Development of a Species-Specific Probe for *Pythium insidiosum* and the Diagnosis of Pythiosis

Andrew M. Schurko,¹† Leonel Mendoza,² Arthur W. A. M. de Cock,³ James E. J. Bedard,¹‡ and Glen R. Klassen¹*

Department of Microbiology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba R3T 2N2, Canada¹; Medical Technology Program, Department of Microbiology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824-1031²; and Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, NL-3508 AD Utrecht, The Netherlands³

Received 4 December 2003/Returned for modification 12 February 2004/Accepted 11 March 2004

Pythium insidiosum, the only species in the genus that infects mammals, is the etiological agent of pythiosis, a granulomatous disease characterized by cutaneous and subcutaneous lesions and vascular diseases. Accurate diagnosis of pythiosis and identification of its causal agent are often inconsistent with current immunological diagnostic methods. A species-specific DNA probe was constructed by using a 530-bp HinfI fragment from the ribosomal DNA intergenic spacer of *P. insidiosum*. When the probe was incubated with dot blots of genomic DNA from 104 *Pythium* species, it hybridized only to the DNA of *P. insidiosum* and *P. destruens*—two species that have been considered conspecific. The probe also hybridized to DNA from 22 *P. insidiosum* isolates in this study, regardless of their geographic origin or animal host. When tested against genomic DNA from other pathogenic organisms (*Aspergillus fumigatus, Basidiobolus ranarum, Conidiobolus coronatus, Lagenidium giganteum, Paracoccidioides brasiliensis*, and *Prototheca wickerhamii*), no cross-hybridization of the probe was detected. The specificity of the probe to hybridize to genomic DNA from all isolates of *P. insidiosum* and not cross-react with DNA from other *Pythium* species or pathogens that cause symptoms similar to pythiosis in their hosts makes it a powerful tool for the accurate diagnosis of pythiosis. In addition, the probe has the potential for pathological and environmental diagnostic applications.

Pythium insidiosum (11) is the etiological agent of pythiosis, a granulomatous disease that affects animals including equines, canines, felines, cattle, and humans. The symptoms of pythiosis include cutaneous and subcutaneous infections, bone lesions, esophagitis, gastrointestinal diseases, and pulmonary infections. Pythium is a genus in the class Oomycetes in the Kingdom Straminipila (39) that is comprised of over 120 described species (53). However, P. insidiosum is the only species in the genus capable of infecting mammalian hosts, whereas the other species are saprophytic or pathogenic to plants. Results from restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis (46) and sequence analysis (47) revealed three clades of P. insidiosum isolates representing geographical variants or cryptic speciation.

Like most *Pythium* species, *P. insidiosum* is difficult to identify morphologically. Gomori's methenamine-silver nitrate stains *P. insidiosum* hyphae in tissue nonspecifically. In the infected tissues, short, septate hyphae with perpendicular branching are rarely visible, but members of the *Zygomycetes* have a similar appearance in histological sections (12). Perhaps the most important cultural identification feature is the production of motile biflagellate zoospores (33), but this is relatively nonspecific and may take weeks to observe, and for some strains it is difficult to induce zoospore production in culture. Another special feature of pythiosis in equines is the presence of numerous "kunkers" (yellow-white, coral-like necrotic masses of tissue that contain hyphae) in lesions that exhibit an intense eosinophilic inflammatory reaction (10, 50). However, similar structures are encountered in habronemiasis and sub-cutaneous zygomycosis caused by the nematode *Habronema* and the *Zygomycetes (Entomophthorales) Basidiobolus* and *Conidiobolus*, respectively (10, 43), which can lead to a misdiagnosis of the disease. In dogs, the presence of tumor-like masses is often confused with neoplasia (7, 52).

Numerous serological methods have been developed to diagnose and monitor pythiosis, including a complement fixation test (36), immunoperoxidase test (6), and a fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated rabbit antiglobulin for staining hyphal elements in tissue (32). Immunodiffusion (ID) tests have been the most widely used serological diagnostic methods for pythiosis (31). Although the ID test is entirely specific for pythiosis, negative ID test results have been reported in cases of proven pythiosis (38, 51). An enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) method with hyphal antigens has been shown to be a more sensitive and accurate method for detecting pythiosis in the early and chronic stages of the disease and is especially useful when the ID test fails (19, 25, 35).

Due to the often contradictory results from serological tests, it was our goal to find an additional approach for diagnosing pythiosis and detecting *P. insidiosum* by molecular biology techniques. DNA probes are particularly useful for the identification of pathogenic agents because probes can often detect DNA in mixed cultures, so pure cultures are unnecessary. Ribosomal DNA (rDNA) is an especially

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Microbiology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba R3T 2N2, Canada. Phone: (204) 474-6303. Fax: (204) 474-7603. E-mail: glen_klassen @umanitoba.ca.

[†] Present address: Department of Biological Sciences, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242.

[‡] Present address: Department of Genome Science, Genome Research Institute, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH 45237.

2412 SCHURKO ET AL.

TABLE 1. List of Pythium speci	es used in Fig. 2 to determine	the specificity of the P.	insidiosum probe
--------------------------------	--------------------------------	---------------------------	------------------

