Identification of essential nucleotides in an upstream repressing sequence of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by selection for increased expression of *TRK2*

(transcriptional regulation/negative regulation)

MARC VIDAL*, ANN M. BUCKLEY, CHRISTOPHER YOHN, DANIEL J. HOEPPNER, AND RICHARD F. GABER[†]

Department of Biochemistry, Molecular Biology and Cell Biology, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208

Communicated by Gerald R. Fink, Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, MA, October 31, 1994

ABSTRACT The TRK2 gene in Saccharomyces cerevisiae encodes a membrane protein involved in potassium transport and is expressed at extremely low levels. Dominant cis-acting mutations $(TRK2^{D})$, selected by their ability to confer TRK2dependent growth on low-potassium medium, identified an upstream repressor element (URS1-TRK2) in the TRK2 promoter. The URS1-TRK2 sequence (5'-AGCCGCACG-3') shares six nucleotides with the ubiquitous URS1 element (5'-AGCCGCCGA-3'), and the protein species binding URS1-CAR1 (URSF) is capable of binding URS1-TRK2 in vitro. Sequence analysis of 17 independent repression-defective TRK2^D mutations identified three adjacent nucleotides essential for URS1-mediated repression in vivo. Our results suggest a role for context effects with regard to URS1-related sequences: several mutant alleles of the URS1 element previously reported to have little or no effect when analyzed within the context of a heterologous promoter (CYC1) [Luche, R. M., Sumrada, R. & Cooper, T. G. (1990) Mol. Cell. Biol. 10, 3884-3895] have major effects on repression in the context of their native promoters (TRK2 and CAR1). TRK2^D mutations that abolish repression also reveal upstream activating sequence activity either within or adjacent to URS1. Additivity between TRK2^D and sin3 Δ mutations suggest that SIN3mediated repression is independent of that mediated by URS1.

In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, cis-acting upstream repressing sequences (URSs) are of two types: sequences that repress expression of co-regulated genes, such as a-specific genes in $MAT\alpha$ cells (1), and the elements that repress genes regulated by unrelated stimuli. The URS1 element identified in the CAR1 promoter is the archetypal element of the latter class (2-4). URS1 binds to a protein(s) (URSF) proposed to function as a transcriptional repressor(s) (4-6). URS1-related sequences are present in the 5' flanking region of a large set of apparently unrelated genes (3, 4). In several cases, these sequences were shown to be required for transcriptional repression in the context of their native promoters: CAR1 (2), CTT1 (7), CYC7 (8), ENO1 (9), SPO13 (10), and SSA1 (5, 11). Moreover, URS1-related sequences can compete with the CAR1 URS1 for binding to URSF, suggesting that they represent cis-acting sites for a common negative transcription factor or a family of proteins with similar DNA-binding specificity (4).

In S. cerevisiae, TRK1 and TRK2 encode membrane proteins required for the uptake of K⁺ (12, 13). In strains deleted for TRK1 (trk1 Δ), the expression of TRK2 is too low to allow growth on low-K⁺ medium (0.2 mM) (14). By selecting for mutants that allow trk1 Δ TRK2 cells to grown on medium containing 0.2 mM KCl, two trans-acting factors, products of RPD1 (SIN3) and RPD3 (15, 16), were identified. In this report

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "*advertisement*" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

we show that TRK2 is also repressed by a URS1 element, URS1-TRK2, and that this repression occurs independently of *SIN3*-mediated repression. Our analysis of 17 independent spontaneous mutations ($TRK2^D$) that increase TRK2 expression allowed a rigorous analysis of the key sequence specificities of URS1-mediated repression at this locus and revealed that conserved sequences in URS1 previously reported not to be required for repression when analyzed at a heterologous promoter (4) are, in fact, essential for URS1-mediated repression when tested within the context of native promoters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and Growth Assays. The genotype of A159 is MATatrk1 Δ ura3-52 his3 Δ 200 trp1 Δ 1. M398 and M476 strains (16) and strain RH218 (4) were described previously. Regular, low-salt, arginine, and asparagine media have been described (4, 12, 17). Growth on the low-K⁺ plates was monitored after 24 hr of incubation at 30°C.

Oligonucleotides. Oligonucleotides are shown in Table 1.

