

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

^c *Int Immunopharmacol*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 December 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Int Immunopharmacol. 2014 December; 23(2): 516–522. doi:10.1016/j.intimp.2014.09.027.

Suppressive Oligodeoxynucleotides Promote the Generation of Regulatory T Cells by Inhibiting STAT1 Phosphorylation

Christian Bode*,†, Jing Wang*, and Dennis M. Klinman*

*Cancer and Inflammation Program, Center for Cancer Research, NCI, Frederick, MD 21702

[†]Department for Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany

Abstract

Suppressive oligodeoxynucleotides (Sup ODN) express repetitive TTAGGG motifs that have proven useful in the treatment/prevention of numerous inflammatory and autoimmune diseases. The mechanism underlying the immunosuppressive activity of Sup ODN is incompletely understood. Regulatory T cells (T_{reg}) play a key role in are generated from controlling a variety of pathologic autoimmune responses. T_{regs} activated CD4⁺ T cells in a process that involves the phosphorylation of STAT family members. Current studies demonstrate that Sup ODN promote the differentiation of CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells into functionally active i T_{reg} *in vitro*. When administered *in vivo*, Sup in response to peptide challenge. Central to this ODN promote the generation of i T_{reg} effect is the ability of Sup ODN to block the phosphorylation of STAT1. These findings clarify the mechanism underlying the therapeutic activity of Sup ODN and support their use in T_{reg} -based immunotherapy.

Keywords

suppressive oligonucleotides; regulatory T cells; STAT transcription factors

1 Introduction

DNA has multiple and complex effects on the immune system. Microbial DNA elicits a potent inflammatory response that helps protect the host from infection but can exacerbate autoimmune and inflammatory diseases [1]. Self DNA, in contrast, is anti-inflammatory and can down-regulate pathologic inflammatory responses [2]. The latter effect is mediated, at least in part, by repetitive TTAGGG motifs present at high frequency in mammalian telomeres. Synthetic single-stranded phosphorothioate oligodeoxynucleotides (ODN)

Corresponding author: Dennis M. Klinman, Bldg 567 Rm 205, CCR, NCI in Frederick, Frederick, MD 21702, 301 228 4265 (W), 302 118 4281 (F), klinmand@mail.nih.gov.

Conflict of Interest

Members of Dr. Klinman's lab have patents related to the use of suppressive oligonucleotides. All rights to such patents have been assigned to the Federal Government.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

expressing TTAGGG motifs mimic the ability of telomeric DNA to prevent/treat a variety of inflammatory and autoimmune diseases [3]. This was established in murine models of lupus, arthritis, encephalomyelitis, iritis and shock [4–7].

The mechanism underlying the anti-inflammatory properties of Sup ODN were initially attributed to their ability to inhibit the maturation of CD4⁺ T cells into Th1 effectors [7;8]. This was associated with the inhibition of STAT1 and STAT4 phosphorylation and the resultant reduction in IFNg production - a cytokine critical to the generation and maintenance of Th1 immunity. Yet those findings pre-dated the discovery of regulatory T cells (T_{reg}) that are now known to play a key role in restrain the host's suppressing autoimmune and inflammatory diseases [9]. T_{reg} response to self Ags and thus are of enormous importance in the therapy of inflammatory/autoimmune diseases.

There are two broad categories of Tregs. nTreg arise naturally in the thymus while iTreg are induced in the periphery from CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells [10,11]. Forkhead transcription factor (FoxP) 3 acts as the master transcriptional regulator of Treg development. Deficiency in FoxP3 results in fatal multi-organ autoimmune disease as observed in the scurfy mouse and in patients with immunodysregulation polyendocrinopathy enteropathy X-linked syndrome [10–15]. *In vitro* studies show that CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells up-regulate FoxP3 and differentiate into functional iTreg when stimulated via their TCR in the presence of TGFß [16;17]. *In vivo*, exposure to Ag generates FoxP3⁺ iTreg in the periphery. For example, large numbers of FoxP3⁺ iTreg accumulate in the draining lymph nodes of mice carrying OVA-specific CD4 T cells following challenge with the _{OVA323-339} peptide [18;19].

 T_{reg} development is regulated by members of the STAT family. STAT5 binds to the FoxP3 promoter and drives FoxP3 transcription, thereby playing a critical role in T_{reg} generation [20;21]. In contrast, activation of STAT1-dependent pathways prevents FoxP3 expression and blocks T_{reg} development [21,22]. In murine models, unrestrained STAT1 activation results in the breakdown of immunological tolerance and culminates in Th1-mediated pathology [24]. In contrast, deletion of STAT1 promotes the T_{reg} expansion and reduces graft-versus-host disease [25]. These findings suggest that targeting STAT1 represents a promising strategy for therapies designed to improve T_{reg} generation.

