

RESEARCH PAPER

Cannabinoids inhibit cholinergic contraction in human airways through prejunctional CB₁ receptors

S Grassin-Delyle^{1,5}, E Naline^{1,2}, A Buenestado¹, C Faisy^{1,3,4}, J-C Alvarez^{2,5}, H Salvator¹, C Abrial¹, C Advenier¹, L Zemoura⁶ and P Devillier^{1,2}

¹Laboratoire de Pharmacologie Respiratoire, UPRES EA220, Hôpital Foch, Suresnes, France, ²UFR Sciences de la Santé Simone Veil, Université Versailles Saint-Quentin, Montigny le Bretonneux, France, ³Réanimation Médicale, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, Paris, France, ⁴Université Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France, [§]Laboratoire de Pharmacologie-Toxicologie, Hôpital Raymond Poincaré, Garches, France, and [§]Service d'Anatomie Pathologique, Hôpital Foch, Suresnes, France

Correspondence

Stanislas Grassin-Delyle, Laboratoire de Pharmacologie Respiratoire, UPRES EA220, Hôpital Foch, 11 rue Guillaume Lenoir, F-92150 Suresnes, France. E-mail: s.grassindelyle@hopital-foch.org

Keywords

receptors; cannabinoid; bronchi; humans; muscle contraction; cholinergic fibres

Received

15 August 2013 **Revised** 11 December 2013 **Accepted** 8 January 2014

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

Marijuana smoking is widespread in many countries, and the use of smoked synthetic cannabinoids is increasing. Smoking a marijuana joint leads to bronchodilation in both healthy subjects and asthmatics. The effects of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol and synthetic cannabinoids on human bronchus reactivity have not previously been investigated. Here, we sought to assess the effects of natural and synthetic cannabinoids on cholinergic bronchial contraction.

EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH

Human bronchi isolated from 88 patients were suspended in an organ bath and contracted by electrical field stimulation (EFS) in the presence of the phytocannabinoid Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol, the endogenous 2-arachidonoylglycerol, the synthetic dual CB₁ and CB₂ receptor agonists WIN55,212-2 and CP55,940, the synthetic, CB₂-receptor-selective agonist JWH-133 or the selective GPR55 agonist O-1602. The receptors involved in the response were characterized by using selective CB₁ and CB₂ receptor antagonists (SR141716 and SR144528 respectively).

KEY RESULTS

 Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol, WIN55,212-2 and CP55,940 induced concentration-dependent inhibition of cholinergic contractions, with maximum inhibitions of 39, 76 and 77% respectively. JWH-133 only had an effect at high concentrations. 2-Arachidonoylglycerol and O-1602 were devoid of any effect. Only CB₁ receptors were involved in the response because the effects of cannabinoids were antagonized by SR141716, but not by SR144528. The cannabinoids did not alter basal tone or contractions induced by exogenous Ach.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

Activation of prejunctional CB₁ receptors mediates the inhibition of EFS-evoked cholinergic contraction in human bronchus. This mechanism may explain the acute bronchodilation produced by marijuana smoking.

Abbreviations

2-AG, 2-arachidonoylglycerol; Δ^9 -THC, Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol; EFS, electrical field stimulation; TTX, tetrodotoxin



Introduction

Although the marijuana plant has been consumed for centuries, exposure to synthetic cannabinoids (sometimes referred to as 'spice') has increased substantially over the past five years (Winstock and Barratt, 2013). Marijuana smoking appears to be increasingly prevalent among young people (Miech and Koester, 2012; Kuehn, 2013); the US National Institute on Drug Abuse's latest 'Monitoring the Future' survey of teen drug use showed that the consumption of synthetic cannabinoids was alarmingly high, with 11% of 12th graders reporting past-year use (Kuehn, 2013). The synthetic cannabinoid market is growing quickly as novel recreational substances are being synthesized on a regular basis. The cannabinoid family includes about 35 substances, some of which (including JWH-018, CP 47,497 and HU-210) are now prohibited in a number of countries (including the USA, New Zealand, Australia and many European countries). As is the case for marijuana, smoking is the most common route of entry for these compounds [accounting for about 90% of reported use (Forrester et al., 2012)]. The drugs are added to relatively inert, smokable plant matter. In terms of central effects, synthetic cannabinoids have both a quicker time to peak onset of effect and a shorter duration of action than marijuana (Winstock and Barratt, 2013). Although the effects of marijuana smoking on the lung were reviewed very recently, the effects of synthetic cannabinoids on lung function have not been characterized (Tashkin, 2013).

Smoking marijuana leads to acute bronchodilation for at least an hour in both healthy, regular marijuana smokers and marijuana-naïve asthmatics. This bronchodilation is probably due to Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ^9 -THC) (Vachon *et al.*, 1973) as the latter compound also induces a dose-dependent bronchodilator response after oral administration (Vachon et al., 1973; Tashkin et al., 1974; Abboud and Sanders, 1976; Gong et al., 1984). In stable asthmatic subjects, inhalation of aerosolized Δ^9 -THC improves respiratory function (Williams et al., 1976), and acute marijuana smoking also leads to reversal of bronchoconstriction provoked by exercise or methacholine inhalation (Tashkin et al., 1975). Cannabinoid receptor agonists act through at least two distinct types of receptors (the cannabinoid CB1 and CB2 receptors) (Pertwee et al., 2010; receptor nomenclature follows Alexander et al., 2013). Because Δ^9 -THC is devoid of a direct effect on human bronchial smooth muscle (Shapiro and Tashkin, 1976; Orzelek-O'Neil et al., 1980), one can hypothesize that the compound's bronchodilatory effects are exerted indirectly. In the airways, the dominant autonomic innervation is provided by the parasympathetic nervous system, which induces bronchoconstriction via efferent, cholinergic pathways that travel through the vagus nerve and then synapse in the parasympathetic ganglia of the airways (Racke and Matthiesen, 2004). The observation of cannabinoid receptors on airway nerves (Calignano et al., 2000) and the fact that cannabinoids inhibit electrical field stimulation (EFS)induced cholinergic contraction in smooth muscle preparations from the guinea pig ileum (Izzo et al., 1998) suggest that cannabinoid receptors may be involved in the contraction of human airways mediated by cholinergic nerves .

