

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Mamm Genome. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 December 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Mamm Genome. 2014 December ; 25(0): 564–572. doi:10.1007/s00335-014-9531-1.

Phenotypic instability between the near isogenic substrains BALB/cJ and BALB/cByJ

Laura J. Sittig, Choongwon Jeong, Emily Tixier, Joe Davis, Camila M. Barrios Camacho, and Abraham A. Palmer^{*}

Department of Human Genetics, University of Chicago, 920 E 58th St. CLSC-501, Chicago, IL 60637

Abstract

Closely related substrains of inbred mice often show phenotypic difzferences that are presumed to be caused by recent mutations. The substrains BALB/cJ and BALB/cByJ, which were separated in 1935, have been reported to show numerous highly significant behavioral and morphological differences. In an effort to identify some of the causal mutations, we phenotyped BALB/cJ and BALB/cByJ mice as well as their F₁, F₂, and N₂ progeny for behavioral and morphological phenotypes. We also generated whole genome sequence data for both inbred strains ($\sim 3.5 \times$ coverage) with the intention of identifying polymorphic markers to be used for linkage analysis. We observed significant differences in body weight, the weight of the heart, liver, spleen and brain, and corpus callosum length between the two substrains. We also observed that BALB/cJ animals showed greater anxiety-like behavior in the open field test, less depression-like behavior in the tail suspension test, and reduced aggression compared to BALB/cByJ mice. Some but not all of these physiological and behavioral results were inconsistent with prior publications. These inconsistencies led us to suspect that the differences were due to, or modified by, non-genetic factors. Thus, we did not perform linkage analysis. We provide a comprehensive summary of the prior literature about phenotypic differences between these substrains as well as our current findings. We conclude that many differences between these strains are unstable and therefore illsuited to linkage analysis; the source of this instability is unclear. We discuss the broader implications of these observations for the design of future studies.

Keywords

BALB/cJ; BALB/cByJ; substrains; aggression; anxiety

Introduction

Inbred laboratory animals provide an efficient system for elucidating gene-behavior relationships because they allow for the accumulation and integration of data collected over space and time in subjects with identical genotypes. As such, inbred strains are a staple of model organism research. One limitation of inbred strains is the occurrence of new

^{*}Corresponding author: Abraham A. Palmer, Ph.D. Associate Professor, Department of Human Genetics, University of Chicago, 920 E 58th St. CLSC-507D, Chicago, IL 60637, (773) 834-2897 (office), (773) 834-0505 (fax), (773) 991-2516 (cell), aap@uchicago.edu.

Page 2

mutations. Such mutations have the potential to cause an inbred strain's phenotype to change over time. When a colony of inbred animals are separated and maintained as two isolated breeding populations, they are considered to be substrains. This acknowledges that heterozygosity in the parent population may be differentially fixed and/or that different mutations will accumulate in each population isolate. These differences can be problematic when substrains are erroneously treated as being interchangeable, as has been the case with substrains of 129 and C57BL/6 mice (Cook et al. 2002; Bryant et al. 2008). However, the existence of closely related substrains can also been viewed as an opportunity. Since there are relatively few genetic differences between them, identification of causal alleles is somewhat analogous to the identification of ENU-induced mutations (Bull et al. 2013) or to the identification of *de novo* mutations in humans (Koboldt et al. 2013). Linkage mapping, in conjunction with next generation sequencing, can be used to rapidly identify mutant alleles that give rise to marked phenotypic differences (Takahashi et al. 2008; Kumar et al. 2013).

Substrains of BALB/c inbred mice, originally derived from the Bagg albino strain, have been widely used over much of the past century. BALB/c mice are commonly used to study neuropsychiatric phenotypes; they exhibit aggressive, anxious and stress-reactive behaviors and are sensitive to certain effects of chronic antidepressant treatment (Potter 1985; Dulawa et al. 2004; Crowley et al. 2005; Englander et al. 2005; Crowley et al. 2006; Sankoorikal et al. 2006; Holick et al. 2008; Norcross et al. 2008; Poulter et al. 2010; Jiao et al. 2011; Mehta and Schmauss 2011; Savignac et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2011; Li et al. 2012; Vijayvargiya et al. 2013). Early reports described a highly aggressive phenotype in the BALB/cJ male as compared to BALB/cByJ males, and reported that this phenotype was transmitted in F_1 , F_2 , and N_2 generations in manner that was consistent with a single recessive allele (Ciaranello et al. 1974; Kessler et al. 1977). Several other groups have examined this trait since then (Velez et al. 2010; Eppig et al. 2012).

We compiled a list of phenotypes that had been reported to be significantly different between the two substrains and examined a subset of them in BALB/cJ and BALB/cByJ mice and among their F_1 , F_2 and N_2 offspring. We also performed whole genome resequencing in order to identify enough polymorphic markers to allow for linkage mapping in the F_2 and N_2 offspring.