Recognizing the critical contribution of T_{reg} to the prevention and treatment of diseases that respond to Sup ODN therapy, we evaluated whether Sup ODN might promote T_{reg} development. Results show that Sup ODN enhance the generation of functional FoxP3⁺ iT_{reg} from naïve CD4⁺ precursors *in vitro*. When administered *in vivo*, Sup ODN promote the generation of FoxP3⁺ iT_{reg} in response to peptide challenge. These effects are linked to the ability of Sup ODN to block STAT1 phosphorylation following the activation of CD4⁺ T cells. These findings clarify the mechanism underlying the therapeutic activity of Sup ODN in autoimmune disease and provide a rationale for the use of Sup ODN in T_{reg} -based immunotherapy.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Mice

Female BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice were bred at the National Cancer Institute (Frederick, MD), FoxP3 eGFP reporter mice were obtained from Dr. Y. Belkaid (National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Bethesda, MD), STAT1^{-/-} mice from Dr. D. Levy (NYU School of Medicine, New York, NY) and Rag2^{-/-} DO11.10 mice from Dr. M. Leonardo (National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Bethesda, MD)[26;27]. All mice were studied at 6 – 10 wk of age and all experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the National Cancer Institute at Frederick.

2.2 Oligonucleotides

Phosphorothioate ODN were synthesized at the Core Facility of the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research facility, Food and Drug Administration (Bethesda, MD). The following ODN were used: suppressive ODN A151 (5' TTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGG 3') and control ODN 1612 (5'

GCTAGAGCTTAGGCT 3'). All ODN were free of detectable protein and endotoxin contamination.

2.3 Isolation of murine CD4+CD25⁻ T cells

CD4⁺ T cells were purified from single spleen cell suspensions by negative selection using magnetic beads. These CD4⁺ cells were then incubated with PE anti-CD25 and anti-PE beads used to isolate CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells. The purity of these T cells typically exceeded 95% and contained fewer than 2% FoxP3⁺ cells. All reagents were obtained from Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA.

2.4 In vitro generation of murine iT_{reg}

CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells (10⁶/ml) were pre-incubated with 1 uM ODN for 2 h and then transferred to a 96 well plate coated with 3 ug/ml anti-CD3 (2C11; eBioscience, San Diego, CA). Cells were cultured in complete medium (RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FCS (both from Lonza, Walkersville, MD), 2 mM glutamine, 100 IU/ml penicillin, 100 ug/ml streptomycin, 25 mM HEPES buffer (all from Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), 0.0035% 2 ME (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and stimulated with 2 ug/ml soluble anti-CD28 (37.51; eBioscience) plus 20 ng/ml human TGFb1 (R&D Systems). 20 ng/ml of IL-2 (R&D Systems) was included to support the proliferation of T_{regs} from C57B1/6 mice. This supplementation was not needed for T cells from BALB/c mice which intrinsically produce sufficient IL-2 when stimulated [28]. In experiments examining whether Sup ODN influenced the differentiation of iT_{reg}, only 5 ng of TGF β 1 was added during culture. At the indicated time points, cells were analyzed for FoxP3 expression by flow cytometry or used in functional assays.

2.5 In vitro generation of human Treg

PBMC were isolated by density gradient centrifugation of buffy coats obtained from normal donors through an IRB approved protocol (NIH, Bethesda, MD). CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells were

isolated by negative selection over MACS using the naive CD4⁺ T cell isolation kit II (Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA). FACS analysis showed the purity of these cells was >98%. These cells were then stimulated with anti-CD3/28 coated beads plus 2.5 ng/ml TGF β 1 and 500 IU/ml IL-2 (both from eBioscience, San Diego, CA) in the presence or absence of 3 uM suppressive ODN for 5 days. FoxP3 expression was monitored using a Treg detection kit (Miltenyi Biotec (Auburn, CA).

2.6 Flow cytometry

After blocking FcR with 2.4G2 Ab, cells were stained with PerCP–Cy5.5–anti-CD4 (RM4 5), PE–anti-CD25 (PC61), PE anti-DO11.10 TCR (KJ1-26, all from BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) and/or APC anti-FoxP3 (FJK 16s, eBioscience). Fluorescence was monitored using a LSRFortessa cell analyzer (BD Biosciences). Intracellular staining was performed with the FoxP3 staining buffer kit, according to the manufacturer's protocol (eBioscience). Events were collected and analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star, Ashland, OR).

2.7 RNA Isolation and Quantitative Real time PCR

Total RNA was isolated from T cells using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Quiagen, Valencia, CA). cDNA was synthesized with a QuantiTect Reverse Transcription kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA). Gene expression levels (normalized to GAPDH) were analyzed using the StepOnePlus RT PCR system and all reagents were from(Applied Biosystems).

2.8 T_{reg} suppression assay

CD4⁺ T cells from FoxP3 eGFP reporter mice were isolated using a FACSAria II (BD Biosciences) and cultured in the presence of Sup ODN under T_{reg} polarizing conditions as described above. These FACS sorted CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells were >97% pure. On day 3, T_{reg} that had matured *in vitro* were isolated by FACS based on their up-regulation of GFP. Simultaneously, naïve CD4⁺CD25⁻ responders (T_{resp}) were isolated from congenic C57BL/6 spleens and stained with 2.5 μ M cell trace violet (Invitrogen, Carslbad, CA). 10⁵ T_{resp} were stimulated with 0.25 μ g/mL soluble anti-CD3 Ab and then mixed with mitomycin C inactivated syngeneic T cell depleted splenocytes (5 × 10⁴) in 96 well round bottom plates for 3 days. T_{reg} generated in the presence of Sup ODN were added at the indicated ratios. Proliferation was measured by monitoring cell trace violet dilution by flow cytometry. The proliferation of stimulated T_{resp} was set to 100% and the percent suppression observed following the addition of T_{reg} calculated.