Construction of URS1-*CAR1* **Mutants.** URS1 mutations were made in the context of the native *CAR1* promoter by site-directed mutagenesis of the URS1 sequence contained in plasmid pRS46 (4), using the four-primer method (18).

Plasmids. TRK2 and $TRK2^D$ strains [isogenic with R1155 (12)] were crossed with A159 to generate *his3* recombinants. These were transformed with linearized pCK60-1 (13) to retrieve WT and mutant TRK2 promoter regions by the method of integration and excision (19). The rescued plasmids from the $TRK2^D$ strains conferred a Trk1⁺ phenotype on A159. TRK2::lacZ fusions were generated by inserting TRK2 0.7-kb *Eco*RV-*Xba* I fragments (13) into the rescued plasmids and cloning the resulting *Xho* I-*Xba* I inserts into YEp357 and YIp357 (20). Upstream activating sequence 1 (URS1)-containing and UAS1-lacking *CYC1::lacZ* fusion plasmids [pLG312Z and pLG178, respectively (21)] were linearized with *Xho* I prior to insertion of the DE, FG, and HI oligonucleotides. Exonuclease III-mediated 5' deletions of the *TRK2* promoters were generated in the *TRK2* rescued plasmids and tested upon integration into strain A159.

 β -Galactosidase Assays. Plasmids were transformed in yeast as described (22). Cells were grown in glucose-containing medium at 30°C. β -Galactosidase assays were as described (21, 23).

Protein–DNA Binding. Protein extracts (from strain M398), protein–DNA binding assays, and electrophoresis were as described (4), except that 1 ng of γ -³²P-end-labeled DNA fragment, a 500-fold excess of calf thymus DNA, and a 50- or 100-fold excess unlabeled competitor DNA were used.

Abbreviations: UAS, upstream activation sequence; URS, upstream repressing sequence; WT, wild type.

^{*}Present address: Massachusetts General Hospital Cancer Center-Harvard Medical School, Charlestown, MA 02129.

[†]To whom reprint requests should be addressed.

Table 1.	Double-stranded oligonucleutides							
Name	Sequence (specificity)							
DE	5'-TCGACGGCCGTGCGGCTGAAAAAGAGAAAG-3'							
	3'-GCCGGCACGCCGACTTTTTCTCTTTCAGCT-5' [(<i>TRK2</i> or wild-type (WT)]							
FG	5'-TCGACGGCCGTGCGACTGAAAAAGAGAAAG-3'							
	3'-GCCGGCACGCTGACTTTTTCTCTTTTCAGCT- $5'$ (<i>TRK2^D</i> -6)							
HI	5'-TCGACGGCCGTGCTAATGAAAAAGAGAAAG-3'							
	3'-GCCGGCACGATTACTTTTTCTCTTTTCAGCT-5' (<i>TRK2^D-1,6,9</i>)							
URS1	5'-TTCTAGCGCGCTCCTGCCGCACGCGGTAGCCGCCGAGGGGTCTAAAGAGTACTAGC-3'							
	3'-TCGCGCGAGGACGGCGTGCGCCATCGGCGGCTCCCCAGATTTCTCATGATCGTCTT-5'							

RESULTS

Structure of the TRK2 Promoter. The TRK2 promoter was defined by 5' deletions that reduced TRK2-dependent growth on K⁺-limiting medium (7 mM K⁺) (Fig. 1). The position of a putative UAS element in the region identified by these deletions was further defined by using a constitutively derepressed TRK2 promoter in which the URS1 element was mutated ($TRK2^{D-1}$; see below), which allowed a more sensitive growth test. Deletion to nt -922 retained a stronger growth phenotype than deletion to nt - 910. Thus, the promoter region downstream of nt -922 is sufficient for WT TRK2 expression and the sequence encompassing the -922 to -910region may contain an UAS (Fig. 1).

TRK2 lacks a canonical TATA sequence in the immediate 5' nontranslated region and, although two degenerate heat shock-responsive elements (24) are found at -426 and -133 (Fig. 2), TRK2 expression was not increased in cells shifted to elevated temperatures (data not shown). A 6-nt element, 5'-GCGGCT-3', at nt -105 to -100 (Fig. 2), is identical, although in the opposite direction, to the core of the upstream repression sequence (URS1) 5'-TCGGCGGCTA-3' originally identified at CAR1 (-148 to -157) and shown to mediate the repression of many yeast genes (3, 4).

