

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Neurosci Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 December 01.

Published in final edited form as: *Neurosci Res.* 2014 December ; 89: 37–43. doi:10.1016/j.neures.2014.08.010.

Central CRF₂ receptor antagonism reduces anxiety states during amphetamine withdrawal

Emily D. Reinbold, **Jamie L. Scholl**, **Kathryn M. Oliver**, **Michael J. Watt**, and **Gina L. Forster**^{*} Center for Brain and Behavior Research, Division of Basic Biomedical Sciences, Sanford School of Medicine at the University of South Dakota, 414 East Clark St, Vermillion, SD, USA

Abstract

Increased depressive and anxiety-like behaviors are exhibited by rats and humans during withdrawal from psychostimulants. Anxiety-like behaviors observed during amphetamine withdrawal are mediated by increased expression and activity of corticotropin releasing factor type 2 (CRF₂) receptors in the dorsal raphe nucleus (dRN). Anxiety-like behavior of rats during withdrawal can be reversed by CRF₂ receptor antagonism in the dRN, but the efficacy of global central CRF₂ receptor antagonism is unknown. Rats were treated with amphetamine (2.5 mg/kg, ip.) or saline daily for 2 weeks, and were tested for anxiety-like behaviors during withdrawal. Rats undergoing withdrawal showed increased anxiety-like behavior, which was reduced by ventricular infusion of the CRF₂ antagonist antisauvagine-30 (ASV $2 \mu g/2\mu l$). Surprisingly, ventricular ASV increased anxiety-like behavior in rats pre-treated with saline, but had an anxiolytic effect in untreated rats. Western blots were performed to determine whether differences in CRF receptor densities could explain ASV-induced behavioral results. Saline pre-treated rats showed reduced CRF₁ receptor expression in the lateral septum compared to amphetamine pre-treated and untreated rats. Overall, these results suggest that central CRF₂ antagonism reduces anxiety states during amphetamine withdrawal, and that behavioral effects may be dependent upon the balance of CRF1 and CRF2 receptor activity in anxiety-related regions.

Keywords

Anxiety; Stress; Corticotropin-releasing factor; Amygdala; Lateral Septum; Antisauvagine-30; Psychostimulant

Introduction

Anxiety and depression are major components of maintaining the cycle of addiction, and are responsible for the negative reinforcement of drug seeking behaviors (Sarnyai, et al., 1995;

^{*}Corresponding Author: Gina L. Forster, Ph.D., Division of Basic Biomedical Sciences, Sanford School of Medicine at the University of South Dakota, 414 E. Clark St., Vermillion, SD, 57069, USA, Telephone: (605) 677 6883, Fax: (605) 677 6381, gforster@usd.edu.

^{© 2014} Elsevier Ireland Ltd and the Japan Neuroscience Society. All rights reserved.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Koob & Le Moal, 2008). Both rats and humans exhibit increased anxiety states during withdrawal from amphetamine (Cantwell & McBride, 1998; Schuckit et al., 1999; Srisurapanont, 1999a,b; Srisurapanont, 2001; Romanelli et al., 2006; Shoptaw et al., 2009; Barr et al., 2010; Vuong et al., 2010). There is currently no FDA-approved treatment for the negative affect and increased anxiety states exhibited during psychostimulant withdrawal, thus there is great need to identify potential pharmacological targets.

Corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) is strongly implicated in negative affect and anxiety states (Radulovic, et al., 1999; Takahashi, 2001; Bale and Vale, 2004; Bale, 2005; Lukkes et al., 2009b). Activation of the CRF₂ receptors within the serotonergic cell body region, the dorsal raphe nucleus (dRN), increases serotonin neuronal activity and release of serotonin in the limbic system (Pernar et al., 2004; Forster et al., 2006; 2008; Scholl et al., 2010). Furthermore, CRF₂ receptors are up-regulated in the dRN of rats for up to 6 weeks of amphetamine withdrawal, with no change in expression of dRN CRF₁ receptors (Pringle et al., 2008). Infusions of CRF into the dRN augments serotonin release in the central nucleus of the amygdala (CeA) of amphetamine pre-treated rats, as compared to CRF-infused controls, via CRF₂ receptor-dependent mechanisms (Scholl et al., 2010). Importantly, anxiety-like behaviors of rats undergoing amphetamine withdrawal can be reduced by CRF₂ receptor antagonism directly within the dRN (Vuong et al., 2010). Therefore, CRF₂ receptor antagonism directly within the treatment of withdrawal-induced anxiety to prevent the ongoing cycle of addiction.

