pancreatic cancer still remains poor, with a reported 5-year survival rate of less than 10%<sup>[2]</sup>. Development of more effective therapies is urgently needed.

S-1 is an oral 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) prodrug that consists of tegafur (a prodrug of 5-FU), gimeracil [a potent dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD) inhibitor], and oteracil (an inhibitor of phosphorylation of 5-FU in

**Table 1 Pivotal phase III studies of first-line chemotherapy for advanced pancreatic cancer**

Ref.	Treatment	n	ORR	PFS/TTP (mo)	MST (mo)
Burriss <i>et al</i> <sup>[1]</sup>	GEM	63	5.4%	9 wk <sup>b</sup>	5.65 <sup>b</sup>
	5-FU	63	0%	4 wk	4.41
Moore <i>et al</i> <sup>[13]</sup>	GEM	284	8%	3.55	5.91
	GEM + erlotinib	285	8.6%	3.75 <sup>d</sup>	6.24 <sup>e</sup>
Conroy <i>et al</i> <sup>[14]</sup>	GEM	171	9.4%	3.3	6.80
	FOLFIRINOX	171	31.6% <sup>d</sup>	6.4 <sup>d</sup>	11.1 <sup>d</sup>
Von Hoff <i>et al</i> <sup>[15]</sup>	GEM	430	7%	3.7	6.70
	GEM + nab-paclitaxel	431	23% <sup>d</sup>	5.5 <sup>d</sup>	8.50 <sup>d</sup>

<sup>b</sup>*P* < 0.01 vs 5-FU; <sup>d</sup>*P* < 0.01 vs GEM; <sup>e</sup>*P* < 0.05 vs GEM. PFS: Progression-free survival; TTP: Time to progression; MST: Median survival time; GEM: Gemcitabine; ORR: Objective response rate; 5-FU: 5-fluorouracil; FOLFIRINOX: Oxaliplatin, irinotecan, fluorouracil and leucovorin.

the gastrointestinal tract) in a 1:0.4:1 molar concentration ratio<sup>[3]</sup>. After oral ingestion, tegafur is transformed into 5-FU in the liver. Gimeracil inhibits the degradation of 5-FU by inhibiting DPD, the key enzyme of 5-FU catabolism. In a preclinical study, the DPD inhibitory effect of gimeracil has been shown to be approximately 180-fold more potent than that of uracil, a DPD inhibitor combined in UFT<sup>[4]</sup>. Therefore, sufficient concentrations of 5-FU in serum and tumor tissues can be maintained. Oteracil inhibits phosphorylation of 5-FU in the gastrointestinal tract, and it is expected to reduce 5-FU-induced gastrointestinal toxicity, which may be observed in parallel with potentiated antitumor activity<sup>[5]</sup>. Clinically, S-1 is accepted as a convenient alternative to 5-FU continuous infusion in Japan because phase III studies have shown that S-1-based regimens are non-inferior to 5-FU infusion regimens<sup>[6-8]</sup>. When compared to 5-FU continuous infusion, oral administration of S-1 can avoid the risk of complications associated with central venous catheter placement. Furthermore, for advanced gastric cancer, an S-1-based regimen (S-1 plus cisplatin) is now accepted as the standard first-line chemotherapy in Japan based on the result of a randomized controlled trial<sup>[9]</sup>.

S-1 has been approved for the treatment of pancreatic cancer since 2006 in Japan, and various clinical trials have been conducted. Recently, two important studies on the clinical use of S-1 for pancreatic cancer have been reported. The first study evaluated the effectiveness of S-1 in first-line chemotherapy for metastatic or locally advanced pancreatic cancer<sup>[10]</sup>. The second study investigated the use of S-1 in adjuvant chemotherapy for resected pancreatic cancer<sup>[11,12]</sup>. In this review, we focus on recent clinical trials of S-1-based chemotherapy for advanced pancreatic cancer.

## FIRST-LINE CHEMOTHERAPY WITH S-1 FOR METASTATIC OR LOCALLY ADVANCED PANCREATIC CANCER

GEM has been the mainstay in the treatment of meta-

**Table 2 Phase II studies of Gemcitabine and S-1 therapy for advanced pancreatic cancer**

Ref.	n	Disease extent	ORR	PFS/TTP (mo)	MST (mo)
Nakamura <i>et al</i> <sup>[19]</sup>	33	Metastatic	48%	5.4	12.5
Ueno <i>et al</i> <sup>[20]</sup>	54	Metastatic	44.4%	5.9	10.1
Oh <i>et al</i> <sup>[21]</sup>	38	Metastatic or LA	32%	5.4	8.4
		LA			
Lee <i>et al</i> <sup>[22]</sup>	32	Metastatic or LA	44%	4.92	7.89
		LA			
Kim <i>et al</i> <sup>[23]</sup>	22	Metastatic or LA	27.3%	4.6	8.5
		LA			

PFS: Progression-free survival; TTP: Time to progression; MST: Median survival time; LA: Locally advanced; ORR: Objective response rate.

static or locally advanced pancreatic cancer. However, the reported median survival of first-line GEM therapy is only approximately 6 to 7 mo<sup>[1,13-15]</sup>. GEM plus erlotinib is the first combination chemotherapy that has demonstrated significantly improved OS compared to GEM alone in this patient population<sup>[13]</sup>. Additionally, the FOLFIRINOX regimen (oxaliplatin, irinotecan, fluorouracil, and leucovorin) and GEM plus nanoparticle albumin-bound paclitaxel (nab-paclitaxel) regimen have now emerged as aggressive treatment options in patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer (Table 1)<sup>[14,15]</sup>.

The first phase II study of S-1 for pancreatic cancer was reported by Ueno *et al*<sup>[6]</sup> in 2005. In this study, 19 patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer received S-1 twice daily at a dose of 80, 100, or 120 mg/d according to body surface areas for 28 consecutive days followed by a 14-d rest. Four patients (21.1%) achieved partial response, and the median survival was 5.6 mo. Subsequently, Okusaka *et al*<sup>[17]</sup> reported a phase II study for metastatic pancreatic cancer, in which a single agent of S-1 showed promising efficacy with a response rate of 37.5% and median survival of 9.2 mo. The major adverse events were gastrointestinal toxicity, such as anorexia, nausea, or diarrhea.

Combination chemotherapy of GEM and S-1 (GS) has also been investigated on the basis of the preclinical findings that GEM and 5-FU have a synergistic cytotoxic effect against pancreatic cancer cells<sup>[18]</sup>. Phase II studies of GS therapy have also shown favorable efficacy with a response rate of 27.3%-48% and median survival of 7.89-12.5 mo (Table 2)<sup>[19-23]</sup>.

