# **PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS B**

## rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org

# Review



Cite this article: Pedersen MW et al. 2015 Ancient and modern environmental DNA. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 370: 20130383. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0383

One contribution of 19 to a discussion meeting issue 'Ancient DNA: the first three decades'.

#### Subject Areas:

bioinformatics, ecology, environmental science, genetics, molecular biology

#### Keywords:

environmental DNA, ancient, environment, ancient DNA, review

#### Author for correspondence:

Eske Willerslev e-mail: [ewillerslev@snm.ku.dk](mailto:ewillerslev@snm.ku.dk)

Electronic supplementary material is available at<http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0383> or via [http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org.](http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org)

# Ancient and modern environmental DNA

Mikkel Winther Pedersen<sup>1</sup>, Søren Overballe-Petersen<sup>1</sup>, Luca Ermini<sup>1</sup> , Clio Der Sarkissian<sup>1</sup>, James Haile<sup>1,2</sup>, Micaela Hellstrom<sup>1</sup>, Johan Spens<sup>1,3</sup>, Philip Francis Thomsen<sup>1</sup>, Kristine Bohmann<sup>1,4</sup>, Enrico Cappellini<sup>1</sup> , Ida Bærholm Schnell<sup>1,5</sup>, Nathan A. Wales<sup>1</sup>, Christian Carøe<sup>1</sup>, Paula F. Campos<sup>1</sup>, Astrid M. Z. Schmidt<sup>1</sup>, M. Thomas P. Gilbert<sup>1</sup>, Anders J. Hansen<sup>1</sup> , Ludovic Orlando<sup>1</sup> and Eske Willerslev<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Centre for GeoGenetics, The Natural History Museum of Denmark, Oester Voldgade 5-7, Copenhagen C 1350, Denmark <sup>2</sup>Trace and Environmental DNA Laboratory, Curtin University, Kent Street, Bentley, Perth, Western Australia 6102, Australia <sup>3</sup>Department of Wildlife, Fish and Environmental Studies, SLU, Umeå S-901 83, Sweden <sup>4</sup>School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, Woodland Road, Bristol BS8 1UG, UK

<sup>5</sup>Center for Zoo and Wild Animal Health, Copenhagen Zoo, Frederiksberg, Denmark

DNA obtained from environmental samples such as sediments, ice or water (environmental DNA, eDNA), represents an important source of information on past and present biodiversity. It has revealed an ancient forest in Greenland, extended by several thousand years the survival dates for mainland woolly mammoth in Alaska, and pushed back the dates for spruce survival in Scandinavian ice-free refugia during the last glaciation. More recently, eDNA was used to uncover the past 50 000 years of vegetation history in the Arctic, revealing massive vegetation turnover at the Pleistocene/Holocene transition, with implications for the extinction of megafauna. Furthermore, eDNA can reflect the biodiversity of extant flora and fauna, both qualitatively and quantitatively, allowing detection of rare species. As such, trace studies of plant and vertebrate DNA in the environment have revolutionized our knowledge of biogeography. However, the approach remains marred by biases related to DNA behaviour in environmental settings, incomplete reference databases and false positive results due to contamination. We provide a review of the field.

# 1. Introduction

For over a decade, researchers have exploited the fact that environmental DNA (eDNA) derives not just from microbes, but from a wide range of organisms, including plants and vertebrates. A large proportion of the ancient flora and fauna do not fossilize, but leave extracellular DNA traces in the sediments. In a pioneering 2003 study, sediments from Siberia and New Zealand were found to contain traces of DNA from extinct animals, such as the woolly mammoth and moa birds [[1](#page-6-0)]. The study showed that modern plant DNA could also be recovered from surface soil. The same year, another team reported the retrieval of DNA from the extinct giant ground sloth and other Pleistocene animals from a dry cave in the southwest US [[2](#page-6-0)]. Since then, several studies of both past and present biodiversity have been published using eukaryotic eDNA recovered from a variety of settings including basal ice [\[3](#page-6-0)–[5\]](#page-6-0) and lake cores [\[6](#page-6-0)–[10\]](#page-6-0), surface soils [[11\]](#page-6-0), cave sediments [\[12,13](#page-6-0)], and water from lakes, streams [[14](#page-6-0)–[16\]](#page-6-0) and oceans [[17,18\]](#page-6-0) (figures [1](#page-1-0) and [2\)](#page-2-0). Importantly, studies have revealed that eDNA data and other proxies such as pollen, macrofossils, living mammals and plants seem to complement each other showing wider diversity of species than using the methods separately [[9](#page-6-0)–[11,20](#page-6-0)–[22\]](#page-6-0). Therefore, eDNA should be viewed as a complementary, rather than alternative, approach to assays of more traditional environmental proxies. Here, we discuss the experimental and bioinformatics challenges facing eDNA and provide examples of its uses for addressing biological questions.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Figure 1. Environments where eDNA of plants and/or animals have been reported: basal glacier ice, terrestrial sediments, lake, rivers and lake sediments, and ocean water. The eDNA comes mainly from plant fine rootlets, faeces, urine and skin cells. The eDNA can remain in the cells, or be released from the cells in which case it may bind to inorganic particles that protect the DNA from microbial and spontaneous chemical degradation. Extracellular DNA may also be incorporated into the genomes of bacteria (bacterial natural transformation of short and degraded DNA). (a) The last may happen when extracellular DNA meets a bacterium's surface and crosses the outer cell wall via protruding structures named pili. At the inner membrane, one strand of DNA is transported into the cell while the opposite DNA strand is degraded. (b) Once inside the cell, the DNA fragment may encounter the bacterial genome and binding at a single-stranded region during genome replication. (c) When the two new genomes segregate, one of the daughter-cells carries the inserted environmental DNA sequence.

## 2. Origins and behaviour of environmental DNA

The origins and behaviour of eDNA are still poorly understood. It appears that eDNA can be deposited through skin flakes [\[23](#page-6-0)], urine [\[24](#page-6-0)], faeces [\[25](#page-6-0),[26](#page-6-0)], eggshells [\[27](#page-6-0)], hair [\[28,29](#page-6-0)], saliva [\[30](#page-6-0)], insect exuviae [\[31](#page-6-0)], regurgitation pellets [\[32](#page-6-0)], feathers [[33\]](#page-6-0), leaves [[34,35](#page-6-0)], root cap cells, in rare cases pollen [\[9,36\]](#page-6-0), or in living prokaryotes through the secretion of plasmid and chromosomal DNA [\[37\]](#page-6-0) (figure 1). From bacterial and plant studies, evidence exists that dead cells entering the environment may quickly be lysed with their DNA immediately being released [\[38](#page-6-0)]. Upon release into the environment, the DNA molecule has three possible fates.

#### (a) Metabolism by bacterial and fungal exonucleases

Following its release into the environment, DNA becomes vulnerable to bacterial and fungal DNases, with the former commonly believed to be the primary mechanism for extracellular DNA degradation in the environment [\[39](#page-6-0)].

## (b) Persistence in the environment

DNA survival can be helped through the binding to environmental compounds such as clay minerals, larger organic molecules and other charged particles, which shields the adsorbed DNA from nuclease activity [\[40](#page-6-0)] (figure 1). Binding of nucleases also inhibits their ability to hydrolyse extracellular DNA [[39\]](#page-6-0). For example, clay minerals such as Montmorillonite can absorb more than their own weight in DNA, because of their relatively large negatively charged surface area [[41](#page-6-0)–[44\]](#page-7-0). Furthermore, humic acids, of which some are resistant to decay, also bind DNA molecules due to a negative surface charge, and therefore prolong DNA survival. Similarly, DNA in preserved animal guts and faeces is protected from degradation by absorption to humic acids and other organic molecules. Compared with clays, sand has been found less effective in binding DNA, the primary explanation being its small surface area. However, adsorption to sand is possible and increases with cation concentrations—particularly of divalent cations such as  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$ , which are most effective at forming sand–DNA bridges [\[45](#page-7-0)].

## (c) Natural transformation

Natural transformation is a process through which cells take up extracellular DNA from the surroundings and integrate it into their own genomes [[46,47\]](#page-7-0). Many bacteria are known to be agents for natural transformation, as are some archaea

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 2. Geographical distribution of sites where studies have investigated eDNA (adapted from [[19](#page-6-0)]). For references corresponding to numbers, see the electronic supplementary material.

and even a eukaryotic group of micro-invertebrates, the bdelloid rotifers [\[48](#page-7-0) –[51](#page-7-0)]. The majority of DNA that microbes take up is quickly degraded and re-metabolized in the cell, but some DNA persists for long enough to recombine with the host genome [\[52](#page-7-0)]. Classical natural transformation is efficient with kilobase-long DNA, but recently it has been shown that very short DNA fragments, down to 20 bp long, remain available for integration into the bacterial genome, even when severely damaged [\(figure 1\)](#page-1-0) [[52\]](#page-7-0). Although the integration depends on similarity between bacteria and source DNA, the authors succeeded in incorporating woolly mammoth mtDNA fragments, albeit after genetically modifying the bacteria to resemble mammoth mtDNA.

In general eDNA, in particular that from ancient samples, is extremely fragmented and chemically modified with abasic sites, deaminated cytosines and cross-links [\[52](#page-7-0)–[58\]](#page-7-0). DNA half-life is a complex function of the interplay between the physical, chemical and biological properties of the microenvironment. Turnover time of eDNA in both sea and freshwater was originally thought to be very rapid, just 6.5–25 h [[59](#page-7-0),[60](#page-7-0)], but more sensitive approaches have shown survival for up to several weeks [\[16,17,35](#page-6-0)[,61](#page-7-0)]. By contrast, in soils and sediments, moa DNA from 3000 years (kyr) old dry temperate sediments has been recovered [\[12\]](#page-6-0), mammoth DNA dating to 30 kyr BP from permafrost sediments has been amplified, as well as 400–600 kyr old plant DNA [[1](#page-6-0)] and approximately 0.5 million year old DNA from glacial basal ice [\[3\]](#page-6-0) (figure 2).

Most eDNA studies rely on the assumption that the age of the DNA molecule recovered is the same as the age of the sediments in which it is found, but in certain conditions DNA molecules can leach through the strata and contaminate lower layers [\[12](#page-6-0)]. With regard to this point, DNA leaching in permanently frozen soil (permafrost) or in sediments recently frozen has not been observed [[62,63\]](#page-7-0). However, in sediments from both temperate and desert environments, leaching has been reported [\[12,20](#page-6-0)[,64](#page-7-0)] and must be taken into account as a possible concern [[12,](#page-6-0)[64\]](#page-7-0). In our view, DNA leaching is not the most challenging issue for proper dating of eDNA, rather it is re-deposition of sediments carrying eDNA molecules with them. Therefore, it is crucial for ancient eDNA studies to be supported by good geological profiling, providing evidence of a site's geological stratigraphy and depositional history [\[65](#page-7-0)].

