

J Health Commun. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 December 11

Published in final edited form as:

J Health Commun. 2012; 17(0 3): 13–22. doi:10.1080/10810730.2012.712625.

# What Do Health Literacy and Cultural Competence Have in Common? Calling for a Collaborative Pedagogy

#### Désirée Lie.

Department of Family Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Alhambra, California, USA

#### Olivia Carter-Pokras.

Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA

## Bonnie Braun, and

Department of Family Science, and Horowitz Center for Health Literacy, School of Public Health, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA

## Cliff Coleman

Department of Family Medicine, Oregon Health and Science University, and Richmond Family Health Center, Portland, Oregon, USA

### **Abstract**

Limited health literacy is recognized as contributing to racial/ethnic and other health disparities through mechanisms of poor understanding and adherence, and limited access to health care. Recent studies have focused on interventions to address literacy gaps between patients and healthcare providers, focusing on communication techniques and redefining the responsibility for closing gaps. Cultural differences between patient and provider, if left unaddressed, have been shown to contribute to poor health outcomes through misunderstanding, value conflicts and disparate concepts of health and illness. The dual challenges of limited health literacy and cultural differences are likely to increase with an expanding, increasingly diverse and older population. There is evidence that training providers to attend to both issues can reduce medical errors, improve adherence, patient-provider-family communication and outcomes of care at both individual and population levels. The two fields continue to have separate trajectories, vocabularies and research agendas, competing for limited curricular resources. We present a conceptual framework for health professions education that attends simultaneously to limited health literacy and cultural differences as a coherent way forward in training culturally competent providers with a common skill-set to deliver patient-centered care that focuses on health disparities reduction.

Reports from the Institute of Medicine (IOM) have drawn attention to the need for cultural competence curricula in health professions education to address racial/ethnic disparities (Smedley, 2002), documented prevalence and adverse impact of limited health literacy on

health outcomes (Nielsen-Bohlman, 2004), and focused on the importance of health professionals in addressing health literacy (Brach et al, 2012). Connections between health literacy and cultural competency have been further emphasized and elucidated (Andrulis & Brach, 2007). Low health literacy affects roughly one-third of all U.S. adults, but disproportionately affects racial and ethnic minority populations and people with lower socioeconomic status (Kutner et al, 2006, US DHSS 2010), and contributes to health disparities in these populations. The IOM reports call for practice interventions to reduce well documented disparities resulting from cultural miscommunication and the health literacy gap, and effective training strategies to prepare health professionals to recognize and address these problems. Healthy People 2020, the 10-year national health agenda, discusses both cultural competence and health literacy under its goal to use health communication strategies to improve health care quality and population health outcomes and achieve health equity (healthypeople.gov). Organizations such as the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals (The Joint Commission, 2007), and the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA 2012) have defined the need for further training and provided online resources, linking these areas to critical areas impacting practice.

Recent literature reviews demonstrate progress in both fields (Berkman, 2011, Coleman, 2011; Lie et al, 2011). Although few high quality studies have examined the impact of provider cultural competence training; improvements in knowledge, skills and attitudes can be linked to better patient outcomes (Lie et al, 2011). Basic competency in health literacy principles can be taught, but a direct link between training and improved patient outcomes remains to be demonstrated (Coleman, 2011). Health professional curricula for health literacy and cultural competence are often delivered separately by different faculty, with distinct objectives and evaluations despite common pedagogies around improved patient assessment, and similar communication strategies (Harper et al, 2007). Competencies have been developed for cultural competence training (Lie et al, 2008) and health literacy competencies are being developed. But educational research in each field is being conducted independently despite overlapping constructs and common desired patient outcomes. This makes competitors of educators in the two fields for limited curricular time and teaching resources (Carter-Pokras et al, 2010, Dogra, 2010).

Health disparities are differences in health status or health care that are measurable, unnecessary, preventable, and unjust (Carter-Pokras & Baquet, 2002). Many dimensions of disparity exist in the U.S. (e.g., race/ethnicity, sex, sexual identity, age, disability, socioeconomic status, geographic location). Educators can translate population health evidence into actionable individual provider competencies, using health literacy and cultural competence resources to address unequal access to shared understanding of information between the patient and health professional. *Every health professional can contribute to disparities reduction*, by recognizing the existence of a culture of the health professions as well as their own assumptions and biases, deploying appropriate communication skills and utilizing team resources to recognize, diagnose and address low health literacy and cultural differences.