Based on these results, a large-scale phase III study (GEST study) was conducted in patients with metastatic or locally advanced pancreatic cancer in Japan and Taiwan<sup>[10]</sup>. Between 2007 and 2009, 834 patients were randomly assigned to GEM alone, GS, or S-1 alone. The primary endpoints were superiority of GS therapy to GEM alone in OS and non-inferiority of S-1 to GEM in OS. In the GEST study, the median survival was 8.8 mo for GEM, 9.7 mo for S-1, and 10.1 mo for GS. The non-inferiority of S-1 to GEM was confirmed (HR = 0.96; 97.5%CI: 0.78-0.18; *P* < 0.001). Meanwhile, GS therapy did not demonstrate the superiority to GEM in OS (HR = 0.88; 97.5%CI: 0.71-1.08; *P* = 0.15). Based on the re-

**Table 3** Randomized studies of gemcitabine and S-1 therapy *vs* gemcitabine for metastatic or locally advanced pancreatic cancer

Study	Treatment	n	ORR	PFS (mo)	MST (mo)
GEST <sup>[10]</sup> (P III)	GS	275	29% <sup>b</sup>	5.7 <sup>b</sup>	10.1
	S-1	280	21% <sup>a</sup>	3.8 <sup>c</sup>	9.7 <sup>d</sup>
	GEM	277	13%	4.1	8.8
GEMSAP <sup>[24]</sup> (rP II)	GS	53	18.9%	5.4 <sup>d</sup>	13.5
	GEM	53	9.4%	3.6	8.8
JACCRO PC-01 <sup>[25]</sup> (rP II)	GS	53	28.3% <sup>b</sup>	6.15 <sup>b</sup>	13.7 <sup>a</sup>
	GEM	59	6.8%	3.78	8.0
Sudo <i>et al</i> <sup>[26]</sup>	GS	51	21.6% <sup>a</sup>	5.3 <sup>a</sup>	8.6
	GEM	50	6.0%	3.8	8.6

<sup>a</sup>*P* < 0.05 *vs* GEM; <sup>b</sup>*P* < 0.01 *vs* GEM; <sup>c</sup>*P* < 0.05 non-inferiority to GEM; <sup>d</sup>*P* < 0.01 non-inferiority to GEM. PFS: Progression-free survival; MST: Median survival time; GEM: Gemcitabine; GS: Gemcitabine and S-1; ORR: Objective response rate.

sults of the GEST study, S-1 is accepted as an option in the treatment of metastatic or locally advanced pancreatic cancer in Japan.

However, there are no definitive criteria for the use of S-1 instead of GEM in first-line chemotherapy for metastatic or locally advanced pancreatic cancer. In this regard, there are several important observations in the GEST study results. The first is the difference in toxicity profile between GEM and S-1. Gastrointestinal toxicity, such as diarrhea, was more frequent in the S-1 arm, while hematologic toxicity was more frequent in the GEM arm. The second is the difference in objective tumor response rate. S-1 showed favorable objective response rate (ORR) compared to GEM alone in the GEST study (21% *vs* 13.3%, *P* = 0.02)<sup>[10]</sup>.

GS therapy significantly improved progression-free survival (PFS) (HR = 0.66; 97.5%CI: 0.54-0.81, *P* < 0.001; median, 5.7 mo *vs* 4.1 mo) and ORR (29.3% *vs* 13.3%; *P* < 0.001) compared to GEM alone in the GEST study<sup>[10]</sup>. Other small-randomized studies reported from Japan also support the superiority of GS therapy to GEM alone with respect to PFS (Table 3)<sup>[24,26]</sup>. In contrast, the superiority of GS therapy in OS was not demonstrated in the GEST study. The authors of the GEST study explained the cause for the discrepancy as a consequence of second-line chemotherapy with S-1 in the GEM group. Indeed, approximately 50% of patients in the GEM group received second-line chemotherapy with S-1-based regimens<sup>[10]</sup>. Considering the results of the GEST study, which is the only phase III study with the primary endpoint of OS, GS therapy (as well as other GEM and fluoropyrimidine combinations) is not accepted as the standard chemotherapy for metastatic or locally advanced pancreatic cancer in Japan<sup>[27,29]</sup>. In contrast, some investigators consider that GS therapy may be beneficial in a selected patient population based on the following two studies. A meta-analysis of GS therapy *vs* GEM alone, including the GEST study and two randomized phase II studies (GEMSAP and JACCRO), has suggested that GS therapy is associated with better OS (HR = 0.79)<sup>[30]</sup>. In addition, a pooled analysis of the above three studies has shown that GS therapy significantly im-

**Table 4** Phase II studies of S-1 for gemcitabine refractory pancreatic cancer

Ref.	n	ORR	PFS (mo)	MST (mo)
Morizane <i>et al</i> <sup>[34]</sup>	40	15.0%	2.0	4.5
Sudo <i>et al</i> <sup>[35]</sup>	21	9.5%	4.1	6.3

PFS: Progression-free survival; MST: Median survival time; ORR: Objective response rate.

proves OS, especially in locally advanced pancreatic cancer (HR = 0.708; 95%CI: 0.527-0.951)<sup>[31]</sup>.

## SECOND-LINE THERAPY AFTER GEMCITABINE FAILURE

It is important to establish effective second-line therapies for tumors refractory to GEM. The results of a randomized controlled study reported by Pelzer *et al*<sup>[32]</sup> have provided the first evidence for the benefit of second-line chemotherapy compared to best supportive care (BSC) alone in patients with GEM refractory pancreatic cancer. Although the study was terminated because of insufficient accrual, oxaliplatin, folinic acid, and 5-FU (OFF) significantly improved second-line survival compared to BSC alone (median, 4.82 mo *vs* 2.3 mo; *P* = 0.008)<sup>[32]</sup>. Moreover, the results of the CONKO-003 study have demonstrated a significant improvement in OS with the addition of oxaliplatin to 5-FU plus folinic acid<sup>[33]</sup>. In clinical practice, fluoropyrimidine based therapy is commonly used for patients previously treated with GEM.

Phase II studies of S-1 in patients with GEM-resistant pancreatic cancer have demonstrated moderate activity (ORR = 9.5%-15%; Disease control rate, 52%-58%; median survival, 4.5-6.3 mo) with acceptable toxicity (Table 4)<sup>[34,35]</sup>. Although there has been no confirmed evidence based on phase III studies, S-1 would be a feasible treatment option in this patient population.

S-1-based combination regimens have also been investigated (Table 5). Mizuno *et al*<sup>[36]</sup> reported a randomized phase II trial of S-1 *vs* S-1 plus irinotecan (IRIS) in which 127 patients were randomly assigned to IRIS or S-1 alone. The primary endpoint was PFS. IRIS did not improve PFS (HR = 0.767; 95%CI: 0.527-1.114; *P* = 0.1750) or OS (HR = 0.749; 95%CI: 0.512-1.093; *P* = 0.1338) compared to S-1 alone. Okusaka *et al*<sup>[37]</sup> reported a randomized phase II study of S-1 plus oxaliplatin (SOX) *vs* S-1 in patients with GEM refractory pancreatic cancer. The primary endpoint was PFS, and 264 patients were randomly assigned to SOX or S-1 alone. However, SOX did not improve PFS (HR = 0.838; 95%CI: 0.649-1.082; *P* = 0.1795) or OS (HR = 1.031; 95%CI: 0.791-1.344; *P* = 0.8235) compared to S-1 alone.

