

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Immunol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 November 30.

Published in final edited form as:

J Immunol. 2014 October 1; 193(7): 3388–3397. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1400207.

TGF-β**-induced CD4+Foxp3+ T cells attenuate acute graft-versushost disease via suppressing expansion and killing of effector CD8+ cells**

Jian Gu*,†,#,1, **Ling Lu***,1, **Maogen Chen**‡, **Lili Xu**¶ , **Qin Lan**§, **Qiang Li**‡, **Zhongmin Liu**§, **Guihua Chen**#, **Ping Wang*** , **Xuehao Wang*** , **David Brand**** , **Nancy Olsen**†, and **Song Guo Zheng**†,#

*Department of Liver Surgery, First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University. Nanjing. 210000, China

†Division of Rheumatology, Penn State Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, 500 University Drive, Hershey, PA 17033, USA

‡First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, 510630, China

¶Dept. of Molecular Biosciences, Stockholm University, SE-10691 Stockholm Sweden

§Research Center for Translational Medicine, East Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, No. 150 Jimo Road, Shanghai 200120, China

#Clinical Immunology Section, the Third Affiliated Hospital at Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, 510630, China

**Research Service, Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Memphis, TN 38104 USA

Abstract

TGF-β-induced $CD4^+$ Foxp3⁺ T cells (iTregs) have been identified as important prevention and treatment strategies for cell therapy in autoimmune diseases and other disorders. However, the potential use of iTregs as a treatment modality for acute graft-*verse*-host disease (GVHD) has not been realized because iTregs may be unstable and less suppressive in this disease. Here we restudied the ability of iTregs to prevent and treat acute GVHD in two different mouse models. Our results showed that so long as an appropriate iTreg-generation protocol is used, these iTregs consistently displayed a potent ability to control acute GVHD development and reduce mortality in the acute GVHD animal models. iTreg infusion markedly suppressed the engraftment of donor $CD8⁺$ cells and $CD4⁺$ cells, the expression of Granzyme A and B, the cytotoxic effect of donor $CD8⁺$ cells and the production of T cell cytokines in acute GVHD. We therefore conclude that so long as the right methods for generating iTreg cells have been employed, iTregs can indeed prevent and even treat acute GVHD.

Disclosures The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Dr Song Guo Zheng, Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Penn State University Hershey Medical College. 500 University Drive, PA 17033. szheng1@hmc.psu.edu and Dr. Ping Wang, Department of Liver Surgery, First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University. Nanjing. 210000, China. wuwpzhy@163.com. 1Authors contribute equally.

Introduction

 $CD4+CD25+F\alpha p3+$ regulatory T cells (Tregs) are crucial in maintaining immune homeostasis and prevention of autoimmune diseases. The numbers and functions of Tregs have been reported to be abnormal in many autoimmune diseases (1, 2). CD4+Foxp3+ Tregs are heterogeneous and can be divided into at least two populations: thymus-derived naturally occurring Tregs (nTregs) (3), and Treg cell subset induced *ex vivo* with IL-2 and transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β)(iTregs) (4). Both Treg subsets may have different targets or a synergistic role in controlling unnecessary immunological responses (5). Manipulation of Treg cell therapy is providing a promising approach to the treatment of many autoimmune diseases (5–7). Unlike autoimmune diseases, recent studies have reported that use of iTregs was unable to prevent death in murine model acute graft-*versus*-host disease (GVHD) (8, 9). GVHD is a major complication of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant (AHSCT) and is associated with significant morbidity and mortality. Current therapies have limited success in controlling acute GVHD, thus, the development of effective preventions and treatments are critical to the continuing success of AHSCT.

The use of nTregs has led to a limited measure of success in the prevention of acute GVHD (10). However, initial frequencies of nTregs are low, and repetitive rounds of expansion are needed for their clinical use. Unfortunately, the phenotype and function of nTregs is usually compromised following their expansion *in vitro* (11). Conversely, iTregs are available in sufficient numbers and can be induced into antigen-specific Treg subsets. Recent studies have demonstrated iTregs are stable under inflammatory conditions (12, 13), and based on this finding we re-investigated the suitability of iTregs as a treatment for acute GVHD.

In this study, we used two different animal models to examine the role of iTregs in the prevention of acute GVHD development. Our results showed that when properly generated, iTregs have a potent ability to suppress cytokine production, allogeneic cell expansion, cytotoxic activity and are able to prolong survival. To analyze the discrepancy between this finding and previous studies, we demonstrated that the methods employed in the generation of iTregs can lead to different outcomes. Our results strongly suggest that use of iTregs could be still effective in preventing and treating the complications such as acute GVHD in AHSCT.

Materials and Methods

Animals

BALB/c (H-2^d), C57BL/6 (H-2^b), DBA2 (H-2^d), and B6D2F1 (H-2^{b/d}) mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). C57BL/6 Foxp3 knock-in mice were generously provided by Dr. Talil Chatilla (UCLA). We maintained breeding colonies in our animal facility. Mice were used at age of 8–12 weeks. All experiments using mice were performed in accordance with protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees at University of Southern California and Penn State University.

Development of mouse acute-GVHD models

Model one—Acute GVHD was induced by the intravenous injection of 50×10^6 splenocytes isolated from B6 mice into B6D2F1 mice as previously reported (14). To maintain as much homogeneity of donor cell populations as possible, acute GVHD was induced on the same day using cells processed simultaneously under the same conditions. After 2 weeks, mice were sacrificed and the cells were measured by staining splenocytes with anti–mouse-H2k^b and anti-mouse-H2k^d antibody (recognizing donor cells) and cell lineage markers, as listed above (14).

Model two—BALB/c hosts were given total body irradiation (TBI; 800 cGy) from a 200- Kv x-ray source. Within 24 hours, BALB/c mice were intravenously injected with 5×10^6 T cell-depleted BM cells and 2×10^6 T cells from B6 mice. Mice were kept on antibiotic water (25 μg/ml neomycin/0.3 U/ml polymyxin B; Sigma-Aldrich). The survival of mice was monitored daily and the body weight was measured weekly (8).

Cell isolation and preparation

CD4+ CD62L+ CD25− T cells were isolated from spleen cells of B6 mice or B6 Foxp3 knock-in mice using a naïve $CD4^+$ T cell isolation kit (Miltenyi Biotec). $CD4^+$ CD25⁺ cells were sorted from the spleen of B6 mice. T cells depleted bone marrow cells and CD3⁺ T cells were selected by positive selection and negative selection through AutoMACS (Miltenyi Biotec) by staining anti-CD3 PE antibody (Biolegend) and anti-PE beads. CD4⁺ CD62L+ CD25− cells and CD4+CD25+ nTreg cells were tested with >98% purity before cell culture.