As we move toward the provision of team-based care delivered through patient-centered medical homes (Grumbach et al, 2009), what can educators do to foster a unified approach

so that professionals can dip into a common training toolbox, e.g. www.thinkculturalhealth.org, with every patient encounter to select the approach best suited to achieve optimal patient outcomes no matter the likely source of disparities? Are there shared communication attributes or strategies that address both health literacy and cultural competence, and if so, does it matter which 'expert' teaches it? In this paper we seek to present a set of values and a framework to drive teaching and educational research, with the goal of health disparities reduction.

## **Common Pedagogies**

Paper, standardized, or real patient cases are used in health professional teaching as the platform for achieving and delivering learning objectives appropriate for learners' level of training. Cases may form the backbone of a course, as in problem-based learning courses, or supplement didactic teaching. They may be presented 'virtually', as web or paper cases, or in real time. Case-based testing is also employed in board certification and clinical practice examinations to test application of principles to practice. Health professional clinical practice examinations incorporate patient care elements directly into standardized stations scored by trained standardized patients.

Consider a case trigger for curricula addressing chronic disease management, patient-provider communication, adherence and health systems. How would you label the case? Who would teach them? How does it present opportunities for health literacy and cultural competence teaching?

Case: "Mr. Morales is a 45 year old car mechanic with type 2 diabetes. He was born in Mexico, did not complete high school and speaks English as a second language. He now requires transition to insulin therapy because of failed lifestyle management and oral anti-diabetic medication therapy. His attempts at weight loss were challenged by the need to participate in family social gatherings and to show appreciation for his wife and mother's cooking. His primary care physician had sent him to a dietician who provided him with information about an 1800 calorie diet from the American Diabetes Association. He did not understand the written instructions and did not share them with his wife. He also believes that insulin causes blindness and kidney failure and does not intend to use insulin but will instead use Mexican remedies such as prickly pear, offered by his mother'.

The above case can be adopted for learner teaching and evaluation to address either/both health literacy and cultural competence. Mr. Morales may have a language barrier best addressed by working with a professional medical interpreter and low-literacy universal Spanish language materials. He may have a need for assessment of his diabetes care health literacy, lifestyle management and the role of family within his cultural and health beliefs system. Skills to negotiate with his beliefs about insulin may form the core of case discussion. Communication tools are available from both cultural competence and health literacy toolkits. For example, the Kleinman questions, (Kleinman, 1978) represent skills of Listening, Explaining, Acknowledging, Reviewing and Negotiating (from the L-E-A-R-N model, 2012) using such questions as 'What are the chief problems your sickness has caused for you?' and 'What do you fear most about your sickness?'. Motivational interviewing is

another communication skill model appropriate for lifestyle management. The teach-back technique from the Health Literacy Universal Precautions Toolkit (DeWalt, 2010) helps assess patient understanding and shares the theoretical underpinning of the L-E-A-R-N model in eliciting patient understanding before advising. Cases can be built on a didactic foundation of evidence for disparities in health outcomes for patients with limited health literacy; who do not share their provider's beliefs; or who are unable to communicate effectively in English. Such cases are capable of being taught in a multitude of disciplines and professions (e.g., allied health, dental, health education, medicine, nursing, pharmacy, public health, nutrition, occupational therapy). Case templates can be developed for a myriad of conditions and patients, accessible to a host of health professions. Being inclusive of both health literacy and cultural competence learning objectives, such cases acknowledge the important interactions between these two cross-cutting issues (Andrulis & Brach, 2007) and help avoid competition for curricular space. By using common communication tools, such cases also permit streamlined faculty training and make available a larger pool of teachers who can precept or teach and act as practice role models in the clinical environment.

Under the new envisioned paradigm, instead of teaching 'health literacy' or 'cultural competence' separately, faculty would model and teach 'best strategies to improve communication to reduce disparities' (Wagner, 2005), a 'label' that replaces and transcends 'health literacy' and 'cultural competence'. Evidence may be cited from both literatures for best practice interventions to reduce disparities being discussed, with a menu of choices that might include use of pictograms or language- and culture-appropriate educational videos (Berkman et al, 2011) or health promoters and patient navigators (Lie et al, 2011).

