Submit a Manuscript: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/ Help Desk: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i44.16615 World J Gastroenterol 2014 November 28; 20(44): 16615-16619 ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online) © 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

TOPIC HIGHLIGHT

WJG 20th Anniversary Special Issues (20): Gastrointestinal surgery

Evidence or eminence in abdominal surgery: Recent improvements in perioperative care

Josefin Segelman, Jonas Nygren

Josefin Segelman, Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Karolinska Institutet, 11691 Stockholm, Sweden

Josefin Segelman, Department of Surgery, Ersta Hospital, 11691 Stockholm, Sweden

Jonas Nygren, Department of Clinical Sciences, Danderyds Hospital, Karolinska Institutet and Department of Surgery, Ersta Hospital, 11691 Stockholm, Sweden

Author contributions: Segelman J and Nygren J contributed equally to the manuscript.

Correspondence to: Jonas Nygren, MD, PhD, Department of Clinical Sciences, Danderyds Hospital, Karolinska Institutet and Department of Surgery, Ersta Hospital, Box 4622, 11691 Stock-

holm, Sweden. jonas.nygren@erstadiakoni.se Telephone: +46-871-46542 Fax: +46-856-634611 Received: June 17, 2014 Revised: July 23, 2014

Accepted: September 18, 2014 Published online: November 28, 2014

Abstract

Repeated surveys from Europe, the United States, Australia, and New Zealand have shown that adherence to an evidence-based perioperative care protocol, such as Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS), has been generally low. It is of great importance to support the implementation of the ERAS protocol as it has been shown to improve outcomes after a number of surgical procedures, including major abdominal surgery. However, despite an increasing awareness of the importance of structured perioperative management, the implementation of this complex protocol has been slow. Barriers to implementation involve both patient- and staff-related factors as well as practice-related issues and resources. To support efficient and successful implementation, further educational and structural measures have to be made on a national or regional level to improve the standard of general health care. Besides postoperative morbidity, biological and physiological variables have been quite commonly reported in previous ERAS studies. Little information, however, has been obtained on cost-effectiveness, long-term outcomes, quality of life and patient-related outcomes, and these issues remain important areas of research for future studies.

© 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Key words: Enhanced recovery; Surgery; Fast track; Perioperative management; Postoperative outcome

Core tip: There is a strong and evolving evidence base to support Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) programs in abdominal surgery. Such pathways are safe and efficient in enhancing recovery and reducing morbidity. However, patient-related outcomes, cost effectiveness and long-term benefits from ERAS protocols need to be studied more carefully in the future. To support efficient and successful implementation, further educational efforts have to be performed on a national or regional level to improve the standard of care in the general population.

Segelman J, Nygren J. Evidence or eminence in abdominal surgery: Recent improvements in perioperative care. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; 20(44): 16615-16619 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v20/i44/16615.htm DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v20.i44.16615

INTRODUCTION

16615

Traditional perioperative care is heterogeneous and often based on regional and local traditions or even individual preferences of the surgeon, anesthesiologist or other staff. Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) is an evidence-based, structured, multi-modal program for optimal perioperative care, initially described and developed by Professor Henrik Kehlet, Copenhagen, Denmark, for patients undergoing colonic surgery^[1]. The ERAS recom-



Table 1 Enhanced recovery after surgery elements

Preoperative	Pre-admission counseling Stopping smoking and alcohol abuse Optimize nutrition and glucose control
	No oral bowel preparation
Intra-operative	Preoperative carbohydrate loading
	Avoiding sedative premedication
	Thromboembolism and antimicrobial prophylaxis
	Epidural or other regional anesthesia
	Balanced fluid therapy avoiding overhydration
	Active warming
	Minimally invasive surgery
	PONV prophylaxis
	No abdominal drains or nasogastric drains
Postoperative	Multimodal analgesia to avoid opioids
	Early removal of urinary catheter
	Early oral feeding and intense mobilization
	No intravenous infusions
	Support of GI function (laxatives/prokinetics)
	Nutritional supplements
	Audit

PONV: Postoperative nausea and vomiting; GI: Gastrointestinal.

mendations cover multiple aspects of the pre-, peri- and postoperative periods, with the aim of reducing surgical stress, maintaining physiological functional capacity, and facilitating postoperative recovery^[2-4]. ERAS is a dynamic concept according to the best available evidence. The main items are: preadmission counseling, no bowel preparation, preoperative carbohydrate loading to avoid preoperative fasting and dehydration, balanced perioperative fluid management, multimodal analgesia avoiding opioids using epidural or other regional anesthesia, minimally invasive surgery, no abdominal or nasogastric drains and early removal of urinary catheter, early oral feeding, intense mobilization and support of gastrointestinal function (Table 1).

