



# Clinical Features and Risk Factors for Atazanavir (ATV)-Associated Urolithiasis: A Case-Control Study

Matthieu Lafaurie<sup>1\*</sup>, Barbara De Sousa<sup>1</sup>, Diane Ponscarne<sup>1</sup>, Nathanael Lapidus<sup>2</sup>, Michel Daudon<sup>3</sup>, Laurence Weiss<sup>4</sup>, Christophe Rioux<sup>5</sup>, Erwan Fourn<sup>6</sup>, Christine Katlama<sup>7</sup>, Jean-Michel Molina<sup>1</sup>

**1** Department of Infectious Diseases, Saint-Louis Hospital, Paris, France, and University of Paris Diderot Paris 7, Sorbonne Paris Cité, INSERM U941, Paris, France, **2** AP-HP, Hôpital Saint Louis, Service de Biostatistique et Information Médicale, Paris, France, and INSERM, UMR\_S 1136, Institut Pierre-Louis d'Epidémiologie et de Santé Publique, Paris, France, and Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR\_S 1136, Institut Pierre-Louis d'Epidémiologie et de Santé Publique, Paris, France, **3** Laboratory of Clinical Physiology, Tenon Hospital, Paris, France, **4** AP-HP, Service of Clinical Immunology, Georges Pompidou European Hospital and University of Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France, **5** Department of Infectious Diseases, Bichat Hospital, Paris, France, **6** Internal Medicine Department, Bicetre Hospital, Le Kremlin Bicetre, France, **7** Department of Infectious Diseases, Pitie-Salpetriere Hospital, Paris, France

## Abstract

**Objectives:** Clinical features and risk factors for atazanavir (ATV)-associated urolithiasis have not been fully investigated.

**Methods:** We reviewed all cases of ATV-containing urolithiasis identified by infrared spectrophotometry among HIV-infected patients over a 5-year period to describe their clinical features and outcome. A case-control study was performed to identify risk factors associated with ATV-associated urolithiasis using univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses.

**Results:** 30 cases of ATV-associated urolithiasis were analyzed. Patients were mostly men (87%), median age: 45.5 years, median CD4 cell count: 443 cells/ $\mu$ L and 97% had plasma HIV RNA level  $<50$  cp/mL. Median time between the initiation of ATV-containing regimen and the diagnosis of urolithiasis was 3.1 years. Patients presented with flank pain in 90% and macroscopic hematuria in 82.6%, 34% had renal dysfunction and 44.8% needed ureteroscopic treatment. In univariate analysis, chronic hepatitis C, a history of urolithiasis, prior use of indinavir, ATV duration, undetectable plasma HIV RNA, use of ritonavir as a booster and serum free bilirubin level were associated with ATV-urolithiasis. Multivariate models retained serum free bilirubin level (OR: 2.31,  $p < 0.02$ ) and either ATV duration (OR: = 1.42,  $p = < 0.03$ ) or a history of urolithiasis (OR = 4.79,  $p < 0.02$ ) when adjusting on serum free bilirubin level as risk factors associated with urolithiasis.

**Conclusions:** ATV-containing urolithiasis are associated with frank clinical symptoms and may require surgical intervention. A high serum bilirubin level, a long exposure to ATV and a history of urolithiasis are risk factors for this rare adverse event.

**Citation:** Lafaurie M, De Sousa B, Ponscarne D, Lapidus N, Daudon M, et al. (2014) Clinical Features and Risk Factors for Atazanavir (ATV)-Associated Urolithiasis: A Case-Control Study. PLoS ONE 9(11): e112836. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112836

**Editor:** Anthony W. I. Lo, Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong

**Received:** July 10, 2014; **Accepted:** October 16, 2014; **Published:** November 19, 2014

**Copyright:** © 2014 Lafaurie et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability:** The authors confirm that all data underlying the findings are fully available without restriction. All relevant data are within the paper.