The objectives of the present study were thus to (i) establish whether cannabinoids can alter bronchial reactivity by modulating contractions mediated by cholinergic nerves; (ii) identify the receptors involved in this response; and (iii) compare the effects of the marijuana cannabinoid Δ^9 -THC with those of synthetic cannabinoids now used as recreational drugs. We found that activation of prejunctional cannabinoid CB₁ receptors with natural or synthetic agonists mediated the inhibition of EFS-evoked cholinergic contractions in human bronchus.

Methods

Human bronchus samples

The use of resected lung tissues for research purposes was approved by the local independent ethics committee (*Comité de Protection des Personnes Ile de France VIII*, Boulogne Billancourt, France). All patients provided their written informed consent to research use of their samples. Human lung bronchi were obtained from macroscopically normal tissues from 88 patients (63 men and 25 women; age range: 45–84; mean \pm SD age: 65 \pm 1) undergoing surgical resection for lung carcinoma at Foch Hospital (Suresnes, France) or the Val d'Or Clinic (St Cloud, France).

Reverse transcriptase–quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) analysis

The RT-qPCR experiments were performed as described previously, with some modifications (Buenestado et al., 2012). Bronchial rings were crushed and homogenized in TRIzol® reagent immediately after dissection, using a TissueLyser LT ball mill (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France). Total RNA was extracted from bronchus homogenates using TRIzol. The amount of RNA extracted was estimated by spectrophotometry at 260 nm (Biowave DNA; Biochrom, Cambridge, UK) and the quality of the preparation was assessed in a microfluidic electrophoresis system (RNA Standard Sensitivity kits for Experion®; Bio-Rad, Marnes-la-Coquette, France). After treatment with DNase I (Life Technologies, Saint Aubin, France), 1 µg of total RNA was reverse-transcribed (Super-Script® III First-Strand SuperMix kit; Life Technologies). The resulting cDNA was then used for RT-qPCR experiments with TaqMan® chemistry (Life Technologies). After initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, 20 ng of cDNA was amplified (using Gene Expression Master Mix; Life Technologies) in 40 annealing/extension cycles (95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min) in a StepOnePlus thermocycler (Life Technologies). The sample's fluorescence was measured after each cycle and the threshold cycle (Ct) of the real-time PCR was defined as the point at which a fluorescence signal corresponding to the amplification of a PCR product was detectable. The reaction volume was 10 µL. The presence of CNR1, CNR2 and GPR55 gene transcripts in the bronchial tissue was analysed with a specific TaqMan array based upon pre-designed reagents (Assay-on-Demand®; Life Technologies). To validate the extraction of intact cellular mRNA and to standardize the quantitative data, three reference genes [those for hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase (HPRT1), glyceraldehyde-3phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and β-glucuronidase (GUSB)] were amplified simultaneously.



Preparation of tissues for organ bath studies

The bronchi were dissected away from adhering lung parenchyma and vessels and cut into rings of identical length and diameter, as described previously (Grassin-Delyle et al., 2010). Bronchial segments with an inner diameter of between 1 and 4 mm were selected. A total of 656 bronchial rings were prepared and used in the present study. On the day before the experiment, the human bronchial segments were stored at 4°C in Krebs-Henseleit solution. On the day of the experiment, the segments were placed in an isolated organ bath filled with 5 mL of Krebs-Henseleit solution, oxygenated with 95%/5% O₂/CO₂ and thermostated at 37°C. Tension was measured isometrically with a strain gauge (UF1; Piodem, Canterbury, UK) connected to an amplifier (EMKA Technologies, Paris, France). Data were acquired, processed and analysed with a computerized system running IOX v1.56.8 and Datanalyst v1.58 software (EMKA Technologies).

Effect of cannabinoids on basal tone and on contraction in response to exogenous ACh. The preparations were suspended with an initial load of 3 g and equilibrated for 60-90 min. The Krebs solution in the bath was changed every 15–20 min. At the end of the equilibration period, the resting load was stable at 2-4 g. Bronchi were first contracted maximally with ACh (3 mM), washed and then equilibrated for 60 min prior to initiation of the experimental procedures. To assess the role of cannabinoid receptors in the regulation of basal tone, increasing concentrations of each cannabinoid receptor agonist were added to the organ bath every 15 min. To investigate the agonists' effects on contraction in response to exogenous ACh, an initial cumulative concentration-response curve was obtained for ACh concentrations ranging from 10 nM to 3 mM. After extensive washing and equilibration for 60 min, rings were incubated with cannabinoid receptor agonists or vehicle for 30 min, prior to measurement of a second cumulative concentration-response curve for ACh.

Electrical field stimulation. EFS experiments were performed as described previously (Naline et al., 2007). Briefly, EFS was produced in organ baths fitted with two platinum plate electrodes (1 cm²) placed alongside the tissue (10 mm apart) and connected to a stimulator (EMKA Technologies). Biphasic, square-wave pulses at a constant current of 320 mA and with a pulse duration of 1 ms were delivered for 10 s at a frequency of 5 Hz. Eight to sixteen bronchial rings were simultaneously tested, with at least one control preparation per series of eight rings. Maximal contraction in response to 3 mM ACh was assessed before the start of the EFS experiments. The control preparations were subjected to EFS as a check on the stability of the system's response during the experimental session. To assess each preparation's baseline response, a first train of EFS was applied twice at 10 min intervals. Compounds or vehicles were then added to the bath 30 min before delivery of a second train of stimulations (every 10 min for 1 h and then every 20 min for 4 h). In experiments with cannabinoid receptor antagonists, the antagonist was added 30 min before the agonist. The cholinergic nature of the contraction was assessed in a series of experiments in which bronchi were treated with atropine (from 10 nM to 10 µM), TTX (from 10 nM to 1μ M) or hexamethonium (from 1 to 100μ M).

