Crassulacean Acid Metabolism in the Epiphyte Tillandsia *usneoides* L. $(Spanish Moss)^{1}$

RESPONSES OF CO2 EXCHANGE TO CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

Received for publication November 5, 1980 and in revised form February 17, 1981

CRAIG E. MARTIN² AND JAMES N. SIEDOW Department of Botany, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27706

ABSTRACT

Patterns of CO₂ exchange in Spanish moss under various experimental conditions were measured using an infrared gas analysis system. Plants were collected from a study site in North Carolina and placed in a gas exchange chamber for several days of continuous measurements. No substantial seasonal effects on $CO₂$ exchange were observed. High rates of nocturnal $CO₂$ uptake were observed under day/night temperature regimes of 25/10, 25/15, 25/20, 30/20, and 35/20 C; however, daytime temperatures of 40 C eliminated nighttime $CO₂$ uptake and a nighttime temperature of 5 C eliminated nocturnal $CO₂$ uptake, regardless of day temperature. Constant chamber conditions also inhibited nocturnal $CO₂$ uptake. Constant high relative humidity (RH) slightly stimulated $CO₂$ uptake while low nighttime RH reduced nocturnal $CO₂$ uptake.

Reductions in daytime irradiance to approximately 25% full sunlight had no effect on CO₂ exchange. Continuous darkness resulted in continuous $CO₂$ loss by the plants, but a $CO₂$ exchange pattern similar to normal day/ night conditions was observed under constant illumination. High tissue water content inhibited $CO₂$ uptake. Wetting of the tissue at any time of day or night resulted in net CO₂ loss. Abrupt increases in temperature or decreases in RH resulted in sharp decreases in net $CO₂$ uptake.

The results indicate that Spanish moss is tolerant of a wide range of temperatures, irradiances, and water contents. They also indicate that high nighttime RH is a prerequisite for high rates of $CO₂$ uptake.

Because of its epiphytic nature and highly specialized morphology, Spanish moss has interested many investigators. Coutinho (4) first discovered characteristics of CAM³ in Spanish moss in 1969. Since then, others have examined the plant's carbon isotope discrimination ratio (15, 16, 23), O_2 exchange (1), and CO_2 exchange characteristics (10, 14). Recent investigations (14) of in situ growth rates, tissue acid fluctuations, and $^{14}CO_2$ uptake rates of Spanish moss have shown that growth and $CO₂$ uptake were maximal during the warm summer months and minimal during the colder winter months. No high temperature inhibition of $CO₂$ uptake was observed. Wetting of Spanish moss by rain reduced nocturnal $CO₂$ uptake rates and stimulated low-level $CO₂$ uptake during the day. Tissue water content did not appear to influence $CO₂$ uptake. Finally, low rates of nocturnal $CO₂$ uptake were observed under isothermal conditions.

In both the field and under controlled conditions, the tissue water content of Spanish moss tracked changes in atmospheric RH (14, 21). High nighttime RH resulted in water uptake by the plant, and low daytime RH resulted in ^a loss of water. Twentyfour h net water exchange was nearly always negative, indicating that absorption of liquid water was necessary for the maintenance of high tissue water content in the field.

Unfortunately, it was difficult to compare the results obtained in the above study with those of Kluge et al. (10) for the following reasons: ${}^{14}CO_2$ uptake was measured in the field study (14) while Kluge et al. (10) measured net $CO₂$ exchange; their experimental conditions (constant temperature and RH throughout ^a day/night cycle) did not realistically approximate field conditions; and they used glasshouse-grown Spanish moss of unstated origin. It was the purpose of this study to examine $CO₂$ exchange of field-collected Spanish moss in the laboratory, using controlled conditions which approximated those found in the field in North Carolina. Such controlled experiments should help explain the results of the field studies (14).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Net CO₂ exchange in strands of Spanish moss (Tillandsia usneoides L.) sealed in a chamber was monitored continuously during 4- to 6-day intervals with a Beckman IR215 Infrared Gas Analyzer (differential; open system) from June to December 1979. The $CO₂$ concentration of the incoming air was approximately 360 $\mu l \cdot l^{-1}$ and varied up to 50 $\mu l \cdot l^{-1}$ on a diurnal basis; however, the changes in $CO₂$ concentration were too gradual to be detected by the analyzer in the differential mode. Before analysis, the air was dried by condensation and passage through ZnCl₂. Calibrations of the IR gas analyzer were made frequently.