## 3. Experimental design

# (a) Sampling and handling of samples for environmental DNA studies

Given the relatively low number of endogenous molecules of DNA from higher organisms in most environmental samples, contamination remains among the greatest experimental challenges to the field. Currently, several strategies for taking

eDNA samples exist for aquatic systems [[16,](#page-6-0)[61,66,67\]](#page-7-0), lake sediments [\[10,13](#page-6-0)[,68\]](#page-7-0), permafrost soil [\[69,70\]](#page-7-0) and ice [\[1](#page-6-0),[53](#page-7-0),[71](#page-7-0)–[74](#page-7-0)]. The use of trace substances, such as unique plasmid DNA, smeared on exposed surfaces and equipment, represents an efficient means of determining whether contaminants have penetrated inside the sample during sampling, transport, storage or subsequent subsampling [[69](#page-7-0)].

For downstream analyses, samples with ancient DNA must be handled in appropriately designed laboratories divided into a pre- and post-PCR environment to reduce carry-over contamination. For ancient eDNA studies, these should be physically separated, and the former equipped with nightly UV irradiation of surfaces and positive air pressure [\[75,76](#page-7-0)]. Bleach and CoPA solution (a copper-bis- (phenanthroline)-sulfate/ $H_2O_2$  solution, US patent number 5858650) is most efficient when decontaminating surfaces, gloves and equipment [[77\]](#page-7-0). Other DNA decontaminating products such as RNAse away (Molecular Bioproducts) and other detergents are less effective, but in combination with UV-irradiation serve may as a non-corrosive alternative for equipment sensitive to bleach. Carry-over contamination can be limited by wearing gloves, masks and full-body suits [[77\]](#page-7-0). Blank controls are crucial for identifying laboratory contamination, but are not 100% reliable, due to low levels of sporadic contamination and carrier effects [[76,78\]](#page-7-0). Blank controls are likely sufficient for controlling contamination from certain species that are likely showing up only by previously produced amplicons. For other taxa, contaminants can be difficult to distinguish from endogenous DNA. For example, DNA contaminants from various sources are found in reagents [\[10](#page-6-0),[21](#page-6-0),[77](#page-7-0)–[82\]](#page-7-0). Although most of these are from readily identified domesticated animals or cultivated plants, others such as Salix [[83\]](#page-8-0) are not and can be mistaken for genuine environmental diversity. We stress the importance of controls for each new reagent stock and systematically keeping track of these, especially now that the massive throughput of next generation sequencing (NGS) platforms makes it possible to sequence even traces of contamination. For example, commercial PCR primers were recently found to be contaminated with plant DNA (K. Andersen 2013, personal communication). Studies on eDNA using NGS technology have probably overlooked the magnitude of this problem (including our own group). Therefore, recent attempts to compile contamination databases of control sequences are extremely welcome [[84\]](#page-8-0).

#### (b) DNA extraction of environmental samples

The high level of biological complexity in environmental samples makes unbiased extractions a major challenge. The ability to extract the DNA from samples with equal efficiencies seems unlikely, considering the wide range of sample types. Currently, no generic extraction method performs equally well across all environments or taxonomic groups [\[85](#page-8-0)–[91](#page-8-0)]. However, numerous commercial and custom extraction protocols have been adjusted to handle different combinations of sample types and organisms. Some of these are generic and have successfully been used for eDNA studies in lakes, ancient sediments and ice [\[1](#page-6-0),[4,12,16,17,](#page-6-0)[71,](#page-7-0)[92,93\]](#page-8-0), although a better understanding of extraction bias will benefit the field tremendously.

Inhibition of proteinase, DNA polymerase and DNA ligase activities can preclude eDNA analyses [[94](#page-8-0)]. Several strategies have been developed to identify and overcome this problem: (i) DNA spiking to gauge the presence of inhibitors [[95,96\]](#page-8-0), (ii) DNA extract dilution to reduce inhibition, (iii) additional purification (phenol–chloroform, silica-based columns) to remove inhibitors, and (iv) incapacitating the inhibitors by using enzyme facilitators that bind lipids, phenols and other organic inhibitors such as BSA, RSA, Tween20, PEG 400 and Gp32 [\[94,97](#page-8-0)].

## (c) Generic versus specific primers

Metabarcoding uses generic (or universal) primers, which are designed to target several taxa simultaneously [\[98](#page-8-0)–[102](#page-8-0)], in contrast to specific primers, which are designed to amplify only a few selected species. The advantage of using generic primers is the simultaneous amplification of a multitude of taxa and detection of new unexpected taxa. The biggest caveat when using generic primers is that the results might be skewed towards preferential amplification of certain taxa, while others (in particular rare taxa) remain undetected [[9](#page-6-0)[,99](#page-8-0),[101,103\]](#page-8-0). This problem results from (i) interspecific differences in decaying processes of tissue and DNA, (ii) primer-binding biases due to target sequences not matching equally well to primers [\[102\]](#page-8-0), (iii) PCR stochasticity, and (iv) inhibition. One disadvantage of specific primers in multispecies surveys is the need for larger volumes of DNA templates, which are often in limited supply in eDNA settings. Therefore, in some cases, generic- and species-specific primers may be used in combination to maximize diversity resolution, as the two approaches may detect non-overlapping taxa [[101,104\]](#page-8-0). Enrichment approaches for specific loci, possibly targeting a range of taxonomic groups simultaneously, might in the future provide a solution to such problems [\[105](#page-8-0),[106](#page-8-0)].

#### (d) Sequence-to-sample misidentification

To increase overall data output during NGS-based analyses, eDNA can be PCR amplified using unique combinations of 5'-nucleotide-tagged primers, that enable subsequent pooling of amplicons originating from different samples [\[107](#page-8-0)]. Originally developed for the FLX platform, subsequent studies explored their use on Illumina platforms—although in this case problems were observed arising from tag recombination during the library amplification steps. This problem has also been observed in non-metabarcoding studies. Specifically, using Illumina sequencing and double-indexing, Kircher et al. [[108](#page-8-0)] reported a significant fraction of sequencing reads with unused combinations of indexes. They identified two major causes: (i) cross-contamination of oligonucleotides carrying different indexes and (ii) chimaera formation in which indexed templates from one library recombine with those from other libraries ('jumping PCR') in experiments where multiple sequencing libraries were amplified in bulk. Although unused index-combinations are easily identified, recombination that creates false, but already used index-combinations may introduce significant levels of sample misidentification.

There are several solutions to recognize and/or minimize sequence-to-sample misidentifications: (i) reducing the number of cycles during PCR indexing, (ii) generating a number of PCR replicates of the same sample using different combinations of 5'-nucleotide-tagged primers for each replicate and only keeping sequences consistent across a majority of PCRs (which also reduces sequencing errors), and (iii) using tags that are unique in both ends of the sequence to allow rapid identification of those not used in the study.

Even though studies have already looked into the causes and solution to jumping PCR, PCR stochasticity, and PCR-induced artefacts, their respective importance still needs to be tested to optimize how the sequencing output reflects the true diversity present in different environments.

## (e) Processing next generation sequencing data and

#### assigning sequences to taxa

Traditional genetic barcodes used in conventional (i.e. noneDNA) projects exploit DNA barcodes of more than 500 bp in length. Barcodes of such length are inappropriate for eDNA analyses, as the eDNA is often fragmented into less than 150 bp pieces [[109\]](#page-8-0). Therefore, sequence primers targeting short phylogenetically informative regions such as the trnL/ rbcL genes [\[110,111](#page-8-0)], the 12S rRNA [\[112](#page-8-0),[113\]](#page-8-0), 16S rRNA genes [[114](#page-8-0)] and internal transcribed spacers [\[115](#page-8-0),[116\]](#page-8-0) have been developed to survey ancient plant, animal, bacterial and fungal diversity. The ECOPRIMERS software [\[117\]](#page-8-0) and the Primer-Prospector package [\[118\]](#page-8-0) have proved useful for achieving successful primer design [\[119](#page-8-0)–[122](#page-8-0)].

Similar to the challenge of sequencing errors, single base substitutions introduced during PCR, and PCR-derived chimaera formation, can affect the taxonomic identification process. Thus, distinguishing these effects from true biological sequence variation is essential. Different denoising procedures have been developed to do this, initially based around 454/FLX pyrosequencing reads (Life Sciences, Roche), such as PyroNoise [\[123](#page-8-0)], Denoiser [[124](#page-9-0)] and Amplicon Noise [\[125](#page-9-0)], and for chimaera detection, such as Uchime [[126\]](#page-9-0). Procedures tailored to Illumina platforms, which are more cost-effective per base [[127\]](#page-9-0), have also emerged. Caporaso et al. [\[120](#page-8-0)] developed a 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing protocol for MiSeq and HiSeq platforms. Paired-end Illumina sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons was compared to single-end sequencing and was found to increase the detected  $\alpha$ -diversity of microbial communities, without affecting the resolution of phylogenetic clustering. A range of additional tools are available to help process NGS data, such as OBITools [\(http://www.grenoble.prabi.](http://www.grenoble.prabi.fr/trac/OBITools/) [fr/trac/OBITools/\)](http://www.grenoble.prabi.fr/trac/OBITools/) and QIIME [\[128](#page-9-0)], which can both handle data from multiple pooled samples.

With regard to taxonomic identification, one of the most popular tools for analysing metagenomic data is MEGAN [\[129\]](#page-9-0), software that originally used BLAST to infer taxonomic composition. However, BLAST searching does not represent the most appropriate method for metagenomic sequence assignment. This is because alignments are local and not global, and hit similarities provide a measure of the confidence in the local sequence similarity but not of the validity of the assignment per se [\[130\]](#page-9-0). Input formats other than BLAST are now compatible with the latest version of the program (MEGAN 5), such as SAM files and QIIME output [\[131\]](#page-9-0).

