



Published in final edited form as:

*Fed Pract.* 2014 February ; 31: 37S–40S.

## Care at the Crossroads: Navigating the HIV, HCV, and Substance Abuse Syndemic

**Dr. Rebecca Reece, MD, Dr. Caitlin Dugdale, MD, Dr. Francine Touzard-Romo, MD, Dr. Amanda Noska, MD, Dr. Timothy Flanigan, MD, and Dr. Josiah D. Rich, MD, MPH**

Dr. Reece, Dr. Touzard-Romo, Dr. Noska, Dr. Flanigan, and Dr. Rich work in the Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Disease, Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island. Dr. Dugdale works in the Department of Medicine, Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island. Dr. Flanigan and Dr. Rich also work at the Center for Prisoner Health and Human Rights at the Miriam Hospital, Providence, Rhode Island

### Abstract

For patients with both HIV/HCV coinfection and substance addiction, multidisciplinary teams can facilitate coordination of care and improve clinical outcomes. Such teams should include HIV/HCV treatment providers, mental health specialists, case managers, social workers, and substance abuse counselors.

---

J.B. is a 64-year-old man with tired eyes and a broad, toothless smile. In the clinic, he pulls out a crumpled paper bag containing his “salvage” highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) regimen. Despite last month’s prescription date, the bottles remain suspiciously full. J.B. has just moved from another state where fragmented care and chronic nonadherence resulted in serial hospital admissions. After the last hospital stay, he moved in with his daughter, who helps to coordinate his care. She presents a folded stack of papers from his last discharge with a summary listing “congestive heart failure, renal failure, cirrhosis, untreated hepatitis C virus (HCV), depression, medication nonadherence, and poly-substance abuse, among a litany of other problems. He denies any ongoing drug or alcohol use, but his daughter’s exasperated expression suggests otherwise. The patient remembers seeing an HIV doctor, heart specialist, kidney doctor, liver doctor, and generalist, but can only remember 1 of their names.

Within minutes, it becomes obvious that J.B.’s multiple medical problems are working together to drag his health into an ever-greater state of entropy. His destructive coaffliction with HIV, HCV, illicit substance use, and mental illness exemplifies the syndemic nature of these conditions.

---

### Disclaimer

The opinions expressed herein are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of *Federal Practitioner*, Frontline Medical Communications Inc., the U.S. Government, or any of its agencies. This article may discuss unlabeled or investigational use of certain drugs. Please review complete prescribing information for specific drugs or drug combinations—including indications, contraindications, warnings, and adverse effects—before administering pharmacologic therapy to patients.

### Author disclosures

The authors report no actual or potential conflicts of interest with regard to this article.

“Syndemic” is an anthropologic term describing 2 or more conditions that not only coexist, but actually affect each other, leading to worse outcomes than what is seen with either condition alone. In the case of HIV, comorbid substance use has been linked to increased high-risk sexual behavior, nonadherence to medication, and an overall more rapid viral progression.<sup>1–3</sup> HIV/HCV coinfection results in a more rapid progression of chronic liver disease.<sup>4</sup> Mental illness has been linked with poor HAART adherence and has been considered by some to be a relative contraindication to the most widely used interferon-based HCV treatment regimens.<sup>2,5</sup>

At the intersection of syndemic conditions lies the opportunity for synergistic care. In order to successfully navigate the syndemic of HIV, HCV, and substance use, practitioners must meet patients at the crossroads of these conditions. Multidisciplinary care teams involving HIV/HCV coinfection treatment providers, mental health specialists, case managers, social workers, and substance abuse counselors can facilitate complex patient care coordination and improve clinical outcomes.<sup>6</sup>

This article outlines key attributes of an integrated, comprehensive care program for people living with HIV/AIDS affected by the syndemic conditions of HCV, mental illness, and/or substance abuse. First, special consideration must be given to testing for HIV and HCV and linkage to treatment for these conditions, as patients with comorbid substance use or mental illness are at higher risk for falling out of care. Early treatment initiation with fixed-dose combination pills improves adherence and thereby reduces risk of disease [progression?], development of resistance, and transmission to others in these particularly high-risk populations. HIV treatment always takes priority over HCV treatment; however, once the HIV infection is under control, tailored HIV/HCV coinfection treatment should be offered to eligible patients. With mental health and addiction counseling, patients who were previously deemed too psychosocially “high-risk” for HCV treatment may indeed be appropriate candidates for therapy, particularly with the evolving interferon-free regimens. Finally, given the high prevalence of both self-admitted and occult substance use in this population, screening, counseling, and pharmacologic treatment for illicit substance use should be embedded into all HIV integrated care programs.