More recently, the results of a randomized phase II study of S-1 plus leucovorin (SL) *vs* S-1 alone in patients with GEM refractory advanced pancreatic cancer have been reported<sup>[38]</sup>. In this study, SL significantly improved PFS, which was the primary endpoint of this study, compared to S-1 alone (HR = 0.56; 95%CI: 0.37-0.85; *P* =

**Table 5 Randomized phase II studies of S-1 based chemotherapy after gemcitabine failure**

Ref.	Treatment group	n	ORR	PFS (mo)	MST (mo)
Mizuno <i>et al</i> <sup>[36]</sup>	S-1 + irinotecan	60	18.3%	107 d	208 d
	S-1	67	6.0% <sup>a</sup>	58 d	176 d
Okusaka <i>et al</i> <sup>[37]</sup>	S-1 + oxaliplatin	134	20.9%	3.0	7.5
	S-1	130	11.5% <sup>a</sup>	2.8	7.0
Okusaka <i>et al</i> <sup>[38]</sup>	S-1 + leucovorin	69	27.5%	3.8	6.3
	S-1	71	19.7%	2.7 <sup>b</sup>	6.1

<sup>a</sup>*P* < 0.05 *vs* S-1; <sup>b</sup>*P* < 0.01 *vs* S-1. PFS: Progression-free survival; MST: Median survival time; ORR: Objective response rate.

**Table 6 Phase II studies of S-1 and radiotherapy for locally advanced pancreatic cancer**

Ref.	n	ORR	PFS/TTP (mo)	MST (mo)
Sudo <i>et al</i> <sup>[47]</sup>	34	41%	8.7	16.8
Ikeda <i>et al</i> <sup>[48]</sup>	60	27%	9.7	16.2
Shinchi <i>et al</i> <sup>[49]</sup>	50	30%	6.7	14.3
Kim <i>et al</i> <sup>[50]</sup>	25	24%	6.5	12.9

PFS: Progression-free survival; TTP: Time to progression; MST: Median survival time; ORR: Objective response rate.

0.003; median, 3.8 mo *vs* 2.7 mo). A phase III study of SL *vs* S-1 alone is now ongoing (GRAPE study).

## CHEMORADIO THERAPY FOR LOCALLY ADVANCED PANCREATIC CANCER

The prognosis of patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer is dismal with a reported median survival of 6.4 mo if managed with only best supportive care<sup>[39]</sup>. Chemoradiotherapy (CRT) using 5-FU has been a conventional option in the management of locally advanced pancreatic cancer. The rationale of this combination approach is to control local tumor growth using 5-FU as a radiosensitizer<sup>[40]</sup>. However, the efficacy of CRT using 5-FU remains limited with a reported median survival time of approximately 10 mo<sup>[41,42]</sup>. Because distant metastases are the major cause of treatment failure, more effective systemic therapies are necessary to improve patient outcome<sup>[42]</sup>. In this regard, S-1 is an attractive alternative to 5-FU infusion because it has systemic activity for metastatic or locally advanced pancreatic cancer as shown in the GEST study. Furthermore, a recent preclinical study has demonstrated that gimeracil, a DPD inhibitor included in S-1, enhances antitumor activity of radiotherapy<sup>[43]</sup>.

To date, several schedules of S-1 and concurrent radiotherapy have been investigated in phase I / II studies for locally advanced pancreatic cancer (Table 6). Sudo *et al*<sup>[44]</sup> and Ikeda *et al*<sup>[45]</sup> reported that the standard daily dose of S-1 for systemic chemotherapy (80 mg/m<sup>2</sup> per day) can be combined with radiotherapy (50.4 Gy in 28 fractions). Shinchi *et al*<sup>[46]</sup> reported that S-1 at a dose of 80 mg/m<sup>2</sup> per day given on days 1 to 21 can be combined with radiotherapy (50 Gy in 40 fractions for 4 wk).

**Table 7 Randomized phase III studies of adjuvant therapy in pancreatic cancer**

Study	Treatment	Endpoint	n	DFS (mo)	MST (mo)
ESPAC-1 <sup>[55]</sup>	CRT (5-FU + RT)	OS	145	10.7	15.9
	No CRT		144	15.2 <sup>a</sup>	17.9
	5-FU + leucovorin		147	15.3 <sup>c</sup>	20.1 <sup>b</sup>
	No chemotherapy		142	9.4	15.5
CONKO-001 <sup>[56]</sup>	GEM	DFS	179	13.4 <sup>d</sup>	22.1
	Surgery alone		175	6.9	20.2
JSAP-02 <sup>[58]</sup>	GEM	OS	58	11.4 <sup>e</sup>	22.3
	Surgery alone		60	5.0	18.4
ESPAC-3 <sup>[59]</sup>	GEM	OS	537	14.3	23.6
	5-FU + folinic acid		551	14.1	23.0
JASPAC-01 <sup>[11,12]</sup>	GEM	OS	191	11.2	25.9
	S-1		187	23.2 <sup>f</sup>	NA <sup>f</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*P* < 0.05 *vs* CRT; <sup>c</sup>*P* < 0.05 *vs* No chemotherapy; <sup>b</sup>*P* < 0.01 *vs* No chemotherapy; <sup>d</sup>*P* < 0.01 *vs* Surgery alone; <sup>e</sup>*P* < 0.05 *vs* Surgery alone; <sup>f</sup>*P* < 0.01 *vs* GEM. DFS: Disease-free survival; MST: Median survival time; NA: Not available; OS: Overall survival; 5-FU: 5-fluorouracil.

Phase II studies of S-1 and concurrent radiotherapy have demonstrated an acceptable toxicity profile and promising efficacy with a response rate of 24%-41% and median survival of 12.9-16.8 mo<sup>[47-50]</sup>. Furthermore, some patients (0%-4%) underwent curative resection after S-1 and radiotherapy in these studies.

Instead of using S-1, capecitabine-based CRT has been reported in Western countries<sup>[51,52]</sup>. Capecitabine is an oral fluoropyrimidine carbamate, which is converted to 5-FU predominantly in tumor tissues<sup>[53]</sup>. Saif *et al*<sup>[51]</sup> reported a phase II study of capecitabine and radiotherapy in patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer with a response rate of 20% and a 1-year survival rate of 58%. A recent randomized phase II study of GEM-based or capecitabine-based CRT for locally advanced pancreatic cancer (SCALOP study) has suggested that capecitabine-based CRT might be preferable to GEM-based CRT<sup>[54]</sup>.

## ADJUVANT CHEMOTHERAPY FOR RESECTED PANCREATIC CANCER

Adjuvant chemotherapy with GEM has been accepted as the standard treatment in patients with resected pancreatic cancer based on the results of randomized controlled studies (Table 7)<sup>[55-59]</sup>. In a phase III study of adjuvant chemotherapy with GEM *vs* observation in patients with resected pancreatic cancer (CONKO-001), adjuvant GEM significantly improved disease-free survival (median, 13.4 mo *vs* 6.9 mo, *P* < 0.001) compared with the observation group<sup>[56]</sup>. Adjuvant chemotherapy with GEM improved disease-free survival (median, 11.4 mo *vs* 5.0 mo, *P* = 0.01) in another phase III study conducted in Japan (JSAP-02)<sup>[58]</sup>. The European Study Group of Pancreatic Cancer (ESPAC) conducted a phase III study of 5-FU plus folinic acid *vs* GEM following pancreatic cancer resection (ESPAC-3). This study showed no difference in OS between arms (median OS = 23.0 mo *vs*



23.6 mo,  $P = 0.39$ ), but treatment-related serious adverse events were more frequent in patients treated with 5-FU plus folinic acid<sup>[59]</sup>.