The air stream was humidified by passage through water and its dew point determined with an EG&G Model ⁸⁸⁰ dew point hygrometer. All tubing in the system was Tygon. Air flowed into the plant chamber at a rate of 500 ml \cdot min⁻¹. The chamber was a double-walled glass column approximately 45 cm long, with an inner diameter of 2.5 cm and a total inner volume of 250 ml. The double wall acted as a water jacket which allowed precise control of chamber air temperatures. Gaastra (5) has discussed a potential problem in the use of long, tubular chambers. Calculations based on maximal rates of $CO₂$ exchange observed in Spanish moss found the problem of linearly changing $CO₂$ concentration as the air flows over the plant due to $CO₂$ exchange by the plant to be insignificant given the very low $CO₂$ exchange rates of Spanish moss.

Lighting was provided by ^a 400 w multi-vapor high intensity

^{&#}x27; This work was partially supported by funds made available to N. L. Christensen and B. R. Strain through a Biomedical Research Support Grant from the National Institutes of Health.

² Present address and address for reprint requests: Department of Botany, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045.

³ Abbreviations: CAM, Crassulacean acid metabolism; PPFD, photosynthetic photon flux density.

discharge lamp above and ² parallel ¹⁵ w cool white fluorescent lamps below the chamber. PPFD along the top and bottom of the chamber was measured with a LI-COR LI-185 light meter and a LI- 190S quantum sensor. PPFD from above always exceeded that from below, so only the former is reported in any experiment. Maximum PPFD along the top of the chamber in all but the light reduction experiments was $450 \mu E \cdot m^{-2} \cdot s^{-1}$ at both ends, increasing to $1,900 \mu E \cdot m^{-2} \cdot s^{-1}$ at the center of the chamber (hereafter described as 450-1,900 μ E·m⁻²·s⁻¹). Irradiance above and below the chamber was reduced by inserting layers of cheesecloth between the lamps and the chamber.

Ten to 20 "healthy" strands of Spanish moss, approximately 15 to 20 cm long, were collected from the study site (14), detached at their dead bases, wetted to ensure maximum tissue water content, allowed to dry, and placed into the chamber. The strands remained in the chamber 4 to 6 days. After placement in the chamber, control conditions (see below) were maintained for the remainder of the day and night and the following 24 h. The $CO₂$ exchange pattern obtained in the second 24-h period was utilized for comparison with experimental results. Experimental conditions were imposed on the 3rd day, followed by control conditions again on the 4th. If the results of this control matched those of the previous one, a second experiment was conducted on the 5th day. Using this method, the results of an experimental manipulation could be compared with the response of the same plants to control conditions. Each experiment was repeated at least once with a different set of plants.

Control conditions were: PPFD as discussed above, ²⁵ C and 55% RH day, and ²⁰ C and 95% RH night. These conditions were selected based on the environmental conditions under which maximal $CO₂$ uptake rates were observed in the field (14). When one environmental condition in the chamber was changed during an experiment, all others were adjusted to remain at control levels. Net $CO₂$ exchange by the empty chamber was monitored during temperature and dew point changes and never deviated from zero net exchange.

Rates of $CO₂$ exchange are expressed on a Chl basis since determination of the surface area of Spanish moss was impossible (cross-sectional area varied throughout the plant, and surface scales were often larger than stem or leaf width). One g dry weight of Spanish moss contained approximately ¹ mg Chl. This relationship changed only slightly throughout the study (monthly mean mg Chl \cdot g dry weight⁻¹, n = 3-4, from June to December 1979: 1.0, 1.0, 0.8, 1.3, 1.3, 1.3, 1.6). Chl was determined according to the method of Holm (7).

RESULTS

Though absolute rates of $CO₂$ exchange varied between groups of strands, a $CO₂$ exchange pattern similar to that of many CAM species (11) always appeared under control conditions. Most $CO₂$ uptake occurred in the dark period with peak rates early in this period, and little or no CO₂ uptake by the end of the dark period. Immediately following illumination, a sharp burst of $CO₂$ uptake was observed, which quickly dropped to either no net exchange or to a net $CO₂$ loss until late afternoon when an uptake of $CO₂$ was often observed. A sharp burst of $CO₂$ release followed the lightto-dark transition, which quickly changed to $CO₂$ uptake.