Generation of CD4 iTreg cells ex vivo

Naïve CD4+ CD62+ CD25− T cells derived from B6 or B6 Foxp3 GFP knock-in mice were cultured in 48–well plates and stimulated with anti-mouse-CD3/CD28 beads (1:5) with IL-2 (50 IU/ml), atRA (5 nM) with TGF- β (5 ng/ml) for 3–13 days. In some experiments, naïve CD4+ CD62+ CD25− T cells were cultured in the plate that had been coated with anti-CD3 (10 μg/ml) and CD28 (1 μg/ml) antibodies with IL-2 (50 IU/ml), TGF- β (5 ng/ml) and atRA (5 nM) for 3–13 days. RPMI 1640 medium was supplemented with 100 units/ml penicillin, 100 mg/ml streptomycin, 10 mM HEPES (Invitrogen Life Technologies), and 10% heatinactivated fetal calf serum (Hyclone) and was used for all cultures. Foxp3 expression was determined by flow cytometry. The suppressive activity of these cells against T cell proliferation was examined using a standard *in vitro* suppressive assay as previously described (15). Before intravenous injection, the cells were harvested and beads were removed.

Expansion of nTreg cells ex vivo

The $CD4^+CD25^+$ or $CD4^+GFP^+(Foxp3^+)$ cells sorted from B6 or B6 Foxp3 knock-in mice (donor) were cultured with anti-mouse CD3/CD28 beads (1:2) and IL-2 200 IU/ml for 7 days and then harvested and the beads were removed. Foxp3 expression levels were examined by flow cytometry before and after expansion. The expanded nTregs were intravenously transferred to acute GVHD mice.

In vivo cytotoxic T cell activity

In vivo cytotoxic activity was determined using CFSE labeled target cells as described previously (16). Briefly, spleen cells from DBA2 mice were stained with 0.5 μM CFSE $(CFSE^{low})$ and spleen cells from B6 mice were stained with 5 μ M CFSE (CFSE^{hi}). B6D2F1 mice were injected i.v. with a 1:1 mixture $(1 \times 10^7 \text{ cells each})$ of both donor cell populations as target cells. CFSE staining density will distinguish injected DBA/2 and B6 cells. 5 hours after cell transfer, the mice were sacrificed and the splenocytes were analyzed by flow cytometry to determine the percentages of CFSE^{low} and CFSE^{hi} cell populations. The mice were tested individually and the absolute number of each target cell population was calculated in each mouse based on the total spleen cell count multiplied by the percentage of CFSE positive cells determined by flow cytometry. The percent specific lysis was determined by the frequency of donor cells. The formula is: % lysis $=(\%CFSE^{low}$ in normal F1-% CFSE^{low} in experiments/%CFSE^{low} in normal F1) (17).

Methylation analysis of Foxp3 gene locus

Bead-iTreg and plate-bound-iTreg were induced as described above. Genomic DNA was isolated from purified T cells using the DNeasy tissue kit (Qiagen, Valencia, California, United States) and processed using the EZ DNA Methylation-Gold kit (Zymo Research) according to the manufacturer's protocol. DNA methylation analysis was performed by bisulphite sequencing as described previously (18). Purified bisulfite-treated DNA was used in bisulfite sequencing PCR. The PCR products were purified and cloned into pMD-18T vector (Takara) and single clones were selected for sequencing. All the sequencing results were analyzed on BDPC DNA methylation analysis platform. The data showed the methylation levels of CpG islands within CNS2 region of *Foxp3* gene locus.

Statistical analysis

Data are represented as means ± SEM. Multiple regression and Student's t test were used for statistical analysis. The differences in mouse survival were analyzed by the Kaplan-Meier log-rank test. $P < 0.05$ was considered to be significant.

Results

Infusion of iTregs markedly suppressed the engraftment of donor cells and prevented the reduction of host cells in B6-to-B6D2F1 acute GVHD

To determine whether the iTregs are able to prevent aGVHD development, 50×10^6 B6 spleen cells were intravenously injected into immunocompetent B6D2F1 mice. In some groups, 5×10^6 naïve CD4 cells, iTregs or nTregs were co-transferred. After 14 days, mice were sacrificed and the spleen cells were examined by flow cytometry. Donor and host cells can be distinguished by staining H2-K^d and H2-K^b since donor cells are H2-K^{b+/d–} and host cells are H2- $K^{b+/d+}$. Near 50% donor cells can be observed in this aGVHD model 2 weeks after cell transfer that is consistent with a parent (B6)-to-F1 aGVHD model others have previously reported (19) (Fig. 1A). Co-transfer of 5×10^6 CD4 cells significantly increased the donor cell engraftment (Fig. 1A, 1B), suggesting that donor cells promote the development of aGVHD. Interestingly, both iTregs and nTregs markedly prevented the

We next examined the cell phenotypes and frequency of donor and host cells in aGVHD and Treg-treatment groups. In B6-to-F1 model, both donor $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ cells increased although CDS^+ cells increased to a greater degree. It has been known that donor CDS^+ cells play a more important role in initiating B6-to-F1 aGVHD (19). Infusion of iTregs or nTregs markedly suppressed the expansion of both donor $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ cells (Fig. 2A, 2B). Host B cell killing by activated donor CD8+ cells is another characteristic of B6-to-F1 aGVHD, as shown in Fig. 2A, 2B, the percentages and total numbers of CD19+ B cells in aGVHD were dramatically reduced compared to normal F1 mice. While co-transfer CD4⁺ cells did not alter host B cell reduction, the co-transfer of iTregs or nTregs almost completely prevented the host B cell decrease. Thus, our data showed that iTregs and nTregs have a potential ability to suppress the expansion of donor CD8 and CD4 cells and prevent cytotoxic effect of donor CD8 cells to host CD19 cells although the cytotoxic effect of CD8 may also need CD4⁺ cell help.