TRK2 Is Repressed by URS1. Dominant, cis-acting mutations at TRK2 (TRK2^D) that increase K^+ uptake and thus confer growth of $trk1\Delta$ cells on K⁺-limiting medium (14) were cloned and sequenced and found to reside in any of three adjacent nucleotides in this URS1-like sequence. WT and $TRK2^{D}$ promoters, recovered by the integration-excision method (19), were fused to the Escherichia coli lacZ gene on integrative and multicopy plasmids. Cells expressing TRK2^D::lacZ exhibited 5- to 10-fold more β -galactosidase levels than cells expressing TRK2::lacZ (Fig. 3A). A 25-bp oligonucleotide encompassing the WT URS1-TRK2 element, when inserted in either orientation into the CYC1 UAS-



FIG. 1. Additive effects of TRK2 UAS, TRK2 URS1, and SIN3 on TRK2-dependent growth. Plus and minus signs indicate optimal and no growth, respectively. KCl concentrations (0.2 and 7 mM) are indicated. The region required for the Trk1⁺ phenotype is indicated (UAS). The URS boxes with a black line correspond to the $TRK2^{D}-1$ allele.

containing promoter fused to lacZ, reduced expression by a factor of 7 (Fig. 3B). In contrast, a 25-bp oligonucleotide derived from the TRK2^D-6 mutant failed to repress CYC1 transcription (Fig. 3B)

URS1-CAR1 and URS1-TRK2 Bind Related Protein(s). Both the URS1-CAR1 and the URS1-SSA1 elements bind to a putative repressor-binding protein(s) (4, 5). Further, URS1-SSA1 specifically competes with URS1-CAR1 for binding. suggesting either that an identical protein (URSF) binds both sites or that different repressor proteins share similar DNAbinding specificities (4). To determine whether URS1-TRK2 could bind a similar protein, radiolabeled double-stranded oligonucleotides carrying the different URS1 sequences were used as probes in gel mobility-shift assays (25) with protein extracts from a WT strain.

The URS1-CAR1 oligonucleotide formed a stable DNA/ protein complex with a protein contained in the yeast crude extract (Fig. 4A, lane 2). This complex formation was specifically inhibited by an excess of either unlabeled URS1-CAR1 oligonucleotide (Fig. 4A, lanes 3 and 4) or URS1-TRK2 oligonucleotide (Fig. 4A, lanes 5 and 6). The URS1-TRK2-dependent competition required nucleotides identical to URS1-CAR1, since the URS1-TRK2^D-1,6,9 triple mutant failed to compete in the same conditions (Fig. 4A, lanes 7 and 8).

In the converse experiment, radiolabeled URS1-TRK2 oligonucleotide formed a stable DNA-protein complex with mobility cmparable to that of the URS1-CAR1/URSF complex (Fig. 4B, lane 2). Formation of this complex was inhibited by the addition of unlabeled URS1-TRK2 oligonucleotide (Fig. 4B, lanes 3 and 4) or URS1-CAR1 oligonucleotide (data not shown), but not by oligonucleotide carrying either the URS1-TRK2^D-6 mutant element corresponding to one of the mutations isolated in vivo or the triple mutant URS1-TRK2^D-1,6,9 element (Fig. 4B, lanes 5-8). Thus, URS1-CAR1 and URS1-TRK2 bind to the same protein species or to different proteins sharing similar DNA sequence specificities.

Sequence of the TRK2^D Alleles. Key URS1-TRK2 nucleotide sequence requirements were identified by determining the sequences of 17 independent TRK2^D mutations. Each was found to alter one of three adjacent nucleotides (5'-GCC-3')within the core of the element (Table 2). Two of these nucleotides (C at -103 and G at -101) are essential for URS1-TRK2 activity, since any substitution abolished repression (Table 2). Mutant URS1-TRK2 elements with either a T or a G at -102 were also nonfunctional. Whether replacement of this nucleotide by an A had no effect or whether the mutation was simply not present in the mutant collection is unknown.