ADVANTAGES OF THE ERAS CONCEPT

That the ERAS program, compared with traditional perioperative management, results in enhanced recovery, shorter hospital stays, and reduced postoperative morbidity has been convincingly shown in repeated randomized controlled trials and meta-analyses^[5,6].

Patient-related symptoms^[7,8], quality of life^[9] and cost-effectiveness^[10] have been less commonly reported but are likely to be improved by ERAS when compared with traditional care. Laparoscopic and minimally invasive procedures will further improve outcomes compared with open surgery in ERAS pathways^[11], although remarkably early recovery can also be obtained after open abdominal surgery^[12]. Limited data are available on post-discharge and late postoperative outcomes. Studying the process of implementation will provide valuable information on the importance of individual items on outcomes from surgery, and issues related to ERAS implementation and evidence-based perioperative medicine on a broader basis in general health care. Structured implementation of ERAS

in the Breakthrough project, which included a third of all hospitals in the Netherlands, was reported to be successful and resulted in an improved standard of care and a 3-d reduction in length of stay^[13]. National incentives, such as in the Netherlands^[13] and the NHS Enhanced Recovery partnership program in England^[14] to support the implementation of ERAS on a national basis, are imperative to obtain a major improvement in general health care.

ADOPTION OF ERAS BY OTHER SURGICAL DISCIPLINES

The convincing data from colorectal surgery has encouraged an accelerating spread of the ERAS concept to other surgical disciplines. Published guidelines from the ERAS Society cover recommendations for perioperative care, not only for colorectal surgery^[3,4], but also pancreaticoduodenectomy^[15] and radical cystectomy for bladder cancer^[16]. In addition, enhanced recovery protocols have safely and successfully been implemented for other major elective abdominal procedures such as liver resections^[17], esophagectomy^[18,19], gastrectomy^[20], bariatric surgery^[21], hysterectomy^[22], and emergency surgery^[23,24].

PATIENT PERSPECTIVE

A recent systematic review studied how recovery outcomes were reported when comparing fast track pathways with traditional care^[25]. The studies focused on in-hospital biological and physiological variables such as the return of gastrointestinal function and postoperative complications. In contrast, patient-reported symptoms, functional status, and quality of life were less commonly studied, in particular post-discharge. Nevertheless, when patient satisfaction and quality of life were reported in randomized trials, fast track programs were either superior or equal to traditional care, but never inferior [26-33]. The use of heterogeneous measures, however, hinders comparisons across studies. Recently, the SF-36 was also validated as a measure of postoperative recovery after colorectal surgery [34]. The need for better outcome measures, including the patient's experiences (i.e., core outcome sets or composite outcomes), has been emphasized[8,35].

ADHERENCE TO THE ERAS PROTOCOL

Despite increasing awareness of the importance of structured perioperative management, implementation of this complex protocol has been slow^[36]. Several large surveys have been performed to study the adoption of the concept in different countries. The surveys report a wide variation in adherence to fast track protocols, and methods that are harmful for the patient and prolong postoperative recovery are still commonly used. Nevertheless, a somewhat higher acceptance of evidence-based methods seems to be reported in questionnaires concerning the surgeon's preferences than in surveys based on the actual registration of clinical parameters and ERAS items. One

Table 2 Key points in this paper

Key points

Traditional unstructured perioperative care is still common

The ERAS protocol is an evidence-based structured perioperative regime The ERAS program improves postoperative recovery and reduces morbidity

More research is needed on cost-effectiveness, long-term outcomes, quality of life, and patient-related outcomes