2.9 In vivo generation of iTreg

CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells were isolated from the spleens of Rag2^{-/-} DO11.10 mice and stained with 5 μ M CFSE (Invitrogen, Carslbad, CA) for 5 min at RT. 3 × 10⁶ cells were injected i.v. into BALB/c mice. 24 hr later, these mice were immunized i.v. with 5 μ g of OVA₃₂₃₋₃₃₉ peptide (Gift from Dr. A. Hurwitz, National Cancer Institute, Frederick, MD). Sup ODN (300 ug/mouse) was injected i.p. 3 h before OVA administration. Four days later, cells were isolated from axillary, brachial and inguinal lymph nodes, stained for expression of CD4, FoxP3, and the DO11.10 TCR and analyzed by flow cytometry as described above.

2.10 Flow cytometric analysis of phospho-STAT expression

CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells were cultured under T_{reg} polarizing conditions ± 1 uM Sup ODN. Cells were fixed with BD Lyse/Fix Buffer for 10 min at 37° C, washed, permeabilized in ice cold BD Perm Buffer III for 30 min and then stained with PE anti-STAT1 (pY701) or PE anti-STAT4 (pY693) Ab (all reagents from BD Biosciences) for 30 min at RT. Flow cytometric analysis was performed on a LSRFortessa cell analyzer.

2.11 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA). Student's t test was used to examine all results. p values <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1 Suppressive ODN enhance the generation of murine and human Treg in vitro

The ability of Sup ODN to reduce the duration and strength of inflammatory and autoimmune diseases [3] led us to examine their effect on the generation of T_{reg} . To enable the detection of either a positive or negative effect of Sup ODN on T_{reg} differentiation, splenic CD4⁺CD25⁻ precursors were incubated *in vitro* under conditions that induced a significant but suboptimal increase in i T_{reg} frequency. These 'suboptimal' conditions involved conventional stimulation with anti-CD3 plus anti-CD28 Abs but lower concentrations of TGF β (see section 2.8).

As seen in Fig 1, $\approx 1\%$ of CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells differentiated into iT_{reg} when incubated for 3 – 5 days in medium alone. The frequency of iT_{reg} increased 5-fold when conditions supporting the suboptimal generation of T_{reg} were used during culture (p < 0.01). Of importance, >35% of cultured cells differentiated into CD4⁺CD25⁺FoxP3⁺ T cells under the same conditions when supplemented with Sup ODN (p < 0.001). This effect was sequence specific, as control ODN lacking suppressive TTAGGG motifs had no significant effect on iT_{reg} generated *in vitro* (Fig 1C), a finding confirmed by the increase in FoxP3 mRNA levels detected by RT PCR (Fig 1D; p < 0.05). To determine whether Sup ODN had an effect on nTregs, CFSE-labeled CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells were incubated with IL-2 and the proliferation of FoxP3⁺ cells monitored by flow cytometry. The inclusion of Sup ODN had no effect on the proliferation of CD4⁺FoxP3⁺ Tregs (Supplemental Fig 1). These data indicate that Sup ODN selectively facilitate the differentiation of naive T cells into iTregs.

To examine whether results from these murine studies were relevant to humans, the effect of Sup ODN on the generation of human iT_{regs} was examined. $CD4^+CD25^-$ T cells were isolated from the peripheral blood of normal healthy volunteers. These were stimulated with IL-2, anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 plus a suboptimal amount of TGF β 1 (identified in preliminary studies). After 5 days, cells were analyzed for FoxP3 expression by FACS. As seen in Fig 2, the inclusion of Sup ODN led to a significant increase in both the percentage and absolute number of human CD4⁺CD25⁺FoxP3⁺ T cells generated *in vitro* (p < 0.05 and p < 0.01 respectively)

3.2 iT_{reg} functional activity

Further study focused on the activity of the murine iT_{regs} generated in the presence of Sup ODN. To eliminate any possibility that mature T_{reg} were contaminating the CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cell pool, FoxP3 GFP knock-in mice were used as cell donors [26]. All T_{reg} from these animals are GFP⁺, so FACS isolation of CD4⁺GFP⁻ T cells insures that no T_{reg} are present in the starting population. The cells were then cultured under the T_{reg} polarizing conditions described above. Consistent with results obtained above using cells from WT mice (Fig 1), the addition of Sup ODN resulted in a >4-fold increase the frequency of CD4⁺GFP⁺FoxP3⁺ T_{reg} generated (from $3.1 \pm 0.4 \times 10^5$ to $12.8 \pm 1.3 \times 10^5$).

