Linkage mapping in the oilseed crop *Jatropha curcas* L. reveals a locus controlling the biosynthesis of phorbol esters which cause seed toxicity

Andrew J. King¹, Luis R. Montes^{2,5}, Jasper G. Clarke¹, Julie Affleck¹, Yi Li¹, Hanneke Witsenboer³, Edwin van der Vossen³, Piet van der Linde⁴, Yogendra Tripathi⁴, Evanilda Tavares^{4,†}, Parul Shukla⁴, Thirunavukkarasu Rajasekaran⁴, Eibertus N. van Loo⁵ and Ian A. Graham^{1,*}

¹Centre for Novel Agricultural Products, Department of Biology, University of York, York, UK

²Biocombustibles de Guatemala, Guatemala Ciudad, Guatemala

³Keygene N.V., Wageningen, The Netherlands

⁴Quinvita Group, Ghent, Belgium

⁵Plant Breeding Wageningen UR, Wageningen, The Netherlands

Received 14 March 2013: Summary revised 15 May 2013; Current efforts to grow the tropical oilseed crop Jatropha curcas L. economically are hampered accepted 18 May 2013 by the lack of cultivars and the presence of toxic phorbol esters (PE) within the seeds of most *Correspondence (Tel +44 (0)1904 328750/ provenances. These PE restrict the conversion of seed cake into animal feed, although naturally fax +44 (0)1904 328762; occurring 'nontoxic' provenances exist which produce seed lacking PE. As an important step email ian.graham@york.ac.uk) towards the development of genetically improved varieties of J. curcas, we constructed a linkage [†]Deceased. map from four F₂ mapping populations. The consensus linkage map contains 502 codominant markers, distributed over 11 linkage groups, with a mean marker density of 1.8 cM per unique locus. Analysis of the inheritance of PE biosynthesis indicated that this is a maternally controlled dominant monogenic trait. This maternal control is due to biosynthesis of the PE occurring only within maternal tissues. The trait segregated 3 : 1 within seeds collected from F₂ plants, and QTL analysis revealed that a locus on linkage group 8 was responsible for phorbol ester biosynthesis. By taking advantage of the draft genome assemblies of J. curcas and Ricinus communis (castor), a comparative mapping approach was used to develop additional markers to fine map this mutation within 2.3 cM. The linkage map provides a framework for the dissection of agronomic Keywords: Jatropha curcas, linkage traits in J. curcas, and the development of improved varieties by marker-assisted breeding. The mapping, oilseed, phorbol esters, plant identification of the locus responsible for PE biosynthesis means that it is now possible to rapidly breeding. breed new nontoxic varieties.

Introduction

Jatropha curcas is being developed as a perennial oilseed crop for cultivation in tropical and subtropical climates (King *et al.*, 2009). As a member of the Euphorbiaceae (spurge family), it is also related to a number of other agronomically important crops including cassava (*Manihot esculenta*), rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*), castor (*Ricinus communis*) and a number of minor oilseed crops including tung (*Aleurites fordii*) and Chinese tallow (*Triadica sebifera*). Although there is a history of small-scale cultivation of *J. curcas*, particularly on the Cape Verde islands (da Silveira, 1934), economical cultivation of *J. curcas* has currently not reached its full potential due to a number of factors, including lack of knowledge of best agronomic practices and the lack of available purpose-bred cultivars. This has resulted in unpredictable seed yields, ranging from 0.3 to 3.9 tonnes per hectare (Kalannavar, 2008; Mohapatra and Panda, 2011; Yang *et al.*, 2010).

Another current disadvantage of using *J. curcas* as an oilseed crop is that most provenances produce toxic seed. The exception is the 'nontoxic' provenances which occur naturally. Within Mexico, seeds from these nontoxic provenances are consumed by the local population (after roasting) (King *et al.*, 2009). Metabolite analysis has revealed that the only major difference

between the toxic and nontoxic seeds of J. curcas is the presence of phorbol esters (PE) within the seeds (He et al., 2011; Makkar et al., 1998). These PE are not fully degraded by the heat processing steps normally used in the conversion of raw seed meal to animal feed (Aregheore et al., 2003; Makkar et al., 1998). The production of animal feed from J. curcas seed therefore requires an additional solvent extraction step to remove the PE (Brooker, 2009). Concerns have also been raised about handling J. curcas products containing PE, as these compounds have also been shown to be cocarcinogens (Hirota et al., 1988)although not carcinogenic on their own, PE can promote tumour formation caused by exposure to some chemical carcinogens (Goel et al., 2007). The commercialization of seeds lacking PE is therefore desirable, as the seed meal could more readily be converted to animal feed using traditional processes, and any potential risks from handling PE-containing products are removed.

Compared with more established oilseed crops which have seen significant increases in seed yield through breeding and agronomy (Vollmann and Rajcan, 2010), research and development of *J. curcas* is still at a very early stage. However, our knowledge of the plant has improved vastly in recent years. The genetic diversity of *J. curcas* is now better understood; a number of studies have revealed that meso-America is the centre of genetic diversity for the species, and there is very little genetic variation in material cultivated outside of this region (Basha et al., 2009; He et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2008; Yi et al., 2010). These studies on genetic diversity have provided useful guidance into sources of material for breeding programmes. Perhaps the most significant advance is in the amount of DNA sequence information which has become available. As well as a published draft genome sequence (Hirakawa et al., 2012; Sato et al., 2011), a number of transcriptomic studies have also been conducted (Costa et al., 2010; King et al., 2011; Natajaran and Parani, 2011). Despite these advances in our understanding of the molecular biology and genetic diversity of J. curcas, molecular breeding for rapid improvement of desirable traits such as seed oil yield and nontoxic seed has been severely hampered by the lack of a genetic linkage map. At present, the only map available for Jatropha sp. is from an interspecific cross between J. curcas and J. integerrima (Wang et al., 2011). Here, we report a high-density genetic linkage map of J. curcas, created from four separate mapping populations, and containing over 500 codominant (SSR and SNP) markers distributed over 11 linkage groups. As a first step in demonstrating the utility of this map, we have identified a locus responsible for the synthesis of the toxic phorbol esters. This work lays the foundation for more rapid crop improvement by marker-assisted breeding and the creation of new nontoxic varieties of J. curcas.

Results and discussion

Development of codominant markers and the construction of an integrated linkage map from four F_2 mapping populations

For the construction of linkage maps, codominant markers such as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) and simple sequence repeats (SSR) are the most useful as they provide information on both alleles present in a diploid species such as *J. curcas*. At the commencement of this study, very few codominant markers were available for *J. curcas* and these were mainly in the form of SSR (Basha *et al.*, 2009; Phumichai *et al.*, 2011). To provide sufficient markers for the construction of a linkage map, additional SNP markers were obtained from genomic DNA using the CROPS[®] technique (File S1). This is a complexity reduction technique that is used for obtaining reduced representation genomic DNA libraries and is an adaptation of the AFLP technique (van Orsouw *et al.*,

2007). SNP markers were also mined from seed transcriptome sequence produced from both toxic (King et al., 2011) and nontoxic [A.J. King and I.A. Graham, unpublished data] developing seeds (File S2). SSR markers were developed using the FIASCO protocol (Zane et al., 2002), or by mining J. curcas genome sequence data (Hirakawa et al., 2012; Sato et al., 2011) using either WebSat (Martins et al., 2009) or Imperfect SSR Finder (Stieneke and Eujayl, 2007). The SSR sequences and the primers used are detailed in File S3. In order to allow the numbering of chromosomes to remain consistent with the previously published map of the J. curcas \times J. integerrima interspecific cross (Wang et al., 2011), we included a number of markers which could be used as bridges between these maps (File S4). This was achieved by using markers which had previously been mapped on the interspecific cross, or physical mapping of markers from both maps onto scaffolds of the J. curcas genome sequence (Hirakawa et al., 2012; Sato et al., 2011).