Given that donor $CD8^+$ cells play a more important role in the B6-to-F1 aGVHD model, we next focused on the effect of Treg subsets on donor CD8+ cells. Our previous study has demonstrated that iTregs can suppress CD8+ cell proliferation *in vitro* (20). To validate whether iTregs also can suppress CD8⁺ immune responses *in vivo*, we have conducted a suppressive assay *in vivo* using the aGVHD model. 50×10⁶ B6 CFSE-labeled spleen cells were intravenously injected to B6D2F1 mice. In some groups, 5×10^6 iTregs or nTregs were co-transferred and total CFSE+ cells are calculated. As shown in Fig. 2C, the total numbers between three groups on day 3 and day 5 after cell transfer were not different, however, donor CD4+ cells, particularly donor CD8+ donor markedly expanded on day 9 after cell transfer. Interestingly, the infusion of either iTregs or nTregs completely prevented the expansion of both donor $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ cells (Fig. 2C), indicating both Tregs subsets can suppress effector T cell responses *in vivo*.

iTregs suppressed cytotoxic role of donor CD8 cells in aGVHD

We next sought to determine whether iTregs really suppress the cytotoxic function of $CD8⁺$ cells *in vivo* using aGVHD model. Fas/FasL interaction has been considered to be an important signal pathway in the induction of cell apoptosis in aGVHD *via* death-inducing signaling complex (21). We examined the levels of Fas and FasL expression in either host or donor cells in aGVHD. We observed that the levels of Fas expression by host B cells was significantly elevated in aGVHD relative to normal F1 mice. Co-transfer of CD4+ cells did not change the Fas expression in host B cells, however, co-transfer of iTregs or nTregs markedly prevented the upregulation of Fas expression in host B cells (Fig. 3A and Fig. 1S). FasL expression on both CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ donor cells was elevated following aGVHD induction, and injection of iTregs or nTregs significantly suppressed FasL upregulation on

donor CD8+ cells but not CD4+ cells (Fig. 3A and Fig. 1S), suggesting that FasL expression on CD8+ donor cells and Fas expression in host B cells (FasL-Fas signal) are important for the development of aGVHD.

Granzyme A and granzyme B are serine proteases acting in inducing apoptosis in T cells (22), we also examined the expression of granzyme A and granzyme B in donor $CD8^+$ T cells. As shown in Fig. 3B and Fig. 2S, the expression of granzyme A and granzyme B on donor CD8+ cells gradually increased (from 1% to 8% for granzyme A and 4% to 10% for granzyme B from day 0 to day14 after cell transfer). Both Treg subsets treatment can completely prevent the upregulation of granzyme A but not granzyme B on donor $CD8⁺$ cells.

We then developed an *in vivo* CTL experiment to validate whether Treg subsets can suppress cytotoxic effects of CD8⁺ cells in the aGVHD model. For these experiments, B6to-F1 aGVHD was induced and Treg treatment was employed as described above, followed 7 days later by co-transfer of 10×10^6 B6 splenocytes labeled with CFSE^{high} and 10×10^6 DBA2 splenocytes labeled with CFSE^{low} to aGVHD mice that had been treated with or without Treg subsets. Anti-host CTL activity can be analyzed and calculated with the frequency of CFSE-labeled DBA2 (representing host cells) 5 hours after cell transfer (17, 19). As shown in Fig. 3C, injection of CFSE⁺ cells to normal F1 mice resulted in 50% CFSEhi to 50% CFSElow cell populations, however, when both B6 and DBA2 cells were transferred to aGVHD mice, only 38% of DBA2 cells can be observed, indicating that the donor CD8+ cells have selectively killed DBA2 cells in 5 hours. Treatment with Tregs but not control CD4+ cells markedly prevented the reduction and killing of CFSE+ DBA2 cells (Fig. 3D). Thus, our data have demonstrated that that iTregs and nTregs inhibit anti-host CTL activity *in vivo*.

iTregs are stable in aGVHD in vivo

Stability of Tregs has become a critical concern that not only compromises Treg function but could even result in the development of severe autoimmune diseases (23–25). While we and others found that iTreg cells are stable under inflammatory conditions (13, 24), others have reported that iTregs can exhibit some instability when transferred in aGVHD (8). We used a head-to-head comparison to determine the stability of both Treg subsets in B6-to F1 aGVHD model. To this purpose, both iTregs and nTregs from B6 donor mice were labeled with CFSE and transferred to aGVHD mice. Foxp3 expression was fairly stable on both Treg subsets in the draining lymph nodes on day 7 and 14 after cell transfer. As the recipient mouse (F1) is immunocompetent, we did not note evident cell cycle of donor cells before three weeks after cell transfer but some levels of cell cycle can be observed after one month (Fig. 3S). We previously have reported that donor cells had experienced cell proliferation after two months of cell transfer in immunocompletent mice in a heart transplantation model (16). Although Foxp3 expression and total cell numbers of Treg subsets in the spleens were slightly diminished over time, however, no differences were observed between nTregs and iTregs (Fig. 4). Thus, we believe that both Treg subsets are stable at least during the early stages of aGVHD.

iTregs suppressed cytokine production by donor cells in aGVHD in vivo

Previous study has suggested that cytokine production by donor cells may contribute to the pathogenesis of aGVHD. To determine whether iTregs suppress aGVHD through controlling cytokine production by donor CD8+ cells, we have investigated the mechanisms underlying the decrease in severity of aGVHD. Injection of iTregs into mice with aGVHD significantly reduced the percentages of donor $CD8⁺$ cells secreting the pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IFN- γ , IL-17, IL-4, TNF- α , and IL-10 (Fig. 5A). Other Th2 cytokines, such as IL-5 and IL-13 were undetectable in B6-to-F1 aGVHD model and iTreg treatment did not alter their levels (data not shown).

iTreg and nTreg treatment induces an increased host CD4+Foxp3+ cell population and proper function of these cells may be important for aGVHD protection

Since previous studies have demonstrated that the effect of iTreg treatment is associated with the induction of new generation of $F\alpha p3^+$ Tregs in the host in chronic GVHD (26), we sought to test whether Foxp3⁺ Tregs change in the host is also involved in the protective effect of Treg treatment in aGVHD. We examined the levels of host cell $F\alpha p3^+$ expression following treatment with each donor subset. CFSE labeled iTregs or nTregs were cotransferred to aGVHD and mice were sacrificed 14 days later. Co-transfer of donor CD4+ T cells slightly decreased the percentage of CD4+ host cells expressing Foxp3 (Fig. 5B, 5C). nTreg treatment doubled the frequency of host CD4⁺ cells expressing Foxp3, but interestingly, iTreg treatment tripled this frequency (Fig. 5B, 5C). It is possible that Treg treatment can induce or expand host $CD4+F\alpha p3$ ⁺ cells, thus indirectly protecting the development of aGVHD.