Regional and national strategies to support the implementation of evidence-based perioperative care in general health care are warranted

could speculate that questionnaire surveys may reflect what physicians believe should be done rather than what they actually would do in clinical practice. In two previous surveys among surgeons and anesthesiologists in five countries in Europe [37,38], prevailing routines for colonic surgery deviated considerably from the best available evidence, with a wide variation between countries. In another survey on colonic surgery, conducted in 295 hospitals in the United States and Europe (United Kingdom, France, Germany, Italy and Spain), most centers still adhered to traditional perioperative care^[39]. Bowel cleansing methods, for example, were used in > 85% of cases and nasogastric tubes were retained for several days postoperatively in 40% vs 66% of the patients in the United States and Europe, respectively. Traditional perioperative care was reflected in the postoperative length of stay; over 10 d in the European countries and 7 d in the United States. This could be compared with discharge from hospital 2-5 d after colonic surgery, reported from trials performed in dedicated centers with a successful implementation of $fast\ track\ programs^{[40,41]}.$

Similar to colonic surgery, traditional approaches in perioperative care were common for rectal surgery in a large survey covering 461 institutions in Germany and Austria from 2006^[42]. In a more recent survey among colorectal surgeons in Great Britain and Ireland published in 2008, it was concluded that routine adherence to ERAS was relatively high, indicating a general trend among colorectal surgeons to comply with ERAS interventions. There remained, however, a potential for improvement^[43]. In a survey from 2011 in New Zealand and Australia, some, but not all, ERAS interventions were routinely used according to a questionnaire recently distributed to colorectal surgeons^[44].

All members of the health care/multidisciplinary team must be included in the repeated educational efforts necessary for successful implementation of the ERAS concept^[45]. A recent survey among senior anesthesiologists from mainly European countries showed a low level of knowledge about ERAS pathways^[46]. Current routines differed from the ERAS guidelines in > 50% of the centers concerning fluid infusion policy, fasting, postoperative opioids, premedication, and the use of prokinetics.

BARRIERS TO IMPLEMENTATION

Today, it is still the case that the change in practice from

a more traditional approach to evidence-based perioperative care appears to be slow^[40]. On its own, a protocol is not sufficient to introduce necessary fast track recovery routines [36]. Some studies have, therefore, explored possible barriers to ERAS protocol compliance. A qualitative interview-based study identified four key areas important for the implementation process: patient-related factors, staffrelated factors, practice-related issues, and resources [47]. This highlights the need for multidisciplinary efforts to reach a high level of compliance and the involvement of hospital management. In a questionnaire survey from Toronto, surgical residents reported some barriers to the early discharge of patients, which included patient and family expectations, surgeon preferences, and the beliefs of the health care team [48]. Other reported issues were that some ERAS items may seem to be too time consuming, and there was a lack of co-specialty and institutional support^[49].

ADHERENCE AND OUTCOME

At our own institution, the ERAS program has been chosen for all patients undergoing colorectal surgery since 2002. In 2004-2005, a second round of educational efforts was made, as were other measures to enforce the process of implementation [50]. Thus, as published by Gustafsson *et al* 50] compliance with the ERAS protocol at our institution improved from 43% to 71%. Interestingly, in this cohort of 953 patients undergoing major colorectal cancer surgery, improved adherence to the ERAS protocol was significantly associated with improved clinical outcomes [50].

In addition to patient perspective and physiological outcomes, evaluation of the possible economic advantages of enhanced recovery pathways is warranted. A cost reduction from the decrease in morbidity and hospital length of stay may promote the implementation of fast-track programs and increase adherence to the protocol. Available data are sparse, but do support the cost-effectiveness of fast-track programs^[51,52].

CONCLUSION

Key points in this paper are summarized in Table 2. There is a strong and evolving evidence base to support ERAS programs in abdominal surgery. Such pathways are safe and efficient in enhancing recovery and reducing morbidity. The implementation of ERAS pathways in new surgical procedures needs to be audited and carefully evaluated in clinical studies since the evidence base for different ERAS items may vary depending on the selected surgical procedure. To support efficient and successful implementation, further educational efforts have to be performed on a national or regional level to improve the standard of care in the general population. Patient-related outcomes, cost effectiveness and long-term benefits from ERAS protocols need to be studied more carefully in the future.