Pyrhium species	Designation ^a	Accession no. ^b	Pyrhium species	Designation ^a	Accession no. ^b
P. acanthicum	acm^{c}	CBS 284.31	P. mamillatum	mam ^d	CBS 251.28
P. acanthophoron	acn	CBS 337.29	P. marinum	mar	CBS 312.93
P. acrogynum	acr^{c}	CBS 549.88	P. marsipium	msp	CBS 773.81
P. adhaerens	adh	CBS 520.74	P. mastophorum	mas^d	CBS 375.72
P. amasculinum	ama	CBS 552.88	P. middletonii	mid^d	CBS 528.74
P. anandrum	ana ^c	CBS 258.31	P. minus	min ^c	CBS 226.88
P angustatum	angd	CBS 522.74	P monospermum	mon ^e	CBS 158 73
P anhanidermatum	anh ^c	CBS 118 80	P multisporum	mul ^c	CBS 470 50
P apleroticum	aple	CBS 772 81	P myriotylum	mvr ^e	CBS 254 70
P aquatile	ague	CBS 215 80	P nagaji	nag	IMI 308183
P aristosporum	aqu ari ^c	CBS 263 38	P nodosum	nod	CBS 102274
P amhanomanas	arr ^c	CDS 205.56	D num	nun ^e	CDS 102274
D quatrala	a11	CDS 524.02	I. nunn D. oodooloilum	nun	CDS 000.90
r. australe	aus h arc	CDS 551 99	P. dealochium	olea	CDS 292.37
P. boreale	DOF 1	CBS 551.88	P. okanaganense	oka	CBS 515.81
P. buismaniae	bui	CBS 288.31	P. ouganarum	Oll"	CBS 382.34
P. capillosum	cap	CBS 222.94	P. orthogonon	ort	CBS 3/6.72
P. catenulatum	cat ^a (a)	CBS 843.68	P. ostracodes	ost	CBS 768.73
	cat (b)	CBS 842.68	P. pachycaule	pace	CBS 227.88
P. chamaehyphon	cha	CBS 259.30	P. paddicum	pad	CBS 698.83
P. chondricola	cho ^c	CBS 203.85	P. paroecandrum	par ^a	CBS 157.64
P. coloratum	col^e	CBS 154.64	P. parvum	pvm ^c	CBS 225.88
P. conidiophorum	con	CBS 224.88	P. periilum	per ^a	CBS 169.68
P. contiguanum	ctg	CBS 221.94	P. periplocum	pcm ^c	CBS 289.31
P. cucurbitacearum	cuc	CBS 748.96	P. perplexum	plx	CBS 674.85
P. cylindrosporum	cyl^c	CBS 218.94	P. pleroticum	ple	CBS 776.81
P. debaryanum	deb	CBS 752.96	P. plurisporium	plu ^e	CBS 100530
P. deliense	del ^e	CBS 314.33	P. polymastum	pol^d	CBS 811.70
P. destruens	des ^e	ATCC 64221	P. polymorphon	pmn	CBS 751.96
P. diclinum	dic ^e	CBS 664.79	P. porphyrae	por ^d	CBS 369.79
P. dimorphum	\dim^c	CBS 406.72	P. prolatum	pro ^c	CBS 845.68
P. dissimile	dis ^c	CBS 155.64	P. pyrilobum	pyr^c	CBS 158.64
P. dissotocum	dcm	CBS 166.68	P. radiosum	rad ^c	CBS 217.94
P. echinulatum	ech^d	CBS 281.64	P. rostratum	ros ^e	CBS 533.74
P. erinaceus	eri^c	CBS 505.80	P. salpingophorum	sal^d	CBS 471.50
P. flevoense	fle^c	CBS 234.72	P. scleroteichum	sclf	CBS 294.37
P. folliculosum	fol ^c	CBS 220.94	Pythium sp.	sp-1	CBS 739.94
P. graminicola	gra ^e	CBS 327.62	Pythium sp.	sp-2	CBS 101876
P grandisporangium	gsn ^c	CBS 286 79	Pythium sp	sp-3	CBS 223 94
P helicandrum	helf	CBS 393 54	P spinosum	spi ^d	CBS 275 67
P helicoides	hcsd	CBS 286 31	P splendens	spl ^d	CBS 462 48
P heterothallicum	het ^c (m)	CBS 450 67	P sulcatum	sulc	CBS 603 73
1. neteroinutteum	het ^c (f)	CBS 451 67	P sylvaticum	svl^{c} (m)	CBS 452 67
P hydrosporum	hvd^d	CBS 253 60	1. Sylvalleam	syl^{c} (f)	CBS 452.07
P hypomyum	hyp	CBS 255.00	P tomulosum	sy1(1)	CDS 455.07
D indiaofaraa	ind^d	CDS 092.79	D trachainhilum	tro ^c	CDS 510.55
D inflatum	infe	CDS 201.50	D ultimum von ultimum	ua ulu ^e	CDS 323.03
F. Injulum D. ingidia anno	1111 :e	CDS 100.00	<i>F. unimum</i> val. <i>unimum</i>	ulu la ^c	CDS 390.31
P. Instatosum	ins	CBS 574.85	P. uuimum var. sporangujerum	uis	CBS 219.03
r. intermeatum		CDS 200.38	P. uncinuaium	unc ⁻	CDS 518.//
r. irregulare	in ^c	CBS 250.28	P. unaulatum	und	CBS 157.69
P. iwayamai	iwa"	CBS 156.64	P. vanterpoolu	van	CBS 295.37
P. kunmingense	kun	CBS 550.88	P. vexans	vex	CBS 119.80
P. lutarium	luť	CBS 222.88	P. violae	V10"	CBS 159.64
P. macrosporum	$mac^{c}(+)$	CBS 574.80	P. volutum	vol	CBS 699.83
	$\operatorname{mac}^{c}(-)$	CBS 575.80	P. zingiberis	zin	CBS 216.82

 a Designations by which isolates are referred to in the tables and figures of this study. For heterothallic species, mating types are designated by a, b, m (male), f (female), or + and - (opposite mating types) in parentheses.

^b Accession numbers of isolates in the following culture collections: CBS (Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, Utrecht, Netherlands), IMI (International Mycological Institute, Egham, United Kingdom), ATCC (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, Va.).

^c Ex-type strain.

^d Isolate used by Van der Plaats-Niterink (53) for species description.

^e Isolate designated as the neotype strain because all ex-type material is missing.

^f Authentic strain, identified by the author of the species.

useful target for DNA probes since it is a highly abundant target in cells, representing a preamplification step that increases test sensitivity, and produces a strong hybridization signal. Some investigators have successfully used regions of rDNA to diagnose some cases of pythiosis in humans and animals (3, 18, 41).