To determine whether Tregs are important for the protection of aGVHD development, we have administrated monoclonal antibody against CD25 (CD25MoAb or PC61) in experimental animals. This approach can abolish the function of Tregs (27, 28). Mice were injected intraperitoneally (IP) with PC61 (anti-CD25 monoclonal antibody; 250 μg/mouse) or control IgG. On the same day, 50×10^6 B6 cells were injected intravenously into F1 mice with 1×10^6 CD4 cells, iTregs or nTregs. After 14 days, the mice were sacrificed and the spleen cells were examined by flow cytometry. The protective effect of both nTregs and iTregs on aGVHD was substantially abrogated following treatment with PC61. The Tregmediated suppression on donor CD8+ cells, protection of host B cells and total host cells were significantly abolished under these conditions (Fig. 6).

iTregs reduced mortality and prevented weight loss in the B6→**BALB/c model**

We next used a different (B6-to-BALB/c) aGVHD model to further validate whether iTregs are consistently efficacious in acute GVHD. Mortality and weight loss are two common parameters in the evaluation of this aGVHD (29). Others have found that iTregs induced from B6 donor mice were ineffective in protection from mortality using this model of aGVHD. Lethally irradiated (800 cGy) BALB/c recipients were transplanted with B6 donor derived 5×10^6 T-cell-depleted BM (TCD-BM) plus 2×10^6 MACS-purified T cells alone, or together with 1×10^6 nTregs or iTregs isolated or induced from B6 mice. Recipients of nTregs and iTregs showed a significantly improved survival compared with the recipients of T cells alone (Figure 7A, 7B). The pathological examination showed the significantly lower

scores for inflammation in different organs such as stomach, cecum, colon and skin in both iTreg and nTreg treatment compared to disease group (Fig. 7C).

We further evaluated the possibility that the iTregs and nTregs could be effective for treatment of B6-to-BALB/c aGVHD. iTregs and nTregs were injected 7 days or 10 days after BM and T cell transplantation (Fig. 7D, 7E). As in the other model, iTregs and nTregs significantly prolonged mice survival after disease onset. Not surprisingly, the treatment was more effective when Tregs were given on day 7 rather than on day 10. Taken together, our results suggest that both iTregs and nTregs possess the capability of inducing peripheral tolerance in mouse acute GVHD model.

To evaluate the differences observed in iTreg treatment of aGVHD between other groups and the current study, we analyzed the methods used in the other studies and compared them to our own. Notably, we found a significant difference in the method used in the generation of iTregs. Whereas others (9, 18) have used plate-bound anti-CD3 antibody to stimulate iTreg differentiation, we instead used the beads that had been pre-coated with anti-CD3 and anti-CD3/CD28 antibodies. First, we examined Foxp3 expression of iTreg subsets generated using each of the two methods. While iTregs generated using plate-bound antibodies and coated-beads showed similar expression of Foxp3 at day 3, the expression of Foxp3 differentiated with plate-bound was significantly decreased by day 7 and markedly lost by day 13. Conversely, iTregs induced with coated-beads showed much greater Foxp3 stability during day 9 to day 13 (Figure 8A, 8B). It came as no surprise that the frequency of Foxp3[−] T cells was much higher in iTreg populations generated on plate-bound antibodies as compared to those generated with coated-beads (Fig. 8B).

As previous studies have revealed that DNA methylation of CpG islands in *Foxp3* gene locus affects the Foxp3 stability (18), we also detected the DNA methylation level of CpG in *Foxp3* gene locus in iTreg subsets generated with two different protocols. We have studied methylation of CpG in the conserved non-coding DNA sequence 2 (CNS2) elements at the *Foxp3* locus since maintenance of the active state of the *Foxp3* locus depends on recruitment of Foxp3–Runx1–Cbf-β complexes to CNS2 (30). As shown in Fig. 8C, both iTreg subsets displayed around 40% methylated CpG in CNS2 of Foxp3 gene locus, and there was no significant difference between beads-iTregs and plate-bound-iTregs that is similar with previous reports showing CpG methylation does not compromise iTreg phenotype and function (31, 32). This result suggests that DNA methylation status cannot explain the difference of Foxp3 stability on both iTreg subsets.

We then directly compared the effects of each iTreg population in the prevention of B6-to BALB/c aGVHD. Lethally irradiated (800 cGy) BALB/c recipients were transplanted with 5×10^6 T-cell-depleted BM (TCD-BM) plus 2×10^6 MACS-purified T cells alone, or together with 1×10^6 iTregs generated with plate-bound antibodies or beads from B6 mice. BALB/c recipients receiving bead-iTregs showed greatly improved survival and morbidity compared with recipients receiving plate-bound iTregs. Thus, methods used in the induction of iTregs appear critical for the generation of cells that are effective for the prevention of aGVHD.

Discussion

iTregs induced with IL-2 and TGF-β have proved to have a protective efficacy in many kinds of disease models, including collagen induced arthritis (33), colitis (34), EAE (35), allergy (36) and transplantation (16). However it was recently reported that $CD4^+$ iTregs are not stable *in vivo* and failed in preventing acute GVHD in the B6-to-BALB/c model (8, 9). To determine why iTregs can suppress autoimmune diseases but not acute GVHD, we reinvestigated the protective effect of iTregs on acute GVHD using two different animal models.

Unexpectedly, we observed that transfer of iTregs can markedly prevent the development of acute GVHD in a manner similar to that demonstrated for nTreg cell transfer. Two different aGVHD models were used to exclude the possibility that our finding was model-specific. Our results also suggest that the protective effect of iTregs is not dependent on immune status since the iTregs appear to work under both immune-competent and immunecompromised conditions.

The protective effects provided by iTregs in aGHVD were readily apparent. Firstly, iTreg treatment suppressed the production of inflammatory cytokines by donor cells. Because inflammatory cytokine such as IFN- γ and IL-17 play important pathogenic roles, iTregs may inhibit aGVHD through the suppression of these highly pro-inflammatory cytokines. Secondly, iTregs both inhibited the proliferation of donor CD4 and CD8 cells and reduced the cytotoxicity of donor CD8 cells to host CD19 cells. CD8+ cell-mediated anti-host CTL is a major mechanism by which host B cells are killed in aGVHD. Additionally, iTregs reduced the expression of Fas on B cells and FasL on CD8 cells, thereby reducing a critical pro-apoptotic signal pathway (37). Thirdly, iTreg treatment increased the percentage of host cells expressing Foxp3. That these cells are important in the protection afforded in this model is highlighted by Treg depletion studies in which the protective effect of transferred cells abolished when antibodies depleting $CD25⁺$ cells are used. Our finding is consistent with previous reports demonstrating Tregs can induce long-term immune tolerance through "infectious tolerance" (16, 38–40). Recently, we demonstrated that tolerant dendritic cells are critical to the long-term protective effect of iTregs (26).