The variability between different sets of Spanish moss strands was relatively large, especially with regard to maximal CO₂ uptake rates. The variability of $CO₂$ exchange in the same plant material on a day-to-day basis under control conditions was estimated at the beginning of the study to determine at which level a difference in C02 exchange might be attributed to an experimental condition. Four samples of Spanish moss were collected and their $CO₂$ exchange monitored for 3 to 5 continuous days under control conditions. The greatest observed per cent difference in integrated nocturnal $CO₂$ uptake between nights was 30%. Therefore, an observed difference in integrated nocturnal $CO₂$ uptake between experiment and control of less than 30% was considered too small to be attributed to the imposed experimental condition.

Considering seasonal effects on the response of Spanish moss $CO₂$ exchange, there was a slight trend of decreasing $CO₂$ uptake under control conditions in field-collected Spanish moss from the summer to the winter; however, the trend was not significant.

Increases in daytime air temperature of either ⁵ or ¹⁰ C had little or no effect on $CO₂$ exchange by Spanish moss (Fig. 1, A and B), except for the elimination of late afternoon $CO₂$ uptake. With ^a ¹⁵ C increase in daytime air temperature, late afternoon $CO₂$ uptake was replaced by a net loss, and very little $CO₂$ was fixed during the night, in spite of the maintenance of control conditions throughout the night (Fig. IC).

No effect on $CO₂$ exchange was observed when the nighttime air temperature was reduced ⁵ C from control conditions (Fig. 2A). A ¹⁰ C reduction in nocturnal air temperature caused ^a slight decrease in maximal $CO₂$ uptake rates, yet the total $CO₂$ fixed during the night was similar to the control (Fig. 2B). A nighttime temperature of 4 C totally eliminated nocturnal $CO₂$ uptake (Fig. 2C). A ¹⁰ C decrease in day and night temperatures reduced nocturnal CO₂ uptake and stimulated small amounts of daytime $CO₂$ uptake (Fig. 2D).

When constant nocturnal chamber conditions (20 C, 95% RH) were maintained over a 24-h period, nighttime $CO₂$ uptake was severely reduced (Fig. 3). Similar results were obtained under constant daytime conditions (25 C, 55% RH; data not shown). High day- and nighttime RH had a slight stimulatory effect on nocturnal $CO₂$ uptake (Fig. 4A) while low day- and nighttime RH

FIG. 1. Effect of increasing daytime air temperature on $CO₂$ exchange in Spanish moss: 25/20 C (---), 30/20 C (---, A), 35/20 C (---, B), 40/20 C (- - -, C). (\blacksquare), darkness. Daytime irradiance was 450 to 1,900 μ E. $m^{-2} \cdot s^{-1}$ across the chamber. RH was 55/95%.

FIG. 2. Effect of decreasing nighttime air temperature on $CO₂$ exchange in Spanish moss: $25/20$ C (--), $25/15$ C (---, A), $25/10$ C (---, B), 25/4 C (---, C), 15/10 C (---, D). (.), darkness. Daytime irradiance was 450 to 1,900 μ E·m⁻²·s⁻¹ across the chamber. RH was 55/95%.

FIG. 3. Effect of constant chamber conditions on $CO₂$ exchange in Spanish moss: 25/20 C, 60/95% RH (---); 20/20 C, 95/95% RH (---). (\blacksquare), darkness. Daytime irradiance was 450 to 1,900 μ E \cdot m⁻² \cdot s⁻¹ across the chamber.

resulted in a definite decrease in nocturnal $CO₂$ uptake (Fig. 4B).

A 75% reduction in photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) from 450 to 1,900 μ E \cdot m⁻² \cdot s⁻¹ (the control conditions across the chamber) to 100 to 400 μ E \cdot m⁻² \cdot s⁻¹, had little effect on CO₂ exchange in Spanish moss. However, under extremely low irradiance conditions (using fluorescent cool-white lamps, 0.1 to $3 \mu E$. $m^{-2} \cdot s^{-1}$), large amounts of $CO₂$ were lost throughout the 24-h period (Fig. $5A$). Under constant dark conditions, a similar $CO₂$ loss occurred throughout the 24-h period (Fig. SB). Under continuous illumination, $CO₂$ uptake occurred during the normal period of darkness in a fairly typical fashion (data not shown).