Materials and Methods

Animals

All experiments were performed in accordance with the National Institutes of Health (NIH) guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals and approved by the University of Chicago's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Subjects were inbred male and female BALB/cJ and BALB/cByJ mice obtained from the Jackson Laboratory (JAX; N=13 BALB/cJ/sex; N=12 BALB/cByJ/sex). Two BALB/cJ males were removed from the study for health reasons and were not included in aggression testing and morphological data. All mice were born on the same day +/- 1 week. We also bred and tested F_1 (N=65), F_2 (N=125), and N₂ (backcross to BALB/cJ, N=112) male and female mice. F_1 mice were produced using 8 breeding pairs equally balanced for sex of the BALB/cJ parent. F_2 crosses

were produced using 11 breeding pairs of F₁ mice; the F2s represented all possible combinations of F1s. Five F2 breeding pairs consisted of F1 females derived from female cJ and male CByJ males (cJxCByJF1) crossed with F1 males derived from female cByJ and male cJ males (cByJxcJF1). Two breeding pairs consisted of cByJxcJF1 males crossed with cJxCByJF1 females. Three F2 breeding pairs were produced by intercrossing male and female cJxCByJF1s. Finally, one F2 breeding pair was produced by intercrossing male and female cByJxcJF1s. N₂ were produced using 10 breeding pairs of F₁ x BALB/cJ mice equally balanced for sex of the BALB/cJ parent. All mice were housed in clear plastic cages with absorbent corn cob bedding in groups of 3-5 mice of the same sex and strain with food and water available ad libitum. Colony rooms were maintained on a 12:12 h light-dark cycle with lights on at 0630h. Behavioral testing except for the resident intruder (**RI**) test (see below) was conducted during the light phase between 0900 and 1600 h. For RI the light cycle was off at 1200h and testing was conducted between 1230h and 1600h. All animals underwent the same sequence of behavioral testing: open field test (OFT), tail suspension test (TST), and RI test when applicable. BALB/cJ and BALB/cByJ mice were 78 days +/-1 week old at first testing. At least 1 week rest was given between OFT and TST, and 2 weeks rest was given before undergoing single housing prior to resident-intruder testing. For RI testing, an equal number of male intruder C57BL/6J mice were obtained from JAX with weights <90% of the residents. Mice were acclimated to the testing room in their home cages for 30 min prior to the start of all behavioral tests.

Open field Test (OFT)

The protocol for measuring locomotor activity in an open field chamber has been previously described in detail (Bryant et al. 2009). Briefly, activity was measured using automated chambers (Versamax, AccuScan Instruments, Columbus, OH, USA) that monitored infrared beam breaks and converted data into distance travelled. Activity chambers were housed within sound-attenuating chambers with 80 lux overhead lighting and a rear wall fan providing masking of background noise. Mice were placed in chambers and allowed to freely explore for 30 min. After testing mice were placed in their home cages and returned to the vivarium. The data reported are average values from six 5 min bins.

Tail suspension Test (TST)

Mice were suspended using a small strip of adhesive tape attached to the distal third of their tail to a horizontal bar 30 cm above a soft surface. Mice were suspended for six minutes, removed and placed back in their home cages. Immobility behavior was automatically scored from saved videos by the Noldus Ethovision XT v. 5.1 software (Noldus Information Technology, Lessburg, VA, USA). The software was calibrated so that immobility was scored when the animal showed <2% movement from one frame to the next, which corresponded behaviorally to passive suspension without voluntary movement.

Resident-intruder (RI) test

Procedures were performed strictly as in a previous manuscript (Velez et al. 2010). Prior isolation has been reported to elicit higher levels of aggression in BALB/cJ mice compared to BALB/cByJ mice in the resident-intruder paradigm (Miczek and O'Donnell 1978; Velez

et al. 2010). Therefore, males were placed in individual cages 2 weeks after TST and remained individually housed for 4 weeks. During routine changes only half the cage bedding was removed in order to maintain the cage as the resident's territory. Intruder mice were C57BL/6J males which were \sim 90% the body weight of the residents. During isolation, mice were placed in a 12:12 light-dark cycle in which lights went off at 1200 h. Testing was conducted during the beginning of the dark cycle (between 1300-1700 h) when aggression levels were expected to be high. The test was conducted twice a week with 2-3 days rest in between sessions for 2 weeks, for a total of 4 sessions. RI testing was conducted under a red light only and videotaped using additional infrared illumination. After a 30 min acclimation, resident mice were placed in sound-attenuated, insulated boxes in their individual home cages. The intruder was introduced to the cage. Once the intruder had been introduced into the resident's cage, an observer watched for an attack, defined as a bite directed at the back or flanks of the intruder. The test was concluded 5 minutes after the first attack or, if no attack occurred, 5 minutes after the intruder was placed into the cage. Residents were then returned to the vivarium in their home cages. The same intruder was paired with the same resident for each session. F₂ and N₂ males were not tested in RI.