However, it is not known whether widespread antagonism of central CRF₂ receptors throughout the entire brain will be effective in reducing anxiety states during amphetamine withdrawal. This is important to understand, given that any potential therapeutics targeting CRF₂ receptors will not be directly infused into the dRN, but instead will access CRF₂ receptors throughout the entire brain as a result of systemic administration. The global brain distribution of CRF₂ receptors is limited (as compared to CRF₁ receptors), but expression is highest in regions relevant to fear and anxiety-like behaviors, including the hypothalamus, amygdala, lateral septum (LS) and bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST; Chalmers et al., 1995; Radulovic et al., 1999; Liu et al., 2004; Henry et al., 2006; Skorzewska et al., 2011; Takahashi et al., 2011; Lebow et al., 2012; Ventura-Silva et al., 2012; Elharrar et al., 2013). Therefore, in addition to the dRN, centrally-administered CRF₂ receptor antagonism will affect multiple brain regions that have the potential to affect anxiety states during amphetamine withdrawal.

Whether activation of central CRF₂ receptors enhances or reduces anxiety-like behaviors has been tested using genetic models and pharmacological manipulation, with conflicting results. For example, CRF₂ receptor knockout mice show hypersensitivity to swim stress and displayed increased anxiety behaviors compared to wild-type mice (Bale, et al., 2000; Kishimoto et al., 2000), suggesting that central CRF₂ antagonism would increase anxiety behaviors. Conversely, ventricular administration of the CRF₂ receptor antagonist, antisauvagine-30 (ASV) decreases anxiety-like behaviors of rats when compared to vehicle infusion (Takahashi, 2001; Takahashi, et al., 2001). Given the conflicting findings, and the fact that previous research has not tested the central effects of CRF₂ receptor antagonism in a pre-stressed model, the current studies determined whether central CRF₂ receptor

antagonism is effective at reducing anxiety-like behaviors of rats during amphetamine withdrawal. We also examined whether expression of CRF_1 and CRF_2 receptors in the CeA, LS and BNST is altered by either amphetamine or saline pre-treatment, in order to elucidate which regions aside from the dRN may facilitate behavioral changes following central CRF_2 receptor antagonism.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Eighty-one male Sprague-Dawley rats were obtained from the University of South Dakota Animal Research Center (Vermillion, SD) at weaning age (3 weeks old). Rats were housed in pairs and had free access to food and water. The holding room was kept at a temperature of 22°C and humidity of 60%. The lights in the room were set on a reverse 12 hr light-dark cycle with lights off at 10 a.m. Rats were used in the experiments described below when they reached early adulthood (8 weeks old). All procedures were approved by the University of South Dakota's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, and carried out under the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, with all efforts made to reduce the number of animals used and potential suffering.

Experiment 1: Effects of icv. CRF₂ Receptor Antagonism on Anxiety-like Behavior during Amphetamine Withdrawal

<u>Amphetamine Pre-treatment:</u> Rats were injected with amphetamine (2.5 mg/kg, ip., N = 16) or saline (N = 14) daily for 14 days during the dark phase of the light cycle. This injection schedule results in increased anxiety-like behaviors as measured on the elevated plus maze (EPM) at 24 hours, 2 weeks and 4 weeks withdrawal (Barr, et al., 2010; Vuong, et al., 2010). Rats underwent a 2 week withdrawal period with no injections, during which they were weighed on a weekly basis (Vuong et al., 2010).

Surgery: During the second week of the withdrawal period, aseptic stereotaxic surgery was performed to implant a guide cannula to allow intracerebroventricular (icv.) infusion. Anesthesia was induced with 4% isoflurane in 3.0 L/min O₂, and the rat was placed into a stereotaxic instrument (David Kopf Instruments, Tujunga CA) with the nose bar was set at -3.3 mm. Throughout the surgery, anesthesia was maintained at 2–2.5% isoflurane with body temperature kept constant at 37 °C using an isothermic heating pad (Braintree Scientific, Braintree MA). A 22-gauge, 1.5 mm long stainless steel guide cannula (Plastics One, Roanoke, VA) was stereotaxically implanted 2 mm above the lateral ventricle (AP: -1.0mm from bregma; ML: -1.5 from midline; Paxinos and Watson, 1997) and held in place with dental cement (Plastics One) anchored by 3 screws in the skull. At the conclusion of surgery, the analgesic Ketoprofen (5 mg/kg, im.; Met-Vet, Mettawa IL) was administered. All rats were allowed 3 days of recovery before being acclimated to the icv. infusion procedure.

Acclimation, Infusion, and Elevated Plus Maze Testing: All acclimations, infusions and testing were conducted at least 1 hour following the onset of the dark phase of the light cycle, in a dark room illuminated by red lighting. Rats were acclimated to the handling and

infusion process over three days prior to testing on the EPM (Vuong et al., 2010; Bledsoe et al., 2011). On day 14 of withdrawal, a 30 gauge cannula (2 mm longer than guide cannula) was inserted into the lateral ventricle, and rats were infused with the CRF₂ receptor antagonist ASV-30 (2 μ g/2 μ l; Tocris Bioscience; Minneapolis, MN; Takahashi, et al., 2001; Vuong et al., 2010) or vehicle (2 μ l; 2% EtOH/2% camphor in artificial cerebrospinal fluid; Vuong et al., 2010; Bledsoe et al., 2011) at a rate of 0.5 μ l/minute using a microdrive pump (Stoelting, Wood Dale IL), 20 minutes prior to EPM testing. The rat was placed in the center of the EPM (Noldus Information Technology, Wageningen, Netherlands), facing a closed arm and allowed to explore the maze for 5 minutes. An infrared camera above the maze recorded the test and Ethovision XT v5 software (Noldus Information Technology) was used to calculate the total distance moved, latency to enter open arms, entries into the open arms, and time spent in the open arms.

