


## Correction

# Correction: Clinical Practice Guidelines and Consensus Statements in Oncology – An Assessment of Their Methodological Quality

The *PLOS ONE* Staff

Figures 1 and 2 are incorrect. Please view the corrected Figures 1 and 2 here.




**Figure 1. Range and 95% confidence intervals for Rigour of development scores.** CO = Current Oncology. EJC = European Journal of Cancer. CS = Consensus statements. JCO = Journal of Clinical Oncology. CPG = Clinical practice guidelines.  
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0110469.g001

**Citation:** The *PLOS ONE* Staff (2014) Correction: Clinical Practice Guidelines and Consensus Statements in Oncology – An Assessment of Their Methodological Quality. *PLoS ONE* 9(12): e116267. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0116267

**Published:** December 17, 2014

**Copyright:** © 2014 The *PLOS ONE* Staff. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

## Domain 6 - Editorial Independence



**Figure 2. Range and 95% confidence intervals for Editorial independence scores.** CO = Current Oncology. EJC = European Journal of Cancer. CS = Consensus statements. JCO = Journal of Clinical Oncology. CPG = Clinical practice guidelines. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0110469.g002

### Reference

1. Jacobs C, Graham ID, Makarski J, Chassé M, Fergusson D, et al. (2014) Clinical Practice Guidelines and Consensus Statements in Oncology – An Assessment of Their Methodological Quality. PLoS ONE 9(10): e110469. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0110469