These procedures differ from the aggression testing of BALB/c sub-strains conducted 40 years ago by Ciaranello et al. (1974); in that study, mice were single housed mice for 2 rather than 4 weeks, the resident's tail was pinched before testing, and BALB/cN mice were used as intruders.

Morphological measures

Mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and whole body weight was recorded to the nearest 0.1 g. Brain, heart, left lobe of the liver, left kidney, and spleen were quickly removed, cleaned of connective tissue and blotted for excess blood, and weighed to the nearest 0.1 mg. Brain was collected into 15 mL 10% phosphate buffered formalin (Fisher Scientific). BALB/cJ and BALB/cByJ mice were sacrificed at 161 days of age +/- 1 week.

Gold chloride staining for corpus callosum

Whole brains were stained using a protocol developed for staining white matter in blocks of tissue as previously described (Wahlsten et al. 2003). Briefly, individual brains were removed from fixative and bisected with a razor blade at the midsagittal plane. The brain was then immersed in 10 mL 0.2% gold chloride in phosphate buffer at room temperature. Staining was complete after 15 min or when commissures were clearly visible with little background. The brain was removed and immediately placed in 10 mL 2.5% sodium thiosulfate anhydrous (Fisher Scientific) for 5 min, removed, and stored in fresh 10% formalin (Fisher Scientific). Brains were digitally scanned using an Epson Stylus NX420 scanner (Epson, Long Beach, CA, USA). Corpus callosum (CC) length was measured using arbitrary units in ImageJ (ImageJ, U. S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA) along a straight line from the tip of the genu to the tip of the splenium so as to maximize the length of the line, and normalized to body weight. Others have often used a criterion of <0.7 of a calculated expected CC value to qualify as abnormally small (Bohlen et al. 2012). As our study did not have a wide variety of brain sizes, we adapted this criterion to include brains with <0.65 of the mean.

Genotyping a CNV on Chr 17

We previously characterized a ~475 kb tandem duplication on chromosome 17 (Williams et al. 2009). The duplication is located on Chr 17 from 30,174,390 to 30,651,226 Mb (build 36) and encompasses full copies of *Glo1* and *Dnahc8* and partial copies of *Glp1r* and *Btbd9*. While BALB/cByJ and BALB/cJ mice share the same haplotype at this location, only the BALB/cByJ substrain still has this duplication, while BALB/cJ mice have undergone reversion to the non-duplicated state (see Williams et al. 2009). In order to assure ourselves that the mice were properly identified, genomic DNA was extracted from spleens of BALB/ cByJ and BALB/cJ male and female mice using standard methods. PCR was conducted with a primer pair that spans the duplication boundary and only produces a product if duplication is present. The primers used were as follows: positive control, amplifies in both substrains: CAGTCGTCGACAGTCATCGT and GAGCTGAAGGGATCTGCAAC. Amplifies only when duplication is present: CTCTGCCCCAGAGAACAGTC and TGATAGAGGCCAACAGCAG. Cycling conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95 C, 35 cycles of: 95 C for 30 sec, 60 C for 30 sec, 72 C for 1 min; final extension at 72 C for 5 min. PCR products were electrophoresed on 1.5% agarose gel.

Preliminary Whole Genome Sequencing

BALB/cJ and BALB/cByJ genomic libraries (1 sample per substrain) were generated according to the Illumina TruSeq protocol. We sequenced these libraries on two lanes of an Illumina HiSeq 2000 using single end 100 bp reads to obtain \sim 110M reads per strain. Sequencing reads for each strain were mapped to the mm9 mouse reference genome using BWA (version 0.5.9) (Li and Durbin 2009). Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and insertions and deletions (indels) were then called for each strain compared to the mm9 reference using Samtools (Li et al. 2009).

Statistics

BALB/cJ and BALB/cByJ data were analyzed independently from F_1 , F_2 , and N_2 data as they were tested in different cohorts. Data were analyzed first by 2-way ANOVA with sex and strain as factors. If there was no significant effect of sex, data were pooled and analyzed by two-tailed t-test or one-way ANOVA as appropriate. Resident-intruder data were analyzed by repeated measures 2-way ANOVA with session and strain as factors. Post hoc comparisons were made using Bonferroni adjustment. Significance was set at p<0.05.

Results

Genotyping at a CNV on Chromosome 17

The BALB/cJ and BALB/cByJ substrains were separated in 1935 (JAX Notes 1990)(Figure 1A). In a prior study, we obtained BALB/c DNA samples taken from BALB/c animals between 1982 and 2006 to evaluate their haplotypes at a CNV duplication on Chr 17. We found that the BALB/cByJ and BALB/cJ substrains both belong to a common duplication-containing haplotype, yet BALB/cJ did not contain the duplication. This haplotype structure suggests that non-allelic homologous recombination (unequal crossing over) occurred in BALB/cJ, explaining the reversion to a non-duplicated state (Williams et al. 2009). Here we

used this CNV duplication on Chr 17 as a genetic marker to confirm genotypes of all BALB/cJ and BALB/cByJ mice at the end of the study (Figure 1B).