To build a linkage map of J. curcas, we used four mapping populations created from parental lines displaying differences in a range of traits as shown in Table 1. Genotyping assays were performed using both SNP and SSR markers according to the Experimental procedures section. The linkage maps for each mapping population were built individually using CRI-MAP 2.503 (www.animalgenome.org), which uses the multipoint likelihood to calculate genetic distances (Lander et al., 1987). Each map contained 11 linkage groups, which is consistent with cytological evidence showing J. curcas is diploid with 22 chromosomes (n = 11) (Dehgan and Webster, 1979). After completion and error checking of the individual maps, the genotype files were merged and then used to build an integrated linkage map (Figures 1 and 2). The total genetic distance of this integrated map was 717.0 cM, with an average marker density of 1.5 and 1.8 cM for all and unique loci, respectively. There are relatively few gaps within the integrated map, with only two pairs of loci separated by more than 15 cM, seven pairs of loci by more than 10 cM, and 30 pairs of loci by more than 5 cM. A summary of the map size, number of markers, unique loci and average marker density for each of the individual maps is shown in Table 2. The individual maps are presented in File S5. Although marker densities and numbers were lower for the individual maps, the average density and length were in each case still high compared to first generation maps for most species. The least populated map (G51 \times CV) still contained 253 markers and had a mean density of 3.3 cM based on unique loci. The marker coverage on

Mapping population pare	ents and origin		
Maternal parent \cap	Pollen parent 👌	Size	Primary traits
G33 (Guatemala)	G43 (Guatemala)	320	Seed toxicity G33 = toxic, G43 = nontoxic
G51 (Guatemala)	CV (Cape Verde)	214	Branching G51 = open branching, CV = closed branching Oil content G51 = 36.9% oil, CV = 26.0% oil
QV-JAT03 (Cape Verde)	QV-JAT02 (Mexico)	220	Oil content QV-JAT03 = 34.7% oil, QV-JAT02 = 36.5% oil
QV-JAT02 (Mexico)	QV-JAT01 (India)	220	Fatty acid composition QV-JAT02 = 34.4% oleic acid, QV-JAT01 = 42.1% oleic acid

Table 1 F_2 mapping populations used in this study

Linkage group 1	Linkage group 2	Linkaç	je group 3	Linkage group 4	Link	age group 5	Linka	ge group 6
		0.0 2.4 7 7 7	1412268 12285283 1403801 12354320 1407310 12325670 1408895 12330642		0.0 2.4 6.9	G81 - SSR69 - 1411751 12281062 - G7	2.17	SNP12983 SNP13864
0.0 AG638	0.0 / 1403756 12343728 3.2 \ / SNP4293	6.5 7.1	1401225 12362534 1405383 12349423 SNP14400 AG948	0.0 0.2 1414845[12303360 0.2 1400392[12287071 1406671[12326248	9.2	- 1402962/12349/69 - 1409778/12321756 - 1413825/12301909 - AG435	6.1 9.4 10.3	r G41 1406628 12346310 8 SNP23789 8 1399898 12301056
0.1 6.1 7.9 1 7 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	7.2 100860 12363196 8.5 10012305059 8.8 10012305059	7.5 8.3	1400200 12292933 1403430 12359372 387	0.3 1401/801122/6122	15.5 - 19.9 - 20.3 -	- SNP12028 - G52 - 1404287 12324797	15.8 19.1 21.7	r G63 1416503 12284061 1402042 12351521
9.5 1 140653412318635 11.7 1 SNP14944 12.6 1 1 139842012336456	9.6 1404346 12357882 10.1 1409855 12325208 10.3 1417235 12279465	8.7	1404357 12352815 1403531 12359155 1410519 12295018	0.7 141435161230333	22.01 22.01 22.01	- 1396999 12321939 - JCT7 - 1399888 12301012	24.5	1407257 12341294 1406094 12327974 SNP14574
13.5 1 1415612 12289973 16.5 1 1410075 12315915 16105	17.5 SNP10074	8.9	G92 4G17 1/18135112260008	2.2 / 141/2/41/2/41/22/4/45 2.7 / 1408943 12267576 2.7 / 1410507 12295500	23.2	□ 13986/9 12329288 1 1406853 12345796 1 6104	24.9	1413306 12275963 1398789 12325887 1414728 12295900
17.0 11403340112359319 17.1 1403297112346203 17.2 140728117321782	25.1 V SNP14419 27.0 V SNP2145	9.8	1409444 12329484 1409852 12325206	3.5 / (11399245112316251 3.9 / (11408147112335690 3.9 / (1140880312338145	24.5	F 1410174 12318426 SNP14731 1414376 12292845	25.0	141087912290356 141087912290356
17.8 624 19.5 616	35.5 WF SNP1175	11.0	1399100 12322012 AG940 393	8.3 M 637 10.0 M 1411735 12281052	25.5	1415033 12293274 1405324 12333201	28.9	1 14017 10 122707 1 1403070 12347851 1399793 12313280
21.9 JCuint349 25.2 J 1412160 12306486	40.1 1 G69 40.2 1 SNP8125 41.1 1 14 1405755112328554	14.0	SNP18956 1411956 12287167 1406203 12280493	11.2 / G108 12.0 / H116680 12276533	26.3	1416950112276217	32.7	/ G185 / 1401416 12359883 \ 1404193 12358065
31.5 AG629	41.6 1 400348 12287974 42.3 GG G9		1405724 12340301 1415931 12287592	21.6 14401379 12362464 21.6 14407681 12314512	26.5	H 1403834 12326123 SNP12318 G62	33.5	1407917 12342859 SNP6044
37.0 SNP12276	42.7 1406/62112348217 42.9 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42	15.9	EST09 1416878 12275385 2MD10021	21.8 1 1410311 12297713	26.6	1401127 12297649	35.1 35.1	SNP15286
37.3 G50	43.8 140573412335465 SNP993	17.4	1404589 12350440 1401513 12347017	25.1 / 1404608 12343534	27.0	1399756 12302951 1417575 12272422	38.8	1402627 12292363 1402627 12292363
40.6	44.1 AG220 AG516	20.2	Jcuint25 395	34.6 / G116	27.5	1410258 12313412 1402899 12343285	40.4	IEST07 1403682 12266949
40.7 // SNP9980 SNP21849	44.4 // JCT17 45.0 // JCT13	22.3 -	1403872 12354357 389	36.0 / G46	29.1	1406341112349947 1401093112362750 141333811225995	40.7 43.0	1405620 12330593 AG529
41.4 141401080 12280697	50.9 / JCT92	24.7	AG503 EST19 140411112251965	39.1 1 1399779 12313258	30.5	EST05	43.2	1408757 12331269 SNP1785 130073811230382
44.4 1 1403306 12290609		26.8 - H	1404111112331903 SNP315 340	40.3 HE JCT59	30.5	G 1408945 12330704	43.6	6107
46.1 / 1400686 12361690	11399178112321534	29.8	Jcuint38 SNP18265	42.0 - AG143 48.1 - 1406120 12346418	44.4	General 1409436 12311622	48.8	1405895 12347928 1406827 12348279
50.9 ⁻¹ 1408162 12317240	67.3 1414158 12301961	36.0 - 1 - 0 36.5 - 1	G90 1410420 12311163	50.7 / U SNP9376 52.3 / U 1409484 12332255 54.3 / 05234	49.2	1411325112283460 1405640 12282064 1407850 12321642	49.7	1405122 12351090 SSR613 1400008 123055502
		39.1 - C	Jatr358 1397861 12355392 1409181112312766	54.5 // G183 55.5 // 1406452 12345411	51.5	U 1407809 12343704 1412855 12275696	57.2 59.9	- 1400096 12295594 1 G76 1 1401113 12362775
		39.9 - 1 47.5 -	SNP23226 1406975 12333341	61.1 SNP17812 62.7 1407225 12343970	53.5	1415795 12288678 1415795 12288678 1407116 12246515	60.2	LG6 SNP3270
		51.9 - 52.4 - 6	G21 351 14093312322640		54.4 - 55.6 -	- 140276312350195 - 140276312350195 - 1413246[12304737	61.0 ¹ 69.5 ¹	1408192 12335710 1408142 12341130 1.Jeuint215
		53.8	1411565 12289405 SNP2652		55.7 - 55.9 -	- 1403512 12267345 - 1416248 12283374	82.1 ^J	¹ AG176
		59.6 - 61.2 -	1408815 12268198 AG937		57.5 - 59.1 -	- AG220 - G192 - C132		
		69.2 ^J	EST14		59.8 64.4	Dcuint21 AG183		
						····		