Table 1

The ranges of CB₁ and CB₂ receptor K_i values (nM) for selected cannabinoid receptor agonists and antagonists (Pertwee *et al.*, 2010)

	CB ₁	CB ₂
Agonists		
2-Arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG)	58.3–472	145–1400
Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (Ƽ-THC)	5.05-80.3	3.13–75.3
WIN55,212-2	1.89–123	0.28–16.2
CP55,940	0.5-5.0	0.69–2.8
JWH-133	677	3.4
Antagonists		
SR141716	1.8–12.3	514-13 200
SR144528	50.3->10 000	0.28–5.6

Cannabinoid receptor agonists and antagonists. We assessed the effects of the endogenous cannabinoid 2-AG, the plantderived cannabinoid Δ^9 -THC and the synthetic compounds CP55,940, WIN55,212-2, WIN55,212-3 and JWH-133. The relative affinities of each agonist for the CB1 and CB2 receptors are given in Table 1. Δ^9 -THC, 2-AG, CP55,940 and WIN55,212-2 are non-selective CB1 and CB2 receptor agonists, whereas JWH-133 is selective for CB₂ receptors (Huffman et al., 1999) and WIN55,212-3 is the inactive enantiomer of WIN55,212-2. Δ^9 -THC is a cannabinoid receptor partial agonist (Pertwee et al., 2010). The orphan receptor GPR55 has been described as a target for cannabinoid receptor ligands (anandamide, Δ^9 -THC, CP55,940) (Ryberg *et al.*, 2007). We also tested the effects of O-1602 (a selective GPR55 agonist). To unambiguously determine which cannabinoid receptor subtype was involved, the effects of the CB₁-selective antagonist SR141716 [pA₂ for CB₁ receptors: 7.9 (Rinaldi-Carmona et al., 1994)] and the CB2-selective antagonist SR144528 [pA2 for CB2 receptors: 6.3 (Rinaldi-Carmona et al., 1998)] on EFS-induced contraction were studied in the presence and absence of the above-mentioned cannabinoid receptor agonists.

Data analysis

Values in the text and figures are expressed as the arithmetic mean \pm SEM from experiments on bronchi from *n* individual donors. For the effects on basal tone, values were expressed as changes in tension (g) in comparison with the basal tone. For contraction in response to exogenous ACh, the maximal contraction (E_{max}) and potency (pEC₅₀, defined as the negative logarithm of the molar concentration of agonist producing 50% of E_{max}) obtained from the second concentration–response curves with vehicle or agonist were analysed and compared. For EFS experiments, values were expressed as the percentage inhibition of the baseline contraction in response to the first train of stimulations. The onset of action was defined as the time needed for a given concentration of agonist to inhibit an EFS-induced cholinergic contraction by 20%.

The quantitative data obtained from the RT-qPCR experiments were expressed as relative expression $(2^{\Delta C_t})$ (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001), where ΔC_t is the difference between the target gene C_t and the mean C_t of the reference genes.

Statistical analyses were performed with NCSS software for Windows (version 2007; NCSS LLC, Kaysville, UT, USA) by applying a two-way, repeated-measures ANOVA for paired data and then a Tukey–Kramer multiple comparison test. The threshold for statistical significance was set to P < 0.05.

Materials

ACh hydrochloride, indomethacin, montelukast, atropine, tetrodotoxin (TTX), hexamethonium and JWH-133 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France); WIN55,212-2, WIN55,212-3, 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG), CP55,940 and O-1602 were obtained from Tocris (Bristol, UK); and Δ^9 -THC was purchased from LGC Standards (Molsheim, France). SR141716 and SR144528 were synthesized by Sanofi-Aventis (Montpellier, France). Stock solutions of indomethacin and montelukast (both 1 mM) were prepared in ethanol, whereas stock solutions of Δ^9 -THC, 2-AG, WIN55,212-2, WIN55,212-3, CP55,940, O-1602, JWH-133, SR141716 and SR144528 (all 10 mM) were prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide. Subsequent dilutions were performed with Krebs-Henseleit solution (NaCl 119 mM, 5.4 mM KCl, 2.5 mM CaCl₂, 1.2 mM KH₂PO₄, 1.2 mM MgSO₄, 25 mM NaHCO₃ and 11.7 mM glucose) and stock solutions were kept at -20°C prior to use. The maximum final concentrations of organic solvent (vehicle) in the organ bath did not alter bronchial contractility.

Results

Cannabinoid receptor gene expression in human bronchi

Bronchi from 12 patients were screened for expression of the genes coding for the CB₁, CB₂ and GPR55 receptors (*CNR1*, *CNR2* and *GPR55* respectively) (Figure 1). Although all three transcripts were found in the bronchi, the CB₁ receptor transcript was significantly more abundant than those of the CB₂ and GPR55 receptors.

The cholinergic nature of the EFS-induced contraction

Control stimulations in 142 bronchial rings caused a mean increase in tension of 1.1 ± 0.1 g over basal tone, which represents 28% of the maximal contraction obtained with 3 mM exogenous ACh. Both atropine (n = 3) and TTX (n = 5) inhibited EFS-induced contraction at concentrations equal to or greater than 0.01 and 0.1 μ M respectively (Figure 2). The ganglion-blocker hexamethonium (n = 5) was devoid of the effect below and at the highest concentration tested (100 μ M).