Open field Test (OFT)

There was no difference in total locomotor activity in the open field test between the substrains [t(47)=1.87, p>0.05] (Figure 2A), and there was no interaction between substrain and activity during the six individual time bins (F(5,235)=2.1; p>0.05). There were no differences among F₁, F₂, and N₂ offspring in total distance travelled (Figure 2A). However, BALB/cJ mice exhibited fewer entries to the center region compared to BALB/cByJ mice [t(45)=2.83, p<0.01] (Figure 2B); and BALB/cJ also travelled significantly less distance than BALB/cByJ in the center region of the field [t(46)=2.84, p<0.01] (Figure 2C). There were no differences among F₁, F₂, and N₂ mice in total distance travelled or in number of entries into the center region (Figure 2E, 2F). F₂ mice travelled more distance in the center region than F₁ mice [F(2, 293)=5.5, p<0.01] (Figure 2G).

Tail suspension Test (TST)

BALB/cJ and BALB/cByJ mice are favored for environmental, pharmacological, and developmental studies of depression-like behavior. Prior reports about depression-like behavior have disagreed about the differences between these two substrains (Supplementary Table 3). In the present study, females exhibited more immobility than males in both substrains [F(1, 39) = 5.2, p<0.05] and in the F₁, F₂, and N₂ crosses [F(1, 271)=15.1, p<0.001]. BALB/cByJ mice were more immobile than BALB/cJ [F(1, 39) = 4.2, p<0.05] (Figure 2D). F₂ mice exhibited more immobility than either F₁ or N₂ mice [F(2, 271)=18.1, p<0.001] (Figure 2H).

Resident-intruder (RI) test

BALB/cJ male mice have been used to study aggression since the 1970s. They have been reported to exhibit high levels of attack behavior compared to BALB/cByJ males (Ciaranello et al. 1974; Kessler et al. 1977; Couppis et al. 2008; Velez et al. 2010; Dow et al. 2011). Here we used the RI paradigm to measure aggression. Both the BALB/cJ and BALB/ cByJ males (the residents) showed decreased latencies to attack the intruder over the sessions 1-4 [F(3, 63) = 4.2, p<0.01] (Figure 3A) and the number of attacks on the intruder increased over sessions 1-4 [F(3, 63) = 4.1, p<0.05)] (Figure 3B). However, surprisingly, BALB/cByJ males had a *lower* attack latency than BALB/cJ males [strain: F(1, 21) = 9.6, p<0.01)] and a higher number of attacks compared to BALB/cJ males [strain: F(1, 21) = 9.6, p<0.01)] (Figure 3A, B). This was opposite to the difference that has been reported by many prior publications (Ciaranello et al. 1974; Kessler et al. 1977; Couppis et al. 2008), including a relatively recent study by our lab (Velez et al. 2010).

Corpus callosum (CC) length

Brain size and CC defects have been hypothesized to be related to antisocial behavior of BALB/c mice (Fairless et al. 2008; Li et al. 2012). Here we found that BALB/cJ mice had significantly shorter CCs normalized to brain weight (BALB/cJ: 2.9 + -0.24; BALB/cByJ: 3.2 + -0.35), [t(43) = 3.1, p<0.01] (Figure 4). One BALB/cJ and two BALB/cByJ corpus

callosa that were abnormally small, (60.2%, 59.3%, and 39.7% of the mean, respectively; Figure 4B). No differences were observed in the normalized CC area between the strains (data not shown).

Organ weights

There were no differences between strains in total body weight. We found significant strain differences in brain, liver, male heart, and female spleen (Table 1) where BALB/cByJ mice had heavier organ weights with the exception of the brain, for which the BALB/cByJ were lighter than BABL/cJ.

Comparison to prior results

The behavioral results from the present study are summarized and compared to prior studies (Supplementary Tables 1-4). Findings for heart, liver, spleen, body, brain, and corpus callosum length were consistent with past reports (Supplementary Table 5).