REFERENCES

Møiniche S, Bülow S, Hesselfeldt P, Hestbaek A, Kehlet H.



- Convalescence and hospital stay after colonic surgery with balanced analgesia, early oral feeding, and enforced mobilisation. *Eur J Surg* 1995; **161**: 283-288 [PMID: 0007612772]
- 2 Kehlet H. Multimodal approach to control postoperative pathophysiology and rehabilitation. Br J Anaesth 1997; 78: 606-617 [PMID: 0009175983]
- 3 Gustafsson UO, Scott MJ, Schwenk W, Demartines N, Roulin D, Francis N, McNaught CE, Macfie J, Liberman AS, Soop M, Hill A, Kennedy RH, Lobo DN, Fearon K, Ljungqvist O. Guidelines for perioperative care in elective colonic surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS(®)) Society recommendations. World J Surg 2013; 37: 259-284 [PMID: 23052794 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-012-1772-0]
- 4 Nygren J, Thacker J, Carli F, Fearon KC, Norderval S, Lobo DN, Ljungqvist O, Soop M, Ramirez J. Guidelines for perioperative care in elective rectal/pelvic surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS(®)) Society recommendations. World J Surg 2013; 37: 285-305 [PMID: 23052796 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-012-1787-6]
- 5 Spanjersberg WR, Reurings J, Keus F, van Laarhoven CJ. Fast track surgery versus conventional recovery strategies for colorectal surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2011; (2): CD007635 [PMID: 21328298 DOI: 10.1002/14651858. CD007635.pub2]
- 6 Varadhan KK, Neal KR, Dejong CH, Fearon KC, Ljungqvist O, Lobo DN. The enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) pathway for patients undergoing major elective open colorectal surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Clin Nutr 2010; 29: 434-440 [PMID: 20116145 DOI: S0261-5614(10)00009-9]
- Williamson P, Altman D, Blazeby J, Clarke M, Gargon E. Driving up the quality and relevance of research through the use of agreed core outcomes. *J Health Serv Res Policy* 2012; 17: 1-2 [PMID: 22294719 DOI: 10.1258/jhsrp.2011.011131]
- Williamson PR, Altman DG, Blazeby JM, Clarke M, Devane D, Gargon E, Tugwell P. Developing core outcome sets for clinical trials: issues to consider. *Trials* 2012; 13: 132 [PMID: 22867278 DOI: 10.1186/1745-6215-13-132]
- 9 Khan S, Wilson T, Ahmed J, Owais A, MacFie J. Quality of life and patient satisfaction with enhanced recovery protocols. *Colorectal Dis* 2010; 12: 1175-1182 [PMID: 19594603 DOI: 10.1111/j.1463-1318.2009.01997.x]
- 10 Lemanu DP, Singh PP, Stowers MD, Hill AG. A systematic review to assess cost effectiveness of enhanced recovery after surgery programmes in colorectal surgery. *Colorectal Dis* 2014; 16: 338-346 [PMID: 24283942 DOI: 10.1111/codi.12505]
- 11 Rockall TA, Demartines N. Laparoscopy in the era of enhanced recovery. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol 2014; 28: 133-142 [PMID: 24485261 DOI: 10.1016/j.bpg.2013.11.001]
- Basse L, Jakobsen DH, Bardram L, Billesbølle P, Lund C, Mogensen T, Rosenberg J, Kehlet H. Functional recovery after open versus laparoscopic colonic resection: a randomized, blinded study. *Ann Surg* 2005; 241: 416-423 [PMID: 15729063]
- 13 Gillissen F, Hoff C, Maessen JM, Winkens B, Teeuwen JH, von Meyenfeldt MF, Dejong CH. Structured synchronous implementation of an enhanced recovery program in elective colonic surgery in 33 hospitals in The Netherlands. World J Surg 2013; 37: 1082-1093 [PMID: 23392451 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-013-1938-4]
- 14 Knott A, Pathak S, McGrath JS, Kennedy R, Horgan A, Mythen M, Carter F, Francis NK. Consensus views on implementation and measurement of enhanced recovery after surgery in England: Delphi study. BMJ Open 2012; 2: [PMID: 23242242 DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001878]
- 15 Lassen K, Coolsen MM, Slim K, Carli F, de Aguilar-Nascimento JE, Schäfer M, Parks RW, Fearon KC, Lobo DN, Demartines N, Braga M, Ljungqvist O, Dejong CH. Guidelines for perioperative care for pancreaticoduodenectomy: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS®) Society recom-

