

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Hum Hered. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 December 05

Published in final edited form as: *Hum Hered*. 2013 ; 75(0): 160–174. doi:10.1159/000353181.

Human Cardiovascular Disease IBC Chip-Wide Association with Weight Loss and Weight Regain in the Look AHEAD Trial

Jeanne M. McCaffery^a, George D. Papandonatos^b, Gordon S. Huggins^c, Inga Peter^d, Bahar Erar^b, Steven E. Kahn^e, William C. Knowler^g, Edward W. Lipkin^f, Abbas E. Kitabchi^h, Lynne E. Wagenknechtⁱ, Rena R. Wing^a, and The Genetic Subgroup of Look AHEAD and The Look AHEAD Research Group

^aWeight Control and Diabetes Research Center, Department of Psychiatry and Human Behavior, The Miriam Hospital and Brown Medical School, Brown University, Providence, R.I

^bCenter of Statistical Sciences, Brown University, Providence, R.I

^cMCRI Center for Translational Genomics, Molecular Cardiology Research Institute, Tufts Medical Center, Boston, Mass

^dDepartment of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, N.Y

^eDivision of Metabolism, Endocrinology and Nutrition, Department of Medicine, VA Puget Sound Health Care System and University of Washington

^fDivision of Metabolism, Endocrinology and Nutrition, Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, Wash

^gNational Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Phoenix, Ariz

^hDivision of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, Tenn.

ⁱDivision of Public Health Sciences, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, N.C., USA

Abstract

Background/Aims—The present study identified genetic predictors of weight change during behavioral weight loss treatment.

Methods—Participants were 3,899 overweight/obese individuals with type 2 diabetes from Look AHEAD, a randomized controlled trial to determine the effects of intensive lifestyle intervention (ILI), including weight loss and physical activity, relative to diabetes support and education, on cardiovascular outcomes. Analyses focused on associations of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) on the Illumina CARe iSelect (IBC) chip (minor allele frequency >5%; n = 31,959) with

^{© 2013} S. Karger AG, Basel

Jeanne McCaffery, PhD, Weight Control and Diabetes Research Center, The Miriam Hospital and Brown Medical School, 196 Richmond Street, Providence, RI 02903 (USA), jeanne_mccaffery@brown.edu.

Look AHEAD Genetic Ancillary Study Clinical Registry Number: NCT01270763; Look AHEAD Clinical Registry Number: NCT00017953.

weight change at year 1 and year 4, and weight regain at year 4, among individuals who lost 3% at year 1.

Results—Two novel regions of significant chip-wide association with year-1 weight loss in ILI were identified (p < 2.96E-06). *ABCB11* rs484066 was associated with 1.16 kg higher weight per minor allele at year 1, whereas *TNFRSF11A*, or *RANK*, rs17069904 was associated with 1.70 kg lower weight per allele at year 1.

Conclusions—This study, the largest to date on genetic predictors of weight loss and regain, indicates that SNPs within *ABCB11*, related to bile salt transfer, and *TNFRSF11A*, implicated in adipose tissue physiology, predict the magnitude of weight loss during behavioral intervention. These results provide new insights into potential biological mechanisms and may ultimately inform weight loss treatment.

Keywords

Type 2 diabetes; Obesity; Weight loss; Diet; Genetics

Introduction

Obesity is a major public health problem [1]. Fully 64% of the US population is estimated to be overweight or obese (body mass index 25) [2] and is at increased risk for weight-related comorbidities, including coronary artery disease, diabetes and certain cancers. Behavioral weight loss intervention, focusing on changes in diet and physical activity, has emerged as a key strategy in combating this rise in obesity and the associated health consequences [3, 4]. These weight loss programs often produce initial weight losses of 7%, resulting in clinically important health benefits [5, 6]. Nonetheless, partial weight regain is common [6]. A greater understanding of predictors of weight loss and weight maintenance or regain could have important health benefits.

Obesity is also a quintessential phenotype to study the interplay of genetic and environmental factors. Body weight is well known to be heritable [7, 8] and obesity susceptibility loci have been identified through genome-wide association studies (GWAS), although the variance attributable to these loci remains small [9–11]. At the same time, the dramatic rise in obesity rates over the past 30 years suggests environmental influences [12] and evidence of gene × environment interaction can be derived from both twin [13, 14] and molecular genetic studies [15, 16].

Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) comparing behavioral weight loss treatment to a control condition afford a unique opportunity to test gene \times environment interaction in the context of gene \times treatment arm interaction [17]. Participants are randomly assigned to a treatment that promotes successful weight loss through provision of caloric and physical activity goals and teaching of behavioral strategies, or a control treatment without active weight loss. One type of gene \times environment interaction may occur if genetic markers are associated with weight change in a control arm but not a behavioral weight loss treatment arm, suggesting that behavioral weight loss treatment may mitigate genetic effects on the outcome. Alternatively, a gene \times environment interaction could occur if genetic markers

relate to weight change in the intervention arm but not the control arm, suggesting that genetic factors may influence the ability to lose weight. Both effects are of potential public health importance as they may identify genetic predictors of naturalistic weight gain over time and/or resistance to weight loss. These effects further have potential clinical application as they are examined in the context of a well-established treatment paradigm. It is important to note, nonetheless, that although RCTs with longitudinal follow-up afford many advantages in research design and treatment implications, implementation of such a trial is costly and complex and sample sizes are necessarily smaller than those common in epidemiologic studies of gene \times environment interaction.

The goal of the present study is to conduct a chip-wide association study of nearly 32,000 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) available from the Illumina CARe iSelect (IBC) chip [18] with weight loss at year 1 and year 4 in response to behavioral weight loss intervention and weight change at year 4 among those who lost 3% weight at year 1. The Look AHEAD study, the largest RCT comparing behavioral weight loss to a control condition with an effective intervention and excellent longitudinal follow-up, provides an excellent opportunity to conduct such analyses.

Materials and Methods

Study Cohort

The Look AHEAD study enrolled 5,145 ethnically diverse overweight and obese subjects with type 2 diabetes and aged 45–76 years. Of these, 1,108 were excluded for lack of consent for genetics studies, lack of institutional review board approval for this ancillary study (including the Southwest American Indian sites), 10 for withdrawn consent for genotyping, and 60 for inadequate DNA samples. This left 4,037 individuals, of which 3,899 contributed genetic data that passed genotyping quality control procedures. These subjects form the basis for the present analyses.

The design and methods of the Look AHEAD trial have been reported elsewhere [19], as have the baseline characteristics of the randomized cohort [20]. Briefly, at baseline, participants were randomized to either an intensive lifestyle intervention (ILI) or a diabetes support and education (DSE) arm. Both the ILI and DSE groups were provided one session of education on diabetes and cardiovascular risk factors. In addition, ILI participants received an intensive lifestyle program, combining diet modification and increased physical activity, designed to produce an average of 7% weight loss and maintain this weight loss. The ILI included 1 individual and 3 group meetings per month for 6 months followed by 1 individual and 2 group meetings per month through 1 year. From years 2 to 4, ILI participants were seen individually at least once a month, contacted another time each month by telephone or e-mail, and offered a variety of ancillary classes. ILI sessions focused on behavioral weight loss strategies, such as self-monitoring, goal setting and stimulus control, to achieve and maintain weight loss. The DSE group received the option of attending 3 sessions per year on nutrition, physical activity and social support with no explicit weight loss goals. In the full trial [6, 21], maximal difference in average weight loss across intervention arm occurred at 1 year follow-up (8.6% in ILI vs. 0.7% in DSE, p < 0.001),

with an average weight loss of 4.7% in ILI and 1.1% in DSE at year 4 follow-up. The Look AHEAD trial, including genetic analyses, was approved by local institutional review boards.

Anthropometric Measures

Weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg in duplicate at baseline, and year 1 and year 4 follow-ups, using a digital scale. Height was measured in centimeters at baseline using a standard wallmounted stadiometer. Participants wore light clothing or a hospital gown and removed their shoes.

Weight regain was defined as weight change from year 1 to 4 among individuals initially losing at least some weight (3%) at year 1 following methods used in the Diabetes Prevention Program [22]. As can be seen in online supplementary table 1 (for all online suppl. material, see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000353181), among those who lost 3%weight at year 1, women regained 3.7 ± 8.2 kg and men regained 4.8 ± 7.8 kg from year 1 to 4 on average. It is important to note, however, that only 72.5% of women and 78.5% of men in this subgroup regained weight, as defined by a weight at year 4 greater than their weight at year 1, while the remaining individuals either maintained or continued to lose weight.

Genotyping

The genomic DNA extraction was based on the use of Flexi-Gene DNA Kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, Calif., USA) as described by the manufacturer, and DNA quantitation was performed using the PicoGreen dsDNA Quantitation Reagent (Invitrogen, Inc., Carlsbad, Calif., USA). Genotyping was carried out at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia using the IBC chip, a gene-centric 50,000 SNP array designed to assess relevant loci across a range of cardiovascular, metabolic and inflammatory syndromes [18]. SNPs were clustered into genotypes using the Illumina Beadstudio software and subjected to quality control filters. Individual samples were excluded for individual call rates <95%, gender mismatch, and duplicate discordance. SNPs were removed for call rates <95%. To facilitate model convergence and minimize the penalty from multiple comparisons due to the many low-frequency SNPs included in the design, we filtered out markers with minor allele frequency (MAF) <0.05. This left 31,692 autosomal SNPs on the IBC chip with MAF >5% whose mean genotyping success rate was 99.8%.

Observed genotype frequencies were compared with those expected under Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) using stratified χ^2 tests within the two largest racial/ethnic groups (non-Hispanic White and African-American). As the sample is selected for overweight and diabetes, we did not exclude SNPs based on deviation on a chip-wide basis from HWE, but reviewed individual SNP associations to ensure SNPs showing significant associations did not deviate from HWE.

Statistical Analysis

After pruning of SNPs in linkage disequilibrium (LD; $r^2 > 0.3$), the Eigenstrat algorithm [23], as implemented in Golden Helix version 7.1 (Bozeman, Mont., USA), was used to compute principal components for use as covariates to control for ancestry in the regression analyses. Principal component analysis results indicated that the majority of the variance

among the multi-racial Look AHEAD cohort was accounted for by the first two principal components, which agreed with self-reported race/ethnicity in distinguishing Caucasians from African-Americans, and Hispanics from these other 2 groups [24]. Preliminary analyses indicated that additional principal components that would have better separated the Hispanic and Asian groups and helped identify the few Native American study participants did not contribute to the weight change models.

Consistent with the parent trial, we focused on weight (not body mass index) change (in kg) as the primary outcome. Therefore, we conducted longitudinal regression analysis of baseline, year-1 and year-4 weight measurements, modeled jointly as a trivariate normal outcome with an unstructured covariance matrix. Three-way interaction models of individual SNP markers with measurement time (year 1 vs. baseline, year 4 vs. baseline) and study arm (ILI vs. DSE) were estimated in Splus 8.2 [25] using restricted maximum likelihood. An additive genetic model was used for all SNP markers, with genotype coded by the number of minor alleles (0/1/2 copies). Minor alleles and allele frequencies were determined from the entire sample of genotyped participants, i.e. race/ethnicity-specific allele frequencies were not used.

Therefore, four distinct types of SNP effects were estimated, all of which can be interpreted as the effect of one additional copy of the corresponding minor allele on (a) baseline weight within DSE (SNP main effect); (b) ILI-DSE differences in baseline weight (SNP \times study arm interaction); (c) weight change within DSE (SNP \times time interaction), and (d) ILI-DSE differences in weight change (SNP \times time \times study arm interaction). Effects specific to ILI or averaged over the ILI and DSE arms were subsequently obtained by changing the referent group for study arm and re-estimating the model. Of note, in a randomized trial one would expect no ILIDSE differences in SNP effects on baseline weight levels, so set b of model parameters serves solely as a randomization check.

Longitudinal weight outcomes were additionally adjusted for study site, age, gender, and the first two ancestry informative marker principal components [24, 26]. Other than study site, all of these covariates were fully interacted with time, study arm, and time by study arm interaction, so as to allow for these covariate effects to vary across study arm and/or time point, in a manner similar to the SNP effects described above.

For chip-wide analyses, we calculated the effective number of uncorrelated markers among the 31,692 autosomal SNPs under investigation using the Li and Ji approach [27] and found it to equal just 17,254 after LD correction. A chip-wide significance threshold of p = 2.97E-06 would, therefore, be needed to control the more stringent familywise error rate criterion at the 5% level based on Sidak's multiplicity adjustment [28].

We also used a false discovery rate (FDR) approach to guide our reporting of *suggestive* (FDR <20%) associations, operationalized via a rank ordering of the genetic markers according to their q values. FDR controls the expected proportion of incorrectly rejected null hypotheses among those deemed significant, rather than across the entire set of hypotheses being tested; this increases power relative to more stringent familywise error rate control at the cost of more type I errors. Calculated using the q value package of Dabney and Storey

[29], q values are marker-specific quantities that represent the minimum FDR at which the corresponding hypothesis test could be declared significant [30]. q values preserve the same rank ordering of SNPs as that produced by p value calculations, but recalibrate them by the probability that they represent a false discovery. They were calculated separately for each coefficient of interest (ILI change, DSE change, pooled ILI and DSE and differential change).