**Funding:** The authors have no support or funding to report.

**Competing Interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* Email: matthieu.lafaurie@sls.aphp.fr

## Introduction

Atazanavir (ATV) is a widely used HIV protease inhibitor (PI) both in treatment-experienced and treatment-naïve patients due to its potent antiviral activity and good safety profile [1]. Hyperbilirubinemia frequently occurs among patients receiving ATV due to the inhibition of the UGT1A1 metabolic pathway, but its clinical consequences are usually limited to mild scleral icterus. Other rare adverse events such as urolithiasis have been reported with ATV in postmarketing studies [2]. Indeed there have been a few case reports of urolithiasis in patients receiving ATV-based antiretroviral therapy (ART) [3–8]. Cohort studies have reported a high incidence rate of urolithiasis ranging from 7 to 24 cases per 1000 person-years among patients receiving ATV-based ART [9–10]. ATV/ritonavir (ATV/r) use was identified as an independent risk for renal stones (HR: 21.5, 95% confidence interval (CI): 2.9–160,  $p = 0.003$ ) and may therefore represent a limitation for its use

[10]. It is therefore critical to identify risk factors for ATV-associated urolithiasis in order to prevent or reduce the occurrence of this adverse event. Previous reports suggested several risk factors for ATV-induced renal stones, such as chronic renal impairment, co-infection with hepatitis virus, and past history of renal stones [7,9]. However, the methods used in those studies were not adequate to determine risks factors for ATV/r-induced renal stones. Our aim was to better describe clinical features and outcome of patients with ATV-induced urolithiasis and to assess risk factors for this outcome using a case-control study.

## Methods

Patients with ATV-containing urolithiasis were identified from the computerized databases of three biochemistry laboratories, located in Paris from January 2008 to December 2012. In France HIV infected patients followed in hospitals are all registered in the

French Hospital Database on HIV - FHDH and give written informed consent for their clinical records to be used in any epidemiological study.

In this study, patient records/information was anonymized and de-identified prior to analysis.

In all patients, the presence of ATV in the calculi was confirmed by infrared spectrometry [11]. Patients' charts were then reviewed after we obtained approval by the medical staff to participate in this study. For each case, three controls were sampled among patients who had no history of ATV-associated urolithiasis while treated with ATV-based regimens for at least 6 months (since this adverse event has been reported to occur late after treatment initiation). These controls were randomly selected from our computerized database of patients with HIV-infection at the Saint-Louis hospital in Paris. They were matched to their respective cases by choosing the closest medical consultation and biological sampling they had on the date of occurrence of ATV-associated urolithiasis in cases. The following data were obtained from medical charts: age, sexe, body mass index, conditions that predispose to urolithiasis (diabetes, obesity, drugs contributing to stone development such as sulfamide-containing compounds, serum calcium level), previous ART, current ART, duration of ATV exposure, CD4 T-cell count, plasma HIV-RNA level, CDC AIDS classification, prior history of urolithiasis before ATV initiation, clinical signs and symptoms of ATV-associated urolithiasis, imaging at diagnosis, composition of the stones, treatment and outcome. The following parameters were also collected in cases 6–12 months before the onset of urolithiasis, and 6–12 months later: serum creatinine, bilirubin, calcium, urine analysis.

Distributions in cases and controls were compared with the use of Mann-Whitney test for quantitative variables and Fisher's exact test for qualitative variables. Statistical tests were two-sided, and P values of less than 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance. Tests for paired samples were not used, as no other factor than the date of occurrence of ATV-associated urolithiasis was used to match cases and controls.

A risk factor analysis was conducted to identify factors associated with the occurrence of ATV-containing urolithiasis. We used logistic regression modeling in an univariate analysis among all covariates. Baseline characteristics available at ICU admission and associated with p values lower than 0.1 by univariate analysis or deemed clinically relevant were included in a multivariate logistic regression selection process. Given the number of cases, a maximum of three covariates was allowed in the tested models. Multiple imputation by chained equation was used to handle missing values. A stepwise Bayesian information criterion (BIC)-based selection was repeated in 30 imputed datasets to identify factors independently associated with urolithiasis. Models' calibrations were tested by the le Cessie-van Houwelingen goodness-of-fit test. Statistical analyses were performed with R version 3.0.2 (R Development Core Team 2013; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