Linkage group 11	0.0 1.402580 12360363 3.0 6.4 1.406898 12347553 6.4 1.406838 1234756] 6.4 1.406155 12383501 9.5 1.406155 12383501 9.5 1.407580 12319740 1.41756 5 12278287 1.407580 12319740 1.41756 5 12278287 1.407890 12334674 1.4078920 12349730 1.4078920 12349730 1.4078920 12349730 1.4078920 12349730 1.4078920 12349730 1.4078920 12349730 2.4.3 1.4078920 12349730 2.4.3 1.4078920 12349730 2.4.3 1.400820 1234949 2.4.3 1.400830 12233768 2.4.4 1.400280 12233768 2.5.3 1.4008291 22319549 2.6.3 1.4008421 2232575 2.6.3 1.4008291 22319549 2.8.8 1.4006421 23319549 2.8.8 1.4006421 23316502 1.4006421 23319549 2.8.8 1.4006421 23319549 2.8.8 1.4006421 23319549 2.8.8 1.4006421 23319549 2.8.8 1.4006421 23319549 2.8.8 1.4006421 23313305 2.8.8 1.4006421 23313305 2.8.8 1.4006421 23313305 2.8.8 1.4006421 23313305 2.8.8 1.4006451 23313305 2.8.8 1.400651 12361653 2.8.8 1.400651 12361653 2.8.8 2.8.
Linkage group 10	0.0 3.6 5.0 6.1 5.0 6.1 5.0 6.1 5.0 6.1 5.0 6.1 5.0 6.1 1413255712306544 1413255712306544 1413255712306544 1410341512338025 14034511234254 140034112350001 255.5 1400341123550001 255.5 40.4 1400316112365870 45.5 40.4 1400316112365870 45.5 45.5 45.5 46.6 1400316112256870 47.6 1400316112256870 47.6 1400316112256870 47.6 1400316112256870 47.6 1400316112256870 47.6 1400316112256870 47.6 1400316112256870 47.6 1400316112256870 47.6 1400316112256867 51.4 14003011312266867 53.5 140001312266867 53.5 140001312266867 53.5 140001312266867 140020811231653 140001312266867 53.5 1400714112337831 140073911226867 53.5 140071412369912337831 140071412337831 14007131612268657 53.5 140071412337831 14007714112337831 14007714112337831 1400771412337831 14007714112337831 1407714112337831 14007714112337831 14007714112337831 14007714112337831 1400771412337831 14007714112337831 14007714112337831 14007714112337831 14007714112337831 1400771412337831 14007714112337831 1400771412337831 1400771412337831 1400771412337831 140077141123478 14007714112337831 1400771411233788 1400771411233788 140077141123478 140077141123478 140077141123478 1400771411233788 140077141123478 1400771411233788 1400771411233788 140077747478 14007774477478 140077747778 140077777777777777
Linkage group 9	0.0 1417666 12270254 2.5 SNP4504 6.0 1407197 12345817 6.0 14077978]1232675 14.4 1400798 123283182 14.4 1400966 12322675 14.4 1400966 12322675 14.4 1400966 12325675 14.4 1400966 123257491 20.9 1400971 1234912 20.9 1400477 1234912 20.9 1400477 1234912 20.2 6122 locus2 51.5 71400878 12317739 51.5 71400878 12317739 51.5 71400878 12317739 51.5 71400878 12317739 51.5 71400878 12317739 51.5 71400878 12317739 50.2 6122 locus2 51.5 71400878 12317739 50.2 6122 locus2 51.5 71400878 12317739 50.2 6122 locus2 51.5 71400878 12351781 50.2 6122 locus2 51.5 71400878 12351781 50.8 51.8 51.8 51.8 51.8 51.8 51.8 51.8 51
Linkage group 8	0.0 1408735/12311855 1.4 1415571 1.4 14085501231774 1.4 1415575112290714 1.5 140005312325566 2.1 1400054112298116 3.7 1401575912361714 3.7 140155712290711 3.7 14015675112290711 3.7 14015675112290711 3.7 14015675112290711 3.7 14015675112290711 1.417726112277188 1401553112290711 1.411756112277188 140105711229209 1.1417756112277188 1400064112290748 1.1410363112312251 14000783112312251 1.142 1410055711227491 1.14257112362008 14004358112322409 1.14257112362008 14004358112322209 1.14257112362018 140043581123252008 3.7.4 14004358112325208 3.7.4 14004358112325208 3.7.4 14004358112332507 3.7.4 1400435112286473 3.7.4 1400435112286473 3.7.4 140043581123232608
Linkage group 7	0.0 1409595 12324146 7.5 1409555 1232930 8.8 AG643 17.3 SNP12027 20.3 SNP12027 20.3 SNP12027 20.3 SNP12027 20.3 SNP12027 20.3 SNP1854 20.3 SNP1854 20.4 I4009552112337291 20.5 I400951212337291 20.5 I40094011223506 30.4 I40094011223506 30.3 I40094011223506 30.4 I400940112237266 30.5 I400940112237266 30.6 I400940112237266 30.7 I400940112237266 30.8 I400940112237266 30.7 I40094011226776 31.4 AG616 32.5 I40094011226776 31.4 I401942011226776 32.5 I40094011226776 31.4 I4019331228720 32.6 I4019331228720 33.7 I4019331228720 34.0 I4019331228720 35.6 I4019191226776



all four maps is sufficient for interval mapping, where an interval of 10 cM is regarded as adequate (Mayer, 2005).

To physically map each of the molecular markers used in this study, a blastn search was conducted against build 4.5 of the J. curcas draft genome sequence (www.kazusa.or.jp/jatropha) (Hirakawa et al., 2012). All except one of the markers used produced at least one hit against this database (File S6). Most of the markers aligned only with a single scaffold, and in some cases, multiple markers were located on the same scaffold. Using this approach, it was possible to determine the location of 3077 of the 39 277 predicted gene elements predicted within this genome assembly, and 17 Mbp of the 297 Mbp of sequence (Hirakawa et al., 2012). Comparative mapping of each of the J. curcas scaffolds against the R. communis draft genome was also conducted using the promer command of the MUMmer software (Chan et al., 2010; Kurtz et al., 2004). For the current assembly of the J. curcas genome, the mean and N50 scaffold lengths are 7.6 and 16.0 kbp, respectively (Hirakawa et al., 2012). The R. communis genome sequence currently has mean and N50 scaffold lengths of 14.0 and 496.5 kbp, respectively (Chan et al., 2010), with the mean increasing to 93.0 kbp when scaffolds less than 2 kbp are excluded. The R. communis genome therefore currently comprises fewer and larger scaffolds than the J. curcas genome. Our analysis indicated a number of large syntenic blocks on each of the chromosomes (File S6). This synteny mapping approach proved useful for fine mapping of locus controlling seed toxicity (see below). Further improvement in the scaffold lengths of the either the *J. curcas* or *R. communis* genome, or an increase in marker density of the *J. curcas* linage map would allow a more in-depth analysis of synteny between these genomes.