We also examined the extent to which the genetic markers predicted weight regain at year 4 among those who lost 3% of their initial weight at year 1. This method was previously used to characterize weight regain in the Diabetes Prevention Program [22]. The primary outcome for these analyses was SNP × time (year 1 vs. year 4) interaction with the same covariates as above in addition to baseline weight. Sample size for this subanalysis was n = 2,022 (1,545 in ILI and 477 in DSE). All analyses were performed at Brown University.

Results

Descriptive Statistics

Participant characteristics of the subcohort of Look AHEAD used in these analyses are shown in table 1. Individuals were evenly distributed between the ILI and DSE intervention arms and had comparable age and gender as in the entire cohort (data not shown). The number of American Indian participants included in this study is less than that of the parent Look AHEAD trial due to differences in informed consent for genetic ancillary studies. No baseline differences in demographic or clinical characteristics across ILI and DSE were observed. Further, no between-arm differences in baseline means of the outcomes of interest were detected across genotypic groups for any of the markers under consideration (p > 2.96E-06). Similar to the larger Look AHEAD trial [21], individuals assigned to ILI lost significantly more weight at year 1 and 4 than those assigned to DSE.

Demographics and weight change patterns among individuals who lost 3% of their weight at year 1 are depicted in online supplementary table 1. In this subset, individuals in ILI lost more weight at year 1 and regained more weight from year 1 to year 4. Overall, among those who lost 3% at year 1, 59% regained weight in DSE and 80% in ILI.

Genetic Associations with Weight Loss at Year 1

Genetic associations of the full set of SNP markers with year-1 weight change in ILI and DSE are depicted in figure 1. The association of two loci with year-1 weight change in the ILI group exceeded chip-wide significance after correcting for chip-wide multiple comparisons (p < 2.96E-06). One intronic locus represented by two SNPs in high LD, rs484066 and rs569805, within *ABCB11*, showed the strongest association with year-1 weight loss (online suppl. table 2). These SNPs were associated with a 1.16 and 1.24 kg higher weight per minor allele at year 1, respectively, suggesting that the minor allele was associated with resistance to weight loss. A third SNP, rs17069904, within *TNFRSF11A*, or *RANK*, also achieved chip-wide significance. This SNP was associated with a 1.70 kg lower weight per allele at year 1, suggesting that the minor allele was associated with greater weight loss. These SNPs had no significant effects in DSE (p > 0.51). The resulting SNP ×

treatment arm interactions for the lead SNP at each locus were *ABCB11* rs484066 interaction, p = 3.98E-05 (fig. 2), and *TNFRSF11A* rs17069904 interaction, p = 1.57E-04 (fig. 3).

An additional 131 SNPs showed a suggestive association with year-1 weight change (online suppl. table 2; FDR q < 0.20). Eight additional SNPs were in the *ABCB11* region and an additional 3 SNPs in the *TNFRSF11A* region. Regional plots depicting the association of the *ABCB11* and *TNFRSF11A* regions with year-1 weight change are presented in online supplementary figures 1 and 2, respectively.

No additional SNPs showed suggestive evidence for association with year-1 weight change in DSE (FDR q > 0.99), year-1 weight loss as averaged across treatment arms (FDR q > 0.99) or SNP × treatment arm interaction (FDR q > 0.20).

Genetic Associations with Weight Loss from Baseline to Year 4

SNPs with a suggestive association (FDR q < 0.20) with year-4 weight are presented in table 2. No SNPs showed suggestive association with weight change at year 4 in ILI (FDR q > 0.20) or SNP × treatment arm interaction (FDR q > 0.20). It is of note, however, that one of the SNPs identified for year-1 weight change, rs17069904, within *TNFRSF11A*, continued to show evidence of association with change in ILI at year 4 (p = 0.0002) and SNP × treatment arm interaction (p = 0.0002).

For year-4 weight change in DSE, in contrast, 17 SNPs were identified with suggestive association (FDR q < 0.20). The strongest two loci occurred within *IRF5* and *ITGAV*, represented by 5 and 3 SNPs, respectively. Seven SNPs also showed a suggestive association with weight change as averaged across ILI and DSE (FDR q < 0.20). Two of these SNPs were within *PLA2G4F* and another 2 within *TGFBR3*.

Weight Change from Year 1 to 4 among Those Who Lost 3% at Year 1

SNPs with a suggestive association (FDR q < 0.20) with weight change at year 4 among those who initially lost 3% of their weight at year 1 are presented in table 3. No suggestive associations were observed within the ILI arm (FDR q > 0.99). For weight change within the DSE arm, 11 SNPs showed suggestive evidence of association (FDR q < 0.20). The closest genes for these SNPs included *FOXP1*, *GRB2*, *COL1A2*, *RARB*, *MMP13*, *JUN* and *C8orf49*. Eight SNPs showed suggestive evidence of a main effect on weight change across treatment arms (FDR q < 0.20). The closest genes for these SNPs were *FOXP1*, *MMP13*, *TGFBR3*, *C8orf49*, *FDFT1* and *ST8SIA4*.

For SNP × treatment arm interaction (table 4), 5 SNPs showed a suggestive association (FDR q < 0.20). Three of these SNPs occurred within *GRB2*, 1 within *TIMP3* and the last in the region of *INSR*.

Discussion

This paper presents the largest study and the first chipwide analysis of weight loss in response to behavioral treatment and weight regain after successful weight loss. Our results

identify novel regions of significant chipwide association with magnitude of weight loss in response to behavioral treatment, including *ABCB11* and *TNFRSF11A* (p < 2.96E-06), as well as a number of suggestive associations (FDR q < 0.20) for weight loss at year 1, weight change from baseline to year 4, and weight regain at year 4 among those who lost 3% at year 1. These results suggest new potential mechanisms contributing to weight loss and regain and have the potential to inform behavioral weight loss treatment.

Prior genetic studies of weight loss had focused on variation within *PPARG* or obesity risk SNPs from GWAS. The Ala12Ala genotype in *PPARG* (rs1801282) has been associated with greater weight loss in response to lifestyle intervention both in the US Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) [31] and the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study (DPS) [32]. The association of *FTO* with weight loss is less clear. Obesity risk alleles within *FTO* predicted a greater increase in subcutaneous adipose tissue in the placebo group in the DPP [33] but greater free fatty mass in response to a low-protein diet and less free fatty mass in response to a high-fat diet in the POUNDS LOST trial [34]. In the DPP, the obesity risk allele at rs6265 in *BDNF* was also associated with greater weight regain over 2 years among those who had initially lost 3% at 6 months [22]. In a prior Look AHEAD report [24], obesity risk SNPs derived from GWAS were not significantly associated with magnitude of initial weight loss. However, *FTO* risk alleles predicted weight regain in the DSE group, but not within the ILI group, resulting in SNP × treatment arm interaction. The obesity risk allele at *BDNF* rs6265 was also associated with marginally greater weight regain across treatment arms, consistent with the findings of the DPP.

In the present paper, we sought to broaden the prior literature by examining whether any additional SNPs represented on the IBC chip predict the extent of weight loss or weight regain during behavioral intervention. The IBC chip assays loci across a range of cardiovascular, metabolic and inflammatory syndromes [18], the majority of which had not previously been implicated in obesity or weight change. Thus, we could determine whether any of these regions might be relevant to weight-related phenotypes.

Genetic Predictors of Year-1 Weight Loss

For weight change at year 1, all SNP associations reaching chip-wide statistical significance or suggestive significance based on FDR occurred within ILI. This indicates that, at year 1, all of the SNP associations appear to influence ability to lose weight in response to intervention, whereas little to no effect of the SNPs was observed for naturalistic weight change in DSE over the year.

The strongest association with year-1 weight change in ILI was an intronic locus represented by 2 SNPs in high LD, rs484066 and rs569805, in *ABCB11*, or ATP-binding cassette, subfamily B, member 11, also called bile salt export pump (*BSEP*). *ABCB11* is the primary mediator of bile salt secretion across the canalicular membrane and plays a critical role in absorption of dietary fat from the gut and counter transport of hepatic cholesterol from the liver to the intestine for elimination [35]. Mutations of *ABCB11* are known to cause progressive intrahepatic cholestasis [36, 37] and benign recurrent intrahepatic cholestasis [38, 39], and GWAS identify the *ABCB11* region as a predictor of alkaline phosphatase, a marker of biliary obstruction [40].

Perturbations of bile salt transport appear to alter body weight and serum cholesterol levels. *ABCB11* knockout mice have smaller body size than wild-type litter mates [41], while overexpression of *ABCB11* in mice leads to greater fat absorption from the intestine, more rapid weight gain and a reduction in energy expenditure in response to a high-fat diet but not on a control diet [42]. *ABCB11* variation has also been implicated in obesity in candidate gene studies [43], and, in GWAS, in fasting high-density lipoprotein and glucose [44]. It is of note that this locus is also proximal to glucose-6-phosphatase, catalytic, 2 (*G6PC2*), associated with fasting glucose in GWAS [45].

The *TNFRSF11A*, or as more commonly known *RANK*, polymorphism rs17069904 was also associated with weight loss at year 1 in response to ILI and nominally associated with year-4 weight change, with nominal SNP × treatment interactions at each time point. *RANK*, along with the *RANK* ligand, are members of the TNF family of genes that, with osteoprotegerin (OPG), form a signaling network that regulates bone mineral density [46]. Intriguingly, *RANK* ligand and OPG are expressed in adipose tissue [47], and OPG levels have been shown to be reduced by a weight loss intervention [48]. Links between change in weight and bone mass density are well described and further highlight reciprocal pathways of influence in adipose and bone [46]. *RANK* has been associated with percentage fat mass in animal linkage studies [49] and body mass index in humans [50]. Our results provide support for a novel role for the *RANK* - *RANK* ligand-OPG pathway in adipose tissue response to a lifestyle intervention in addition to their well-established role in bone.

Of the suggestive associations with year-1 weight loss, at least 2 were of particular note. The strongest suggestive association occurred within *AANAT* (rs12452844, p = 3.12E-06). This gene codes for arylalkylamine N-acetyltransferase, a critical enzyme in melatonin production and regulation of circadian rhythm, and has previously been associated with delayed sleep onset syndrome [51]. As epidemiologic studies document associations between short sleep and body mass index [52], this provides suggestive evidence that variation in *AANAT* may contribute to that association. A suggestive association was also seen for *TCD1B1*. *TBC1D1* is an insulin-sensitive regulator of GLUT4 function in skeletal muscle, and variation in *TBC1D1* may alter glucose uptake during exercise [53]. A non-synonymous polymorphism in the *TBC1D1* gene has also been associated with severe familial obesity in at least two independent studies [54, 55].

Genetic Predictors of Year-4 Weight Change and Weight Regain

For weight change between baseline and year 4, a different pattern of results emerged. The effects on weight change occurred primarily within the DSE group, with no weight loss intervention, or when pooled across the ILI and DSE. This suggests that SNPs were often associated with naturalistic change in weight over time in DSE or that SNPs were associated with naturalistic weight change in DSE and resistance to weight loss or weight regain in ILI. It is of note, however, that *TNFRSF11A* rs17069904 continued to show evidence of association with weight change in ILI at year 4 (p = 0.0002) and SNP × treatment arm interaction (p = 0.0002).

For weight change from year 1 to 4 among those who had lost 3% at year 1, SNP associations were also primarily seen in the subset of individuals randomized to DSE who

had lost at least some weight at year 1. In several instances, a weaker but consistent effect in ILI resulted in a SNP effect on weight change as averaged across treatment arms. In a few instances, a weaker effect in the opposite direction occurred resulting in a suggestive interaction (FDR q < 0.20).

The causes of weight changes during the first 4 years after randomization are likely to be different between the ILI and DSE groups at different time points. The mean weight loss trajectory in the ILI group was typical of most behavioral weight loss programs, i.e. maximal weight loss at 1 year with a tendency for partial regain in the next 3 years. The initial weight loss was presumably due to intensive behavioral efforts with the regain representing a combination of inability to sustain the behavioral changes and potentially physiologic adaptations to weight loss. By contrast, the gradual, relatively steady, weight loss in the DSE group was presumably due primarily to joint effects of aging and increasing duration of diabetes which, at least in some observational studies, are associated with weight loss [56]. Therefore, one might expect the predictors (including genetic) of weight change to differ between the two treatment groups and at different time points of follow-up.

It is nonetheless notable that several of the SNP associations with year-4 weight change, either from baseline to year 4, or year 1 to 4, among those who initially lost 3%, occur in pathways integrally involved in structural remodeling of adipose tissue and fibrosis accumulation with increasing fat mass [57]. Proteolytic systems, such as the matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) system, contribute to tissue remodeling by degradation of the extracellular matrix and membrane components or by activation of latent growth factors [58]. MMP 13 (collagenase 3; MMP13) is expressed in adipose tissue with increases in expression after high-fat feeding [59]. Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases-3 (TIMP3) is 1 of 4 tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases that have been characterized and are able to inhibit the activities of MMPs [60, 61]. MMPs release transforming growth factor-β (TGF- β), which alters cell migration and further regulates extracellular matrix and promotes fibrosis [62]. TGFB3 is expressed in adipose tissue and downregulated in response to caloric restriction [63]. ITGAV encodes integrin α chain V. Integrins also interact with extracellular matrix ligands and may play a role in adipocyte apoptosis [57]. PLA2G4F is a member of the phospholipase family that hydrolyzes phospholipids into arachidonic acid and may play a role in fat deposition and the storage of lipids in adipose tissue [64]. The MMP and PLA₂ pathways have been shown to alter the degree of weight gain in response to high-fat diets in animal models [65-67].