A comparison of our results with those of Luche et al. (4) revealed major differences in the structural features required for URS1-mediated repression (Table 2). First, although any mutation at positions 5 and 6 essentially abolishes repression by URS1-CAR1 when tested at the heterologous CYC1 promoter (4), none of these mutations was found among our TRK2^D mutants (Table 2). Although a bias inherent in the selection cannot be ruled out, the fact that all 17 $TRK2^{D}$ mutations mapped to positions 2, 3, or 4 suggests that nt 5 and

FIG. 2. DNA sequence of the



6 are not essential for URS1 repression of TRK2. In addition, identical mutations in the conserved core regions of URS1-TRK2 and URS1-CAR1 abolish repression at the former but have been reported to have little or no effect at the latter. For example, $G \rightarrow C$ and $G \rightarrow A$ mutations at position 2 disrupt repression at TRK2 but retain 80% and 87% of repressing activity in URS1-CAR1 when assayed at CYC1 (4). More strikingly, whereas the $C \rightarrow T$ mutation at position 3 in URS1-CAR1 retained 100% or repressing activity in the context of CYC1 (Table 2), the corresponding URS1-TRK2 mutation was shown to disrupt repression at TRK2; it not only conferred a strong TRK2-dependent growth phenotype and a

A) TRK2 promoter

	β-galactos	sidase units
	Single	Multiple
CTCGGCCGTGC <u>GGC</u> TGA	0.3 ± .05	4.3 ± 1.2
<i>TRK2^D-1</i> т	1.8 ± .25	$\textbf{48.2} \pm \textbf{5.3}$
<i>TRK2^D-6</i> - А -	1.8 ± .70	$\textbf{47.5} \pm \textbf{4.4}$
<i>TRK2^D-9</i> А	1.7 ± .25	$\textbf{42.0} \pm \textbf{10.0}$

B) CYC1 promoter



FIG. 3. URS1-TRK2 is necessary and sufficient for repression. (A) WT and $TRK2^{D}$ promoters fused to lacZ on an integrated (single) or multicopy (multiple) plasmids. The top line shows the TRK2 promoter region. The sequence of the WT URS1-TRK2 is shown with the respective nucleotide change in three $TRK2^{D}$ alleles analyzed. (B) The top line shows the promoter region of CYC1::lacZ fusion contained in the multicopy plasmid pLG312Z (21) carrying the CYC1 UAS1. Wild-type TRK2 oligonucleotide and its TRK2D-6 derivative are represented by an arrow and an arrow with a single dot, respectively.

5-fold increase in TRK2 transcription but also abolished the ability of the URS1-TRK2 element to compete for binding to URSF in vitro (Fig. 4 and data not shown).

To assess structural differences between URS1-TRK2 and URS1-CAR1 as well as the possible effect of context on function, three site-directed mutations at position 3 ($C \rightarrow T$, A, G) and one at position 4 (C \rightarrow G) were made in URS1-CAR1 at positions corresponding to mutations selected at URS1-TRK2, and their effects were tested in the native context of the CAR1 promoter. The $C \rightarrow G$ mutation at position 4 [identical to the original CAR1 mutation that defined URS1 (2)] abolished repression at both CAR1 (Table 2 and Fig. 5) and CYC1 (4). However, the other three mutations had significantly greater effects on repression at CAR1 than at CYC1. In the most extreme case, the $C \rightarrow T$ mutation at position 3, which retained WT levels of repression at CYC1 (4), essentially abolished repression at CAR1 (Table 2 and Fig. 5). Structural differences between the URS1-CAR1 and URS1-TRK2 elements were strongly suggested by the observation that the C \rightarrow T URS1-TRK2 mutation at position 3 disrupted



FIG. 4. URS1-CAR1 and URS1-TRK2 bind related protein(s). Radiolabeled URS1-CAR1 and URS1-TRK2 oligonucleotides were used in A and B, respectively. Lanes 1, probe only; lanes 2, absence of competitor DNA; lanes 3-8, 50-fold (odd numbers) and 100-fold (even numbers) molar excess of competitor DNA.