Histology: After testing was completed, animals were euthanized with sodium pentobarbital (Fatal Plus, Vortech, Dearborn MI,). Brains were removed and fixed in 10% buffered formalin (Fisher Scientific) and sectioned at 60 µm on a freezing microtome. Sections were stained with cresyl violet and analyzed for cannula placement by two experimenters, one blind to treatment and results. Only data from rats with correct cannula placements were included in the analysis.

Experiment 2: Effects of icv. CRF_2 Receptor Antagonism on Anxiety-like Behavior in Rats not Exposed to Pre-treatment—Given that the CRF_2 receptor antagonist ASV-30 (2 µg) increased anxiety-like behavior in saline pretreated rats (Fig. 2), opposite to the effect observed in rats without pre-treatment in other studies (e.g., Takahashi, et al., 2001), a second experiment was conducted to determine the effects of icv. ASV-30 (2 µg/2 µl) on anxiety-like behaviors in rats that did not undergo any injection pre-treatment. Male rats (N = 18) at the same age as those tested for Experiment 1 underwent surgery, acclimation, infusion, EPM testing and histological analysis as described for Experiment 1, in the absence of any prior saline or amphetamine injections.

Experiment 3: Expression of CRF₁ and CRF₂ Receptors in Brain Regions Associated with Anxiety States—A third experiment was performed to determine whether differences in CRF receptor densities could underlie the differences in ASVinduced behavioral results between un-treated, saline or amphetamine pre-treated rats.

Pre-treatment and Tissue Collection: Amphetamine and saline pre-treatment was performed as described for experiment 1. Rats comprising the un-treated group were matched for age with the pre-treated rats, and were housed in the same holding room as pre-treatment groups but not handled except for twice-weekly cage changes. At the 2 week withdrawal time point, rats (N = 11 per group) were decapitated and brains rapidly removed. Brains were frozen and stored at -80 °C, and sectioned frozen (300 µm) within a cryostat (Lecia Jung CM 1800; North Central Instruments, Plymouth MN) at -12 °C. Tissue was dissected from frozen sections on a freezing stage (Physiotemp; North Central Instruments) from regions that have high CRF₂ receptor expression and are involved in mediating anxiety-like behaviors: the lateral septum (LS), CeA and bed nucleus of the stria terminalis

(BNST) as according to Paxinos and Watson (1997), and homogenized in 40 μ l of HEPES buffer (1.19%, pH 7.4) containing 14 μ l/ml protease inhibitor stock "complete" (Roche Diagnostics, IN, USA) and stored at -80°C until processing.

Western Immuno-blots: Procedures for measuring CRF receptor levels follow those used by us in the past (Lukkes et al., 2009a). Briefly, protein concentrations were determined within 5 µl sample duplicates using a Bradford Kit (BioRad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) and a microplate reader (Bio-Tek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA). Samples were processed with a 1.5M Tris loading buffer containing $1 \times SDS/\beta$ -mercaptoethanol, vortexed and boiled for 3 min prior to separation by 10% SDS-PAGE. Following electrophoresis (BioRad Laboratories), proteins were transferred to an Immuno-Blot PVDF membrane (0.2 µm, BioRad Laboratories). The membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk in Trisbuffered saline containing 0.1% Tween-20 (TBS-T) for 2 hours at RT and incubated with primary polyclonal antibodies to CRF1 receptors (1:25; Santa Cruz Biotech, Santa Cruz, CA, USA, #sc-12381) or CRF₂ receptors (1:50; Santa Cruz Biotech, #sc-20550) in 5% nonfat dry milk in TBS-T overnight 4 °C. The membranes were rinsed three times for 5 min at RT in TBS-T. After the rinsing procedure, the membranes were incubated for 2 hr at RT in IRDye 800-conjugated affinity purified anti-goat IgG (H & L) (Rockland Inc., Gilbertsville, PA, USA #605-732-125) at 1:2000 in 5% nonfat dry milk in TBS-T. Control for protein loading was achieved by using primary antibodies to actin (1:2000; #MAB1501R; Chemicon International, USA) and secondary antibodies to actin at 1:5000 for IRDye 80-conjugated affinity-purified anti-mouse IgG (H&L; #610-132-121; Rockland Inc.) in 5% nonfat dry milk in TBS-T. Proteins were detected using the Odyssey infrared imaging system (excitation/emission filters at 780 nm/820 nm range, LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA). Optical density of each protein band was obtained using Odyssey software (LI-COR Biosciences), and normalized against background. Optical density for each of the CRF1 and CRF2 receptors from each individual sample was then corrected against actin levels, and percentage difference from un-treated control samples were calculated per individual membrane (Noshita et al., 2002; Perrotti et al., 2004; Mantsch et al., 2007; Griesbach et al., 2012).