## IMPORTANCE OF RAPID LINKAGE TO CARE AND TREATMENT

The most important aspects of care for individuals with HIV are the same for both substance users and nonsubstance users: early diagnosis, rapid linkage to care, retention in care, and initiation of HAART. However, many substance users are socially marginalized and are particularly vulnerable to missed opportunities for screening and fall out of care. The disparity of access to care among substance users is well documented worldwide.<sup>7–10</sup> Negative biases surrounding substance use plague the medical community and adversely affect systems and practice in terms of the availability of HIV testing, treatment, and support. The importance of early screening and repeat testing at regular intervals cannot be overemphasized in this population, which is at increased risk due to drug use and associated high-risk behaviors.<sup>11–13</sup> Innovative approaches to HIV testing outside of traditional clinical settings, for example at needle exchange programs, pharmacies, mobile testing sites, and in the criminal justice setting, may reach a greater proportion of this population.<sup>14–16</sup> Early

identification of infected individuals decreases the risk of ongoing transmission to both sexual and needle-sharing partners.

Substance users, particularly injection drug users, are more likely than other risk groups to not be successfully linked to care following testing.<sup>17–18</sup> Providers' preconceptions that substance users will be nonadherent and that ongoing substance use will undermine any provided treatment or care contribute to this disparity.<sup>19</sup> Conversely, patients may be reluctant to fully engage in care for fear of policing or judgment for ongoing substance use. However, studies have shown that successful linkage and engagement in care is possible in this population, especially when substance abuse treatment services are combined with conventional HIV care.<sup>6,20</sup> Multidisciplinary care centers where individuals can access medical care, social work services, case management, and substance use treatment are particularly effective for this population.

Once successfully engaged in care, the early initiation of HAART is of paramount importance. The fear that antiretroviral resistance will develop based on assumed non-adherence contributes to the delay in offering HAART to substance users.<sup>21</sup> Assumptions of nonadherence among substance users are unfounded biases, as rates of developing drug resistance among injection drug users are no greater than in other populations.<sup>22,23</sup> With the new "treatment as prevention" approach to HAART initiation, early treatment may not only improve the health of substance users, but may also decrease the risk of transmission to others within this particularly high-risk population.<sup>24</sup>

## HIV AND HEPATITIS COINFECTION

In the United States, approximately 30% of HIV-infected individuals test positive for HCV.<sup>25</sup> However, the prevalence of HIV/HCV coinfection rises to 50% to 90% among injection drug users.<sup>26</sup> Nonetheless, few substance users receive HCV treatment. Poor medication adherence, exacerbation of syndemic psychiatric illness, and drug-drug interactions with simultaneous opioid substitution therapy are some of the potential challenges that HIV-infected drug users face when considering HCV treatment.<sup>27</sup>

Patients with both HIV and HCV infections would benefit the most from dual viral treatment, as coinfection is associated with accelerated progression to end-stage liver disease, hepatocellular carcinoma, and death.<sup>28</sup> Antiretroviral therapy for the treatment of HIV is the first target for effective management of coinfection because HIV has significant mortality risk in the immediate future compared with the chronic nature of HCV. Prompt initiation of HAART is paramount to caring for those with HCV/HIV, as immune reconstitution due to HAART in the setting of coinfection occurs more slowly.<sup>29</sup> HCV/HIV coinfection also leads to earlier progression to liver fibrosis, but early initiation of HAART in this setting results in a survival benefit in terms of liver-related mortality.<sup>28,30,31</sup>

However, the adverse impact of HIV on the natural history of HCV is not fully relieved by antiretroviral treatment alone.<sup>32,33</sup> New direct-acting antiviral agents have revolutionized HCV treatment by increasing the rates of HCV eradication in both monoinfected and HIV coinfecting individuals.<sup>34,35</sup> HCV-specific protease inhibitors stelaprevir or boceprevir, in

combination with pegylated interferon plus ribavirin, are now standard of care for patients infected with both HCV genotype 1 and HIV.

Successful HCV treatment has been achieved in HCV monoinfected drug users with active illicit drug use.<sup>36,37</sup> Although data in coinfecting patients are limited, there is no evidence to suggest that HCV treatment response will be any different in this population. However, integrated models of addiction, psychiatric, HIV, and HCV therapies are crucial to optimize treatment response in drug users.<sup>38</sup> Simpler, shorter, and less toxic treatments, including interferon alpha-free regimens, will be available in the near future. An opportunity to eradicate HCV in all HIV-infected individuals who inject illicit drugs is approaching.