The stability of Tregs has become a big issue for their functional activity. nTregs retain a measure of plasticity, allowing them to be converted to various classes of Th cells when they encounter inflammatory conditions (23, 24, 41–44), raising the possibility that nTregs are more useful in preventing rather than curing inflammatory diseases (41, 45). nTregs have been recognized for their ability to successfully prevent aGVHD (32), however, lack of antigen-specificity and low cell frequencies limits their clinical use.

Although sufficient numbers of antigen-specific iTregs can successfully be induced, the stability of these cells in aGVHD is a concern. To determine the stability and functionality of iTregs in aGVHD, we have re-examined the possibility that iTregs can be used in the prevention of aGVHD. Surprisingly, we found that iTregs are indeed stable both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Importantly, adoptive transfer of iTregs can markedly prevent the development of

aGVHD in two distinct animal models. We even observed that iTregs we induced can treat established aGVHD.

We further investigated the differences between iTregs generated in this study and those used in previous works. We demonstrated that stimulation with beads coated with anti-CD3 and CD28 antibodies in the presence of IL-2, TGF-β and atRA resulted in an optimal TCR signal that sustains Foxp3 expression on $CD4^+$ T cells. Conversely, iTregs stimulated with plate-blond anti-CD3 had an appropriate level of Foxp3 in early stages of development, but expression of this key transcription factor was decreased and even lost over time. We also found that there is no difference of the DNA methylation level of CpG in CNS2 islands of *Foxp3* gene locus between beads-iTregs and plate-bound-iTreg, strongly suggesting that the different strengths of TCR signals can lead to the different outcomes when iTregs are used in the treatment of aGVHD. Another potential difference is that while others used total $CD4^+$ cells as precursor cells for iTregs differentiation (9), we used naïve $CD4^+$ cells. It is possible that the mixture of memory CD4+ cells in precursor cells will interfere with the iTreg differentiation and adversely affect their stability (46).

Our findings in this study present a new understanding of induced regulatory T cells that can effectively suppress cytokine production and CTL activity against host B cells, may sustain their suppression *via* the induction of host Foxp3+ T cells *in vivo* and prevent the development of experimental acute GVHD. We have defined the protocols which are useful for the induction of iTregs *in vitro* to gain sufficient numbers of iTreg for cellular therapy to aGVHD and autoimmune diseases. iTregs provide the special advantages on clinical setting, however, the protocol to develop iTregs is important. Our study has ruled out that coatedbead pathway is superior to any other methods most currently used for iTreg differentiation and thus have greatly advanced Treg therapy on autoimmune diseases and the prevention of organ transplantation rejection. Further investigations are needed to explore underlying TCR signal mechanisms for the development of iTregs.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by grants from the NIH AR059103, AI084359, the ACR WOR Fund, the Arthritis Foundation, the Wright Foundation, the SFSP (PKJ2009-Y41) and the NSFC (81100270).

Abbreviations used in this article

- 1. Valencia X, Stephens G, Goldbach-Mansky R, Wilson M, Shevach EM, Lipsky PE. TNF downmodulates the function of human CD4+CD25hi T-regulatory cells. Blood. 2006; 108:253–261. [PubMed: 16537805]
- 2. Miyara M, Amoura Z, Parizot C, Badoual C, Dorgham K, Trad S, Nochy D, Debré P, Piette JC, Gorochov G. Global natural regulatory T cell depletion in active systemic lupus erythematosus. J Immunol. 2005; 175:8392–8400. [PubMed: 16339581]
- 3. Sakaguchi S, Sakaguchi N, Asano M, Itoh M, Toda M. Immunologic self-tolerance maintained by activated T cells expressing IL-2 receptor alpha-chains (CD25). Breakdown of a single mechanism of self-tolerance causes various autoimmune diseases. J Immunol. 1995; 155:1151–1164. [PubMed: 7636184]
- 4. Zheng SG, Gray JD, Ohtsuka K, Yamagiwa S, Horwitz DA. Generation ex vivo of TGF-betaproducing regulatory T cells from CD4+CD25− precursors. J Immunol. 2002; 169:4183–4189. [PubMed: 12370347]
- 5. Lan Q, Fan H, Quesniaux V, Ryffel B, Liu Z, Zheng SG. Induced Foxp3(+) regulatory T cells: a potential new weapon to treat autoimmune and inflammatory diseases? J Mol Cell Biol. 2012; 4:22– 28. [PubMed: 22107826]
- 6. Tang Q, Bluestone JA, Kang SM. CD4(+)Foxp3(+) regulatory T cell therapy in transplantation. J Mol Cell Biol. 2012; 4:11–21. Epub 2011 Dec 1014. 10.1093/jmcb/mjr1047 [PubMed: 22170955]
- 7. Tran DQ. TGF-β: the sword, the wand, and the shield of FOXP3(+) regulatory T cells. J Mol Cell Biol. 2012; 4:29–37. [PubMed: 22158907]
- 8. Koenecke C, Czeloth N, Bubke A, Schmitz S, Kissenpfennig A, Malissen B, Huehn J, Ganser A, Forster R, Prinz I. Alloantigen-specific de novo-induced Foxp3+ Treg revert in vivo and do not protect from experimental GVHD. European Journal of Immunology. 2009; 39:3091–3096. [PubMed: 19750478]
- 9. Zhang P, Tey SK, Koyama M, Kuns RD, Olver SD, Lineburg KE, Lor M, Teal BE, Raffelt NC, Raju J, Leveque L, Markey KA, Varelias A, Clouston AD, Lane SW, MacDonald KP, Hill GR. Induced regulatory T cells promote tolerance when stabilized by rapamycin and IL-2 in vivo. Journal of immunology. 2013; 191:5291–5303.
- 10. Wysocki CA, Jiang Q, Panoskaltsis-Mortari A, Taylor PA, McKinnon KP, Su L, Blazar BR, Serody JS. Critical role for CCR5 in the function of donor CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells during acute graft-versus-host disease. Blood. 2005; 106:3300–3307. [PubMed: 16002422]
- 11. Hoffmann P, Boeld TJ, Eder R, Huehn J, Floess S, Wieczorek G, Olek S, Dietmaier W, Andreesen R, Edinger M. Loss of FOXP3 expression in natural human CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells upon repetitive in vitro stimulation. Eur J Immunol. 2009; 39:1088–1097. [PubMed: 19283780]
- 12. Kong N, Lan Q, Chen M, Wang J, Shi W, Horwitz DA, Quesniaux V, Ryffel B, Liu Z, Brand D, Zou H, Zheng SG. Antigen-specific transforming growth factor β-induced Treg cells, but not natural Treg cells, ameliorate autoimmune arthritis in mice by shifting the Th17/Treg cell balance from Th17 predominance to Treg cell predominance. Arthritis Rheum. 2012; 64:2548–2558. [PubMed: 22605463]
- 13. O'Connor RA, Leech MD, Suffner J, Hämmerling GJ, Anderton SM. Myelin-reactive, TGF-βinduced regulatory T cells can be programmed to develop Th1-like effector function but remain less proinflammatory than myelin-reactive Th1 effectors and can suppress pathogenic T cell clonal expansion in vivo. J Immunol. 2010; 185:7235–7243. [PubMed: 21084662]
- 14. Via CSSS, Shearer GM. Role of cytotoxic T lymphocytes in the prevention of lupus-like disease occurring in a murine model of graft-vs-host disease. J Immunol. 1987; 139:1840–1849. [PubMed: 2957440]
- 15. Zhou X, Wang J, Shi W, Brand DD, Liu Z, Fan H, Zheng SG. Isolation of purified and live Foxp3+ regulatory T cells using FACS sorting on scatter plot. J Mol Cell Biol. 2010; 2:164–169. doi:110.1093/jmcb/mjq1007. Epub 2010 Apr 1029. [PubMed: 20430855]
- 16. Zheng SG, Meng L, Wang JH, Watanabe M, Barr ML, Cramer DV, Gray JD, Horwitz DA. Transfer of regulatory T cells generated ex vivo modifies graft rejection through induction of