Statistical Analysis

Separate two-way ANOVA were used to analyze each EPM variable for experiment 1 (pretreatment x infusion), while separate one-way ANOVA were used to determine differences in EPM measures between infusion treatments for experiment 2, and for western blot data of experiment 3. Significant main effects or interactions were followed by Student–Newman– Keul's (SNK) *post hoc* tests for multiple comparisons. Sigma Stat v3.5 was used for all analyses, with significance set at P<0.05.

Results

Infusion Cannula Placement

Cannula placements in the lateral ventricle (Fig. 1) ranged from 0.26 mm to 1.40 mm posterior from bregma and 0.8 mm to 2.2 mm lateral from midline. Cannula placements did not differ among saline and amphetamine pretreated rats and un-treated rats.

Effects of icv. Infusion of CRF₂ Antagonist on Anxiety Behaviors during Amphetamine Withdrawal

For latency to enter open arms, there was a significant interaction between pre-treatment and icv. infusion ($F(_{1,31}) = 9.535$, P = 0.004; Fig. 2A). Vehicle-infused amphetamine pre-treated rats took significantly longer to enter open arms compared both to saline pre-treated rats infused with vehicle (P = 0.017) and to amphetamine pre-treated ASV-infused rats (P = 0.002; Fig. 2A). There were no significant differences between saline pre-treated vehicle and saline pre-treated ASV-infused rats (P = 0.296) or between saline and amphetamine pre-treated rats that received ASV infusions (P = 0.073) in latency to enter open arms (Fig. 2A).

There was also a significant interaction between pre-treatment and icv. infusion for the number of entries into open arms ($F(_{1,31}) = 10.400$, P = 0.003; Fig. 2B). The number of entries into the open arms for amphetamine pre-treated vehicle-infused rats were significantly lower than for saline pre-treated vehicle-infused rats (P = 0.012) and as compared to amphetamine pre-treated rats infused with ASV (P = 0.022; Fig. 2B). However, ASV infusions in saline pre-treated rats resulted in a significant decrease in entries into open arms when compared to saline pre-treated rats infused with vehicle (P = 0.039; Fig. 2B). There was no significant difference in open arm entries between amphetamine and saline pre-treated rats that received ASV (P = 0.069).

Similarly, there was a significant interaction between pre-treatment and icv. infusion in time spent in open arms ($F(_{1,31}) = 21.440$, P = < 0.001; Fig. 2C). For rats infused with vehicle, amphetamine pre-treated rats spent significantly less time in the open arms than saline pre-treated rats (P = 0.002; Fig. 2C), and as compared to amphetamine pre-treated rats infused with ASV (P = 0.001; Fig. 2C). However, saline pre-treated rats infused with ASV spent significantly less time in open arms than saline pre-treated vehicle infused rats (P = 0.006) and as compared to amphetamine pre-treated rats infused rats (P = 0.006) and as compared to amphetamine pre-treated rats infused with ASV (P = 0.003; Fig. 2C).

Intracerebroventricular infusions of ASV did not affect the total distance moved within the maze, as there was no significant main effect of pre-treatment group ($F(_{1,31}) = 0.661$, P = 0.422; Fig. 2D), infusion ($F(_{1,31}) = 0.178$, P = 0.676), nor an interaction between pre-treatment and icv infusion ($F(_{1,31}) = 0.915$, P = 0.346).

Effects of icv. Infusion of ASV on Anxiety-like Behaviors in Un-treated Rats

Infusion of ASV into the lateral ventricle of rats not exposed to pre-treatment resulted in decreased latency to enter the open arms ($F_{(1,14)} = 7.669$, P = 0.015; Fig. 3A), increased number of entries into the open arms ($F_{(1,15)} = 4.952$, P = 0.042; Fig. 3B) and increased time spent in the open arms of the EPM ($F_{(1,15)} = 5.795$, P = 0.029; Fig. 3C) as compared to vehicle-infused rats. However, icv. infusion of ASV did not affect the total distance moved within the maze, ($F_{(1,15)} = 3.297$, P = 0.089; Fig. 3D).

CRF Receptor Expression

A significant effect of pre-treatment was observed for CRF_1 receptor expression in the LS $(F_{(2,25)} = 5.385, P = 0.011; Fig. 4A)$, with saline pre-treated rats showing reduced CRF_1 receptor expression when compared to un-treated (P = 0.019) and amphetamine pre-treated

Page 7

(P = 0.011) rats. However, CRF₂ receptor expression within the LS was not altered by pretreatment ($F_{(2,30)} = 0.150$, P = 0.861; Fig. 4B). There was also a significant effect of pretreatment on CRF₁ receptor levels in the CeA ($F_{(2,21)} = 8.117$, P = 0.002; Fig. 4C), with both saline (P = 0.004) and amphetamine (P = 0.004) pre-treatment reducing the level of CeA CRF₁ receptor expression. Again, pre-treatment had no effect on CRF₂ receptor expression in the CeA ($F_{(2,27)} = 0.234$, P = 0.793; Fig. 4D). There was no effect of pre-treatment on either CRF₁ ($F_{(2,30)} = 2.121$, P = 0.138; Fig. 4E) or CRF₂ receptor expression ($F_{(2,30)} =$ 0.604, P = 0.553; Fig. 4F) in the BNST.