Effects of Δ^{9} -THC and the endogenous cannabinoid receptor agonist 2-AG on bronchial reactivity

 Δ^9 -THC inhibited EFS-induced cholinergic contraction, as shown in a representative trace in Figure 3. The inhibition



Figure 1

Relative expression ($2^{\Delta C_t} \times 1000$) of *CNR1*, *CNR2* and *GPR55* gene transcripts in human bronchi (n = 12). *HPRT1*, *GAPDH* and *GUSB* were used as housekeeping genes for the normalization of data (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). Data are shown for each individual and as mean \pm SEM. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01.

was concentration-dependent, with a mean maximum inhibitory effect of 39% at a concentration of 30 μ M after 4 h of stimulation (Figure 4). However, a submaximal effect was obtained after just 1 h (25%). A two-way ANOVA revealed an effect of both concentration (P < 0.05) and time (P < 0.001) (n = 7). In contrast, 2-AG (up to a concentration of 30 μ M) did not affect EFS-induced contraction (n = 5). Furthermore, neither Δ^9 -THC nor 2-AG (each at 10 μ M) affected basal tone or contraction in response to exogenous ACh (n = 5) (Table 2).

Effect of synthetic cannabinoid receptor agonists on bronchial reactivity

The non-selective cannabinoid agonists WIN55,212-2 (Figure 5A) and CP55,940 (Figure 5B) inhibited EFS-induced contraction in a concentration-dependent manner, with mean maximum effects of 76% for 10 µM WIN55,212-2 and 77% for 1 µM CP55,940 after 4 h of stimulation. Again, a submaximal effect (62 and 60%, respectively) was obtained after 60 min. In contrast to CP55,940, WIN55,212-2 is reportedly devoid of any effect on the orphan receptor GPR55 (Ryberg et al., 2007). In the present study, the selective GPR55 agonist O-1602 had no effect on EFS-induced contraction (n =5). Hence, these two findings conclusively rule out any involvement of the orphan receptor GPR55 in cannabinoidinduced inhibition of EFS-induced contraction. Significant inhibition of EFS-induced contraction was observed only for the highest concentrations of the CB2-receptor-selective agonist JWH-133 (3 and 10 μ M; *n* = 5–8, *P* < 0.05) (Figure 5C); maximum inhibition (32%) was observed for 10 µM after 4 h of stimulation. None of these agonists (up to 10 µM) affected basal tone or contraction in response to exogenous ACh (n =5) (Table 2).





Figure 2

The effect of atropine (0.01–10 μ M, n = 3) (A) and TTX (0.01–1 μ M, n = 5) (B) on EFS-induced cholinergic contraction in human bronchi. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM percentage inhibition of cholinergic contraction with bronchi from n different patients.

Table 2

The effects of the cannabinoid receptor agonists on contraction of human bronchial rings in response to exogenous ACh(n = 5-6)

	Vehicle only		Treated with agonist		P first versus second	
	E _{max} (%)	pEC ₅₀	E _{max} (%)	pEC ₅₀	E _{max} (%)	pEC ₅₀
2-AG	97.8 ± 2.1	5.3 ± 0.1	99.5 ± 2.4	5.2 ± 0.1	0.62	0.26
∆ ⁹ -THC	97.9 ± 2.1	5.3 ± 0.1	99.0 ± 2.5	5.5 ± 0.1	0.73	0.32
WIN55,212-2	96.5 ± 4.0	4.8 ± 0.1	97.7 ± 3.1	5.1 ± 0.1	0.82	0.24
CP55,940	95.1 ± 2.2	5.5 ± 0.1	96.9 ± 1.9	5.5 ± 0.1	0.57	0.94
JWH-133	96.4 ± 2.9	4.8 ± 0.1	98.6 ± 1.7	5.1 ± 0.1	0.52	0.06

A concentration–response curve for ACh was obtained before and after a 30 min incubation with vehicle or 10 μ M of agonist. The response was modelled with non-linear regression; the respective E_{max} and pEC₅₀ of the second concentration–response curves with vehicle or agonist were compared in an extra sum-of-squares *F*-test. None of the *P* values (last column) reached significance (<0.05).

Time to onset of effect of cannabinoid receptor agonists

The mean \pm SD [range] time needed to achieve 20% inhibition of the EFS-induced cholinergic contraction with 10 μ M of the different agonists was 9.1 \pm 1.1 min [7–12] for CP55,940 (n = 5), 12.3 \pm 3.2 min [6–20] for WIN55,212-2 (n = 5); 69.3 \pm 20.5 min [8–160] for Δ^9 -THC (n = 7) and 167.0 \pm 76.0 min [7–540] for JWH-133 (n = 8). The weaker effect of the two latter compounds probably explains the longer time to onset of action (as defined as 20% inhibition).

Characterization of the cannabinoid receptors involved in the inhibition of EFS-induced contraction

WIN55,212-3 (an enantiomer of WIN55,212-2 that has no effect on cannabinoid receptors) was devoid of any effect

(n = 6); this observation argues in favour of the involvement of cannabinoid receptors in the inhibitory effect of WIN55,212-2. The results for JWH-133 (whose affinity for the CB₂ receptor is about 200 times that for the CB₁ receptor) (Table 1) (Huffman et al., 1999) suggest that CB₁ receptors have a prominent role in the inhibition of EFS-induced contraction. With a view to further characterizing the cannabinoid receptor subtype, the effects of agonists on the EFSinduced cholinergic contraction were then investigated in the presence or absence of SR141716 and SR144528 (selective CB₁ and CB₂ antagonists respectively). At a concentration of 10 µM, neither of the antagonists had an effect on basal tone, the concentration-response curves for exogenous ACh or EFS-induced contraction (Table 3). In a first series of experiments, reversal of the inhibitory effects of WIN55,212-2 and JWH-133 was probed in the presence of SR141716



Figure 3

A representative trace of EFS-induced cholinergic contraction in human bronchi in the presence of vehicle only (A) or 10 μ M delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ^9 -THC) (B). Bronchial rings were suspended and equilibrated in the organ bath. Maximal contraction was obtained by the addition of 3 mM ACh, the rings were washed three times and an initial stimulation was delivered in order to assess the ring's reactivity (blue area). After another stabilization period, the first of two trains of EFS was applied (with a 10 min interval) to assess each preparation's baseline response prior to addition of vehicle or Δ^9 -THC to the bath (green area). Lastly, trains of stimulation were delivered every 10 min for 1 h (orange area) and then every 20 min for 4 h (red area).