tolerogenic CD4+CD25+ cells in the recipient. Int Immunol. 2006; 18:279–289. [PubMed: 16415106]

- 17. Puliaev R, Puliaeva I, Welniak LA, Ryan AE, Haas M, Murphy WJ, Via CS. CTL-promoting effects of CD40 stimulation outweigh B cell-stimulatory effects resulting in B cell elimination and disease improvement in a murine model of lupus. J Immunol. 2008; 181:47–61. [PubMed: 18566369]
- 18. Floess S, Freyer J, Siewert C, Baron U, Olek S, Polansky J, Schlawe K, Chang HD, Bopp T, Schmitt E, Klein-Hessling S, Serfling E, Hamann A, Huehn J. Epigenetic control of the foxp3 locus in regulatory T cells. PLoS Biol. 2007; 5:e38. [PubMed: 17298177]
- 19. Soloviova K, Puliaiev M, Foster A, Via CS. The parent-into-F1 murine model in the study of lupus-like autoimmunity and CD8 cytotoxic T lymphocyte function. Methods Mol Biol. 2012; 900:253–270. [PubMed: 22933073]
- 20. Zheng SG, Wang JH, Koss MN, Quismorio F, Gray JD, Horwitz DA. CD4+ and CD8+ regulatory T cells generated ex vivo with IL-2 and TGF-beta suppress a stimulatory graft-versus-host disease with a lupus-like syndrome. J Immunol. 2004; 172:1531–1539. [PubMed: 14734731]
- 21. Waring P, Mullbacher A. Cell death induced by the Fas/Fas ligand pathway and its role in pathology. Immunol Cell Biol. 1999; 77:312–317. [PubMed: 10457197]
- 22. Susanto O, Stewart SE, Voskoboinik I, Brasacchio D, Hagn M, Ellis S, Asquith S, Sedelies KA, Bird PI, Waterhouse NJ, Trapani JA. Mouse granzyme A induces a novel death with writhing morphology that is mechanistically distinct from granzyme B-induced apoptosis. Cell Death Differ. 2013; 20:1183–1193. [PubMed: 23744295]
- 23. Xu L, Kitani A, Fuss I, Strober W. Cutting edge: regulatory T cells induce CD4+CD25−Foxp3− T cells or are self-induced to become Th17 cells in the absence of exogenous TGF-beta. J Immunol. 2007; 178:6725–6729. [PubMed: 17513718]
- 24. Zheng SG, Wang J, Horwitz DA. Cutting edge: Foxp3+CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells induced by IL-2 and TGF-beta are resistant to Th17 conversion by IL-6. J Immunol. 2008; 180:7112–7116. [PubMed: 18490709]
- 25. Komatsu N, Okamoto K, Sawa S, Nakashima T, Oh-Hora M, Kodama T, Tanaka S, Bluestone JA, Takayanagi H. Pathogenic conversion of Foxp3(+) T cells into TH17 cells in autoimmune arthritis. Nat Med. 2013
- 26. Lan Q, Zhou X, Fan H, Chen M, Wang J, Ryffel B, Brand D, Ramalingam R, Kiela PR, Horwitz DA, Liu Z, Zheng SG. Polyclonal CD4+Foxp3+ Treg cells induce TGFβ-dependent tolerogenic dendritic cells that suppress the murine lupus-like syndrome. J Mol Cell Biol. 2012; 4:409–419. [PubMed: 22773728]
- 27. Montero E, Nussbaum G, Kaye JF, Perez R, Lage A, Ben-Nun A, Cohen IR. Regulation of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis by CD4+, CD25+ and CD8+ T cells: analysis using depleting antibodies. J Autoimmun. 2004; 23:1–7. [PubMed: 15236747]
- 28. McHugh RS, Shevach EM. Cutting edge: depletion of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells is necessary, but not sufficient, for induction of organ-specific autoimmune disease. Journal of immunology. 2002; 168:5979–5983.
- 29. Liang Y, Ma S, Zhang Y, Wang Y, Cheng Q, Wu Y, Jin Y, Zheng D, Wu D, Liu H. IL-1beta and TLR4 Signaling Are Involved in the Aggravated Murine Acute Graft-versus-Host Disease Caused by Delayed Bortezomib Administration. Journal of immunology. 2013
- 30. Zheng Y, Josefowicz S, Chaudhry A, Peng XP, Forbush K, Rudensky AY. Role of conserved noncoding DNA elements in the Foxp3 gene in regulatory T-cell fate. Nature. 2010; 463:808–812. [PubMed: 20072126]
- 31. Lu L, Ma J, Li Z, Lan Q, Chen M, Liu Y, Xia Z, Wang J, Han Y, Shi W, Quesniaux V, Ryffel B, Brand D, Li B, Liu Z, Zheng SG. All-trans retinoic acid promotes TGF-β-induced Tregs via histone modification but not DNA demethylation on Foxp3 gene locus. PLoS One. 2011; 6:e24590. [PubMed: 21931768]
- 32. Hippen KL, Merkel SC, Schirm DK, Nelson C, Tennis NC, Riley JL, June CH, Miller JS, Wagner JE, Blazar BR. Generation and large-scale expansion of human inducible regulatory T cells that suppress graft-versus-host disease. Am J Transplant. 2011; 11:1148–1157. [PubMed: 21564534]

