The Ssu72 Phosphatase Mediates the RNA Polymerase II Initiation-Elongation Transition*

Received for publication, September 2, 2014, and in revised form, October 15, 2014 Published, JBC Papers in Press, October 22, 2014, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M114.608695

Jesús D. Rosado-Lugo¹ and Michael Hampsey²

From the Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854

Background: Ssu72 is a RNAPII CTD phosphatase; its function in the transcription cycle has not been established. **Results:** Ssu72 dephosphorylates Ser(P)⁵ at the initiation-elongation transition and regulates Ser² phosphorylation status. **Conclusion:** Ssu72 functions at multiple stages of the RNAPII transcription cycle.

Significance: Our results correct two previous misconceptions: (i) that Rtr1 is a $Ser(P)^5$ phosphatase and (ii) that Ssu72 dephosphorylates $Ser(P)^5$ only during transcription termination.

Transitions between the different stages of the RNAPII transcription cycle involve the recruitment and exchange of factors, including mRNA capping enzymes, elongation factors, splicing factors, 3'-end-processing complexes, and termination factors. These transitions are coordinated by the dynamic phosphorylation of the C-terminal domain (CTD) of the largest subunit of RNAPII (Rpb1). The CTD is composed of reiterated heptapeptide repeats (Y¹S²P³T⁴S⁵P⁶S⁷) that undergo phosphorylation and dephosphorylation as RNAPII transitions through the transcription cycle. An essential phosphatase in this process is Ssu72, which exhibits catalytic specificity for $Ser(P)^5$ and $Ser(P)^7$. Ssu72 is unique in that it is specific for $Ser(P)^5$ in one orientation of the CTD and for Ser(P)⁷ when bound in the opposite orientation. Moreover, Ssu72 interacts with components of the initiation machinery and affects start site selection yet is an integral component of the CPF 3'-end-processing complex. Here we provide a comprehensive view of the effects of Ssu72 with respect to its Ser(P)⁵ phosphatase activity. We demonstrate that Ssu72 dephosphorylates Ser(P)⁵ at the initiation-elongation transition. Furthermore, Ssu72 indirectly affects the levels of Ser(P)² during the elongation stage of transcription but does so independent of its catalytic activity.

Transcription by RNAPII³ occurs in distinct stages that include assembly of the preinitiation complex, promoter melting, initiation, promoter clearance, elongation, mRNA 3'-end processing, and termination (1). As RNAPII progresses through the transcription cycle, an array of complexes are recruited to RNAPII, including capping enzymes, elongation factors, splicing factors, 3'-end-processing complexes, and termination factors (2–4). Recruitment of these factors to RNAPII is coordinated by the dynamic phosphorylation of the C-terminal domain (CTD) of the Rpb1 subunit of RNAPII (5–12).

The CTD is composed of multiple heptad repeats of the consensus sequence $Y^1S^2P^3T^4S^5P^6S^7$. The CTD is conserved among eukaryotic RNAPIIs, although the number of repeats varies among species. In budding yeast, the CTD is composed of 26 repeats of which 18 exactly match the consensus sequence. In mammalian cells, the CTD is longer. For example, the human CTD consists of 52 repeats, but only 21 match the consensus sequence (13). Every residue of the CTD is subject to post-translational modifications during different stages of the transcription cycle. All five of the hydroxylated amino acids (Ser², Ser⁵, and Ser⁷ as well as Tyr¹ and Thr⁴) are phosphorylated in mammalian cells (1, 14–18). Also, both prolines undergo cis-trans isomerization that affects the phosphorylation status of the other residues (19).

The RNAPII CTD needs to be in a hypophosphorylated state to be recruited to the promoter (20). Once assembled into the preinitiation complex, RNAPII Ser⁵ and Ser⁷ are phosphorylated (Ser(P)⁵ and Ser(P)⁷) by the Kin28 (yeast) or Cdk7 (mammalian) kinase subunit of TFIIH (16). As RNAPII clears the promoter and enters the elongation phase, Ser(P)⁵ undergoes dephosphorylation, Ser(P)⁷ levels remain relatively constant (21, 22), and Ser² gradually becomes phosphorylated (Ser(P)²) (16). In mammalian cells, Tyr(P)¹ levels rise downstream of the transcription start site, similar to Ser(P)², and then decrease before the polyadenylation site (1). A pattern for the phosphorylation status of Thr⁴ remains to be elucidated (12). All of these modifications alter the structure of the CTD, which in turn regulates the recruitment and exchange of processing factors (22–26).

Ssu72 is a CTD phosphatase specific for $Ser(P)^5$ and $Ser(P)^7$ and is essential for cell viability (21, 22, 27, 28). Despite its essential role in CTD dephosphorylation, the specific role of Ssu72 in the transcription cycle remains unresolved. Intriguingly, the orientation of Ssu72 relative to the backbone polarity of the CTD is critical for substrate specificity: in one orientation, specificity is for Ser(P)⁵, whereas in the opposite orientation, specificity is for Ser(P)⁷, albeit with much lower activity

^{*} This work was supported, in whole or in part, by National Institutes of Health Grants R01 GM39484 (to M. H.) and R01 GM068887 (to Claire Moore and M. H.).

¹ Supported by the National Institutes of Health Bridge to Doctoral Degree Program (Grant GM58389), by the National Institutes of Health IMSD Award-UMDNJ/Rutgers University Pipeline Program (Grant GM55145), and by a National Institutes of Health Grant R01 GM39484 supplement.

² To whom correspondence should be addressed: Dept. of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, Rutgers University, 683 Hoes Lane W., Piscataway, NJ 08854. Tel.: 732-235-5888; Fax: 732-235-5889; E-mail: michael.hampsey@rutgers.edu.

³ The abbreviations used are: RNAPII, RNA polymerase II; CTD, RNAPII C-terminal domain; IP, immunoprecipitation.

TABLE 1
List of yeast strains

ist of yeast strains					
Strain ^a	Genotype	Source/Reference			
BY4741	MATa his $3\Delta 1$ leu $2\Delta 0$ met $15\Delta 0$ ura $3\Delta 0$	Open Biosystems (Huntsville, AL)			
YMH1111	MATa his $3\Delta 1$ leu $2\Delta 0$ met $15\Delta 0$ ura $3\Delta 0$ rtr 1 ::KanMX	Open Biosystems (Huntsville, AL)			
H-51	MATa his3∆200 leu2-3,112 ura3-52	Ref. 38			
YMH650	MATa his3∆200 leu2-3,112 ura3-52 ssu72–2	Ref. 38			
FY23	MATa ura3-52 trp1 Δ 63 leu2 Δ 1	Ref. 42			
XH-24	MATa ura3-52 trp1 Δ 63 leu2 Δ 1 ssu72-td	Ref. 31			
YMH1237	MATa ura3-52 trp1 Δ 63 leu2 Δ 1 ssu72-td (pM712: SSU72-CEN-TRP1)	This study			
YMH1238	MATa ura3-52 trp1 Δ 63 leu2 Δ 1 ssu72-td (pM698: ssu72-4-CEN-TRP1)	This study			

^a Strain H-51 is identical to LRB535 (38).