In the present study, a species-specific DNA probe from the rDNA intergenic spacer (IGS) of the *P. insidiosum* ex-type

TABLE 2. Isolates of P. insidiosum and other pathogenic organisms used to test the specificity of the DNA probe (Fig. 3 and 4)

Species	Designation ^a	Accession no. ^b	Host	Origin^d
Pythium insidiosum	65 ^c	CBS 574.85	Equine	Costa Rica
	291	CBS 673.85	Human	Thailand
	296	CBS 777.84	Mosquito larva	India
	297	CBS 702.83	Equine	Japan
	339	CBS 575.85	Equine	Costa Rica
	344	CBS 580.85	Equine	Costa Rica
	393	CBS 101039	Human	India
	394	CBS 101555	Equine	Brazil
	M4	Undeposited ^c	Feline	Florida
	M6	ATCC 200269	Human	Tennessee
	M7	Undeposited ^c	Human	Thailand
	M9	Undeposited ^c	Canine	Louisiana
	M15	ATCC 28251	Equine	Papua New Guinea
	M16	ATCC 76049	Human	Haiti
	M18	ATCC 90478	Tremarctos ornatus	South Carolina
	M19	ATCC 90586	Human	Texas
	M20	ATCC 200268	Canine	North Carolina
	M21	Undeposited ^c	Human	Pennsylvania
	M22	Undeposited ^c	Canine	Wisconsin
	M25	Undeposited ^c	Human	Thailand
Pythium destruens	M23 ^e	ATCC 64221	Equine	Papua New Guinea
	M24	ATCC 64218	Equine	Papua New Guinea
Aspergillus flavus	Af	Undeposited ^c	Human	United States
Basidiobolus ranarum	Br	Undeposited ^c	Human	United States
Conidiobolus coronatus	Cc	Undeposited ^c	Human	United States
Lagenidium giganteum	Lg^{f}	ATCC 36492	Mosquito larva	North Carolina
Paracoccidioides brasiliensis	Pb	Undeposited ^c	Human	Argentina
Prototheca wickerhamii	Pw^g	Undeposited	Human	?

^a Designations by which isolates are referred to in the tables and figures of this study.

^b Accession numbers of isolates in the following culture collections: CBS (Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, Utrecht, The Netherlands) and ATCC (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, Va.).

^c Personal collection of L. Mendoza.

^d ?, unknown geographic origin.

e Ex-type strain.

^f DNA provided by M. Hudspeth, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, Ill.

^g Culture provided by R. Scott Pore, West Virginia University, Morgantown, W.Va.

culture was designed to distinguish *P. insidiosum* from all other *Pythium* species was from other pathogens that cause diseases that produce symptoms that resemble pythiosis. The potential applications for the DNA probe are discussed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Culture conditions and DNA isolation. A collection of 128 *Pythium* isolates representing 104 species was used in the present study (Tables 1 and 2). *Pythium* isolates were maintained on cornmeal agar (CMA) prepared according to Gams et al. (16). *P. insidiosum* strains and all other fungal and algal strains were grown on Sabouraud dextrose agar (2.0% dextrose, 1.0% peptone).

For DNA isolation, small blocks of actively growing cultures were used to inoculate petri dishes containing pea broth (filtered and autoclaved decoction of 200 g of frozen peas, boiled for 20 min in 1 liter of deionized water, to which 5 g of glucose was added). Petri dishes were incubated at the optimum temperature for the species concerned (53). After 5 to 10 days, depending on the growth rate of the species, mycelia were harvested by filtration, washed twice with demineralized water, freeze-dried, and stored at -20° C until ready for DNA isolation. For each strain, 30 mg of freeze-dried mycelium were ground with sand and a micropestle and DNA was isolated according to the method of Möller et al. (37) with modifications as described previously (24).

PCR. The rDNA IGS between the large subunit (LSU) and 5S rRNA genes (IGS-1) of P. insidiosum (isolate 65) was amplified with primers Q (ACGCCT CTAAGTCAGAATC) (5) and SR (GAAGCCCGGGTGCTGTCTAG) (24) (Fig. 1). In addition, primers LR1 (GCATATCAATAAGCGGAGGA) and LR2 (GACTTAGAGGCGTTCAG) (21) were used to amplify a 2,500-bp region of the LSU rRNA gene for P. insidiosum (isolate 65), Aspergillus flavus, Basidiobolus ranarum, and Prototheca wickerhamii (Fig. 1). Reactions were carried out in 50-µl volumes containing 5 µl of Taq Extender 10× reaction buffer (Stratagene, La Jolla, Calif.); 200 µM (each) dATP, dCTP, dGTP, and dTTP; 1.5 mM MgCl₂; 20 pmol of each primer, 10 ng of DNA template, 1.25 U of Taq DNA polymerase, and 1.25 U of Taq Extender (Stratagene). Amplifications were done by using a Techne Unit Genius Thermocycler (Techne, Inc., Princeton, N.J.) with the following temperature cycling parameters: denaturation at 93°C for 3 min for the first cycle and 1 min for subsequent cycles, annealing for 1 min at 50°C, and elongation at 72°C for 2 min for primers Q and SR, and 5 min for primers LR1 and LR2. The parameters were repeated for 30 cycles with Q and SR and 25 cycles with LR1 and LR2, followed by a final elongation at 72°C for 8 to 10 min.