Previously, the only linkage map available for J. curcas was a map produced for an interspecific cross between J. curcas and J. integerrima (Wang et al., 2011). Although interspecific maps are useful tools for the location of specific genes, or the identification of beneficial traits which may be introgressed from outside the species, their potential for identifying useful traits within J. curcas germplasm is limited, as no assessment of the potential of alleles within the species can be made using these maps. This first intraspecific J. curcas map therefore represents a significant advance for this crop and will be a useful tool for marker-assisted breeding. The maps for the individual crosses have good coverage, although some arms are not mapped in two of the populations (File S5). In some instances, it will be possible to close these gaps by developing additional markers at specific locations on the map by searching for additional polymorphisms in flanking regions of the J. curcas genome. We have demonstrated this approach on linkage group 8 for mapping population

Table 2 Summary of linkage group size marker number and density for the integrated map and four F₂ populations

	Linkage	group										
Мар	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	Total
Integrated map												
Markers	38	34	58	45	60	52	37	62	21	36	59	502
Unique loci	29	29	47	32	50	40	32	46	20	29	44	399
Distance, cM	50.9	67.3	69.2	62.7	64.4	82.1	76.8	67.1	66.3	53.6	54.9	717.0
Mean density (all), cM	1.4	2.0	1.2	1.4	1.1	1.6	2.1	1.1	3.3	1.5	1.0	1.5
Mean density (unique), cM	1.8	2.4	1.5	2.0	1.3	2.1	2.5	1.5	3.5	1.8	1.2	1.8
QV-JAT03 \times QV-JAT02												
Markers	27	15	48	33	41	35	32	51	15	34	51	382
Unique loci	21	13	33	24	32	29	26	38	13	23	30	283
Distance, cM	44.2	53.9	60.1	61.2	54.1	65.2	76.6	63.9	67.5	51.2	52.4	650.3
Mean density (all), cM	1.7	3.9	1.3	1.9	1.4	1.9	2.5	1.3	4.8	1.6	1.0	1.8
Mean density (unique), cM	2.2	4.5	1.9	2.7	1.7	2.3	3.1	1.7	5.6	2.3	1.8	2.4
QV-JAT02 × QV-JAT01												
Markers	28	14	50	30	42	37	32	50	14	34	49	380
Unique loci	22	13	38	20	30	29	27	37	13	24	30	283
Distance, cM	52.9	54.2	56.2	56.9	53.0	65.1	74.5	64.3	50.6	46.1	57.3	631.1
Mean density (all), cM	2.0	4.2	1.1	2.0	1.3	1.8	2.4	1.3	3.9	1.4	1.2	1.7
Mean density (unique), cM	2.5	4.5	1.5	3.0	1.8	2.3	2.9	1.8	42	2.0	2.0	2.3
G33 × G43												
Markers	26	23	11	26	30	35	19	27	18	18	28	261
Unique loci	18	20	9	20	25	26	15	17	17	16	23	206
Distance, cM	48.7	72.5	36.9	67.0	59.4	77.2	52.4	65.4	52.2	60.5	52.2	644.2
Mean density (all), cM	1.9	3.3	3.7	2.7	2.0	2.3	2.9	2.5	3.1	3.6	1.9	2.6
Mean density (unique), cM	2.9	3.8	4.6	3.5	2.5	3.1	3.7	4.1	3.3	4.0	2.4	3.3
G51 \times CV												
Markers	23	7	31	35	35	23	18	34	5	21	21	253
Unique loci	17	6	25	22	25	16	12	28	5	10	15	181
Distance, cM	48.9	5.4	58.5	57.5	50.9	57.1	74.6	67.9	59.6	34.9	45.9	561.2
Mean density (all), cM	2.2	0.9	2.0	1.7	1.5	2.6	4.4	2.1	14.9	1.7	2.3	2.3
Mean density (unique), cM	3.1	1.1	2.4	2.7	2.1	3.8	6.8	2.5	14.9	3.9	3.3	3.3

© 2013 The Authors. Plant Biotechnology Journal published by Society for Experimental Biology, Association of Applied Biologists and John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Plant Biotechnology Journal, 11, 986–996

G33 \times G43 (see below). In some cases, the gaps on maps are likely to be due to identity by descent. For example, in an attempt to map the upper arm of linkage group 3 in the G33 \times G43 mapping population (File S5), we screened an additional 48 markers using this approach. None were polymorphic in this population (data not shown).

The total map length of 717.0 cM is much smaller than the previously published interspecific map distance of 1440.9 cM. Based on the high number of markers we have placed on the consensus map, it is unlikely that the difference in map length is caused by a lack of markers at the ends of the linkage groups. The map distances, distances between loci and order of loci were also very consistent between each of the four mapping populations used in this study. It is therefore likely that the map distance observed in this study can be mainly attributed to differences in the error rates of the genotyping data in the two studies. Uncorrected genotyping errors can drastically increase the calculated map lengths (Hackett and Broadfoot, 2003).

Cytological studies of the *J. curcas* genome have revealed that the estimated genome size for this species is 416 Mbp (Carvalho *et al.*, 2008), which is consistent with the size of the assembled genome data, which is currently 297 Mbp (Hirakawa *et al.*, 2012). Based on these studies, 1 cM of this *J. curcas* map should correspond to a mean physical distance of around 0.5 Mbp.

This is the first intraspecific genetic linkage map that has been published for *J. curcas*, a plant which, although showing much promise, requires significant improvement before sustainable economic cultivation can become a reality. For a first generation map, the density is more than sufficient for trait analysis by interval mapping. This map therefore provides a valuable resource for the development of better varieties for the economic production of renewable oil.

Phorbol ester biosynthesis in the seeds of *J. curcas* is a maternally controlled trait encoded by the nuclear genome

As a prerequisite to mapping the locus (or loci) responsible for seed toxicity, the inheritance of the PE biosynthesis trait was studied. Our previous investigation into the distribution of PE within the seeds of *J. curcas* revealed that although most of the PE was present within the endosperm of mature seeds, the highest concentration of these diterpenoids was present within the maternally derived inner layer of the seed coat referred to as the tegmen. The distribution of phorbol esters within the endosperm is also not uniform; much higher concentrations were observed in the outer (seed coat facing) endosperm layers than the inner (embryo facing) layers (He *et al.*, 2011). Sujatha

et al., 2005 have demonstrated previously that nontoxic plants pollinated by toxic plants bear nontoxic seed, and *vice versa*. Together, these observations suggest that the PE biosynthesis may only occur within the tegmen. This maternal tissue is crushed during the final stages of seed development, and the PE may then diffuse into the endosperm due to their hydrophobicity.

The phorbol ester content of seed produced from reciprocal crosses between toxic and nontoxic varieties of J. curcas was analysed (Table 3). Genotyping of the endosperm from F_1 to F_2 seeds was also conducted using a subset of SSR markers to confirm that crosses were genuine (data not shown). PE^{-ve} maternal plants cross-pollinated with pollen from PE^{+ve} plants produced only seeds lacking PE, whereas PE^{+ve} maternal plants cross-pollinated with the pollen from PE^{-ve} produced seeds contain PE. Self-pollination of F₁ plants derived from both $\mathsf{PE}^{-\mathsf{ve}} \subsetneq \times \mathsf{PE}^{+\mathsf{ve}} \circlearrowleft$ and $\mathsf{PE}^{+\mathsf{ve}} \subsetneq \times \mathsf{PE}^{-\mathsf{ve}} \circlearrowleft$ crosses resulted in F_2 seed all of which contained PE. Open pollinated seeds were collected from F₂ plants. Thirty one of 120 plants analysed produced seeds lacking phorbol esters (File S7). The trait did not segregate within seeds collected from an individual plant. These observations are consistent with a genetic locus causing seeds to lack phorbol esters being encoded on the nuclear genome, and the phorbol esters being synthesized only within maternal tissues. The 3:1 segregation also confirms that PE biosynthesis is a dominant monogenic trait.