- mendations. World J Surg 2013; **37**: 240-258 [PMID: 22956014 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-012-1771-1]
- 16 Cerantola Y, Valerio M, Persson B, Jichlinski P, Ljungqvist O, Hubner M, Kassouf W, Muller S, Baldini G, Carli F, Naesheimh T, Ytrebo L, Revhaug A, Lassen K, Knutsen T, Aarsether E, Wiklund P, Patel HR. Guidelines for perioperative care after radical cystectomy for bladder cancer: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS(®)) society recommendations. Clin Nutr 2013; 32: 879-887 [PMID: 24189391 DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2013.09.014]
- 17 Coolsen MM, Wong-Lun-Hing EM, van Dam RM, van der Wilt AA, Slim K, Lassen K, Dejong CH. A systematic review of outcomes in patients undergoing liver surgery in an enhanced recovery after surgery pathways. HPB (Oxford) 2013; 15: 245-251 [PMID: 23458424 DOI: 10.1111/j.1477-2574.2012.00572.x]
- Blom RL, van Heijl M, Bemelman WA, Hollmann MW, Klinkenbijl JH, Busch OR, van Berge Henegouwen MI. Initial experiences of an enhanced recovery protocol in esophageal surgery. World J Surg 2013; 37: 2372-2378 [PMID: 23807122 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-013-2135-1]
- 19 Markar SR, Karthikesalingam A, Low DE. Enhanced recovery pathways lead to an improvement in postoperative outcomes following esophagectomy: systematic review and pooled analysis. *Dis Esophagus* 2014; Epub ahead of print [PMID: 24697876 DOI: 10.1111/dote.12214]
- 20 Chen ZX, Liu AH, Cen Y. Fast-track program vs traditional care in surgery for gastric cancer. World J Gastroenterol 2014; 20: 578-583 [PMID: 24574728 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i2.578]
- 21 Awad S, Carter S, Purkayastha S, Hakky S, Moorthy K, Cousins J, Ahmed AR. Enhanced recovery after bariatric surgery (ERABS): clinical outcomes from a tertiary referral bariatric centre. *Obes Surg* 2014; 24: 753-758 [PMID: 24357126 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-013-1151-4]
- Wijk L, Franzen K, Ljungqvist O, Nilsson K. Implementing a structured Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) protocol reduces length of stay after abdominal hysterectomy. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2014; 93: 749-756 [PMID: 24828471 DOI: 10.1111/aogs.12423]
- 23 Le Guen M, Fessler J, Fischler M. Early oral feeding after emergency abdominal operations: another paradigm to be broken? Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2014; 17: 477-482 [PMID: 24905861 DOI: 10.1097/MCO.00000000000000076]
- 24 Roulin D, Blanc C, Muradbegovic M, Hahnloser D, Demartines N, Hübner M. Enhanced recovery pathway for urgent colectomy. World J Surg 2014; 38: 2153-2159 [PMID: 24668455 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-014-2518-y]
- Neville A, Lee L, Antonescu I, Mayo NE, Vassiliou MC, Fried GM, Feldman LS. Systematic review of outcomes used to evaluate enhanced recovery after surgery. *Br J Surg* 2014; 101: 159-170 [PMID: 24469616 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.9324]
- 26 Delaney CP, Zutshi M, Senagore AJ, Remzi FH, Hammel J, Fazio VW. Prospective, randomized, controlled trial between a pathway of controlled rehabilitation with early ambulation and diet and traditional postoperative care after laparotomy and intestinal resection. Dis Colon Rectum 2003; 46: 851-859 [PMID: 12847356]
- 27 Jones C, Kelliher L, Dickinson M, Riga A, Worthington T, Scott MJ, Vandrevala T, Fry CH, Karanjia N, Quiney N. Randomized clinical trial on enhanced recovery versus standard care following open liver resection. *Br J Surg* 2013; 100: 1015-1024 [PMID: 23696477 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.9165]
- 28 Kim JW, Kim WS, Cheong JH, Hyung WJ, Choi SH, Noh SH. Safety and efficacy of fast-track surgery in laparoscopic distal gastrectomy for gastric cancer: a randomized clinical trial. World J Surg 2012; 36: 2879-2887 [PMID: 22941233 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-012-1741-7]
- 29 Lemanu DP, Singh PP, Berridge K, Burr M, Birch C, Babor R, MacCormick AD, Arroll B, Hill AG. Randomized clinical trial of enhanced recovery versus standard care after laparo-