# **Uncommon Opportunities**

Health care reform efforts such as the 2010 Affordable Care Act (ACA) have provided opportunities for health professionals to exhibit health literacy and cultural competencies in their daily practice (Somers & Mahadevan, 2010; Andrulis et al, 2010). For example, the ACA addressed the need to communicate clearly, promote prevention, create medical homes, be patient-centered, and attend to health equity (Somers & Mahadevan, 2010). Identification and acceptance of competencies in each domain is a challenge for educators. Once common competencies are identified, educators will need to pilot and evaluate educational materials and methods to develop those competencies. This provides an opportunity for inter-professional education using a competency-based framework with associated learning activities.

# Learners as Beneficiary

The amount of information and number of skills and competencies that health professions learners have to assimilate, regurgitate and demonstrate has exploded with the advent of the internet and availability of new tools (e.g., distant learning, simulation technologies); and burgeoning accreditation requirements. No longer is adding curricular content and time for new topics a viable option. Training strategies must appeal to learners for advocated practices to be adopted for future practice. Longitudinal integration has been embraced as

reflecting the real practice environment where patients present as whole persons within the context of family, community and society. Providers need to astutely demonstrate on-the-job agility and sensitivity to recognize within each patient encounter challenges in bioethics, health literacy, culture and beliefs and family systems, as they recognize, diagnose and manage common diseases By addressing limited health literacy and cultural differences we can potentially capture over 40% (Berkman et al, 2004; Zarcadoolas et al 2006) of encounters in which clinical outcomes may be compromised by poor adherence, medical errors and failure to follow-up. Learner benefits of collaboration between health literacy and cultural competence educators is measured not only in curricular time and effort saved but a more coherent approach that sees the patient, rather than diseases or topics, as the locus of care. Assessment methods can be integrated to reflect options for best clinical outcomes to reduce disparities, as opposed to knowledge or skills specific to health literacy or cultural competence. The below case may be presented as a paper case within a problem-based learning course, a standardized patient test encounter or within a didactic lecture:

Case: "Mrs. Smith is a 50 year old US-born office clerk with no family history of breast cancer. She believes that mammograms are performed for diagnosis of breast cancer when a breast lump is detected by examination, but has not shared this belief with her provider. When her primary care provider gives her a form for a mammogram after a well-woman visit, she discards it outside the medical office as being irrelevant to her. Questions: What are the obstacles to achieving adherence to mammography guidelines at the patient vs the community level? What communication skills could the provider have used to elicit the patient's beliefs to improve her understanding of cancer screening? What cultural, family and societal factors may influence her decision to participate in screening? In what way can her provider reduce disparities in breast cancer screening within the practice?""

# Health Professionals as Beneficiary

Health care reform efforts have envisioned well-designed and flexible teams working together to improve care processes and outcomes (AHRQ, 2008; Frenk, 2010). Teams will be assessed for their performance (Temkin-Greener et al 2004). There is thus a need for each profession to be knowledgeable of its own and others' cultures, beliefs, practices, jargon, assumptions and strategies. This form of communication embraces tenets in both cultural competence and health literacy for cross-disciplinary communication. What better opportunity for proponents of health disparities reduction to seize the moment of access to multi-professional teams of health learners to teach health literacy and cultural competence in concert (Andrulis and Brach, 2007) as cross-cutting topics common to all health professions training? Our case examples reflect a hybrid of the two fields for both small and large group teaching settings that can involve several health professions learning together around patients, increasing efficiency across educational settings. Such innovative approaches are already in construction and early evolution (Blue et al, 2010). Platforms for introducing common curricula in health literacy and cultural competence include teaching of bioethics (Ethical Force Program AMA, 2006), medical errors (Baker, 2005), and chronic illness (US DHHS, 2010).

## Patients as Beneficiary

The 2011 issue of the Journal of Health Communication supplement focused on advancing health literacy as a research field with testable theories and conceptual frameworks. A notable comment highlighted the uniqueness of health literacy as being "applied in very specific health contexts .....by very different individuals (e.g. physicians or patients) with, at times, very different goals" so that both content and context need to be addressed in the research arena (Pleasant et al. 2011), a comment equally applicable to cultural competency. A common foundation and language for research is needed if we are to develop viable roadmaps for future interventions. We assert that the time is ripe to collaborate across the two fields for the same reason. Educators in health literacy and cultural competence can design more effective interventions to train their learners, optimize translation of training to future practice, and increase precision in measuring patient-centered outcomes of training (Johnson et al. 2011). Such patient-centered outcome measures include patient satisfaction, quality of life, adherence to therapies and clinical indices, which are considerably downstream from training (Betancourt, 2010). Demonstrating training efficacy to improve patient-centered outcomes is a costly proposition that requires large, multi-institutional, cross-disciplinary and long-term follow-up of learners from training to practice (Lie et al, 2011). A joint call for research funding means a greater likelihood of bringing attention to the need for rigorous design and evaluation of training programs. Resources are needed to examine education as an independent intervention to improve health outcomes, and support comparative efficacy studies of educational interventions. Bridging the divide between health literacy and cultural competence training proponents will bring us closer to creating an equitable society through betterment of every health professional's skills with the patient and his/her family and community as primary beneficiaries.