## Results

Sixty-two ATV-containing urolithiasis cases were retrieved from the 3 biochemistry laboratories during the study period but only 30 cases could be analyzed. Patients not enrolled in our study were those who did not live in the Paris area rendering data collection uneasy. Characteristics of cases are depicted in Table 1. Most patients were male, with a median age of 45.5 years. Median duration of HIV infection was nearly 10 years. At diagnosis, median CD4 cell count was high and similar in both groups. Twenty-nine cases (96.7%) had plasma HIV RNA levels <50 cp/

mL, versus 59/90 (65.6%) controls ( $p < 0.001$ ). Median time between the initiation of ATV-containing regimen and the diagnosis of urolithiasis was significantly longer in cases than in controls (3.1 years [range: 2.2–3.8] versus 1.9 [1.2, 3.2] respectively,  $p < 0.009$ ). In all but one case (96.7%) ATV daily dose was 300 mg boosted with ritonavir 100 mg whereas only 53 patients (58.9%) in the control group received ATV/r ( $p < 0.001$ ). The proportion of patients who received tenofovir, lamivudine or emtricitabine and abacavir was similar in both groups. There was no difference between cases and controls in terms of patients comorbidities, use of drugs with lithogenic potential (data not shown) or serum calcium level. However, an history of prior use of indinavir, prior indinavir urolithiasis and also prior urolithiasis (any type) before ATV therapy were significantly more frequent in cases compared to controls (44.8% versus 20%,  $p < 0.002$ ; 26.7% versus 1.2%,  $p < 0.001$  and 36.7 versus 7.8%,  $p < 0.01$ , respectively). Finally, median serum free bilirubin was significantly higher in cases compared to controls (49.1 mmol/l [IQR: 32.5, 56] and 30.9 [17.7, 45] respectively,  $p < 0.004$ ).

Clinical presentation, treatment and outcome of patients with ATV-associated urolithiasis are described in Table 2. Infrared spectrometry showed that kidney stones contained a high proportion of ATV (median percentage of ATV: 89%, IQR: 59.0, 95.0). Most cases of ATV-urolithiasis were symptomatic with renal colic or lumbar/flank pain in 90% and gross hematuria in 82.6% of cases. Complications were also frequent, with bilateral involvement in 4 cases (14.3%), ureteral dilatation in 13 (54.2%) and renal failure (MDRD creatinine clearance <60 mL/min) in 9 (34.6%) patients. Intervention for stone removal was required in 13 patients (44.8%) and a double J ureteral stent was inserted in 8/28 cases (29%). ATV-associated urolithiasis led to ATV discontinuation in most patients (82.8%). Median creatinine clearance was significantly lower at diagnosis versus 6–12 months earlier ( $p < 0.05$ ) but returned to baseline, 6–12 months after urolithiasis onset ( $p = 0.26$ ). However, in the 6 patients with renal failure at the time of ATV-urolithiasis, renal function did not normalize 6–12 months later. Urinary pH was measured in only 6 patients at the onset of urolithiasis and ranged from 5 to 6.9. Recurrence of urolithiasis during follow-up was reported in 3 patients, 2 of whom were still on ATV.

In univariate analysis, risk factors for ATV-urolithiasis were chronic hepatitis C, a history of urolithiasis, the prior use of indinavir, ATV duration, undetectable HIV plasma RNA, the use of ritonavir as a booster and the serum free bilirubin level (Table 3). Multivariate models retained serum free bilirubin level and either ATV duration or a history of urolithiasis as factors associated with ATV-associated urolithiasis (Table 4).

## Discussion

We report here a large series of 30 cases of ATV-containing urolithiasis that allowed us to better described the clinical features and outcomes of this rare adverse event in HIV-infected patients receiving an ATV-based antiretroviral regimen.

Due to our strict definition of cases based on the identification of ATV in the calculi using infrared spectrometry we could ascertain that the stones obtained in our patients were indeed related to ATV use.