Table 2. Comparisons between essential nucleotides in URS1-CAR1 and URS1-TRK2 determined within native and heterologous promoter contexts

										Repression	
	Sequence position*									Native	CYC1
USR1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	context [†]	context [‡]
CAR1	Α	G	С	С	G	С	С	G	Α	100%	100%
			Т							0%	100%
			Α							0%	50%
			G							21%	67%
				G						0%	0%
TRK2	Α	G	С	С	G	С	Α	С	G	+	
TRK2 ^D -8		Α								-	
TRK2 ^D -9		Т								-	
TRK2 ^D -18		Α									
TRK2 ^D -19		Α								-	
TRK2 ^D -20		С								-	
TRK2 ^D -6			Т							-	0%
TRK2 ^D -7			G							-	
TRK2 ^D -12			Т							-	
TRK2 ^D -1				Α						-	
TRK2 ^D -3				G							
TRK2 ^D -4				Α						-	
TRK2 ^D -5				Т						_	
TRK2 ^D -10				Т							
TRK2 ^D -11				G							
TRK2 ^D -13				Α							
TRK2 ^D -15				Α						-	
TRK2 ^D -16				Т						-	

*No. 1 was assigned to the first nucleotide of URS1-CAR1 in its native orientation and corresponds to nt -157 and -99 at CAR1 (4) and TRK2, respectively.

[†]Numbers indicate % repression activity measured at the *CAR1* gene for a mutant URS1, relative to the WT URS1 activity [% = $(347 - X)/(347 - 33) \times 100$, where 347, 33, and X are the specific *B*-galactosidase activities measured under activation or repression conditions for the WT element and repression conditions for the mutant element, respectively (see Fig. 5)]. For URS1-*TRK2* function tested in the *TRK2* promoter by growth phenotype on low K⁺ concentrations in *trk1* Δ cells, + and - indicate functional and nonfunctional elements, respectively.

[‡]Percent repression activity measured at the CYC1 gene for a mutant URS1, relative to the WT URS1 activity [$\% = (200 - X)/(200 - 13) \times 100$, where 200, 13, and X are the specific β -galactosidase activities measured from the CYC1 promoter alone, CYC1 combined with the WT URS1 element, and CYC1 with mutated versions of URS1, respectively (see ref. 4)].

repression within the CYC1 context (Fig. 3B) whereas the $C \rightarrow T$ URS1-CAR1 mutation at position 3 had no effect within the CYC1 context (4). Thus, both context and structural differences between the URS1 elements play a significant role in URS1-dependent repression.

Region Encompassing URS1-*TRK2* **Has Endogenous UAS Activity.** The URS1 element may be involved with transcrip-



FIG. 6. The sequence encompassing URS1-*TRK2* has weak UAS activity. The promoter region of a *CYC1::lacZ* fusion which lacks an endogenous UAS is contained in the multicopy plasmid pLG178 at top. WT *TRK2* oligonucleotide and its *TRK2^D-6* and *TRK2^D-1,6,9* derivatives inserted in pLG178 are represented below.

tional repression and activation of downstream genes (26, 27). To test for such activity at URS1-*TRK2*, the endogenous UAS1 element of the *CYC1* promoter fused to *lacZ* was replaced with WT or mutant URS1-*TRK2* sequences. β -Galactosidase activities measured in cells containing these fusions showed that replacement by one or three copies of the WT URS1-*TRK2* had no substantial effect on *CYC1* expression. However, when repression-defective URS1-*TRK2^D* elements were inserted, a 4-fold (single copy) to 40-fold (three copies) increase in expression was observed (Fig. 6). Thus, UAS activity associated with URS1-*TRK2* was detected only when the repressing function of URS1-*TRK2* was abolished by mutation.