Recently, Uesaka *et al.*<sup>[11]</sup> reported on a randomized phase III study of GEM *vs* S-1 in patients with pathological stage I, II or III (with celiac axis resection) macroscopically resected (R0 or R1 resection) pancreatic cancer (JASPAC-01)<sup>[12]</sup>. Between April 2007 and June 2011, 385 patients were randomly assigned to adjuvant GEM ( $n = 193$ ) or S-1 ( $n = 192$ ). The primary endpoint was non-inferiority of S-1 compared to GEM in OS. At the interim analysis, S-1 showed non-inferiority to GEM and, surprisingly, superiority to GEM in OS with a hazard ratio of 0.56 (95%CI: 0.42-0.74;  $P < 0.0001$  for non-inferiority;  $P < 0.0001$  for superiority). The 2-year survival rates were 53% (95%CI: 46%-60%) for GEM and 70% (95%CI: 63%-76%) for S-1. The quality of life analysis was significantly better in the S-1 arm ( $P < 0.0001$ ). The frequency of grade 3 or 4 toxicities was similar in both arms, except for leukopenia, which was lower in the S-1 arm. The findings of the JASPAC-01 study suggest that adjuvant chemotherapy with S-1 is a more effective alternative to the standard adjuvant chemotherapy with GEM for resected pancreatic cancer.

The rationale for adjuvant chemotherapy lies in eliminating micrometastases and subsequently improving prognosis. The JASPAC-01 study suggests that adjuvant chemotherapy with S-1 achieves better OS and is presumably more effective in eliminating micrometastases. Preclinical studies have suggested that postoperative chemotherapy with S-1 has a moderate effect on eliminating micrometastases<sup>[60,61]</sup>, and the efficacy is higher in smaller micrometastases<sup>[61]</sup>. As shown in the GEST study, S-1 has significantly higher ORR compared with GEM in patients with metastatic or locally advanced pancreatic cancer. The superior antitumor activity of S-1 might have inhibited micrometastases and resulted in the improvement of OS in an adjuvant setting. Further investigations are necessary to elucidate the basic mechanisms of the efficacy of S-1 in adjuvant chemotherapy. S-1 is also accepted as the standard adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with curatively resected gastric cancer based on a randomized study in Japan<sup>[62]</sup>.

## FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

S-1 is now accepted as one of the important key drugs in the management of pancreatic cancer in Japan. Oral administration of S-1 is a convenient option for first-line chemotherapy for metastatic or locally advanced pancreatic cancer because it has shown non-inferiority to GEM in OS. However, there are no definitive criteria for its use instead of GEM, and more aggressive therapies, such as FOLFIRINOX and GEM plus nab-paclitaxel, may be preferable for patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer with good performance status. In adjuvant chemotherapy for resected pancreatic cancer, S-1 is a more effective alternative to the standard chemotherapy with GEM. S-1-

based second-line chemotherapy for GEM refractory pancreatic cancer and CRT using S-1 for locally advanced disease appear to be promising strategies. However, the efficacy of these therapies should be confirmed in future randomized controlled studies.

In reported studies, one of the important features of S-1-based therapy is favorable ORR. Considering this advantage, some investigators are hopeful that S-1-based therapy may be useful in neoadjuvant therapy for potentially resectable or borderline resectable pancreatic cancer. The main goal of neoadjuvant therapy is to downsize tumors and increase the likelihood of curative resection. To date, many studies have evaluated the effectiveness of neoadjuvant CRT or neoadjuvant chemotherapy<sup>[63-66]</sup>. However, the effectiveness of neoadjuvant therapy still remains controversial, and no standard regimen has been established. In Japan, clinical studies of S-1-based therapies, such as GS therapy or CRT using S-1 in neoadjuvant settings, are now ongoing (*e.g.*, JASPAC-05 and Prep-02/JSPAC-05)<sup>[67]</sup>.

In contrast, there are some problems to be resolved with regard to the clinical use of S-1 for pancreatic cancer. Randomized controlled studies of S-1 are conducted mainly in Japanese populations, and it remains unclear if S-1 is also effective in Western populations. Cytochrome P450 2A6 activity is different among ethnic groups<sup>[68]</sup>, which is the key enzyme in converting tegafur to 5-FU<sup>[69]</sup>. Gastrointestinal toxicity, such as diarrhea, has been reported to be more severe in Caucasian patients<sup>[70]</sup>. This clinical question should be addressed in future studies. In Western countries, capecitabine, another oral fluoropyrimidine, has been in use in clinical practice, although limited evidence supports its use for pancreatic cancer.

Including S-1, we now have several options in first-line chemotherapy. However, there are no definitive criteria for treatment choice for each patient. In the next step, we should make an effort to develop a predictive biomarker for treatment efficacy. Human equilibrative nucleoside transporter protein expression has been reported to be a possible predictive marker of benefit from adjuvant GEM in patients with resected pancreatic cancer<sup>[71,72]</sup>. Thymidylate synthase or DPD gene expression levels have been reported as possible predictive markers of the efficacy of adjuvant chemotherapy with S-1 in patients with resected gastric cancer<sup>[73]</sup>. In the future, understanding of the molecular mechanism of drug sensitivity and cancer pathogenesis is essential to develop personalized cancer treatment. Given the recent advances in molecular biology, further progress in this field is highly expected.

## REFERENCES

- 1 **Burris HA**, Moore MJ, Andersen J, Green MR, Rothenberg ML, Modiano MR, Cripps MC, Portenoy RK, Storniolo AM, Tarassoff P, Nelson R, Dorr FA, Stephens CD, Von Hoff DD. Improvements in survival and clinical benefit with gemcitabine as first-line therapy for patients with advanced pancreas cancer: a randomized trial. *J Clin Oncol* 1997; **15**:

- 2403-2413 [PMID: 9196156]
- 2 **Jemal A**, Siegel R, Xu J, Ward E. Cancer statistics, 2010. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2010; **60**: 277-300 [PMID: 20610543 DOI: 10.3322/caac.20073]
  - 3 **Shirasaka T**, Shimamoto Y, Ohshimo H, Yamaguchi M, Kato T, Yonekura K, Fukushima M. Development of a novel form of an oral 5-fluorouracil derivative (S-1) directed to the potentiation of the tumor selective cytotoxicity of 5-fluorouracil by two biochemical modulators. *Anticancer Drugs* 1996; **7**: 548-557 [PMID: 8862723]
  - 4 **Tatsumi K**, Fukushima M, Shirasaka T, Fujii S. Inhibitory effects of pyrimidine, barbituric acid and pyridine derivatives on 5-fluorouracil degradation in rat liver extracts. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 1987; **78**: 748-755 [PMID: 3114201]
  - 5 **Shirasaka T**, Shimamoto Y, Fukushima M. Inhibition by oxonic acid of gastrointestinal toxicity of 5-fluorouracil without loss of its antitumor activity in rats. *Cancer Res* 1993; **53**: 4004-4009 [PMID: 7689420]
  - 6 **Boku N**, Yamamoto S, Fukuda H, Shirao K, Doi T, Sawaki A, Koizumi W, Saito H, Yamaguchi K, Takiuchi H, Nasu J, Ohtsu A. Fluorouracil versus combination of irinotecan plus cisplatin versus S-1 in metastatic gastric cancer: a randomised phase 3 study. *Lancet Oncol* 2009; **10**: 1063-1069 [PMID: 19818685 DOI: 10.1016/S1470-2045(09)70259-1]
  - 7 **Muro K**, Boku N, Shimada Y, Tsuji A, Sameshima S, Baba H, Satoh T, Denda T, Ina K, Nishina T, Yamaguchi K, Takiuchi H, Esaki T, Tokunaga S, Kuwano H, Komatsu Y, Watanabe M, Hyodo I, Morita S, Sugihara K. Irinotecan plus S-1 (IRIS) versus fluorouracil and folinic acid plus irinotecan (FOLFIRI) as second-line chemotherapy for metastatic colorectal cancer: a randomised phase 2/3 non-inferiority study (FIRIS study). *Lancet Oncol* 2010; **11**: 853-860 [PMID: 20708966 DOI: 10.1016/S1470-2045(10)70181-9]
  - 8 **Yamada Y**, Takahari D, Matsumoto H, Baba H, Nakamura M, Yoshida K, Yoshida M, Iwamoto S, Shimada K, Komatsu Y, Sasaki Y, Satoh T, Takahashi K, Mishima H, Muro K, Watanabe M, Sakata Y, Morita S, Shimada Y, Sugihara K. Leucovorin, fluorouracil, and oxaliplatin plus bevacizumab versus S-1 and oxaliplatin plus bevacizumab in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (SOFT): an open-label, non-inferiority, randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2013; **14**: 1278-1286 [PMID: 24225157 DOI: 10.1016/S1470-2045(13)70490-X]
  - 9 **Koizumi W**, Narahara H, Hara T, Takagane A, Akiya T, Takagi M, Miyashita K, Nishizaki T, Kobayashi O, Takiyama W, Toh Y, Nagaie T, Takagi S, Yamamura Y, Yanaoka K, Orita H, Takeuchi M. S-1 plus cisplatin versus S-1 alone for first-line treatment of advanced gastric cancer (SPIRITS trial): a phase III trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2008; **9**: 215-221 [PMID: 18282805 DOI: 10.1016/S1470-2045(08)70035-4]
  - 10 **Ueno H**, Ioka T, Ikeda M, Ohkawa S, Yanagimoto H, Boku N, Fukutomi A, Sugimori K, Baba H, Yamao K, Shimamura T, Sho M, Kitano M, Cheng AL, Mizumoto K, Chen JS, Furuse J, Funakoshi A, Hatori T, Yamaguchi T, Egawa S, Sato A, Ohashi Y, Okusaka T, Tanaka M. Randomized phase III study of gemcitabine plus S-1, S-1 alone, or gemcitabine alone in patients with locally advanced and metastatic pancreatic cancer in Japan and Taiwan: GEST study. *J Clin Oncol* 2013; **31**: 1640-1648 [PMID: 23547081 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2012.43.3680]
  - 11 **Uesaka K**, Fukutomi A, Boku N, Kanemoto H, Konishi M, Matsumoto I, Kaneoka Y, Shimizu Y, Nakamori S, Sakamoto H, Morinaga S, Kainuma O, Imai K, Sata N, Hishinuma S, Nakamura T, Kanai M, Hirano S, Yoshikawa Y, Ohashi Y. Randomized phase III trial of adjuvant chemotherapy with gemcitabine versus S-1 for patients with resected pancreatic cancer (JASPAC-01 study). *J Clin Oncol* 2012; **30** (suppl): abstr 145
  - 12 **Fukutomi A**, Uesaka K, Boku N, Kanemoto H, Konishi M, Matsumoto I, Kaneoka Y, Shimizu Y, Nakamori S, Sakamoto H, Morinaga S, Kainuma O, Imai K, Sata N, Hishinuma S, Nakamura T, Kanai M, Hirano S, Yoshikawa Y, Ohashi Y. JASPAC 01: Randomized phase III trial of adjuvant chemotherapy with gemcitabine versus S-1 for patients with resected pancreatic cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2013; **31** (suppl): abstr 4008
  - 13 **Moore MJ**, Goldstein D, Hamm J, Figer A, Hecht JR, Gallinger S, Au HJ, Murawa P, Walde D, Wolff RA, Campos D, Lim R, Ding K, Clark G, Voskoglou-Nomikos T, Ptasynski M, Parulekar W. Erlotinib plus gemcitabine compared with gemcitabine alone in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer: a phase III trial of the National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group. *J Clin Oncol* 2007; **25**: 1960-1966 [PMID: 17452677]
  - 14 **Conroy T**, Desseigne F, Ychou M, Bouché O, Guimbaud R, Bécouarn Y, Adenis A, Raoul JL, Gourgou-Bourgade S, de la Fouchardière C, Bennouna J, Bachet JB, Khemissa-Akouz F, Péré-Vergé D, Delbaldo C, Assenat E, Chauffert B, Michel P, Montoto-Grillot C, Ducreux M. FOLFIRINOX versus gemcitabine for metastatic pancreatic cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2011; **364**: 1817-1825 [PMID: 21561347 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1011923]
  - 15 **Von Hoff DD**, Ervin T, Arena FP, Chiorean EG, Infante J, Moore M, Seay T, Tjulandin SA, Ma WW, Saleh MN, Harris M, Reni M, Dowden S, Laheru D, Bahary N, Ramanathan RK, Tabernero J, Hidalgo M, Goldstein D, Van Cutsem E, Wei X, Iglesias J, Renschler MF. Increased survival in pancreatic cancer with nab-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine. *N Engl J Med* 2013; **369**: 1691-1703 [PMID: 24131140 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1304369]
  - 16 **Ueno H**, Okusaka T, Ikeda M, Takezako Y, Morizane C. An early phase II study of S-1 in patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer. *Oncology* 2005; **68**: 171-178 [PMID: 16006754]
  - 17 **Okusaka T**, Funakoshi A, Furuse J, Boku N, Yamao K, Ohkawa S, Saito H. A late phase II study of S-1 for metastatic pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2008; **61**: 615-621 [PMID: 17520253]
  - 18 **Rauchwerger DR**, Firby PS, Hedley DW, Moore MJ. Equilibrative-sensitive nucleoside transporter and its role in gemcitabine sensitivity. *Cancer Res* 2000; **60**: 6075-6079 [PMID: 11085530]
  - 19 **Nakamura K**, Yamaguchi T, Ishihara T, Sudo K, Kato H, Saisho H. Phase II trial of oral S-1 combined with gemcitabine in metastatic pancreatic cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2006; **94**: 1575-1579 [PMID: 16721372]
  - 20 **Ueno H**, Okusaka T, Furuse J, Yamao K, Funakoshi A, Boku N, Ohkawa S, Yokosuka O, Tanaka K, Moriyasu F, Nakamori S, Sato T. Multicenter phase II study of gemcitabine and S-1 combination therapy (GS Therapy) in patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2011; **41**: 953-958 [PMID: 21715364 DOI: 10.1093/jcco/hyr090]
  - 21 **Oh DY**, Cha Y, Choi IS, Yoon SY, Choi IK, Kim JH, Oh SC, Kim CD, Kim JS, Bang YJ, Kim YH. A multicenter phase II study of gemcitabine and S-1 combination chemotherapy in patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2010; **65**: 527-536 [PMID: 19578850 DOI: 10.1007/s00280-009-1059-9]
  - 22 **Lee GW**, Kim HJ, Ju JH, Kim SH, Kim HG, Kim TH, Kim HJ, Jeong CY, Kang JH. Phase II trial of S-1 in combination with gemcitabine for chemo-naïve patients with locally advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2009; **64**: 707-713 [PMID: 19151975 DOI: 10.1007/s00280-008-0918-0]
  - 23 **Kim MK**, Lee KH, Jang BI, Kim TN, Eun JR, Bae SH, Ryoo HM, Lee SA, Hyun MS. S-1 and gemcitabine as an outpatient-based regimen in patients with advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2009; **39**: 49-53 [PMID: 19060295 DOI: 10.1093/jcco/hyn126]
  - 24 **Nakai Y**, Isayama H, Sasaki T, Sasahira N, Tsujino T, Toda N, Kogure H, Matsubara S, Ito Y, Togawa O, Arizumi T, Hirano K, Tada M, Omata M, Koike K. A multicentre randomised phase II trial of gemcitabine alone vs gemcitabine and S-1 combination therapy in advanced pancreatic cancer: GEM-