## TREATING THE ADDICTIONS ALONG WITH THE VIRUS

In order to successfully treat HIV and HCV coinfection, providers must simultaneously address the addictions faced by these individuals. Simultaneous, rather than staged, treatment of syndemic conditions is critical, because waiting is not an option in HIV care and prevention. The dangerous substances used by people living with HIV include tobacco (probably the most dangerous) and alcohol. Among the illicit substances used there is a wide spectrum, including amphetamines, cocaine, marijuana, opiates, and others. In the United States, cocaine and amphetamines are the most prevalent illicit substances used among HIV-infected individuals.<sup>39</sup> Because no pharmacologic treatments are available to treat these addictions, nonpharmacologic options such as 12-step programs, outpatient behavioral modification programs, community-based rehabilitation networks, and support groups are essential components to comprehensive care for those with HIV and drug addiction.

In addition, the use of toxicology tests to screen and monitor on a regular basis for occult use can help guide the need for further referral and treatment. This is true of all patients with either prior or current use, as some of them may be receiving opiates or benzodiazepines for treatment but still using other substances, such as cocaine, concurrently.

Opiate addiction remains in a separate class because of both its clinical characteristics and the existence of effective pharmacologic therapy. Opiate addiction is characterized by regular use that leads to the development of tolerance (ie, more drug is needed to achieve the same effect) and a withdrawal syndrome, a progressively painful condition that occurs as soon as the drug's effect begins to wear off. Furthermore, using too high a dose of opiates, especially in combination with other central nervous system depressants or after tolerance is reduced (such as after interruption in regular use due to hospitalization, incarceration, or drug treatment programs), may lead to overdose and death. Pharmacologic therapy for opiate addiction with agonist (eg, methadone), partial agonist (eg, buprenorphine), or antagonist (ie, naltrexone) therapies has been shown to be highly effective.<sup>40–43</sup> Having treatment for addiction closely coordinated with, and ideally located near, HIV care optimizes both addiction and medical outcomes.

## CONCLUSION

Successful treatment for an HIV-infected individual with substance use cannot be compartmentalized. Rather, care must be part of an integrated system treating HIV infection

and addiction as syndemic diseases. Practitioners need to decrease the stigma associated with addiction in order to meet these marginalized patients at the intersection of multiple syndemic disease processes and guide them towards engagement in integrated, comprehensive care.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NIH grants T-32 DA013911, K-24 DA022112, and P-30 AI042853.

## References

1. Colfax G, Vittinghoff E, Husnik MJ, et al. EXPLORE Study Team. Substance use and sexual risk: a participant- and episode-level analysis among a cohort of men who have sex with men. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2004; 159(10):1002–1012. [PubMed: 15128613]
2. Moss AR, Hahn JA, Perry S, et al. Adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy in the homeless population in San Francisco: a prospective study. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2004; 39(8):1190–1198. [PubMed: 15486844]
3. Moore RD, Keruly JC, Chaisson RE. Differences in HIV disease progression by injecting drug use in HIV-infected persons in care. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2004; 35(1):46–51. [PubMed: 14707791]
4. Hernandez MD, Sherman KE. HIV/hepatitis C coinfection natural history and disease progression. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS*. 2011; 6(6):478–482. [PubMed: 22001892]
5. Walkup J, Blank MB, Gonzalez JS, et al. The impact of mental health and substance abuse factors on HIV prevention and treatment. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2008; 47(suppl 1):S15–S19. [PubMed: 18301129]
6. Smith-Rohrberg D, Mezger J, Walton M, Bruce RD, Altice FL. Impact of enhanced services on virologic outcomes in a directly administered antiretroviral therapy trial for HIV-infected drug users. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2006; 43(suppl 1):S48–S53. [PubMed: 17133204]
7. Wolfe D, Carrieri MP, Shepard D. Treatment and care for injecting drug users with HIV infection: a review of barriers and ways forward. *Lancet*. 2010; 376(9738):355–366. [PubMed: 20650513]
8. Carrieri MP, Moatti JP, Vlahov D, Obadia Y, Reynaud-Maurupt C, Chesney M. MANIF 2000 Study Group. Access to antiretroviral treatment among French HIV infected injection drug users; the influence of continued drug use. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 1999; 53(1):4–8. [PubMed: 10326045]
9. Wolfe D. Paradoxes in antiretroviral treatment for injection drug users: access, adherence and structural barriers in Asia and the former Soviet Union. *Int J Drug Policy*. 2007; 18(4):246–254. [PubMed: 17689372]
10. Sharma M, Oppenheimer E, Saidel T, Loo V, Garg R. A situation update on HIV epidemics among people who inject drugs and national responses in South-East Asia region. *AIDS*. 2009; 23(11):1405–1413. [PubMed: 19579290]
11. Zaller ND, Fu JJ, Nunn A, Beckwith CG. Linkage to care for HIV-infected heterosexual men in the United States. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2011; 52(suppl 2):S223–S230. [PubMed: 21342911]
12. Cheng WS, Garfein RS, Semple SJ, Strathdee SA, Zians JK, Patterson TL. Binge use and sex and drug use behaviors among HIV(–), heterosexual methamphetamine users in San Diego. *Subst Use Misuse*. 2010; 45(1–2):116–133. [PubMed: 20025442]
13. Rees V, Saitz R, Horton NJ, Samet J. Association of alcohol consumption with HIV sex- and drug-risk behaviors among drug users. *J Subst Abuse Treat*. 2001; 21(3):129–134. [PubMed: 11728786]
14. Lutnick A, Case P, Kral A. Injection drug users' perspectives on placing HIV prevention and other clinical services in pharmacy settings. *J Urban Health*. 2012; 89(2):354–364. [PubMed: 22231488]
15. Wright P, Stewart K, Curran G, Booth B. A qualitative study of barriers to the utilization of HIV testing services among rural African American cocaine users. *J Drug Issues*. 2013; 43(3):314–334. [PubMed: 24039279]