(28). Ssu72 was first identified based on a genetic interaction with the general transcription factor TFIIB, an interaction that affects the accuracy of start site selection (29). Ssu72 physically associates with TFIIB; the Rpb2 subunit of RNAPII; the Taf2, Taf3, and Taf6 subunits of TFIID; the Kin28 subunit of TFIIH; and regulators of TFIIH activity (22, 30). These interactions implicate Ssu72 in initiation, yet Ssu72 is an integral component of the CPF mRNA 3'-end-processing complex (30-32). Consistent with its presence in the CPF complex, Ssu72 mutations adversely affect 3'-end processing and termination (33). Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments reveal that Ssu72 localizes to the 3'-end of genes but also associates with the promoter (21, 22, 32). $Ser(P)^7$ levels accumulate evenly throughout non-coding and protein coding genes in Ssu72 mutants (22). However, the levels of $Ser(P)^5$ were reported to accumulate only at the 3'-ends of genes in ssu72 mutants, suggesting that the phosphatase activity of Ssu72 acts on Ser(P)⁵ specifically during the elongation-termination stage of the transcription cycle (21).

The phylogenetically conserved Rtr1 protein was also reported to have $Ser(P)^5$ phosphatase activity, and this activity manifests early in the transcription cycle (34). However, the role of Rtr1 as a CTD phosphatase has been challenged because its structure lacks an apparent catalytic site, and extensive efforts to demonstrate CTD phosphatase activity were unsuccessful (35). A more recent report described Rtr1 as a dual specificity phosphatase that dephosphorylates $Tyr(P)^1$ and $Ser(P)^5$ (36). Nonetheless, the structure of Rtr1 lacks a well defined catalytic groove that would serve as an active site, and it is not active using monophosphorylated $Tyr(P)^1$ or $Ser(P)^5$ substrates (36). Rtr1 clearly affects CTD phosphorylation, but its specific function in the transcription cycle and its relationship to other CTD phosphatases remain to be resolved.

In this report, we investigated the role of Ssu72 in the transcription cycle. We report that Ssu72 dephosphorylates $Ser(P)^5$ at the initiation-elongation transition. We also demonstrate an unanticipated function for Ssu72 in regulation of Ser² phosphorylation status, a function that is independent of Ssu72 catalytic activity.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Yeast Strains—The *S. cerevisiae* strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Strain YMH1111 is an *rtr1* Δ deletion mutant derived from BY4741 (37). Strain YMH650 (*ssu72-2*) is an isogenic derivative of H-51. The *ssu72-2* allele encodes an alanine replacement of the conserved arginine at position 129 (R129A). This mutant is viable at 30 °C but fails to grow at 37 °C (38). Cell

extracts of YMH650 exhibit \sim 30% of the phosphatase activity of H-51 as determined by cleavage of the p-nitrophenyl phosphate substrate (39). Western blot analysis revealed that the Ser(P)⁵ form of RNAPII accumulates in the ssu72-2 mutant following a 60-min shift to the non-permissive temperature of 37 °C (39). Accumulation of Ser(P)⁵ is not due to Ssu72 instability because no effect of the temperature shift on the steadystate level of the Ssu72-R129A protein was observed (39). Strain XH-24 is an isogenic derivative of FY23 (31) in which the normal SSU72 gene has been replaced by the ssu72-td allele, which enables repression of SSU72 transcription and degron-mediated turnover of the Ssu72 protein following a 30-min shift to 37 °C (27, 31). Strains YMH1237 and YMH1238 are derivatives of XH-24 (ssu72-td) harboring plasmid pM712 [SSU72-CEN-TRP1] or pM698 [ssu72-4-CEN-TRP1], respectively. The ssu72-4 allele encodes a serine replacement of cysteine 15 (C15S) that lies within the PTPase domain (¹⁴VCX₅RS²²) of Ssu72. Cys¹⁵ is responsible for nucleophilic attack of the substrate phosphorus atom, leading to formation of a phosphoenzyme intermediate (27, 38, 40). Because the C15S replacement eliminates catalytic activity of the essential Ssu72 protein, plasmid-borne ssu72-4 was introduced into XH-24 (ssu72-td), followed by depletion of degron-tagged Ssu72 upon temperature shift to 37 °C. Accordingly, this study utilizes three isogenic sets of ssu72 mutants: one that eliminates the Ssu72 protein (ssu72-td), one that retains stable protein but eliminates its catalytic activity (ssu72-4), and one that is temperature-sensitive, expressing \sim 30% of normal Ser(P)⁵ phosphatase activity *in vivo*.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation-ChIP experiments were performed using isogenic strain pairs H-51 (SSU72) and YMH650 (ssu72-2); FY23 (SSU72) and XH-24 (ssu72-td); YMH1237 (ssu72-td [pM712: ssu72-4-CEN-TRP1]) and YMH1238 (ssu72-td [pM698: SSU72-CEN-TRP1]); and BY4741 (RTR1) and YMH1111 (*rtr1* Δ). Cells were grown under permissive (30 °C) or restrictive (37 °C) conditions, as indicated. RNAPII occupancy was assessed for (i) RNAPII, independently of CTD phosphorylation status, using antibody to the Rpb3 subunit (Neoclone); (ii) RNAPII hypophosphorylation using the 8WG16 antibody (Covance); (iii) RNAPII Ser(P)⁵, using the 3E8 monoclonal antibody; and (iv) RNAPII Ser(P)², using the 3E10 monoclonal antibody. Rpb3 and 8WG16 antibodies are commercially available from the indicated vendors and are used routinely to probe RNAPII by ChIP. The specificity of the 8WG16 antibody is directed against the CTD when Ser² is unphosphorylated (41). The 3E8 and 3E10 antibodies where generated in Dirk Eick's laboratory and exhibit specificity for $Ser(P)^5$ and $Ser(P)^2$,



TABLE 2

Oli	gonucl	leotide	sequences	used	for ChIP
	-				

Primer	Location	Orientation	Sequence ^a
PMA1	-304	Forward	CAAATGTCCTATCATTATCGTCTAAC
PP1	-47	Reverse	CTTTTCAATGATTTTCTTTAACTAGCTGG
PMA1	+168	Forward	CGACGACGAAGACAGTGATAACG
PP2	+376	Reverse	ATTGAATTGGACCGACGAAAAACATAAC
PMA1	+584	Forward	AAGTCGTCCCAGGTGATATTTTGCA
PP3	+807	Reverse	AACGAAAGTGTTGTCACCGGTAGC
PMA1	+2018	Forward	CTATTATTGATGCTTTGAAGACCTCCAG
PP5	+2290	Reverse	TGCCCAAAATAATAGACATACCCCATAA
PMA1	+2841	Forward	GATACACTAAAAAGAATTAGGAGCCAAC
PP6	+3073	Reverse	CAAGAAAGAAAAAGTACCATCCAGAG
PMA1	+3448	Forward	GCGCCCATACAGACACTCAAGATAC
PP8	+3662	Reverse	GGCCTGGCGATTTGTTTGCTTTCTTG
PMA1	+3619	Forward	CTTCATCACAAGAAAGCAAACAAATCG
PP9	+3905	Reverse	CGTGATGAGTGAGTTAAGTTCTGCTG
PYK1	-142	Forward	CAT GGT CCC CTT TCA AAG TTA
PP1	-23	Reverse	TGA TTG GTG TCT TGT AAA TAG AAA CA
PYK1	+32	Forward	CGT TGT TGC TGG TTC TGA CTT G
PP2	+193	Reverse	CAA TGA CAG ACT TGT GGT ATT CG
PYK1	+194	Forward	ACA ACG CCA GAA AGT CCG AAG A
PP3	+367	Reverse	CGT CAC AAG CCT TAG CGT ACT TG
PYK1	+917	Forward	CAACCCAAGACCAACCAGAG
PP6	+1058	Reverse	ACAGCGGTTTCAGCCATAGT
PYK1	+1263	Forward	CTT GGT TAC CAG ATG CCC AAG A
PP7	+1416	Reverse	AAG ATA CCG AAT TCC TTA GCC
PYK1	+1569	Forward	GAC ATG GTT TTT CTT TTC AAC TC
PP8	+1710	Reverse	GCA ACA CCT CAT CGT TAT GAC G
ADH1	+165	Forward	GCCATTGCCAGTTAAGCTAC
PP1	+365	Reverse	TGGGTGTAACCAGACAAGTCA
ADH1	+844	Forward	TTCAACCAAGTCGTCAAGTCCATCTC
PP2	+1013	Reverse	ATTTGACCCTTTTCCATCTTTTCGTAA
ADH1	+1204	Forward	GAGGTCGCTCTTATTGACCA
PP3	+1374	Reverse	CGTGTGGAAGAACGATTACA
HMR	Chr III	Forward	CCTACCACATTATCAATCCTTGC
		Reverse	ACATGTCACCAACATTTTCGTAT