The response of CO₂ exchange to wetting the strands of Spanish moss during either the day (Fig. 6A) or the night (Fig. 6B) was the same; net CO₂ loss always resulted. As long as the plant remained wet, no $CO₂$ uptake occurred.

To determine the effects of different tissue water contents on CO2 exchange in Spanish moss, strands of Spanish moss were collected from the field after several days of dry weather and placed, without wetting, into the gas exchange chamber. After $CO₂$ exchange occurred under control conditions for 24 h, the plants were removed, wetted, and placed back into the chamber. The plants were always dry in appearance by the end of the day. The increased tissue hydration resulted in an approximate 40% reduction in nighttime $CO₂$ uptake (Fig. 7).

An abrupt decrease in air RH during the nighttime $CO₂$ uptake period resulted in a decrease in the rate of $CO₂$ uptake (Fig. 8).

FIG. 4. Effect of high and low nighttime RH on $CO₂$ exchange in Spanish moss: 60/90% RH (-, A), 60/95% RH (-, B), 90/90% RH $(--,-, A)$, 60/50% RH $(--,-, B)$. (D), darkness. Daytime irradiance was 450 to 1,900 μ E·m⁻²·s⁻¹ across the chamber. Air temperature was 25/20 C.

FIG. 5. Effect of different daytime irradiance conditions on $CO₂$ exchange in Spanish moss: 450 to 1,900 μ E·m⁻²·s⁻¹ (-, A), 0.1 to 3.0 μ E· $m^{-2} \cdot s^{-1}$ (---, A), 24-h darkness, B. (B), darkness. Air temperature was 25/20 C. RH was 55/95%.

Similar decreases were noted after abrupt increases in air temperature (with concomitant decreases in RH).

DISCUSSION

The general pattern and rates of $CO₂$ exchange in Tillandsia usneoides L. (Spanish moss) observed throughout this study were similar to those observed with other CAM species (11) and to the patterns obtained by Kluge et al. (10) for the same species and Medina et al. (15) and Lange and Medina (12) for Tillandsia

FIG. 6. Effect of wetting on CO₂ exchange in Spanish moss. Strands were removed from the chamber, wetted, and replaced during the day (A) and the night (B). (3), darkness. Daytime irradiance was 450 to 1,900 μ E. $m^{-2} \cdot s^{-1}$ across the chamber. Air temperature was 25/20 C. RH was 55/ 95%.

FIG. 7. Effect of tissue hydration on CO₂ exchange in Spanish moss: before wetting $(--)$, after wetting and drying $(--)$. (\blacksquare), darkness. Daytime irradiance was 450 to 1,900 μ E·m⁻²·s⁻¹ across the chamber. Air temperature was 25/20 C. RH was 55/95%.

FIG. 8. Effect of abrupt changes in chamber air % RH on CO_2 exchange in Spanish moss. Daytime RH was 55%, nighttime was 92% up to arrow No. ² (at which point RH was decreased), down to 72% before arrow No. (at which point RH was increased), and 95% the remainder of the night. (n), darkness. Daytime irradiance was 450 to 1,900 μ E·m⁻²·s⁻¹ across the chamber. Air temperature was 25/20 C.

recurvata. However, the responses of Spanish moss $CO₂$ exchange to changing environmental conditions were not always similar.

The results of seasonal measurements of $CO₂$ uptake for a

North Carolina population of Spanish moss in situ showed a decline in gross $CO₂$ uptake from the warm summer to the cold winter months (14). In the current laboratory study, $CO₂$ exchange under control conditions in Spanish moss collected from June to December was highly variable. A slight trend of decreasing nocturnal CO₂ uptake was observed, but several samples of Spanish moss collected during the coldest period of the study (November to December) exhibited high nocturnal $CO₂$ uptake rates, despite the fact that $CO₂$ uptake in the field at this time was probably zero (14). These results suggest that Spanish moss responded more to the immediate (chamber) conditions than to the past (previous day) conditions.