Restriction site mapping of IGS-1. A partial digestion of the Q-SR amplicon was carried out in a total volume of 15 μ l containing 8 to 10 μ l of PCR product, 1.5 μ l of REact 2 buffer, and 5 U HinfI (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Calif.). Eight individual reactions were incubated at 37°C and reactions were stopped at 10 s, at 30 s, and at 1, 3, 5, 10, 30, and 60 min by the addition of 4.0 μ l of gel loading buffer (40% [wt/vol] sucrose, 0.25% bromophenol blue, 20 mM EDTA). Di-



FIG. 1. HinfI restriction site map of IGS-1 for *P. insidiosum* (isolate 65). Vertical arrows indicate locations of HinfI restriction sites. Rectangles represent coding regions for the LSU, SSU (small subunit), 5.8S, and 5S rRNA genes. Locations and orientations of the primers LR1, LR2, Q, and SR are indicated by horizontal arrows.

gested DNA fragments were separated in a 1.0% agarose gel in $1 \times$ Tris-borate-EDTA buffer for subsequent Southern blotting and hybridization with the Qprimer oligonucleotide probe for the construction of the HinfI restriction site map of IGS-1.

Labeling DNA probes and blot preparation. Fragments of DNA to be used for hybridization studies were excised and purified from agarose gels by using the GeneClean kit (Qbiogene, Inc., Carlsbad, Calif.). Restriction fragment and PCR product DNA probes were random-primed labeled with digoxigenin (DIG)-11dUTP by using the DIG DNA LABELING Kit (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). The Q-primer oligonucleotide probe was 3'-end labeled with DIG-11-dUTP by using the DIG oligonucleotide 3'-end labeling kit (Roche Diagnostics GmbH). Both labeling procedures were carried out according to the manufacturer's specifications for use in DNA-DNA hybridizations with Southern blots and genomic DNA spot blots.

Spot blots of the appropriate dilutions of genomic DNA were prepared by spotting $1.0 \ \mu$ l of denatured DNA (heated at 100° C for $10 \ min$ and immediately chilled on ice) on a Hybond-N membrane (Amersham Corp., Piscataway, N.J.). Southern blots of partially digested DNA were prepared according to the method of Southern (49). The DNA was fixed to the membranes from both dot blots and Southern blots by cross-linking under UV light on a transilluminator for 4 min.

DNA-DNA hybridization. For hybridizations with DNA probes, membranes were incubated for 1 h with constant agitation in 15 ml of prehybridization solution (1% sodium dodecyl sulfate [SDS], 1 M NaCl) at 42°C for the Q-primer probe and at 60°C for restriction fragment or PCR product probes. Subsequently, the DNA probe was denatured at 100°C for 10 min and then added directly to the prehybridization solution after a 1-h incubation at a final concentration of 5 to 25 ng/ml. The hybridization reaction was conducted overnight at the corresponding prehybridization temperature with constant agitation. Membranes were then washed twice, 5 min per wash, in 2× wash solution (2× SSC [1× SSC is 0.15 M NaCl plus 0.015 M sodium citrate; pH 7.0]-0.1% SDS) at room temperature. Two additional washes were then done for 15 min, each in $0.1 \times$ wash solution $(0.1 \times$ SSC-0.1% SDS) at the temperature used for the initial hybridization reaction. After the posthybridization washes, chemiluminescence detection was performed according to the manufacturer's protocol (Roche), and membranes were exposed to Kodak X-Omat X-ray film (Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, N.Y.) for 1 to 30 min to detect the chemiluminescent reaction. Subsequent to further chemiluminescent detections, membranes were stripped to remove DNA probes by rinsing the membrane in water for 2 min, followed by two 15 min incubations at 37°C in stripping solution (0.2 M NaOH, 0.1% SDS) and washed two to three times in $2 \times$ SSC.

Cloning and sequencing of the DNA probe. The 530-bp HinfI fragment that was to be used as a species-specific probe was cloned into the pPCR-Script Amp SK(+) vector (Stratagene) by using the PCR-Script AMP cloning kit. Plasmid DNA was purified from the cultures by using the QIAprep Spin Miniprep kit (Qiagen, Inc., Mississauga, Ontario, Canada) and screened for the presence of the insert by restriction endonuclease digestion. Plasmids containing the insert were sequenced in both directions with the primers T3 (AATTAACCCTCACT AAAGGG) and T7, (GTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGC) by using a 377XL ABI DNA Sequencer (University Core DNA Services, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada).

Nucleotide sequence accession number. The nucleotide sequence of the 530-bp HinfI fragment from the rDNA IGS-1 of *P. insidiosum* (CBS 574.85) is available in the GenBank database (accession number AY484596).

RESULTS

Characterization of the *P. insidiosum* **species-specific probe.** The region between the LSU and 5S rRNA genes (IGS-1) of the *P. insidiosum* ex-type culture (CBS 574.85) was PCR amplified with primers Q and SR (Fig. 1). A HinfI partial digestion of the 1,900-bp Q-SR amplicon was Southern blotted and hybridized with a DIG-11-ddUTP-labeled Q-primer oligonucleotide probe to construct the HinfI restriction site map of IGS-1 (Fig. 1).

The central 530-bp HinfI fragment was selected as a potential species-specific DNA probe for *P. insidiosum* because it did not overlap any of the gene regions and because it gave strong hybridization signals in preliminary trials. The fragment was cloned into the pPCR-Script Amp SK(+) vector and sequenced. The resulting sequence had a G+C ratio of 44.34% and a $T_{\rm m}$ of 82.14°C. A basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) search was done on GenBank, and no significant hits were obtained. The fragment was then random-primed labeled with DIG-11-dUTP to be used in hybridization tests with genomic DNA of several *Pythium* species and animal pathogens.

Probe specificity among Pythium species. The specificity of the probe for P. insidiosum was tested by performing DNA-DNA hybridizations with spot blots of genomic DNA from 101 Pythium species. To ensure that approximately equal amounts of target DNA were present for each species, spot blots containing various genomic DNA concentrations for each species were hybridized with a 2,500-bp LSU rRNA gene probe (amplified with primers LR1 and LR2; Fig. 1) of the P. insidiosum ex-type culture (data not shown). Concentrations of genomic DNA that produced hybridization signals of equal intensities were selected for each species and spotted onto two membranes (blots I and II; Fig. 2), which were then hybridized with the LSU rRNA gene probe of P. insidiosum (isolate 65) (Fig. 2A). The membranes were then stripped to remove bound probe and rehybridized with the 530-bp P. insidiosum IGS-1 fragment probe (Fig. 2B). The probe hybridized to genomic DNA of P. insidiosum isolate 65 and P. destruens isolate M23 but did not cross-react with genomic DNA from any of the other 102 Pythium species.

