

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

Drug Test Anal. Author manuscript; available in r MC 2016 February 01

# Published in final edited form and

Drug Test Arc. 201. February; 7(2) 114-120. doi:10.1002/dta.1688.

# Impact of Oral Fluid Collection Device on Cannabinoid Stability Following Smoked Cannabis

Sébastien Aruzan<sup>1</sup>, Metoura M. Bergamasciur, Allar J. Barnes<sup>1</sup>, Garry Milman<sup>1</sup>, Nathalie Desnosiers<sup>1,2</sup>, Dayong Leei, David A. Gore<sup>11</sup> K<sup>1,3</sup>, and Inarityn A. Huestis<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chemistry and Drug Metabolism, Intramural Posearch Program, National Institute on Drug Abuse, National Institutes of Health, 251 Jayview 5'vd. Suite 200 Baltimore, MD 21224 USA

<sup>2</sup>Program in Toxico'cy, Uriversity of Maryland Baltimore, Baltimore, MD, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Fsychiatry, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

# Abstract

Evaluation of  $\alpha$ -unabinoid stability in authentic cral  $i_{sid}$  (SF) is critical, as most OF stability studies employed fortified or synthetic OF. Participants (n=10) smalled a 6.8% delta-9tetrahydrocann bin 1 (THC) cigarette, and baseline concertations cf. HC, 11-nor-9-carboxy-THC (THCCOCH), cannabidiol (CDD), at d cannabidiol (CDD) were determined within 24h in 16 separate pooled scuiples (collected 1), before to 10.5 or 13h after smolling). OF was collected with the StatSure Saliva S'.mpler" and Oral-Eze® devices. Oral Eze samples vere re-analyzed after room temperature (RT) s orage for 1 we'r, and for both Gavies, after 4°C for 1 and 4 weeks, and -20°C for 4 and 24 weeks. Concutrations - 20% from initial concertations - ere considered stable. With the StatSure device all carraomoids were within 80-1 20% median % ase ine for all storage conditions. Individual THC, CBD, CBN and THCCOOH poor concentrations were stable in 100%, 100%, 80-94% and >85%, respectively across storage conditions With the Oral-Eze device, at RT or refrigerated stor. ge ( for 1 and 4 veeks), THC, CDD and THC COCH were stable in 94-100%, 78-89% and 93-100% of samples, respectively, while CBN conventintions were 53-79% stable. However, after 24 welks at 20°C, stability lecreased, est ecially to CBD, with a median of 56% stability. Overall, the collection devices' clution/stabilizing buffers provided good stability for OF cannabinoids, with the exception of the more labile CBN. To ensure OF cannabinoid concentration accuracy, these data suggest analysis within 4 weeks at 4°C sto age for Oral-Eze collection and within 4 weeks at ... or 24 wee. at -20°C for Stat Sure collection.

# Keywords

oral fluid; cannabis; cannabinoids; stabii: y, fHC

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author: Marilyn A. Huestis, PhD Chief, Chemistry and Drug Metaboli. In Intramural Research Program, NIDA, NIH Biomedical Research Center 251 Bayview Blvd. Room 05A721 Bai imore, MD 21274 US7. Phone: 443-740-2524 Fax: 443-740-2823 mhuestis@intra.nida.nih.gov.

#### Introduction

More individuals use contable that any other illicit drug worldwide [1]. Oral fluid (OF) is advantageous over other biologice' matrixes (e.g. blood, urine, plasma) for drug testing in work place, drug treatment, for ensic, and drivin g under influence of drugs (DUID) testing i rograms for several reasons: sample collection is simple and noninvasive; infection risk is reduced con pared to blood, OF concertrations may reflect recent drug use better than urine; special collection facilities and samples collector, are not required; and specimen adviteration is more difficult [2; 3; 4]. OF testing of an requires specialized collection devices and specific legislation for screening and confirmatory cut-off concentrations. The U.S. Substance robuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) and the European initiative, Driving Under the influence of Drugs, Alcohol, and Medicines (DRUID), [5; 6] proposed specific OF call ability of Drugs, Alcohol, and Medicines (DRUID), [5; 6] proposed specific OF call ability of the only confirmation functions for screening and confirmation. Currently, THC is the only confirmation function for clinical and forensic priposes.