^{*a*} All DNA sequences are indicated in 5'-3' polarity.

respectively; these antibodies have also been used successfully to probe RNAPII by ChIP (16, 21, 22).

Yeast cells were grown at 30 °C in either YPD medium, or -Trp medium for strains YMH1237 and YMH1238 to maintain selection for the ssu72 plasmids. Cells were grown to a density of $A_{600} = 0.6$, shifted either to 30 °C or to prewarmed medium at 37 °C, incubated for 1 h, cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde for 15 min at either 30 or 37 °C, and harvested. The reaction was stopped by the addition of glycine to 125 mM, and cultures were incubated for an additional 5 min at either 30 or 37 °C. The cell pellet obtained from the 100-ml culture was washed twice with 10 ml of 1× TBS buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 200 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100) and resuspended in 500 μ l of FA lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.9), 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM PMSF). Approximately 500 μ l of acid-washed glass beads were added, and cells were lysed by vigorous shaking in a minibead beater (MiniBeadBeater-16, model 607) for 4 min at 4 °C. Eppendorf tubes were punctured with a 22-gauge needle, and filtrates were collected in a 15-ml tube, transferred to a 1.5-ml tube, and spun for 15 min at 4 °C in a microcentrifuge. The crude chromatin pellet was washed with 500 μ l of FA lysis buffer twice and resuspended in 800 μ l of FA lysis buffer. Chromatin was sonicated 10 times for 15 s with 30-s intervals in ice-cold FA lysis buffer. Samples were spun at 13,000 rpm in a refrigerated microcentrifuge. The supernatant was mixed with 10 µl of anti-RPB3 monoclonal antibody, 10 µl of 8WG16 monoclonal antibody, 10 μ l of 3E8 (anti-Ser(P)⁵) antibody or 10 μ l of 3E10 (anti-Ser(P)²) antibody, followed by incubation for 4 h (in the case of the 8WG16 antibody) or overnight (in the

case of the anti-Rpb3, 3E10 and 3E8 antibodies) at 4 °C with gentle shaking. Differences in incubation periods reflect different binding affinities for each antibody. The antigen-antibody complex was adsorbed on 50 µl of protein A-Sepharose beads (Invitrogen) in the case of the 8WG16 antibody, anti-rat IgG beads (Sigma) in the case of the 3E10 and 3E8 antibodies, or anti-mouse IgG beads (Sigma) for the Rpb3 antibody and then washed successively with 1 ml each of FA lysis buffer containing 500 mм NaCl, ChIP wash buffer (10 mм Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 250 mM LiCl, 0.5% Nonidet-P40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA), and $1 \times$ TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 1 mM EDTA). The beads were incubated with 10 μ g of DNase-free RNase (Qiagen) for 30 min at 37 °C followed by 20 µg of Proteinase K (Invitrogen) for 1 h at 42 °C. Cross-links were reversed by overnight incubation at 65 °C in the same buffer. Samples were extracted with phenol-chloroform and precipitated with ethanol in the presence of glycogen. DNA pellets were resuspended in 100 µl of TE and used as templates for PCR amplification. PCRs were performed using 1 μ l of immunoprecipitated DNA and the primer pairs defined in Table 2. PCR products were fractionated in 1.5% agarose gels containing ethidium bromide. Band intensity was quantified using an AlphaImager 2200 (Alpha Innotech).

All values used for quantification were established to be within linear range of the PCR. For all ChIP experiments, factor association was quantified by normalizing the IP/input ratio of each probed region to the IP/input ratio of the *HMR* region $((IP_x/INPUT_x)/(IP_{HMR}/INPUT_{HMR}))$. Values represent the mean of three independent biological replicates; *error bars* indicate S.E.

RESULTS

This study is focused on the function of the Ssu72 RNAPII CTD phosphatase in the RNAPII transcription cycle. We have assayed the presence of RNAPII and the phosphorylation status of its CTD using three sets of isogenic ssu72 mutants: (i) one depleted of Ssu72 (ssu72-td), which correlates with depletion of the hypophosphorylated form of RNAPII and a marked increase in the Ser(P)⁵ form of RNAPII in whole cell extracts; one expressing stable but catalytically inactive Ssu72 (ssu72-4); and one expressing Ssu72 with diminished catalytic activity (ssu72-2) (see "Experimental Procedures" and Table 1). Protein-DNA interactions were monitored by ChIP using a set of genes (PMA1, PYK1, and ADH1) that has been used extensively to assay recruitment and exchange of proteins and chromatin marks during the transcription cycle. Chromatin was immunoprecipitated using well defined, specific antibodies. We have organized the presentation of our data according to the antibody used in each ChIP experiment.

Loss of Ssu72 Phosphatase Activity Does Not Impair Progression of RNAPII through the Transcription Cycle-Previous attempts to monitor the progression of RNAPII through the transcription cycle in ssu72 mutants were performed by ChIP using the 8WG16 antibody, which binds preferentially to the hypophosphorylated form of RNAPII rather than total RNAPII, or by using a catalytically inactive mutant (ssu72-C15S) (21, 22). To obtain a more comprehensive depiction of how Ssu72 affects the progression of RNAPII through the transcription cycle, we performed ChIP experiments using a monoclonal antibody directed against the Rpb3 subunit of RNAPII with our three sets of ssu72 mutants. When Ssu72 was depleted in the ssu72-td strain, we found no effect on the levels of RNAPII cross-linked to PMA1 and PYK1 (Fig. 1, C and D). Similar results were observed when the catalytically inactive form of Ssu72 (ssu72-4) was introduced into this strain, followed by depletion of WT Ssu72; RNAPII levels remained the same across both PMA1 and PYK1 (Fig. 1, G and H). Thus, degradation of Ssu72 (ssu72-td) or the complete absence of its phosphatase activity (ssu72-4) did not prevent RNAPII elongation in vivo.