# Population as Beneficiary

As our nation's population becomes increasingly diverse, health professionals will become increasingly challenged to understand health literacy levels and cultural values and practices of a diverse population. Evidence-based principles are now considered the foundation of provision of preventive services. Communicating the value of these services will require sensitivity to both health literacy and cultural diversity. Currently use of these services varies in part because of cost (State Health Facts Online, 2010), and in part due to unequal understanding about their value. Health professionals will need to explain value through health literacy and cultural lenses to increase the likelihood that patients understand the benefits and act on that understanding. When an increasing portion of our diverse population use preventive services, society should benefit from reduced health care costs and improved population health.

# **Disparities Reduction: The Common Goal**

Four phases of health disparities research include: 1) identification of the nature and extent of disparities, 2) identification of underlying factors (whether culture or literacy-related) for racial, ethnic and socioeconomic disparities, 3) development and implementation of interventions, and 4) mixed methods approach to evaluation of comprehensive, multilevel

interventions (Thomas, 2011). Emphasis on phases 3 and 4 is particularly needed to support health literacy and cultural competency training. Common research questions for health literacy and cultural competency include:

- How can we link training to improved health outcomes using a universal crosscutting approach to improve culturally competent care delivery that also addresses limited health literacy? (Fortier, 2003)
- Can communication training focused on understanding in underserved populations enhance patient comprehension and change behavior?
- Do programs that aim to change attitudes have different impact than programs that focus primarily on behavior change?
- What are 'best practices' in health literacy and cultural competency training? What are effective teaching methods? What faculty development is necessary?
- How can we include community stakeholders for health professional training? What is the added value of using patients as teaching partners?

Reliable and valid tools for learner, provider and patient assessment are also needed. Assessment methods of the impact of health literacy and cultural competency training could include observation of patient/provider interchanges using simulated/standardized patients (Learners can provide peer assessments. Simulation videos can use actors in specific scenarios to demonstrate skills. Written skill examinations or quizzes with scenarios and responses, test scenarios (paper case, role play, group discussion), knowledge tests (pre-post, post, delayed post), essay responses, and surveys (e.g., interactive web-based tests) can also be used.

## A Shared Vision

Institute of Medicine reports have presented inter-professional collaboration and teamwork (IOM, 2003) as one solution to improve health care quality (IOM, 2001), address health literacy (Neilsen-Bohlman, 2004) and reduce racial and ethnic health disparities (Smedley, 2002). A generation of health outcomes research and new interventions or revised versions of older strategies (Greiner, 2003) to improve quality of care nationwide were initiated. We are now at the crossroads of a new mandate to care for a larger, more diverse U,S, population than ever. Moving upstream from health services research to health professions education as another solution is a logical, positive response to the call to action. What better place to begin than a collaborative of health literacy and cultural competence educators working together to share tools, training strategies and resources for the common goal of health disparities reduction, improved research agendas and outcomes?

## Acknowledgments

The authors wish to acknowledge conference funding support by 2R13MD006056-02 (Carter-Pokras) from the National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities, and comments by participants of the Third Annual Health Literacy Research Conference (HARC) session "Let's Talk: Health Literacy and Cultural Competency Researchers Working Together"; and the "Cultural Competency and Health Literacy Primer: A Guide for teaching Health Professions and Students" held on 17 and 18 October, 2011, in Chicago, IL. The views expressed in written conference materials or publications and by speakers and moderators do not necessarily reflect the official policies

of the Department of Health and Human Services; nor does mention of trade names, commercial practices, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government. We are especially grateful to Drs. Dorothy Castille and Michael Paasche-Orlow for their encouragement and support for bringing together experts in both fields for a productive and inspiring dialog.