- 33. Kong N, Lan Q, Chen M, Wang J, Shi W, Horwitz DA, Quesniaux V, Ryffel B, Liu Z, Brand D, Zou H, Zheng SG. Antigen-specific transforming growth factor β-induced Treg cells, but not natural Treg cells, ameliorate autoimmune arthritis in mice by shifting the Th17/Treg cell balance from Th17 predominance to Treg cell predominance. Arthritis & Rheumatism. 2012; 64:2548– 2558. [PubMed: 22605463]
- 34. Hang L, Blum AM, Setiawan T, Urban JP Jr, Stoyanoff KM, Weinstock JV. Heligmosomoides polygyrus bakeri Infection Activates Colonic Foxp3+ T Cells Enhancing Their Capacity To Prevent Colitis. Journal of immunology. 2013; 191:1927–1934.
- 35. Lu L, Wang J, Zhang F, Chai Y, Brand D, Wang X, Horwitz DA, Shi W, Zheng SG. Role of SMAD and non-SMAD signals in the development of Th17 and regulatory T cells. Journal of immunology. 2010; 184:4295–4306.
- 36. Su W, Fan H, Chen M, Wang J, Brand D, He X, Quesniaux V, Ryffel B, Zhu L, Liang D, Zheng SG. Induced CD4+ forkhead box protein-positive T cells inhibit mast cell function and established contact hypersensitivity through TGF-β1. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2012; 130:444–452. e447. [PubMed: 22738679]
- 37. Silva KL, Melo LM, Perosso J, Oliveira BB, Santos PS, de Eugenio FR, Lima VM. CD95 (FAS) and CD178 (FASL) induce the apoptosis of CD4+ and CD8+ cells isolated from the peripheral blood and spleen of dogs naturally infected with Leishmania spp. Vet Parasitol. 2013; 197:470– 476. [PubMed: 23920055]
- 38. Zheng SG, Wang JH, Gray JD, Soucier H, Horwitz DA. Natural and induced CD4+CD25+ cells educate CD4+CD25− cells to develop suppressive activity: the role of IL-2, TGF-beta, and IL-10. J Immunol. 2004; 172:5213–5221. [PubMed: 15100259]
- 39. Jonuleit H, Schmitt E, Kakirman H, Stassen M, Knop J, Enk AH. Infectious tolerance: human $CD25(+)$ regulatory T cells convey suppressor activity to conventional $CD4(+)$ T helper cells. J Exp Med. 2002; 196:255–260. [PubMed: 12119350]
- 40. Dieckmann D, Bruett CH, Ploettner H, Lutz MB, Schuler G. Human CD4(+)CD25(+) regulatory, contact-dependent T cells induce interleukin 10-producing, contact-independent type 1-like regulatory T cells [corrected]. J Exp Med. 2002; 196:247–253. [PubMed: 12119349]
- 41. Zhou X, Kong N, Wang J, Fan H, Zou H, Horwitz D, Brand D, Liu Z, Zheng SG. Cutting edge: all-trans retinoic acid sustains the stability and function of natural regulatory T cells in an inflammatory milieu. J Immunol. 2010; 185:2675–2679. [PubMed: 20679534]
- 42. Lu L, Wang J, Zhang F, Chai Y, Brand D, Wang X, Horwitz DA, Shi W, Zheng SG. Role of SMAD and non-SMAD signals in the development of Th17 and regulatory T cells. J Immunol. 2010; 184:4295–4306. [PubMed: 20304828]
- 43. Wan YY, Flavell RA. Regulatory T-cell functions are subverted and converted owing to attenuated Foxp3 expression. Nature. 2007; 445:766–770. [PubMed: 17220876]
- 44. Tsuji M, Komatsu N, Kawamoto S, Suzuki K, Kanagawa O, Honjo T, Hori S, Fagarasan S. Preferential generation of follicular B helper T cells from Foxp3+ T cells in gut Peyer's patches. Science. 2009; 323:1488–1492. [PubMed: 19286559]
- 45. Huter EN, Stummvoll GH, DiPaolo RJ, Glass DD, Shevach EM. Cutting edge: antigen-specific TGF beta-induced regulatory T cells suppress Th17-mediated autoimmune disease. J Immunol. 2008; 181:8209–8213. [PubMed: 19050237]
- 46. Hill JA, Hall JA, Sun CM, Cai Q, Ghyselinck N, Chambon P, Belkaid Y, Mathis D, Benoist C. Retinoic acid enhances Foxp3 induction indirectly by relieving inhibition from CD4+CD44hi Cells. Immunity. 2008; 29:758–770. [PubMed: 19006694]

FIGURE 1.

Infusion of iTregs markedly suppressed the engraftment of donor cells in model one of acute GVHD. Acute (B6-to-F1) GVHD was induced as described in Material and Methods. After two weeks, mice were sacrificed and donor and host splenic lymphocyte subsets were analyzed by flow cytometry. Splenocytes were stained with $H2-K^d$ and $H2-K^b$ to separate the donor cells from host cells. (**A**) Representative plots for donor and host cells after 14 days. Relative engraftment (**B**) and absolute numbers (**C**) of donor cells are shown for each group. Data are shown as mean \pm SEM from 5 independent experiments. Each group in one individual experiment includes $3-4$ mice (total n=15–20). *** $p < 0.001$.

Gu et al. Page 15

FIGURE 2.

Infusion of iTregs prevented the expansion of donor CD4 and CD8 cells and the reduction of host cells in model one of acute GVHD. iTreg and nTreg from B6 mice were transferred in acute GVHD phenotype in B6-to-F1. Acute GVHD was induced and mice assessed at 14 days after donor cells transfer as described in Figure 1. (**A**) Representative plots for donor and host CD4, CD8 and CD19 cells. (**B**) The frequency of engrafted donor CD4 and CD8 cells and host B cells is shown as total number. Data are from 5 independent experiments. ****P*<0.001. (**C**) 50×10⁶ B6 spleens cells labeled with CFSE were intravenously injected to B6D2F1 mice. In some groups, 5×10^6 iTregs or nTregs were co-transferred. On day 3, 5 and 9 post transfer, mice were sacrificed and CD4+CFSE+ and CD8+CFSE+ cells in each group were calculated. Data are shown as mean ± SEM from 2 independent experiments. Each group includes 8 mice. *** $p \le 0.001$.