Interestingly, the *ssu72-2* temperature-sensitive mutant showed decreased levels of RNAPII across the *PMA1* gene when incubated at the restrictive temperature of 37 °C (Fig. 1*K*). This mutant, however, exhibited no defect in the RNAPII profile across *PYK1* under the same conditions (Fig. 1*L*). From these results, it appears that Ssu72 with partial catalytic activity (*ssu72-2*) can cause different effects on RNAPII elongation. We do not yet understand why the *ssu72-2* mutant displays low levels of RNAPII across the *PMA1* gene or how many genes exhibit a similar effect. Nonetheless, we conclude that neither Ssu72 (*ssu72-td*) nor its catalytic activity (*ssu72-4*) is essential for progression of RNAPII through the transcription cycle, although partial catalytic activity can exert different effects at different genes.

Ssu72 Dephosphorylates $Ser(P)^5$ during the Early Stages of the Transcription Cycle—Bataille *et al.* (21) reported that the Ssu72 phosphatase activity acts specifically during transcription termination, a conclusion that would seem to be consistent with

Ssu72 Effects on the Transcription Cycle

Ssu72 being an integral component of the CPF mRNA 3'-endprocessing complex. However, the SSU72 gene was initially discovered based on genetic interaction with the transcription initiation factor TFIIB, and the ssu72-1 allele affects transcription start site selection (29). To determine whether the function of the Ssu72 phosphatase is specific to termination or also affects initiation, we carried out ChIP experiments using the 3E8 $(Ser(P)^5)$ monoclonal antibody and our set of *ssu72* mutants. Incubation of the ssu72-2 mutant at the restrictive temperature resulted in accumulation of RNAPII Ser(P)⁵ across the PMA1 and PYK1 genes, indicating that Ssu72 dephosphorylates $Ser(P)^5$ from actively transcribing RNAPII (Fig. 2, A and B). Moreover, accumulation of RNAPII Ser(P)⁵ occurred close to the 5'-ends of PMA1 and PYK1, indicating that Ssu72 acts early in the transcription cycle rather than exclusively at the 3'-ends of genes (21). A different result, however, was observed using the ssu72-td degron mutant. In this strain, which results in total depletion of Ssu72, no effect on RNAPII Ser(P)⁵ levels was observed (Fig. 2, E and F). However, introduction of the catalytically inactive form of Ssu72 into the ssu72-td degron strain led to elevated levels of RNAPII Ser(P)⁵ upon depletion of WT Ssu72 (Fig. 2, I and J). These results indicate that in the absence of normal Ssu72 activity (ssu72-2 or ssu72-4), the cell is unable to dephosphorylate Ser(P)⁵. However, in the complete absence of the Ssu72 protein (ssu72-td), the ability to dephosphorylate $Ser(P)^5$ is restored. Taken together, these results demonstrate that the Ssu72 phosphatase affects the initiationelongation transition but also suggest that another CTD phosphatase is able to substitute for Ssu72 and dephosphorylate $Ser(P)^5$ but does so only in the absence of Ssu72. We suggest that Ssu72 bound to the CTD prevents another phosphatase, perhaps Rtr1, from interacting with the CTD (see "Discussion").

Effect of Ssu72 on CTD Ser² Phosphorylation—Another reagent to monitor CTD phosphorylation status is the 8WG16 antibody. 8WG16 binds hypophosphorylated CTD that is specifically unphosphorylated at Ser² (41). Degradation of Ssu72 in the *ssu72-td* mutant (XH-24) led to diminished levels of hypophosphorylated CTD as detected by Western blot (27), suggesting that Ssu72 either has catalytic activity toward Ser(P)² (in addition to Ser(P)⁵ and Ser(P)⁷) or that Ssu72 has an indirect effect on Ser(P)² dephosphorylation.

To assess whether the effect of Ssu72 on Ser(P)² levels was occurring on the CTD of actively transcribing RNAPII, we performed ChIP using the 8WG16 antibody and our set of *ssu72* mutants. Consistent with Western blot results (27), the 8WG16 ChIP signal decreased when Ssu72 is degraded in the *ssu72-td* strain (Fig. 3, *A* and *B*). This result implies that Ser(P)² levels increase when Ssu72 activity is diminished or lost. Similar results were observed when the *ssu72-2* mutant was incubated at the restrictive temperature (Fig. 3, *E* and *F*). However, when the only source of Ssu72 in the cell is the catalytically inactive C15S mutant, no reduction in the 8WG16 ChIP signal is observed (Fig. 3, *I* and *J*). This result suggests that the increase in Ser(P)² in the other *ssu72* mutants (Fig. 3, *A*–*H*), detected as a drop in the 8WG16 signal, is not due to an increase in Ser(P)⁵.

To confirm this conclusion, we performed 8WG16 ChIP analysis using an $rtr1\Delta$ deletion mutant (YMH1111), which was shown previously to exhibit an increase in Ser(P)⁵ levels (14,



16). If our conclusion that elevated $\text{Ser}(P)^5$ levels are not affecting 8WG16 epitope levels is correct, we should observe a strong 8WG16 signal even when $\text{Ser}(P)^5$ levels are elevated. Our results reveal a slight, uniform decrease in the 8WG16 signal (Fig. 4, *A* and *B*), which is probably due to the loss of RNAPII occupancy on *PMA1* and *PYK1*, as reported previously (34). As a control, we confirmed that $\text{Ser}(P)^5$ levels are indeed elevated in the *rtr1* Δ mutant by ChIP analysis of *PMA1* and *PYK1* (Fig. 4, *E* and *F*), thereby proving that elevated $\text{Ser}(P)^5$ levels are not affecting 8WG16 epitope (Ser²) levels. Furthermore, the diminished 8WG16 signal is not due to an accumulation of $\text{Ser}(P)^7$ because the catalytically inactive *ssu72-4* mutant shows ele-



SASBMB

vated levels of $Ser(P)^7$ on actively transcribing RNAPII (22) as well as a strong 8WG16 ChIP signal (14, 16, 34). Taken together, our results demonstrate that Ssu72 not only catalyzes $Ser(P)^5$ dephosphorylation but also regulates the phosphorylation status of the CTD in a manner independent of its $Ser(P)^5$ phosphatase activity.

Loss of Ssu72 Leads to Deregulation of Ser² Phosphorylation— As noted above, a decrease in the 8WG16 epitope signal implies an increase in Ser(P)² on actively transcribing RNA-PII in the ssu72 mutants. To test this premise, we performed ChIP experiments using the 3E10 monoclonal antibody against $Ser(P)^2$ with the *ssu72-td* strain (XH-24). Here we assayed the ADH1 gene because the $Ser(P)^2$ profile of this gene follows a clear linear increase in the ChIP signal from promoter to terminator, making it easier to recognize pattern changes when comparing WT and mutant strains (43). Our results indicate that degradation of Ssu72 results in a clear increase in $Ser(P)^2$ (Fig. 5, A and B). Furthermore, this effect is evident from the promoter region of ADH1, as opposed to the gradual increase in the signal characteristic of Ser(P)² ChIPs in a WT strain (43). Because Ssu72 is specific for dephosphorylation of $Ser(P)^5$ and $Ser(P)^7$ (21, 22, 28), with no activity toward $Ser(P)^2$ (27, 44), our results imply that Ssu72 indirectly regulates Ser² phosphorylation. Ssu72 could exert this effect by facilitating the recruitment and/or activation of the $Ser(P)^2$ phosphatase, Fcp1, at promoters. Perhaps depletion of Ssu72 inactivates the phosphatase activity of Fcp1 and allows the principal $Ser(P)^2$ kinase, Ctk1, to phosphorylate Ser² earlier than usual in the transcription cycle.