The maternal control of phorbol ester biosynthesis has some important implications for cultivation of this crop. These data on the inheritance of phorbol ester biosynthesis indicate that as the embryo/endosperm genome does not control PE biosynthesis, there is no risk of maternal nontoxic plants bearing seed containing phorbol esters due to cross-pollination by a toxic plant. This observation means that guaranteeing production of nontoxic seeds should be feasible even in locations where genotypes producing toxic seed are growing.

Identification of a locus controlling phorbol ester biosynthesis on linkage group 8

To conduct linkage analysis for loci controlling phorbol ester biosynthesis, the data from the 120 F_2 plants in mapping population G33 × G43 were analysed. Although the presence of phorbol esters is a qualitative trait, the data were scored quantitatively as the actual concentration of PE present may be influenced by whether the plant is heterozygous at the PE locus. The QTL analysis was performed using GridQTL and resulted in the identification of a single locus at 41 cM on linkage group 8 of the G33 × G43 linkage map (Figure 3a). The 95% confidence intervals for the QTL position were 31–49 cM (18 cM length). On

Pollen parent 🖒	Seeds	Phorbol ester content of seed
$\begin{array}{l} PE^{+ve} \\ PE^{-ve} \\ PE^{-ve} \\ PE^{+ve} \\ PE^{+ve} & \varphi \neq X \ PE^{-ve} \overset{\sim}{\supset} F_1 \\ PE^{-ve} & \varphi \neq X \ PE^{+ve} \overset{\sim}{\supset} F_1 \\ Open pollinated \end{array}$	Self Self F ₁ F ₂ F ₂	Present Absent Present $(n = 6)$ Absent $(n = 12)$ Present $(n = 8)$ Present $(n = 8)$ Individual plants exclusively bear seeds that either do or do not contain PE. PE were absent in seeds from 31/120
	Pollen parent $\[endowed]{}^{Pollen}$ PE ^{+ve} PE ^{-ve} PE ^{+ve} PE ^{+ve} $\[endowed]{}^{x}$ x PE ^{-ve} $\[endowed]{}^{x}$ F ₁ PE ^{-ve} $\[endowed]{}^{x}$ x PE ^{+ve} $\[endowed]{}^{x}$ F ₁ Open pollinated	Pollen parent 3 Seeds PE^{+ve} Self PE^{-ve} Self PE^{-ve} F1 $PE^{+ve} \Leftrightarrow x PE^{-ve} a$ F1 $PE^{+ve} \Leftrightarrow x PE^{-ve} a$ F1 $PE^{-ve} \Leftrightarrow x PE^{+ve} a$ F1 $PE^{-ve} \Leftrightarrow x PE^{+ve} a$ F1 $PE^{-ve} \Leftrightarrow x PE^{-ve} a$ F1 $PE^{-ve} \Leftrightarrow x PE^{-ve} a$ F1 $PE^{-ve} \Leftrightarrow x PE^{-ve} a$ F1 $PE^{-ve} \Rightarrow x PE^{-ve} $

© 2013 The Authors. Plant Biotechnology Journal published by Society for Experimental Biology, Association of Applied Biologists and John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Plant Biotechnology Journal, 11, 986–996

the map for this population, the two flanking markers were positioned at 34.8 cM (JCT3) and 47 cM (1401433|12335072), respectively, which is a gap of 12.2 cM (File S5). Further analysis of the data confirmed that all F_2 individuals which were homozygous for the G43 (nontoxic) alleles at both these positions yielded seeds which did not contain PE (Figure 3b). This confirms that the mutation responsible for the lack of PE within nontoxic seeds must reside between these two markers. The mean values for the PE content of the F_2 plants which were heterozygous at these two alleles were also significantly less than the plants which were homozygous for the G33 (toxic) alleles (P < 0.01).

The identification of a locus controlling phorbol ester biosynthesis also permits the 'nontoxic' seed trait, which occurs naturally within only a limited set of germplasm, to be introgressed into other genetic backgrounds. The ability to improve the economics of *J. curcas* cultivation by allowing the meal to be converted into animal feed is also a significant advance in *J. curcas* breeding.

Finer mapping of the locus affecting phorbol ester biosynthesis by marker transfer and comparative mapping

Although variations in PE content for seeds from plants homozygous and heterozygous for the PE^{+ve} alleles were observed, seed toxicity can still be considered a dominant and qualitative trait as only plants containing two copies of the PE^{+ve} allele produced seed containing no phorbol esters. This permits the fine mapping of this trait without the problems encountered with large confidence intervals for the quantitative polygenic traits (Mangin and Goffinet, 1997). To conduct finer mapping of the locus controlling phorbol ester biosynthesis, two strategies were used. First, blastn searches were performed against the J. curcas genome sequence (Hirakawa et al., 2012; Sato et al., 2011) using the markers which mapped in at least one of the other populations in this study or had mapped in the interspecific cross produced previously (Wang et al., 2011). The scaffolds identified from these blastn searches were then mined in-silico for SSR sequences (Table 4). Those sequences found to be polymorphic were genotyped in mapping population $G33 \times G43$ then added to the linkage map. As a second approach to increasing the map density in the region containing

the locus responsible for PE biosynthesis, a comparative mapping approach was used which exploited the microsynteny (colinearity) between the J. curcas and R. communis genomes. Where synteny exists between chromosomal regions of these two species, it is possible to infer the relative positions of J. curcas genes based on the larger R. communis assemblies. The synteny analysis revealed a number of syntenic blocks on linkage group 8 of J. curcas (Figure 4, Table 4 and File S6). In particular, the R. communis scaffold 30174 mapped to two regions of J. curcas linkage group 8, one of which was located near the locus for PE biosynthesis. A number of additional SSR markers were created from J. curcas scaffolds which were syntenic with this R. communis scaffold (Table 4). The result from the mapping of 16 additional markers in the region containing the locus is shown in Figure 4. Analysis of the seed PE content data with the genotyping data indicated that the genetic locus conferring the absence of PE biosynthesis resides between makers NG285A and G273A. The genetic distance of this region is 2.3 cM. Our ability to map this trait to less than this distance is limited by a breakdown in synteny to the castor genome in this region (Table 4 and File S6) and a lack of further progeny with informative recombination events in this region. Our future work on the mapping of this trait will therefore include the analysis of additional F_2 and F_3 plants to detect additional informative recombination events in this region. A contiguous sequence for this region will also be obtained by BAC sequencing.

The mapping of the mutation responsible for the lack of PE biosynthesis to within a relatively small region of the genome means that it will now be possible to breed new high oil yielding varieties of *J. curcas* which lack phorbol esters. This 2.3 cM region is likely to represent less than 1% of the entire 717.0 cM genome, which means it will be possible to remove large portions of the remaining genome of the nontoxic variety, which may contain many undesirable alleles, by backcrossing.

We have also demonstrated the utility of exploiting the existence of syntenous colinear regions within genomes to develop markers targeted to specific regions of a genome. Although this comparative mapping has been used previously in organisms such as *Brassica* sp. (Lagercrantz *et al.*, 1996) and *Triticum aestivum* (Liu and Anderson, 2003), this approach has



Figure 3 (a) QTL analysis of phorbol ester content based on seeds collected from 120 F_2 plants in mapping population G33 × G43 for linkage group 8. *Left y axis*: The solid black line shows the *F*-stat score obtained using GridQTL. The horizontal lines show the genome-wide significance thresholds at P = 0.05 (lower, dashed) and P = 0.01 (upper, dotted) based on 1000 iterations. *Right axis*: The vertical bars show the QTL distribution calculated using bootstrap analysis (n = 1000). (b) Kernel phorbol ester content of seeds collected from F_2 plants which were homozygous at two markers flanking the seed toxicity locus ('AA', G33 allele), heterozygous ('HH'), or homozygous ('BB', G43). The error bars represent the standard deviations.