Alternative approaches based on phylogenetic placement have been developed, where databases are first screened for orthologues showing significant sequence similarity. Following sequence alignment, Bayesian phylogenetic trees are reconstructed and the query sequence assigned to the highest taxonomic level shared with all members of the smallest supported monophyletic clade to which it belongs. Posterior probability clade support is used as a direct measure of assignment significance [\[132\]](#page-9-0). For COI insect and trnL plant sequences, this approach was found to outperform BLAST both in sensitivity and specificity [[132\]](#page-9-0). As the Bayesian

framework is computationally intensive and incompatible with the size of NGS datasets, a heuristic approach has been introduced with no apparent loss in sensitivity. This approach is based on neighbour-joining trees and non-parametric bootstrapping for an evaluation of node robustness [[132\]](#page-9-0). We acknowledge the fact that species absent from the database represent an important drawback of this method, as large portions of the biodiversity remain uncharacterized. Using a promising approach based on fuzzy theory and COI sequence data, Zhang et al. [[133\]](#page-9-0) have shown that this problem could potentially be addressed during the analyses. Despite this, building a goodquality reference sequence database, properly curated and even including taxonomically validated samples, still represents an essential component of all metabarcoding projects [[102](#page-8-0)].

An important bottleneck observed in previous analyses is the necessity to align query sequences that often number in the millions, against orthologues. Aligning query sequences against a predefined template has provided an efficient solution to this problem. Fast methods based on a diversity of approaches, such as hidden Markov model profiles from the reference alignment, or phylogenetically aware strategies [[134\]](#page-9-0), have been proposed [[135,136](#page-9-0)]. The nearest alignment space termination (NAST) procedure [\[137\]](#page-9-0) is another such approach where the template sequence most similar to the query sequence is first identified using BLAST [\[138](#page-9-0)] and then pairwise realigned to the query sequence. Gap spacing originally present in the template alignment is then reintroduced in the pairwise alignment, generating a full global multi-alignment. The NAST procedure is provided with the QIIME software [\[120](#page-8-0),[128\]](#page-9-0), which is compatible with Sanger, 454 and Illumina data and performs a full range of analyses for metabarcoding DNA sequences, including operational taxonomic unit (OTU) identi-fication [[139,140\]](#page-9-0),  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -diversity measurements and clustering methods and UniFrac distances [\[141](#page-9-0)]. UniFrac distances are based on the fraction of the total branch length that is shared among samples and reflect how much environments/samples are taxonomically similar. This approach has shown promising results in assessing the microbial taxonomic proximity across environments [\[142](#page-9-0)–[163](#page-9-0)] and also in monitoring changes in the human oral microflora following the Neolithic revolution and industrial revolution, in response to major changes in carbohydrate consumption [\[164](#page-9-0)]. With the growing availability of environmental metagenomic datasets, SOURCETRACKER [[165\]](#page-9-0) appears to be a useful tool that can authenticate DNA profiles, for example, by showing different sources for the samples and their respective negative controls, or by matching samples with their expected tissue source [\[166\]](#page-9-0).

With ever-reducing sequencing costs, shotgun sequencing now provides an alternative approach to metabarcoding for determining taxonomic profiles. Reads are first aligned to annotated reference genomes or clade-specific [\[167\]](#page-9-0)/universal [[168](#page-10-0)] markers, and taxon relative abundances can be estimated with appropriate normalization by genome size [[169](#page-10-0)–[172\]](#page-10-0). Such taxonomic profiles are not affected by biases typical of amplicon-based profiles, such as copy-number variation across taxonomic groups [[173](#page-10-0)], target amplification efficiency variability [\[174](#page-10-0)] and single marker reliability [[175](#page-10-0)].

The specificity of reference markers for shotgun profiling also limits biases related to evolutionary uninformative conserved regions and horizontal gene transfer [[167](#page-9-0)[,172,176,177\]](#page-10-0). Shotgun profiling is, however, hindered by computational constraints associated with the size of the datasets analysed. With the program MetaPhlAn [[167\]](#page-9-0), the speed of read assignment

was increased 50-fold compared with commonly employed methods such as PhymmBL [[178](#page-10-0)], BLAST [[138](#page-9-0)], RITA [\[179\]](#page-10-0) and NBC [\[180\]](#page-10-0). The large fraction of taxa present in the environment, but not represented in databases is still problematic, as shown by analyses performed with mOTU [\[168](#page-10-0)], which estimated that current databases are only able to detect 43% of species abundance and 58% of richness present in clinical samples of faeces [\[168\]](#page-10-0).

Shotgun datasets also contain comprehensive and useful information relating to the biological functions used in environmental communities [\[181](#page-10-0)]. By using alignment tools such as BLASTX [\[138\]](#page-9-0), metagenomic reads are aligned to databases of proteins such as NCBInr, KEGG [\[182\]](#page-10-0), EGGnog [\[183\]](#page-10-0) or SEED [[184](#page-10-0)], and functional profiles can be analysed in MEGAN [\[129\]](#page-9-0). Finally, reference-free alternative approaches based on k-mer counts [\[185](#page-10-0)] have also proved to be 860 times faster than BLASTX, with comparable sensitivity and precision, but without loss of accuracy [[186](#page-10-0)].

## 4. Environmental DNA case studies

## (a) Soil, terrestrial sediments and basal ice

Soil and terrestrial sediments represent the most studied eDNA source [\(figure 2\)](#page-2-0), and recent studies on surface sediments demonstrate that eDNA mirrors the diversity of terrestrial plants [[11\]](#page-6-0) and mammals [[20\]](#page-6-0) both qualitatively, and to some extent, quantitatively [\[11,20](#page-6-0)]. Ancient sediment has revealed the persistence of Late Quaternary megafauna for much longer timespans than their commonly surmised extinction times [\[19](#page-6-0)]. This demonstrated the power of eDNA approaches that target short molecular signatures in contrast to palaeontological analyses that require preservation of macrofossils to firmly establish the presence of a given species at a given time period.

Ancient eDNA analyses of permafrost samples distributed across the whole Arctic have provided the largest historical record of vegetation changes over the past 50 kyr [\[83](#page-8-0)]. Here, the authors found evidence for a diverse, but rather stable Arctic vegetation dominated by forbs until around the last glacial maximum (LGM), some 20 kyr ago where the diversity declined drastically. As the climate became warmer, a vegetation turnover was detected until the ecosystem was completely dominated by bushes and grass and depleted in forbs. Interestingly, the stomach content and faeces of Arctic megafaunal species revealed a large fraction of forbs in their diet, suggesting that the transition from a forb-dominated to a grass-dominated steppe might have contributed to the massive decline of megafaunal populations after the LGM.

In 1999, the first eDNA study was conducted on ice cores (but on microbial eukaryotes rather than higher organisms) and revealed algae and fungi diversity in the Hans Tausen ice core of northern Greenland [[4](#page-6-0)]. Since then, DNA in basal ice from the DYE-3 ice core of southern Greenland revealed a diverse conifer forest with a full diversity of insects different from those found in Greenland today [[3](#page-6-0)]. By dating this reconstructed environment to beyond the last interglacial period (Eemian 130-115 kyr ago [[187](#page-10-0)]), the authors questioned the common belief at the time, that southern central Greenland was ice-free during the Eemian. Pollen records from a marine sediment core off the south coast of Greenland further supported this claim [\[188\]](#page-10-0) (figures [1](#page-1-0) and [2](#page-2-0)).

#### (b) Marine and freshwater

Environmental DNA extracted from contemporary aquatic samples provides a good proxy of the biodiversity in and around the water ([figure 2\)](#page-2-0). This was first shown in freshwater ecosystems [[14\]](#page-6-0) with the molecular detection of the American bullfrog (Rana catesbeiana) in French wetlands. In subsequent studies, others successfully detected eDNA from invasive and low abundance species, including amphibians [[16,](#page-6-0)[67,](#page-7-0)[189](#page-10-0)–[191\]](#page-10-0), fishes [[15,16,](#page-6-0)[192](#page-10-0)–[194\]](#page-10-0) and snails [\[195\]](#page-10-0), but also from endangered amphibians, fishes, mammals and insects [\[16](#page-6-0)]. Furthermore, using a quantitative study design, species-specific eDNA concentrations have been found to reflect animal density [\[16\]](#page-6-0). The same study also demonstrated that coupling eDNA with high-throughput sequencing can account for entire lake faunas of amphibians and fishes [[16\]](#page-6-0), providing cost-effective approaches to monitor biodiversity.

Recently, two studies showed that seawater is also a source of macro-organismal eDNA for detection of whale species [[18\]](#page-6-0) and marine fish diversity [\[17](#page-6-0)] ([figure 2](#page-2-0)). Importantly, eDNA from fresh and seawater appears to reflect contemporary rather than past diversity, as eDNA decays within a few days or weeks in the water column [\[16,17](#page-6-0)[,61](#page-7-0)[,196,197](#page-10-0)].

#### (c) Lake cores

Lake sediments have traditionally been used for pollen records, but have now been found to contain DNA from fishes [[6](#page-6-0)], mammals [\[198](#page-10-0)] and plants [[7](#page-6-0)–[10](#page-6-0)]. This source of information was not only used to infer past human/environment interaction but also addressed a long-lasting controversy in bio-geography: whether spruce survived in Scandinavia ice-free refugia during the last glaciation [\[8](#page-6-0)]. Two distinct mtDNA haplogroups were found in present-day Norwegian spruce, of which one is common both in and outside Scandinavia. The other is only known in Scandinavia and could represent the signature of survival in a refugium during the LGM. This was confirmed using eDNA from lake cores in areas shown to have remained ice-free during the LGM, with evidence of spruce DNA including the rare mitochondrial haplogroup.

## 5. Future of environmental DNA

Among the greatest benefits of eDNA is that it reduces costs and time associated with conventional bio-surveys, such as manhours, field-training, equipment, permits, safety issues and handling of organisms. At the same time, it provides a means for undertaking large-scale biodiversity comparisons across both time and space. As such, the field of eDNA promises to revolutionize areas of archaeology, ecology and conservation [[199\]](#page-10-0). The next step will be moving from metabarcoding approaches to true metagenomics. With increasing genome data being generated, this should soon be feasible and will allow for better species identifications and quantitative estimates of their abundances in environmental settings. Importantly, however, although the young field of eDNA appears to have a promising future, we emphasize that further basic studies are needed before its potential and limitations are fully explored.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Prof. Kurt H. Kjær for help with [figure 1](#page-1-0), and Andrea Torti, Mark Lever and Kenneth Andersen for help with the manuscript.

Funding statement. The Danish National Research Foundation supported this work.