The functional activity of these iTreg was evaluated based their ability to inhibit the proliferation of activated T cells. Consistent with previous reports, T_{reg} suppressed the proliferation of syngeneic T cells in a dose dependent manner (Fig 3 and [29]). The suppressive activity of the T_{reg} generated in the presence of Sup ODN was nearly identical to that of control T_{reg} on a per cell basis (Fig 3). These findings indicate that Sup ODN promote the generation of functionally active iT_{reg} .

3.3 Suppressive ODN promote the generation of Treg in vivo

To determine whether Sup ODN support the generation of T_{reg} under physiologically relevant conditions, a well established murine model of peripheral tolerance was used [18]. CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells were isolated from Rag2 KO donors expressing the DO11.10 TCR (such T cells are specific for OVA). These T cells were labeled with CSFE and transferred into WT recipients. The recipients were then injected with soluble OVA₃₂₃₋₃₃₉ peptide and the CFSE-labeled FoxP3⁺ cells monitored. Consistent with previous findings, CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells from Rag2 KO / DO11.10 donors differentiated into iT_{reg} when exposed to OVA peptide *in vivo* (Fig 4 [19;30]). When the recipient mice were treated with Sup ODN, the number of T_{reg} generated rose by >3-fold (from $4.8 \pm 1.1 \times 10^3$ to $15.7 \pm 3.9 \times 10^3$, p < 0.05).

3.4 Role of STAT1 in the generation of iT_{reg} by Sup ODN

Previous studies established that i) Sup ODN can bind to and prevent the phosphorylation of STAT1 and STAT4 in Th1 cells [8] and ii) the phosphorylation of STAT1 and STAT4 can inhibit T_{reg} generation [22]. These observations led us to examine the effect of Sup ODN on STAT phosphorylation in CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells. In the absence of stimulation, STAT phosphorylation was nearly undetectable (Fig 5A). When CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells were cultured under suboptimal T_{reg} polarizing conditions, the phosphorylation of STAT1 and STAT4 rose rapidly to 45% and 85%, respectively (Fig 5A, B). The addition of Sup ODN reduced the phosphorylation of STAT1 in these cells by >75% (p <0.05) but had no effect on the phosphorylation of STAT4 (Fig 4A, B). This effect of Sup ODN was sequence specific as control ODN did not significantly alter STAT phosphorylation.

To explore whether the inhibition of STAT1 phosphorylation represented the mechanism by which Sup ODN promoted the generation of iT_{reg} , the response of T cells from WT and STAT1-deficient mice was compared [27]. When CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells were cultured under identical conditions, ≈ 2.5 -fold more iT_{reg} were generated from STAT1-deficient vs WT donors (Fig 5C,D; p < 0.01). Adding Sup ODN to these cultures increased the frequency of

 T_{reg} generated from WT precursors to that observed in the STAT1 KOs (Fig 5C,D; p < 0.01). Consistent with the inhibition of STAT1 phosphorylation being the mechanism by which Sup ODN support the generation of T_{reg} , Sup ODN did not further boost the generation of T_{reg} from targets deficient in STAT1.

4. Conclusions

One attractive strategy for treating autoimmune and inflammatory diseases is to capable of down-regulating these pathological immune responses. This provide T_{reg} study examines the effect of synthetic ODN expressing immunosuppressive TTAGGG motifs on iT_{reg} generation. Results indicate that Sup ODN promote the differentiation of both human and murine CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells into functionally active iT_{reg} under conditions in which either TGF β or IL-2 is limiting (Figs 1–4). Evidence that Sup ODN inhibits the STAT1 phosphorylation of activated T cells provides insight into the mechanism underlying this effect (Fig 5).

Sup ODN contain multiple TTAGGG motifs identical to those found at high frequency in mammalian telomeres and mimic the ability of telomeric DNA to reduce inflammation and autoimmune reactions [5;6;31–33]. Previous studies found that Sup ODN inhibited the differentiation of naive CD4⁺ cells into Th1 effectors [7;8]. That effect was postulated to explain their therapeutic utility [8] as the importance of T_{reg} in modulating immune activation and suppressing pathological immune responses had not yet been discovered. Current findings establish that in addition to altering the balance between Th1, Th2 and Th17 [7;8;34] cells that Sup ODN also promote the differentiation of CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells into iT_{reg}.

Naive CD4⁺ T cells can differentiate into a diverse array of effector phenotypes under appropriate conditions [35]. For example, CD4 T cells in a pro-inflammatory environment differentiate into Th17 cells when exposed to TGF β while the same cells exposed to TGF β in the absence of inflammatory cytokines differentiate into T_{reg} [36]. Our group recently showed that the addition of Sup ODN to CD4 T cells facilitated their maturation into Th17 cells under pro-inflammatory Th17 polarizing conditions [34]. Results from the current work show that adding Sup ODN to the same cells in the absence of pro-inflammatory cytokines induces them to differentiate into T_{reg}. From this we conclude that similar to TGF β , the effect of Sup ODN on CD4 T cell generation is context-dependent.