Other SNP associations with weight change between baseline and year 4 were related to immune function. *IRF5* is expressed in adipose tissue and mediates proinflammatory cytokine release. A high-fat diet has been shown to upregulate *IRF5*. *FOXP1* has been associated with cytokine production of macrophages [68]. Finally, *GRB2* and *INSR* increase PI3K signaling to regulate glucose uptake. Thus, a number of the SNP associations with year-4 weight change or regain occur within plausible biological pathways of direct relevance to adipose tissue and inflammation.

Strengths and Limitations

This study has several strengths. It is the largest RCT of behavioral weight loss, with nearly 3,900 individuals with genetic consent randomly assigned to either an effective ILI focusing on weight loss and physical activity promotion or a minimal contact control group. This design presents several distinct advantages to studying gene × environment interaction relative to epidemiologic studies, including random assignment on a 1: 1 basis to an environmental exposure with a large effect size. Further, we accurately measure degree of intentional weight loss and extent of weight regain with longitudinal measures and excellent retention rates. These phenotypes are of key public health importance given the well-recognized obesity epidemic and success of behavioral weight loss in reducing cardiovascular and diabetes risk. Yet, they are inherently difficult to assess in epidemiologic studies due to difficulties with self-report and the potential for confounding by unintentional weight loss due to illness. The potential for more direct clinical application is also augmented using this design to study gene × environment interaction as the genetic effects are established in the context of a well-established and effective treatment protocol.

Importantly, we also present the first chip-wide analysis of intentional weight loss and regain and identify novel loci related to weight change phenotypes. Our genotyping platform was comprised of SNPs within over 2,100 genes related to cardiovascular disease and its risk factors. Thus, these SNPs may not be newly discovered as related to cardiometabolic phenotypes, but none had been identified previously in relation to weight change. This supports the possibility that careful genetic study of phenotypes in different environments or in response to different treatments may yield new insights into genetic architecture. It is further plausible that the inclusion of a greater number of SNPs selected with a more agnostic approach, such as GWAS or next-generation sequencing, may discover additional loci related to weight loss and regain. Finally, replication of these loci in an independent sample would further strengthen the interpretation of these results. We presented suggestive associations in addition to those reaching chip-wide significance to support these efforts. Nonetheless, it is important to note that this cohort was selected for type 2 diabetes and overweight, which may complicate replication efforts.

Conclusions

Overall, this largest chip-wide study of genetic predictors of weight loss and weight regain identifies SNPs within *ABCB11* and *TNFRSF11A* as predictors of the magnitude of weight loss during a behavioral weight loss intervention. These genetic associations highlight the potential role of bile salt transport and the TNF superfamily as novel mechanisms contributing success in weight loss with behavioral efforts. Furthermore, these results indicate that discovery efforts for genetic predictors of novel phenotypes, such as weight loss and weight regain, may yield new insights into the genetics of obesity and treatment response.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the Look AHEAD sites that participated in this ancillary study.

Funding and Support

This study was supported by the Department of Health and Human Services through the following cooperative agreements from the National Institutes of Health: DK57136, DK57149, DK56990, DK57177, DK57171, DK57151, DK57151, DK57131, DK57002, DK57078, DK57154, DK57178, DK57219, DK57008, DK57135 and DK56992. The following federal agencies have contributed support: National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases; National Health Disparities; NIH Office of Research on Women's Health, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. This research was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. The Indian Health Service (IHS) provided personnel, medical oversight, and use of facilities. The opinions expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the IHS or other funding sources.

Additional support was received from The Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions Bayview General Clinical Research Center (M01RR02719); the Massachusetts General Hospital Mallinckrodt General Clinical Research Center and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology General Clinical Research Center (M01RR01066); the University of Colorado Health Sciences Center General Clinical Research Center (M01RR00051) and Clinical Nutrition Research Unit (P30 DK48520); the University of Tennessee at Memphis General Clinical Research Center (M01RR00051); the University of Pittsburgh General Clinical Research Center (GCRC) (M01RR000056); the Clinical Translational Research Center (CTRC) funded by the Clinical and Translational Science Award (UL1 RR 024153) and NIH grant (DK 046204); the VA Puget Sound Health Care System Medical Research Service, Department of Veterans Affairs, and the Frederic C. Bartter General Clinical Research Center (M01RR01346).

The following organizations have committed to make major contributions to Look AHEAD: FedEx Corporation; Health Management Resources; LifeScan, Inc., a Johnson & Johnson Company; OPTIFAST[®] of Nestlé HealthCare Nutrition, Inc.; Hoffmann-La Roche Inc.; Abbott Nutrition; and Slim-Fast Brand of Unilever North America.

Some of the information contained herein was derived from data provided by the Bureau of Vital Statistics, New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene for providing vital statistics data.

References

- 1. NHLBI: Clinical guidelines on the identification, evaluation, and treatment of overweight and obesity in adults: the evidence report. Obes Res. 1998; 6:51S–210S. [PubMed: 9813653]
- Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Curtin LR, McDowell MA, Tabak CJ, Flegal KM. Prevalence of overweight and obesity in the United States, 1999–2004. JAMA. 2006; 295:1549–1555. [PubMed: 16595758]
- Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. N Engl J Med. 2002; 346:393–403. [PubMed: 11832527]
- Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group: The Diabetes Prevention Program. Design and methods for a clinical trial in the prevention of type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 1999; 22:623–634. [PubMed: 10189543]
- Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, Lachin JM, Walker EA, Nathan DM. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. N Engl J Med. 2002; 346:393–403. [PubMed: 11832527]
- Wing RR. Long-term effects of a lifestyle intervention on weight and cardiovascular risk factors in individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus: four-year results of the Look AHEAD trial. Arch Intern Med. 2010; 170:1566–1575. [PubMed: 20876408]
- 7. Stunkard AJ, Foch TT, Hrubec Z. A twin study of human obesity. JAMA. 1986; 256:51–54. [PubMed: 3712713]
- Maes HH, Neale MC, Eaves LJ. Genetic and environmental factors in relative body weight and human adiposity. Behav Genet. 1997; 27:325–351. [PubMed: 9519560]
- Speliotes EK, Willer CJ, Berndt SI, Monda KL, Thorleifsson G, et al. Association analyses of 249,796 individuals reveal 18 new loci associated with body mass index. Nat Genet. 2010; 42:937– 948. [PubMed: 20935630]
- Thorleifsson G, Walters GB, Gudbjartsson DF, Steinthorsdottir V, Sulem P, et al. Genome-wide association yields new sequence variants at seven loci that associate with measures of obesity. Nat Genet. 2009; 41:18–24. [PubMed: 19079260]
- Willer CJ, Speliotes EK, Loos RJ, Li S, Lindgren CM, et al. Six new loci associated with body mass index highlight a neuronal influence on body weight regulation. Nat Genet. 2009; 41:25–34. [PubMed: 19079261]

- McAllister EJ, Dhurandhar NV, Keith SW, Aronne LJ, Barger J, Baskin M, Benca RM, Biggio J, Boggiano MM, Eisenmann JC, Elobeid M, Fontaine KR, Gluckman P, Hanlon EC, Katzmarzyk P, Pietrobelli A, Redden DT, Ruden DM, Wang C, Waterland RA, Wright SM, Allison DB. Ten putative contributors to the obesity epidemic. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2009; 49:868–913. [PubMed: 19960394]
- McCaffery JM, Papandonatos GD, Bond DS, Lyons MJ, Wing RR. Gene × environment interaction of vigorous exercise and body mass index among male Vietnam-era twins. Am J Clin Nutr. 2009; 89:1011–1018. [PubMed: 19225119]
- Lajunen HR, Kaprio J, Rose RJ, Pulkkinen L, Silventoinen K. Genetic and environmental influences on BMI from late childhood to adolescence are modified by parental education. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2012; 20:583–589. [PubMed: 21996670]
- Qi Q, Chu AY, Kang JH, Jensen MK, Curhan GC, Pasquale LR, Ridker PM, Hunter DJ, Willett WC, Rimm EB, Chasman DI, Hu FB, Qi L. Sugar-sweetened beverages and genetic risk of obesity. N Engl J Med. 2012; 367:1387–1396. [PubMed: 22998338]
- 16. Qi Q, Li Y, Chomistek AK, Kang JH, Curhan GC, Pasquale LR, Willett WC, Rimm EB, Hu FB, Qi L. Television watching, leisure time physical activity, and the genetic predisposition in relation to body mass index in women and men. Circulation. 2012; 126:1821–1827. [PubMed: 22949498]
- Thomas D. Gene–environment-wide association studies: emerging approaches. Nat Rev Genet. 2010; 11:259–272. [PubMed: 20212493]
- Keating BJ, Tischfield S, Murray SS, Bhangale T, Price TS, et al. Concept, design and implementation of a cardiovascular genecentric 50 k SNP array for large-scale genomic association studies. PLoS One. 2008; 3:e3583. [PubMed: 18974833]
- Ryan DH, Espeland MA, Foster GD, Haffner SM, Hubbard VS, Johnson KC, Kahn SE, Knowler WC, Yanovski SZ. Look AHEAD (Action for Health in Diabetes): design and methods for a clinical trial of weight loss for the prevention of cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetes. Control Clin Trials. 2003; 24:610–628. [PubMed: 14500058]
- Bray G, Gregg E, Haffner S, Pi-Sunyer XF, WagenKnecht LE, Walkup M, Wing R. Baseline characteristics of the randomised cohort from the Look AHEAD (Action for Health in Diabetes) study. Diab Vasc Dis Res. 2006; 3:202–215. [PubMed: 17160917]
- 21. Pi-Sunyer X, Blackburn G, Brancati FL, Bray GA, Bright R, et al. Reduction in weight and cardiovascular disease risk factors in individuals with type 2 diabetes: one-year results of the look AHEAD trial. Diabetes Care. 2007; 30:1374–1383. [PubMed: 17363746]
- Delahanty LM, Pan Q, Jablonski KA, Watson KE, McCaffery JM, Shuldiner A, Kahn SE, Knowler WC, Florez JC, Franks PW. Genetic predictors of weight loss and weight regain after intensive lifestyle modification, metformin treatment, or standard care in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetes Care. 2012; 35:363–366. [PubMed: 22179955]
- Price AL, Patterson NJ, Plenge RM, Weinblatt ME, Shadick NA, Reich D. Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet. 2006; 38:904– 909. [PubMed: 16862161]
- 24. McCaffery JM, Papandonatos GD, Huggins GS, Peter I, Kahn SE, Knowler WC, Hudnall GE, Lipkin EW, Kitabchi AE, Wagenknecht LE, Wing RR. FTO predicts weight regain in the Look AHEAD clinical trial. Int J Obes (Lond). 2013 E-pub ahead of print.
- 25. TIBCO Software I. TIBCO Spotfire SPLUS 8.2 for Solaris/Linux User's Guide. Seattle, WA: TIBCO Software, Inc; 2010.
- 26. McCaffery JM, Papandonatos GD, Peter I, Huggins GS, Raynor HA, Delahanty LM, Cheskin LJ, Balasubramanyam A, Wagenknecht LE, Wing RR. Obesity susceptibility loci and dietary intake in the Look AHEAD Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2012; 95:1477–1486. [PubMed: 22513296]
- 27. Li J, Ji L. Adjusting multiple testing in multilocus analyses using the eigenvalues of a correlation matrix. Heredity. 2005; 95:221–227. [PubMed: 16077740]
- 28. Sidak Z. Rectangular confidence region for the means of multivariate normal distributions. J Am Stat Assoc. 1967; 62:626–633.
- 29. Dabney A, Storey JD. Q-value estimation for false discovery rate control. R package version 1.30.0.