DISCUSSION

Using a novel set of ssu72 mutants, we demonstrate that Ssu72 is a RNAPII CTD Ser(P)⁵ phosphatase that acts during the initiation to elongation transition of the transcription cycle. This conclusion is in contrast to the previous report that Ssu72 acts specifically at termination (21). Both the ssu72-2 temperature-sensitive mutant and ssu72-4 null mutant show clear accumulation of the Ser(P)⁵ signal, which appears to be uniformly high across the genes examined here rather than diminishing with increasing distance from the promoter. Thus, the Ssu72 phosphatase acts early in the transcription cycle, consistent with the initial identification of Ssu72 as a protein that interacts

Ssu72 Effects on the Transcription Cycle

with TFIIB to affect start site selection (29). The significance of this result lies in two previous misconceptions: (i) that Rtr1 is the sole $Ser(P)^5$ phosphatase acting early in the transcription cycle and (ii) that Ssu72 dephosphorylates $Ser(P)^5$ only during transcription termination (21, 34, 35).

A striking result from this study is that degradation of Ssu72 in the *ssu72-td* strain did not result in accumulation of Ser(P)⁵ on transcribing RNAPII, although Western blot experiments show a clear accumulation of bulk, steady-state Ser(P)⁵. A possible explanation for this result is that in the absence of the Ssu72 protein, as opposed to the presence of catalytically inactive Ssu72, transcribing RNAPII undergoes Ser(P)⁵ dephosphorylation by another CTD phosphatase. We suggest that catalytically inactive Ssu72 (*ssu72-2* or *ssu72-4*) retains the ability to associate with the CTD and render it inaccessible to the other Ser(P)⁵ phosphatase, perhaps Rtr1 (36). This conclusion is reminiscent of catalytically inactive mutants of Fcp1, the Ser(P)² phosphatase, which dwell on the CTD (45).

Failure to dephosphorylate $Ser(P)^5$ did not affect RNAPII progression through the transcription cycle. This result, although novel, is not entirely unexpected because previous studies showed that inactivation of the CTD Ser⁵ kinase, Kin28, had little effect on promoter clearance (16, 46, 47). Also, the *rtr1* Δ deletion, which results in high levels of Ser(P)⁵, allows for RNAPII progression through genes as well (34). Although RNAPII CTD phosphorylation/dephosphorylation plays a crucial role in coupling transcription with RNA processing, phosphorylation status does not seem to affect the ability of RNAPII to transcribe a gene. It is important to recognize that our studies addressed only the effects of *ssu72* mutations on the phosphorylation status of RNAPII and its progression across genes and not on RNA processing.

Why RNAPII occupancy across the *PMA1* gene is diminished when the *ssu72-2* strain is incubated at the restrictive temperature is not clear. Ssu72 affects the expression of a small set of genes in different ways. For example, the Faye laboratory showed that expression of <200 genes was at least 2-fold higher and that of <150 genes was at least 2-fold lower in their *ssu72ts69* strain (48). It is possible that transcription of *PMA1* is diminished in the *ssu72-2* mutant, leading to less Rpb3 crosslinking over its open reading frame. This possibility is unlikely, however, because Rpb3 occupancy over *PMA1* in the *ssu72-td*

FIGURE 1. Ssu72 phosphatase activity is not required for progression of RNAPII through the transcription cycle. A, schematic depiction of the PMA1 gene showing the position of the promoter (bent arrow), the two 3'-end processing/polyadenylation sites (light arrows), and the termination site (heavy arrow). The regions probed by ChIP are denoted 1-3, 5-6, and 8-9. The PMA1 PCR primers are defined in Table 2 and are identical to the primer pairs described previously (43). B, schematic depiction of the PYK1 gene. The regions probed by ChIP are denoted 1–3 and 6–8. The PYK1 ChIP primers are defined in Table 2 and are identical to the primer pairs described previously (34). C, ChIP analysis of Rpb3 cross-linked to PMA1 using isogenic strains H-51 (WT) and YMH650 (ssu72-2) that had been incubated at either the permissive (30 °C) or restrictive (37 °C) temperature for 1 h prior to cross-linking. Chromatin was immunoprecipitated using monoclonal α-Rpb3 antibody. Lanes correspond to the regions depicted in A; HMR denotes a transcriptionally silent domain on chromosome III. The input signal represents DNA prior to immunoprecipitation. D, ChIP analysis of Rpb3 cross-linked to PYK1 using the same strains and conditions as in C. E, quantification of the data shown in C. For all ChIP experiments, factor association was quantified by normalizing the IP/input ratio of each probed region to the IP/input ratio of the HMR region ((IP_x/INPUT_x)/(IP_{HMR}/INPUT_{HMR})). Values represent the mean of three independent biological replicates; error bars, S.E. In all graphs, the y axis represents -fold enrichment, and the x axis represents the region probed on the gene. F, quantification of the data shown in D. G, identical to B, except chromatin was immunoprecipitated from isogenic strains FY23 (*WT*) and XH-24 (*ssu72-td*) that had been incubated at either the permissive (30 °C) or restrictive (37 °C) temperature for 1 h prior to cross-linking. Incubation of XH-24 at 37 °C results in depletion of the Ssu72 CTD phosphatase (31). *H*, identical to *D*, except chromatin was immunoprecipitated from isogenic strains FY23 (WT) and XH-24 (ssu72-td). I, quantification of the data shown in G. J, quantification of the data shown in *H. K*, ChIP analysis of Rpb3 cross-linking to *PMA1* using strain YMH1237 (*ssu72-td* [*SSU72-CEN-TRP1*]) (*labeled WT*) or YMH1238 (*ssu72-td* [*ssu72-td* [*ssu72*analysis of Rpb3 cross-linked to PYK1 using the same strains and conditions as in K. M, quantification of the data shown in K. N, quantification of the data shown in L.





FIGURE 2. Loss of Ssu72 phosphatase activity results in accumulation of the Ser(P)⁵ form of RNAPII across the *PMA1* and *PYK1* genes. *A*, ChIP analysis of Ser(P)⁵ cross-linking to *PMA1* using isogenic strains H-51 (*WT*) and YMH650 (*ssu72-2*). Chromatin was immunoprecipitated using monoclonal antibody 3E8 (α -Ser(P)⁵). *B*, ChIP analysis of Ser(P)⁵ cross-linking to *PYK1* using the same strains and conditions as in *A*. *C*, quantification of the data shown in *A*. *D*, quantification of the data shown in *B*. *E*, identical to *A*, except chromatin was immunoprecipitated from isogenic strains FY23 (*WT*) and XH-24 (*ssu72-td*). *F*, identical to *B*, except chromatin was immunoprecipitated from isogenic strains FY23 (*WT*) and XH-24 (*ssu72-td*). *F*, identical to of the data shown in *F*. *I*, ChIP analysis of Ser(P)⁵ cross-linking to *PMA1* using the XH-24 strain transformed with plasmid DNA carrying either wild type *SSU72* (pM712, labeled as WT), or catalytically inactive *ssu72-C155* (pM698, labeled as *ssu72-4*) (Table 1). Strains were incubated at either the permissive (30 °C) or restrictive (37 °C) temperature for 1 h prior to cross-linking. *J*, ChIP analysis of Ser(P)⁵ cross-linking to *PK1* using the data shown in *I*. *L*, quantification of the data shown in *J*.

and *ssu72-4* strains is unaffected. Our set of *ssu72* mutants is likely to be important to resolve this issue by analyzing RNAPII occupancy on a genome-wide scale.