Previous work established that Sup ODN were useful in the treatment of various autoimmune diseases [4–7]. To date, efforts to determine whether that effect was mediated by Sup ODN increasing the frequency of T_{reg} have been unrevealing. As previously shown, successful treatment of murine autoimmunity requires that Sup ODN be administered repeatedly over many weeks. This prolonged intervention has many effects on the immune milieu, preventing us from establishing a cause-effect relationship with T_{reg} generation. To circumvent this problem, we used a well established model of *in vivo* tolerance that allowed precise analysis of the effect of a single treatment with Sup ODN on T_{reg} generation (Fig 4).

Sup ODN are fabricated from nuclease-resistant phosphorothioate nucleotides to improve their *in vivo* half life and activity. Bouladoux et al reported that Sup ODN could prevent

CpG ODN from inhibiting T_{reg} generation but did not examine whether Sup ODN directly promoted T_{reg} generation [37]. Kim et al reported that phosophorthioate ODN could stabilize the expression of FoxP3 in a sequence non-specific manner but did not examine whether Sup ODN promoted the generation of T_{reg} from CD4⁺ precursors [38]. While phosphorothioate ODN can exert sequence non-specific immune effects at the high concentrations used by Kim et al [38;39], the control ODN used in our studies and by other groups uniformly failed to increase T_{reg} development and/or function [37;40–42]. Similarly, studies performed by multiple groups show that suppressive but not control ODN down regulate inflammatory and autoimmune responses *in vivo* [5;6;31–33].

Current findings are consistent with recent reports that T_{reg} generation is inhibited by STAT1 phosphorylation and that the deletion of STAT1 promotes T_{reg} differentiation [21– 23,28]. The precise mechanism by which a decrease in STAT1 activation promotes the generation of Tregs is unclear. STAT1 may interfere with STAT5 driven Treg production or it may trigger pathways that inhibit T_{reg} development. Specifically, we find that i) CD4⁺ T cells from STAT1-deficient mice generate significantly more iT_{reg} than those from WT donors, ii) Sup ODN block STAT1 phosphorylation and simultaneously enhance iT_{reg} generation and iii) Sup ODN do not enhance the generation of iTreg from mice deficient in STAT1 (Fig 5). These findings suggest that the mechanism by which Sup ODN promote the generation of iT_{reg} is by inhibiting STAT1 phosphorylation. Consistent with that conclusion, we previously demonstrated that Sup ODN inhibited the differentiation of naive CD4 T cells into Th1 effectors by reducing STAT1 phosphorylation [8]. Other members of the STAT family also play a role in Treg generation. FoxP3 expression and Treg differentiation are enhanced by STAT5 phosphorylation [20;21] but inhibited by activation of the STAT4 and STAT6 signaling pathways [22]. We find that Sup ODN inhibit the phosphorylation of STAT1 but have no effect on STATS 3, 4 or 5 under culture conditions conducive to the generation of iT_{reg} (Fig 5 and data not shown).

The therapeutic benefit of increasing T_{reg} frequencies in patients with autoimmune and inflammatory disorders is being examined clinically [43]. Such therapy currently requires the adoptive transfer of T_{reg} , a strategy that is difficult, time consuming, and expensive [44]. The same outcome might be achieved by administering Sup ODN. Our studies of $CD4^+CD25^-$ T cells from normal human donors shows that Sup ODN induces them to differentiate into iT_{reg} . To study the effect of Sup ODN *in vivo*, the well defined DO11.10 Tg / Rag2 KO mouse model was employed [18]. This model has been used to identify other agents capable of eliciting Ag-specific T_{reg} responses [19;45]. Our results establish that Sup ODN significantly increase the number of CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells that differentiate into T_{reg} *in vivo* (Fig 4). Other agents designed to increase T_{reg} production caused moderate-severe adverse events in clinical trials [43;46;47]. By comparison, pre-clinical studies found that Sup ODN are safe even when administered repeatedly and at high doses [48]. Taken together, these findings suggest that Sup ODN may be a promising tool to augment the generation of iT_{reg} in humans.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Funding

This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health.

The assertions herein are the private ones of the authors and are not to be construed as official or as reflecting the views of the National Cancer Institute at large.