- Storey JD, Tibshirani R. Statistical significance for genomewide studies. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2003; 100:9440–9445. [PubMed: 12883005]
- Franks PW, Jablonski KA, Delahanty L, Hanson RL, Kahn SE, Altshuler D, Knowler WC, Florez JC. The Pro12Ala variant at the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma gene and change in obesity-related traits in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetologia. 2007; 50:2451– 2460. [PubMed: 17898990]
- 32. Lindi VI, Uusitupa MI, Lindstrom J, Louheranta A, Eriksson JG, Valle TT, Hamalainen H, Ilanne-Parikka P, Keinanen-Kiukaanniemi S, Laakso M, Tuomilehto J. Association of the Pro12Ala polymorphism in the PPAR-gamma2 gene with 3-year incidence of type 2 diabetes and body weight change in the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. Diabetes. 2002; 51:2581–2586. [PubMed: 12145174]
- 33. Franks PW, Jablonski KA, Delahanty LM, McAteer JB, Kahn SE, Knowler WC, Florez JC. Assessing gene-treatment interactions at the FTO and INSIG2 loci on obesity-related traits in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetologia. 2008; 51:2214–2223. [PubMed: 18839134]
- 34. Zhang X, Qi Q, Zhang C, Smith SR, Hu FB, Sacks FM, Bray GA, Qi L. FTO genotype and 2-year change in body composition and fat distribution in response to weight-loss diets: the POUNDS LOST Trial. Diabetes. 2012; 61:3005–3011. [PubMed: 22891219]
- 35. Stieger B. Recent insights into the function and regulation of the bile salt export pump (ABCB11). Curr Opin Lipidol. 2009; 20:176–181. [PubMed: 19684528]
- 36. Jansen PL, Strautnieks SS, Jacquemin E, Hadchouel M, Sokal EM, Hooiveld GJ, Koning JH, De Jager-Krikken A, Kuipers F, Stellaard F, Bijleveld CM, Gouw A, Van Goor H, Thompson RJ, Muller M. Hepatocanalicular bile salt export pump deficiency in patients with progressive familial intrahepatic cholestasis. Gastroenterology. 1999; 117:1370–1379. [PubMed: 10579978]
- 37. Strautnieks SS, Bull LN, Knisely AS, Kocoshis SA, Dahl N, Arnell H, Sokal E, Dahan K, Childs S, Ling V, Tanner MS, Kagalwalla AF, Nemeth A, Pawlowska J, Baker A, Mieli-Vergani G, Freimer NB, Gardiner RM, Thompson RJ. A gene encoding a liver-specific ABC transporter is mutated in progressive familial intrahepatic cholestasis. Nat Genet. 1998; 20:233–238. [PubMed: 9806540]
- Noe J, Kullak-Ublick GA, Jochum W, Stieger B, Kerb R, Haberl M, Mullhaupt B, Meier PJ, Pauli-Magnus C. Impaired expression and function of the bile salt export pump due to three novel ABCB11 mutations in intrahepatic cholestasis. J Hepatol. 2005; 43:536–543. [PubMed: 16039748]
- van Mil SW, van der Woerd WL, van der Brugge G, Sturm E, Jansen PL, Bull LN, van den Berg IE, Berger R, Houwen RH, Klomp LW. Benign recurrent intrahepatic cholestasis type 2 is caused by mutations in ABCB11. Gastroenterology. 2004; 127:379–384. [PubMed: 15300568]
- Chambers JC, Zhang W, Sehmi J, Li X, Wass MN, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies loci influencing concentrations of liver enzymes in plasma. Nat Genet. 2011; 43:1131–1138. [PubMed: 22001757]
- 41. Wang R, Salem M, Yousef IM, Tuchweber B, Lam P, Childs SJ, Helgason CD, Ackerley C, Phillips MJ, Ling V. Targeted inactivation of sister of P-glycoprotein gene (spgp) in mice results in nonprogressive but persistent intrahepatic cholestasis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2001; 98:2011– 2016. [PubMed: 11172067]
- Henkel AS, Kavesh MH, Kriss MS, Dewey AM, Rinella ME, Green RM. Hepatic overexpression of abcb11 promotes hypercholesterolemia and obesity in mice. Gastroenterology. 2011; 141:1404– 1411. 1411.e1–e2. [PubMed: 21726512]
- 43. Andreotti G, Menashe I, Chen J, Chang SC, Rashid A, Gao YT, Han TQ, Sakoda LC, Chanock S, Rosenberg PS, Hsing AW. Genetic determinants of serum lipid levels in Chinese subjects: a population-based study in Shanghai, China. Eur J Epidemiol. 2009; 24:763–774. [PubMed: 19888660]
- 44. Kraja AT, Vaidya D, Pankow JS, Goodarzi MO, Assimes TL, et al. A bivariate genomewide approach to metabolic syndrome: STAMPEED Consortium. Diabetes. 2011; 60:1329–1339. [PubMed: 21386085]
- 45. Bouatia-Naji N, Rocheleau G, Van Lommel L, Lemaire K, Schuit F, et al. A polymorphism within the G6PC2 gene is associated with fasting plasma glucose levels. Science. 2008; 320:1085–1088. [PubMed: 18451265]

- 46. Gomez-Ambrosi J, Rodriguez A, Catalan V, Fruhbeck G. The bone-adipose axis in obesity and weight loss. Obes Surg. 2008; 18:1134–1143. [PubMed: 18563500]
- 47. An JJ, Han DH, Kim DM, Kim SH, Rhee Y, Lee EJ, Lim SK. Expression and regulation of osteoprotegerin in adipose tissue. Yonsei Med J. 2007; 48:765–772. [PubMed: 17963332]
- Holecki M, Zahorska-Markiewicz B, Janowska J, Nieszporek T, Wojaczynska-Stanek K, Zak-Golab A, Wiecek A. The influence of weight loss on serum osteoprotegerin concentration in obese perimenopausal women. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2007; 15:1925–1929. [PubMed: 17712108]
- Cheverud JM, Ehrich TH, Hrbek T, Kenney JP, Pletscher LS, Semenkovich CF. Quantitative trait loci for obesity- and diabetes-related traits and their dietary responses to high-fat feeding in LGXSM recombinant inbred mouse strains. Diabetes. 2004; 53:3328–3336. [PubMed: 15561968]
- 50. Zhao LJ, Guo YF, Xiong DH, Xiao P, Recker RR, Deng HW. Is a gene important for bone resorption a candidate for obesity? An association and linkage study on the RANK (receptor activator of nuclear factor-kappaB) gene in a large Caucasian sample. Hum Genet. 2006; 120:561– 570. [PubMed: 16960694]
- Hohjoh H, Takasu M, Shishikura K, Takahashi Y, Honda Y, Tokunaga K. Significant association of the arylalkylamine N-acetyl-transferase (AA-NAT) gene with delayed sleep phase syndrome. Neurogenetics. 2003; 4:151–153. [PubMed: 12736803]
- Cappuccio FP, Taggart FM, Kandala NB, Currie A, Peile E, Stranges S, Miller MA. Meta-analysis of short sleep duration and obesity in children and adults. Sleep. 2008; 31:619–626. [PubMed: 18517032]
- Jessen N, An D, Lihn AS, Nygren J, Hirshman MF, Thorell A, Goodyear LJ. Exercise increases TBC1D1 phosphorylation in human skeletal muscle. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2011; 301:E164–E171. [PubMed: 21505148]
- 54. Meyre D, Farge M, Lecoeur C, Proenca C, Durand E, Allegaert F, Tichet J, Marre M, Balkau B, Weill J, Delplanque J, Froguel P. R125W coding variant in TBC1D1 confers risk for familial obesity and contributes to linkage on chromosome 4p14 in the French population. Hum Mol Genet. 2008; 17:1798–1802. [PubMed: 18325908]
- 55. Stone S, Abkevich V, Russell DL, Riley R, Timms K, Tran T, Trem D, Frank D, Jammulapati S, Neff CD, Iliev D, Gress R, He G, Frech GC, Adams TD, Skolnick MH, Lanchbury JS, Gutin A, Hunt SC, Shattuck D. TBC1D1 is a candidate for a severe obesity gene and evidence for a gene/gene interaction in obesity predisposition. Hum Mol Genet. 2006; 15:2709–2720. [PubMed: 16893906]
- 56. Looker HC, Knowler WC, Hanson RL. Changes in BMI and weight before and after the development of type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2001; 24:1917–1922. [PubMed: 11679457]
- 57. Divoux A, Clement K. Architecture and the extracellular matrix: the still unappreciated components of the adipose tissue. Obes Rev. 2011; 12:e494–e503. [PubMed: 21366833]
- Christiaens V, Lijnen HR. Role of the fibrinolytic and matrix metalloproteinase systems in development of adipose tissue. Arch Physiol Biochem. 2006; 112:254–259. [PubMed: 17178599]
- de Meijer VE, Sverdlov DY, Le HD, Popov Y, Puder M. Tissue-specific differences in inflammatory infiltrate and matrix metalloproteinase expression in adipose tissue and liver of mice with diet-induced obesity. Hepatol Res. 2012; 42:601–610. [PubMed: 22236199]
- Gomez DE, Alonso DF, Yoshiji H, Thorgeirsson UP. Tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases: structure, regulation and biological functions. Eur J Cell Biol. 1997; 74:111–122. [PubMed: 9352216]
- Mohammed FF, Smookler DS, Taylor SE, Fingleton B, Kassiri Z, Sanchez OH, English JL, Matrisian LM, Au B, Yeh WC, Khokha R. Abnormal TNF activity in Timp3–/– mice leads to chronic hepatic inflammation and failure of liver regeneration. Nat Genet. 2004; 36:969–977. [PubMed: 15322543]
- Rosen ED, MacDougald OA. Adipocyte differentiation from the inside out. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2006; 7:885–896. [PubMed: 17139329]
- 63. Clement K, Viguerie N, Poitou C, Carette C, Pelloux V, Curat CA, Sicard A, Rome S, Benis A, Zucker JD, Vidal H, Laville M, Barsh GS, Basdevant A, Stich V, Cancello R, Langin D. Weight loss regulates inflammation-related genes in white adipose tissue of obese subjects. FASEB J. 2004; 18:1657–1669. [PubMed: 15522911]

- 64. Ii H, Hatakeyama S, Tsutsumi K, Sato T, Akiba S. Group IVA phospholipase A2 is associated with the storage of lipids in adipose tissue and liver. Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediat. 2008; 86:12– 17. [PubMed: 18282778]
- 65. Mancuso DJ, Sims HF, Yang K, Kiebish MA, Su X, Jenkins CM, Guan S, Moon SH, Pietka T, Nassir F, Schappe T, Moore K, Han X, Abumrad NA, Gross RW. Genetic ablation of calcium-independent phospholipase A2gamma prevents obesity and insulin resistance during high fat feeding by mitochondrial uncoupling and increased adipocyte fatty acid oxidation. J Biol Chem. 2010; 285:36495–36510. [PubMed: 20817734]
- 66. Song H, Wohltmann M, Bao S, Ladenson JH, Semenkovich CF, Turk J. Mice deficient in group VIB phospholipase A2 (iPLA2gamma) exhibit relative resistance to obesity and metabolic abnormalities induced by a Western diet. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2010; 298:E1097– E1114. [PubMed: 20179248]
- Lijnen HR, Maquoi E, Hansen LB, Van Hoef B, Frederix L, Collen D. Matrix metalloproteinase inhibition impairs adipose tissue development in mice. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2002; 22:374–379. [PubMed: 11884277]
- 68. Shi C, Sakuma M, Mooroka T, Liscoe A, Gao H, Croce KJ, Sharma A, Kaplan D, Greaves DR, Wang Y, Simon DI. Down-regulation of the forkhead transcription factor Foxp1 is required for monocyte differentiation and macrophage function. Blood. 2008; 112:4699–4711. [PubMed: 18799727]

Appendix

Clinical Sites

The Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions

Frederick L. Brancati, MD, MHS¹; Lee Swartz²; Lawrence Cheskin, MD³; Jeanne M. Clark, MD, MPH³; Kerry Stewart, EdD³; Richard Rubin, PhD³; Jean Arceci, RN⁴; Suzanne Ball; Jeanne Charleston, RN; Danielle Diggins; Mia Johnson; Joyce Lambert; Kathy Michalski, RD; Dawn Jiggetts; Chanchai Sapun.

Pennington Biomedical Research Center

George A. Bray, MD¹; Kristi Rau²; Allison Strate, RN²; Frank L. Greenway, MD³; Donna H. Ryan, MD³; Donald Williamson, PhD³; Brandi Armand, LPN; Jennifer Arceneaux; Amy Bachand, MA; Michelle Begnaud, LDN, RD, CDE; Betsy Berhard; Elizabeth Caderette; Barbara Cerniauskas, LDN, RD, CDE; David Creel, MA; Diane Crow; Crystal Duncan; Helen Guay, LDN, LPC, RD; Carolyn Johnson, Lisa Jones; Nancy Kora; Kelly LaFleur; Kim Landry; Missy Lingle; Jennifer Perault; Cindy Puckett; Mandy Shipp, RD; Marisa Smith; Elizabeth Tucker.

The University of Alabama at Birmingham

Cora E. Lewis, MD, MSPH¹; Sheikilya Thomas, MPH²; Monika Safford, MD³; Vicki DiLillo, PhD; Charlotte Bragg, MS, RD, LD; Amy Dobelstein; Stacey Gilbert, MPH; Stephen Glasser, MD³; Sara Hannum, MA; Anne Hubbell, MS; Jennifer Jones, MA; DeLavallade Lee; Ruth Luketic, MA, MBA, MPH; L. Christie Oden; Janet Raines, MS;

¹Principal investigator.

 $^{^{2}}$ Program coordinator.

³Co-investigator.

⁴All other Look AHEAD staffs are listed alphabetically by site.

Cathy Roche, RN, BSN; Janet Truman; Nita Webb, MA; Casey Azuero, MPH; Jane King, MLT; Andre Morgan.