# <span id="page-6-0"></span>**References**

- 1. Willerslev E. 2003 Diverse plant and animal genetic records from Holocene and Pleistocene sediments. Science 300, 791-795. ([doi:10.1126/science.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1084114) [1084114\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1084114)
- 2. Hofreiter M, Mead JI, Martin P, Poinar HN. 2003 Molecular caving. Curr. Biol. 13, R693 –R695. [\(doi:10.1016/j.cub.2003.08.039](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2003.08.039))
- 3. Willerslev E et al. 2007 Ancient biomolecules from deep ice cores reveal a forested southern Greenland. Science 317, 111-114. ([doi:10.1126/science.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1141758) [1141758\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1141758)
- 4. Willerslev E, Hansen A, Christensen B, Steffensen JP, Arctander P. 1999 Diversity of Holocene life forms in fossil glacier ice. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 96, 8017 – 8021. [\(doi:10.1073/pnas.96.14.8017\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.14.8017)
- 5. Gould BA, Leon B, Buffen AM, Thompson LG. 2010 Evidence of a high-Andean, mid-Holocene plant community: an ancient DNA analysis of glacially preserved remains. Am. J. Bot. 97, 1579 – 1584. [\(doi:10.3732/ajb.1000058\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1000058)
- 6. Matisoo-Smith E, Roberts K, Welikala N, Tannock G, Chester PI, Feek DT, Flenley JR. 2008 Recovery of DNA and pollen from New Zealand lake sediments. Quat. Int. **184**, 139 - 149. ([doi:10.1016/j.quaint.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2007.09.013) [2007.09.013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2007.09.013)
- 7. Anderson-Carpenter LL, McLachlan JS, Jackson ST, Kuch M, Lumibao CY, Poinar HN. 2011 Ancient DNA from lake sediments: bridging the gap between paleoecology and genetics. BMC Evol. Biol. 11, 30. [\(doi:10.1186/1471-2148-11-30\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-11-30)
- 8. Parducci L et al. 2012 Glacial survival of boreal trees in northern Scandinavia. Science 335, 1083– 1086. [\(doi:10.1126/science.1216043\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1216043)
- 9. Parducci L et al. 2013 Molecular- and pollen-based vegetation analysis in lake sediments from central Scandinavia. Mol. Ecol. 22, 3511 – 3524. [\(doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mec.12298) [1111/mec.12298\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mec.12298)
- 10. Pedersen MW, Ginolhac A, Orlando L, Olsen J, Andersen K, Holm J, Funder S, Willerslev E, Kjær KH. 2013 A comparative study of ancient environmental DNA to pollen and macrofossils from lake sediments reveals taxonomic overlap and additional plant taxa. Quat. Sci. Rev. **75**, 161 - 168. [\(doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.06.006) [2013.06.006](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.06.006))
- 11. Yoccoz NG et al. 2012 DNA from soil mirrors plant taxonomic and growth form diversity. Mol. Ecol. 21, 3647– 3655. ([doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05545.x) [2012.05545.x\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05545.x)
- 12. Haile JS et al. 2007 Ancient DNA chronology within sediment deposits: are paleobiological reconstructions possible and is DNA leaching a factor? Mol. Biol. Evol. 24, 982 – 989. ([doi:10.1093/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msm016) [molbev/msm016\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msm016)
- 13. Haouchar D, Haile J, McDowell MC, Murray DC, White NE, Allcock RJN, Phillips MJ, Prideaux GJ, Bunce M. 2014 Thorough assessment of DNA preservation from fossil bone and sediments excavated from a Late Pleistocene–Holocene cave deposit on Kangaroo Island, South Australia. Quat. Sci. Rev. 84, 56–64. [\(doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.11.007](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.11.007))
- 14. Ficetola GF, Miaud C, Pompanon F, Taberlet P. 2008 Species detection using environmental DNA from water samples. Biol. Lett. 4, 423– 425. ([doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2008.0118) [1098/rsbl.2008.0118](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2008.0118))
- 15. Jerde CL, Mahon AR, Chadderton WL, Lodge DM. 2011 'Sight-unseen' detection of rare aquatic species using environmental DNA. Conserv. Lett. 4, 150 – 157. [\(doi:10.1111/j.1755-263X.2010.00158.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-263X.2010.00158.x))
- 16. Thomsen PF, Kielgast J, Iversen LL, Wiuf CC, Rasmussen M, Gilbert MTP, Orlando L, Willerslev E. 2011 Monitoring endangered freshwater biodiversity using environmental DNA. Mol. Ecol. 21, 2565– 2573. [\(doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05418.x) [05418.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05418.x))
- 17. Thomsen PF, Kielgast J, Iversen LL, Møller PR, Rasmussen M, Willerslev E. 2012 Detection of a diverse marine fish fauna using environmental DNA from seawater samples. PLoS ONE 7, e41732. [\(doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041732\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0041732)
- 18. Foote AD et al. 2012 Investigating the potential use of environmental DNA (eDNA) for genetic monitoring of marine mammals. PLoS ONE 7. e41781. ([doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041781](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0041781))
- 19. Haile JS et al. 2009 Ancient DNA reveals late survival of mammoth and horse in interior Alaska. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 106, 22 352– 22 357. [\(doi:10.1073/pnas.0912510106\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0912510106)
- 20. Andersen K et al. 2011 Meta-barcoding of 'dirt' DNA from soil reflects vertebrate biodiversity. Mol. Ecol. 21, 1966– 1979. [\(doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05261.x) [2011.05261.x\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05261.x)
- 21. Jørgensen T et al. 2011 A comparative study of ancient sedimentary DNA, pollen and macrofossils from permafrost sediments of northern Siberia reveals long-term vegetational stability. Mol. Ecol. 21, 1989 – 2003. [\(doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05287.x) [05287.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05287.x))
- 22. Pawłowska J, Lejzerowicz F, Esling P, Szczucinski W, Zajączkowski M, Pawlowski J. 2014 Ancient DNA sheds new light on the Svalbard foraminiferal fossil record of the last millennium. Geobiology 12, 277 – 288. [\(doi:10.1111/gbi.12087](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/gbi.12087))
- 23. Bunce M, Szulkin M, Lerner HRL, Barnes I, Shapiro B, Cooper A, Holdaway RN. 2005 Ancient DNA provides new insights into the evolutionary history of New Zealand's extinct giant eagle. PLoS Biol. 3, e9. [\(doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0030009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0030009))
- 24. Valiere N, Taberlet P. 2000 Urine collected in the field as a source of DNA for species and individual identification. Mol. Ecol. 9, 2150 – 2152. ([doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.2000.11142.x) [1046/j.1365-294X.2000.11142.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.2000.11142.x))
- 25. Poinar HN. 1998 Molecular coproscopy: dung and diet of the extinct ground sloth Nothrotheriops shastensis. Science 281, 402– 406. [\(doi:10.1126/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.281.5375.402) [science.281.5375.402\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.281.5375.402)
- 26. Höss M, Kohn M, Pääbo S, Knauer F, Schröder W. 1992 Excrement analysis by PCR. Nature 359, 199. [\(doi:10.1038/359199a0\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/359199a0)
- 27. Strausberger BM, Ashley MV. 2001 Eggs yield nuclear DNA from egg-laying female cowbirds,

their embryos and offspring. Consery. Genet. 2. 385– 390. [\(doi:10.1023/A:1012526315617](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1012526315617))

- 28. Higuchi R, von Beroldingen CH, Sensabaugh GF, Erlich HA. 1988 DNA typing from single hairs. Nature 332, 543– 546. [\(doi:10.1038/332543a0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/332543a0))
- 29. Taberlet P, Mattock H, Dubois-Paganon C, Bouvet J. 1993 Sexing free-ranging brown bears Ursus arctos using hairs found in the field. Mol. Ecol. 2, 399–403. ([doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.1993.tb00033.x\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.1993.tb00033.x)
- 30. Nichols RV, Königsson H, Danell K, Spong G, 2012 Browsed twig environmental DNA: diagnostic PCR to identify ungulate species. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 12, 983– 989. [\(doi:10.1111/j.1755-0998.2012.03172.x\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2012.03172.x)
- 31. Hofreiter M, Collins M, Stewart JR. 2012 Ancient biomolecules in Quaternary palaeoecology. Ougt. Sci. Rev. 33, 1-13. [\(doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.11.018) [11.018](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.11.018))
- 32. Taberlet P, Fumagalli L. 1996 Owl pellets as a source of DNA for genetic studies of small mammals. Mol. Ecol. 5, 301– 305. [\(doi:10.1046/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.1996.00084.x) [1365-294X.1996.00084.x\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.1996.00084.x)
- 33. Taberlet P, Bouvet J. 1991 A single plucked feather as a source of DNA for bird genetic-studies. Auk 108, 959– 960.
- 34. Trevors JT. 1996 Nucleic acids in the environment. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 7, 331– 336. [\(doi:10.1016/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0958-1669(96)80040-1) [S0958-1669\(96\)80040-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0958-1669(96)80040-1))
- 35. Poté J, Ackermann R, Wildi W, 2009 Plant leaf mass loss and DNA release in freshwater sediments. Ecotox. Environ. Safe 72, 1378 – 1383. [\(doi:10.1016/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2009.04.010) [j.ecoenv.2009.04.010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2009.04.010)
- 36. Levy-Booth DJ, Campbell RG, Gulden RH. 2007 Cycling of extracellular DNA in the soil environment. Soil Biol. Biochem. 39, 2977– 2991. ([doi:10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.06.020) [soilbio.2007.06.020\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.06.020)
- 37. Meier P, Wackernagel W. 2003 Mechanisms of homology-facilitated illegitimate recombination for foreign DNA acquisition in transformable Pseudomonas stutzeri. Mol. Microbiol. 48, 1107– 1118. [\(doi:10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03498.x\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03498.x)
- 38. Nielsen KM, Johnsen PJ, Bensasson D, Daffonchio D. 2007 Release and persistence of extracellular DNA in the environment. *Environ. Biosafety Res.* 6,  $37 - 53$ . ([doi:10.1051/ebr:2007031\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/ebr:2007031)
- 39. Blum SAE, Lorenz MG, Wackernagel W. 1997 Mechanism of retarded DNA degradation and prokaryotic origin of DNases in nonsterile soils. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 20, 513 – 521. [\(doi:10.1016/S0723-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0723-2020(97)80021-5) [2020\(97\)80021-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0723-2020(97)80021-5))
- 40. Crecchio C, Stotzky G. 1998 Binding of DNA on humic acids: effect on transformation of Bacillus subtilis and resistance to DNase. Soil Biol. Biochem. 30, 1061– 1067. [\(doi:10.1016/S0038-0717\(97\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(97)00248-4) [00248-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(97)00248-4))
- 41. Khanna M, Stotzky G. 1992 Transformation of Bacillus subtilis by DNA bound on Montmorillonite and effect of DNase on the transforming ability of bound DNA. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 58, 1930–1939.
- 42. Pietramellara G, Ascher J, Ceccherini MT, Nannipieri P, Wenderoth D. 2007 Adsorption of pure and dirty

rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 370: 20130383

8

<span id="page-7-0"></span>bacterial DNA on clay minerals and their transformation frequency. Biol. Fertil. Soils 43, 731– 739. ([doi:10.1007/s00374-006-0156-8\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00374-006-0156-8)