Gu et al. Page 16

FIGURE 3.

iTregs suppressed cell apoptosis mediated by donor CD8+ cells in aGVHD. (**A**) 14 days after cell transfer, Fas expression in host B cells and FasL expression in donor T cells from spleens were examined by flow cytometry. (**B**) Expression of Granzyme A and Granzyme B by donor CD8 T cells from spleen were examined by flow cytometry. Data are shown as mean ± SEM from 5 separate experiments. **P<0.01;***P<0.001. (**C**) aGVHD induction for each group was described as previously. At day 7 after donor cell transfer, mice were injected i.v. with a 1:1 ratio of CFSE^{low} DBA/2 targets and CFSE^{high} B6 targets as described in Material and Methods. After 5 hours, the percentage of surviving B6 and DBA/2 targets was determined by flow cytometry. Data are representative histograms from each group of gated CFSElow/hi target cells and their relative percentages (**D**) The percentage of anti-host killing relative to parental cells was determined as described in Materials and Methods, and the actual numbers of surviving targets from DBA/2 mice or B6 mice were calculated by cell count for CFSE^{low} and CFSE^{hi} cells. Data are representative or summary of 4 independent experiments. Each group in one experiment includes 3 mice (total n=12). $* p < 0.05$; $** p < 0.01$; $*** p < 0.001$.

Gu et al. Page 17

FIGURE 4.

iTregs are stable in aGVHD *in vivo*. CD4⁺CD25⁺ nTregs were sorted from the thymus of B6 mice and expanded *in vitro* with coated beads and IL-2 for 5 days. iTregs were induced as above and CD25+ cells were sorted by FACS. 2×10^6 nTregs and iTregs from B6 were stained with CFSE and then adoptively transferred to B6-to-F1 aGVHD model. At day 1, 7 and 14, mice were sacrificed and splenocytes were examined by flow cytometry. (**A**) Representative contour plots of CFSE+Foxp3+ cells in splenocytes at each time point for iTregs and nTregs after transplantation. (B) Absolute and relative number of $CD4+F\exp 3+T$ cells after transplantation. (**C**) Representative contour plots of CFSE+Foxp3+ cells in LN at each time point for iTregs and nTregs after cell transfer. (**D**) Absolute and relative numbers of CD4+Foxp3+ T cells after cell transfer. Data are shown as mean ± SEM from 3 independent experiments. Each group in one experiment includes 4 mice (total n=12).

FIGURE 5.

Infusion of iTreg from B6 mice inhibited cytokine expression of aGVHD and increased the expression of Foxp3 in host cells. (**A**) Expression of cytokines, including IL-17, IL-4, IFN-γ, IL-6, IL-10, TNFα on Donor CD8+ cells were determined by flow cytometry. Bars show the mean ±SEM of 5 mice per group from 1 of 2 independent experiments.* <0.05,** <0.01, ***<0.001, for this figure and all subsequent figures. (**B**) Induction of aGVHD was as described previously, iTregs were stained with CFSE before transfer, representative contour plots gated on CFSE− cells of CD4+Foxp3**+** expression were determined by flow cytometry. (**C**) CD4+CFSE− Foxp3+ cells are shown as total number in CD4 T cells. Data are from 3 independent experiments. Each group in one experiment includes 4 mice (total n=12). * *p* <0.05; ** *p* <0.01; *** *p* <0.001.

FIGURE 6.

Foxp3+ Treg function is important for the protection of aGVHD. Host mice were injected intraperitoneally (IP) with PC61 (anti-CD25 monoclonal antibody; 250g/mouse) or control IgG while cells were transferred. The induction of aGVHD and iTreg was as described previously. After 14 days, mice were sacrificed and donor cells and host cells were enumerated by flow cytometry. (**A**) Representative plots for donor and host cells 14 days after cells transfer. (**B**) Engraftment of donor CD8 cells and host B cells were shown as total numbers. (**C**) Relative and absolute numbers of engraftment for donor cells were shown in each group. Data are shown as mean \pm SEM from 2 independent experiments. Each group in one experiment includes 4 mice (total n=8). ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$.

FIGURE 7.

iTregs reduced the death and prevented the weight losing in B6- to-BALB/c aGVHD. Irradiated BALB/c mice were transplanted with T cell-depleted BM cells and CD3+ splenic T cells alone (BM only) or with/without 1×10^6 CD4⁺Foxp3⁺ iTregs or nTregs from B6 mice. (**A**) Mice survival while Treg subsets were transferred on day 0. (**B**) Mice weight while Treg subsets were transferred on day 0. (**C**) Histological examination in indicated organs at day 21 post cell transfer. Data are the mean \pm SEM of three mice in each group. (**D** and **E**) Mice survival and weight while Treg subsets were transferred on day 7 after spleen cells transfer. (**F**) Mice survival while Treg subsets were transferred on day 10 after spleen cells transfer. Data are shown as the mean \pm SEM and the cumulative results from four independent experiments (total n=8 in each group). * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$.

FIGURE 8.

iTregs induced with coating-beads showed greater stability *in vitro* and superior efficacy effect *in vivo* than iTregs induced with plate-bound stimulation. iTregs were cultured from naïve CD4+ CD62+ CD25− T cells with anti-CD3/CD28 beads (1:5), IL-2 (50 IU/ml), TGF $β$ (5 ng/ml) and atRA (5 nM) for 3–13 days. For control iTregs, naïve CD4⁺ CD62⁺ CD25[–] T cells were cultured in the plate that had been coated with anti-CD3 (10 mg/ml) and anti-CD28 (1 mg/ml) antibodies with IL-2 (50 IU/ml), TGF-β (5 ng/ml) and atRA (5 nM) for 3– 13 days. Induction of aGVHDs was described as previously. iTregs produced by two methods were derived from B6 mice. Data *in vivo* were representative and summary of three independent experiments, each with at least 8 recipients in each group. (**A**) Representative plots gated on CD4+ cells for CD25+Foxp3+ expression of beads-iTreg and plate-boundiTreg on days indicated *in vitro*. (**B**) The percentages of CD25+Foxp3+ cells and the absolute numbers at each time points. Data were mean± SEM of 5 independent experiments. ** p <0.01; *** p <0.001. (C) TSDR methylations were analyzed by bisulphite sequencing of genomic DNA isolated from ex vivo–Beads iTreg and plate bound iTreg from Foxp3 GFP mice. Percentages indicate average methylation within the TSDR. Data were mean± SEM of 5 independent experiments. (**D**) Both Treg subsets and B6 spleen cells were cotransferred to BALB/c mice, mice survival and weight were monitored (total n=12 in each group). ** $p < 0.01$.