Harvard Center

Massachusetts General Hospital: David M. Nathan, MD¹; Enrico Cagliero, MD³; Kathryn Hayward, MD³; Heather Turgeon, RN, BS, CDE²; Linda Delahanty, MS, RD³; Ellen Anderson, MS, RD³; Laurie Bissett, MS, RD; Valerie Goldman, MS, RD; Virginia Harlan, MSW; Theresa Michel, DPT, DSc, CCS; Mary Larkin, RN; Christine Stevens, RN; Kylee Miller, BA; Jimmy Chen, BA; Karen Blumenthal, BA; Gail Winning, BA; Rita Tsay, RD; Helen Cyr, RD; Maria Pinto.

Joslin Diabetes Center: Edward S. Horton, MD¹; Sharon D. Jackson, MS, RD, CDE²; Osama Hamdy, MD, PhD³; A. Enrique Caballero, MD³; Sarah Bain, BS; Elizabeth Bovaird, BSN, RN; Barbara Fargnoli, MS, RD; Jeanne Spellman, BS, RD; Ann Goebel-Fabbri, PhD; Lori Lambert, MS, RD; Sarah Ledbury, MEd, RD; Maureen Malloy, BS; Kerry Ovalle, MS, RCEP, CDE.

Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center: George Blackburn, MD, PhD¹; Christos Mantzoros, MD, DSc³; Ann McNamara, RN; Kristina Spellman, RD.

University of Colorado Health Sciences Center

James O. Hill, PhD¹; Marsha Miller, MS, RD²; Brent Van Dorsten, PhD³; Judith Regensteiner, PhD³; Ligia Coelho, BS; Paulette Cohrs, RN, BSN; Susan Green; April Hamilton, BS, CCRC; Jere Hamilton, BA; Eugene Leshchinskiy; Lindsey Munkwitz, BS; Loretta Rome, TRS; Terra Worley, BA; Kirstie Craul, RD, CDE; Sheila Smith, BS.

Baylor College of Medicine

John P. Foreyt, PhD¹; Rebecca S. Reeves, DrPH, RD²; Henry Pownall, PhD³; Ashok Balasubramanyam, MBBS³; Peter Jones, MD³; Michele Burrington, RD, RN; Chu-Huang Chen, MD, PhD³; Allyson Clark Gardner, MS, RD; Molly Gee, MEd, RD; Sharon Griggs; Michelle Hamilton; Veronica Holley; Jayne Joseph, RD; Julieta Palencia, RN; Jennifer Schmidt; Carolyn White.

The University of Tennessee Health Science Center

University of Tennessee East: Karen C. Johnson, MD, MPH¹; Carolyn Gresham, RN²; Stephanie Connelly, MD, MPH³; Amy Brewer, RD, MS; Mace Coday, PhD; Lisa Jones, RN; Lynne Lichtermann, RN, BSN; Shirley Vosburg, RD, MPH; J. Lee Taylor, MEd, MBA.

University of Tennessee Downtown: Abbas E. Kitabchi, PhD, MD¹; Ebenezer Nyenwe, MD³; Helen Lambeth, RN, BSN²; Amy Brewer, MS, RD, LDN; Debra Clark, LPN; Andrea Crisler, MT; Debra Force, MS, RD, LDN; Donna Green, RN; Robert Kores, PhD.

University of Minnesota

Robert W. Jeffery, PhD¹; Carolyn Thorson, CCRP²; John P. Bantle, MD³; J. Bruce Redmon, MD³; Richard S. Crow, MD³; Scott Crow, MD³; Susan K. Raatz, PhD, RD³;

Kerrin Brelje, MPH, RD; Carolyne Campbell; Jeanne Carls, MEd; Tara Carmean-Mihm, BA; Julia Devonish, MS; Emily Finch, MA; Anna Fox, MA; Elizabeth Hoelscher, MPH, RD, CHES; La Donna James; Vicki A. Maddy, BS, RD; Therese Ockenden, RN; Birgitta I. Rice, MS, RPh, CHES; Tricia Skarphol, BS; Ann D. Tucker, BA; Mary Susan Voeller, BA; Cara Walcheck, BS, RD.

St. Luke's Roosevelt Hospital Center

Xavier Pi-Sunyer, MD¹; Jennifer Patricio, MS²; Stanley Heshka, PhD³; Carmen Pal, MD³; Lynn Allen, MD; Lolline Chong, BS, RD; Marci Gluck, PhD; Diane Hirsch, RNC, MS, CDE; Mary Anne Holowaty, MS, CN; Michelle Horowitz, MS, RD; Nancy Rau, MS, RD, CDE; Dori Brill Steinberg, BS.

University of Pennsylvania

Thomas A. Wadden, PhD¹; Barbara J. Maschak-Carey, MSN, CDE²; Robert I. Berkowitz, MD³; Seth Braunstein, MD, PhD³; Gary Foster, PhD³; Henry Glick, PhD³; Shiriki Kumanyika, PhD, RD, MPH³; Stanley S. Schwartz, MD³; Michael Allen, RN; Yuliis Bell; Johanna Brock; Susan Brozena, MD; Ray Carvajal, MA; Helen Chomentowski; Canice Crerand, PhD; Renee Davenport; Andrea Diamond, MS, RD; Anthony Fabricatore, PhD; Lee Goldberg, MD; Louise Hesson, MSN, CRNP; Thomas Hudak, MS; Nayyar Iqbal, MD; LaShanda Jones-Corneille, PhD; Andrew Kao, MD; Robert Kuehnel, PhD; Patricia Lipschutz, MSN; Monica Mullen, RD, MPH.

University of Pittsburgh

John M. Jakicic, PhD¹; David E. Kelley, MD¹; Jacqueline Wesche-Thobaben, RN, BSN, CDE²; Lewis H. Kuller, MD, DrPH³; Andrea Kriska, PhD³; Amy D. Otto, PhD, RD, LDN³; Lin Ewing, PhD, RN³; Mary Korytkowski, MD³; Daniel Edmundowicz, MD³; Monica E. Yamamoto, DrPH, RD, FADA³; Rebecca Danchenko, BS; Barbara Elnyczky; David O. Garcia, MS; George A. Grove, MS; Patricia H. Harper, MS, RD, LDN; Susan Harrier, BS; Nicole L. Helbling, MS, RN; Diane Ives, MPH; Juliet Mancino, MS, RD, CDE, LDN; Anne Mathews, PhD, RD, LDN; Tracey Y. Murray, BS; Joan R. Ritchea; Susan Urda, BS, CTR; Donna L. Wolf, PhD.

The Miriam Hospital/Brown Medical School

Rena R. Wing, PhD¹; Renee Bright, MS²; Vincent Pera, MD³; John Jakicic, PhD³; Deborah Tate, PhD³; Amy Gorin, PhD³; Kara Gallagher, PhD³; Amy Bach, PhD; Barbara Bancroft, RN, MS; Anna Bertorelli, MBA, RD; Richard Carey, BS; Tatum Charron, BS; Heather Chenot, MS; Kimberley Chula-Maguire, MS; Pamela Coward, MS, RD; Lisa Cronkite, BS; Julie Currin, MD; Maureen Daly, RN; Caitlin Egan, MS; Erica Ferguson, BS, RD; Linda Foss, MPH; Jennifer Gauvin, BS; Don Kieffer, PhD; Lauren Lessard, BS; Deborah Maier, MS; J.P. Massaro, BS; Tammy Monk, MS; Rob Nicholson, PhD; Erin Patterson, BS; Suzanne Phelan, PhD; Hollie Raynor, PhD, RD; Douglas Raynor, PhD; Natalie Robinson, MS, RD; Deborah Robles; Jane Tavares, BS.

The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio

Steven M. Haffner, MD¹; Helen P. Hazuda, PhD¹; Maria G. Montez, RN, MSHP, CDE²; Carlos Lorenzo, MD³; Charles F. Coleman, MS, RD; Domingo Granado, RN; Kathy Hathaway, MS, RD; Juan Carlos Isaac, RC, BSN; Nora Ramirez, RN, BSN; Ronda Saenz, MS, RD.

VA Puget Sound Health Care System/University of Washington

Steven Kahn MB, ChB¹; Brenda Montgomery, RN, MS, CDE²; Robert Knopp, MD³; Edward Lipkin, MD³; Dace Trence, MD³; Terry Barrett, BS; Joli Bartell, BA; Diane Greenberg, PhD; Anne Murillo, BS; Betty Ann Richmond, MEd; Jolanta Socha, BS; April Thomas, MPH, RD; Alan Wesley, BA.

Southwestern American Indian Center, Phoenix, Arizona and Shiprock, New Mexico

William C. Knowler, MD, DrPH¹; Paula Bolin, RN, MC²; Tina Killean, BS²; Cathy Manus, LPN³; Jonathan Krakoff, MD³; Jeffrey M. Curtis, MD, MPH³; Justin Glass, MD³; Sara Michaels, MD³; Peter H. Bennett, MB, FRCP³; Tina Morgan³; Shandiin Begay, MPH; Paul Bloomquist, MD; Teddy Costa, BS; Bernadita Fallis, RN, RHIT, CCS; Jeanette Hermes, MS, RD; Diane F. Hollowbreast; Ruby Johnson; Maria Meacham, BSN, RN, CDE; Julie Nelson, RD; Carol Percy, RN; Patricia Poorthunder; Sandra Sangster; Nancy Scurlock, MSN, ANP-C, CDE; Leigh A. Shovestull, RD, CDE; Janelia Smiley; Katie Toledo, MS, LPC; Christina Tomchee, BA; Darryl Tonemah, PhD.

University of Southern California

Anne Peters, MD¹; Valerie Ruelas, MSW, LCSW²; Siran Ghazarian Sengardi, MD²; Kathryn (Mandy) Graves Hillstrom, EdD, RD, CDE; Kati Konersman, MA, RD, CDE; Sara Serafin-Dokhan.

Coordinating Center

Wake Forest University

Mark A. Espeland, PhD¹; Judy L. Bahnson, BA, CCRP³; Lynne E. Wagenknecht, DrPH³; David Reboussin, PhD³; W. Jack Rejeski, PhD³; Alain G. Bertoni, MD, MPH³; Wei Lang, PhD³; Michael S. Lawlor, PhD³; David Lefkowitz, MD³; Gary D. Miller, PhD³; Patrick S. Reynolds, MD³; Paul M. Ribisl, PhD³; Mara Vitolins, DrPH³; Haiying Chen, PhD³; Delia S. West, PhD³; Lawrence M. Friedman, MD³; Brenda L. Craven, MS, CCRP²; Kathy M. Dotson, BA²; Amelia Hodges, BS, CCRP²; Carrie C. Williams, MA, CCRP²; Andrea Anderson, MS; Jerry M. Barnes, MA; Mary Barr; Daniel P. Beavers, PhD; Tara Beckner; Cralen Davis, MS; Thania Del Valle-Fagan, MD; Patricia A. Feeney, MS; Candace Goode; Jason Griffin, BS; Lea Harvin, BS; Patricia Hogan, MS; Sarah A. Gaussoin, MS; Mark King, BS; Kathy Lane, BS; Rebecca H. Neiberg, MS; Michael P. Walkup, MS; Karen Wall, AAS; Terri Windham.

Central Resources Centers

DXA Reading Center, University of California at San Francisco

Michael Nevitt, PhD¹; Ann Schwartz, PhD²; John Shepherd, PhD³; Michaela Rahorst; Lisa Palermo, MS, MA; Susan Ewing, MS; Cynthia Hayashi; Jason Maeda, MPH.

Central Laboratory, Northwest Lipid Metabolism and Diabetes Research Laboratories

Santica M. Marcovina, PhD, ScD¹; Jessica Chmielewski²; Vinod Gaur, PhD⁴.

ECG Reading Center, EPICARE, Wake Forest University School of Medicine

Elsayed Z. Soliman, MD, MSc, MS¹; Ronald J. Prineas, MD, PhD¹; Charles Campbell²; Zhu-Ming Zhang, MD³; Teresa Alexander; Lisa Keasler; Susan Hensley; Yabing Li, MD.

Diet Assessment Center, University of South Carolina, Arnold School of Public Health, Center for Research in Nutrition and Health Disparities

Robert Moran, PhD¹.

Hall-Foushee Communications, Inc

Richard Foushee, PhD; Nancy J. Hall, MA.

Federal Sponsors

National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases

Mary Evans, PhD; Barbara Harrison, MS; Van S. Hubbard, MD, PhD; Susan Z. Yanovski, MD; Robert Kuczmarski, PhD.

National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute

Lawton S. Cooper, MD, MPH; Peter Kaufman, PhD, FABMR.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Edward W. Gregg, PhD; David F. Williamson, PhD; Ping Zhang, PhD.

McCaffery et al.



Fig. 1.

IBC chip-wide Manhattan plot for year-1 weight change in ILI (**a**) and DSE (**b**). Includes 31,692 autosomal SNPs with MAF >5% (n = 3,889).