We had noticed previously in whole-cell extracts that inactivation of Ssu72 resulted in diminished levels of hypophosphor-

ylated RNAPII detected by the 8WG16 antibody (27). Here we showed, however, that the decrease in the 8WG16 ChIP signal is not related to elevated levels of Ser(P)⁵ on transcribing RNA-PII. This result is evident in the *ssu72-td* background, where we did not see an accumulation of Ser(P)⁵ on either *PMA1* or *PYK1*



FIGURE 3. **Ssu72 affects the levels of hypophosphorylated RNAPII across the** *PMA1* **and** *PYK1* **genes.** *A*, ChIP analysis of hypophosphorylated CTD cross-linked to *PMA1* **using isogenic strains** FY23 (*WT*) and XH-24 (*ssu72-td*). Chromatin was immunoprecipitated using the monoclonal antibody 8WG16. *B*, ChIP analysis of hypophosphorylated CTD cross-linking to *PYK1* using the same strains and conditions as in *A*. *C*, quantification of the data shown in *A*. *D*, quantification of the data shown in *B*. *E*, identical to *A*, except chromatin was immunoprecipitated from isogenic strains H-51 (*WT*) and YMH650 (*ssu72-2*). *F*, identical to *B*, except chromatin was immunoprecipitated from isogenic strains not the data shown in *E*. *H*, quantification of the data shown in *F*. *I*, ChIP analysis of hypophosphorylated CTD cross-linking to PXM1 using the Su72-2. *G*, quantification of the data shown in *E*. *H*, quantification of the data shown in *F*. *I*, ChIP analysis of hypophosphorylated CTD cross-linking to PXM1 using the SU72 or catalytically inactive su22-C15S. J, ChIP analysis of hypophosphorylated CTD cross-linked to *PYK1* using the same strains and conditions as in *I*. *K*, quantification of the data shown in *I*. *L*, quantification of the data shown in *J*. *Error bars*, S.E.

but did see a marked decrease in the hypophosphorylated form of RNAPII as detected by 8WG16. Remarkably, the opposite is true in the *ssu72-4* background, where, although high levels of $Ser(P)^5$ are detected across *PMA1* and *PYK1* at the restrictive temperature, we did not see a drop in the 8WG16 ChIP signal under the same conditions. In agreement with these results, we found that the $rtr1\Delta$ deletion, which results in the accumulation of RNAPII Ser(P)⁵ across genes (34), does not affect the pattern of the 8WG16 ChIP. This information suggests that Ssu72 has a function that affects the phosphorylation status of the CTD that is independent of its role in catalyzing Ser(P)⁵ dephosphorylation.





FIGURE 4. Loss of Rtr1 function does not affect the levels of hypophosphorylated RNAPII across the *PMA1* and *PYK1* genes. *A*, identical to Fig. 3*A*, except chromatin was immunoprecipitated from isogenic strains BY4741 (*WT*) and YMH1111 (*rtr1*Δ). *B*, identical to Fig. 3*B*, except chromatin was immunoprecipitated from isogenic strains BY4741 (*WT*) and YMH1111 (*rtr1*Δ). *B*, identical to Fig. 3*B*, except chromatin was immunoprecipitated from isogenic strains BY4741 (*WT*) and YMH1111 (*rtr1*Δ). *C*, quantification of the data shown in *A*. *D*, quantification of the data shown in *B*. *E*, identical to *A*, except chromatin was immunoprecipitated using monoclonal antibody 3E8 (α-Ser(P)⁵). *F*, identical to *B*, except chromatin was immunoprecipitated using monoclonal antibody 3E8 (α-Ser(P)⁵). *G*, quantification of the data shown in *F*. *Error bars*, S.E.

The increase in $Ser(P)^2$ levels that we detected in the *ssu72-td* strain is likely to be an indirect effect because it is known from in vitro experiments that Ssu72 does not dephosphorylate $Ser(P)^2$ (27, 44). In yeast, the three enzymes that affect Ser^2 phosphorylation status are the two kinases, Ctk1 and Bur1, and the phosphatase Fcp1. All three proteins genetically interact with Ssu72 (48, 49). Therefore, it is possible that Ssu72 regulates the activity or recruitment of one or more of these proteins. The most likely explanation is that Fcp1 is aberrantly regulated in the absence of Ssu72. ChIP experiments with the *fcp1-1* and *fcp1-2* mutants exhibit a drop in the 8WG16 signal across the PMA1 and ADH1 genes starting from the promoters in a manner similar to our results for the ssu72-td and ssu72-2 mutants (21, 41). Also, overexpression of Fcp1 results in suppression of the temperature-sensitive phenotype of the ssu72-ts52 allele (48). This would explain how Ssu72 mutants alter the normal pattern of Ser² phosphorylation without actually being a Ser(P)² phosphatase. The Fcp1 phosphatase and the Ctk1 kinase are both recruited to RNAPII at promoters and travel with RNAPII throughout the transcription cycle (41). However, the gradual phosphorylation of Ser² does not start until after

RNAPII escapes the promoter (14, 16). It is possible that Ssu72 recruits or activates the phosphatase activity of Fcp1 at promoters, thereby preventing accumulation of $Ser(P)^2$ at promoter proximal regions. As RNAPII escapes the promoter and transitions into the elongation phase, Ssu72 dissociates from RNAPII and the phosphatase activity of Fcp1 is diminished. The Ser(P)² mark can now accumulate due to the kinase activity of Ctk1. A mutation in Ssu72 that inhibits the interaction between Ssu72 and Fcp1 would account for high levels of Ser(P)² at the promoter. Whether Ssu72 regulates the recruitment or enzymatic activity of Fcp1, Ctk1, and/or Bur1 remains to be established.

Ssu72 is required for gene looping, which juxtaposes the promoter and terminator regions of genes (50-52). Looping defects have been observed in the *ssu72-td* strain as well as in the catalytically dead *ssu72-4* mutant (50). The latter result suggests that during the initiation to elongation transition, the phosphatase activity of Ssu72 is critical for gene looping. However, Ssu72 is also required to recruit TFIIB to terminators, and failure to do so disrupts gene looping (51). Thus, the function of Ssu72 in gene looping is likely to be dependent upon its roles during both transcription initiation and 3'-end processing.



FIGURE 5. Loss of Ssu72 leads to deregulation of Ser² phosphorylation. *A*, schematic depiction of the *ADH1* gene. The regions probed by ChIP are denoted 1–3. The *ADH1* ChIP primers are defined in Table 2 and are identical to the primer pairs described previously (43). *B*, ChIP analysis of Ser(P)² cross-linking to *ADH1* using isogenic strains FY23 (*WT*) and XH-24 (*ssu72-td*) that had been incubated at either the permissive (30 °C) or restrictive (37 °C) temperature for 1 h prior to cross-linking. Chromatin was immunoprecipitated using the monoclonal antibody 3E10 (α -Ser(P)²). *C*, quantification of the data shown in *B. Error bars*, S.E.

Acknowledgments—We are especially grateful to Dirk Eick (Munich Center for Integrated Protein Science) for his generous gift of CTD monoclonal antibodies. We thank Drew Vershon (Rutgers), Lucy Robinson (Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center), Fred Winston (Harvard Medical School), Xiaoyuan He and Claire Moore (Tufts Medical School), and Amber Mosley (Indiana University School of Medicine) for strains. We are grateful to Claire Moore and members of her laboratory and to Krishnamurthy Shankarling and B. N. Singh from our laboratory for valuable insight throughout the course of this work.