or SR144528. The CB₁ receptor antagonist SR141716 (at concentrations of 0.01–3 μ M) inhibited the response to 3 μ M WIN55,212-2 in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 6A), whereas the CB₂ receptor antagonist SR144528 had no effect (at concentrations up to 10 μ M) (Figure 6B). Similarly, the response to 10 μ M JWH-133 was fully inhibited by SR141716 (at 0.1–3 μ M), whereas SR144528 had no influ-



Figure 4

The effect of 3–30 μM delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol ($\Delta^9\text{-THC}$) on EFS-induced cholinergic contraction in human bronchi. Data are shown as mean \pm SEM percentage inhibition of cholinergic contraction obtained with bronchi from seven different patients.

ence (at concentrations up to 10 μ M). Furthermore, 3 μ M SR141716 also reversed the response to Δ^9 -THC and CP55,940 (Figure 7). The mean maximum inhibition after 120 min was 82% for WIN55,212-2, 80% for Δ^9 -THC and 30% for CP55,940. SR141716's weaker reversal of the inhibitory effect of CP55,940 can be explained by the 5- to 200-fold higher binding affinity of CP55,940 for CB₁ receptors.

Discussion

The present study is the first to show that prejunctional cannabinoid CB1 receptors inhibit cholinergic-mediated contraction in human bronchi. In both human and animal airways, the rapid contraction induced by EFS is due to ACh release from post-ganglionic nerves (Back et al., 2006; Schleputz et al., 2012). The cholinergic nature of the contraction and the involvement of muscarinic receptors were demonstrated by the inhibition of the EFS-induced contraction by atropine and TTX but not by the ganglion-blocker hexamethonium, in agreement with previous findings (Takahashi et al., 1994; Ellis and Conanan, 1996; Fernandes et al., 1999). Cannabinoid CB₁ receptors are concentrated in the CNS but are also found in some peripheral tissues. In contrast, cannabinoid CB₂ receptors are primarily found in peripheral tissues, such as immune cells, but can also be detected in the CNS (Onaivi, 2011). In guinea pig and rat airways, the endogenous cannabinoid anandamide and the synthetic agonists WIN55,212-2, CP55,940 and JWH-133 inhibited the EFSinduced release of ACh (Spicuzza et al., 2000) and inhibited EFS-induced contraction (Yousif and Oriowo, 1999; Yoshihara et al., 2004). The fact that these inhibitions were not affected by the CB1 receptor antagonist SR141716A but were











Table 3

The effects of the selective CB₁ and CB₂ receptor antagonists SR141716 and SR144528 on basal tone, contraction in response to exogenous ACh and EFS-induced contraction

	SR141716 10 μM			SR144528 10 μM		
	Effect	n	Р	Effect	n	Р
Change in basal tone (g)	0.08 ± 0.06	6	0.14	0.6 ± 0.2	6	0.052
Mean change of pEC ₅₀ in concentration–response curves for exogenous ACh	0.09	5	0.73	0.09	5	0.67
Maximum change in EFS-induced contraction (% vs. control)	13 ± 5	6	0.25	10 ± 5	6	0.10

For the effect on basal tone, tension was measured in bronchial rings before and after exposure to 10 μ M of each antagonist; the difference in tension was analysed in a paired *t*-test. For the effect on exogenous ACh, a concentration–response curve for ACh was obtained before and after a 30 min incubation with vehicle or 10 μ M of agonist; the response was modelled with non-linear regression and the E_{max} and pEC₅₀ of the second concentration–response curves with vehicle or agonist were compared with extra sum-of-squares *F*-test. For the effect on EFS-induced contraction, bronchial rings from the same patients were subjected to EFS either with vehicle only or with 10 μ M of each antagonist, the difference between contraction in the control condition and contraction with the antagonist was calculated for each time point. Only the time point with the greatest difference is presented in the table. For EFS-induced contraction, statistical analysis was performed with a two-way, repeated–measures ANOVA, followed by a Tukey–Kramer multiple comparison test.



Figure 6

The effect of the CB₁ receptor antagonist SR141716 (A) and the CB₂ receptor antagonist SR144528 (B) on inhibition of EFS-induced cholinergic contraction in human bronchi by 3 μ M WIN55,212-2. Data are shown as mean ± SEM percentage inhibition of cholinergic contraction obtained with bronchi from three to six different patients.

dampened by a CB_2 receptor antagonist (Yoshihara *et al.*, 2004) suggests the involvement of prejunctional CB_2 receptors in the guinea pig and rat. In contrast, our present results conclusively demonstrate the involvement of CB_1 receptors in the EFS-mediated contraction of human bronchi because (i) the selective CB_1 receptor antagonist SR141716A was found to reverse the inhibitory effect of dual CB_1 and CB_2

receptor agonists (Δ^9 -THC, WIN55,212-2 and CP55,940) and (ii) the selective CB₂ receptor antagonist SR144528 was inactive. The involvement of the orphan receptor GPR55 in the cannabinoids' inhibitory effects was also ruled out by the absence of an effect of O-1602. Antagonist affinity is a key factor when assessing receptor selectivity. The SR141716 concentration that reversed the agonist-induced inhibition was



Figure 7

The effect of the CB₁ receptor antagonist SR141716 (3 μ M) on inhibition of EFS-induced cholinergic contraction in human bronchi by Δ^9 -THC, WIN55,212-2 and CP55,940. Data are shown as mean \pm SEM value percentage inhibition of cholinergic contraction obtained with bronchi from 10 different patients.

similar to that used in other publications and was close to its pA₂ for the CB₁ receptor [pA₂ = 7.9 (Rinaldi-Carmona *et al.*, 1994)]; this conclusively demonstrates the involvement of CB₁ receptors. Furthermore, JWH-133 (which binds 200 times more strongly to the CB₂ receptor than to the CB₁ receptor) only exerted an inhibitory effect on EFS-induced contraction at concentrations above 3 μ M. This effect was inhibited by SR141716 but not by SR144528 – suggesting that CB₁ receptors are activated by JWH-133 at its highest concentrations.