Independence of SIN3- and URS1-Mediated Repression. SIN3 has been identified in at least six independent screens on the basis of the loss of repression of various structural genes [HO (28, 29), SPO13 (30), IME2 (27), INO1 (31), and TRK2 (16)]. Interestingly, each of these SIN3-regulated genes contains a similar URS element (refs. 10, 27, and 31; D. Stillman, personal communication; and this report). The results of two complementary experiments suggest that SIN3 mediates TRK2 repression through a site independent of URS1-TRK2. First, WT URS1-TRK2 repression of the heterologous CYC1 pro-

CAR1 URS1	β-galactosidase units				
CAR1:lacZ	Asn	Arg+Asn	Arg		
A G C G G T A G <u>C C</u> G C C G A G G	5.5 ± 1.5	33 ± 11	347 ± 128		
- G	234 ± 42	555 ± 73	694 ± 69		
т -	150 ± 26	379 ± 56	545 ± 35		
Α -	132 ± 14	374 ± 54	536± 45		
G -	51 ± 5	$\textbf{279} \pm \textbf{26}$	597 ± 89		

FIG. 5. URS1 nt 3 is essential in the context of the CARI promoter. WT and mutant CARI promoters were fused to *lacZ* on a multicopy plasmid. The sequence of the WT URS1-*CARI* is shown with the respective mutations analyzed. Cells were grown under repression (Asn and Arg+Asn) or activation (Arg) conditions.

moter was not SIN3-dependent (Fig. 3B). Second, although TRK2 expression was derepressed in cells deleted for SIN3, TRK2^D mutations further increased derepression in $sin3\Delta$ cells (Fig. 1). Thus, the phenotypes of $sin3\Delta$ and TRK2^D are additive.

DISCUSSION

URS1-TRK2 acts as a cis-acting element both necessary and sufficient for repression: mutations selected *in vivo* relieve TRK2 repression and the WT element is sufficient to repress the heterologous CYC1 promoter. A six-nucleotide core (5'-AGCCGC-3'; Table 2) within URS1-TRK2 is identical to that contained in URS1-CAR1 and both elements can compete for binding to the same putative repressor protein, URSF.

URS1-TRK2 masks the activity of an UAS element present in the same 25-bp region (-112 to -88) of the TRK2 promoter. Expression levels from the CYC1 promoter are increased \approx 4-fold when a repression-defective mutant the URS1-TRK2 element is present (40-fold with three elements). Conceivably this cryptic UAS activity is brought to bear under conditions that relieve URS1-mediated repression. Similar situations have been described at SSA1 (26), IME2 (27), and CAR1 (32). We believe it unlikely that the TRK2^D mutations create fortuitous activator binding-sites, since a mutant element containing substitutions at all three key URS1-TRK2 nucleotides retains the UAS activity, as do insertions of multiple elements in different orientations.

The analysis of 17 independent cis-acting mutations selected in vivo identified three nucleotides crucial for URS1dependent repression of TRK2. A disparity in effects of URS1 mutations, when tested at different promoters, revealed the possibility of strong context effects with regard to function of this element. For example, mutations at position 3 (Table 2) in URS1-TRK2 disrupted repression when tested at TRK2 or CYC1, and yet identical mutations in URS1-CAR1 disrupted repression only at CAR1, with little or no effect at CYC1 (4). These results suggest that the fidelity of some URS1-related elements varies when assayed outside their native contexts.

Luche et al. (4) reported that the sequence required for CAR1 URS function is a "palindrome" and suggested that its symmetry (AGCCGCCGÂ) was related to functional constraints, since only the three central nucleotides were found to be essential for repression. The requirement for a cis-acting element to be palindromic by sequence is highly unusual, if not unique. The sequence encompassing the URS1-TRK2 element differs markedly from such a palindrome: although nt 1-6 match the URS1 consensus, the three nucleotides at the 3' end of the alignment differ (AGCCGCACG). Thus, the sequence of URS1-TRK2 reveals that this element does not require a palindromic sequence or symmetry surrounding position 5 for function. Rather, the palindromic nature of URS1-CAR1 may reflect the presence of overlapping core sequences within the element (minimally, GCCG). If so, unlike the URS1-TRK2 sequence, the URS1-CAR1 sequence might contain some structural redundancy, and this would explain why some mutations with strong effects in the former have little or no effect in the latter.

We thank L. Guarente, K. L. Ngai, and T. Cooper for plasmids and oligonucleotides and L. Ko for invaluable assistance with DNAprotein binding assays. This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (GM45739) and the National Science Foundation (DCB-8711346 and DCB-8657150) to R.F.G. and by a fellowship from the Belgian Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique to M.V.