Discussion

Consistent with previous reports (Barr et al., 2010; Vuong et al., 2010), the current study demonstrates that rats treated with amphetamine exhibit heightened anxiety states during withdrawal. Furthermore, icv. infusion of a CRF₂ receptor antagonist decreased anxiety-like behaviors of rats in the EPM during amphetamine withdrawal, without affecting locomotion. Intra-dRN infusion of a CRF₂ receptor antagonist attenuates anxiety-like behaviors of rats during amphetamine withdrawal and also following early life stress, with CRF₁ receptor antagonism having little effect (Vuong et al., 2010; Bledsoe et al., 2011). The current study adds to this by demonstrating that global central blockade of CRF₂ receptors also reduces anxiety-like behaviors in an animal model of heightened anxiety, highlighting the therapeutic potential of CRF₂ receptor antagonism. Given that CRF₂ receptors are found in the periphery, specifically in the heart, gastrointestinal (GI) tract, lung, skeletal muscle, and vasculature (as reviewed by Bale & Vale, 2004), future research should assess the effects of systemically-administered CRF₂ receptor antagonists to further determine the feasibility of targeting CRF₂ receptors in the treatment of anxiety.

In contrast to amphetamine pre-treated rats, icv. infusion of ASV had an anxiogenic effect on saline pre-treated rats. This observation is consistent with findings from CRF₂ knockout mice, which exhibit increased anxiety behavior (Bale et al., 2000), but is in contrast to pharmacological findings. Takahashi et al. (2001) show that icv. infusion of ASV (in a concentration range used by the current study) decreases anxiety-like behaviors in rats not subjected to any pre-treatment. Similarly, we demonstrate here that rats not exposed to pretreatment injection procedures exhibit decreased anxiety-like behaviors following icv. infusion of ASV. The most likely explanation for our results is that the mild stress induced by prior handling and injection was sufficient to alter behavioral responses of saline-treated rats to CRF₂ receptor antagonism in mildy anxiogenic environments. Combined, previous and current findings suggest that while CRF2 receptors mediate production of anxiety-like behaviors in rodents, central pharmacological blockade will have differential effects on behavior depending on prior handling experience. These findings emphasize the idea that there are occasions in which a non-handled control group might need to serve as a 'control' for the manipulated vehicle-treated animals to assist in interpretation of pharmacological and behavioral findings. Furthermore, these results suggest that the ability of mild pre-stress to induce anxiety-like behavior when combined with CRF2 receptor antagonism may increase drug seeking behavior and relapse, a possibility that requires further testing.

One of the potential mechanisms by which ASV has differential effects on behavior as a function of handling experience could be related to changes in regionally-specific CRF receptor expression. In contrast to icv. infusion, direct infusion of CRF_1 or CRF_2 receptor antagonists into the dRN has no effect on anxiety-like behaviors of saline pre-treated or control rats (Vuong et al., 2010; Bledsoe et al., 2011). This suggests that the dRN was not the locus of the ASV-induced anxiogenic effect in saline pre-treated rats. Therefore, we examined CRF receptor expression in three other brain regions (LS, CeA and BNST) in which CRF_2 receptors are associated with fear or anxiety-like reponses (Radulovic et al., 1999; Liu et al., 2004; Henry et al., 2006; Skorzewska et al., 2011; Takahashi et al., 2011; Lebow et al., 2012; Ventura-Silva et al., 2012; Elharrar et al., 2013).

One of the major differences in CRF receptor expression in saline pre-treated rats as compared to both un-treated and amphetamine pre-treated rats was observed as a decrease in CRF₁ receptors within the LS. However, a role for LS CRF₁ receptors in mediating anxiety is not clear as CRF₂ receptors in the LS have been more often implicated in increased anxiety-like behaviors (e.g. Radulovic et al., 1999; Henry et al., 2006; Bakshi et al., 2002). Interestingly, activation of CRF₁ and CRF₂ receptors have opposing effects on glutamatergic transmission in the LS (Liu et al., 2004). Specifically, glutamatergic transmission in the LS is facilitated by CRF₁ receptor activity but dampened by CRF₂ receptor activation (Liu et al., 2004). Thus, when CRF₂ receptors are blocked by ASV as in the current study, CRF actions on CRF₁ receptors in the LS would be attenuated in saline pre-treated rats as compared to un-treated and amphetamine pre-treated rats, potentially reducing glutamatergic transmission.