## References

- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. AHRQ Health Care Innovations Exchange. Medical team training using crew resource management principles enhances provider communication and stimulates improvements in patient care. Rockville, MD: 2008 Apr 14. updated April 28, 2010
- Andrulis, DP.; Siddiqui, NJ.; Purtle, JP.; Duchon, L. Washington, DC: Joint Center for Political and Economic Studies; 2010. Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010: Advancing Health Equity for Racially and Ethnically Diverse Populations. from http://www.jointcenter.org/hpi/sites/all/files/PatientProtection\_PREP\_0.pdf [Retrieved Jan 26, 2012]
- Andrulis DP, Brach C. Integrating literacy, culture, and language to improve health care quality for diverse populations. Am J Health Behav. 2007; 31(Suppl 1):s122–s133. [PubMed: 17931131]
- Baker, DP.; Gustafson, S.; Beaubien, JM.; Salas, E.; Barach, P. Literature review. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2005. Medical teamwork and patient safety: The evidence-based relation. AHRQ Publication No. 05-0053. from http://www.ahrq.gov/qual/medteam/ [Retrieved Jan 26, 2012]
- Berkman, ND.; DeWalt, DA.; Pignone, MP.; Sheridan, SL.; Lohr, KN.; Lux, L., et al. [Retrieved Jan 26, 2012] Summary, Evidence Report Technology Assessment No. 87. 2004. from http://www.ahrq.gov/clinic/epcsums/litsum.pdf
- Berkman ND, Sheridan SL, Donahue KE, Halpern DJ, Viera A, Crotty K, et al. Health literacy interventions and outcomes: An update of the literacy and health outcomes. Ann Int Med. 2011; 155:97–107. [PubMed: 21768583]
- Betancourt J, Green A. Commentary: linking cultural competence training to improved health outcomes: perspectives from the field. Acad Med. 2010; 85(4):583–585. [PubMed: 20354370]
- Blue AV, Mitcham M, Smith T, Raymond J, Greenberg R. Changing the future of health professions: Embedding interprofessional education within an academic health center. Academic Medicine. 2010; 85:1–6.
- Brach, C.; Dreyer, B.; Schyve, P.; Hernandez, LM.; Baur, C.; Lemerise, AJ.; Parker, R. Institute of Medicine Workgroup on Attributes of a Health Literate Organization of the IOM Roundtable on Health Literacy. Washington D.C.: The National Academies Press; 2012. Attributes of a health literate organization. from: http://www.iom.edu/Activities/PublicHealth/~/media/Files/Activity %20Files/PublicHealth/HealthLiteracy/10attributes.pdf [Retrieved Feb 1, 2012]
- Carter-Pokras O, Bereknyei S, Lie DA, Braddock C. Surmounting the unique challenges in health disparities education: a multi-institution qualitative study. JGIM. 2010; 25(2):108.
- Carter-Pokras O, Baquet C. What is a health disparity? Public Health Reports. 2002; 117:426–432. [PubMed: 12500958]
- Coleman C. Teaching health care professionals about health literacy: A review of the literature. Nursing Outlook. 2011; 59(2):70–78. [PubMed: 21402202]
- DeWalt, DA.; Callahan, LF.; Hawk, VH.; Broucksou, KA.; Hink, A.; Rudd, R., et al. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2010. Health Literacy Universal Precautions Toolkit. (Prepared by North Carolina Network Consortium, The Cecil G. Sheps Center for Health Services Research, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, under Contract No. HHSA290200710014.) AHRQ Publication No. 10-0046-EF)
- Dogra N, Reitmanova S, Carter-Pokras O. Teaching cultural diversity: current status in U.K., U.S., and Canadian medical schools. Journal of General Internal Medicine. 2010; 25(Suppl 2):S164–S168. [PubMed: 20352513]
- Ethical Force Program American Medical Association. An Ethical Force Program Consensus Report. Improving communication improving care: how health care organizations can ensure effective, patient-centered communication with people from diverse populations. 2006 http://www.ama-assn.org/ama1/pub/upload/mm/369/ef\_imp\_comm.pdf.

Fortier, JP.; Bishop, D. Setting the agenda for research on cultural competence in health care: final report. Brach, C., editor. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of Minority Health and Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2003.