Abbreviations

ODN	phosphorothiate	oligodeoxynucleotid
ODN	phosphorothiate	oligodeoxynucleotid

suppressive	•
-------------	---

References

- Atianand MK, Fitzgerald KA. Molecular basis of DNA recognition in the immune system. J Immunol. 2013; 190:1911. [PubMed: 23417527]
- Gursel I, Gursel M, Yamada H, Ishii KJ, Takeshita F, Klinman DM. Repetitive elements in mammalian telomeres suppress bacterial DNA-induced immune activation. J Immunol. 2003; 171:1393. [PubMed: 12874230]
- Klinman DM, Tross D, Klaschik S, Shirota H, Sato T. Therapeutic applications and mechanisms underlying the activity of immunosuppressive oligonucleotides. Ann NY Acad Sci. 2009; 1175:80. [PubMed: 19796080]
- Dong I, Ito S, Ishii K, Klinman D. Suppressive Oligodeoxynucleotides Delay the Onset of Glomerulonephritis and Prolong the Survival of Lupus-prone NZB/W Mice. Arthritis Rheum. 2004; 52:651. [PubMed: 15692999]
- Dong L, Ito S, Ishii KJ, Klinman DM. Suppressive oligonucleotides protect against collageninduced arthritis in mice. Arthritis Rheum. 2004; 50:1686. [PubMed: 15146440]
- Fujimoto C, Klinman DM, Shi G, yin H, Vistica BP, Lovaas JD, Wawrousek EF, Igarashi T, Chan CC, Gery I. A suppressive oligodeoxynucleotide inhibits ocular inflammation. Clin Exp Immunol. 2009; 156:528. [PubMed: 19438607]
- Shirota H, Gursel I, Gursel M, Klinman DM. Suppressive oligodeoxynucleotides protect mice from lethal endotoxic shock. J Immunol. 2005; 174:4579. [PubMed: 15814679]
- Shirota H, Gursel M, Klinman DM. Suppressive oligodeoxynucleotides inhibit Th1 differentiation by blocking IFN-gamma- and IL-12-mediated signaling. J Immunol. 2004; 173:5002. [PubMed: 15470043]
- Sakaguchi S, Yamaguchi T, Nomura T, Ono M. Regulatory T cells and immune tolerance. Cell. 2008; 133:775. [PubMed: 18510923]
- Fontenot JD, Gavin MA, Rudensky AY. Foxp3 programs the development and function of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells. Nat Immunol. 2003; 4:330. [PubMed: 12612578]
- Curotto de Lafaille MA, Lafaille JJ. Natural and adaptive foxp3+ regulatory T cells: more of the same or a division of labor? Immunity. 2009; 30:626. [PubMed: 19464985]
- 12. Hori S, Nomura T, Sakaguchi S. Control of regulatory T cell development by the transcription factor Foxp3. Science. 2003; 299:1057. [PubMed: 12522256]
- Khattri R, Cox T, Yasayko SA, Ramsdell F. An essential role for Scurfin in CD4+CD25+ T regulatory cells. Nat Immunol. 2003; 4:337. [PubMed: 12612581]
- Fontenot JD, Rasmussen JP, Williams LM, Dooley JL, Farr AG, Rudensky AY. Regulatory T cell lineage specification by the forkhead transcription factor foxp3. Immunity. 2005; 22:329. [PubMed: 15780990]
- 15. Bennett CL, Christie J, Ramsdell F, Brunkow ME, Ferguson PJ, Whitesell L, Kelly TE, Saulsbury FT, Chance PF, Ochs HD. The immune dysregulation, polyendocrinopathy, enteropathy, X-linked

syndrome (IPEX) is caused by mutations of FOXP3. Nat Genet. 2001; 27:20. [PubMed: 11137993]

- Chen W, Jin W, Hardegen N, Lei KJ, Li L, Marinos N, McGrady G, Wahl SM. Conversion of peripheral CD4+ J Exp Med. 2003; 198:1875. [PubMed: 14676299]
- 17. Fantini MC, Becker C, Monteleone G, Pallone F, Galle PR, Neurath MF. Cutting edge: TGF-beta induces a regulatory phenotype in CD4+ J Immunol. 2004; 172:5149. [PubMed: 15100250]
- Thorstenson KM, Khoruts A. Generation of anergic and potentially immunoregulatory CD25+CD4 T cells in vivo after induction of peripheral tolerance with intravenous or oral antigen. J Immunol. 2001; 167:188. [PubMed: 11418648]
- Hackl D, Loschko J, Sparwasser T, Reindl W, Krug AB. Activation of dendritic cells via TLR7 reduces Foxp3 expression and suppressive function in induced Tregs. Eur J Immunol. 2011; 41:1334. [PubMed: 21469103]
- Burchill MA, Yang J, Vogtenhuber C, Blazar BR, Farrar MA. IL-2 receptor beta-dependent STAT5 activation is required for the development of Foxp3+ regulatory T cells. J Immunol. 2007; 178:280. [PubMed: 17182565]
- Yao Z, Kanno Y, Kerenyi M, Stephens G, Durant L, Watford WT, Laurence A, Robinson GW, Shevach EM, Moriggl R, Hennighausen L, Wu C, O'Shea JJ. Nonredundant roles for Stat5a/b in directly regulating Foxp3. Blood. 2007; 109:4368. [PubMed: 17227828]
- Wei J, Duramad O, Perng OA, Reiner SL, Liu YJ, Qin FX. Antagonistic nature of T helper 1/2 developmental programs in opposing peripheral induction of Foxp3+ regulatory T cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2007; 104:18169. [PubMed: 17978190]
- 23. Caretto D, Katzman SD, Villarino AV, Gallo E, Abbas AK. Cutting edge: the th1 response inhibits the generation of peripheral regulatory T cells. J Immunol. 2010; 184:30. [PubMed: 19949064]
- 24. Lu LF, Boldin MP, Chaudhry A, Lin LL, Taganov KD, Hanada T, Yoshimura A, Baltimore D, Rudensky AY. Function of miR-146a in controlling Treg cell-mediated regulation of Th1 responses. Cell. 2010; 142:914. [PubMed: 20850013]
- 25. Ma H, Lu C, Ziegler J, Liu A, Sepulveda A, Okada H, Lentzsch S, Mapara MY. Absence of Stat1 in donor CD4(+) T cells promotes the expansion of Tregs and reduces graft-versus-host disease in mice. J Clin Invest. 2011; 121:2554. [PubMed: 21670504]
- Sun CM, Hall JA, Blank RB, Bouladoux N, Oukka M, Mora JR, Belkaid Y. Small intestine lamina propria dendritic cells promote de novo generation of Foxp3 T reg cells via retinoic acid. J Exp Med. 2007; 204:1775. [PubMed: 17620362]
- 27. Durbin JE, Hackenmiller R, Simon MC, Levy DE. Targeted disruption of the mouse Stat1 gene results in compromised innate immunity to viral disease. Cell. 1996; 84:443. [PubMed: 8608598]
- Chen X, Oppenheim JJ, Howard OM. BALB/c mice have more CD4+CD25+ T regulatory cells and show greater susceptibility to suppression of their CD4+ J Leukoc Biol. 2005; 78:114. [PubMed: 15845645]
- Thornton AM, Shevach EM. Suppressor effector function of CD4+CD25+ immunoregulatory T cells is antigen nonspecific. J Immunol. 2000; 164:183. [PubMed: 10605010]
- Chang JH, Kim YJ, Han SH, Kang CY. IFN-gamma-STAT1 signal regulates the differentiation of inducible Treg: potential role for ROS-mediated apoptosis. Eur J Immunol. 2009; 39:1241. [PubMed: 19337996]
- Kaminski JJ, Schattgen SA, Tzeng TC, Bode C, Klinman DM, Fitzgerald KA. Synthetic Oligodeoxynucleotides Containing Suppressive TTAGGG Motifs Inhibit AIM2 Inflammasome Activation. J Immunol. 2013; 191:3876. [PubMed: 23986531]
- Yagci FC, Aslan O, Gursel M, Tincer G, Ozdamar Y, Karatepe K, Akcali KC, Gursel I. Mammalian telomeric DNA suppresses endotoxin-induced uveitis. J Biol Chem. 2010; 285:28806. [PubMed: 20630869]
- Ikeuchi H, Kinjo T, Klinman DM. Effect of suppressive oligodeoxynucleotides on the development of inflammation-induced papillomas. Cancer Prev Res(Phila). 2011; 4:752. [PubMed: 21367957]
- Bode C, Yang XP, Kiu H, Klinman DM. Suppressive oligodeoxynucleotides promote the development of Th17 cells. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e67991. [PubMed: 23844143]