The temperature response of $CO₂$ exchange in Spanish moss can be summarized as follows. At least ^a ⁵ C difference in day and night temperatures was essential for $CO₂$ exchange patterns and rates typical for CAM plants. As long as night temperatures were maintained at 20 C, nocturnal CO₂ uptake was unaffected by increased day temperatures up to 35 C. With a constant day temperature of 25 C, decreasing night temperatures had no effect on CO2 exchange until ⁵ C was reached. In summary, Spanish moss CO₂ exchange was relatively unaffected by temperature changes until a high or low extreme was reached. At that point, CO2 uptake was severely reduced.

The temperature effects on $CO₂$ exchange in Spanish moss described above conflict with those reported by Kluge et al. (10) for the same species. Under conditions of constant temperature and RH throughout the day and night, they observed maximal $CO₂$ uptake rates at 15 and 20 C and inhibition of $CO₂$ uptake at ²⁵ C and above. The responses to low nighttime temperature (5 C and below) were similar in the two studies. The dissimilar findings of Kluge et al. (10) may be attributed to their use of glasshousegrown Spanish moss and to their use of constant environmental conditions. It is puzzling that they measured any $CO₂$ uptake by Spanish moss at all under such conditions. Using field-collected plants, little or no $CO₂$ uptake was found under constant conditions in the current study (at either 20 or 25 C). Inhibition of CAM under isothermal conditions has been reported in other species (3, 24), including Spanish moss under field conditions (14).

Lange et al. (13) reported an elimination of daytime $CO₂$ uptake and enhanced CO₂ release in Caralluma negevensis under increasing daytime temperatures. Similarly, late afternoon $CO₂$ uptake in Spanish moss was eliminated by increasing air temperatures.

High nocturnal $CO₂$ fixation rates in Spanish moss were observed at nighttime temperatures from 10 to 25 C. These results compare favorably with those of the corresponding field study of ${}^{14}CO_2$ uptake in Spanish moss (14). Although a temperature optimum per se was not determined for this plant, these results suggest that substantial rates of $CO₂$ uptake can occur over a fairly broad range of nocturnal temperatures.

The effects of increased day and night air temperatures on nocturnal CO₂ uptake reported above contrast with those reported by Kluge et al. (10). They found a reduction in nighttime $CO₂$ uptake under a constant 25 C regime, and large nocturnal $CO₂$ losses at 30 and 35 C. Despite these differences, the greatest rates of nighttime $CO₂$ uptake reported by Kluge et al. (10) occurred under ¹⁵ and 20 C regimes, within the range of temperatures under which high rates of nocturnal CO₂ uptake were measured in the current study. Medina et al. (15) reported maximal rates of nighttime $CO₂$ uptake for T. recurvata and T. utriculata at nocturnal temperatures near 15 C.

The inhibition of nocturnal $CO₂$ assimilation by low nighttime RH in Spanish moss was similar to that reported for the closely related species, T. recurvata (12). Lange and Medina (12) attributed the reduced $CO₂$ uptake rates to an increase in stomatal resistance. Because high nighttime RH results in water uptake by Spanish moss (14, 21), it can be assumed that normal nighttime water uptake did not occur from the air during the night of decreased RH. Therefore, it seems likely that this nocturnal uptake of atmospheric water is essential for maintenance of high rates of CO2 uptake in Spanish moss.

No effect on $CO₂$ exchange of reducing peak irradiance from full sunlight to approximately 25% of full sunlight was observed in the current study. Kluge et al. (10) noted a slight reduction in nighttime assimilation of $CO₂$ as daytime irradiance was decreased from approximately 50 to 10% full sunlight. Reduced $CO₂$ fixation rates following days of low irradiance have also been reported for other CAM plants $(8, 18)$. The response of Spanish moss $CO₂$ exchange to decreased irradiance appears to be unique.

The large losses of $CO₂$ observed throughout the 24-h period under low irradiance were similar to the losses seen under continuous darkness. Previous experiments conducted on $CO₂$ exchange of CAM plants under conditions of continuous darkness (see references in 11, page 128) have found typical $CO₂$ exchange patterns during the first 24 h of darkness.

Under continuous illumination, Spanish moss exhibited a $CO₂$ exchange pattern similar to that observed under control day/night conditions. Similar results have been reported by Kluge (9) and Queiroz (22). Although the biochemical significance of these results is unclear, these studies may provide some insight into the regulatory mechanism of $CO₂$ exchange in Spanish moss. It seems that light is required to prevent large losses of $CO₂$ from the tissue during the day, yet darkness is not necessary in order to maintain high rates of $CO₂$ uptake. These results suggest that the daytime inhibition of $CO₂$ exchange is externally (environmentally) controlled, while nighttime uptake of $CO₂$ is internally (enzymically?) controlled.