REFERENCES

- Mayer, A., Heidemann, M., Lidschreiber, M., Schreieck, A., Sun, M., Hintermair, C., Kremmer, E., Eick, D., and Cramer, P. (2012) CTD tyrosine phosphorylation impairs termination factor recruitment to RNA polymerase II. *Science* 336, 1723–1725
- Shatkin, A. J., and Manley, J. L. (2000) The ends of the affair: capping and polyadenylation. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 7, 838–842
- Rino, J., and Carmo-Fonseca, M. (2009) The spliceosome: a self-organized macromolecular machine in the nucleus? *Trends Cell Biol.* 19, 375–384
- Zhou, Q., Li, T., and Price, D. H. (2012) RNA polymerase II elongation control. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 81, 119–143
- Cho, E. J., Rodriguez, C. R., Takagi, T., and Buratowski, S. (1998) Allosteric interactions between capping enzyme subunits and the RNA polymerase II carboxy-terminal domain. *Genes Dev.* 12, 3482–3487
- Costanzo, M., Baryshnikova, A., Bellay, J., Kim, Y., Spear, E. D., Sevier, C. S., Ding, H., Koh, J. L., Toufighi, K., Mostafavi, S., Prinz, J., St Onge, R. P., VanderSluis, B., Makhnevych, T., Vizeacoumar, F. J., Alizadeh, S., Bahr, S., Brost, R. L., Chen, Y., Cokol, M., Deshpande, R., Li, Z., Lin, Z. Y., Liang, W., Marback, M., Paw, J., San Luis, B. J., Shuteriqi, E., Tong, A. H., van Dyk, N., Wallace, I. M., Whitney, J. A., Weirauch, M. T., Zhong, G., Zhu, H., Houry, W. A., Brudno, M., Ragibizadeh, S., Papp, B., Pal, C., Roth, F. P., Giaever,

Ssu72 Effects on the Transcription Cycle

G., Nislow, C., Troyanskaya, O. G., Bussey, H., Bader, G. D., Gingras, A. C., Morris, Q. D., Kim, P. M., Kaiser, C. A., Myers, C. L., Andrews, B. J., and Boone, C. The genetic landscape of a cell. *Science* **327**, 425–431

- McCracken, S., Fong, N., Rosonina, E., Yankulov, K., Brothers, G., Siderovski, D., Hessel, A., Foster, S., Shuman, S., and Bentley, D. L. (1997) 5'-Capping enzymes are targeted to pre-mRNA by binding to the phosphorylated carboxy-terminal domain of RNA polymerase II. *Genes Dev.* 11, 3306–3318
- Mueller, C. L., and Jaehning, J. A. (2002) Ctr9, Rtf1, and Leo1 are components of the Paf1/RNA polymerase II complex. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 22, 1971–1980
- Ni, Z., Schwartz, B. E., Werner, J., Suarez, J. R., and Lis, J. T. (2004) Coordination of transcription, RNA processing, and surveillance by P-TEFb kinase on heat shock genes. *Mol. Cell* 13, 55–65
- Phatnani, H. P., Jones, J. C., and Greenleaf, A. L. (2004) Expanding the functional repertoire of CTD kinase I and RNA polymerase II: novel phosphoCTD-associating proteins in the yeast proteome. *Biochemistry* 43, 15702–15719
- 11. Wilmes, G. M., Bergkessel, M., Bandyopadhyay, S., Shales, M., Braberg, H., Cagney, G., Collins, S. R., Whitworth, G. B., Kress, T. L., Weissman, J. S., Ideker, T., Guthrie, C., and Krogan, N. J. (2008) A genetic interaction map of RNA-processing factors reveals links between Sem1/Dss1-containing complexes and mRNA export and splicing. *Mol. Cell* **32**, 735–746
- Heidemann, M., Hintermair, C., Voss, K., and Eick, D. (2013) Dynamic phosphorylation patterns of RNA polymerase II CTD during transcription. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1829, 55–62
- Prelich, G. (2002) RNA polymerase II carboxy-terminal domain kinases: emerging clues to their function. *Eukaryot. Cell* 1, 153–162
- Chapman, R. D., Heidemann, M., Albert, T. K., Mailhammer, R., Flatley, A., Meisterernst, M., Kremmer, E., and Eick, D. (2007) Transcribing RNA polymerase II is phosphorylated at CTD residue serine-7. *Science* 318, 1780–1782
- Hsin, J. P., and Manley, J. L. (2012) The RNA polymerase II CTD coordinates transcription and RNA processing. *Genes Dev.* 26, 2119–2137
- Kim, M., Suh, H., Cho, E. J., and Buratowski, S. (2009) Phosphorylation of the yeast Rpb1 C-terminal domain at serines 2, 5, and 7. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284, 26421–26426
- Hsin, J. P., Sheth, A., and Manley, J. L. (2011) RNAP II CTD phosphorylated on threonine-4 is required for histone mRNA 3' end processing. *Science* 334, 683–686
- Hintermair, C., Heidemann, M., Koch, F., Descostes, N., Gut, M., Gut, I., Fenouil, R., Ferrier, P., Flatley, A., Kremmer, E., Chapman, R. D., Andrau, J. C., and Eick, D. (2012) Threonine-4 of mammalian RNA polymerase II CTD is targeted by Polo-like kinase 3 and required for transcriptional elongation. *EMBO J.* **31**, 2784–2797
- Hanes, S. D. (2014) The Ess1 prolyl isomerase: traffic cop of the RNA polymerase II transcription cycle. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1839, 316–333
- Lu, H., Flores, O., Weinmann, R., and Reinberg, D. (1991) The nonphosphorylated form of RNA polymerase II preferentially associates with the preinitiation complex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 88, 10004–10008
- Bataille, A. R., Jeronimo, C., Jacques, P. É., Laramée, L., Fortin, M. È., Forest, A., Bergeron, M., Hanes, S. D., and Robert, F. (2012) A universal RNA polymerase II CTD cycle is orchestrated by complex interplays between kinase, phosphatase, and isomerase enzymes along genes. *Mol. Cell* 45, 158–170
- Zhang, D. W., Mosley, A. L., Ramisetty, S. R., Rodríguez-Molina, J. B., Washburn, M. P., and Ansari, A. Z. (2012) Ssu72 phosphatase-dependent erasure of phospho-Ser7 marks on the RNA polymerase II C-terminal domain is essential for viability and transcription termination. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 8541–8551
- Egloff, S., and Murphy, S. (2008) Cracking the RNA polymerase II CTD code. *Trends Genet.* 24, 280–288
- Phatnani, H. P., and Greenleaf, A. L. (2006) Phosphorylation and functions of the RNA polymerase II CTD. *Genes Dev.* 20, 2922–2936
- Eick, D., and Geyer, M. (2013) The RNA polymerase II carboxy-terminal domain (CTD) code. *Chem. Rev.* 113, 8456 – 8490
- Schwer, B., Bitton, D. A., Sanchez, A. M., Bähler, J., and Shuman, S. (2014) Individual letters of the RNA polymerase II CTD code govern distinct



gene expression programs in fission yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 111, 4185-4190