- 35. Zhu J, Paul WE. Heterogeneity and plasticity of T helper cells. Cell Res. 2010; 20:4. [PubMed: 20010916]
- Lee YK, Mukasa R, Hatton RD, Weaver CT. Developmental plasticity of Th17 and Treg cells. Curr Opin Immunol. 2009; 21:274. [PubMed: 19524429]
- Bouladoux N, Hall JA, Grainger JR, dos Santos LM, Kann MG, Nagarajan V, Verthelyi D, Belkaid Y. Regulatory role of suppressive motifs from commensal DNA. Mucosal Immunol. 2012; 5:623. [PubMed: 22617839]
- 38. Kim YC, Bhairavabhotla R, Yoon J, Golding A, Thornton AM, Tran DQ, Shevach EM. Oligodeoxynucleotides stabilize Helios-expressing Foxp3+ human T regulatory cells during in vitro expansion. Blood. 2012; 119:2810. [PubMed: 22294730]
- Pisetsky DS, RCF. Inhibition of murine macrophage IL-12 production by natural and synthetic DNA. Clin Immunol. 2000; 96:198. [PubMed: 10964537]
- 40. Hall JA, Bouladoux N, Sun CM, Wohlfert EA, Blank RB, Zhu Q, Grigg ME, Berzofsky JA, Belkaid Y. Commensal DNA limits regulatory T cell conversion and is a natural adjuvant of intestinal immune responses. Immunity. 2008; 29:637. [PubMed: 18835196]
- LaRosa DF, Gelman AE, Rahman AH, Zhang J, Turka LA, Walsh PT. CpG DNA inhibits CD4+CD25+ Treg suppression through direct MyD88-dependent costimulation of effector CD4+ T cells. Immunol Lett. 2007; 108:183. [PubMed: 17270282]
- Olivier A, Sainz-Perez A, Dong H, Sparwasser T, Majlessi L, Leclerc C. The adjuvant effect of TLR agonists on CD4(+) effector T cells is under the indirect control of regulatory T cells. Eur J Immunol. 2011; 41:2303. [PubMed: 21538349]
- 43. von BH, Daniel C. Therapeutic opportunities for manipulating T(Reg) cells in autoimmunity and cancer. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2013; 12:51. [PubMed: 23274471]
- 44. Tang Q, Lee K. Regulatory T-cell therapy for transplantation: how many cells do we need? Curr Opin Organ Transplant. 2012; 17:349. [PubMed: 22790069]
- Nayak S, Cao O, Hoffman BE, Cooper M, Zhou S, Atkinson MA, Herzog RW. Prophylactic immune tolerance induced by changing the ratio of antigen-specific effector to regulatory T cells. J Thromb Haemost. 2009; 7:1523. [PubMed: 19583824]
- Pham PT, Pham PC, Danovitch GM, Ross DJ, Gritsch HA, Kendrick EA, Singer J, Shah T, Wilkinson AH. Sirolimus-associated pulmonary toxicity. Transplantation. 2004; 77:1215. [PubMed: 15114088]
- 47. Baluna R, Vitetta ES. Vascular leak syndrome: a side effect of immunotherapy. Immunopharm. 1997; 37:117.
- Klinman DM, Gursel I, Klaschik S, Dong L, Currie D, Shirota H. Therapeutic potential of oligonucleotides expressing immunosuppressive TTAGGG motifs. Ann NY Acad Sci. 2005; 1058:87. [PubMed: 16394128]