Converging evidence suggests that reduced glutamate transmission in the LS is linked to increased anxiety-like behaviors (Radulovic et al., 1999; Henry et al., 2006; Bakshi et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2004). Therefore, attenuated CRF₁ receptor-mediated excitatory transmission in the LS following ASV-treatment of saline rats may disrupt the overall balance of activity in anxiety-regulating circuits to have an anxiogenic effect. Future work should directly test this and other possibilities. For example, it is also possible that other mechanisms related to changes in CRF receptors underlie the anxiolytic effects of ASV in saline pre-treated rats which were not detected by the current study. This could be due to the limitations of not being able to distinguish surface from cytosolic receptor expression, not differentiating CRF receptor expression in the subregions of each region studied, or simply that effects occurred in regions that were not studied here.

In conclusion, the current study demonstrates that central antagonism of CRF_2 receptors attenuates anxiety-like behaviors of rats during amphetamine withdrawal. Opposing effects of CRF_2 receptor antagonism on anxiety-like behavior of amphetamine and saline pretreated rats appear to be due to a combination of blocking elevated levels of CRF_2 receptors in the dRN of amphetamine pre-treated rats (Pringle et al., 2008; Vuong et al., 2010) and CRF_2 receptor antagonism in the LS unmasking the effects of decreased CRF_1 receptors in saline pre-treated rats. Overall, the findings highlight the effectiveness of central CRF_2 antagonism as a possible pharmacological strategy to treat anxiety during abstinence in psychostimulant-dependent individuals, to reduce the risk of relapse.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. Jeffrey Barr for his assistance with these experiments. This work was funded by grants NIH R01 DA019921 (GF). ER was supported by a University of South Dakota Undergraduate Research Award, a University of South Dakota INBRE Summer Fellowship (NIH P20 RR016479) and a Medical Student Summer Fellowship from the Sanford School of Medicine.

Abbreviations

5-HT	serotonin
BNST	bed nucleus of the stria terminalis
aCSF	artificial cerebrospinal fluid
CeA	central nucleus of the amygdala
CRF	corticotropin-releasing factor
dRN	dorsal raphe nucleus
EPM	elevated plus maze
GABA	γ-aminobutyric acid
LS	lateral septum
icv	intracerebroventricular

References

- Bakshi VP, Smith-Roe S, Newman SM, Grigoriadis DE, Kalin NH. Reduction of Stress-Induced Behavior by Antagonism of Corticotropin-Releasing Hormone 2 (CRH2) Receptors in Lateral Septum or CRH1 Receptors in Amygdala. J Neurosci. 2002; 22:2926–2935. [PubMed: 11923457]
- Bale TL. Sensitivity to Stress: Dysregulation of CRF Pathways and Disease Development. Horm Behav. 2005; 48:1–10. [PubMed: 15919381]
- Bale TL, Contarino A, Smith GW, Chan R, Gold LH, Sawchenko PE, Koob GF, Vale WW, Lee KF. Mice deficient for corticotropin-releasing hormone receptor-2 display anxiety-like behaviour and are hypersensitive to stress. Nat Genet. 2000; 24:410–414. [PubMed: 10742108]
- Bale TL, Vale WW. CRF and CRF Receptors: Role in Stress Responsivity and Other Behaviors. Ann Rev Pharmacol & Toxicol. 2004; 441:525-C-521. [PubMed: 14744257]
- Barr JL, Renner KJ, Forster GL. Withdrawal from chronic amphetamine produces persistent anxietylike behavior but temporally-limited reductions in monoamines and neurogenesis in the adult rat dentate gyrus. Neuropharmacol. 2010; 59:395–405.
- Bledsoe AC, Oliver KM, Scholl JL, Forster GL. Anxiety states induced by post-weaning social isolation are mediated by CRF Receptors in the dorsal raphe nucleus. Brain Res Bull. 2011; 85:117–122. [PubMed: 21396988]
- Cantwell B, McBride AJ. Self detoxification by amphetamine dependent patients: a pilot study. Drug and Alcohol Dependence. 1998; 49:157–63. [PubMed: 9543652]
- Chalmers DT, Lovenberg TW, De Souza EB. Localization of novel corticotropin-releasing factor receptor (CRF2) mRNA expression to specific subcortical nuclei in rat brain: comparison with CRF1 receptor mRNA expression. J Neurosci. 1995; 15:6340–6350. [PubMed: 7472399]
- Elharrar E, Warhaftig G, Issler O, Sztainberg Y, Dikshtein Y, Zahut R, Redlus L, Chen A, Yadid G. Overexpression of corticotropin-releasing factor receptor type 2 in the bed nucleus of stria terminalis improves posttraumatic stress disorder-like symptoms in a model of incubation of fear. Biol Psych. 2013; 74:827–836.