Probe sensitivity for P. insidiosum isolates. Previously, sequence analysis of the rDNA internal transcribed spacer revealed the existence of three distinct clades of P. insidiosum isolates from various animals hosts and geographic regions (47). Clade I consisted of isolates from North, Central, and South America. Clade II contained isolates from Asia, Australia, and the United States, and clade III consisted of isolates from Thailand and the United States. To test whether the P. insidiosum probe would hybridize to genomic DNA from P. insidiosum isolates representing each of these clades, spot blots were prepared by using genomic DNA from 22 isolates (Table 2). Three individual blots were prepared, each corresponding to one of the three clades (Fig. 3). The target genomic DNA concentrations were first normalized by hybridization with the 2,500-bp LSU rRNA gene probe of P. insidiosum (Fig. 3A). The membranes were then stripped and rehybridized with the 530-bp P. insidiosum IGS-1 probe (Fig. 3B). The probe hybridized with DNA from all 22 isolates of P. insidiosum, but the hybridization signal was most intense with isolates representing

clade I. The intensity of the hybridization signal was decreased among clade II isolates and was weakest with the four clade III isolates (Fig. 3B).

Probe specificity when tested with other pathogens. The specificity of the *P. insidiosum* IGS-1 fragment probe was tested against genomic DNA from several pathogenic organisms that could be present in lesions similar to those caused by pythiosis (Table 2). Spot blots were prepared (Fig. 4) by using genomic DNA of *Aspergillus flavus* (Ascomycota), *Basidiobolus ranarum* (Zygomycota), *Conidiobolus coronatus* (Zygomycota), *Paracoccidioides brasiliensis* (Ascomycota), and *Prototheca wickerhamii* (Chlorophyta). An isolate of *Lagenidium giganteum* (Oomycota) was also included, along with the ex-type culture of *P. insidiosum*. Each blot was first hybridized with a LSU rRNA gene probe to ensure that hybridization signals were approximately equal (Fig. 4A). The membranes were



FIG. 2. Dot blot hybridizations of genomic DNA representing 104 *Pythium* species with an LSU rRNA gene probe (LR1-LR2 PCR amplicon) from *P. insidiosum* (isolate 65) (A) and a 530-bp IGS-1 fragment probe from IGS-1 of *P. insidiosum* (isolate 65) (B). Subsequent to hybridization with the LR1-LR2 probe, the membranes in panel A were stripped and rehybridized with the IGS-1 fragment probe in panel B. Hybridization conditions were identical for both probes. The 3-letter abbreviations correspond to the isolate designations in Table 1.



FIG. 3. Dot blot hybridizations of *P. insidiosum* genomic DNA from isolates representing the genetic clades (clades I, II, and III) discussed by Schurko et al. (47). Blots were hybridized with the LSU rRNA gene probe (LR1-LR2 amplicon) from *P. insidiosum* 65 (A) and the 530-bp IGS-1 fragment probe from *P. insidiosum* 65 (B). Subsequent to hybridization with the LR1-LR2 probe, membranes in panel A were stripped and rehybridized with the IGS-1 fragment probe in panel B. Isolate numbers correspond to those listed in Table 2.

stripped, and all four blots were simultaneously rehybridized in the same hybridization bottle with the 530-bp *P. insidiosum* IGS-1 fragment probe which only hybridized to genomic DNA of *P. insidiosum* isolate 65 and did not cross-react with DNA from any of the other pathogens (Fig. 4B).

DISCUSSION

A species-specific DNA probe for *P. insidiosum* was constructed by using a 530-bp HinfI fragment from IGS-1 between the LSU and 5S rRNA genes of *P. insidiosum*. When tested against genomic DNA of 104 *Pythium* species, the probe specifically hybridized to DNA of the ex-type cultures of *P. insidiosum* and *P. destruens*. This not only demonstrated the ability of the probe to distinguish *P. insidiosum* from other *Pythium* species but also supported antigenic (34) and molecular evidence (46, 47) that *P. insidiosum* and *P. destruens* should be



FIG. 4. Spot blot hybridizations of genomic DNA from organisms that produce symptoms similar to pythiosis. (A) Membranes were hybridized with the LSU rRNA gene probes (LR1-LR2 amplicons) amplified from the following organisms: *Prototheca wickerhamii* (blot 1), *Aspergillus flavus* and *Basidiobolus ranarum* (1:1 mixture of both probes; blots 2 and 3), and *P. insidiosum* (blot 4). (B) Membranes hybridized with 530-bp IGS-1 fragment probe from *P. insidiosum*. Subsequent to hybridization with the LR1-LR2 probes, membranes in panel A were stripped and rehybridized with the IGS-1 fragment probe in panel B. Species abbreviations are as follows: *Af, Aspergillus flavus*; Br, *Basidiobolus ranarum*; Cc, *Conidiobolus coronatus*; Lg, *Lagenidium giganteum*; Pi, *Pythium insidiosum*; Pb, *Paracoccidioides brasiliensis*; Pw, *Prototheca wickerhamii*.

considered conspecific. The finding that the probe hybridized to all 22 isolates in the three geographic clades described by Schurko et al. (47) supports the idea that *P. insidiosum* is indeed a single species or a group of very closely related species. However, the differences in intensity of hybridization between clades, and particularly the weak reactions for clade III, the most distant cluster in terms of restriction fragment length polymorphisms and internal transcribed spacer sequences (46, 47), point to significant genetic divergence within the species.