In human bronchi, we found that CB_1 receptor gene transcripts were more abundant than CB_2 receptor gene transcripts. In rat lungs, electron microscopy experiments have revealed that CB_1 receptors are located on nerve fibres situated near smooth muscle cells (Calignano *et al.*, 2000) but not on the smooth muscle cells themselves. In the present study, the absence of functional CB_1 or CB_2 receptors on human bronchial smooth muscles was suggested by the cannabinoid agonists' lack of direct effects on basal tone or ACh-induced contraction. This observation further suggests that prejunctional CB_1 receptors are involved in the phenomena observed here.

In the rat lung, activation of CB_1 receptors with anandamide inhibits the bronchospasm and cough evoked by capsaicin (Calignano *et al.*, 2000) and suggests that this cannabinoid receptor subtype may be also involved in the inhibition of neuropeptide release by C-fibres in the rat. In contrast, *in vivo* observations in a conscious guinea pig model of cough (Patel *et al.*, 2003) and *in vitro* observations on sensory C- and Aδ-fibres in isolated vagus nerves from humans and guinea pigs (Belvisi *et al.*, 2008) have suggested that the CB₂ receptor is involved in the modulation of



neuropeptide release by sensory nerves in the human and guinea pig airways.

In cardiovascular and gastrointestinal preparations, CB_1 receptors are able to modulate pre- and postjunctional neurotransmission (Niederhoffer and Szabo, 1999; Niederhoffer *et al.*, 2003; Hinds *et al.*, 2006). Δ^9 -THC inhibits the release of ACh from the myenteric plexus in guinea pigs (Coutts and Pertwee, 1997). The most prominent intestinal effect of cannabinoids is the CB₁-mediated presynaptic inhibition of ACh release (Heinemann *et al.*, 1999; Storr *et al.*, 2004; Sibaev *et al.*, 2009). In strips of human colon, activation of the CB₁ receptors located on cholinergic motor neurons inhibits EFS-induced ACh release and neurogenic circular muscle contraction (Hinds *et al.*, 2006). Taken as a whole, these results suggest the existence of species-, tissue- and nerve-related differences in the expression of cannabinoid receptor subtypes on peripheral nerve fibres.

 Δ^9 -THC is the active ingredient in *Cannabis sativa* and is mainly responsible for the psychoactive and respiratory effects of smoked marijuana. It displays moderate affinity and low relative intrinsic activity (partial agonism) for cannabinoid receptors (Pertwee et al., 2010). There are still very few data on the tissue concentrations of Δ^9 -THC *in vivo*. The lung was found to be the organ that contained the highest Δ^9 -THC concentration in porcine models, with a lung/blood concentration ratio of about 80 (Brunet et al., 2006; 2010). The inhalation of 34 mg of Δ^9 -THC in humans yields blood concentrations that peak at 0.85 µM (Huestis et al., 1992). On the basis of the lung/blood concentration ratio in the pig, lung concentrations in humans might peak at 67 µM - twice the highest organ bath concentration studied in the present study. These observations suggest that our findings have clinical relevance and may explain the bronchodilation following inhalation of smoke from herbal cannabis (Tashkin et al., 1974).

We also chose to investigate the effects of the endogenous cannabinoid 2-AG, which is about 170 times more abundant than anandamide (the other endogenous agonist) (Stella et al., 1997). The affinity of 2-AG for the CB1 and CB2 receptors is relatively low but is greater than that of anandamide. On the basis of relative affinities for the cannabinoid receptor subtypes, we also investigated synthetic agonists from the three least related chemical families (i.e. CP, WIN and JWH derivatives), which are reportedly used as recreational drugs. WIN55,212-2 has moderate affinity for the CB1 and CB2 receptor subtypes, CP55,940 has high affinity for both subtypes and JWH-133 may be considered as a selective CB₂ receptor agonist (Table 1). Other agonists found in herbal mixtures or human tissue samples (such as JWH-018, JWH-073, JWH-210, JWH-250 and CP47,457) (Logan et al., 2012; Hermanns-Clausen et al., 2013) have K_i values for CB₁ receptors of between 0.5 and 9 nM (Huffman et al., 2005a,b), which are equal to or greater than that of CP55,940 (the most potent agonist used in the present study). With regard to the EFS-mediated contraction of human bronchus, (i) the lowaffinity endocannabinoid 2-AG was devoid of any effect up to 30 μ M and (ii) the partial agonist Δ^9 -THC had half the inhibitory effect of the synthetic compounds CP55,940 and WIN55,212-2 [which display higher relative intrinsic activity at cannabinoid receptors (Pertwee et al., 2010)]. The greater efficacy (at least as measured in vitro) and more rapid



onset of action may result in more intense, faster bronchodilation after the consumption of high-affinity, synthetic cannabinoids.

Chronic, high-level marijuana smoking may produce partial tachyphylaxis and can even lead to mild airway obstruction (probably due to the chronic inflammatory changes associated with regular marijuana smoking) (Tashkin *et al.*, 1976; Tashkin, 2013). However, a recent large-scale, 20 year follow-up study showed that low-level, chronic marijuana use was associated with an increase in forced expiratory volume and forced vital capacity (Pletcher *et al.*, 2012); this agrees with other literature data showing that chronic marijuana consumption via a vaporizer (which reduces the amount of tobacco-derived airway irritants) also improves respiratory function (Van Dam and Earleywine, 2010).

In conclusion, our present results show that the activation of prejunctional CB_1 receptors inhibits cholinergic contraction in human bronchi. The cannabinoids' inhibitory effects on the cholinergic-mediated contraction may explain the acute bronchodilation produced by marijuana smoking. One can expect to observe bronchodilation in consumers of synthetic, recreational cannabinoids. However, the clinical effects of acute and chronic exposure to these compounds remain to be characterized.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge David Fraser (Biotech Communication, Damery, France) for providing copy editing services and Jean-François Dreyfus (Hôpital Foch, Suresnes, France), for statistical advice.