- SAP study. *Br J Cancer* 2012; **106**: 1934-1939 [PMID: 22555398 DOI: 10.1038/bjc.2012.183]
- 25 **Ozaka M**, Matsumura Y, Ishii H, Omuro Y, Itoi T, Mouri H, Hanada K, Kimura Y, Maetani I, Okabe Y, Tani M, Ikeda T, Hijioka S, Watanabe R, Ohoka S, Hirose Y, Suyama M, Egawa N, Sofuni A, Ikari T, Nakajima T. Randomized phase II study of gemcitabine and S-1 combination versus gemcitabine alone in the treatment of unresectable advanced pancreatic cancer (Japan Clinical Cancer Research Organization PC-01 study). *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2012; **69**: 1197-1204 [PMID: 22249272 DOI: 10.1007/s00280-012-1822-1]
  - 26 **Sudo K**, Ishihara T, Hirata N, Ozawa F, Ohshima T, Aze-moto R, Shimura K, Nihei T, Nishino T, Nakagawa A, Nakamura K, Hara T, Tada M, Mikata R, Tawada K, Yokosuka O, Nakaji S, Yamaguchi T. Randomized controlled study of gemcitabine plus S-1 combination chemotherapy versus gemcitabine for unresectable pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2014; **73**: 389-396 [PMID: 24322377 DOI: 10.1007/s00280-013-2368-6]
  - 27 **Berlin JD**, Catalano P, Thomas JP, Kugler JW, Haller DG, Benson AB. Phase III study of gemcitabine in combination with fluorouracil versus gemcitabine alone in patients with advanced pancreatic carcinoma: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Trial E2297. *J Clin Oncol* 2002; **20**: 3270-3275 [PMID: 12149301]
  - 28 **Herrmann R**, Bodoky G, Ruhstaller T, Glimelius B, Bajetta E, Schüller J, Saletti P, Bauer J, Figer A, Pestalozzi B, Köhne CH, Mingrone W, Stemmer SM, Tamas K, Kornek GV, Koeberle D, Cina S, Bernhard J, Dietrich D, Scheithauer W. Gemcitabine plus capecitabine compared with gemcitabine alone in advanced pancreatic cancer: a randomized, multicenter, phase III trial of the Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research and the Central European Cooperative Oncology Group. *J Clin Oncol* 2007; **25**: 2212-2217 [PMID: 17538165]
  - 29 **Cunningham D**, Chau I, Stocken DD, Valle JW, Smith D, Steward W, Harper PG, Dunn J, Tudur-Smith C, West J, Falk S, Crellin A, Adab F, Thompson J, Leonard P, Ostrowski J, Eatock M, Scheithauer W, Herrmann R, Neoptolemos JP. Phase III randomized comparison of gemcitabine versus gemcitabine plus capecitabine in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2009; **27**: 5513-5518 [PMID: 19858379 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2009.24.2446]
  - 30 **Ku GY**, Haaland B, Ioka T, Isayama H, Nakai Y, Cheng AL, Okusaka T, de Lima Lopes G. Meta-analysis of randomized phase II and phase III trials of gemcitabine with/without S-1 in Asian patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2012; **30** (suppl): abstr 311
  - 31 **Ishii H**, Okusaka T, Ikari T, Isayama H, Furuse J, Nakai Y, Imai S, Okamura S, Hamada C. Efficacy and safety of Gemcitabine plus S-1 treatment in locally advanced and metastatic pancreatic cancer: A pooled analysis of three randomized trials using updated individual patient data. *ECCO* 2013; abstract 2595
  - 32 **Pelzer U**, Schwaner I, Stieler J, Adler M, Seraphin J, Dörken B, Riess H, Oettle H. Best supportive care (BSC) versus oxaliplatin, folinic acid and 5-fluorouracil (OFF) plus BSC in patients for second-line advanced pancreatic cancer: a phase III-study from the German CONKO-study group. *Eur J Cancer* 2011; **47**: 1676-1681 [PMID: 21565490 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejca.2011.04.011]
  - 33 **Pelzer U**, Schwaner I, Stieler J, Adler M, Seraphin J, Dörken B, Riess H, Oettle H. A randomized trial in patients with gemcitabine refractory pancreatic cancer. Final results of the CONKO 003 study. *J Clin Oncol* 2008; **26** (suppl): abstr 4508
  - 34 **Morizane C**, Okusaka T, Furuse J, Ishii H, Ueno H, Ikeda M, Nakachi K, Najima M, Ogura T, Suzuki E. A phase II study of S-1 in gemcitabine-refractory metastatic pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2009; **63**: 313-319 [PMID: 18398614 DOI: 10.1007/s00280-008-0741-7]
  - 35 **Sudo K**, Yamaguchi T, Nakamura K, Denda T, Hara T, Ishihara T, Yokosuka O. Phase II study of S-1 in patients with gemcitabine-resistant advanced pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2011; **67**: 249-254 [PMID: 20352216 DOI: 10.1007/s00280-010-1311-3]
  - 36 **Mizuno N**, Yamao K, Komatsu Y, Munakata M, Ishiguro A, Yamaguchi T, Ohkawa S, Kida M, Ioka T, Takeda K, Kudo T, Kitano M, Iguchi H, Tsuji A, Ito T, Tanaka M, Furuse J, Hamada C, Sakata Y. Randomized phase II trial of S-1 versus S-1 plus irinotecan (IRIS) in patients with gemcitabine-refractory pancreatic cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2012; **30** (suppl 34): abstr 263
  - 37 **Okusaka T**, Ohkawa S, Isayama H, Fukutomi A, Yamaguchi K, Ikeda M, Funakoshi A, Nagase M, Nakamori S, Hamamoto Y. Randomized phase II trial of S-1 versus S-1 plus oxaliplatin (SOX) in patients with GEM refractory pancreatic cancer. *ESMO* 2012; abstract 1437
  - 38 **Okusaka T**, Ueno M, Omuro Y, Isayama H, Fukutomi A, Ikeda M, Mizuno M, Fukuzawa K, Hyodo I, Boku N. A randomized phase II study of S-1 plus leucovorin versus S-1 alone in patients with Gemcitabine-refractory pancreatic cancer. *ESMO* 2013; abstract 406
  - 39 **Shinchi H**, Takao S, Noma H, Matsuo Y, Mataka Y, Mori S, Aikou T. Length and quality of survival after external-beam radiotherapy with concurrent continuous 5-fluorouracil infusion for locally unresectable pancreatic cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2002; **53**: 146-150 [PMID: 12007953]
  - 40 **Rich TA**, Shepard RC, Mosley ST. Four decades of continuing innovation with fluorouracil: current and future approaches to fluorouracil chemoradiation therapy. *J Clin Oncol* 2004; **22**: 2214-2232 [PMID: 15169811]
  - 41 **Boz G**, De Paoli A, Innocente R, Rossi C, Tosolini G, Pederzoli P, Talamini R, Trovò MG. Radiotherapy and continuous infusion 5-fluorouracil in patients with nonresectable pancreatic carcinoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2001; **51**: 736-740 [PMID: 11597816]
  - 42 **Ishii H**, Okada S, Tokuyue K, Nose H, Okusaka T, Yoshimori M, Nagahama H, Sumi M, Kagami Y, Ikeda H. Protracted 5-fluorouracil infusion with concurrent radiotherapy as a treatment for locally advanced pancreatic carcinoma. *Cancer* 1997; **79**: 1516-1520 [PMID: 9118032]
  - 43 **Fukushima M**, Sakamoto K, Sakata M, Nakagawa F, Saito H, Sakata Y. Gimeracil, a component of S-1, may enhance the antitumor activity of X-ray irradiation in human cancer xenograft models in vivo. *Oncol Rep* 2010; **24**: 1307-1313 [PMID: 20878125]
  - 44 **Sudo K**, Yamaguchi T, Ishihara T, Nakamura K, Shirai Y, Nakagawa A, Kawakami H, Uno T, Ito H, Saisho H. Phase I study of oral S-1 and concurrent radiotherapy in patients with unresectable locally advanced pancreatic cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2007; **67**: 219-224 [PMID: 17189072]
  - 45 **Ikeda M**, Okusaka T, Ito Y, Ueno H, Morizane C, Furuse J, Ishii H, Kawashima M, Kagami Y, Ikeda H. A phase I trial of S-1 with concurrent radiotherapy for locally advanced pancreatic cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2007; **96**: 1650-1655 [PMID: 17533388]
  - 46 **Shinchi H**, Maemura K, Noma H, Mataka Y, Aikou T, Takao S. Phase-I trial of oral fluoropyrimidine anticancer agent (S-1) with concurrent radiotherapy in patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2007; **96**: 1353-1357 [PMID: 17437021]
  - 47 **Sudo K**, Yamaguchi T, Ishihara T, Nakamura K, Hara T, Denda T, Tawada K, Imagumbai T, Araki H, Sakai M, Hatano K, Kawakami H, Uno T, Ito H, Yokosuka O. Phase II study of oral S-1 and concurrent radiotherapy in patients with unresectable locally advanced pancreatic cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2011; **80**: 119-125 [PMID: 20605363 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2010.01.027]
  - 48 **Ikeda M**, Ioka T, Ito Y, Yonemoto N, Nagase M, Yamao K, Miyakawa H, Ishii H, Furuse J, Sato K, Sato T, Okusaka T. A multicenter phase II trial of S-1 with concurrent radiation