Patients with ATV-containing urolithiasis in our study were mostly men, with a median age of 45.5 years, a body mass index of 22.8 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, and a low prevalence of diabetes (3.3%). They were receiving an ATV-based regimen for a median of 3.1 years, a long duration of exposure which is consistent with previous reports [7–9]. The large majority of patients in our study were symptomatic

**Table 1.** Baseline characteristics of HIV-infected patients with atazanavir-associated urolithiasis and controls.

|  | Controls (n = 90) | Cases (n = 30)    | p      |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Male [n (%)]   | 68 (75.6%)        | 26 (86.7%)        | 0.31   |
| Age (years) [median (IQR)]                                   | 47 [39, 52.8]     | 45.5 [42, 50.5]   | 0.85   |
| Body Mass Index (BMI) (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (median [IQR])    | 23 [21.1, 25.7]   | 22.8 [21.3, 24.2] | 0.60   |
| Diabetes [n (%)]   | 9 (10%)           | 1 (3.3%)          | 0.45   |
| Duration of HIV infection (years) [median (IQR)]             | 12.0 [4.9, 18.3]  | 9.7 [4.2, 17.7]   | 0.47   |
| CDC disease stage  |                   |                   | 0.20   |
| A  | 48 (53.9%)        | 20 (66.7%)        |        |
| B  | 14 (15.7%)        | 1 (3.3%)          |        |
| C  | 27 (30.3%)        | 9 (30%)           |        |
| Nadir CD4 (cell count, cells/mL)                             | 204 [112, 297]    | 209.5 [67, 313]   | 0.79   |
| CD4+ cell count, cells/mL (IQR?)                             | 492 [344, 657]    | 443 [401, 620]    | 0.77   |
| Plasma HIV RNA >50 cp/mL [n (%)]                             | 59 (65.6%)        | 1 (3.3%)          | <0.001 |
| Duration of ATV prior to urolithiasis (years) [median (IQR)] | 1.9 [1.2, 3.2]    | 3.1 [2.2, 3.8]    | <0.009 |
| Associated ARV therapy [(n) %]                               |                   |                   |        |
| Ritonavir (100 mg od) [(n) %]                                | 68 (75.6%)        | 29 (96.7%)        | <0.02  |
| Lamivudine/emtricitabine                                     | 82 (91.1%)        | 26 (86.7%)        | 0.64   |
| Abacavir   | 30 (33.3%)        | 10 (33.3%)        | 1.00   |
| Zidovudine   | 6 (6.7%)          | 3 (10%)           | 0.69   |
| Didanosine   | 11 (12.4%)        | 2 (6.7%)          | 0.51   |
| Tenofovir  | 47 (52.8%)        | 16 (53.3%)        | 1.00   |
| Baseline creatinine clearance* (median [IQR])                | 91.9 [77.5, 99.9] | 81.5 [73.1, 98.2] | 0.16   |
| Chronic liver disease  |                   |                   |        |
| Hepatitis B  | 3 (3.3%)          | 1 (0.03%)         |        |
| Hepatitis C  | 2 (2.2%)          | 4 (13.3%)         |        |
| Any disease (including hepatitis)                            | 8 (8.9%)          | 6 (20.0%)         |        |
| Chronic kidney disease** before urolithiasis [n (%)]         | 7 (7.9%)          | 4 (14.3%)         | 0.29   |
| Prior treatment with indinavir (years) [(n) %]               | 18 (20%)          | 13 (44.8%)        | <0.02  |
| Duration of indinavir (months) (median [IQR])                | 0 [0, 0]          | 0 [0, 32]         | <0.004 |
| Previous history of indinavir-urolithiasis [n (%)]           | 1 (1.2%)          | 8 (26.7%)         | <0.001 |
| Previous history of urolithiasis before ATV therapy [n (%)]  | 7 (7.8%)          | 11 (36.7%)        | <0.01  |
| Serum calcium (mmol/L) (median [IQR])                        | 2.3 [2.2, 2.4]    | 2.3 [2.3, 2.4]    | 0.96   |
| Serum free bilirubin level (mmol/L) (median [IQR])           | 30.9 [17.7, 45]   | 49.1 [32.5, 56]   | <0.004 |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112836.t001