Conflict of interest

None.

References

Abboud RT, Sanders HD (1976). Effect of oral administration of delta-tetrahydrocannabinol on airway mechanics in normal and asthmatic subjects. Chest 70: 480–485.

Alexander SPH, Benson HE, Faccenda E, Pawson AJ, Sharman JL Spedding M *et al* (2013). The Concise Guide to PHARMACOLOGY 2013/14: G Protein-Coupled Receptors. Br J Pharmacol 170: 1459–1581.

Back M, Costantino M, Brink C, Norel X (2006). Effect of cold storage on cholinergic responses induced by electrical field stimulation in human bronchi. Pulm Pharmacol Ther 19: 297–302.

Belvisi MG, Patel HJ, Freund-Michel V, Hele DJ, Crispino N, Birrell MA (2008). Inhibitory activity of the novel CB2 receptor agonist, GW833972A, on guinea-pig and human sensory nerve function in the airways. Br J Pharmacol 155: 547–557.

Brunet B, Doucet C, Venisse N, Hauet T, Hebrard W, Papet Y *et al.* (2006). Validation of large white pig as an animal model for the

study of cannabinoids metabolism: application to the study of THC distribution in tissues. Forensic Sci Int 161: 169–174.

Brunet B, Hauet T, Hebrard W, Papet Y, Mauco G, Mura P (2010). Postmortem redistribution of THC in the pig. Int J Legal Med 124: 543–549.

Buenestado A, Grassin-Delyle S, Guitard F, Naline E, Faisy C, Israel-Biet D *et al.* (2012). Roflumilast inhibits the release of chemokines and TNF-alpha from human lung macrophages stimulated with lipopolysaccharide. Br J Pharmacol 165: 1877–1890.

Calignano A, Katona I, Desarnaud F, Giuffrida A, La Rana G, Mackie K *et al.* (2000). Bidirectional control of airway responsiveness by endogenous cannabinoids. Nature 408: 96–101.

Coutts AA, Pertwee RG (1997). Inhibition by cannabinoid receptor agonists of acetylcholine release from the guinea-pig myenteric plexus. Br J Pharmacol 121: 1557–1566.

Ellis JL, Conanan ND (1996). Prejunctional inhibition of cholinergic responses by prostaglandin E2 in human bronchi. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 154: 244–246.

Fernandes LB, Henry PJ, Goldie RG (1999). Endothelin-1 potentiates cholinergic nerve-mediated contraction in human isolated bronchus. Eur Respir J 14: 439–442.

Forrester MB, Kleinschmidt K, Schwarz E, Young A (2012). Synthetic cannabinoid and marijuana exposures reported to poison centers. Hum Exp Toxicol 31: 1006–1011.

Gong H Jr, Tashkin DP, Simmons MS, Calvarese B, Shapiro BJ (1984). Acute and subacute bronchial effects of oral cannabinoids. Clin Pharmacol Ther 35: 26–32.

Grassin-Delyle S, Naline E, Buenestado A, Risse PA, Sage E, Advenier C *et al.* (2010). Expression and function of human hemokinin-1 in human and guinea pig airways. Respir Res 11: 139.

Heinemann A, Shahbazian A, Holzer P (1999). Cannabinoid inhibition of guinea-pig intestinal peristalsis via inhibition of excitatory and activation of inhibitory neural pathways. Neuropharmacology 38: 1289–1297.

Hermanns-Clausen M, Kneisel S, Szabo B, Auwarter V (2013). Acute toxicity due to the confirmed consumption of synthetic cannabinoids: clinical and laboratory findings. Addiction 108: 534–544.

Hinds NM, Ullrich K, Smid SD (2006). Cannabinoid 1 (CB1) receptors coupled to cholinergic motorneurones inhibit neurogenic circular muscle contractility in the human colon. Br J Pharmacol 148: 191–199.

Huestis MA, Henningfield JE, Cone EJ (1992). Blood cannabinoids. I. Absorption of THC and formation of 11-OH-THC and THCCOOH during and after smoking marijuana. J Anal Toxicol 16: 276–282.

Huffman JW, Liddle J, Yu S, Aung MM, Abood ME, Wiley JL *et al.* (1999). 3-(1',1'-Dimethylbutyl)-1-deoxy-delta8-THC and related compounds: synthesis of selective ligands for the CB2 receptor. Bioorg Med Chem 7: 2905–2914.

Huffman JW, Szklennik PV, Almond A, Bushell K, Selley DE, He H *et al.* (2005a). 1-Pentyl-3-phenylacetylindoles, a new class of cannabimimetic indoles. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 15: 4110–4113.

Huffman JW, Zengin G, Wu MJ, Lu J, Hynd G, Bushell K *et al.* (2005b). Structure-activity relationships for 1-alkyl-3-(1-naphthoyl) indoles at the cannabinoid CB(1) and CB(2) receptors: steric and electronic effects of naphthoyl substituents. New highly selective CB(2) receptor agonists. Bioorg Med Chem 13: 89–112.

Izzo AA, Mascolo N, Borrelli F, Capasso F (1998). Excitatory transmission to the circular muscle of the guinea-pig ileum: evidence for the involvement of cannabinoid CB1 receptors. Br J Pharmacol 124: 1363–1368.



Kuehn BM (2013). Teen perceptions of marijuana risks shift: use of alcohol, illicit drugs, and tobacco declines. JAMA 309: 429–430.

Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) method. Methods 25: 402–408.

Logan BK, Reinhold LE, Xu A, Diamond FX (2012). Identification of synthetic cannabinoids in herbal incense blends in the United States. J Forensic Sci 57: 1168–1180.

Miech R, Koester S (2012). Trends in U.S., past-year marijuana use from 1985 to 2009: an age-period-cohort analysis. Drug Alcohol Depend 124: 259–267.

Naline E, Trifilieff A, Fairhurst RA, Advenier C, Molimard M (2007). Effect of indacaterol, a novel long-acting beta2-agonist, on isolated human bronchi. Eur Respir J 29: 575–581.