- therapy for locally advanced pancreatic cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2013; **85**: 163-169 [PMID: 22677367 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2012.03.059]
- 49 **Shinchi H**, Maemura K, Mataka Y, Kurahara H, Sakoda M, Ueno S, Hiraki Y, Nakajo M, Natsugoe S, Takao S. A phase II study of oral S-1 with concurrent radiotherapy followed by chemotherapy with S-1 alone for locally advanced pancreatic cancer. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci* 2012; **19**: 152-158 [PMID: 21647560 DOI: 10.1007/s00534-011-0400-y]
- 50 **Kim HM**, Bang S, Park JY, Seong J, Song SY, Chung JB, Park SW. Phase II trial of S-1 and concurrent radiotherapy in patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2009; **63**: 535-541 [PMID: 18828020 DOI: 10.1007/s00280-008-0836-1]
- 51 **Saif MW**, Black G, Roy S, Bell D, Russo S, Eloubeidi MA, Steg A, Johnson MR, Zelterman D, Diasio RB. Phase II study of capecitabine with concomitant radiotherapy for patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer: up-regulation of thymidine phosphorylase. *Cancer J* 2007; **13**: 247-256 [PMID: 17762760]
- 52 **Crane CH**, Varadhachary GR, Yordy JS, Staerkel GA, Javle MM, Safran H, Haque W, Hobbs BD, Krishnan S, Fleming JB, Das P, Lee JE, Abbruzzese JL, Wolff RA. Phase II trial of cetuximab, gemcitabine, and oxaliplatin followed by chemoradiation with cetuximab for locally advanced (T4) pancreatic adenocarcinoma: correlation of Smad4(Dpc4) immunostaining with pattern of disease progression. *J Clin Oncol* 2011; **29**: 3037-3043 [PMID: 21709185 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2010.33.8038]
- 53 **Miwa M**, Ura M, Nishida M, Sawada N, Ishikawa T, Mori K, Shimma N, Umeda I, Ishitsuka H. Design of a novel oral fluoropyrimidine carbamate, capecitabine, which generates 5-fluorouracil selectively in tumours by enzymes concentrated in human liver and cancer tissue. *Eur J Cancer* 1998; **34**: 1274-1281 [PMID: 9849491]
- 54 **Mukherjee S**, Hurt CN, Bridgewater J, Falk S, Cummins S, Wasan H, Crosby T, Jephcott C, Roy R, Radhakrishna G, McDonald A, Ray R, Joseph G, Staffurth J, Abrams RA, Griffiths G, Maughan T. Gemcitabine-based or capecitabine-based chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced pancreatic cancer (SCALOP): a multicentre, randomised, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2013; **14**: 317-326 [PMID: 23474363 DOI: 10.1016/S1470-2045(13)70021-4]
- 55 **Neoptolemos JP**, Stocken DD, Friess H, Bassi C, Dunn JA, Hickey H, Beger H, Fernandez-Cruz L, Dervenis C, Laccaine F, Falconi M, Pederzoli P, Pap A, Spooner D, Kerr DJ, Büchler MW. A randomized trial of chemoradiotherapy and chemotherapy after resection of pancreatic cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2004; **350**: 1200-1210 [PMID: 15028824]
- 56 **Oettle H**, Post S, Neuhaus P, Gellert K, Langrehr J, Ridwelski K, Schramm H, Fahlke J, Zuelke C, Burkart C, Gutberlet K, Kettner E, Schmalenberg H, Weigang-Koehler K, Bechstein WO, Niedergethmann M, Schmidt-Wolf I, Roll L, Doerken B, Riess H. Adjuvant chemotherapy with gemcitabine vs observation in patients undergoing curative-intent resection of pancreatic cancer: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2007; **297**: 267-277 [PMID: 17227978]
- 57 **Oettle H**, Neuhaus P, Hochhaus A, Hartmann JT, Gellert K, Ridwelski K, Niedergethmann M, Zülke C, Fahlke J, Arning MB, Sinn M, Hinke A, Riess H. Adjuvant chemotherapy with gemcitabine and long-term outcomes among patients with resected pancreatic cancer: the CONKO-001 randomized trial. *JAMA* 2013; **310**: 1473-1481 [PMID: 24104372 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2013.279201]
- 58 **Ueno H**, Kosuge T, Matsuyama Y, Yamamoto J, Nakao A, Egawa S, Doi R, Monden M, Hatori T, Tanaka M, Shimada M, Kanemitsu K. A randomised phase III trial comparing gemcitabine with surgery-only in patients with resected pancreatic cancer: Japanese Study Group of Adjuvant Therapy for Pancreatic Cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2009; **101**: 908-915 [PMID: 19690548 DOI: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6605256]
- 59 **Neoptolemos JP**, Stocken DD, Bassi C, Ghaneh P, Cunningham D, Goldstein D, Padbury R, Moore MJ, Gallinger S, Mariette C, Wente MN, Izbicki JR, Friess H, Lerch MM, Dervenis C, Oláh A, Butturini G, Doi R, Lind PA, Smith D, Valle JW, Palmer DH, Buckels JA, Thompson J, McKay CJ, Rawcliffe CL, Büchler MW. Adjuvant chemotherapy with fluorouracil plus folinic acid vs gemcitabine following pancreatic cancer resection: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2010; **304**: 1073-1081 [PMID: 20823433 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2010.1275]
- 60 **Eguchi T**, Kodera Y, Nakanishi H, Yokoyama H, Ohashi N, Ito Y, Nakayama G, Koike M, Fujiwara M, Nakao A. The effect of chemotherapy against micrometastases and isolated tumor cells in lymph nodes: an in vivo study. *In Vivo* 2008; **22**: 707-712 [PMID: 19180995]
- 61 **Yokoyama H**, Nakanishi H, Kodera Y, Ikehara Y, Ohashi N, Ito Y, Koike M, Fujiwara M, Tatematsu M, Nakao A. Biological significance of isolated tumor cells and micrometastasis in lymph nodes evaluated using a green fluorescent protein-tagged human gastric cancer cell line. *Clin Cancer Res* 2006; **12**: 361-368 [PMID: 16428473]
- 62 **Sakuramoto S**, Sasako M, Yamaguchi T, Kinoshita T, Fujii M, Nashimoto A, Furukawa H, Nakajima T, Ohashi Y, Imamura H, Higashino M, Yamamura Y, Kurita A, Arai K. Adjuvant chemotherapy for gastric cancer with S-1, an oral fluoropyrimidine. *N Engl J Med* 2007; **357**: 1810-1820 [PMID: 17978289]
- 63 **Gillen S**, Schuster T, Meyer Zum Büschenfelde C, Friess H, Kleeff J. Preoperative/neoadjuvant therapy in pancreatic cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of response and resection percentages. *PLoS Med* 2010; **7**: e1000267 [PMID: 20422030 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000267]
- 64 **Breslin TM**, Hess KR, Harbison DB, Jean ME, Cleary KR, Dackiw AP, Wolff RA, Abbruzzese JL, Janjan NA, Crane CH, Vauthey JN, Lee JE, Pisters PW, Evans DB. Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for adenocarcinoma of the pancreas: treatment variables and survival duration. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2001; **8**: 123-132 [PMID: 11258776]
- 65 **Palmer DH**, Stocken DD, Hewitt H, Markham CE, Hassan AB, Johnson PJ, Buckels JA, Bramhall SR. A randomized phase 2 trial of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in resectable pancreatic cancer: gemcitabine alone versus gemcitabine combined with cisplatin. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2007; **14**: 2088-2096 [PMID: 17453298]
- 66 **Laurence JM**, Tran PD, Morarji K, Eslick GD, Lam VW, Sandroussi C. A systematic review and meta-analysis of survival and surgical outcomes following neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for pancreatic cancer. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2011; **15**: 2059-2069 [PMID: 21913045 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-011-1659-7]
- 67 **Motoi F**, Kawaguchi K, Aoki T, Kudo K, Yabuuchi S, Fukase K, Mizuma M, Sakata N, Otsutomo S, Morikawa T, Hayashi H, Nakagawa K, Okada T, Yoshida H, Naitoh T, Katayose Y, Egawa S, Unno M. [Efficacy of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for resectable pancreatic carcinoma]. *Gan To Kagaku Ryoho* 2013; **40**: 1632-1636 [PMID: 24393872]
- 68 **Shimada T**, Yamazaki H, Guengerich FP. Ethnic-related differences in coumarin 7-hydroxylation activities catalyzed by cytochrome P4502A6 in liver microsomes of Japanese and Caucasian populations. *Xenobiotica* 1996; **26**: 395-403 [PMID: 9173680]
- 69 **Ikedo K**, Yoshisue K, Matsushima E, Nagayama S, Kobayashi K, Tyson CA, Chiba K, Kawaguchi Y. Bioactivation of tegafur to 5-fluorouracil is catalyzed by cytochrome P-450 2A6 in human liver microsomes in vitro. *Clin Cancer Res* 2000; **6**: 4409-4415 [PMID: 11106261]
- 70 **Chuah B**, Goh BC, Lee SC, Soong R, Lau F, Mulay M, Dinolfo M, Lim SE, Soo R, Furuie T, Saito K, Zergebel C, Rosen LS. Comparison of the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of S-1 between Caucasian and East Asian patients. *Cancer Sci* 2011; **102**: 478-483 [PMID: 21143703 DOI: 10.1111/