Despite the fact that Spanish moss took up ${}^{14}CO_2$ during the day and night when the strands were wet (14) , the net $CO₂$ exchange of wet strands was always negative. On the other hand, Benzing and Renfrow (2) found reduced, yet positive, rates of net $CO₂$ exchange when two species of Tillandsia were wetted. The results of this study of Spanish moss further emphasize the insignificance of daytime uptake of $CO₂$ as a major source of carbon for the plant.

Kluge et al. (10) provided evidence for increased $CO₂$ uptake in Spanish moss with increasingly desiccated tissue. Similar results were obtained in the current study of Spanish moss. These results are opposite to the findings of most studies with CAM plants, where $CO₂$ exchange is inhibited by increasing water stress (17, 20, 25). However, it is possible that the strands of Spanish moss were never actually water-stressed in these studies. This problem requires further investigation.

Abrupt increases in temperature and decreases in RH were found to reduce $CO₂$ uptake rates in Spanish moss and in other CAM plants (12, 19). These responses have been attributed to stomatal regulation of gas exchange and therefore imply that stomata might regulate $CO₂$ exchange in Spanish moss.

Spanish moss is the most widely distributed member of the Bromeliaceae, occurring from southern Virginia to central Argentina and Chile (6). The results of this study indicate that Spanish moss exhibits wide physiological plasticity in response to different environmental conditions. This wide tolerance of various temperatures, irradiances, and perhaps water contents may allow the plant to colonize many diverse habitats. Low temperatures appear to be a major factor limiting $CO₂$ exchange and growth in northern and probably southern latitudes. Since the uptake of atmospheric moisture appears necessary for the maintenance of high $CO₂$ uptake rates, Spanish moss is probably limited in distribution to areas of high nighttime RH. At this North Carolina site, maximum nocturnal RH was seldom less than 90% (14). Furthermore, Spanish moss grows more abundantly in river valleys or near bodies of water as one proceeds inland from the coast (personal observation; and [6]).

In summary, temperature and nighttime RH appeared to be the most influential factors governing $CO₂$ exchange and growth in Spanish moss. Unlike other CAM plants, high tissue water content inhibited CO₂ uptake in Spanish moss. The results of this study of Spanish moss emphasize the differences between the physiological ecology of terrestrial CAM plants and epiphytic CAM plants.