with flank pain and macroscopic hematuria. However, the retrospective design of the study and our case-definition may have probably overlooked minor clinical forms since severe cases were more likely to be reported. Despite this limitation, it is striking to see that 34.6% of patients presented with renal failure (estimated creatinine clearance <60 ml/min) and that more than half needed urologic intervention (lithotripsy or double J stent). Most patients discontinued ATV following the occurrence of this adverse event, and in those who continued treatment, the rate of relapse was high although the number of cases remains limited in our study. It seems reasonable though to discontinue ATV following an episode of ATV-containing lithiasis, due to the potential severity of the episode, the risk of relapse and the number of alternatives available. It seems indeed that this risk of urolithiasis is a distinct feature of some (atazanavir, indinavir) but not all PIs (lopinavir, darunavir) [9–10].

In a previous report, we showed that whereas lopinavir is not excreted in urine, pretty high concentration of ATV and darunavir can be achieved in urine, with the detection of ATV

and darunavir crystals in a minority of patients [11]. However, the incidence of darunavir-associated urolithiasis is much lower than with ATV, and to our knowledge no darunavir-containing lithiasis has yet been reported [9–10]. The reasons for these differences between ATV and darunavir are yet unknown but could be related to differences in solubility of the PI-crystals, the role of urine pH (strong acidity is required to achieve optimal dissolution of ATV), and other factors.

Since ATV is a widely used PI, it is important to identify risk factors for urolithiasis. Our case-control study was able to identify the level of serum free bilirubin as an independent factor associated with a 2.31-fold increased risk of urolithiasis per 2-fold increase in serum level (Table 4). This finding is not unexpected as serum free bilirubin level is correlated to ATV plasma level, since ATV inhibits the UGT1A1 pathway [13]. Hyperbilirubinemia is indeed a frequent adverse event in patients receiving an ATV-based regimen, although the clinical consequences of hyperbilirubinemia except for jaundice are limited [1]. In a recent study, hyperbilirubinemia in patients receiving an

**Table 2.** Clinical presentation and outcome of 30 patients with ATV-associated urolithiasis.

| Variable   | n = 30            |
|--|-------------------|
| Signs and symptoms [n (%)]   |                   |
| Renal colic/Lumbar and/or flank pain [n (%)]                                     | 27 (90)           |
| Macroscopic hematuria [n (%)]  | 19 (82.6)         |
| Créatinine clearance* [median (IQR)]   | 78.0 [45.6, 98.0] |
| Créatinine clearance* <60 mL/min [n (%)]   | 9 (34.6)          |
| Stone size (mm) [median (IQR)]   | 5.5 [3.9, 9.3]    |
| Stone composition percentage [median (IQR)]                                      |                   |
| ATV  | 89.0 [59.0, 95.0] |
| Proteins   | 7.5 [3.0, 10.0]   |
| Calcium oxalate  | 0 [0; 8]          |
| Calcium phosphate  | 0 [0, 0]          |
| Stone location [n (%)]   |                   |
| Pyelic   | 6 (33.3)          |
| Ureteral   | 11 (61.1)         |
| Bladder  | 1 (5.6)           |
| Ureteral dilatation [n (%)]  | 13 (54.2)         |
| Bilateral urolithiasis [n (%)]   | 4 (14.3)          |
| Treatment [n (%)]  |                   |
| Medical  | 9 (31)            |
| Lithotripsy  | 2 (6.9)           |
| Urologic surgery   | 13 (44.8)         |
| ATV discontinuation [n (%)]  | 24 (82.8)         |
| Recurrence of urolithiasis [n (%)]   | 3 (10.7)          |
| Créatinine clearance* 6–12 months after ATV-urolithiasis (mL/min) [median (IQR)] | 84.5 [69.4, 96.8] |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112836.t002