- Forster GL, Feng N, Watt MJ, Korzan WJ, Mouw NJ, Summers CH, Renner KJ. Corticotropinreleasing factor in the dorsal raphe elicits temporally distinct serotonergic responses in the limbic system in relation to fear behavior. Neuroscience. 2006; 141:1047–1055. [PubMed: 16713119]
- Forster GL, Pringle RB, Mouw NJ, Vuong SM, Watt MJ, Burke AR, Lowry CA, Summers CH, Renner KJ. Corticotropin-releasing factor in the dorsal raphe nucleus increases medial prefrontal cortical serotonin via type 2 receptors and median raphe nucleus activity. Eur J Neurosci. 2008; 28:299–310. [PubMed: 18702701]
- Griesbach GS, Vincelli J, Tio DL, Hovda DA. Effects of acute restraint-induced stress on glucocorticoid receptors and brain-derived neurotrophic factor after mild traumatic brain injury. Neuroscience. 2012; 210:393–402. [PubMed: 22445725]
- Henry B, Vale W, Markou A. The Effect of Lateral Septum Corticotropin-Releasing Factor Receptor 2 Activation on Anxiety Is Modulated by Stress. J Neurosci. 2006; 26:9142–9152. [PubMed: 16957071]
- Kishimoto T, Radulovic J, Radulovic M, Lin CR, Schrick C, Hooshmand F, Hermanson O, Rosenfeld MG, Spiess J. Deletion of crhr2 reveals an anxiolytic role for corticotropin-releasing hormone receptor-2. Nat Genet. 2000; 24:415–19. [PubMed: 10742109]
- Koob GF, Le Moal M. Addiction and the Brain Antireward System. Annu Rev Psychol. 2008; 59:29– 53. [PubMed: 18154498]
- Lebow M, Neufeld-Cohen A, Kuperman Y, Tsoory M, Gil S, Chen A. Susceptibility to PTSD-like behavior is mediated by corticotropin-releasing factor receptor type 2 levels in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis. J Neurosci. 2012; 32:6906–6916. [PubMed: 22593059]
- Liu J, Yu B, Neugebauer V, Grigoriadis DE, Rivier J, Vale WW, Shinnick-Gallagher P, Gallagher JP. Corticotropin-releasing factor and Urocortin I modulate excitatory glutamatergic synaptic transmission. J Neurosci. 2004; 24:4020–4029. [PubMed: 15102917]
- Lukkes JL, Summers CH, Scholl JL, Renner KJ, Forster GL. Early life social isolation alters corticotropin-releasing factor responses in adult rats. Neuroscience. 2009a; 158:845–55. [PubMed: 19010398]
- Lukkes JL, Watt MJ, Lowry CA, Forster GL. Consequences of post-weaning social isolation on anxiety behavior and related neural circuits in rodents. Front Behav Neurosci. 2009b; 3:18. [PubMed: 19738931]
- Mantsch JR, Cullinan WE, Tang LC, Baker DA, Katz ES, Hoks MA, Ziegler DR. Daily cocaine selfadministration under long-access conditions augments restraint-induced increases in plasma corticosterone and impairs glucocorticoid receptor-mediated negative feedback in rats. Brain Research. 2007; 1167:101–111. [PubMed: 17689506]
- Mo B, Feng N, Renner K, Forster G. Restraint stress increases serotonin release in the central nucleus of the amygdala via activation of corticotropin-releasing factor receptors. Brain Res Bull. 2008; 76:493–498. [PubMed: 18534257]
- Noshita N, Lewén A, Sugawara T, Chan PH. Akt Phosphorylation and neuronal survival after traumatic brain injury in mice. Neurobiology of Disease. 2002; 9:294–304. [PubMed: 11950275]
- Paxinos, G.; Watson, C. The Rat Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates. 3. Academic Press; San Diego: 1997.
- Pernar L, Curtis AL, Vale WW, Rivier JE, Valentino RJ. Selective activation of Corticotropinreleasing factor-2 receptors on neurochemically identified neurons in The rat dorsal raphe nucleus reveals dual actions. J Neurosci. 2004; 24:1305–1311. [PubMed: 14960601]
- Perrotti LI, Hadeishi Y, Ulery PG, Barrot M, Monteggia L, Duman RS, Nestler EJ. Induction of FosB in reward-related brain structures after chronic stress. J Neurosci. 2004; 24:10594–10602. [PubMed: 15564575]
- Pringle RB, Mouw NJ, Lukkes JL, Forster GL. Amphetamine treatment increases corticotropinreleasing factor receptors in the dorsal raphe nucleus. Neurosci Res. 2008; 62:62–65. [PubMed: 18585412]
- Radulovic J, Ruhmann A, Liepold T, Spiess J. Modulation of Learning and Anxiety by Corticotropin-Releasing Factor (CRF) and Stress: Differential Roles of CRF Receptors 1 and 2. J Neurosci. 1999; 19:5016–5025. [PubMed: 10366634]

