resulted not only in negative affective behavioral regulation, but also in a marked, selective functional activation of the extended amygdala circuit, a brain network well linked to negative affect (Anderson *et al*, 2013) (Figure 1).

In addition to experimental rodents, DREAMM is now being used in nonhuman primates and has the potential to be implemented in the future in humans (pending advances in genetherapy safety/efficacy currently under development). The clinical relevance and translational therapeutics potential of DREAMM holds promise as an application for repeated, longitudinal, non-invasive assessment and monitoring of disease/therapy progression in patients undergoing DREADD-based neuromodulatory therapy. Furthermore, DREAMM would also have the capacity to be utilized alongside advanced cellular genetic engineering approaches such as combined DREADD and cell replacement therapeutics (Dell'Anno et al, 2014) to assess the efficient functional integration of such transplanted cells within neuronal networks.

In sum, DREAMM holds significant promise as a powerful, reverse-engineering strategy to visualize *in vivo*-specific neuronal ensemble networks associated with normal and pathologic behavior and to thus enhance knowledge about distinct neuronal circuits relevant to neuropsychiatric disorders.

Michael Michaelides^{1,2} and Yasmin L Hurd^{1,2}

¹Fishberg Department of Neuroscience, Friedman Brain Institute; ²Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA E-mail: yasmin.hurd@mssm.edu

FUNDING AND DISCLOSURE

The authors declare that the work was funded by grants from NIDA (DA015446, DA023214, DA030359). MM was supported by the NIDA Postdoctoral Training Program at Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai (DA007135). MM has received compensation from Metis Laboratories and owns stock in the company. YLH declares no potential conflict of interest.

Anderson SA, Michaelides M, Zarnegar P, Ren Y, Fagergren P, Thanos PK et al. (2013). Impaired periamygdaloid-cortex prodynorphin is characteristic of opiate addiction and depression. J Clin Invest 123: 5334-5341.

- Armbruster BN, Li X, Pausch MH, Herlitze S, Roth BL (2007). Evolving the lock to fit the key to create a family of G protein-coupled receptors potently activated by an inert ligand. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **104**: 5163–5168.
- Dell'Anno MT, Caiazzo M, Leo D, Dvoretskova E, Medrihan L, Colasante G et al. (2014). Remote control of induced dopaminergic neurons in parkinsonian rats. J Clin Invest 124: 3215–3229.
- Michaelides M, Anderson SA, Ananth M, Smirnov D, Thanos PK, Neumaier JF *et al.* (2013). Whole-brain circuit dissection in free-moving animals reveals cell-specific mesocorticolimbic networks. *J Clin Invest* **123**: 5342–5350.
- Schulz D, Southekal S, Junnarkar SS, Pratte JF, Purschke ML, Stoll SP et al. (2011). Simultaneous assessment of rodent behavior and neurochemistry using a miniature positron emission tomograph. Nat Methods 8: 347–352.
- Villien M, Wey HY, Mandeville JB, Catana C, Polimeni JR, Sander CY *et al.* (2014). Dynamic functional imaging of brain glucose utilization using fPET-FDG. *Neuroimage* **100C**: 192–199.

Neuropsychopharmacology Reviews (2015) **40,** 239–241; doi:10.1038/npp.2014.233

More than a Gut Feeling: the Microbiota Regulates Neurodevelopment and Behavior

The realization of the importance of the gut microbiota in health and disease has been one of the most exciting areas in biomedical research over the past 5 years. We are teeming with microrganisms in and on our body, having >150times more microbial genes (the microbiome) than mammalian genes. Studies are revealing how variations in the composition of the gut microbiota influence all aspects of physiology, including brain function and even behavior. In terms of neuroscience and psychiatric disease the field is still very much in its infancy, but evidence is accumulating that it has a key role. Factors that shape the bacterial landscape include being born by Caesarian delivery, not being breastfed, environment, gestational age, host genetics, exposure to infections (both maternal and infant), and antibiotic usage. Moreover, stress, especially that in early life and prenatally, can have marked effects

on microbiota composition (Borre *et al*, 2014).

A variety of strategies have been used to investigate the role of this socalled microbiota-gut-brain axis in health and disease. Germ-free mice (mice that never have been exposed to any bacteria) have been used to show that microbiota is crucial for hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis function. Moreover, these mice have widespread neurodevelopmental changes in the brain, including alterations in monoaminergic neurotransmission and behavioral changes in anxiety (Foster and McVey Neufeld, 2013). More recently, we have shown that these mice also exhibit autismlike traits, such as deficits in sociability, social cognition, and increased repetitive behaviors (Desbonnet et al, 2014). Interestingly, as in autism and other neurodevelopmental disorders, these effects are much more pronounced in males than females. Moreover, studies in germ-free mice can be expanded to enable research on the 'humanization' of the gut microbiota, that is, transplanting faecal microbiota from specific human conditions or from animal models. Indeed, it has been shown that behavioral traits can be transplanted between strains of mice (Collins et al, 2013).