- 1. Herskowitz, I. (1989) Nature (London) 342, 749-757.
- Sumrada, R. A. & Cooper, T. G. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 643-647.
- Sumrada, R. A. & Cooper, T. G. (1987) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84, 3997-4001.
- Luche, R. M., Sumrada, R. & Cooper, T. G. (1990) Mol. Cell. Biol. 10, 3884–3895.
- Park, H.-O. & Craig, E. A. (1989) Mol. Cell. Biol. 9, 2025–2033.
 Luche, R. M., Smart, W. C. & Cooper, T. G. (1992) Proc. Natl.
- Acad. Sci. USA 89, 7412–7416.
- Spevak, W., Fessl, F., Rytka, J., Traczyk, A., Skoneczny, M. & Ruis, H. (1983) Mol. Cell. Biol. 3, 1545–1551.
- Wright, C. F. & Zitomer, R. S. (1984) Mol. Cell. Biol. 4, 2023– 2030.
- Cohen, R., Yokoi, T., Holland, J. P., Pepper, A. E. & Holland, M. J. (1987) Mol. Cell. Biol. 7, 2753–2761.
- Buckingham, L. E., Wang, H.-T., Elder, R. T., McCarroll, R. M., Slater, M. R. & Esposito, R. E. (1990) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87, 9406-9410.
- 11. Slater, M. & Craig, E. (1987) Mol. Cell. Biol. 7, 1906-1916.
- 12. Gaber, R. F., Styles, C. A. & Fink, G. R. (1988) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 8, 2848–2859.
- 13. Ko, C. H. & Gaber, R. F. (1991) Mol. Cell. Biol. 11, 4266-4273.
- 14. Vidal, M., Buckley, A. M., Hilger, F. & Gaber, R. F. (1990) Genetics 125, 313-320.
- 15. Vidal, M. & Gaber, R. F. (1991) Mol. Cell. Biol. 11, 6317-6327.
- Vidal, M., Strich, R., Esposito, R. E. & Gaber, R. F. (1991) Mol. Cell. Biol. 11, 6306–6316.
- Sherman, F., Fink, G. R. & Hicks, J. (1986) Methods in Yeast Genetics (Cold Spring Harbor Lab. Press, Plainview, NY).
 Innis, M. A., Gelfand, D. H., Sninsky, J. J. & White, T. J., eds.
- Innis, M. A., Gelfand, D. H., Sninsky, J. J. & White, T. J., eds. (1990) PCR Protocols (Academic, San Diego).
- Winston, F., Chumley, F. & Fink, G. R. (1983) Methods Enzymol. 101, 211–228.
- Ausubel, F. M., Brent, R. D., Kingston, R. E., Moore, D. D., Seidman, J. G., Smith, J. A. & Struhl, K. (1987) *Current Protocols* in *Molecular Biology* (Wiley, Boston).
- 21. Guarente, L. (1983) Methods Enzymol. 101, 181-191.
- Ito, H., Fukuda, Y., Murata, K. & Kimura, A. (1983) J. Bacteriol. 153, 163–168.
- 23. Miller, J. (1972) *Experiments in Molecular Genetics* (Cold Spring Harbor Lab. Press, Plainview, NY).
- 24. Perisic, O., Xiao, H. & Lis, J. T. (1989) Cell 59, 797-806.
- 25. Garner, M. M. & Resvin, A. (1981) Nucleic Acids Res. 9, 3047-3060.
- 26. Park, H.-O. & Craig, E. A. (1991) Genes Dev. 5, 1299-1308.
- 27. Bowdish, K. S. & Mitchell, A. P. (1993) Mol. Cell. Biol. 13, 2172–2181.
- 28. Nasmyth, K., Stillman, D. & Kipling, D. (1987) Cell 48, 579-587.
- 29. Sternberg, P. W., Stern, M. J., Clark, I. & Herskowitz, I. (1987) Cell 48, 567-577.
- Strich, R., Slater, M. R. & Esposito, R. E. (1989) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86, 10018-10022.
- 31. Hudak, K. A., Lopes, J. M. & Henry, S. A. (1994) Genetics 136, 474-483.
- Luche, R. M., Smart, W. C., Marion, T., Tillman, M., Sumrada, R. A. & Cooper, T. G. (1993) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 13, 5749–5761.