© 2013 The Authors. Plant Biotechnology Journal published by Society for Experimental Biology, Association of Applied Biologists and John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Plant Biotechnology Journal, 11, 986–996

		r	1.15.5		c .	C1	
Table 4	Development	of a	additional	markers	tor.	tine	manning
10010 1	Development	0. 1	adantioniai	mancers	101	THIC .	mapping

Desities		Duiders assolved	J. curcas genom	e sequence	R. communis genome sequence			
(cM)	marker	used	Scaffold	Marker position	Scaffold	Syntenic region		
34.8	NG291	N/A	Jcr4S04633	10436-10735	30 174	2 724 054–2 749 754		
34.8	JCT3	N/A	Jcr4S01892	18 299-18 382	30 1 7 4	2 695 280–2 722 645		
35.1	G284	N/A	Jcr4S00160	23 304–23 696	30 1 7 4	2 663 765–2 686 270		
35.4	NG289B	N/A	Jcr4S03474	13 586–13 985	30174	2 642 521–2 666 724		
36.0	NG288C	N/A	Jcr4S00541	36 116-36 276	30174	2 553 645–2 632 854		
36.4	NG287B	N/A	Jcr4S04231	31 626–31 926	30 1 7 4	2 521 238–2 536 532		
36.5	NG286D	N/A	Jcr4S00188	39 908–40 226	30 1 7 4	2 442 869–2 507 050		
36.8	NG286A	N/A	Jcr4S00188	10227-10414	"	"		
37.6	NG285A	N/A	Jcr4S00012	9750-10 085	30 1 7 4	2 278 471-2 410 014		
38.4	G262	SNP10542	Jcr4S05837	4261-4517	29996	51 566-62 602		
38.4	NG331C	N/A	Jcr4S01963	25834-26225	29996	62 985–63 609		
38.8	G270B	1404867 12356283	Jcr4S03364	6780–6962	29747	274 981-367 675		
38.8	G270D	1404867 12356283	Jcr4S03364	17 347-17 502	"	"		
39.1	G282B	SNP5294	Jcr4S01263	23 937-24 259	Lov	v level of synteny		
39.9	G273A	1400435 12286473	Jcr4S08292	1249–1548	Lov	v level of synteny		
40.2	G268	1401665 12362006	Jcr4S01025	42 898–43 155	28266	19 280–78 337		
42.2	G269	eSNP0195	Jcr4S01910	8711-8987	Lov	v level of synteny		
47.0	1401433 12335072	N/A	Jcr4S02123	25922-25718	Lov	v level of synteny		



Figure 4 (a) Identification of syntenic regions on *J. curcas* linkage group 8 by comparison of scaffolds of the *J. curcus* and *R. communis* genome sequence. (b) – finer mapping of the region of linkage group 8 of mapping population G33 × G43 containing the QTL for phorbol ester biosynthesis.

typically relied on the availability of a near-complete genome sequence (i.e. *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Oryza sativa*, respectively). In this study, we compared genomic sequences of *J. curcas* (Hirakawa *et al.*, 2012; Sato *et al.*, 2011) and *R. communis* (Chan *et al.*, 2010), both of which are in a draft stage, containing thousands of scaffolds. Due to the low cost and rapidity of the shotgun sequencing approach, most genome sequences are currently only assembled as 'drafts'. This approach to mapping will therefore have utility in other species.

The four populations in this study were also developed from parents with variations in a number of traits including oil content

and seed size. We will therefore use these mapping populations to dissect these traits once these perennial plants approach maturity.

Experimental procedures

Mapping populations

Four mapping populations were used in this study, as detailed in Table 1. Parental lines G43, Cabo Verde (CV), QV-JAT01, QV-JAT02 and QV-JAT03 were homozygous at all loci, whereas parental lines G33 and G51 were heterozygous at some of the

loci scored in this study. To minimize the number of uninformative markers in mapping populations G33 \times G43 and G51 \times CV, the F₂ were created using an F₁ intercross. All other mapping populations were created by self-pollinating F₁ plants.

DNA extraction and amplification

DNA from mapping populations G33 \times G43 and G51 \times CV was extracted using the Qiagen DNEasy Plant Mini kit (Qiagen, Venlo, the Netherlands). DNA from the other mapping populations was extracted using the CTAB protocol (Doyle and Doyle, 1987). DNA was quantified using the DNA binding dye EvaGreen (Biotium, Hayward, CA), using salmon sperm DNA as a standard. (Wang *et al.*, 2006). Where insufficient DNA was obtained, DNA was amplified using the Qiagen RepliG kit.

Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) marker identification and analysis

SNPs were obtained from two sources. A reduced representation library was produced from toxic and nontoxic provenances of J. curcas using the CRoPS[®] technique (van Orsouw et al., 2007). SNPs identified by this technique were converted to an Illumina VeraCode assay (File S1). SNPs were also identified from ESTs of developing seeds obtained from pyrosequencing of cDNA from varieties bearing toxic (King et al., 2011) and nontoxic seeds (A.J. King and I.A. Graham, unpublished). The SNPs obtained from the EST databases were assayed using one of two different methods. Capillary electrophoresis based method; an additional three noncomplementary nucleotides were added to the 5'-end of one of the allele-specific primers to permit allele discrimination by amplicon size. An additional mismatch near the 3'-end of each allele-specific primer was also incorporated to increase specificity (Bui and Liu, 2009). An M13 tail sequence (5'-TGTAAAAC GACGGCCAGT-3') was added to the 5'-end of the locus-specific primers to facilitate fluorescent labelling of the PCR products. PCR reactions for the two alleles were performed independently using the Qiagen Multiplex PCR kit. Each 10 µL reaction contained 5 µL of reagent, 2–20 ng of DNA, 0.5 pmol allele-specific primer, 0.5 pmol locus-specific primer and 2 pmol of either FAM ('low' allele) or VIC ('high' allele) labelled M13 primer. Up to 12 SNPs were multiplexed per PCR reaction. After pooling of the 'low' and 'high' allele PCR reactions, fragment analysis was performed using an Applied Biosystems 3730 DNA Analyzer (Life Technolgies, Carlsbad, CA) using a LIZ-500 internal standard. KASPar method; the KASPar assay was performed according to the manufacturer's protocol (KBiosciences, Hoddesdon, UK). Allele discrimination was performed using an Applied Biosystems 7300 Real-Time PCR System. Sequences of SNPs and the primers used for their detection by capillary electrophoresis or KASPar assays are detailed in File S2.

Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) marker identification and analysis

SSR markers were obtained from a number of sources as detailed in File S3. Accession numbers KC344792–KC344818 were obtained by dye-terminator sequencing clones from an AG_n-enriched DNA library created according to the FIASCO protocol (Zane *et al.*, 2002). SSRs were also identified by mining of EST data (King *et al.*, 2011) or genomic sequence data (Lee and Sonnhammer, 2003). Finally, a number of publicly available SSR markers were also used. Primers for SSR analysis were designed using Primer3Plus (Untergasser *et al.*, 2007) according to the nearest-neighbour method (SantaLucia, 1998). An M13 tail sequence was appended to 5'-end of the shortest primer to facilitate fluorescent labelling of the PCR products. SSR analysis was conducted using the QIAGEN Type-It Microsatellite PCR kit. Each 10 μ L reaction contained 5 μ L of reagent, 2–20 ng of DNA, 0.5 pmol forward primer, 0.5 pmol reverse primer and 2 pmol of VIC labelled M13 primer. Thermocycling was conducted according to the manufacturer's recommended protocol, using an annealing temperature of 57 °C. Up to 12 SSRs were multiplexed per PCR reaction. Fragment analysis was performed using an Applied Biosystems 3730 DNA Analyzer (Life Technolgies). A LIZ-500 dye was used as an internal size standard.