Preliminary Whole Genome Sequencing

Roughly 100M reads were uniquely mapped per substrain, resulting in coverage of $\sim 3.5 \times$ per substrain (Supplementary Table 6). Approximately 450K SNPs and 300K indels were called per substrain (Supplementary Table 7). Called SNPs tended to be of low quality, likely due to the low read depth. The empirical cumulative distribution function (**CDF**) for read depth and PHRED quality per called SNP showed that $\sim 60\%$ of SNPs per strain were supported by three or fewer reads and had a PHRED quality score < 50 (Supplementary Figure 1A, B). To obtain a high quality set of SNPs for comparison between strains, SNPs were filtered for read depths of at least 10 reads and PHRED quality scores of at least 100. This filtering yielded roughly 1500 SNPs per strain (included in this manuscript as supplementary files), of which ~ 300 were common to both substrains. The majority (>90%) of these SNPs were not found within the Wellcome Trust SNP reference panel for 17 inbred lines (Supplementary Table 8) (Keane et al. 2011; Yalcin et al. 2011). These preliminary data are available upon request, and might be useful for identifying a panel of SNPs that could be used for linkage mapping in these strains.

Discussion

We examined a number of previously reported substrain differences between the BALB/cJ and BALB/cByJ substrains. We also took initial steps towards mapping the causal loci, including using next-generation sequencing to identify polymorphic markers and producing F_1 , F_2 and N_2 offspring. We replicated substrain differences in heart, liver, and spleen, and brain weight, and confirmed a low incidence of abnormally small corpus callosum in both BALB/c substrains. We also made several observations that were inconsistent with the existing literature, including that BALB/cJ mice showed more anxiety-like behavior, less depression-like behavior, and less aggression than the BALB/cByJ substrain. The third finding was an especially stark departure from the long-standing literature reporting high levels of aggression in BALB/cJ male mice (Ciaranello et al. 1974; Kessler et al. 1977; Velez et al. 2010). We note that certain parameters of the tests may be different among the studies and are sometimes unknown (e.g. Ciaranello et al. did not specify whether

aggression testing occurred during light or dark cycle). Furthermore, several of the behavioral differences we observed were not as robust as expected and the F_2 and N_2 crosses did not show the expected degree or pattern of variation. Given these findings, we did not carry out our original plan to perform linkage mapping for these traits. We have provided detailed tables summarizing prior findings on substrain differences for the phenotypes in question. Our data do not identify the reasons for the observed inconsistencies. Possible explanations include: 1) incomplete fixation of the causal genetic factors such that the strains are not fully inbred at relevant loci; 2) non-genetic sources of variation, whether epigenetic or environmental, perhaps including litter effects, or 3) fundamental problems with the assays that preclude replication (e.g. Crabbe et al. 1999). Our findings do not support the use of these substrains for linkage mapping for the phenotypes we tested, and may suggest caution for the use of other pairs of sister strains for similar purposes.

We are not the first group to observe inconsistent behavioral differences between BALB/cJ and BALB/cByJ mice. We have prepared supplemental tables that highlight all prior phenotypic studies of these two substrains. Notably, less than half of cross-study findings are consistent even when discrepant sex differences are not included as conflicts (Supplementary Tables 1-4). One study reported inconsistencies for depression-like behavior between two experiments reported in the same paper (Jiao et al. 2011). The most surprising finding of the current study, that BALB/cJ males were less aggressive than BALB/cByJ, is not unprecedented; an earlier paper showed no difference in aggressive behavior towards a NIH Swiss mouse in the RI paradigm between the BALB/cJ and BALB/ cByJ males (Hilakivi and Lister 1989). Another recent experiment using the social approach test found that BALB/cJ attacked less than C57BL/6J males, and that the BALB/cJ mice had similar levels of social behavior as compared to B6 mice (Li et al. 2012). Finally, others have seen that certain BALB/cJ mice are highly sociable, while others are not (Sankoorikal et al. 2006; Fairless et al. 2008). In terms of morphological differences, the anatomy of the corpus callosum, which has been suggested to underlie social behavior, is also highly variable (see Supplementary Table 5). In 1974, BALB/cJ mice were reported to have an absence of the corpus callosum (Wahlsten 1974). In 1977, BALB/c mice were reported to show an 11% incidence of corpus callosum defects. In 1989 they were reported to have a 20% incidence of corpus callosum defects (Wahlsten 1989a; Wahlsten 1989b). More recently, all BALB/c brains were not found to have any corpus callosum deficits (Bohlen et al. 2012). It is not clear whether behavioral and morphological differences are correlated with each other, nor is it clear what might underlie these inconsistencies.