- Romanelli F, Smith KM. Clinical effects and management of methamphetamine abuse. Pharmacotherapy. 2006; 26:1148–1156. [PubMed: 16863490]
- Sarnyai Z, Biro V, Gardi J, Vecsernyes M, Julesz J, Telegdy G. Brain corticotropin-releasing factor mediates 'anxiety-like' behavior induced by cocaine withdrawal in rats. Brain Res. 1995; 675:89– 97. [PubMed: 7796157]
- Scholl JL, Vuong SM, Forster GL. Chronic amphetamine treatment enhances corticotropin-releasing factor-induced serotonin release in the amygdala. Eur J Pharmacol. 2010; 644:80–87. [PubMed: 20655906]
- Schuckit MA, Daeppen JB, Danko GP, Tripp ML, Smith TL, Li TK, Hesselbrock VM, Bucholz KK. Clinical implications for four drugs of the DSM-IV distinction between substance dependence with and without a physiological component. American J Psych. 1999; 156:41–9.
- Shoptaw SJ, Kao U, Heinzerling K, Ling W. Treatment for amphetamine withdrawal. Cochrane database of systematic reviews (Online). 2009:CD003021.
- Skórzewska A, Lehner M, Hamed A, Wisłowska-Stanek A, Turzy ska D, Sobolewska A, PłaŸnik A. The effect of CRF2 receptor antagonists on rat conditioned fear responses and c-Fos and CRF expression in the brain limbic structures. Behav Brain Res. 2011; 221:155–165. [PubMed: 21376756]
- Srisurapanont M, Jarusuraisin N, Jittiwutikan J. Amphetamine withdrawal: I. Reliability, validity and factor structure of a measure. Aust N Z J Psych. 1999a; 33:89–93.
- Srisurapanont M, Jarusuraisin N, Jittiwutikan J. Amphetamine withdrawal: II. A placebo-controlled, randomised, double-blind study of amineptine treatment. Aust N Z J Psych. 1999b; 33:94–98.
- Takahashi LK. Role of CRF1 and CRF2 receptors in fear and anxiety. Neurosci & Biobehav Rev. 2001; 25:627–636. [PubMed: 11801288]
- Takahashi LK, Ho SP, Livanov V, Graciani N, Arneric SP. Antagonism of CRF2 receptors produces anxiolytic behavior in animal models of anxiety. Brain Res. 2001; 902:135–142. [PubMed: 11384606]
- Takahashi C, Ohata H, Shibasaki T. Corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) receptor subtypes in mediating neuronal activation of brain areas involved in responses to intracerebroventricular CRF and stress in rats. Peptides. 2011; 32:2384–2393. [PubMed: 21964377]
- Ventura-Silva AP, Pego JM, Sousa JC, Marques AR, Rodrigues AJ, Marques F, Cerqueira JJ, Almeida OFX, Sousa N. Stress shifts the response of the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis to an anxiogenic mode. Eur J Neurosci. 2012; 36:3396–3406. [PubMed: 22928804]
- Vuong SM, Oliver HA, Scholl JL, Oliver KM, Forster GL. Increased anxiety-like behavior of rats during amphetamine withdrawal is reversed by CRF2 receptor antagonism. Behav Brain Res. 2010; 208:278–281. [PubMed: 19958793]

Highlights

• The central effects of CRF₂ receptor antagonism in anxiety were tested.

- CRF₂ antagonist reduces anxiety during amphetamine withdrawal.
- CRF₂ antagonist increases anxiety of saline controls.
- Difference in CRF₂ antagonist effects may be due to altered CRF expression.



Fig. 1.

Representative diagram and photomicrograph illustrating infusion cannula placement into the lateral ventricle (schematic adapted from Paxinos and Watson, 1997).



Fig. 2.

(A) Latency to enter open arms, (B) number of entries into open arms, (C) time spent in open arms and (D) total distance moved in the elevated plus maze (EPM) for amphetamine and saline pre-treated rats infused with either ASV or vehicle. Data represent mean \pm SEM. N = 6-8 per treatment group. $^{\#}P < 0.05$ between vehicle-infused saline and amphetamine pre-treatment groups; $^{*}P < 0.05$ between vehicle and ASV-30, within pretreatment groups; and $^{\delta}P < 0.05$ between ASV-infused saline and amphetamine pre-treatment groups.

Reinbold et al.



Fig. 3.

(A) Latency to enter open arms, (B) number of entries into open arms, (C) time spent in open arms and (D) total distance moved in the elevated plus maze (EPM) for rats not exposed to pre-treatment that were infused with either ASV or vehicle. Data represent mean \pm SEM. *N* = 9 per treatment group. *P < 0.05 between vehicle and ASV.



Fig. 4.

CRF₁ receptor expression in the (A) lateral septum (LS), (C) central nucleus of the amygdala (CeA) and (E) bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST), and CRF₂ receptor expression in the (B) lateral septum (LS), (D) central nucleus of the amygdala (CeA) and (F) bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST) in rats not exposed to pre-treatment (un-treated), amphetamine and saline pre-treated rats. Data represent mean \pm SEM. *N* = 11 per treatment group. [#]P < 0.05 between saline and amphetamine pre-treatment groups, *P < 0.05 compared to un-treated rats.