The probe was also able to distinguish *P. insidiosum* from other pathogenic organisms that cause diseases of humans and animals (Fig. 4). *Basidiobolus ranarum* and *Conidiobolus coronatus* are zygomycetes which cause conidiobolomycosis and basidiobolomycosis, respectively. The ascomycetes *Aspergillus flavus* and *Paracoccidioides brasiliensis* are the etiological agents of aspergillosis and paracoccidioidomycosis, respectively. *Prototheca wickerhamii* is a chlorophyte alga which causes protothecosis. These clinical entities can cause cutaneous and subcutaneous lesions and infections of internal organs, arteries, body cavities, and bones. Among these organisms, species-specific probes have been constructed for *Paracoccid*- *ioides brasiliensis* (29, 45) and *A. flavus* (44), but the crosshybridization of these probes with *P. insidiosum* has not yet been examined. Misdiagnosis of some of these diseases may be possible due to their common symptoms and histopathological characteristics. This can be especially problematic in the case of pythiosis because this disease is often confused with those caused by members of the zygomycetes, and this would likely result in the prescription of antifungal agents. Although Shenep et al. (48) demonstrated successful synergistic treatment with a combination of itraconazole and terbinafine, therapeutic measures are not expected to have a curative effect since *P. insidiosum* is not a member of the true fungi and does not respond to common antifungal agents.

The isolate of *Lagenidium giganteum* included in the analysis was from a mosquito larva in North Carolina. Similarly, *P. insidiosum* 296 was from a mosquito larva in India. The hybridization of the probe to DNA from *P. insidiosum* 296 and not to DNA from *L. giganteum* supports the distinction of these two isolates as separate species, despite their similar hosts, and also confirms the ability of *P. insidiosum* to infect insect hosts, as well as mammalian hosts. *Lagenidium* is closely related to *Pythium* based on *coxII* gene sequencing (22) and LSU rRNA gene sequencing (42). This result also indicated that the probe does not cross-react with a closely related genus, although only one *Lagenidium* species was tested.

Lagenidiosis, a recently described disease in canines similar to pythiosis and zygomycosis, is thought to be caused by a *Lagenidium* species, although not necessarily *L. giganteum* (20). Znajda et al. (55) described a nested PCR assay to detect oomycete DNA in tissues from cases of pythiosis and lagenidiosis, but the assay was tested against a limited number of *P. insidiosum* isolates. Since the *P. insidiosum* probe described here detects genetically diverse strains of *P. insidiosum*, results from hybridization studies might help determine whether the etiological agent of lagenidiosis is indeed *Lagenidium* sp. or in fact a cryptic strain of *P. insidiosum*, if the probe also hybridizes with that strain.

DNA probes from regions of rDNA have been developed to identify many infectious fungal agents. Species-specific probes have been described for several *Candida* species (8, 9, 15), *Trichophyton rubrum* (14), and a variety of other fungal pathogens (13, 29, 44, 45). Species-specific probes have also been constructed to identify several plant pathogenic oomycetes, including *Pythium* spp. (4, 24, 27, 28, 30), *Phytophthora* spp. (17, 23, 26), and *Personosclerospora sorghi* (54).

The *P. insidiosum* IGS-1 fragment probe has several practical applications in clinical and diagnostic settings. The probe can be used to classify an organism as *P. insidiosum* from suspected cases of pythiosis and to distinguish it from pathogenically related zygomycetes, ascomycetes, and algae, provided a pure culture of the infectious agent is available. However, the ability of the probe to detect *P. insidiosum* in infected tissue sections or blood samples would avoid the need to obtain pure cultures. The probe could also confirm the diagnosis of pythiosis based on results from histopathological examinations and ID or ELISA tests, which have been shown to produce conflicting results (40, 51). It could also be useful for monitoring a patient's response to therapy by detecting the presence or absence of the organism in blood or tissue samples.