- scopic sleeve gastrectomy. *Br J Surg* 2013; **100**: 482-489 [PMID: 23339040 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.9026]
- 30 Ni CY, Yang Y, Chang YQ, Cai H, Xu B, Yang F, Lau WY, Wang ZH, Zhou WP. Fast-track surgery improves postoperative recovery in patients undergoing partial hepatectomy for primary liver cancer: A prospective randomized controlled trial. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2013; 39: 542-547 [PMID: 23562361 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejso.2013.03.013]
- 31 **Recart A**, Duchene D, White PF, Thomas T, Johnson DB, Cadeddu JA. Efficacy and safety of fast-track recovery strategy for patients undergoing laparoscopic nephrectomy. *J Endourol* 2005; **19**: 1165-1169 [PMID: 16359206 DOI: 10.1089/end.2005.19.1165]
- 32 **Wang D**, Kong Y, Zhong B, Zhou X, Zhou Y. Fast-track surgery improves postoperative recovery in patients with gastric cancer: a randomized comparison with conventional postoperative care. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2010; **14**: 620-627 [PMID: 20108171 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-009-1139-5]
- 33 **Vlug MS**, Wind J, Hollmann MW, Ubbink DT, Cense HA, Engel AF, Gerhards MF, van Wagensveld BA, van der Zaag ES, van Geloven AA, Sprangers MA, Cuesta MA, Bemelman WA. Laparoscopy in combination with fast track multimodal management is the best perioperative strategy in patients undergoing colonic surgery: a randomized clinical trial (LAFA-study). *Ann Surg* 2011; **254**: 868-875 [PMID: 21597360 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e31821fd1ce]
- 34 **Antonescu I**, Carli F, Mayo NE, Feldman LS. Validation of the SF-36 as a measure of postoperative recovery after colorectal surgery. *Surg Endosc* 2014; **28**: 3168-3178 [PMID: 24879142 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-014-3577-8]
- 35 **Blazeby JM**. Systematic review of outcomes used to evaluate enhanced recovery after surgery (Br J Surg 2014; 101: 159-170). *Br J Surg* 2014; **101**: 171 [PMID: 24469617 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.9378]
- 36 Maessen J, Dejong CH, Hausel J, Nygren J, Lassen K, Andersen J, Kessels AG, Revhaug A, Kehlet H, Ljungqvist O, Fearon KC, von Meyenfeldt MF. A protocol is not enough to implement an enhanced recovery programme for colorectal resection. *Br J Surg* 2007; 94: 224-231 [PMID: 17205493 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.5468]
- 37 Hannemann P, Lassen K, Hausel J, Nimmo S, Ljungqvist O, Nygren J, Soop M, Fearon K, Andersen J, Revhaug A, von Meyenfeldt MF, Dejong CH, Spies C. Patterns in current anaesthesiological peri-operative practice for colonic resections: a survey in five northern-European countries. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2006; 50: 1152-1160 [PMID: 16939479]
- 38 Lassen K, Hannemann P, Ljungqvist O, Fearon K, Dejong CH, von Meyenfeldt MF, Hausel J, Nygren J, Andersen J, Revhaug A. Patterns in current perioperative practice: survey of colorectal surgeons in five northern European countries. BMJ 2005; 330: 1420-1421 [PMID: 15911535]
- 39 Kehlet H, Büchler MW, Beart RW, Billingham RP, Williamson R. Care after colonic operation--is it evidence-based? Results from a multinational survey in Europe and the United States. J Am Coll Surg 2006; 202: 45-54 [PMID: 16377496]
- 40 Kehlet H, Wilmore DW. Evidence-based surgical care and the evolution of fast-track surgery. Ann Surg 2008; 248:

- 189-198 [PMID: 18650627]
- 41 **Levy BF**, Scott MJ, Fawcett W, Fry C, Rockall TA. Randomized clinical trial of epidural, spinal or patient-controlled analgesia for patients undergoing laparoscopic colorectal surgery. *Br J Surg* 2011; **98**: 1068-1078 [PMID: 21590762]
- 42 Hasenberg T, Längle F, Reibenwein B, Schindler K, Post S, Spies C, Schwenk W, Shang E. Current perioperative practice in rectal surgery in Austria and Germany. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2010; 25: 855-863 [PMID: 20174809 DOI: 10.1007/s00384-010-0900-2]
- 43 Arsalani-Zadeh R, Ullah S, Khan S, Macfie J. Current pattern of perioperative practice in elective colorectal surgery; a questionnaire survey of ACPGBI members. *Int J Surg* 2010; 8: 294-298 [PMID: 20227534]
- 44 Kahokehr A, Robertson P, Sammour T, Soop M, Hill AG. Perioperative care: a survey of New Zealand and Australian colorectal surgeons. *Colorectal Dis* 2011; 13: 1308-1313 [PMID: 20958906 DOI: 10.1111/j.1463-1318.2010.02453.x]
- 45 Shida D, Tagawa K, Takahashi H, Suzuki T, Inoue S. [Change of surgeons' opinion against anesthesiologists after introduction of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocols: questionnaire survey among surgeons who participated ERAS care]. Masui 2011; 60: 1411-1415 [PMID: 22256587]
- 46 Greco M, Gemma M, Braga M, Corti D, Pecorelli N, Capretti G, Beretta L. Enhanced recovery after surgery: a survey among anaesthesiologists from 27 countries. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2014; 31: 287-288 [PMID: 24335412 DOI: 10.1097/EJA.0000000000000034]
- 47 Lyon A, Solomon MJ, Harrison JD. A qualitative study assessing the barriers to implementation of enhanced recovery after surgery. World J Surg 2014; 38: 1374-1380 [PMID: 24385194 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-013-2441-7]
- 48 Nadler A, Pearsall EA, Victor JC, Aarts MA, Okrainec A, McLeod RS. Understanding surgical residents' postoperative practices and barriers and enablers to the implementation of an Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Guideline. J Surg Educ 2014; 71: 632-638 [PMID: 24810857 DOI: 10.1016/j.jsurg.2014.01.014]
- 49 Kahokehr AA, Thompson L, Thompson M, Soop M, Hill AG. Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) workshop: effect on attitudes of the perioperative care team. *J Perioper Pract* 2012; 22: 237-241 [PMID: 22919774]
- 50 Gustafsson UO, Hausel J, Thorell A, Ljungqvist O, Soop M, Nygren J. Adherence to the enhanced recovery after surgery protocol and outcomes after colorectal cancer surgery. *Arch Surg* 2011; 146: 571-577 [PMID: 21242424 DOI: 10.1001/arch-surg.2010.309]
- 51 Lee L, Li C, Landry T, Latimer E, Carli F, Fried GM, Feldman LS. A systematic review of economic evaluations of enhanced recovery pathways for colorectal surgery. *Ann Surg* 2014; 259: 670-676 [PMID: 23673770 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e318295fef8]
- 52 **Roulin D**, Donadini A, Gander S, Griesser AC, Blanc C, Hübner M, Schäfer M, Demartines N. Cost-effectiveness of the implementation of an enhanced recovery protocol for colorectal surgery. *Br J Surg* 2013; **100**: 1108-1114 [PMID: 23754650 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.9184]

P- Reviewer: Morris DL, Shimoyama S S- Editor: Qi Y L- Editor: A E- Editor: Wang CH







Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
Help Desk: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx
http://www.wjgnet.com



ISSN 1007-9327