16. Spaulding AC, Seals RM, Page MJ, Brzozowski AK, Rhodes W, Hammett TM. HIV/AIDS among inmates of and releasees from US correctional facilities, 2006: declining share of epidemic but persistent public health opportunity. *PLoS One*. 2009; 4(11):e7558. [PubMed: 19907649]
17. Turner BJ, Cunningham WE, Duan N, et al. Delayed medical care after diagnosis in a US national probability sample of persons infected with human immunodeficiency virus. *Arch Intern Med*. 2000; 160(17):2614–2622. [PubMed: 10999975]
18. Rumpitz MH, Tobias C, Rajabiun S, et al. Factors associated with engaging socially marginalized HIV-positive persons in primary care. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2007; 21 (suppl 1):S30–S39. [PubMed: 17563288]
19. Molitor F, Kuenneth C, Waltermeyer J, et al. Linking HIV-infected persons of color and injection drug users to HIV medical and other services: the California Bridge Project. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2005; 19(6):406–412. [PubMed: 15989436]
20. Mizuno Y, Wilkinson JD, Santibanez S, et al. Inspire Team. Correlates of health care utilization among HIV-seropositive injection drug users. *AIDS Care*. 2006; 18(5):417–425. [PubMed: 16777632]
21. Westergaard RP, Ambrose BK, Mehta SH, Kirk GD. Provider and clinic-level correlates of deferring antiretroviral therapy for people who inject drugs: a survey of North American HIV providers. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2012; 15(1):10. [PubMed: 22360788]
22. Wood E, Hogg RS, Yip B, et al. Rates of antiretroviral resistance among HIV-infected patients with and without a history of injection drug use. *AIDS*. 2005; 19(11):1189–1195. [PubMed: 15990572]
23. Werb D, Mills EJ, Montaner JS, Wood E. Risk of resistance to highly active antiretroviral therapy among HIV-positive injecting drug users: a meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2010; 10(7):464–469. [PubMed: 20610328]
24. Mathers BM, Degenhardt L, Ali H, et al. 2009 Reference Group to the UN on HIV and Injecting Drug Use. HIV prevention, treatment, and care services for people who inject drugs: a systematic review of global, regional, and national coverage. *Lancet*. 2010; 375(9719):1014–1028. [PubMed: 20189638]
25. Staples CT Jr, Rimland D, Dudas D. Hepatitis C in the HIV (human immunodeficiency virus) Atlanta V.A. (Veterans Affairs Medical Center) Cohort Study (HAVACS): the effect of coinfection on survival. *Clin Infect Dis*. 1999; 29(1):150–154. [PubMed: 10433578]
26. Walsh N, Maher L. HIV and viral hepatitis C coinfection in people who inject drugs: implications of new direct acting antivirals for hepatitis C virus treatment. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS*. 2012; 7(4): 339–344. [PubMed: 22498482]
27. Taylor LE. Delivering care to injection drug users coinfecting with HIV and hepatitis C virus. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2005; 40(suppl 5):S355–S361. [PubMed: 15768348]
28. Graham CS, Baden LR, Yu E, et al. Influence of human immunodeficiency virus infection on the course of hepatitis C virus infection: a meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2001; 33:562–569. [PubMed: 11462196]
29. Colfax G, Guzman R. Club drugs and HIV infection: a review. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2006; 42(10):1463–1469. [PubMed: 16619161]
30. Greub G, Ledergerber B, Battegay M, et al. Clinical progression, survival, and immune recovery during antiretroviral therapy in patients with HIV-1 and hepatitis C virus coinfection: the Swiss HIV Cohort Study [published correction appears in *Lancet*. 2001;357(9267):1536]. *Lancet*. 2000; 356(9244):1800–1805. [PubMed: 11117912]
31. Benhamou Y, Bochet M, Di Martino V, et al. the Multivirc Group. Liver fibrosis progression in human immunodeficiency virus and hepatitis C virus coinfecting patients. *Hepatology*. 1999; 30(4): 1054–1058. [PubMed: 10498659]
32. Qurishi N, Kreuzberg C, Luchters G, et al. Effect of antiretroviral therapy on liver-related mortality in patients with HIV and hepatitis C virus coinfection. *Lancet*. 2003; 362(9397):1708–1713. [PubMed: 14643119]
33. Thein HH, Yi Q, Dore GJ, Krahn MD. Natural history of hepatitis C virus infection in HIV-infected individuals and the impact of HIV in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy: a meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2008; 22(15):1979–1991. [PubMed: 18784461]