- Einsele, H., H. Hebart, G. Roller, J. Loffler, I. Rothenhofer, C. A. Muller, R. A. Bowden, J. van Burik, D. Engelhard, L. Kanz, and U. Schumacher. 1997. Detection and identification of fungal pathogens in blood by using molecular probes. J. Clin. Microbiol. 35:1353–1360.
- El Fari, M., H. J. Tietz, W. Presber, W. Sterry, and Y. Graser. 1999. Development of an oligonucleotide probe specific for *Trichophyton rubrum*. Br. J. Dermatol. 141:240–245.
- Elie, C. M., T. J. Lott, E. Reiss, and C. J. Morrison. 1998. Rapid identification of *Candida* species with species-specific DNA probes. J. Clin. Microbiol. 36:3260–3265.
- 16. Gams, W., E. S. Hoekstra, and A. Atroot. 1998. CBS course of mycology, 4th ed. Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, Baarn, The Netherlands.
- Goodwin, P. H., B. C. Kirkpatrick, and J. M. Duniway. 1989. Cloned DNA probes for identification of *Phytophthora parasitica*. Phytopathology 79:716– 721.
- Grooters, A. M., and M. K. Gee. 2002. Development of a nested polymerase chain reaction assay for the detection and identification of *Pythium insidio*sum. J. Vet. Intern. Med. 16:147–152.
- Grooters, A. M., B. S. Leise, M. K. Lopez, M. K. Gee, and K. L. O'Reilly. 2002. Development and evaluation of an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for the serodiagnosis of pythiosis in dogs. J. Vet. Intern. Med. 16:142– 146.
- Grooters, A. M., E. C. Hodgin, R. W. Bauer, C. J. Detrisac, N. R. Znajda, and R. C. Thomas. 2003. Clinicopathologic findings associated with *Lagenidium* sp. infection in six dogs: initial description of an emerging oomycosis. J. Vet. Intern. Med. 17:637–646.
- Henrion, B., F. Le Tacon, and F. Martin. 1992. Rapid identification of genetic variation of ectomycorrhizal fungi by amplification of rRNA genes. New. Phytol. 122:289–298.
- Hudspeth, D. S. S., S. A. Nadler, and M. E. S. Hudspeth. 2000. A COX2 molecular phylogeny of the *Peronosporomycetes*. Mycologia 92:674–684.
- Judelson, H. S., and B. Messenger-Routh. 1996. Quantitation of *Phytoph-thora cinnamomi* in avocado roots using a species-specific DNA probe. Phytopathology 86:763–768.
- Klassen, G. R., M. Balcerzak, and A. W. A. M. de Cock. 1996. 5S rRNA gene spacers as species-specific probes for eight species of *Pythium*. Phytopathology 86:581–587.
- Krajaejun, T., M. Kunakorn, S. Niemhom, P. Chongtrakool, and R. Pracharktam. 2002. Development and evaluation of an in-house enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for early diagnosis and monitoring of human pythiosis. Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol. 9:378–382.
- Lee, S. B., T. J. White, and J. W. Taylor. 1993. Detection of *Phytophthora* species by oligonucleotide hybridization to amplified ribosomal DNA spacers. Phytopathology 83:177–181.
- Lévesque, C. A., T. C. Vrain, and S. H. De Boer. 1994. Development of a species-specific probe for *Pythium ultimum* using amplified ribosomal DNA. Phytopathology 84:474–478.
- Lévesque, C. A., C. E. Harlton, and A. W. A. M. de Cock. 1998. Identification of some *Oomycetes* by reverse dot blot hybridization. Phytopathology 88: 213–222.
- Lindsley, M. D., S. F. Hurst, N. J. Iqbal, and C. J. Morrison. 2001. Rapid identification of dimorphic and yeast-like fungal pathogens using specific DNA probes. J. Clin. Microbiol. 39:3505–3511.
- Martin, F. N. 1991. Selection of DNA probes useful for isolate identification of two *Pythium* spp. Phytopathology 81:742–746.
- Mendoza, L., L. Kaufman, and P. G. Standard. 1986. Immunodiffusion test for diagnosing and monitoring pythiosis in horses. J. Clin. Microbiol. 23:813– 816.
- Mendoza, L., L. Kaufman, and P. Standard. 1987. Antigenic relationship between the animal and human pathogen *Pythium insidiosum* and nonpathogenic *Pythium* species. J. Clin. Microbiol. 25:2159–2162.
- Mendoza, L., and J. Prendas. 1988. A method to obtain rapid zoosporogenesis of *Pythium insidiosum*. Mycopathologia 104:59–62.
- Mendoza, L., and G. Marin. 1989. Antigenic relationship between *Pythium insidiosum* de Cock et al. 1987 and its synonym *Pythium destruens* Shipton 1987. Mycoses 32:73–77.
- Mendoza, L., L. Kaufman, W. Mandy, and R. Glass. 1997. Serodiagnosis of human and animal pythiosis using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol. 4:715–718.
- Miller, R. I., and R. S. Campbell. 1982. Immunological studies on equine phycomycosis. Aust. Vet. J. 58:227–231.
- Möller, E. M., G. Bahnweg, H. Sandermann, and H. H. Geiger. 1992. A simple and efficient protocol for isolation of high molecular weight DNA from filamentous fungi, fruit bodies, and infected plant tissues. Nucleic Acids Res. 20:6115–6116.
- Park, C. S., M. Kakinuma, and A. Hideomi. 2002. Detection and quantitative analysis of zoospores of *Pythium porphyrae*, causative organism of red rot disease in *Porphyra*, by competitive PCR. J. Appl. Phycol. 13:433–441.
- Patterson, D. J. 1989. Stramenopiles, chromophytes from a protistan perspective, p. 357–379. *In J. C. Green, B. S. C. Leadbeater, and E. L. Diver*

A common route of infection by *P. insidiosum* is via zoospores through small cuts or body cavities of individuals who spend time in or drink from stagnant swampy waters or fields. Many fungal species, including other *Pythium* species, thrive in such environments. The probe could serve as a diagnostic tool to detect *P. insidiosum* in such environments and to differentiate it from other *Pythium* species that may be present and harmless to animal hosts. Commercial kits are available that use ELISA to detect *Pythium* and *Phytophthora* zoospores in irrigation water (2). In addition, polyclonal antibodies (1) and competitive PCR (38) have been used to detect the zoospores of *P. porphyrae* in seawater from *Porphyra* cultivation farms. Similarly, the DNA probe for *P. insidiosum* has the potential to detect *P. insidiosum* zoospores in contaminated water sources that are frequented by humans and animals.

The species-specific probe for *P. insidiosum* presented here provides a molecular biological diagnostic method that can be used, in conjunction with present clinical tools, to diagnose cases of pythiosis with greater confidence and accuracy. Rapid identification is essential so that an appropriate clinical decision can be made concerning the identity of the isolate, the therapeutic approach to be used, and the dosage and duration of the appropriate therapy. The probe also has the potential to be used as a tool to screen tissue and blood samples and environmental sources that may harbor the infectious organism.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by a research grant to G.R.K. from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and in part by grant 91-0545 to L.M. from the Center for Animal Production and Enhancement, Michigan State University.

We thank Ans de Cock, Roger Herr, Ayush Kumar, and Susan Cuvelier for research assistance.