- Frenk J, Chen L, Bhutta ZA, Cohen J, Crisp N, Evans T. Health professionals for a new century: Transforming education to strengthen health systems in an interdependent world. The Lancet. 2010; 376(9756):1923–1958.
- Greiner, AC.; Knebel, E., editors. Institute of Medicine Committee on the Health Professions Education Summit, Board on Health Care Services. Washington, D.C.: The National Academies Press; 2003. Health professions education: a bridge to quality. from http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record\_id=10681. [Retrieved Jan 26, 2012]
- Grumbach K, Bodenheimer T, Grundy P. The outcomes of implementing patient-centered medical home interventions: A review of the evidence on quality, access and costs from recent prospective evaluation studies. 2009 Aug. http://familymedicine.medschool.ucsf.edu/cepc/pdf/outcomes%20of%20pcmh%20for%20White%20House%20Aug%202009.pdf.
- Harper W, Cook S, Makoul G. Teaching medical students about health literacy: 2 Chicago initiatives. Am J Health Behav. 2007; 31(Suppl 1):S111–S114. [PubMed: 17931129]
- Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA). [Retrieved April 26, 2012] Unified Health Communication (health literacy, cultural competence, limited English proficiency). On-line training program. at http://www.hrsa.gov/healthliteracy/default.htm
- Institute of Medicine. Crossing the quality chasm. Washington DC: The National Academy Press; 2001.
- Johnson SE, Baur C, Meissner HI. Back to Basics: Why Basic Research is Needed to Rate Health Literacy Interventions. Journal of Health Communication. 2011; 16:22–29. [PubMed: 21951241]
- Kleinman A, Eisenberg L, Good B. Culture, illness, and care: clinical lessons from anthropologic and cross-cultural research. Annals of Internal Medicine. 1978; 88:251–258. [PubMed: 626456]
- Kutner, M.; Greenberg, E.; Jin, Y.; Paulsen, C. The health literacy of America's adults: results from the 2003 National Assessment of Adult Literacy. NCES 2006-483. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics, US Department of Education; 2006.
- L-E-A-R-N Model of Cross Cultural encounter Guidelines for Health Practitioners. on http://www.diversityrx.org/HTML/MOCPT2.htm.
- Lie DA, Boker J, Crandall S, DeGannes CN, Elliot D, Henderson P, Kodjo C, Seng L. Revising the Tool for Assessing Cultural Competence Training (TACCT) for curriculum evaluation: Findings derived from seven US schools and expert consensus. Medical Education Online. 2008 Jul.13
- Lie DA, Lee-Rey E, Gomez A, Bereknyei S, Braddock CH 3rd. Does Cultural Competency Training of Health Professionals Improve Patient Outcomes? A Systematic Review and Proposed Algorithm for Future Research. J Gen Intern Med. 2011; 26(3):317–325. [PubMed: 20953728]
- Nielsen-Bohlman, L.; Panzer, AM.; Kindig, DA., editors. Health literacy: A prescription to end confusion. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2004.
- Pleasant A, McKinney J, Rikard RV. Health Literacy Measurement: A Proposed Agenda. Journal of Health Communication. 2011; 16:11–21. [PubMed: 21951240]
- Smedley, BD.; Stith, AY.; Nelson, AR., editors. Unequal Treatment: Confronting Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Healthcare. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2002. p. 552-593.
- Somers, SA.; Mahadevan, R. Health Literacy Implications of the Affordable Care Act. Washington DC: Center for Health Care Strategies, Inc.; 2010. http://www.chcs.org/usr\_doc/Health\_Literacy\_Implications\_of\_the\_Affordable\_Care\_Act.pdf [Retrieved Jan 26, 2012]
- $State\ Health\ Facts\ Online.\ 2010\ from\ {\c http://statehealthfacts.org/}.$
- Temkin-Greener H, Gross D, Junitz SJ, Mukamel D. Measuring interdisciplinary team performance in a long-term care setting. Medical Care. 2004; 42(5):472–481. [PubMed: 15083108]
- The Joint Commission. "What did the doctor say?:" improving health literacy to protect patient safety. The Joint Commission. 2007 at http://www.jointcommission.org.
- Thomas SB, Quinn SC, Butler J, Fryer CS, Garza MA. Toward a fourth generation of disparities research to achieve health equity. Annu Rev Public Health. 2011; 32:399–416. [PubMed: 21219164]

U.S. Department of Health, and Human Services, Office of Disease Prevention, and Health Promotion. National action plan to improve health literacy. Washington, DC: 2010.

- Wagner EH, Bennett SM, Austin BT, Greene SM, Vonkorff M, Schaefer JK. Finding common ground: patient-centeredness and evidence-based chronic illness care. J Altern Complement Med. 2005; 11:s7–s15. [PubMed: 16332190]
- Zarcadoolas, C.; Pleasant, A.; Greer, D. Advancing health literacy. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Press; 2006.