j.1349-7006.2010.01793.x]

- 71 **Farrell JJ**, Elsaleh H, Garcia M, Lai R, Ammar A, Regine WF, Abrams R, Benson AB, Macdonald J, Cass CE, Dicker AP, Mackey JR. Human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 levels predict response to gemcitabine in patients with pancreatic cancer. *Gastroenterology* 2009; **136**: 187-195 [PMID: 18992248 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2008.09.067]
- 72 **Maréchal R**, Bachet JB, Mackey JR, Dalban C, Demetter P, Graham K, Couvelard A, Svrcek M, Bardier-Dupas A, Hammel P, Sauvanet A, Louvet C, Paye F, Rougier P, Penna C, André T, Dumontet C, Cass CE, Jordheim LP, Matera EL, Closset J, Salmon I, Devière J, Emile JF, Van Laethem JL. Levels of gemcitabine transport and metabolism proteins predict survival times of patients treated with gemcitabine for pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Gastroenterology* 2012; **143**: 664-674. e1-6 [PMID: 22705007 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2012.06.006]
- 73 **Sasako M**, Terashima M, Ichikawa W, Ochiai A, Kitada K, Kurahashi I, Sakuramoto S, Katai H, Sano T, Imamura H. Impact of the gene expressions of thymidylate synthase and dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase on survival in patients enrolled in the ACTS-GC study. *J Clin Oncol* 2012; **30** (suppl 4): abstr 52

**P- Reviewer:** de Bree E, Sakamoto Y, Tsuchikawa T

**S- Editor:** Qi Y **L- Editor:** A **E- Editor:** Ma S





Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgooffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgooffice@wjgnet.com)

Help Desk: <http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx>

<http://www.wjgnet.com>



ISSN 1007-9327



9 771007 932045