There are several potential explanations for the striking discordance among comparisons of the BALB/cJ and BALB/cByJ substrains. One possible explanation for the marked inconsistencies could be that there are polymorphisms that have not reached fixation in the BALB/c substrains. A related possibility is that these substrains have genetic instability, whether due to copy number variants (Williams et al. 2009), transposable elements (Palmer and Dulawa 2010), somatic mosaicism, or a high rate or of sporadic germline mutations. It is also possible that stable genetic polymorphisms interact with environmental or purely stochastic factors to produce incomplete penetrance. While these phenomena are not amenable to linkage analysis, they are potentially worth of study using other approaches

(Tarantino et al. 2011). In addition to genetic causes, epigenetic factors could underlie shifting strain differences such as those observed in the present study. Our studies were not designed to examine trans-generation heritability of these behavioral differences, but such studies, perhaps in combination with examination of epigenetic markers, might provide further insights. Finally, it is known that some behavioral assays are stable, while others show high variability due to a variety of known and unknown experimental factors (Crabbe et al. 1999; Chesler et al. 2002; Fonio et al. 2012). Thus, the non-replication we observed may be phenotype-specific and we cannot rule out the possibility that these strains could be used successfully to map more stable phenotypes such as alcohol preference, which has been reported to yield more consistent strain differences (Blizard et al. 2004). While the observed inconsistency was undesirable for the linkage study we had planned, these two substrains offer an exciting opportunity to explore factors that likely confound both model organism and human genetic studies.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by R01MH079103 to AAP, R25GM066522 to CBC, and a Samsung Scholarship to CJ.

References

- Blizard, Da; Vandenbergh, DJ.; Jefferson, AL., et al. Effects of periadolescent ethanol exposure on alcohol preference in two BALB substrains. Alcohol. 2004; 34:177–185.10.1016/j.alcohol. 2004.08.007 [PubMed: 15902911]
- Bohlen MO, Bailoo JD, Jordan RL, Wahlsten D. Hippocampal commissure defects in crosses of four inbred mouse strains with absent corpus callosum. Genes Brain Behav. 2012; 11:757–66.10.1111/j. 1601-183X.2012.00802.x [PubMed: 22537318]
- Bryant CD, Graham ME, Distler MG, et al. A role for casein kinase 1 epsilon in the locomotor stimulant response to methamphetamine. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2009; 203:703–711.10.1007/ s00213-008-1417-z [PubMed: 19050854]
- Bryant CD, Zhang NN, Sokoloff G, et al. Behavioral differences among C57BL/6 substrains: implications for transgenic and knockout studies. J Neurogenet. 2008; 22:315–331.10.1080/01677060802357388 [PubMed: 19085272]
- Bull KR, Rimmer AJ, Siggs OM, et al. Unlocking the Bottleneck in Forward Genetics Using Whole-Genome Sequencing and Identity by Descent to Isolate Causative Mutations. PLoS Genet. 201310.1371/journal.pgen.1003219
- Chesler EJ, Wilson SG, Lariviere WR, et al. Identification and ranking of genetic and laboratory environment factors influencing a behavioral trait, thermal nociception, via computational analysis of a large data archive. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2002; 26:907–923.10.1016/ S0149-7634(02)00103-3 [PubMed: 12667496]
- Ciaranello RD, Lipsky A, Axelrod J. Association between fighting behavior and catecholamine biosynthetic enzyme activity in two inbred mouse sublines. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1974; 71:3006–3008.10.1073/pnas.71.8.3006 [PubMed: 4153283]
- Cook MN, Bolivar VJ, McFadyen MP, Flaherty L. Behavioral differences among 129 substrains: implications for knockout and transgenic mice. Behav Neurosci. 2002; 116:600– 611.10.1037/0735-7044.116.5.756 [PubMed: 12148927]