- Krishnamurthy, S., He, X., Reyes-Reyes, M., Moore, C., and Hampsey, M. (2004) Ssu72 Is an RNA polymerase II CTD phosphatase. *Mol. Cell* 14, 387–394
- Xiang, K., Manley, J. L., and Tong, L. (2012) An unexpected binding mode for a Pol II CTD peptide phosphorylated at Ser⁷ in the active site of the CTD phosphatase Ssu72. *Genes Dev.* 26, 2265–2270
- Sun, Z.-W., and Hampsey, M. (1996) Synthetic enhancement of a TFIIB defect by a mutation in *SSU72*, an essential gene encoding a novel protein that affects transcription start site selection *in vivo*. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 16, 1557–1566
- Dichtl, B., Blank, D., Ohnacker, M., Friedlein, A., Roeder, D., Langen, H., and Keller, W. (2002) A role for SSU72 in balancing RNA polymerase II transcription elongation and termination. *Mol. Cell* 10, 1139–1150
- He, X., Khan, A. U., Cheng, H., Pappas, D. L., Jr., Hampsey, M., and Moore, C. L. (2003) Functional interactions between the transcription and mRNA 3' end processing machineries mediated by Ssu72 and Sub1. *Genes Dev.* 17, 1030–1042
- 32. Nedea, E., He, X., Kim, M., Pootoolal, J., Zhong, G., Canadien, V., Hughes, T., Buratowski, S., Moore, C. L., and Greenblatt, J. (2003) Organization and function of APT, a subcomplex of the yeast cleavage and polyadenylation factor involved in the formation of mRNA and small nucleolar RNA 3'-ends. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 33000–33010
- Steinmetz, E. J., and Brow, D. A. (2003) Ssu72 protein mediates both poly(A)-coupled and poly(A)-independent termination of RNA polymerase II transcription. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 23, 6339–6349
- 34. Mosley, A. L., Pattenden, S. G., Carey, M., Venkatesh, S., Gilmore, J. M., Florens, L., Workman, J. L., and Washburn, M. P. (2009) Rtr1 is a CTD phosphatase that regulates RNA polymerase II during the transition from serine 5 to serine 2 phosphorylation. *Mol. Cell* 34, 168–178
- Xiang, K., Manley, J. L., and Tong, L. (2012) The yeast regulator of transcription protein Rtr1 lacks an active site and phosphatase activity. *Nat. Commun.* 3, 946
- Hsu, P. L., Yang, F., Smith-Kinnaman, W., Yang, W., Song, J. E., Mosley, A. L., and Varani, G. (2014) Rtr1 is a dual specificity phosphatase that dephosphorylates Tyr1 and Ser5 on the RNA polymerase II CTD. *J. Mol. Biol.* 426, 2970–2981
- Winzeler, E. A., Shoemaker, D. D., Astromoff, A., Liang, H., Anderson, K., Andre, B., Bangham, R., Benito, R., Boeke, J. D., Bussey, H., Chu, A. M., Connelly, C., Davis, K., Dietrich, F., Dow, S. W., El Bakkoury, M., Foury, F., Friend, S. H., Gentalen, E., Giaever, G., Hegemann, J. H., Jones, T., Laub, M., Liao, H., Liebundguth, N., Lockhart, D. J., Lucau-Danila, A., Lussier, M., M'Rabet, N., Menard, P., Mittmann, M., Pai, C., Rebischung, C., Revuelta, J. L., Riles, L., Roberts, C. J., Ross-MacDonald, P., Scherens, B., Snyder, M., Sookhai-Mahadeo, S., Storms, R. K., Véronneau, S., Voet, M., Volckaert, G., Ward, T. R., Wysocki, R., Yen, G. S., Yu, K., Zimmermann, K., Philippsen, P., Johnston, M., and Davis, R. W. (1999) Functional characterization of the S. cerevisiae genome by gene deletion and parallel analysis. *Science* 285, 901–906
- 38. Pappas, D. L., Jr., and Hampsey, M. (2000) Functional interaction between

Ssu72 and the Rpb2 subunit of RNA polymerase II in *Saccharomyces* cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 20, 8343-8351

- Reyes-Reyes, M., and Hampsey, M. (2007) Role for the Ssu72 C-terminal domain phosphatase in RNA polymerase II transcription elongation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 27, 926–936
- Meinhart, A., Silberzahn, T., and Cramer, P. (2003) The mRNA transcription/processing factor ssu72 is a potential tyrosine phosphatase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 15917–15921
- Cho, E. J., Kobor, M. S., Kim, M., Greenblatt, J., and Buratowski, S. (2001) Opposing effects of Ctk1 kinase and Fcp1 phosphatase at Ser 2 of the RNA polymerase II C-terminal domain. *Genes Dev.* 15, 3319–3329
- 42. Winston, F., Dollard, C., and Ricupero-Hovasse, S. L. (1995) Construction of a set of convenient *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains that are isogenic to S288C. *Yeast* **11**, 53–55
- Ahn, S. H., Kim, M., and Buratowski, S. (2004) Phosphorylation of serine 2 within the RNA polymerase II C-terminal domain couples transcription and 3' end processing. *Mol. Cell* 13, 67–76
- Hausmann, S., Koiwa, H., Krishnamurthy, S., Hampsey, M., and Shuman, S. (2005) Different strategies for carboxyl-terminal domain (CTD) recognition by serine 5-specific CTD phosphatases. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280, 37681–37688
- 45. Suh, M. H., Ye, P., Zhang, M., Hausmann, S., Shuman, S., Gnatt, A. L., and Fu, J. (2005) Fcp1 directly recognizes the C-terminal domain (CTD) and interacts with a site on RNA polymerase II distinct from the CTD. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **102**, 17314–17319
- Hong, S. W., Hong, S. M., Yoo, J. W., Lee, Y. C., Kim, S., Lis, J. T., and Lee, D. K. (2009) Phosphorylation of the RNA polymerase II C-terminal domain by TFIIH kinase is not essential for transcription of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* genome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **106**, 14276–14280
- Kanin, E. I., Kipp, R. T., Kung, C., Slattery, M., Viale, A., Hahn, S., Shokat, K. M., and Ansari, A. Z. (2007) Chemical inhibition of the TFIIH-associated kinase Cdk7/Kin28 does not impair global mRNA synthesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 5812–5817
- Ganem, C., Devaux, F., Torchet, C., Jacq, C., Quevillon-Cheruel, S., Labesse, G., Facca, C., and Faye, G. (2003) Ssu72 is a phosphatase essential for transcription termination of snoRNAs and specific mRNAs in yeast. *EMBO J.* 22, 1588–1598
- Ganem, C., Miled, C., Facca, C., Valay, J. G., Labesse, G., Ben Hassine, S., Mann, C., and Faye, G. (2006) Kinase Cak1 functionally interacts with the PAF1 complex and phosphatase Ssu72 via kinases Ctk1 and Bur1. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 275, 136–147
- Ansari, A., and Hampsey, M. (2005) A role for the CPF 3'-end processing machinery in RNAP II-dependent gene looping. *Genes Dev.* 19, 2969–2978
- Singh, B. N., and Hampsey, M. (2007) A transcription-independent role for TFIIB in gene looping. *Mol. Cell* 27, 806–816
- Tan-Wong, S. M., Zaugg, J. B., Camblong, J., Xu, Z., Zhang, D. W., Mischo, H. E., Ansari, A. Z., Luscombe, N. M., Steinmetz, L. M., and Proudfoot, N. J. (2012) Gene loops enhance transcriptional directionality. *Science* 338, 671–675