- 43. Greaves MP, Wilson MJ. 1969 The adsorption of nucleic acids by Montmorillonite. Soil Biol. Biochem. 1, 317– 323. ([doi:10.1016/0038-0717\(69\)90014-5\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(69)90014-5)
- 44. Huang YT, Lowe DJ, Churchman GJ, Schipper LA. 2014 Carbon storage and DNA adsorption in allophanic soils and Paleosols. In Soil Carbon (eds K McSweeney, AE Hartemink), pp. 163–172. Springer International.
- 45. Lorenz MG, Wackernagel W. 1987 Adsorption of DNA to sand and variable degradation rates of adsorbed DNA. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 53, 2948 – 2952.
- 46. Lorenz MG, Wackernagel W. 1994 Bacterial gene transfer by natural genetic transformation in the environment. Microbiological. Rev. 58, 563.
- 47. Thomas CM, Nielsen KM. 2005 Mechanisms of, and barriers to, horizontal gene transfer between bacteria. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 3, 711– 721. [\(doi:10.1038/nrmicro1234](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1234))
- 48. Johnsborg O, Eldholm V, Håvarstein LS. 2007 Natural genetic transformation: prevalence, mechanisms and function. Res. Microbiol. 158, 767– 778. ([doi:10.1016/j.resmic.2007.09.004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2007.09.004))
- 49. Vries J, Wackernagel W. 2005 Microbial horizontal gene transfer and the DNA release from transgenic crop plants. Plant Soil 266, 91 – 104. ([doi:10.1007/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11104-005-4783-x) [s11104-005-4783-x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11104-005-4783-x))
- 50. Boschetti C, Carr A, Crisp A, Eyres I, Wang-koh Y, Lubzens E, Barraclough TG, Micklem G, Tunnacliffe A. 2012 Biochemical diversification through foreign gene expression in bdelloid rotifers. PLoS Genet. 8, e1003035. ([doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003035](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003035))
- 51. Gladyshev EA, Meselson M, Arkhipova IR. 2008 Massive horizontal gene transfer in bdelloid rotifers. Science 320, 1210– 1213. [\(doi:10.1126/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1156407) [science.1156407\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1156407)
- 52. Overballe-Petersen S et al. 2013 Bacterial natural transformation by highly fragmented and damaged DNA. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 110, 19 860– 19 865. [\(doi:10.1073/pnas.1315278110](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1315278110))
- 53. Briggs AW et al. 2010 Removal of deaminated cytosines and detection of in vivo methylation in ancient DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 38, e87. ([doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkp1163) [1093/nar/gkp1163](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkp1163))
- 54. Willerslev E, Hansen AJ, Rønn R, Brand TB, Barnes I, Wiuf CC, Gilichinsky D, Mitchell D, Cooper A. 2004 Long-term persistence of bacterial DNA. Curr. Biol. 14, R9 – 10. [\(doi:10.1016/j.cub.2003.12.012](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2003.12.012))
- 55. Deagle BE, Eveson JP, Jarman SN. 2006 Quantification of damage in DNA recovered from highly degraded samples–a case study on DNA in faeces. Front. Zool. 3, 11. [\(doi:10.1186/1742-9994-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1742-9994-3-11) [3-11\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1742-9994-3-11)
- 56. Pietramellara G, Ascher J, Borgogni F. 2009 Extracellular DNA in soil and sediment: fate and ecological relevance. Biol. Fertil. Soils 43, 731-739. [\(doi:10.1007/s00374-006-0156-8\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00374-006-0156-8)
- 57. Allentoft ME et al. 2012 The half-life of DNA in bone: measuring decay kinetics in 158 dated fossils. Proc. R. Soc. B 279, 4724-4733. [\(doi:10.1098/rspb.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.1745) [2012.1745\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.1745)
- 58. Gilbert MTP, Djurhuus D, Melchior L, Lynnerup N, Worobey M, Wilson AS, Andreasen C, Dissing J. 2007 mtDNA from hair and nail clarifies the genetic relationship of the 15th century Qilakitsoq Inuit mummies. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 133, 847 – 853. [\(doi:10.1002/ajpa.20602\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.20602)
- 59. Paul JH, Jeffrey WH, DeFlaun MF. 1987 Dynamics of extracellular DNA in the marine environment. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 53, 170 – 179.
- 60. Paul JHJ, Jeffrey WHW, David AWA, Deflaun MFM, Cazares LHL. 1989 Turnover of extracellular DNA in eutrophic and oligotrophic freshwater environments of southwest Florida. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 55, 1823 – 1828.
- 61. Dejean T, Valentini A, Duparc A, Pellier-Cuit S, Pompanon F, Taberlet P, Miaud C. 2011 Persistence of environmental DNA in freshwater ecosystems. PLoS ONE 6, e23398. ([doi:10.1371/journal.pone.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023398) [0023398\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023398)
- 62. Willerslev E, Hansen AJ, Poinar HN. 2004 Isolation of nucleic acids and cultures from fossil ice and permafrost. Trends Ecol. Evol. 19, 141– 147. [\(doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2003.11.010) [1016/j.tree.2003.11.010](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2003.11.010))
- 63. Hebsgaard MB, Gilbert MTP, Arneborg J, Heyn P, Allentoft ME, Bunce M, Munch K, Schweger C, Willerslev E. 2009 'The farm beneath the sand' - an archaeological case study on ancient 'dirt' DNA. Antiquity 83, 430– 444.
- 64. Jenkins DL et al. 2012 Clovis age Western Stemmed projectile points and human coprolites at the Paisley Caves. Science 337, 223– 228. [\(doi:10.1126/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1218443) [science.1218443\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1218443)
- 65. Arnold LJ, Roberts RG, MacPhee RDE, Willerslev E, Tikhonov AN, Brock F. 2008 Optical dating of perennially frozen deposits associated with preserved ancient plant and animal DNA in northcentral Siberia. Quat. Geochronol. 3, 114– 136. [\(doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2007.09.002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2007.09.002)
- 66. Young MK, McKelvey KS, Pilgrim KL, Schwartz MK. 2013 DNA barcoding at riverscape scales: assessing biodiversity among fishes of the genus Cottus (Teleostei) in northern Rocky Mountain streams. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 13, 583– 595. [\(doi:10.1111/1755-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12091) [0998.12091\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12091)
- 67. Pilliod DS, Goldberg CS, Arkle RS, Waits LP, Richardson J. 2013 Estimating occupancy and abundance of stream amphibians using environmental DNA from filtered water samples. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 70, 1123 – 1130. ([doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2013-0047) [1139/cjfas-2013-0047\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2013-0047)
- 68. Feek DT, Flenley JR, Chester PI, Welikala N, Matisoo-Smith EA, Tannock GW. 2006 A modified sampler for uncontaminated DNA cores from soft sediments. J. Archaeol. Sci. 33, 573– 574. [\(doi:10.1016/j.jas.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2005.09.013) [2005.09.013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2005.09.013)
- 69. Juck DF, Whissell G, Steven B, Pollard W, McKay CP, Greer CW, Whyte LG. 2005 Utilization of fluorescent microspheres and a green fluorescent proteinmarked strain for assessment of microbiological contamination of permafrost and ground ice core samples from the Canadian High Arctic. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71, 1035 – 1041. [\(doi:10.1128/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.2.1035-1041.2005) [AEM.71.2.1035-1041.2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.2.1035-1041.2005)
- 70. Lydolph MC, Jacobsen J, Arctander P, Gilbert MTP, Gilichinsky DA, Hansen AJ, Willerslev E, Lange L. 2005 Beringian paleoecology inferred from permafrost-preserved fungal DNA. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71, 1012 – 1017. [\(doi:10.1128/AEM.71.2.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.2.1012-1017.2005) [1012-1017.2005](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.2.1012-1017.2005))
- 71. Bulat SA et al. 2004 DNA signature of thermophilic bacteria from the aged accretion ice of Lake Vostok, Antarctica: implications for searching for life in extreme icy environments. Int. J. Astrobiol. 3, 1-12. ([doi:10.1017/S1473550404001879\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1473550404001879)
- 72. Rogers SO, Theraisnathan V, Ma LJ, Zhao Y, Zhang G, Shin S-G, Castello JD, Starmer WT. 2004 Comparisons of protocols for decontamination of environmental ice samples for biological and molecular examinations. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70, 2540– 2544. [\(doi:10.1128/AEM.70.4.2540-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.4.2540-2544.2004) [2544.2004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.4.2540-2544.2004))
- 73. Christner BC, Mikucki JA, Foreman CM, Denson J, Priscu JC. 2005 Glacial ice cores: a model system for developing extraterrestrial decontamination protocols. Icarus 174, 572– 584. [\(doi:10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2004.10.027) [icarus.2004.10.027\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2004.10.027)
- 74. D'Elia T, Veerapaneni R, Rogers SO. 2008 Isolation of microbes from Lake Vostok accretion ice. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74, 4962– 4965. ([doi:10.1128/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02501-07) [AEM.02501-07\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02501-07)
- 75. Longo MC, Berninger MS, Hartley JL. 1990 Use of uracil DNA glycosylase to control carry-over contamination in polymerase chain reactions. Gene 93, 125 – 128. ([doi:10.1016/0378-1119\(90\)90145-H](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(90)90145-H))
- 76. Handt O et al. 1994 Molecular genetic analyses of the Tyrolean Ice Man. Science 264, 1775 - 1778. ([doi:10.1126/science.8209259](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.8209259))
- 77. Champlot S, Berthelot C, Pruvost M, Bennett EA, Grange T, Geigl E. 2010 An efficient multistrategy DNA decontamination procedure of PCR reagents for hypersensitive PCR applications. PLoS ONE 5. e13042. ([doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013042](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0013042))
- 78. Malmstrom H, Storå J, Dalén L, Holmlund G, Götherström A. 2005 Extensive human DNA contamination in extracts from ancient dog bones and teeth. Mol. Biol. Evol. 22, 2040 – 2047. [\(doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msi195) [1093/molbev/msi195](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msi195))
- 79. Leonard JA, Shanks O, Hofreiter M, Kreuz E, Hodges L, Ream W, Wayne RK, Fleischer RC. 2007 Animal DNA in PCR reagents plagues ancient DNA research. J. Archaeol. Sci. 34, 1361– 1366. ([doi:10.1016/j.jas.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2006.10.023) [2006.10.023](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2006.10.023))
- 80. Yao Y-G, Bandelt H-J, Young NS. 2007 External contamination in single cell mtDNA analysis. PLoS ONE 2, e681. [\(doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000681\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000681)
- 81. Boessenkool S, Epp LS, Haile J, Bellemain E, Edwards M, Coissac E, Willerslev E, Brochmann C. 2011 Blocking human contaminant DNA during PCR allows amplification of rare mammal species from sedimentary ancient DNA. Mol. Ecol. 21, 1806-1815. [\(doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05306.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05306.x))
- 82. Hofreiter M, Kreuz E, Eriksson J, Schubert G, Hohmann G. 2010 Vertebrate DNA in fecal samples from bonobos and gorillas: evidence for meat consumption or artefact? PLoS ONE 5, e9419. ([doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009419](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009419))
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>83. Willerslev E et al. 2015 Fifty thousand years of Arctic vegetation and megafaunal diet. Nature 506, 47 – 51. ([doi:10.1038/nature12921\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12921)
- 84. Porter TM, Golding GB, King C, Froese DG, Zazula G, Poinar HN. 2013 Amplicon pyrosequencing Late Pleistocene permafrost: the removal of putative contaminant sequences and small-scale reproducibility. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 13, 798– 810. [\(doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12124\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12124)
- 85. Miller DN, Bryant JE, Madsen EL, Ghiorse WC. 1999 Evaluation and optimization of DNA extraction and purification procedures for soil and sediment samples. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65, 4715-4724.
- 86. Martin-Laurent F, Philippot L, Hallet S, Chaussod R, Germon JC, Soulas G, Catroux G. 2001 DNA extraction from soils: old bias for new microbial diversity analysis methods. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67, 2354– 2359. [\(doi:10.1128/AEM.67.5.2354-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.67.5.2354-2359.2001) [2359.2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.67.5.2354-2359.2001)
- 87. Frostegård A, Courtois S, Ramisse V, Clerc S, Bernillon D, Le Gall F, Jeannin P, Nesme X, Simonet P. 1999 Quantification of bias related to the extraction of DNA directly from soils. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65, 5409 – 5420.
- 88. Feinstein LM, Sul WJ, Blackwood CB. 2009 Assessment of bias associated with incomplete extraction of microbial DNA from soil. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 75, 5428– 5433. ([doi:10.1128/AEM.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00120-09) [00120-09](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00120-09))
- 89. Carrigg C, Rice O, Kavanagh S, Collins G, O'Flaherty V. 2007 DNA extraction method affects microbial community profiles from soils and sediment. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 77, 955– 964. ([doi:10.1007/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-007-1219-y) [s00253-007-1219-y\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-007-1219-y)
- 90. Luna GM, Dell'anno A, Danovaro R. 2006 DNA extraction procedure: a critical issue for bacterial diversity assessment in marine sediments. Environ. Microbiol. 8, 308 – 320. [\(doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00896.x) [2005.00896.x\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00896.x)
- 91. Terrat S et al. 2011 Molecular biomass and MetaTaxogenomic assessment of soil microbial communities as influenced by soil DNA extraction procedure. J. Microbial. Biotech. 5, 135 – 141. [\(doi:10.1111/j.1751-7915.2011.00307.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-7915.2011.00307.x))
- 92. Robe P, Nalin R, Capellano C, Vogel TM, Simonet P. 2003 Extraction of DNA from soil. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 39, 183– 190. ([doi:10.1016/S1164-5563\(03\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1164-5563(03)00033-5) [00033-5\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1164-5563(03)00033-5)
- 93. Shapiro B, Hofreiter M. 2012 Ancient DNA: methods and protocols. New York, NY: Springer.
- 94. Hedman JJ, Rådström PP. 2012 Overcoming inhibition in real-time diagnostic PCR. Methods Mol. Biol. 943, 17 – 48. ([doi:10.1007/978-1-60327-353-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60327-353-4_2) [4\\_2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60327-353-4_2))
- 95. Wilson IG. 1997 Inhibition and facilitation of nucleic acid amplification. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63, 3741 – 3751.
- 96. King C, Debruyne R, Kuch M, Schwarz C, Poinar H. 2009 A quantitative approach to detect and overcome PCR inhibition in ancient DNA extracts. Biotechnology 47, 941–949. ([doi:10.2144/000113244\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.2144/000113244)
- 97. Schwarz C, Debruyne R, Kuch M, McNally E, Schwarcz H, Aubrey AD, Bada J, Poinar H. 2009 New