- Couppis MH, Kennedy CH, Stanwood GD. Differences in aggressive behavior and in the mesocorticolimbic DA system between A/J and BALB/cJ mice. Synapse. 2008; 62:715– 724.10.1002/syn.20545 [PubMed: 18651644]
- Crabbe JC, Wahlsten D, Dudek BC. Genetics of mouse behavior: interactions with laboratory environment. Science. 1999; 284:1670–1672.10.1126/science.284.5420.1670 [PubMed: 10356397]
- Crowley JJ, Blendy JA, Lucki I. Strain-dependent antidepressant-like effects of citalopram in the mouse tail suspension test. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2005; 183:257–264.10.1007/ s00213-005-0166-5 [PubMed: 16220334]
- Crowley JJ, Brodkin ES, Blendy JA, et al. Pharmacogenomic evaluation of the antidepressant citalopram in the mouse tail suspension test. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2006; 31:2433–2442.10.1038/sj.npp.1301065 [PubMed: 16554742]
- Dow HC, Kreibich AS, Kaercher KA, et al. Genetic dissection of intermale aggressive behavior in BALB/cJ and A/J mice. Genes Brain Behav. 2011; 10:57–68.10.1111/j.1601-183X.2010.00640.x [PubMed: 20731721]
- Dulawa SC, Holick KA, Gundersen B, Hen R. Effects of chronic fluoxetine in animal models of anxiety and depression. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2004; 29:1321–30.10.1038/sj.npp.1300433 [PubMed: 15085085]
- Englander MT, Dulawa SC, Bhansali P, Schmauss C. How stress and fluoxetine modulate serotonin 2C receptor pre-mRNA editing. J Neurosci. 2005; 25:648–651.10.1523/JNEUROSCI. 3895-04.2005 [PubMed: 15659601]
- Eppig JT, Blake Ja, Bult CJ, et al. The Mouse Genome Database (MGD): comprehensive resource for genetics and genomics of the laboratory mouse. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012; 40:D881–6.10.1093/nar/ gkr974 [PubMed: 22075990]
- Fairless AH, Dow HC, Toledo MM, et al. Low sociability is associated with reduced size of the corpus callosum in the BALB/cJ inbred mouse strain. Brain Res. 2008; 1230:211–217.10.1016/j.brainres. 2008.07.025 [PubMed: 18662677]
- Fonio E, Golani I, Benjamini Y. Measuring behavior of animal models: faults and remedies. Nat Methods. 2012; 9:1167–70.10.1038/nmeth.2252 [PubMed: 23223171]
- Hilakivi LA, Lister RG. Comparison Between BALB / cJ and BALB / cByJ Mice in Tests of Social Beliavior and Resident-intruder Aggression. Aggress Behav. 1989; 15:273–280.
- Holick KA, Lee DC, Hen R, Dulawa SC. Behavioral effects of chronic fluoxetine in BALB/cJ mice do not require adult hippocampal neurogenesis or the serotonin 1A receptor. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2008; 33:406–417.10.1038/sj.npp.1301399 [PubMed: 17429410]
- JAX Notes. A Brief History of the Two Substrains of BALB/c, BALB/cJ, and BALB/cByJ Available from Animal Resoruces. 1990; 443
- Jiao J, Nitzke A, Doukas D, et al. Antidepressant response to chronic citalopram treatment in eight inbred mouse strains. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2011; 213:509–520. [PubMed: 21181117]
- Keane TM, Goodstadt L, Danecek P, et al. Mouse genomic variation and its effect on phenotypes and gene regulation. Nature. 2011; 477:289–294.10.1038/nature10413 [PubMed: 21921910]
- Kessler S, Elliott GR, Orenberg EK, Barchas JD. A genetic analysis of aggressive behavior in two strains of mice. Behav Genet. 1977; 7:313–321. [PubMed: 562156]
- Koboldt DC, Steinberg KM, Larson DE, et al. The next-generation sequencing revolution and its impact on genomics. Cell. 2013; 155:27–38.10.1016/j.cell.2013.09.006 [PubMed: 24074859]
- Kumar V, Kim K, Joseph C, et al. C57BL/6N mutation in Cytoplasmic FMRP interacting protein 2 regulates cocaine response. Science. 2013; 342:1508–12.10.1126/science.1245503 [PubMed: 24357318]
- Li H, Dow HC, Tan J, et al. Sociability and brain development in BALB/cJ and C57BL/6J mice. Behav Brain Res. 2012; 228:299–310.10.1016/j.bbr.2011.12.001 [PubMed: 22178318]
- Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics. 2009; 25:1754–1760.10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324 [PubMed: 19451168]
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, et al. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 2009; 25:2078–2079.10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352 [PubMed: 19505943]