Administration of various potential probiotic strains in rodents or humans has also been shown to have beneficial effects in rodents. Major strain and species differences occur in terms of their 'psychobiotic' effects. Studies have shown that some Bifidobacteria and Lactobacilli species as well as Bacteroides fragilis can have positive effects on anxiety, depression, cognition, and autism-related behaviors (Hsiao et al, 2013). Prebiotics, nondigestible food ingredients that promotes the growth of beneficial gut microorganisms, are also being shown to affect brain BDNF levels. Human studies of prebiotics and probiotics have lagged behind to date, although there is interesting neuroimaging studies emerging clearly showing that such bacterial-based dietary interventions can affect brain function.



Figure 1. Key pathways involved in microbiota–gut–brain signaling. There are many potential direct and indirect pathways exist through which the gut microbiota can modulate the gut–brain axis. They include endocrine (cortisol), immune (cytokines), and neural (vagus and enteric nervous system) pathways. The gut microbiota and probiotic agents can alter the levels of circulating cytokines, and this can have a marked effect on brain function. Both the vagus nerve and modulation of systemic tryptophan levels are strongly implicated in relaying the influence of the gut microbiota to the brain. In addition, short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) are neuroactive bacterial metabolites of dietary fibers that can also modulate brain and behavior. Harnessing such pathways may provide a novel approach to treat various brain disorders.

Finally, perturbation of the microbiota by administration of antimicrobial drugs can allow for a temporally controlled and more clinically relevant tool to assess the role of the gut microbiota on behavior. Antibiotics in adulthood and early-life can reverse both antipsychotic-induced obesity (Davey *et al*, 2013) and increase visceral pain (O'Mahony *et al*, 2014) responses, respectively. Further studies focused on the mechanisms on how these gut microbiota signal to the brain are now warranted. To date, it is clear that the vagus nerve, immune signaling, and the production of bioactive metabolites are strongly implicated in communication across the microbiota-gut-brain axis (Figure 1).

John F Cryan^{1,2} and Timothy G Dinan^{1,3} ¹Alimentary Pharmabiotic Centre, University College, Cork, Ireland; ²Department of Anatomy and Neuroscience, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland; ³Department of Psychiatry, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland E-mail: j.cryan@ucc.ie

FUNDING AND DISCLOSURE

The authors are funded by Science Foundation Ireland (SFI), through the Irish Government's National Development Plan in the form of a centre grant (Alimentary Pharmabiotic Centre Grant Number SFI/ 12/RC/2273); by the Health Research Board of Ireland (Grant Numbers HRA_POR/2011/23 and HRA_POR/2012/32) and received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme Grant MyNewGut under Grant Agreement No. FP7/2007–2013. The Centre has conducted studies in collaboration with several companies including GSK, Pfizer, Alimentary Health, Cremo, Suntory Wellness, Danone-Nutricia, Wyeth, and Mead Johnson. The authors thank Dr Roman Stilling for assistance with graphics.

- Borre YE, O'Keeffe GW, Clarke G, Stanton C, Dinan TG, Cryan JF (2014). Microbiota and neurodevelopmental windows: implications for brain disorders. *Trends Mol Med* **20**: 509–518.
- Collins SM, Kassam Z, Bercik P (2013). The adoptive transfer of behavioral phenotype via the intestinal microbiota: experimental evidence and clinical implications. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **16**: 240–245.
- Davey KJ, Cotter PD, O'Sullivan O, Crispie F, Dinan TG, Cryan JF *et al.* (2013). Antipsychotics and the gut microbiome: olanzapine-induced metabolic dysfunction is attenuated by antibiotic administration in the rat. *Transl Psychiatry* **3**: e309.
- Desbonnet L, Clarke G, Shanahan F, Dinan TG, Cryan JF (2014). Microbiota is essential for social development in the mouse. *Mol Psychiatry* **19**: 146–148.
- Foster JA, McVey Neufeld KA. (2013). Gut-brain axis: how the microbiome influences anxiety and depression. *Trends Neurosci* **36**: 305–312.
- Hsiao EY, McBride SW, Hsien S, Sharon G, Hyde ER, McCue T *et al.* (2013). Microbiota modulate behavioral and physiological abnormalities associated with neurodevelopmental disorders. *Cell* **155**: 1451–1463.
- O'Mahony SM, Felice VD, Nally K, Savignac HM, Claesson MJ, Scully P *et al.* (2014). Disturbance of the gut mirobiota in early-life selectively affects visceral pain in adulthood without impacting cognitive or anxiety-related behaviors in male rats. *Neuroscience* **227**: 885–901.

Neuropsychopharmacology Reviews (2015) **40,** 241–242; doi:10.1038/npp.2014.224