Niederhoffer N, Szabo B (1999). Effect of the cannabinoid receptor agonist WIN55212-2 on sympathetic cardiovascular regulation. Br J Pharmacol 126: 457–466.

Niederhoffer N, Schmid K, Szabo B (2003). The peripheral sympathetic nervous system is the major target of cannabinoids in eliciting cardiovascular depression. Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol 367: 434–443.

Onaivi ES (2011). Commentary: functional neuronal CB2 cannabinoid receptors in the CNS. Curr Neuropharmacol 9: 205–208.

Orzelek-O'Neil RM, Goodman FR, Forney RB (1980). Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol on isolated human bronchioles. Arch Int Pharmacodyn Ther 246: 71–83.

Patel HJ, Birrell MA, Crispino N, Hele DJ, Venkatesan P, Barnes PJ *et al.* (2003). Inhibition of guinea-pig and human sensory nerve activity and the cough reflex in guinea-pigs by cannabinoid (CB2) receptor activation. Br J Pharmacol 140: 261–268.

Pertwee RG, Howlett AC, Abood ME, Alexander SP, Di Marzo V, Elphick MR *et al.* (2010). International Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology. LXXIX. Cannabinoid receptors and their ligands: beyond CB1 and CB2. Pharmacol Rev 62: 588–631.

Pletcher MJ, Vittinghoff E, Kalhan R, Richman J, Safford M, Sidney S *et al.* (2012). Association between marijuana exposure and pulmonary function over 20 years. JAMA 307: 173–181.

Racke K, Matthiesen S (2004). The airway cholinergic system: physiology and pharmacology. Pulm Pharmacol Ther 17: 181–198.

Rinaldi-Carmona M, Barth F, Heaulme M, Shire D, Calandra B, Congy C *et al.* (1994). SR141716A, a potent and selective antagonist of the brain cannabinoid receptor. FEBS Lett 350: 240–244.

Rinaldi-Carmona M, Barth F, Millan J, Derocq JM, Casellas P, Congy C *et al.* (1998). SR 144528, the first potent and selective antagonist of the CB2 cannabinoid receptor. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 284: 644–650.

Ryberg E, Larsson N, Sjogren S, Hjorth S, Hermansson NO, Leonova J *et al.* (2007). The orphan receptor GPR55 is a novel cannabinoid receptor. Br J Pharmacol 152: 1092–1101.

Schleputz M, Rieg AD, Seehase S, Spillner J, Perez-Bouza A, Braunschweig T *et al.* (2012). Neurally mediated airway constriction in human and other species: a comparative study using precision-cut lung slices (PCLS). PLoS ONE 7: e47344. Shapiro BJ, Tashkin DP (1976). The Pharmacology of Marijuana, Raven edn. Raven Press: New York.

Sibaev A, Yuce B, Kemmer M, Van Nassauw L, Broedl U, Allescher HD *et al.* (2009). Cannabinoid-1 (CB1) receptors regulate colonic propulsion by acting at motor neurons within the ascending motor pathways in mouse colon. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 296: G119–G128.

Spicuzza L, Haddad EB, Birrell M, Ling A, Clarke D, Venkatesan P *et al.* (2000). Characterization of the effects of cannabinoids on guinea-pig tracheal smooth muscle tone: role in the modulation of acetylcholine release from parasympathetic nerves. Br J Pharmacol 130: 1720–1726.

Stella N, Schweitzer P, Piomelli D (1997). A second endogenous cannabinoid that modulates long-term potentiation. Nature 388: 773–778.

Storr M, Sibaev A, Marsicano G, Lutz B, Schusdziarra V, Timmermans JP *et al.* (2004). Cannabinoid receptor type 1 modulates excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmission in mouse colon. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 286: G110–G117.

Takahashi T, Belvisi MG, Patel H, Ward JK, Tadjkarimi S, Yacoub MH *et al.* (1994). Effect of Ba 679 BR, a novel long-acting anticholinergic agent, on cholinergic neurotransmission in guinea pig and human airways. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 150 (6 Pt 1): 1640–1645.

Tashkin DP (2013). Effects of marijuana smoking on the lung. Ann Am Thorac Soc 10: 239–247.

Tashkin DP, Shapiro BJ, Frank IM (1974). Acute effects of smoked marijuana and oral delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol on specific airway conductance in asthmatic subjects. Am Rev Respir Dis 109: 420–428.

Tashkin DP, Shapiro BJ, Lee YE, Harper CE (1975). Effects of smoked marijuana in experimentally induced asthma. Am Rev Respir Dis 112: 377–386.

Tashkin DP, Shapiro BJ, Lee YE, Harper CE (1976). Subacute effects of heavy marihuana smoking on pulmonary function in healthy men. N Engl J Med 294: 125–129.

Vachon L, FitzGerald MX, Solliday NH, Gould IA, Gaensler EA (1973). Single-dose effects of marihuana smoke. Bronchial dynamics and respiratory-center sensitivity in normal subjects. N Engl J Med 288: 985–989.

Van Dam NT, Earleywine M (2010). Pulmonary function in cannabis users: support for a clinical trial of the vaporizer. Int J Drug Policy 21: 511–513.

Williams SJ, Hartley JP, Graham JD (1976). Bronchodilator effect of delta1-tetrahydrocannabinol administered by aerosol of asthmatic patients. Thorax 31: 720–723.

Winstock AR, Barratt MJ (2013). Synthetic cannabis: a comparison of patterns of use and effect profile with natural cannabis in a large global sample. Drug Alcohol Depend 131: 106–111.

Yoshihara S, Morimoto H, Yamada Y, Abe T, Arisaka O (2004). Cannabinoid receptor agonists inhibit sensory nerve activation in guinea pig airways. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 170: 941–946.

Yousif MH, Oriowo MA (1999). Inhibitory effects of cannabinoid receptor ligands on electrically-evoked responses in rat isolated tracheal ring segments. Pharmacol Res 40: 415–421.