insights from old bones: DNA preservation and degradation in permafrost preserved mammoth remains. Nucleic Acids Res. 37, 3215– 3229. [\(doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkp159) [1093/nar/gkp159\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkp159)

- 98. Ward RD, Zemlak TS, Innes BH, Last PR, Hebert PDN. 2005 DNA barcoding Australia's fish species. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 360, 1847–1857. [\(doi:10.1098/rstb.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2005.1716) [2005.1716](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2005.1716))
- 99. Taberlet P et al. 2007 Power and limitations of the chloroplast trnL (UAA) intron for plant DNA barcoding. Nucleic Acids Res. 35, e14. [\(doi:10.1093/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl938) [nar/gkl938\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl938)
- 100. Hajibabaei M, Singer G, Hebert P, Hickey DA. 2007 DNA barcoding: how it complements taxonomy, molecular phylogenetics and population genetics. Trends Genet. 23, 167 – 172. ([doi:10.1016/j.tig.2007.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2007.02.001) [02.001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2007.02.001))
- 101. Jørgensen T et al. 2012 Islands in the ice: detecting past vegetation on Greenlandic nunataks using historical records and sedimentary ancient DNA meta-barcoding. Mol. Ecol. 21, 1980– 1988. [\(doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05278.x) [1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05278.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05278.x))
- 102. Taberlet P et al. 2012 Soil sampling and isolation of extracellular DNA from large amount of starting material suitable for metabarcoding studies. Mol. Ecol. 21, 1816– 1820. [\(doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05317.x) [2011.05317.x\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05317.x)
- 103. Murray D, Bunce M, Cannell BL, Oliver R, Houston J, White NE, Barrero RA, Bellgard MI, Haile J. 2011 DNA-based faecal dietary analysis: a comparison of qPCR and high throughput sequencing approaches. PLoS ONE 6, e25776. ([doi:10.1371/journal.pone.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0025776) [0025776\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0025776)
- 104. Cooper A, Stephens J, Ketheesan N, Govan B. 2013 Detection of Coxiella burnetii DNA in wildlife and ticks in northern Queensland, Australia. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 13, 12 – 16. [\(doi:10.1089/vbz.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2011.0853) [2011.0853\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2011.0853)
- 105. Hodges E et al. 2007 Genome-wide in situ exon capture for selective resequencing. Nat. Genet. 39, 1522– 1527. [\(doi:10.1038/ng.2007.42](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng.2007.42))
- 106. Briggs AW et al. 2009 Targeted retrieval and analysis of five Neandertal mtDNA genomes. Science 325, 318 – 321. [\(doi:10.1126/science.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1174462) [1174462\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1174462)
- 107. Binladen J, Gilbert MTP, Bollback JP, Panitz F, Bendixen C, Nielsen R, Willerslev E. 2007 The use of coded PCR primers enables high-throughput sequencing of multiple homolog amplification products by 454 parallel sequencing. PLoS ONE 2, e197. [\(doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000197\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000197)
- 108. Kircher M, Sawyer S, Meyer M. 2011 Double indexing overcomes inaccuracies in multiplex sequencing on the Illumina platform. Nucleic Acids Res. 40, e3. [\(doi:10.1093/nar/gkr771\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr771)
- 109. Pääbo S et al. 2004 Genetic analyses from ancient DNA. Annu. Rev. Genet. 38, 645– 679. [\(doi:10.1146/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.genet.37.110801.143214) [annurev.genet.37.110801.143214\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.genet.37.110801.143214)
- 110. CBOL Plant Working Group 2009 A DNA barcode for land plants. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 106, 12 794-12 797. ([doi:10.1073/pnas.0905845106](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0905845106))
- 111. Newmaster SG, Fazekas AJ, Ragupathy S. 2006 DNA barcoding in land plants: evaluation of rbcL in a

multigene tiered approach. Can. J. Bot. 84, 335– 341. [\(doi:10.1139/b06-047\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/b06-047)

- 112. Austin JJ, Arnold EN, Jones CG. 2004 Reconstructing an island radiation using ancient and recent DNA: the extinct and living day geckos (Phelsuma) of the Mascarene islands. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 31, 109– 122. [\(doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2003.07.011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2003.07.011)
- 113. Beati L, Caceres AG, Lee JA, Munstermann LE. 2004 Systematic relationships among Lutzomyia sand flies (Diptera: Psychodidae) of Peru and Colombia based on the analysis of 12S and 28S ribosomal DNA sequences. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **34**, 225-234. ([doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2003.10.012) [1016/j.ijpara.2003.10.012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2003.10.012)
- 114. Soergel DAW, Dey N, Knight R, Brenner SE. 2012 Selection of primers for optimal taxonomic classification of environmental 16S rRNA gene sequences. ISME J. 6, 1440 – 1444. ([doi:10.1038/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.208) [ismej.2011.208](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.208))
- 115. Chase MW, Salamin N, Wilkinson M, Dunwell JM, Kesanakurthi RP, Haidar N, Savolainen V. 2005 Land plants and DNA barcodes: short-term and long-term goals. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 360, 1889– 1895. ([doi:10.1098/rstb.2005.1720](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2005.1720))
- 116. Kress WJ, Wurdack KJ, Zimmer EA, Weigt LA, Janzen DH. 2005 Use of DNA barcodes to identify flowering plants. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 102, 8369 – 8374. ([doi:10.1073/pnas.0503123102\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0503123102)
- 117. Riaz T, Shehzad W, Viari A, Pompanon F, Taberlet P, Coissac E. 2011 ecoPrimers: inference of new DNA barcode markers from whole genome sequence analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. 39, e145. [\(doi:10.1093/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr732) [nar/gkr732\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr732)
- 118. Walters WA, Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Berg-Lyons D, Fierer N, Knight R. 2011 PrimerProspector: de novo design and taxonomic analysis of barcoded polymerase chain reaction primers. Bioinformatics 27, 1159– 1161. [\(doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr087) [btr087\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr087)
- 119. Bates ST, Berg-Lyons D, Caporaso JG, Walters WA, Knight R, Fierer N. 2011 Examining the global distribution of dominant archaeal populations in soil. ISME J. 5, 908– 917. [\(doi:10.1038/ismej.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2010.171) [2010.171](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2010.171))
- 120. Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Lozupone CA, Turnbaugh PJ, Fierer N, Knight R. 2011 Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 108(Suppl. 1), 4516-4522. ([doi:10.1073/pnas.1000080107\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000080107)
- 121. Epp LS et al. 2012 New environmental metabarcodes for analysing soil DNA: potential for studying past and present ecosystems. Mol. Ecol. 21, 1821–1833. ([doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05537.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05537.x))
- 122. Ficetola G, Coissac E, Zundel S, Riaz T, Shehzad W, Bessière J, Taberlet P, Pompanon F. 2010 An in silico approach for the evaluation of DNA barcodes. BMC Genomics 11, 434. ([doi:10.1186/1471-2164-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-11-434) [11-434](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-11-434))
- 123. Quince C, Lanzen A, Curtis TP, Davenport RJ, Hall N, Head IM, Read LF, Sloan WT. 2009 Accurate determination of microbial diversity from 454 pyrosequencing data. Nat. Methods 6, 639 – 641. ([doi:10.1038/nmeth.1361\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1361)