Highlights

- 1. Supressive oligonucleotides (Sup ODN) enhance the generation of functionally active iT_{reg} in vitro
- 2. Sup ODN promote the generation of iT_{regs} in response to peptide challenge *in vivo* 3) The inhibition of STAT1 activation by Sup ODN increases the generation of iT_{reg}



Figure 1. Suppressive ODN promote the generation of iT_{reg} in vitro

CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells were isolated from female BALB/c mice by negative selection. They were incubated for 2 h with 1 uM of suppressive or control ODN and then cultured under T_{reg} polarizing conditions (3 ug/ml of anti-CD3, 2 ug/ml of anti-CD28 and 5 ng/ml of TGF β) for 5 days. i T_{reg} were identified based on their expression of CD4 and FoxP3 by flow cytometry. B, C) Combined results from 4 independent experiments showing the frequency and absolute number of CD4⁺ FoxP3⁺ T_{reg} (mean + SE) generated by each type of treatment over 3–5 days. (D) mRNA was isolated after 5 days of culture and analyzed for FoxP3 gene expression by RT PCR. Relative mRNA levels were calculated by comparison to cells cultured under the same conditions in the absence of ODN. Results from each group were normalized to GAPDH mRNA levels. Each bar represents the mean + SE of 3 independent experiments.

*, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ***, p<0.001



Figure 2. Suppressive ODN promote the generation of human iT_{reg} in vitro

 $CD4^+CD25^-$ T cells were isolated from normal healthy volunteers (N=3) and incubated for 2 h with 3 uM of suppressive ODN in medium supplemented with IL-2, anti-CD3/CD28 and TGF β 1 as described in the methods section. The cells were stained to detect expression of CD4 and FoxP3 and analyzed by FACS on day 5. Each donor was analyzed independently on a different day and results show the mean + SD of all samples. *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01



Figure 3. Suppressive ODN enhance the generation of functional iT_{reg}

CD4⁺FoxP3⁻ T cells were FACS isolated from the spleen of FoxP3 GFP knock-in mice and induced to differentiate under T_{reg} polarizing conditions for 3 days as described in Fig 1. The CD4⁺ FoxP3⁺ T_{reg} generated *in vitro* were purified and mixed with CD4⁺CD25⁻ responders (T_{resp}) labeled with cell trace violet and stimulated with anti-CD3 plus mitomycin C treated APCs. A) Absolute number of i T_{reg} generated. B) Effect of T_{reg} on the proliferation of activated T_{resp} at a 1 : 1 ratio. C) Effect of T_{reg} frequency on the proliferation of T_{resp} . All data represent the mean of two independent experiments using T_{reg} generated in the presence or absence of Sup ODN. *, p<0.05.



Figure 4. Suppressive ODN increase the number of Ag-specific iT_{reg} generated in vivo FoxP3⁻ T cells were isolated from Rag2^{-/-} / DO11.10 mice, labeled with CSFE, and injected i.v. into syngeneic BALB/c mice. Recipients were treated on day 1 with 5 ug of OVA₃₂₃₋₃₃₉ peptide \pm 300 ug of Sup ODN. On day 5, peripheral lymph nodes were isolated and FACS analyzed for the presence of CFSE⁺ donor cells. A) The percent of transferred cells maturing into FoxP3⁺ T_{reg} *in vivo* and B) the absolute number of T_{reg} (defined as CD4⁺ FoxP3⁺CFSE⁺KJ1-26⁺ cells). Data represent the mean + SD of three independent experiments involving 4 independently studied mice/group. *, p<0.05



Figure 5. Effect of Suppressive ODN on STAT 1 phosphorylation and iT_{reg} generation

A,B) CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells from BALB/c mice were induced to differentiate under T_{reg} polarizing conditions as described in Fig 1. The level of STAT1 and STAT4 phosphorylation was determined by flow cytometry using phospho-specific Abs at 4 h (A). The mean + SE level of STAT phosphorylation from 4 independent experiments (B). C,D) CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells from C57Bl/6 or STAT1-deficient C57Bl/6 mice were induced to differentiate under T_{reg} polarizing conditions. T_{reg} were identified on day 3 on the basis of CD4 and FoxP3 expression (C). The fold increase in CD4⁺ FoxP3⁺ T_{reg} frequency was calculated by comparison to cells from WT mice cultured under optimized conditions but in the absence of Sup ODN (D). Data represent the mean + SE of 3 independent experiments. **, p<0.01