Construction of genetic linkage maps

To build linkage maps, CRI-MAP version 2.503 was used (Lander and Green, 1987). Linkage maps for each of the mapping populations were first constructed independently. Linkage groups were first determined using the twopoint function with an LOD cut-off point >4.0. Maps for each linkage group were then constructed using the build function. Orders were checked with the *flipsn* function. To check for genotyping errors, the *chrompic* function was used to identify suspect double crossover eventsthat is, those observed within a short distance (<5 cM). Suspect markers were then checked where possible by reanalysis of the original data and corrected when a manual scoring error was evident. After the initial correction, any markers still found to produce excessive double-crossovers (>2.5% of population) were omitted from the map. The remaining suspect genotypes resulting in double-crossovers were converted to missing data. After completion of the four individual linkage maps, the genotype files were combined manually using Microsoft Excel spreadsheets. An integrated linkage map was then produced by rebuilding a single map using CRI-MAP.

Analysis of phorbol ester content of seeds

The testa of the seeds, which have previously been shown to lack phorbol esters (He et al., 2011), was removed and the kernels were ground to a fine powder in a mortar and pestle. Approximately 200 mg of kernel was transferred to a 2 mL Eppendorf tube. Five micro-liter of 1 ma/mL of phorbol 12myristate a 13-acetate (Sigma-Aldrich Co Ltd, Poole, Dorset, UK) was added as an internal standard. The seed kernel was then extracted with 1.5 mL of methanol for 1 h. Solvent was recovered after centrifugation of samples at 10 000 g for 2 min. The seed kernel was then extracted twice more for 1 h with 1 mL of methanol. The extracts were combined, and the solvent was removed using a Speedvac. The oily residue was dissolved in 800 µL of hexane. Eight hundred micro-liter of acetonitrile was then added, and the sample was vortexed. After centrifugation of the sample for 1 min at 10 000 q, the lower acetonitrile phase containing the phorbol esters was recovered and filtered through a 0.45 μ M PTFE membrane (Millex[®]-LH). The filtered extract was then evaporated using a Genevac EZ-2 plus evaporator (Genevac Ltd, Ipswich, Suffolk, UK) and dissolved in 200 μ L of acetonitrile. HPLC analysis was then performed as described previously (He et al., 2011).

Marker-trait association studies

To determine the position of markers affecting PE biosynthesis, PE content data were scored quantitatively using GridQTL (Seaton *et al.*, 2006). Chromosome-wide permutation studies were also conducted with 1000 iterations to determine significance thresholds. After the identification of the region controlling phorbol ester biosynthesis, further data analyses were performed by

scoring of PE biosynthesis as a qualitative trait, by direct comparison of genotype and trait data.

Mapping of markers onto the draft genome sequence of *J. curcas* and synteny analysis with *R. communis*

A blastn search for all markers described in this study was performed against build 4.5 of the *J. curcas* genome (Hirakawa *et al.*, 2012). To identify synteny between the *J. curcas* and *R. communis* genomes, the blast2 search data against *R. communis*, which is provided on the *J. curcas* genome database, were retrieved. Where synteny was observed for a number of consecutive markers, a more detailed analysis of synteny was obtained using the *promer* function of MUMmer 3.0 (Kurtz *et al.*, 2004), with the amino acid identity threshold set at 40% and the minimum length set at 100 base pairs.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Judith Mitchell for administrative support. This work was partly funded by a European Union Framework 7 Program Knowledge Based Bio-Economy grant under contract 245236. The CROPS® technology is covered by patents and patent applications owned by Keygene N.V. CROPS® is a registered trademark of Keygene N.V.

References

- Aregheore, E.M., Becker, K. and Makkar, H.P.S. (2003) Detoxification of a toxic vatiety of *Jatropha curcas* using heat and chemical treatments, and preliminary nutitional evaluation with rats. *South Pac.J Nat Sci.* 21, 51–56.
- Basha, S.D., Francis, G., Makkar, H.P.S., Becker, K. and Sujatha, M. (2009) A comparative study of biochemical traits and molecular markers for assessment of genetic relationships between *Jatropha curcas* L. germplasm from different countries. *Plant Sci.* **176**, 812–823.
- Brooker, J. (2009). Methods for detoxyfying oil seed crops (Patent WO/2010/ 070264).
- Bui, M. and Liu, Z. (2009) Simple allele-discriminating PCR for cost-effective and rapid genotyping and mapping. *Plant Methods* **5**, 1.
- Carvalho, C.R., Clarindo, W.R., Praça, M.M., Araújo, F.S. and Carels, N. (2008) Genome size, base composition and karyotype of *Jatropha curcas* L., an important biofuel plant. *Plant Sci.* **174**, 613–617.
- Chan, A.P., Crabtree, J., Zhao, Q., Lorenzi, H., Orvis, J., Puiu, D., Melake-Berhan, A., Jones, K.M., Redman, J., Chen, G., Cahoon, E.B., Gedil, M., Stanke, M., Haas, B.J., Wortman, J.R., Fraser-Liggett, C.M., Ravel, J. and Rabinowicz, P.D. (2010) Draft genome sequence of the oilseed species *Ricinus communis. Nat Biotechnol.* 28, 951–956.
- Costa, G., Cardoso, K., Del Bem, L., Lima, A., Cunha, M., de Campos-Leite, L., Vicentini, R., Papes, F., Moreira, R., Yunes, J., Campos, F. and Da Silva, M. (2010) Transcriptome analysis of the oil-rich seed of the bioenergy crop *Jatropha curcas* L. *BMC Genomics*, **11**, 462.
- Da Silveira, J.C. (1934) Contribution a l'étude du pulghère aux iles du Cap Vert. Anais Inst. Super Agron. (Lisbon) **6**, 116–126.
- Dehgan, B. and Webster, G.L. (1979) Morphology and infrageneric relationships in Jatropha (Euphorbiaceae). University of California Press.
- Doyle, J.J. and Doyle, J.L. (1987) A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochem. Bull.* **19**, 11–15.
- Goel, G., Makkar, H.P.S., Francis, G. and Becker, K. (2007) Phorbol esters: structure, biological activity, and toxicity in animals. *Int. J. Toxicol.* 26, 279– 288.
- Hackett, C.A. and Broadfoot, L.B. (2003) Effects of genotyping errors, missing values and segregation distortion in molecular marker data on the construction of linkage maps. *Heredity*, **90**, 33–38.
- He, W., King, A.J., Khan, M.A., Cuevas, J.A., Ramiaramanana, D. and Graham, I.A. (2011) Analysis of seed phorbol-ester and curcin content together with

genetic diversity in multiple provenances of *Jatropha curcas* L. from Madagascar and Mexico. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **49**, 1183–1190.