34. Limketkai BN, Mehta SH, Sutcliffe CG, et al. Relationship of liver disease stage and antiviral therapy with liver-related events and death in adults coinfecting with HIV/HCV. *JAMA*. 2012; 308(4):370–378. [PubMed: 22820790]
35. Sulkowski MS, Sherman KE, Dieterich DT, et al. Combination therapy with telaprevir for chronic hepatitis C virus genotype 1 infection in patients with HIV: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2013; 159(2):86–96. [PubMed: 23685940]
36. Sulkowski M, Pol S, Mallolas J, et al. P05411 Study Investigators. Boceprevir versus placebo with pegylated interferon alfa-2b and ribavirin for treatment of hepatitis C virus genotype 1 in patients with HIV: a randomised, double-blind, controlled phase 2 trial. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2013; 13(7): 597–605. [PubMed: 23768747]
37. Aspinall EJ, Corson S, Doyle JS, et al. Treatment of hepatitis C virus infection among people who are actively injecting drugs: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2013; 57(suppl 2):S80–S89. [PubMed: 23884071]
38. Robaey G, Grebely J, Mauss S, et al. International Network on Hepatitis in Substance Users. Recommendations for the management of hepatitis C virus infection among people who inject drugs. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2013; 57(suppl 2):S129–S137. [PubMed: 23884061]
39. Taylor LE, Swan T, Matthews GV. Management of hepatitis C virus/HIV coinfection among people who use drugs in the era of direct-acting antiviral-based therapy. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2013; 57 (suppl 2):S118–S124. [PubMed: 23884059]
40. Roux P, Carrieri MP, Villes V, et al. MANIF2000 Cohort Study Group. The impact of methadone or buprenorphine treatment and ongoing injection on highly active anti-retroviral therapy (HAART) adherence: evidence from the MANIF2000 cohort study. *Addiction*. 2008; 103(11): 1828–1836. [PubMed: 18778390]
41. Roux P, Carrieri MP, Cohen J, et al. Retention to opioid substitution treatment: a major predictor of long-term virological success for HIV-infected injection drug users receiving antiretroviral treatment. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2009; 49(9):1433–1440. [PubMed: 19807275]
42. Bruce RD, Kresnia TF, McCance-Katz EF. Medication-assisted treatment and HIV/AIDS: aspects in treating HIV-infected drug users. *AIDS*. 2010; 24(3):331–440. [PubMed: 19910788]

**Table**

## Key aspects of an integrated HIV, HCV, and substance abuse treatment program

HIV testing in nontraditional venues (eg, needle exchange programs, pharmacies, mobile testing sites, and criminal justice settings)
Repeat testing for active substance users
Coordinated, proactive linkage to multidisciplinary care centers
Early HAART initiation rather than treatment delay for fear of nonadherence
HIV/HCV coinfection treatment with new agents
Integrated pharmacologic and psychiatric treatment for comorbid substance use
Use of toxicology tests on a regular basis to monitor and help guide substance abuse referrals and treatment

Abbreviations: HAART, highly active antiretroviral therapy; HCV, hepatitis C virus.