- Mehta M, Schmauss C. Strain-specific cognitive deficits in adult mice exposed to early life stress. Behav Neurosci. 2011; 125:29–36.10.1037/a0021952 [PubMed: 21319884]
- Miczek KA, O'Donnell JM. Intruder-evoked aggression in isolated and nonisolated mice: effects of psychomotor stimulants and L-dopa. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 1978; 57:47–55.10.1007/ BF00426957 [PubMed: 26933]
- Norcross M, Mathur P, Enoch AJ, et al. Effects of adolescent fluoxetine treatment on fear-, anxiety- or stress-related behaviors in C57BL/6J or BALB/cJ mice. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2008; 200:413–424.10.1007/s00213-008-1297-2 [PubMed: 18594797]
- Palmer, Aa; Dulawa, SC. Murine Warriors or Worriers: The Saga of Comt1, B2 SINE Elements and the Future of Translational. Genetics Front Neurosci. 2010; 4:177.10.3389/fnins.2010.00177
- Potter M. History of the BALB/c family. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 1985; 122:1–5. [PubMed: 3899522]
- Poulter MO, Du L, Zhurov V, et al. Plasticity of the GABA(A) receptor subunit cassette in response to stressors in reactive versus resilient mice. Neuroscience. 2010; 165:1039–1051.10.1016/ j.neuroscience.2009.11.028 [PubMed: 19931360]
- Sankoorikal GMV, Kaercher KA, Boon CJ, et al. A mouse model system for genetic analysis of sociability: C57BL/6J versus BALB/cJ inbred mouse strains. Biol Psychiatry. 2006; 59:415– 423.10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.07.026 [PubMed: 16199013]
- Savignac HM, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. Resistance to early-life stress in mice: effects of genetic background and stress duration. Front Behav Neurosci. 2011; 5:13.10.3389/fnbeh.2011.00013 [PubMed: 21519375]
- Takahashi JS, Shimomura K, Kumar V. Searching for genes underlying behavior: lessons from circadian rhythms. Science. 2008; 322:909–912.10.1126/science.1158822 [PubMed: 18988844]
- Tarantino LM, Sullivan PF, Meltzer-Brody S. Using animal models to disentangle the role of genetic, epigenetic, and environmental influences on behavioral outcomes associated with maternal anxiety and depression. Front Psychiatry. 2011; 2:44.10.3389/fpsyt.2011.00044 [PubMed: 21811473]
- Velez, Lady; Sokoloff, G.; Miczek, KA., et al. Differences in aggressive behavior and DNA copy number variants between BALB/cJ and BALB/cByJ substrains. Behav Genet. 2010; 40:201– 210.10.1007/s10519-009-9325-5 [PubMed: 20033273]
- Vijayvargiya N, Fairless AH, Abel T, et al. Development of home cage social behaviors in BALB/cJ vs. C57BL/6J mice. Behav Brain Res. 2013; 237:338–347.10.1016/j.bbr.2012.08.051 [PubMed: 22982070]
- Wahlsten D. Heritable aspects of anomalous myelinated fibre tracts in the forebrain of the laboratory mouse. Brain Res. 1974; 68:1–18.10.1016/0006-8993(74)90530-7 [PubMed: 4470444]
- Wahlsten D. Genetic and developmental defects of the mouse corpus callosum. Experientia. 1989a; 45:828–838.10.1007/BF01954057 [PubMed: 2673835]
- Wahlsten D. Deficiency of the corpus callosum: incomplete penetrance and substrain differentiation in BALB/c mice. J Neurogenet. 1989b; 5:61–76.10.3109/01677068909167265 [PubMed: 2703941]
- Wahlsten D, Colbourne F, Pleus R. A robust, efficient and flexible method for staining myelinated axons in blocks of brain tissue. J Neurosci Methods. 2003; 123:207–214.10.1016/ S0165-0270(02)00359-X [PubMed: 12606069]
- Wang L, Jiao J, Dulawa SC. Infant maternal separation impairs adult cognitive performance in BALB/cJ mice. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2011; 216:207–218.10.1007/s00213-011-2209-4 [PubMed: 21331521]
- Williams R, Lim JE, Harr B, et al. A common and unstable copy number variant is associated with differences in Glo1 expression and anxiety-like behavior. PLoS One. 2009; 4:e4649.10.1371/ journal.pone.0004649 [PubMed: 19266052]
- Yalcin B, Wong K, Agam A, et al. Sequence-based characterization of structural variation in the mouse genome. Nature. 2011; 477:326–329.10.1038/nature10432 [PubMed: 21921916]



Figure 1.



Figure 2.



Figure 3.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript



Figure 4.

Table 1

crosses.
and N2
1, F2, a
ice and F
/cByJ m
BALB
/cJ and
BALB
weights of
Organ

Organ	Sex	BALB/cJ	BALB/cByJ	F1	F2	N2
Body (whole) (g)	М	28.9 (0.88)	28.6 (1.5)	28.7 (2.1)	30.7 (4.1)	30.9 (2.5)
	F	24.1 (1.3)	23.6 (1.7)	24.3 (1.5)	22.6 (3.0)	22.6 (2.3)
Heart (mg)	М	140.0 (15.9)	177.5 (31.5) ^{**}	160.4 (16.3)	146.3 (19.9)	146.7 (12.6)
	F	121.3	124.3	NA	NA	NA
Liver (left lobe) (mg)	М	404.4 (30.9)	505.7 (50.3) ^{**}	418.8 (32.8)	439.0 (64.3)	436.6 (54.7)
	F	307.3 (45.5)	373.7 (49.7) ^{**}	345.0 (31.1)	332.2 (60.3)	327.0 (37.6)
Spleen (mg)	М	84.7 (8.0)	89.8 (12.8)	81.0 (7.1)	100.0 (51.2)	92.2 (21.9)
	F	95.0 (11.8)	$103.8 \left(12.8 \right)^{**}$	102.5 (10.8)	102.9 (30.1)	91.9 (11.8)
Kidney (left) (mg)	М	256.1 (27.2)	267.8 (22.4)	NA	VN	NA
	F	141.7 (9.3)	143.7 (17.1)	NA	NA	NA
Brain (mg)	n.d.	439.3 (14.2)	372.4 (21.4) ^{***}	425.8 (11.5)	401.4 (24.3)	455.3 (29.8)
Testes (mg)	М	187.5 (25.5)	190.5 (16.2)	NA	NA	NA
* p<0.05,						

** p<0.01,

*** $p{<}0.001, BALB/cJ vs. BALB/cByJ after normalization to body weight$

Data are presented as mean (s.d.) M, male: F, female n.d., no sex effect NA, not measured