- Hirakawa, H., Tsuchimoto, S., Sakai, H., Nakayama, S., Fujishiro, T., Kishida, Y., Kohara, M., Watanabe, A., Aizu, T., Toyoda, A., Fujiyama, A., Yamada, M., Tabata, S., Fukui, K. and Sato, S. (2012) Upgraded genomic information of *Jatropha curcas* L. *Plant Biotechnol.* **29**, 123–130.
- Hirota, M., Suttajit, M., Suguri, H., Endo, Y., Shudo, K., Wongchai, V., Hecker, E. and Fujiki, H. (1988) A new tumor promoter from the seed oil of *Jatropha curcas* L., an intramolecular diester of 12-deoxy-16-hydroxyphorbol. *Cancer Res.* **48**, 5800–5804.
- Kalannavar, V.N. (2008) Response of Jatropha curcas to nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels in nortern transition zone of Karnataka. Dharwad, India: Dharwad University of Agrigultural Sciences. 109.
- King, A.J., Li, Y. and Graham, I.A. (2011) Profiling the developing *Jatropha curcas* L. seed transcriptome by pyrosequencing. *BioEnergy Research*, **4**, 211–221.
- King, A.J., He, W., Cuevas, J.A., Freudenberger, M., Ramiaramanana, D. and Graham, I.A. (2009) Potential of *Jatropha curcas* as a source of renewable oil and animal feed. *J. Exp. Bot.* **60**, 2897–2905.
- Kurtz, S., Phillippy, A., Delcher, A., Smoot, M., Shumway, M., Antonescu, C. and Salzberg, S. (2004) Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. *Genome Biol.* 5, R12.
- Lagercrantz, U., Putterill, J., Coupland, G. and Lydiate, D. (1996) Comparative mapping in Arabidopsis and Brassica, fine scale genome collinearity and congruence of genes controlling flowering time. *Plant J.* **9**, 13–20.
- Lander, E.S. and Green, P. (1987) Construction of multilocus genetic linkage maps in humans. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **84**, 2363–2367.
- Lee, J.M. and Sonnhammer, E.L. (2003) Genomic gene clustering analysis of pathways in eukaryotes. *Genome Res.* **13**, 875–882.
- Liu, S. and Anderson, J.A. (2003) Targeted molecular mapping of a major wheat QTL for Fusarium head blight resistance using wheat ESTs and synteny with rice. *Genome*, **46**, 817–823.
- Makkar, H.P.S., Aderibigbe, A.O. and Becker, K. (1998) Comparative evaluation of non-toxic and toxic varieties of *Jatropha curcas* for chemical composition, digestibility, protein degradability and toxic factors. *Food Chem.* 62, 207.
- Mangin, B. and Goffinet, B. (1997) Comparison of several confidence intervals for QTL location. *Heredity*, **78**, 345–353.
- Martins, W.S., Lucas, D.C., Neves, K.F. and Bertioli, D.J. (2009) WebSat a web software for microsatellite marker development. *Bioinformation*, 3, 282– 283.
- Mayer, M. (2005) A comparison of regression interval mapping and multiple interval mapping for linked QTL. *Heredity*, **94**, 599–605.
- Mohapatra, S. and Panda, P.K. (2011) Effects of fertilizer application on growth and yield of *Jatropha curcas* L.in an aeric tropaquept of Eastern India. *Notulae Scientia Biologicae*, **3**, 95–100.
- Natajaran, P. and Parani, M. (2011) De novo assembly and transcriptome analysis of five major tissues of *Jatropha curcas* L. using GS FLX titanium platform of 454 pyrosequencing. *BMC Genomics*, **12**, 191.
- Phumichai, C., Phumichai, T., Kongsiri, N., Wongkaew, A., Sripichit, P. and Kaveeta, R. (2011) Isolation of 55 microsatellite markers for Jatropha curcas and its closely related species. *Biol. Plant.* 55, 387–390.
- SantaLucia, J. (1998) A unified view of polymer, dumbbell, and oligonucleotide DNA nearest-neighbor thermodynamics. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, 95, 1460– 1465.
- Sato, S., Hirakawa, H., Isobe, S., Fukai, E., Watanabe, A., Kato, M., Kawashima, K., Minami, C., Muraki, A., Nakazaki, N., Takahashi, C., Nakayama, S., Kishida, Y., Kohara, M., Yamada, M., Tsuruoka, H., Sasamoto, S., Tabata, S., Aizu, T., Toyoda, A., Shin-i, T., Minakuchi, Y., Kohara, Y., Fujiyama, A., Tsuchimoto, S., Kajiyama, S., Makigano, E., Ohmido, N., Shibagaki, N., Cartagena, J.A., Wada, N., Kohinata, T., Atefeh, A., Yuasa, S., Matsunaga, S. and Fukui, K. (2011) Sequence analysis of the genome of an oil-bearing tree, *Jatropha curcas* L. DNA Res. **18**, 65–76.
- Seaton, G., Hernandez, J., Grunchec, J.A., White, I., Allen, J., De Koning, D.J., Wei, W., Berry, D. and Haley, C., Knott, S. (2006). GridQTL: A grid portal for QTL mapping of compute intensive datasets. Proceedings of the 8th World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production. Belo Horizonte, Brazil.
- Stieneke, D.L. and Eujayl, I. (2007). Imperfect SSR Finder (http://ssr.nwisrl.ars. usda.gov/).

996 Andrew J. King et al.

- Sujatha, M., Makkar, H.P.S. and Becker, K. (2005) Shoot bud proliferation from axillary nodes and leaf sections of non-toxic *Jatropha curcas* L. *Plant Growth Regul.* 47, 83.
- Sun, Q.B., Li, L.F., Li, Y., Wu, G.J. and Ge, X.J. (2008) SSR and AFLP markers reveal low genetic diversity in the biofuel plant *Jatropha curcas* in China. *Crop Sci.* 48, 1865–1871.
- Untergasser, A., Nijveen, H., Rao, X., Bisseling, T., Geurts, R. and Leunissen, J.A.M. (2007) Primer3Plus, an enhanced web interface to Primer3. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35, W71–W74.
- Van Orsouw, N.J., Hogers, R.C.J., Janssen, A., Yalcin, F., Snoeijers, S., Verstege, E., Schneiders, H., van der Poel, H., van Oeveren, J., Verstegen, H. and van Eijk, M.J.T. (2007) Complexity reduction of polymorphic sequences (CRoPS[™]):
 A novel approach for large-scale polymorphism discovery in complex genomes. *PLoS ONE*, 2, e1172.
- Vollmann, J., Rajcan, I. (2010). Oil Crop Breeding and Genetics. In: Handbook of Plant Breeding: Oil Crops, Vol. 4 (Vollmann, J., Rajcan, I., eds), pp. 1–31.
- Wang, C.M., Liu, P., Yi, C., Gu, K., Sun, F., Li, L., Lo, L.C., Liu, X., Feng, F., Lin, G., Cao, S., Hong, Y., Yin, Z. and Yue, G.H. (2011) A first generation microsatellite- and SNP-based linkage map of *Jatropha. PLoS ONE*, 6, e23632.
- Wang, W., Chen, K. and Xu, C. (2006) DNA quantification using EvaGreen and a real-time PCR instrument. *Anal. Biochem.* **356**, 303–305.
- Yang, C., Fang, Z., Li, B., Liu, G. and Li, J. (2010) Breeding of high-oil Jatropha curcas L for biodiesel production. Chin. J. Biotechnol. 26, 1514–1525.
- Yi, C., Zhang, S., Liu, X., Bui, H. and Hong, Y. (2010) Does epigenetic polymorphism contribute to phenotypic variances in *Jatropha curcas* L.? *BMC Plant Biol.* **10**, 1–9.

Zane, L., Bargelloni, L. and Patarnello, T. (2002) Strategies for microsatellite isolation: a review. *Mol. Ecol.* **11**, 1–16.

Supporting information

Additional Supporting information may be found in the online version of this article:

File S1 SNP markers developed from genomic DNA using the CRoPS[®] technique.

File S2 SNP markers mined from seed transcriptome data.

File S3 SSR markers used in this study.

File S4 Markers used to bridge the maps produced in this study with the previously published map produced from an interspecific cross between *J. curcas* and *J. integerrima* (Wang et al., 2011).

File S5 Individual linkage maps from the four mapping populations used in this study.

File S6 Location of mapped markers on the genome sequence of *J. curcas* [build 4.5 (Hirakawa *et al.*, 2012)], and syntenic blocks observed with *R. communis* genome.

File S7 PE concentration measured in open-pollinated seed collected from 120 F_2 plants.