ATV-based regimen was significantly associated with UGT1A1 rs887829 T allele, higher baseline bilirubin levels and slower plasma ATV clearance [14]. Also, ritonavir boost by inhibiting ATV clearance increases ATV exposure and bilirubin levels,

explaining why ritonavir was found as a risk factor in the univariate analysis, and why 29 out of 30 cases were receiving a ritonavir-boosted ATV-based regimen. It is possible that unboosted ATV could be associated with a lower incidence of

**Table 3.** Risk factors for atazanavir-associated urolithiasis, univariate analysis.

|  | OR   | 95% CI     | p      |
|--|------|------------|--------|
| Male sex   | 2.1  | 0.66–6.69  | 0.21   |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                         | 0.93 | 0.84–1.04  | 0.24   |
| Age (years)                                      | 1    | 0.95–1.04  | 0.83   |
| Plasma HIV RNA <50 cp/mL                         | 0.07 | 0–0.44     | <0.01  |
| RNA <50 cp/mL                                    |      |            |        |
| Previous history of urolithiasis                 | 6.86 | 2.35–20.03 | <0.001 |
| Prior treatment with indinavir                   | 3.25 | 1.33–7.96  | <0.01  |
| Duration of atazanavir (per year)                | 1.37 | 1.04–1.79  | <0.03  |
| Ritonavir (100 mg od)                            | 9.38 | 1.21–72.9  | <0.04  |
| Associated antiretroviral therapy                | 0.8  | 0.34–1.85  | 0.60   |
| Créatinine clearance (baseline)                  | 0.99 | 0.97–1.01  | 0.39   |
| Serum free bilirubin level (per 2-fold increase) | 2.08 | 1.19–3.62  | <0.01  |
| Any chronic liver disease                        | 2.56 | 0.81–8.11  | 0.11   |
| Chronic hepatitis B                              | 1.00 | 0.10–9.99  | 1      |
| Chronic hepatitis C                              | 6.77 | 1.17–39.07 | <0.04  |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112836.t003

**Table 4.** Risk factors for atazanavir-associated urolithiasis, multivariate analysis.

|  | OR   | 95% CI     | P     |
|--|------|------------|-------|
| <b>MAIN MODEL WITH 3 VARIABLES</b>               |      |            |       |
| Duration of ATV (per year)                       | 1.32 | 0.95–1.84  | 0.10  |
| Serum free bilirubin level (per 2-fold increase) | 2.31 | 1.18–4.52  | <0.02 |
| Previous history of urolithiasis                 | 3.66 | 0.88–15.2  | 0.07  |
| <b>ALTERNATIVE MODELS WITH 2 VARIABLES</b>       |      |            |       |
| Serum free bilirubin level (per 2-fold increase) | 1.94 | 1.12–3.36  | <0.02 |
| Previous history of urolithiasis                 | 4.79 | 1.44–15.98 | <0.02 |
| Duration of ATV (per year)                       | 1.42 | 1.04–1.93  | <0.03 |
| Serum free bilirubin level (per 2-fold increase) | 2.66 | 1.35–5.21  | <0.01 |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112836.t004

urolithiasis although more studies would be needed to confirm this hypothesis [15]. We were unfortunately unable to provide ATV plasma levels in our study to support this assumption.

In our study, a history of urolithiasis was also associated with an increased risk of ATV-containing urolithiasis. This finding can be explained by predisposing factors for the development of urolithiasis. Indeed, a number of patients already experienced indinavir-associated urolithiasis in our study, another PI that is prone to induce renal calculi and is also an inhibitor of the UGT1A1 pathway [16]. It seems therefore cautious to avoid the use of ATV among patients who have already experienced urolithiasis, and in particular among those who developed nephrolithiasis while receiving indinavir.

Finally, the duration of ATV use was also associated with an increased risk of ATV-associated urolithiasis in our study. This finding could be explained by the time needed to form ATV-crystals and stones, and is consistent with previous reports underlining that ATV-associated urolithiasis were only reported after a couple of years of ATV-based therapy. This finding is however a matter of concern since it would imply that patients under ATV-based regimen with high serum free bilirubin levels carry a potential risk of developing urolithiasis over time.