Table 1

Population characteristics in the Look AHEAD genetic subcohort

Characteristic	Total (n = 3,899)	DSE (n = 1,964)	ILI (n = 1,935)
Women, n (%)	2,192 (56.2)	1,096 (55.8)	1,096 (56.6)
Ethnicity, n (%)			
African-American	618 (15.8)	305 (15.5)	313 (16.2)
American Indian ^a	20 (0.5)	9 (0.5)	11 (0.6)
Asian/Pacific Islander	41 (1.1)	19 (1.0)	22 (1.1)
Hispanic/Latino	307 (7.9)	159 (8.1)	148 (7.7)
Non-Hispanic White	2,835 (72.7)	1,430 (72.8)	1,405 (72.6)
Other (multiple)	78 (2.0)	42 (2.1)	36 (1.9)
Age, years	59.1 ± 6.8	59.2 ± 6.8	59.0 ± 6.9
BMI			
Women	36.8 ± 6.2	36.9 ± 6.1	36.7 ± 6.3
Men	35.3 ± 5.5	35.1 ± 5.2	35.5 ± 5.8
Waist circumference, cm			
Women	111.4 ± 13.7	111.5 ± 13.6	111.3 ± 13.8
Men	118.8 ± 13.4	118.5 ± 12.9	119.2 ± 13.9
Weight at baseline, kg			
Women	96.7 ± 17.5	96.6 ± 17.4	96.8 ± 17.7
Men	109.6 ± 18.5	109.4 ± 17.8	109.8 ± 19.2
Weight at year 1, kg			
Women	92.1 ± 17.8	95.6 ± 17.5	88.7 ± 17.3
Men	104.1 ± 18.9	108.7 ± 17.9	99.4 ± 18.8
Weight at year 4, kg			
Women	93.3 ± 17.8	94.4 ± 17.7	92.3 ± 17.9
Men	106.1 ± 19.1	108.4 ± 18.2	103.7 ± 19.7
Weight change baseline -	year 1, kg		
Women	-4.6 ± 7.1	-0.9 ± 5.1	-8.1 ± 7.1
Men	-5.6 ± 8.4	-0.9 ± 5.2	-10.5 ± 8.3
Weight change baseline -	year 4, kg		
Women	-3.3 ± 9.0	-2.2 ± 9.4	-4.5 ± 8.5
Men	-3.3 ± 8.8	-0.9 ± 7.8	-5.8 ± 9.0

Values are means \pm SD unless otherwise indicated.

 a The number of American Indian participants included in this ancillary study is less than that of the parent Look AHEAD trial because not all centers participated.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

McCaffery et al.

	_
	.20
	\sim
	σ
	FDR
	ē
,	chang
	eight (
	Ň
	year-4
	with
,	ted
	associa
	SNPs
	• 1

ridddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddd	SNP	Chr	Closest gene	Minor allele	MAF, %a	Effect	βą	SE	p value	q value ^c
re4233788 2 <i>ITGAV</i> A 24.74 DSE -1.510 0.325 3.35E-06 rs10229001 7 <i>RF5</i> G 39.43 DSE 1.379 0.303 5.33E-06 rs4788114 16 <i>LAT</i> A 7.50 DSE -2.371 0.536 5.33E-06 rs3911084 2 <i>ITGAV</i> C 28.24 DSE 1.379 0.316 2.06E-05 rs11166351 7 <i>RF5</i> A 49.47 DSE 1.1341 0.316 2.04E-05 rs11166351 7 <i>RF5</i> A 23.34 DSE 1.247 DSE 2.47E-05 rs11240089 1 <i>BCL9</i> G 23.34 DSE 1.247 DSE 2.47E-05 rs1124089 3 <i>TCFBR5</i> A DSE 1.247 DSE 2.47E-05 rs1124089 3 <i>TCFBR5</i> A DSE 1.247 DSE 1.246-05 rs12498907 7 <i>RF5</i>	rs13242262	٢	IRF5	Т	40.45	DSE	1.414	0.303	3.00E-06	0.051
rs10229017 $RF5$ G $39,43$ DSE 1.379 0.303 $5.335-06$ rs31108416 LAT A7.50DSE -1.347 0.316 $2.06E-05$ rs31106317RF5A 28.20 DSE -1.547 0.303 $5.335-06$ rs11663517RF5A $29,47$ DSE -1.547 0.305 $2.24E-05$ rs111663517RF5A $29,47$ DSE -1.237 0.305 $2.24E-05$ rs11240891BCL9G 23.17 DSE 1.731 0.410 $2.47E-05$ rs11240891BCL9G 23.17 DSE 1.731 0.410 $2.47E-05$ rs112408937GFBR2A 23.16 DSE -1.403 0.306 $5.06E-05$ rs1249089937GFBR2A 23.46 DSE -1.403 0.306 $5.47E-05$ rs12490897RF5G 23.74 DSE -1.247 0.306 $5.06E-05$ rs12490897RF75G 23.746 DSE -1.247 0.306 $5.06E-05$ rs12490897RF75G 0.66 33.54 DSE -1.247 0.306 $5.06E-05$ rs12490897RF75G 0.67 4156 0.767 $4156-05$ rs1244587RF75G 0.67 2176 0.67 $4156-05$ rs105059051BCL9G 0.760 DSE -1.247 <	rs4233788	7	ITGAV	А	24.74	DSE	-1.510	0.325	3.35E-06	0.051
re478811416 AT A7.50DSE -2.371 0.53 $1.05E-05$ re39110842 $TGAV$ C 28.20 DSE -1.347 0.316 $2.06E-05$ rs171663517 $RF5$ A 49.47 DSE -1.252 0.295 $2.47E-05$ rs112400891 $BCL9$ G 23.17 DSE 1.731 0.410 $2.47E-05$ rs12408993 $RER2$ A 23.354 DSE 1.731 0.410 $2.47E-05$ rs12408993 $TGFBR2$ A 23.354 DSE -1.217 0.306 $2.72E-05$ rs12408993 $TGFBR2$ A 23.354 DSE -1.217 0.306 $5.06E-05$ rs12408993 $TGFBR2$ A 23.354 DSE -1.217 0.300 $5.06E-05$ rs12408997 $RF5$ G 9.945 DSE -1.217 0.300 $5.06E-05$ rs12408997 $RF5$ G 9.945 DSE -1.217 0.300 $5.06E-05$ rs178089077 $RF5$ G 0.910 DSE -1.217 0.300 $5.06E-05$ rs178089077 $RF5$ G 0.910 DSE -1.217 0.300 $5.06E-05$ rs178089077 $RF5$ G 0.926 0.316 0.305 0.661 0.305 rs178089077 $RF5$ $RC40$ A 0.720 0.720 0.720 0.720 rs178089071 $RC10$ <td>rs10229001</td> <td>٢</td> <td>IRF5</td> <td>G</td> <td>39.43</td> <td>DSE</td> <td>1.379</td> <td>0.303</td> <td>5.33E-06</td> <td>0.054</td>	rs10229001	٢	IRF5	G	39.43	DSE	1.379	0.303	5.33E-06	0.054
rs39110842 $ITGAV$ C 28.20 DSE -1.347 0.316 $2.06E-05$ rs111663517 $RF5$ A 9.47 DSE -1.322 0.295 $2.24E-05$ rs112400891 $BCL9$ G $2.3.17$ DSE 1.731 0.410 $2.47E-05$ rs112400891 $BCL9$ G $2.3.17$ DSE 1.731 0.410 $2.47E-05$ rs124908993 $TGFBR2$ A $2.3.46$ DSE -1.232 0.298 $2.72E-05$ rs124908993 $TGFBR2$ A $2.3.46$ DSE -1.243 0.340 $3.71E-05$ rs124908903 $TGFBR2$ A $2.3.46$ DSE -1.249 0.340 $3.71E-05$ rs1249089077 $RF5$ G $3.9.45$ DSE -1.249 0.340 $3.71E-05$ rs18743287 $RF5$ G $3.9.45$ DSE -1.164 0.300 $5.06E-05$ rs60395651 $BCL9$ G $3.9.45$ DSE -1.164 0.290 $5.04E-05$ rs60431882 $RCAV$ A $2.2.48$ DSE -1.164 0.326 $5.44E-05$ rs60431882 $RCAV$ A $2.2.48$ DSE -1.164 0.290 $2.110-05$ rs60431882 $RCAV$ A $2.2.48$ DSE -1.349 0.347 $2.92E-05$ rs60431882 $RCAV$ A $2.2.48$ DSE -1.349 0.347 $2.05E-05$	rs4788114	16	LAT	A	7.50	DSE	-2.371	0.538	1.05E-05	0.081
rs11663517 $RF5$ A 9.47 DSE -1.252 0.295 $2.24E-05$ rs112408991 $BCL9$ G $2.3.17$ DSE 1.731 0.410 $2.47E-05$ rs421455915 $MER2$ G 33.54 DSE 1.253 0.298 $2.72E-05$ rs424455915 $MER2$ A $2.3.46$ DSE 1.253 0.298 $2.72E-05$ rs424455915 $MER52$ A $2.3.346$ DSE -1.203 0.298 $2.77E-05$ rs124908993 $TGFBR2$ A $2.3.46$ DSE -1.217 0.300 $5.06E-05$ rs18743287 $RF5$ G 9.90 DSE -1.217 0.300 $5.06E-05$ rs18743287 $RF5$ G 9.90 DSE -1.249 0.289 $5.84E-05$ rs18743281 $BCL9$ G 9.90 DSE -1.164 0.289 $5.84E-05$ rs06939561 $BCL9$ G $2.3.71$ DSE -1.164 0.289 $5.84E-05$ rs1874335 $BCL9$ G $2.3.71$ DSE -1.164 0.289 $5.84E-05$ rs074341882 $RCAV$ A $2.2.48$ DSE -1.164 0.289 $5.84E-05$ rs074341882 $RCAV$ A $2.2.48$ DSE -1.164 0.289 $5.84E-05$ rs01050599612 $RCAV$ A $2.2.48$ DSE -1.164 0.287 $2.97E-05$ rs01050599612 <t< td=""><td>rs3911084</td><td>7</td><td>ITGAV</td><td>С</td><td>28.20</td><td>DSE</td><td>-1.347</td><td>0.316</td><td>2.06E-05</td><td>0.104</td></t<>	rs3911084	7	ITGAV	С	28.20	DSE	-1.347	0.316	2.06E-05	0.104
ril1240080I $BCL9$ G 23.17 DSE 1.731 0.410 $2.47E-05$ rs224455915 $MEIS2$ G 33.54 DSE 1.253 0.298 $2.77E-05$ rs7204757 $ARHGEF5$ A 23.46 DSE -1.403 0.340 $3.71E-05$ rs7204757 $ARHGE75$ A 23.346 DSE -1.217 0.300 5.0667 rs124908903 $TGFBR2$ A 5.39 DSE -1.217 0.300 $5.06E-05$ rs1249089077 $RF5$ G 39.45 DSE -1.217 0.300 $5.06E-05$ rs18743287 $RF5$ G 39.45 DSE -1.217 0.300 $5.06E-05$ rs18743281 $BCL9$ G 39.45 DSE -1.217 0.300 $5.06E-05$ rs187089077 $RF5$ G 9.216 0.340 0.340 0.340 $5.04E-05$ rs187089071 $BCL9$ G 0.910 DSE -1.217 0.300 $5.04E-05$ rs187080791 $BCL9$ G 0.910 DSE -1.349 0.347 $0.34E-05$ rs1050599612 $RF7$ A DSE 1.597 0.413 $1.07E-04$ rs1050599612 $RFR2$ T 1.597 0.551 $1.07E-04$ rs1050599612 $RFR2$ T T 1.597 0.413 $1.07E-04$ rs10505059613 $RFR2$ A T	rs17166351	٢	IRF5	А	49.47	DSE	-1.252	0.295	2.24E-05	0.104
rs42455915 <i>MEIS2</i> G 33.54 DSE 1.253 0.298 $2.72E-05$ rs7204757 <i>ARHGEF5</i> A 23.46 DSE -1.403 0.340 $3.71E-05$ rs124908993 <i>TGFBR2</i> A 5.39 DSE -1.217 0.300 5.0667 $4.15E-05$ rs124908997 <i>IRF5</i> G 39.45 DSE -1.217 0.300 $5.06E-05$ rs18743287 <i>IRF5</i> G 39.45 DSE -1.217 0.300 $5.06E-05$ rs66959651 <i>BCL9</i> G 39.45 DSE -1.217 0.300 $5.06E-05$ rs66959651 <i>BCL9</i> G 39.45 DSE -1.217 0.300 $5.06E-05$ rs66959651 <i>BCL9</i> G 23.71 DSE -1.217 0.300 $5.06E-05$ rs6059651 <i>BCL9</i> G 23.71 DSE -1.249 0.286 $0.24E-05$ rs605959651 <i>BCL9</i> G 8.06 DSE -1.349 0.345 $0.21E-05$ rs605996612 <i>ITPR2</i> G 8.06 DSE -1.349 0.345 $0.21E-05$ rs6050599612 <i>ITPR2</i> G 8.06 DSE -1.349 0.345 $0.21E-05$ rs6050599612 <i>ITPR2</i> A 15.95 DSE 1.597 0.413 $1.06-04$ rs107607079 <i>TTPR2</i> A 15.95 DSE 1.597 0.413 $1.06-04$ rs11165294<	rs11240089	-	BCL9	G	23.17	DSE	1.731	0.410	2.47E-05	0.104
rs7204757 $ARHGEF5$ A23.46DSE-1.4030.3403.71E-05rs124908993 $TGFBR2$ A5.39DSE-2.7350.667415E-05rs18743287 $IRF5$ G39.45DSE-1.2170.3005.06E-05rs18743287 $IRF5$ G39.45DSE-1.1640.2995.46-05rs66959651 $BCL9$ G23.71DSE-1.1640.2995.46-05rs66959651 $BCL9$ G23.71DSE-1.1640.3055.06E-05rs66959651 $BCL9$ G23.71DSE-1.1640.3955.46-05rs66959651 $BCL9$ G23.71DSE-1.1640.3955.46-05rs66959651 $BCL9$ G23.71DSE1.6160.4128.4E-05rs6959651 $BCL9$ GDSE2.0190.5201.07E-04rs69714832 $IrergenicG8.80DSE-2.1370.5511.07E-04rs107607079IrergenicG8.80DSE-2.1370.5511.07E-04rs107607079IrergenicG8.80DSE-2.1370.5511.07E-04rs107607079IrergenicG8.80DSE-2.1370.5511.07E-04rs107607079IrergenicGPS9.80DSE-1.3780.5511.07E-04rs107607$	rs4244559	15	MEIS2	G	33.54	DSE	1.253	0.298	2.72E-05	0.104
rs12490893 $TGFBR2$ A5.39DSE -2.735 0.667 $4.15E-05$ rs18743287 $RF5$ G 39.45 DSE -1.217 0.300 $5.06E-05$ rs18743287 $RF5$ G 39.45 DSE -1.217 0.300 $5.06E-05$ rs60859651 $BCL9$ G 49.90 DSE -1.217 0.300 $5.06E-05$ rs604341882 $RC9$ G 23.71 DSE -1.217 0.289 $5.48-05$ rs604341882 $RC9$ G 23.71 DSE -1.349 0.345 $9.21E-05$ rs0714835 $IntegenicG8.80DSE-2.1370.5511.07E-04rs1050599612ITPR2G8.06DSE-2.1370.5511.07E-04rs107607079TTNDC4T15.95DSE-2.1370.5511.07E-04rs1050599612ITPR2G8.06DSE-2.1370.5511.07E-04rs107607079TTNDC4T15.960.9160.9260.2161.07E-04rs1050599615PLA2G4FA3.6.960.9060.9360.2161.07E-04rs111652941TGFBR3A0.9100.9010.9100.2142.92E-05rs111652941TGFBR3A0.9160.9210.92162.92E-05rs111652941$	rs720475	٢	ARHGEF5	А	23.46	DSE	-1.403	0.340	3.71E-05	0.126
rs18743287 <i>RF5</i> G39.45DSE -1.217 0.3005.06E-05rs78089077 <i>RF5</i> G49.90DSE -1.164 0.2895.84E-05rs6695651 <i>BCL9</i> G23.71DSE -1.164 0.2895.84E-05rs66939651 <i>BCL9</i> G23.71DSE -1.164 0.2895.84E-05rs64341882 <i>ITGAV</i> A22.48DSE -1.349 0.3459.21E-05rs9774835 <i>Intergenic</i> G8.80DSE -1.349 0.5501.07E-04rs10760709 <i>TTRR2</i> G8.06DSE -2.137 0.5511.07E-04rs10760709 <i>TTRR2</i> G8.06DSE -2.137 0.5511.07E-04rs10760709 <i>TTRR2</i> G8.06DSE -1.349 0.5501.07E-04rs10760709 <i>TTRR2</i> G8.06DSE -1.379 0.5511.07E-04rs110603481 <i>TGFBR3</i> A15.970.4131.10E-04rs111652941 <i>TGFBR3</i> A0.9010.9010.2142.59E-05rs111652941 <i>TGFBR3</i> A0.3272.59E-05rs111652941 <i>TGFBR3</i> A0.9110.9010.2142.69E-05rs111652941 <i>TGFBR3</i> C4.3.93Pooled0.9010.2142.9E-05rs111653481 <i>TGFBR3</i> C2.4.86Poo	rs12490899	З	TGFBR2	A	5.39	DSE	-2.735	0.667	4.15E-05	0.127
rs7808907 7 <i>IRF5</i> G 49.90 DSE -1.164 0.289 5.84E-05 rs6695965 1 <i>BCL9</i> G 23.71 DSE 1.616 0.412 8.84E-05 rs6695965 1 <i>BCL9</i> G 23.71 DSE 1.616 0.412 8.84E-05 rs6434188 2 <i>ITGAV</i> A 22.48 DSE -1.349 0.345 9.21E-05 rs977483 5 <i>Intergenic</i> G 8.80 DSE -2.137 0.551 $1.07E-04$ rs10760707 9 <i>TXNDC4</i> T 15.95 DSE -2.137 0.551 $1.07E-04$ rs10760707 9 <i>TXNDC4</i> T 15.97 0.551 $1.07E-04$ rs10760707 9 <i>TXNDC4</i> T 15.97 0.551 $1.07E-04$ rs107505051 15 <i>PLA2G4F</i> A 15.97 0.931 0.741 $2.99E-05$ rs11165294 1 <i>T</i>	rs1874328	٢	IRF5	G	39.45	DSE	-1.217	0.300	5.06E-05	0.141
rs669565 1 $BCL9$ G 23.71 DSE 1.616 0.412 $8.84E-05$ rs6434188 2 $ITGAV$ A 22.48 DSE -1.349 0.345 $9.21E-05$ rs977483 5 $Intergenic$ G 8.80 DSE -1.349 0.345 $9.21E-05$ rs107505996 12 $ITPR2$ G 8.06 DSE -2.137 0.551 $1.07E-04$ rs10760707 9 $ITPR2$ G 8.06 DSE -2.137 0.551 $1.07E-04$ rs10760707 9 $ITPR2$ G $R.06$ DSE -2.137 0.551 $1.07E-04$ rs10760707 9 $ITPR2$ G $R.06$ DSE -2.137 0.551 $1.07E-04$ rs10760707 9 $ITPR2$ A $R.06$ DSE -2.137 0.551 $1.07E-04$ rs10760707 I $ITSPR2$ A I I	rs7808907	٢	IRF5	Ū	49.90	DSE	-1.164	0.289	5.84E-05	0.149
rs64341882 <i>ITGAV</i> A 22.48 DSE -1.349 0.345 $9.21E-05$ rs9774835 <i>Intergenic</i> G8.80DSE 2.019 0.520 $1.05E-04$ rs1050599612 <i>ITPR2</i> G8.06DSE -2.137 0.551 $1.07E-04$ rs107607079 <i>ITPR2</i> G8.06DSE -2.137 0.551 $1.07E-04$ rs107607079 <i>ITPR2</i> G8.06DSE -2.137 0.551 $1.07E-04$ rs107607079 <i>ITPR2</i> GP 15.95 DSE 1.597 0.413 $1.07E-04$ rs111652941 <i>TGFBR3</i> A 11.01 Pooled -0.936 0.216 $1.43E-05$ rs111652941 <i>TGFBR3</i> A 11.01 Pooled -1.378 0.327 $2.59E-05$ rs111652941 <i>TGFBR3</i> A 11.01 Pooled -1.378 0.216 $2.68E-05$ rs111652941 <i>TGFBR3</i> A 11.01 Pooled -0.936 0.216 $2.69E-05$ rs111652941 <i>TGFBR3</i> C $2.43.93$ Pooled 0.901 0.214 $2.69E-05$ rs111652941 <i>TGFBR3</i> C $2.4.86$ Pooled 0.974 0.210 $3.24E-05$ rs11213851 <i>POUZ4F</i> AA -1.694 0.877 0.210 $3.24E-05$ rs11213851 <i>POUZ4F</i> AA -1.694 -0.874 0.913 $4.99E-05$	rs6695965	-	BCL9	Ū	23.71	DSE	1.616	0.412	8.84E-05	0.197
rs9774835IntergenicG8.80DSE2.0190.5201.05E-04rs1050599612 <i>ITPR2</i> G8.06DSE -2.137 0.5511.07E-04rs107607079 <i>TXNDC4</i> T15.95DSE 1.597 0.4131.10E-04rs64952615 <i>PLA2G4F</i> A36.96Pooled -0.936 0.2161.43E-05rs111652941 <i>TGFBR3</i> A11.01Pooled -1.378 0.3272.59E-05rs111652941 <i>TGFBR3</i> A11.01Pooled -1.378 0.3272.59E-05rs110634812 <i>Intergenic</i> C 43.93 Pooled 0.901 0.2142.68E-05rs9130591 <i>TGFBR3</i> C 24.86 Pooled 0.901 0.2163.24E-05rs62156015 <i>PLA2G4F</i> A $4.1.23$ Pooled -0.874 0.210 $3.24E-05$ rs811405720 <i>HNF4A</i> A $4.7.13$ Pooled -0.874 0.210 $3.24E-05$ rs811405720 <i>HNF4A</i> A $4.7.13$ Pooled -0.874 0.210 $3.24E-05$ rs811405720 <i>HNF4A</i> A $4.7.13$ Pooled -0.874 0.916 0.916	rs6434188	7	ITGAV	А	22.48	DSE	-1.349	0.345	9.21E-05	0.197
rs105059612ITPR2G 8.06 DSE -2.137 0.551 $1.07-04$ $rs10760707$ 9 $TXNDC4$ T 15.95 DSE 1.597 0.413 $1.10E-04$ $rs0769526$ 15 $PLA2G4F$ A 36.96 Pooled -0.936 0.216 $1.43E-05$ $rs11165294$ 1 $TGFBR3$ A 11.01 Pooled -1.378 0.327 $2.59E-05$ $rs11063488$ 12 $IntergenicC43.93Pooled0.9010.2142.68E-05rs1106348812IntergenicC43.93Pooled0.9010.2142.68E-05rs0130591TGFBR3C24.86Pooled0.9010.2142.92E-05rs0130591TGFBR3C24.86Pooled-0.8740.2103.24E-05rs01105348815PLA2G4FA41.13Pooled-0.8740.2103.24E-05rs011305915PLA2G4FA41.13Pooled-0.8740.2103.24E-05rs011238511POU2AFIA41.13Pooled-0.8740.2103.24E-05rs011238511POU2AFIA41.13Pooled-0.8740.2134.09E-05$	rs977483	5	Intergenic	G	8.80	DSE	2.019	0.520	1.05E-04	0.197
rs1076070 9 $TXNDC4$ T 15.95 DSE 1.597 0.413 1.10E-04 rs649526 15 $PLA2G4F$ A 36.96 Pooled -0.936 0.216 1.43E-05 rs11165294 1 $TGFBR3$ A 11.01 Pooled -1.378 0.327 2.59E-05 rs11165294 1 $TGFBR3$ A 11.01 Pooled -1.378 0.327 2.59E-05 rs913059 1 $TGFBR3$ C 43.93 Pooled 0.901 0.214 2.68E-05 rs913059 1 $TGFBR3$ C 24.8.05 0.214 2.04E-05 rs913059 1 $TGFBR3$ C 24.1.23 Pooled -0.874 0.210 3.24E-05 rs8114057 20 HNF4A A 4.1.23 Pooled -0.874 0.210 3.24E-05 rs8114057 20 HNF4A A 4.1.23 Pooled -0.874 0.210 3.24E-05 rs1	rs10505996	12	ITPR2	G	8.06	DSE	-2.137	0.551	1.07E-04	0.197
rs64952615 $PLA2G4F$ A36.96Pooled -0.936 0.216 $1.43E-05$ rs111652941 $TGFBR3$ A11.01Pooled -1.378 0.327 $2.59E-05$ rs1106348812 <i>Intergenic</i> C 43.93 Pooled 0.901 0.214 $2.68E-05$ rs9130591 $TGFBR3$ C 24.86 Pooled 0.901 0.214 $2.68E-05$ rs62156015 $PLA2G4F$ A 41.23 Pooled 0.901 0.210 $3.24E-05$ rs811405720 $HNF4A$ A 47.13 Pooled -0.874 0.210 $3.24E-05$ rs1121386511 $POU2AFI$ A 6.30 Pooled -1.694 0.413 $4.09E-05$	rs10760707	6	TXNDC4	Т	15.95	DSE	1.597	0.413	1.10E-04	0.197
rs11165294 1 $TGFBR3$ A 11.01 Pooled -1.378 0.327 $2.59E-05$ rs11063488 12 <i>Intergenic</i> C 43.93 Pooled 0.901 0.214 $2.68E-05$ rs11063488 12 <i>Intergenic</i> C 43.93 Pooled 0.901 0.214 $2.68E-05$ rs913059 1 $TGFBR3$ C 24.86 Pooled 0.908 0.239 $2.92E-05$ rs621560 15 <i>PLA2G4F</i> A 41.23 Pooled -0.874 0.210 $3.24E-05$ rs8114057 20 <i>HNF4A</i> A 47.13 Pooled -0.877 0.210 $3.24E-05$ rs11213865 11 <i>POU2AF1</i> A 47.13 Pooled -0.877 0.210 $3.91E-05$	rs649526	15	PLA2G4F	A	36.96	Pooled	-0.936	0.216	1.43E-05	0.186
rs1106348812IntergenicC43.93Pooled0.9010.2142.68E-05rs9130591 $TGFBR3$ C24.86Pooled0.9980.2392.92E-05rs62156015 $PLA2G4F$ A41.23Pooled-0.8740.2103.24E-05rs811405720 $HNF4A$ A47.13Pooled-0.8570.2083.91E-05rs1121385511 $POU2AFI$ A6.30Pooled-1.6940.4134.09E-05	rs11165294	-	TGFBR3	A	11.01	Pooled	-1.378	0.327	2.59E-05	0.186
rs913050 1 $TGFBR3$ C 24.86 Pooled 0.998 0.239 $2.92E-05$ rs621560 15 $PLA2G4F$ A 41.23 Pooled -0.874 0.210 $3.24E-05$ rs8114057 20 $HNF4A$ A 47.13 Pooled -0.877 0.208 $3.91E-05$ rs11213865 11 $POU2AFI$ A 5.30 Pooled -1.694 0.413 $4.09E-05$	rs11063488	12	Intergenic	С	43.93	Pooled	0.901	0.214	2.68E-05	0.186
rs621560 15 PLA2G4F A 41.23 Pooled -0.874 0.210 3.24E-05 rs8114057 20 HNF4A A 47.13 Pooled -0.857 0.208 3.91E-05 rs11213865 11 POU2AFI A 6.30 Pooled -1.694 0.413 4.09E-05	rs913059	-	TGFBR3	С	24.86	Pooled	0.998	0.239	2.92E-05	0.186
rs8114057 20 <i>HNF4A</i> A 47.13 Pooled -0.857 0.208 3.91E-05 rs11213865 11 <i>POU</i> 2 <i>AF1</i> A 6.30 Pooled -1.694 0.413 4.09E-05	rs621560	15	PLA2G4F	A	41.23	Pooled	-0.874	0.210	3.24E-05	0.186
rs11213865 11 POU2AF1 A 6.30 Pooled -1.694 0.413 4.09E-05	rs8114057	20	HNF4A	A	47.13	Pooled	-0.857	0.208	3.91E-05	0.186
	rs11213865	Ξ	POU2AFI	А	6.30	Pooled	-1.694	0.413	4.09E-05	0.186