REFERENCES

- Addepalli, M. K., and Y. Fujita. 2001. Serological detection of red rot disease initiation stages of microbial pathogen, *Pythium porphyrae (Oomycota)* on *Porphyra yezoensis*. J. Appl. Phycol. 13:221–227.
- Ali-Shtayeh, M. S., J. D. MacDonald, and J. Kabashima. 1991. A method for using commercial ELISA tests to detect zoospores of *Phytophthora* and *Pythium* species in irrigation water. Plant Dis. 75:305–311.
- Badenoch, P. R., D. J. Coster, B. L. Wetherall, H. T. Brettig, M. A. Rozenbilds, A. Drenth, and G. Wagels. 2001. *Pythium insidiosum* keratitis confirmed by DNA sequence analysis. Br. J. Ophthalmol. 85:502–503.
- Bailey, A. M., D. J. Mitchell, K. L. Manjunath, G. Nolasco, and C. L. Niblett. 2002. Identification to the species level of plant pathogens *Phytophthora* and *Pythium* by using sequences of the ITS1 region of ribosomal DNA as capture probes for PCR ELISA. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 207:153–158.
- Belkhiri, A., J. Buchko, and G. R. Klassen. 1992. The 5S ribosomal RNA gene in *Pythium* species: two different genomic locations. Mol. Biol. Evol. 9:1089–1102.
- Brown, C. C., J. J. McClure, P. Triche, and C. Crowder. 1988. Use of immunohistochemical methods for diagnosis of equine pythiosis. Am. J. Vet. Res. 49:1866–1868.
- 7. Buergelt, C. D. 2000. If it's not neoplasia, it may be pythiosis. Vet. Med. 95:198–200.
- Carlotti, A., A. Couble, J. Domingo, K. Miroy, and J. Villard. 1996. Speciesspecific identification of *Candida krusei* by hybridization with the CkF1,2 DNA probe. J. Clin. Microbiol. 34:1726–1731.
- Carlotti, A., T. Srikantha, K. Schroppel, C. Kvaal, J. Villard, and D. R. Soll. 1997. A novel repeat sequence (CKRS-1) containing a tandemly repeated sub-element (*kre*) accounts for differences between *Candida krusei* strains fingerprinted with the probe CkF1,2. Curr. Genet. 31:255–263.
- 10. Chaffin, M. K., J. Schumacher, and W. C. McMullan. 1995. Cutaneous pythiosis in the horse. Vet. Clin. North. Am. Equine Pract. 11:91–103.
- de Cock, A. W. A. M., L. Mendoza, A. A. Padhye, L. Ajello, and L. Kaufman. 1987. *Pythium insidiosum* sp. nov., the etiologic agent of pythiosis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 25:344–349.
- 12. Dykstra, M. J., N. J. Sharp, T. Olivry, A. Hillier, K. M. Murphy, L. Kaufman,

(ed.), The chromophyte algae, problems, and perspectives. Clarendon Press, Oxford, England.

- Pracharktam, R., P. Changtrakool, B. Sathapatayavongs, P. Jayanetra, and L. Ajello. 1991. Immunodiffusion test for diagnosis and monitoring of human pythiosis insidiosi. J. Clin. Microbiol. 29:2661–2662.
- Reis, J. L., Jr., E. C. de Carvalho, R. H. Nogueira, L. S. Lemos, and L. Mendoza. 2003. Disseminated pythiosis in three horses. Vet. Microbiol. 96:289–295.
- Riethmüller, A., H. Voglmayr, M. Göker, M. Weib, and F. Oberwinkler. 2002. Phylogenetic relationships of the downy mildews (*Peronosporales*) and related groups based on nuclear large subunit ribosomal DNA sequences. Mycologia 94:834–849.
- Rippon, J. W. 1998. Zygomycosis. p. 681–713. *In* M. Wonsiewicz (ed.), Medical mycology: the pathogenic fungi and the pathogenic actinomycetes, 3rd ed. W. B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia, Pa.
- Sandhu, G. S., B. C. Kline, L. Stockman, and G. D. Roberts. 1995. Molecular probes for diagnosis of fungal infections. J. Clin. Microbiol. 33:2913–2919.
- Sandhu, G. S., R. A. Aleff, B. C. Kline, and C. da Silva Lacaz. 1997. Molecular detection and identification of *Paracoccidioides brasiliensis*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 35:1894–1896.
- 46. Schurko, A. M., L. Mendoza, A. W. A. M. de Cock, and G. R. Klassen. 2003. Evidence for geographic clusters: molecular genetic differences among strains of *Pythium insidiosum* from Asia, Australia, and the Americas are explored. Mycologia 95:200–208.
- 47. Schurko, A. M., L. Mendoza, C. A. Lévesque, N. L. Désaulniers, A. W. A. M.

de Cock, and G. R. Klassen. 2003. A molecular phylogeny of *Pythium insidiosum*. Mycol. Res. **107**:537–544.

- 48. Shenep, J. L., B. K. English, L. Kaufman, T. A. Pearson, J. W. Thompson, R. A. Kaufman, F. Frisch, and M. G. Rinaldi. 1998. Successful medical therapy for deeply invasive facial infection due to *Pythium insidiosum* in a child. Clin. Infect. Dis. 27:1388–1393.
- Southern, E. 1975. Detection of specific sequences among DNA fragments separated by gel electrophoresis. J. Mol. Biol. 98:503.
- Thianprasit, M., A. Chaiprasert, and P. Imwidthaya. 1996. Human pythiosis. Curr. Top. Med. Mycol. 7:43–54.
- 51. Thitithanyanont, A., L. Mendoza, A. Chuansumrit, R. Pracharktam, J. Laothamatas, B. Sathapatayavongs, S. Lolekha, and L. Ajello. 1998. Use of an immunotherapeutic vaccine to treat a life-threatening human arteritic infection caused by *Pythium insidiosum*. Clin. Infect. Dis. 27:1394–1400.
- Thomas, R. C., and D. T. Lewis. 1998. Pythiosis in dogs and cats. Compendium 20:63–75.
- Van der Plaats-Niterink, A. J. 1981. Monograph of the genus *Pythium*. Studies Mycol. 21:1–242.
- 54. Yao, C. L., C. W. Magill, and R. A. Frederiksen. 1991. Use of an A-T-Rich DNA clone for identification and detection of *Peronosclerospora sorghi*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 57:2027–2032.
- Znajda, N. R., A. M. Grooters, and R. Marsella. 2002. PCR-based detection of *Pythium* and *Lagenidium* DNA in frozen and ethanol-fixed animal tissues. Vet. Dermatol. 13:187–194.