Acknowledgments-We take pleasure in thanking the following people for advice and encouragement: Drs. B. Strain, N. Christensen, C. Black, I. Ting, M. Kluge, and Mr. T. MacAller. C. Hood of the North Carolina Forest Service generously permitted collections of Spanish moss from the Bladen Lakes State Forest. S. Hagen graciously helped with the figures. The secretarial staffs of Duke and KU provided excellent typing services. Special thanks to A. Lubbers for help and encouragement.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. BENZING DH, A RENFROW ¹⁹⁷¹ The significance of photosynthetic efficiency to habitat preference and phylogeny among Tillandsioid bromeliads. Bot Gaz 132: 19-30
- 2. BENZING DH, A RENFROW 1971 Significance of the patterns of $CO₂$ exchange to the ecology and phylogeny of the Tillandsioideae (Bromeliaceae). Bull Torrey Bot Club 98: 322-327
- 3. BHARUCHA FR, GV JOSHI 1958 Studies in Crassulacean metabolism in Bryophyllum calycinum under tropical conditions. J Biol Sci (India) 1: 5-12
- 4. COUTINHO LM 1969 Novas observações sôbre a occurrência do "Efeito de De Saussure" e suas relações com a suculência, a temperatura folhear e os movimentos estomaticos. Fac Filos Cienc Let Univ Sio Paulo (Brazil) 24(331): 79-102
- 5. GAASTRA P 1959 Photosynthesis of crop plants as influenced by light, carbon dioxide, temperature, and stomatal diffusion resistance. Meded Landbouwhogesch Wageningen 59: 1-68
- 6. GARTH RE ¹⁹⁶⁴ The ecology of spanish moss (Tillandsia usneoides): its growth and distribution. Ecology 45: 470-481
- 7. HOLM G ¹⁹⁵⁴ Chlorophyll mutations in barley. Acta Agr Scand 4:457-471
- 8. KAPLAN A, J GALE, A POLJAKOFF-MAYBER 1976 Resolution of net dark fixation of carbon dioxide into its respiration and gross fixation components in Bryophyllum daigremontianum. ^J Exp Bot 97: 220-230
- 9. KLUGE M 1969 Veränderliche Markierungsmuster bei ¹⁴CO₂-Fütterung von Bryophyllum tubiflorum zu verschiedenen Zeitpunkten der Hell/Dunkel-Periode. I. Die CO₂-Fixierung unter Belichtung. Planta 88: 113-129
- 10. KLUGE M, OL LANGE, M v EICHMANN, R SCHMID 1973 Diurnaler Säurerhythmus bei Tillandsia usneoides: Untersuchungen uber den Weg des Kohlenstoffs sowie die Abhängigkeit des CO₂-Gaswechsels von Lichtintensität, Temperatur und Wassergehalt der Pflanze. Planta 112: 357-372
- 11. KLUGE M, IP TING 1978 Crassulacean Acid Metabolism. Analysis of an Ecolog-
- ical Adaptation. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 209 pp 12. LANGE OL, E MEDINA ¹⁹⁷⁹ Stomata of the CAM plant Tillandsia recurvata respond directly to humidity. Oecologia 40: 357-363
- 13. LANGE OL, E-D SCHULZE, L KAPPEN, M EVENARI, U BUSCHBOM 1975 CO₂ exchange pattern under natural conditions of Caralluma negevensis, a CAM plant of the Negev Desert. Photosynthetica 9: 318-326
- 14. MARTIN CE ¹⁹⁸⁰ Field and laboratory studies of Crassulacean Acid Metabolism in the epiphyte Tillandsia usneoides L. (Spanish moss). PhD thesis. Duke University, Durham
- 15. MEDINA E, M DELGADO, JH TROUGHTON, JD MEDINA ¹⁹⁷⁷ Physiological ecology of CO₂ fixation in Bromeliaceae. Flora 166: 137-152
- 16. MEDINA E, JH TROUGHTON 1974 Dark CO₂ fixation and the carbon isotope ratio in Bromeliaceae. Plant Sci Lett 2: 357-362
- 17. NOBEL PS 1977 Water relations and photosynthesis of a barrel cactus, Ferocactus acanthodes, in the Colorado Desert. Oecologia 27: 117-133
- 18. NOSE A, M SHIROMA, K MIYAZATO, ^S MURAYAMA ¹⁹⁷⁷ Studies on matter production in pineapple plants. I. Effects of light intensity in light period on the CO₂ exchange and CO₂ balance of pineapple plants. Jap J Crop Sci 46: 580-587
- 19. OSMOND CB, MM LUDLOW, R DAVIS, IR COWAN, SB POWLES, K WINTER ¹⁹⁷⁹ Stomatal responses to humidity in *Opuntia inermis* in relation to control of $CO₂$ and H20 exchange patterns. Oecologia 41: 65-76
- 20. OSMOND CB, DL NoTT, PM FIRTH ¹⁹⁷⁹ Carbon assimilation patterns and growth of the introduced CAM plant Opuntia inermis in eastern Australia.
- Oecologia 40: 331-350 21. PENFOUND WT, FG DEILER ¹⁹⁴⁷ On the ecology of Spanish moss. Ecology 28: 455-458
- 22. QUEIROZ 0 ¹⁹⁷⁵ Rhythmical characteristics of different levels of CAM regulation. Physiological and adaptive significance. In R Marcelle, ed, Environmental and Biological Control of Photosynthesis. Dr. W. Junk, The Hague, pp 357- 368
- 23. SMITH BN, S EPSTEIN 1971 Two categories of ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ ratios for higher plants. Plant Physiol 47: 380-384
- 24. SUTTON BG ¹⁹⁷⁵ The path of carbon in CAM plants at night. Aust ^J Plant Physiol 2: 377-387
- 25. SZAREK SR, IP TING 1974 Seasonal patterns of acid metabolism and gas exchange in Opuntia basilaris. Plant Physiol 54: 76-81