Hum Hered. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 December 05.

^bEffect of each copy of the minor allele on baseline to year-4 weight change (in kg) within DSE, and baseline to year-4 weight change (in kg) pooled across ILI and DSE arms.

^aMAF in the full sample.

the state of the s

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

McCaffery et al.

Table 3

SNPs associated with weight change from year 1 to 4 among participants who lost 3% weight by year 1

SNP	Chr	Closest gene	Minor allele	MAF, % ^a	Effect	₿¢	SE	p value	q value ^c
rs830653	33	FOXP1	А	10.4	DSE	-3.894	0.843	3.98E-06	0.121
rs9302989	17	GRB2	Ū	26.79	DSE	2.964	0.680	1.33E-05	0.172
rs1800222	7	COLIA2	Ū	19.17	DSE	-3.052	0.711	1.79E-05	0.172
rs2053156	17	GRB2	C	27.67	DSE	2.762	0.659	2.82E-05	0.172
rs959260	17	GRB2	Ū	27.68	DSE	2.762	0.659	2.82E-05	0.172
rs17526019	3	RARB	А	6.67	DSE	-4.294	1.041	3.80E-05	0.178
rs10895373	11	MMP13	А	15.16	DSE	-3.008	0.733	4.10E-05	0.178
rs10895372	11	MMP13	Ū	15.41	DSE	-2.970	0.729	4.69E-05	0.179
rs2760494	1	NUL	Ū	16.02	DSE	-3.078	0.767	6.14E-05	0.189
rs11225490	11	MMP13	Ū	15.65	DSE	-2.892	0.723	6.45E-05	0.189
rs2740434	~	C8orf49	A	31.8	DSE	-2.321	0.582	6.82E-05	0.189
rs830653	3	FOXP1	A	10.4	Pooled	-2.208	0.493	7.65E-06	0.093
rs10895373	11	MMP13	А	15.16	Pooled	-1.807	0.415	1.37E-05	0.093
rs11225490	11	MMP13	Ū	15.65	Pooled	-1.780	0.409	1.40E-05	0.093
rs12567680	-	TGFBR3	А	32.53	Pooled	-1.325	0.305	1.40E-05	0.093
rs10895372	11	MMP13	Ū	15.41	Pooled	-1.790	0.413	1.48E-05	0.093
rs2740434	8	C8orf49	А	31.8	Pooled	-1.391	0.329	2.41E-05	0.113
rs1616534	×	FDFTI	Ð	46.36	Pooled	-1.274	0.304	2.76E-05	0.113
rs7703643	5	ST8SIA4	А	39.57	Pooled	-1.271	0.304	2.91E-05	0.113
hr = Chromos	ome.								
MAF in the fu	ll samp	le.							

Hum Hered. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 December 05.

 $^{\rm C}{\rm Ranking}$ based on q values (FDR <20%).

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

SNPs associated with differential weight change (ILI–DSE) from year 1 to 4 among participants who lost 3% weight at year 1

2053156 17 <i>GRB2</i> C 27.67 Interaction -3.506 ILI -0.744 - 0.744 - 0.744 - 0.744 - 0.744 - 0.742 - 0.744 - 0.74	NP	Chr	Closest gene	Minor allele	MAF, % ^a	Effect	₿ņ	SE	p value	q value ^c
ILI -0.744 DSE 2.762 999260 17 GRB2 G 27.68 Interaction -3.504 999260 17 GRB2 G 27.68 Interaction -3.504 -0.742 999260 17 GRB2 G 27.68 Interaction -3.504 -0.742 713685 22 71MP3 A 8.90 Interaction 4.858 9302989 17 GRB2 G 26.79 Interaction -3.562 9302989 17 GRB2 G 26.79 Interaction -0.598 9404366 19 INSR G 12.59 Interaction 4.039 4804366 19 INSR G 12.59 Interaction 4.039 - - - - 0.881 - - - - 5154 - - - 10.881 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <td< td=""><td>2053156</td><td>17</td><td>GRB2</td><td>С</td><td>27.67</td><td>Interaction</td><td>-3.506</td><td>0.751</td><td>3.14E-06</td><td>0.034</td></td<>	2053156	17	GRB2	С	27.67	Interaction	-3.506	0.751	3.14E-06	0.034
959260 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 27.68 Interaction -3.504 - 959260 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 27.68 Interaction -3.504 - 959260 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 27.68 Interaction -3.504 - 713685 22 71 <i>MP</i> 3 A 8.90 Interaction 4.858 9302989 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 26.79 Interaction -3.562 - 9302989 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 26.79 Interaction -3.562 - 9302989 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 26.79 Interaction -3.562 - 9404366 19 <i>INSR</i> G 12.59 Interaction 4.039 - 14804366 19 <i>INSR</i> G 12.59 Interaction 4.039 - 15 - <i>DSE</i> -3.158 - -3.158 - 16 11.1 <i>DSE</i> -3.158 - - - - - - - -						ILI	-0.744	0.361	3.92E-02	
959260 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 27.68 Interaction -3.504 1L1 -0.742 DSE 2.762 0 713685 22 <i>TIMP3</i> A 8.90 Interaction 4.858 713685 22 <i>TIMP3</i> A 8.90 Interaction 4.858 713685 22 <i>TIMP3</i> A 8.90 Interaction 4.858 9302989 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 26.79 Interaction -2.963 9302989 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 26.79 Interaction -3.562 9302989 19 <i>Interaction</i> 10.59 Interaction -3.562 4804366 19 <i>INSR</i> G 10.59 Interaction 4.039 4804366 19 <i>INSR</i> G 12.59 Interaction 4.039 <						DSE	2.762	0.659	2.82E-05	
II.I -0.742 DSE 2.762 0 213685 22 TIMP3 A 8.90 Interaction 4.858 II.I 1.895 2.963 0 9302989 17 GRB2 G 26.79 Interaction -3.562 0 11.I -0.598 0 4804366 19 INSR G 12.59 Interaction 4.039 0 11.I 0.881 0 804366 19 INSR G 12.59 Interaction 4.039 0 11.I 0.881 0 Astronosome.	959260	17	GRB2	ß	27.68	Interaction	-3.504	0.751	3.21E-06	0.034
DSE 2.762 713685 22 <i>TMP3</i> A 8.90 Interaction 4.858 9302989 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 26.79 Interaction -2.963 9302989 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 26.79 Interaction -3.562 9302989 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 26.79 Interaction -3.562 9302989 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 26.79 Interaction -3.562 9404366 19 <i>INSR</i> G 12.59 Interaction 4.039 4804366 19 <i>INSR</i> G 12.59 Interaction 4.039 4804366 19 <i>INSR</i> G 12.59 Interaction 4.039 4804366 19 <i>INSR</i> G 12.59 Interaction 4.039 Aff in the full sample.						ILI	-0.742	0.361	4.00E-02	
713685 22 <i>TIMP3</i> A 8.90 Interaction 4.858 11.1 1.895 0 11.1 1.895 0 9302989 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 26.79 Interaction -3.562 9302989 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 26.79 Interaction -3.562 9302989 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 26.79 Interaction -3.562 9302989 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 26.79 Interaction -0.598 9302989 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 2.6.79 Interaction -0.598 9404366 19 <i>INSR</i> G 12.59 Interaction 4.039 4804366 19 <i>INSR</i> G 12.59 Interaction 4.039 5 5 11.1 0.881 0.881 1.11 3						DSE	2.762	0.659	2.82E-05	
ILI 1.895 DSE -2.963 9302989 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 26.79 Interaction -3.562 1 9302989 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 26.79 Interaction -3.562 2 9302989 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 26.79 Interaction -3.562 2 4804366 19 <i>INSR</i> G 12.59 Interaction 4.039 1 4804366 19 <i>INSR</i> G 12.59 11.1 0.381 1 4804366 19 <i>INSR</i> G 12.59 2.158 1 1 6.11 10 Inthe full sample. DSE -3.158 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	713685	22	TIMP3	A	8.90	Interaction	4.858	1.045	3.43E-06	0.034
DSE -2.963 9302989 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 26.79 Interaction -3.562 0 9302989 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 26.79 Interaction -3.562 0 9302989 17 <i>GRB2</i> G 26.79 Interaction -0.598 0 4804366 19 <i>INSR</i> G 12.59 Interaction 4.039 0 4804366 19 <i>INSR</i> G 12.59 Interaction 4.039 0						ILI	1.895	0.500	1.53E-04	
9302989 17 <i>GRB</i> 2 G 26.79 Interaction -3.562 11.1 -0.598 11.1 -0.598 14.1 -0.598 14.1 -0.598 14.1 -0.598 14.1 -0.598 14.1 -0.598 14.1 -0.598 14.1 -0.598 14.1 -0.598 14.1 -0.598 14.1 -0.598 14.1 -0.598 14.1 -0.598 14.1 -0.598 14.1 -0.588 14.1 -0.588 14.1 -0.588 14.1 -0.588 15.1 -0.5						DSE	-2.963	0.917	1.25E-03	
ILI -0.598 B BSE 2.964 0 4804366 19 <i>INSR</i> G 12.59 Interaction 4.039 I ILI 0.881 - BSE -3.158 - 3.158 - AF in the full sample.	9302989	17	GRB2	G	26.79	Interaction	-3.562	0.776	4.52E-06	0.034
DSE 2.964 4804366 19 <i>INSR</i> G 12.59 Interaction 4.039 1 ILI 0.881 DSE -3.158 - Af in the full sample.						ILI	-0.598	0.374	1.10E-01	
4804366 19 <i>INSR</i> G 12.59 Interaction 4.039 11.1 0.881 1.1 0.881 2.50 Ethernorem 11.1 1.1 11.2011 1.2011 1.						DSE	2.964	0.680	1.33E-05	
ILI 0.881 DSE -3.158 3.158 AF in the full sample.	4804366	19	INSR	G	12.59	Interaction	4.039	0.957	2.50E-05	0.152
DSE -3.158 - = Chromosome. AF in the full sample.						ILI	0.881	0.444	4.72E-02	
:= Chromosome. AF in the full sample. feets of each conv of the minor allele on within arm weight change for II I and DSF as wel						DSE	-3.158	0.848	1.99E-04	
AF in the full sample. fects of each conv. of the miror allele on within, arm weight change for II I and DSF as wel	= Chromc	some.								
fects of each conv of the minor allele on within-arm weight change for II I and DSE as wel	AF in the f	ull sam	ıple.							
ICCI OI CACH COPY OF THE HITHOF ATTOC OF WITHIN- WITH WARDIN VIEWES FOR THE WIN FOR WE WAS	fects of ea	sh copy	' of the minor all	ele on within-an	m weight chan	ge for ILI and	DSE as w	ell as diff	erential wei	ght change (

Hum Hered. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 December 05.

 $^{\rm C}$ Ranking based on q values (FDR <20%).