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>124. Reeder J, Knight R. 2010 Rapidly denoising pyrosequencing amplicon reads by exploiting rankabundance distributions. Nat. Methods 7, 668– 669. [\(doi:10.1038/nmeth0910-668b\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth0910-668b)
- 125. Quince C, Lanzen A, Davenport RJ, Turnbaugh PJ. 2011 Removing noise from pyrosequenced amplicons. BMC Bioinform. 12, 38. [\(doi:10.1186/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-12-38) [1471-2105-12-38\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-12-38)
- 126. Edgar RC, Haas BJ, Clemente JC, Quince C, Knight R. 2011 UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics 27, 2194-2200. [\(doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381))
- 127. Shokralla S, Spall JL, Gibson JF, Hajibabaei M. 2012 Next-generation sequencing technologies for environmental DNA research. Mol. Ecol. 21, 1794–1805. ([doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05538.x\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05538.x)
- 128. Caporaso JG et al. 2010 QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nat. Methods 7, 335– 336. [\(doi:10.1038/nmeth.f.303](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.f.303))
- 129. Huson DH, Auch AF, Qi J, Schuster SC. 2007 MEGAN analysis of metagenomic data. Genome Res. 17, 377– 386. ([doi:10.1101/gr.5969107](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gr.5969107))
- 130. Munch K, Boomsma W, Huelsenbeck J, Willerslev E, Nielsen R. 2008 Statistical assignment of DNA sequences using Bayesian phylogenetics. Syst. Biol. 57, 750– 757. ([doi:10.1080/10635150802422316\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10635150802422316)
- 131. Huson DH, Weber N. 2013 Microbial community analysis using MEGAN. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier.
- 132. Munch K, Boomsma W, Willerslev E, Nielsen R. 2008 Fast phylogenetic DNA barcoding. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 363, 3997 – 4002. ([doi:10.1098/rstb.2008.0169](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0169))
- 133. Zhang AB, Muster C, Liang HB, Zhu CD, Crozier R, Wan P, Feng J, Ward RD. 2012 A fuzzy-set-theorybased approach to analyse species membership in DNA barcoding. Mol. Ecol. 21, 1848– 1863. ([doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05235.x) [1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05235.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05235.x))
- 134. Berger SA, Stamatakis A. 2011 Aligning short reads to reference alignments and trees. Bioinformatics 27, 2068–2075. [\(doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr320\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr320)
- 135. Berger SA, Krompass D, Stamatakis A. 2011 Performance, accuracy, and web server for evolutionary placement of short sequence reads under maximum likelihood. Syst. Biol. 60, 291– 302. ([doi:10.1093/sysbio/syr010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syr010)
- 136. Eddy SR. 1998 Profile hidden Markov models. Bioinformatics 14, 755 – 763. [\(doi:10.1093/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/14.9.755) [bioinformatics/14.9.755\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/14.9.755)
- 137. DeSantis TZJ, Hugenholtz P, Keller K, Brodie EL, Larsen N, Piceno YM, Phan R, Andersen GL. 2006 NAST: a multiple sequence alignment server for comparative analysis of 16S rRNA genes. Nucleic Acids Res. 34, W394–W399. ([doi:10.1093/nar/gkl244](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl244))
- 138. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990 Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215, 403– 410. [\(doi:10.1016/S0022-2836\(05\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2) [80360-2\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2)
- 139. Li W, Godzik A. 2006 Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein or nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics 22, 1658 – 1659. [\(doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btl158\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl158)
- 140. Li W, Jaroszewski L, Godzik A. 2001 Clustering of highly homologous sequences to reduce the size of

large protein databases. Bioinformatics 17, 282 – 283. [\(doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/17.3.282\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/17.3.282)

- 141. Lozupone C, Knight R. 2005 UniFrac: a new phylogenetic method for comparing microbial communities. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71, 8228– 8235. [\(doi:10.1128/AEM.71.12.8228-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.12.8228-8235.2005) [8235.2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.12.8228-8235.2005)
- 142. Aagaard K et al. 2012 A metagenomic approach to characterization of the vaginal microbiome signature in pregnancy. PLoS ONE 7, e36466. ([doi:10.1371/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036466) [journal.pone.0036466\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036466)
- 143. Bik HM, Halanych KM, Sharma J, Thomas WK. 2012 Dramatic shifts in benthic microbial eukaryote communities following the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. PLoS ONE 7, e38550. ([doi:10.1371/journal.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0038550) [pone.0038550\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0038550)
- 144. Bik HM, Sung W, De Ley P, Baldwin JG, Sharma J, Rocha-Olivares A, Thomas WK. 2012 Metagenetic community analysis of microbial eukaryotes illuminates biogeographic patterns in deep-sea and shallow water sediments. Mol. Ecol. 21, 1048-1059. [\(doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05297.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05297.x))
- 145. Bokulich NA, Joseph CM, Allen G, Benson AK, Mills DA. 2012 Next-generation sequencing reveals significant bacterial diversity of botrytized wine. PLoS ONE 7, e36357. [\(doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036357](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036357))
- 146. Bokulich NA, Bamforth CW, Mills DA. 2012 Brewhouseresident microbiota are responsible for multi-stage fermentation of American coolship ale. PLoS ONE 7, e35507. [\(doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035507](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035507))
- 147. Ceh J, Raina JB, Soo RM, van Keulen M, Bourne DG. 2012 Coral-bacterial communities before and after a coral mass spawning event on Ningaloo Reef. PLoS ONE 7, e36920. ([doi:10.1371/journal.pone.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036920) [0036920\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036920)
- 148. Fettweis JM, Serrano MG, Sheth NU, Mayer CM, Glascock AL, Brooks JP, Jefferson KK, Buck GA. 2012 Species-level classification of the vaginal microbiome. BMC Genomics 13(Suppl. 8), S17.
- 149. Fierer N et al. 2012 Cross-biome metagenomic analyses of soil microbial communities and their functional attributes. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 109, 21 390– 21 395. [\(doi:10.1073/pnas.1215210110](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1215210110))
- 150. Flores GE, Bates ST, Knights D, Lauber CL, Stombaugh J, Knight R, Fierer N. 2011 Microbial biogeography of public restroom surfaces. PLoS ONE 6, e28132. ([doi:10.1371/journal.pone.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028132) [0028132\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028132)
- 151. Gibbons SM, Caporaso JG, Pirrung M, Field D, Knight R, Gilbert JA. 2013 Evidence for a persistent microbial seed bank throughout the global ocean. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 110, 4651– 4655. [\(doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1217767110) [1073/pnas.1217767110](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1217767110))
- 152. Hospodsky D, Qian J, Nazaroff WW, Yamamoto N, Bibby K, Rismani-Yazdi H, Peccia J. 2012 Human occupancy as a source of indoor airborne bacteria. PLoS ONE 7, e34867. ([doi:10.1371/journal.pone.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0034867) [0034867\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0034867)
- 153. Hou W et al. 2013 A comprehensive census of microbial diversity in hot springs of Tengchong, Yunnan Province China using 16S rRNA gene pyrosequencing. PLoS ONE 8, e53350. [\(doi:10.1371/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0053350) [journal.pone.0053350\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0053350)
- 154. Hulcr J, Latimer AM, Henley JB, Rountree NR, Fierer N, Lucky A, Lowman MD, Dunn RR. 2012 A jungle in there: bacteria in belly buttons are highly diverse, but predictable. PLoS ONE 7, e47712. [\(doi:10.1371/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0047712) [journal.pone.0047712\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0047712)
- 155. Koberl M, Muller H, Ramadan EM, Berg G. 2011 Desert farming benefits from microbial potential in arid soils and promotes diversity and plant health. PLoS ONE 6, e24452. ([doi:10.1371/journal.pone.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0024452) [0024452](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0024452))
- 156. Leff JW, Fierer N. 2013 Bacterial communities associated with the surfaces of fresh fruits and vegetables. PLoS ONE 8, e59310. ([doi:10.1371/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0059310) [journal.pone.0059310\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0059310)
- 157. Morowitz MJ, Denef VJ, Costello EK, Thomas BC, Poroyko V, Relman DA, Banfield JF. 2011 Strainresolved community genomic analysis of gut microbial colonization in a premature infant. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 108, 1128 – 1133. [\(doi:10.1073/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1010992108) [pnas.1010992108\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1010992108)
- 158. Muegge BD, Kuczynski J, Knights D, Clemente JC, Gonzalez A, Fontana L, Henrissat B, Knight R, Gordon JI. 2011 Diet drives convergence in gut microbiome functions across mammalian phylogeny and within humans. Science 332, 970-974. ([doi:10.1126/science.1198719](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1198719))
- 159. Pope PB et al. 2010 Adaptation to herbivory by the Tammar wallaby includes bacterial and glycoside hydrolase profiles different from other herbivores. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 107, 14 793– 14 798. ([doi:10.1073/pnas.1005297107\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1005297107)
- 160. Robeson MS, King AJ, Freeman KR, Birky CWJ, Martin AP, Schmidt SK. 2011 Soil rotifer communities are extremely diverse globally but spatially autocorrelated locally. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 108, 4406– 4410. ([doi:10.1073/pnas.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1012678108) [1012678108](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1012678108))
- 161. Smith KF, Schmidt V, Rosen GE, Amaral-Zettler L. 2012 Microbial diversity and potential pathogens in ornamental fish aquarium water. PLoS ONE 7, e39971. ([doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0039971](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0039971))
- 162. Verhulst NO et al. 2011 Composition of human skin microbiota affects attractiveness to malaria mosquitoes. PLoS ONE 6, e28991. ([doi:10.1371/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028991) [journal.pone.0028991\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028991)
- 163. Yatsunenko T et al. 2012 Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. Nature 486,  $222 - 227$ .
- 164. Adler CJ et al. 2013 Sequencing ancient calcified dental plaque shows changes in oral microbiota with dietary shifts of the Neolithic and industrial revolutions. Nat. Genet. 45, 450 – 455. [\(doi:10.1038/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng.2536) [ng.2536](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng.2536))
- 165. Knights D, Kuczynski J, Charlson ES, Zaneveld J, Mozer MC, Collman RG, Bushman FD, Knight R, Kelley ST. 2011 Bayesian community-wide cultureindependent microbial source tracking. Nat. Methods 8, 761 – 763. ([doi:10.1038/nmeth.1650](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1650))
- 166. Tito RY et al. 2012 Insights from characterizing extinct human gut microbiomes. PLoS ONE 7, e51146. ([doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051146](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0051146))
- 167. Segata N, Waldron L, Ballarini A, Narasimhan V, Jousson O, Huttenhower C. 2012 Metagenomic

rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 370: 20130383

11

<span id="page-10-0"></span>microbial community profiling using unique cladespecific marker genes. Nat. Methods 9, 811-814. [\(doi:10.1038/nmeth.2066](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2066))

- 168. Sunagawa S et al. 2013 Metagenomic species profiling using universal phylogenetic marker genes. Nat. Methods 10, 1196 – 1199. ([doi:10.1038/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2693) [nmeth.2693](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2693))
- 169. Schubert M et al. 2014 Characterization of ancient and modern genomes by SNP detection and phylogenomic and metagenomicanalysis using PALEOMIX. Nat. Protoc. 9, 1056 – 1082. ([doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2014.063) [1038/nprot.2014.063\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2014.063)
- 170. Der Sarkissian C, Ermini L, Jónsson H, Alekseev AN, Crubezy E, Shapiro B, Orlando L. 2014 Shotgun microbial profiling of fossil remains. Mol. Ecol. 23, 1780 – 1798. [\(doi:10.1111/mec.12690\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mec.12690)
- 171. Arumugam M et al. 2011 Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome. Nature 473, 174 – 180. ([doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09944) [1038/nature09944](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09944))
- 172. Liu B, Gibbons T, Ghodsi M, Treangen T, Pop M. 2011 Accurate and fast estimation of taxonomic profiles from metagenomic shotgun sequences. BMC Genomics 12(Suppl. 2), S4. [\(doi:10.1186/1471-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-12-S2-S4) [2164-12-S2-S4\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-12-S2-S4)
- 173. Klappenbach JA, Saxman PR, Cole JR, Schmidt TM. 2001 rrndb: the ribosomal RNA operon copy number database. Nucleic Acids Res. 29, 181– 184. [\(doi:10.1093/nar/29.1.181\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/29.1.181)
- 174. Engelbrektson A, Kunin V, Wrighton KC, Zvenigorodsky N, Chen F, Ochman H, Hugenholtz P. 2010 Experimental factors affecting PCR-based estimates of microbial species richness and evenness. ISME J. 4, 642-647. [\(doi:10.1038/ismej.2009.153\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2009.153)
- 175. Claesson MJ, Wang Q, O'Sullivan O, Greene-Diniz R, Cole JR, Ross RP, O'Toole PW. 2010 Comparison of two next-generation sequencing technologies for resolving highly complex microbiota composition using tandem variable 16S rRNA gene regions. Nucleic Acids Res. 38, e200. ([doi:10.1093/nar/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq873) [gkq873](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq873))
- 176. Sorek R, Zhu Y, Creevey CJ, Francino MP, Bork P, Rubin EM. 2007 Genome-wide experimental determination of barriers to horizontal gene transfer. Science 318, 1449– 1452. ([doi:10.1126/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1147112) [science.1147112\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1147112)
- 177. von Mering C, Hugenholtz P, Raes J, Tringe SG, Doerks T, Jensen LJ, Ward N, Bork P. 2007 Quantitative phylogenetic assessment of microbial

communities in diverse environments. Science 315, 1126– 1130. [\(doi:10.1126/science.1133420](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1133420))

- 178. Brady A, Salzberg S. 2011 PhymmBL expanded: confidence scores, custom databases, parallelization and more. Nat. Methods 8, 367. ([doi:10.1038/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth0511-367) [nmeth0511-367](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth0511-367))
- 179. Parks DH, MacDonald NJ, Beiko RG. 2011 Classifying short genomic fragments from novel lineages using composition and homology. BMC Bioinform. 12, 328. ([doi:10.1186/1471-2105-12-328\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-12-328)
- 180. Rosen GL, Reichenberger ER, Rosenfeld AM. 2011 NBC: the naive Bayes classification tool webserver for taxonomic classification of metagenomic reads. Bioinformatics 27, 127– 129. ([doi:10.1093/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq619) [bioinformatics/btq619](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq619))
- 181. Tringe SG. 2005 Comparative metagenomics of microbial communities. Science 308, 554-557. [\(doi:10.1126/science.1107851](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1107851))
- 182. Kanehisa M, Goto S, Sato Y, Furumichi M, Tanabe M. 2012 KEGG for integration and interpretation of largescale molecular data sets. Nucleic Acids Res. 40, D109–D114. [\(doi:10.1093/nar/gkr988](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr988))
- 183. Powell S et al. 2012 eggNOG v3.0: orthologous groups covering 1133 organisms at 41 different taxonomic ranges. Nucleic Acids Res. 40, D284 –D289. [\(doi:10.1093/nar/gkr1060](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr1060))
- 184. Overbeek R et al. 2005 The subsystems approach to genome annotation and its use in the project to annotate 1000 genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 33, 5691– 5702. [\(doi:10.1093/nar/gki866](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki866))
- 185. Sandberg R. 2001 Capturing whole-genome characteristics in short sequences using a naive Bayesian classifier. Genome Res. 11, 1404 – 1409. [\(doi:10.1101/gr.186401](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gr.186401))
- 186. Edwards RA, Olson R, Disz T, Pusch GD, Vonstein V, Stevens R, Overbeek R. 2012 Real time metagenomics: using  $k$ -mers to annotate metagenomes. Bioinformatics 28, 3316-3317. [\(doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts599](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts599))
- 187. Dahl-Jensen D et al. 2013 Eemian interglacial reconstructed from a Greenland folded ice core. Nature 493, 489– 494. [\(doi:10.1038/nature11789](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11789))
- 188. de Vernal A, Hillaire-Marcel C. 2008 Natural variability of Greenland climate, vegetation, and ice volume during the past million years. Science 320, 1622–1625. ([doi:10.1126/science.1153929](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1153929))
- 189. Goldberg CS, Pilliod DS, Arkle RS, Waits LP. 2011 Molecular detection of vertebrates in stream water:

a demonstration using Rocky Mountain tailed frogs and Idaho giant salamanders. PLoS ONE 6, e22746. ([doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022746](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0022746))

- 190. Olson ZH, Briggler JT, Williams RN. 2012 An eDNA approach to detect eastern hellbenders (Cryptobranchus a. alleganiensis) using samples of water. Wildl. Res. 39, 629. [\(doi:10.1071/WR12114\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/WR12114)
- 191. Dejean T, Valentini A, Miquel C, Taberlet P, Bellemain E, Miaud C. 2012 Improved detection of an alien invasive species through environmental DNA barcoding: the example of the American bullfrog Lithobates catesbeianus. J. Appl. Ecol. 49, 953– 959. [\(doi:10.1111/j.1365-2664.2012.02171.x\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2012.02171.x)
- 192. Minamoto T, Yamanaka H, Takahara T, Honjo MN, Kawabata Z. 2011 Surveillance of fish species composition using environmental DNA. Limnology 13, 193 – 197. [\(doi:10.1007/s10201-011-0362-4\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10201-011-0362-4)
- 193. Takahara T, Minamoto T, Yamanaka H, Doi H, Kawabata Z. 2012 Estimation of fish biomass using environmental DNA. PLoS ONE 7, e35868. [\(doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035868) [1371/journal.pone.0035868](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035868))
- 194. Takahara T, Minamoto T, Doi H. 2013 Using environmental DNA to estimate the distribution of an invasive fish species in ponds. PLoS ONE 8, e56584. ([doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056584](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056584))
- 195. Goldberg CS, Sepulveda A, Ray A, Baumgardt J, Waits LP. 2013 Environmental DNA as a new method for early detection of New Zealand mudsnails (Potamopyrgus antipodarum). Freshwater Sci. 32, 792– 800. [\(doi:10.1899/13-046.1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1899/13-046.1))
- 196. Alvarez AJ, Yumet GM, Santiago CL, Toranzos GA. 1996 Stability of manipulated plasmid DNA in aquatic environments. Environ. Toxic Water 11, 129– 135. [\(doi:10.1002/\(SICI\)1098-2256\(1996\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2256(1996)11:2%3C129::AID-TOX8%3E3.0.CO;2-B)  $11:2<129::AID-TOX8>3.0.C0;2-B)$  $11:2<129::AID-TOX8>3.0.C0;2-B)$  $11:2<129::AID-TOX8>3.0.C0;2-B)$  $11:2<129::AID-TOX8>3.0.C0;2-B)$
- 197. Matsui K, Honjo M, Kawabata Z. 2001 Estimation of the fate of dissolved DNA in thermally stratified lake water from the stability of exogenous plasmid DNA. Aquat. Microb. Ecol. 26, 95 – 102. ([doi:10.3354/](http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/ame026095) [ame026095\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/ame026095)
- 198. Giguet-Covex C et al. 2014 Long livestock farming history and human landscape shaping revealed by lake sediment DNA. Nature communications  $5$ , 1-7. ([doi:10.1038/ncomms4211](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4211))
- 199. Kelly RP et al. 2014 Environmental monitoring. Harnessing DNA to improve environmental management. Science **344**, 1455–1456. [\(doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1251156) [1126/science.1251156](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1251156))