## References

- Molina JM, Andrade-Villanueva J, Echevarria J, Chetchotiskad P, Corral J, et al. (2008) Once-daily atazanavir/ritonavir versus twice-daily lopinavir/ritonavir, each in combination with tenofovir and emtricitabine, for management of antiretroviral-naïve HIV-1-infected patients: 48 week efficacy and safety results of the CASTLE study. *Lancet*. 372(9639): 646–55.
- Bristol-Myers Squibb (2011) Reyataz (atazanavir sulfate): full prescription information [package insert]. Princeton, NJ: Bristol-Myers Squibb.
- Pacanowski J, Poirier JM, Petit I, Meynard JL, Girard PM (2006) Atazanavir urinary stones in an HIV-infected patient. *AIDS*20: 2131.
- Moriyama Y, Minamide Y, Yasuda M, Ehara H, Kikuchi M, et al. (2008) Acute renal failure due to bilateral ureteral stone impaction in an HIV-positive patient. *Urol Res*36: 275–277.
- Anderson PL, Lichtenstein KA, Gerig NE, Kiser JJ, Bushman LR (2007) Atazanavir-containing renal calculi in an HIV-infected patient. *AIDS* 21: 1060–1062.
- Izzedine H, M'rad MB, Bardier A, Daudon M, Salmon D (2007) Atazanavir crystal nephropathy. *AIDS* 21: 2357–2358.
- Couzigou C, Daudon M, Meynard JL, Borsa-Lebas F, Higuieret D, et al. (2007) Urolithiasis in HIV-positive patients treated with atazanavir. *Clin Infect Dis*45: e105–e108.
- Chan-Tack KM, Truffa MM, Struble KA, Birmkrant DB (2007) Atazanavir-associated nephrolithiasis: cases from the US Food and Drug Administration's Adverse Event Reporting System. *AIDS*31; 21(9): 1215–8.
- Hamada Y, Nishijima T, Watanabe K, Komatsu H, Tsukada K, et al. (2012) High incidence of renal stones among HIV-infected patients on ritonavir-boosted atazanavir than in those receiving other protease inhibitor-containing antiretroviral therapy. *Clin Infect Dis*55: 1262–1269.
- Nishijima T, Hamada Y, Watanabe K, Komatsu H, Kinai E, et al. (2013) Ritonavir-boosted darunavir is rarely associated with nephrolithiasis compared with ritonavir-boosted atazanavir in HIV-infected patients. *Plos One*8(10): e77268.
- Rockwood N, Mandalia S, Bower M, Gazzard B, Nelson M (2011) Ritonavir boosted atazanavir exposure is associated with an increased rate of renal stones compared with efavirenz, ritonavir-boosted lopinavir and ritonavir-boosted darunavir. *AIDS*25: 1671–3.
- De Lastours V, Ferrari Rafael De Silva E, Daudon M, Porcher R, Loze B, et al. (2013) High levels of atazanavir and darunavir in urine and crystalluria in asymptomatic patients. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 68(8): 1850–6.
- Smith DE, Jeganathan S, Ray J (2006) Atazanavir plasma concentrations vary significantly between patients and correlate with increased serum bilirubin concentrations. *HIV Clin Trials* 7(1): 34–8.
- Johnson DH, Venuto C, Ritchie MD, Morse GD, Daar ES, et al. (2014) Genomewide association study of atazanavir pharmacokinetics and hyperbilirubinemia in AIDS Clinical Trials Group protocol A5202. *Pharmacogenet Genomics*. 24(4): 195–203.
- Pavie J, Porcher R, Torti C, Medrano J, Castagna A, et al. (2011) Efficacy and safety of a switch to unboosted atazanavir in combination with nucleoside analogues in HIV-1-infected patients with virological suppression under antiretroviral therapy. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 66(10): 2372–8.
- Hirsch MS, Steigbigel RT, Staszewski S, McMahon D, Fischl MA, et al. (2003) Long-term efficacy, safety, and tolerability of indinavir-based therapy in protease inhibitor-naïve adults with advanced HIV infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 15; 37(8): 1119–24.