The main psychologiave cannabis constituent, delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), is set sitive to several factors during storagy air oxide ion [7] degradation when exposed to ligh [7; 3], acids [9], high temperatures [10]; and assorption to materials such as glass, plastiv, and precipitant metanal [11; 12]. Cr collection acvices with elution/stabilization buffers are preference over expectorated samples due to increased analyte stability during storage and improved analytical precision [13] [14] "Lowever," ost stability studies [15; 16; 17; 18] fo used on fortified authants or synthetic oral fund. Noote et al. [16; 17] showed that THC, can abidio! (CBD), car aoinol (CBN) and 11-r -9-ca boxy-THC (THCCOOH) concentrations were stable in fortified synthetic C. collected with the Quantisal device when refrigerated for 10 days; instability occurred ... in cannab...oid were stored at room temperature (R) for the same period. Only one study evaluated evaluation stability from authentic OF co. Lector with the Chantis' device and by expectoration [14]. THC, THCCOOH, CBN, and CBP concentrations in OF collected with the Quantisal device were stable for at least 4 weeks at 4°C, while significant degradation of 14CCOOH, CBD, and CBN was observed a ter 21 weeks at -20°C. In expectoral admientic OF, cannabinoids concentrations were loss stable than specimens collected with Quantisal under all surge conditions, demonstrating that ecumabinoid a ability varies by collection method and storage conditions.

There is a strong need to determine cannebinoid stability in authentic OF collected with commercial OF collection devices after cannabis smoking, as stability in fortified authentic or synthetic OF may not be the same. In this study, after controlled sinelled cannabis administration, cannabinoid stability in authentic OF collected with StatSure Selive Sampler<sup>TM</sup> and the Oral-Eze<sup>®</sup> collection devices were characterized after storage at PT, 4°C, and -20°C for 1-24 weeks. We provide stability data for THC, THCCCOH, CPN, and CPD, due to the importance all these cannabisoids have in improving interpretation of OF results.

#### Materials and Method -

#### Participants

Frequent and occasional cannabic smokers were recruited from the community by print, radio, internet and television advertisements. Subjects were required to be 18-45 years old and physically and psychologically healthy based on comprehensive medical and psychological evaluation. Self-reported cannabis smoking at least four times per week (request cannabis smokers) or less than twice per veek (occasional cannabis smokers) in the 3 months prior to study entry, and for frequent smokers, a positive urine cannabinoid screen (Iscreen<sup>TL</sup> >50 µg/L. Arere, Waltham, MA) were required for inclusion. Exclusion chieved and the domatic of the study of the st

All subjects provided written informed constant to participate in this National Institute on Drug Abuse Institutional Review Board approaced study and were remunerated for their participants resided on a secure clinical research unit the nights before and attending administration.

#### Oral Fluid Stability Sample Collection

OF wes collected with the StatS) re Saliva Jampher M (StatS) Plagnostic Systems, Inc., Brookline, MA, and Oral Lize<sup>®</sup> (Quest Diagnostics, Madicun, NJ) devices upon admission to the clinical unit (approximately -19 h) and -12, 0.5, 1, 23, 4, 4.5, 5, 6, 8, 10.5, 13.5, 21, 21, 124, 26, 28 and 30 h post dore. Cannybis cigare ter were obtained through the NIDA Chemistry and ingrisonogical Systems Research Branch, rarticipants smoked one (mean ±SD) 6.8±0.2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> THC (34mg), 0.25±0.08% CBD (2mg) and 0.21: 0.02% CBN (1.6mg) cannabis cigarette ad libitum within 10...in. OF was collected first with the StatSure and then with the O al-Eze device Both collection devices contain an indicator that turns blue when 1mL OF is collected. Specimene were processed according to manufacturers' recommendations. StatS are collection pads were placed into tubes containing 1mL elution/ stabilization buffer (yiciding 1:2 v/v OF dilution) and tor d at <sup>10</sup>C. Oral Eze pads were stored in 2mL buffer (1:? v/v OF dilution) at R.". OF/buffer inixtures were the not red to 3.6 mL Nunc cryotubes ('thomas Scientif', Swedes oro, NJ) . 2' tater. These OF set uples were originally used for pharmacchinetic apolyses [19; 20]. Aliquot, from each time point sample except those at 4.5 h (fron -1 +, 10.5 h for StatCure and from -1 to 13h for O al-Eze) to m each participant were combined to create individual StatSure and Orel-reze stabilit pools with enough volume for analysis at multiple strage conditions. After straining, each pool was divided into 5 and 6 alig tots for StatSure and Oral-Eze specimers, respectively, and one aliquot was assayed immediately to establish baseline concentrations. Two of hour Stat Sure aliquots were stored at 4°C and an averaged after 7 days and month. The other Sut Sure aliquots were stored at -20°C and analyzed after 4 and 24±2 weeks. Cral-E2 e clio: sts were refrigerated for analysis after 7 da vs at d 1 month. and fre zen for analysis after 4  $2.4 \pm 2$ weeks. One additional Oral-Eze alignet remained at ruom temperature for 7 days price to analysis (Figure 1A).

In order to compare manufacturers recommended storage temperatures and to evaluate potential changes during different supping conditions, specimens collected 4.5 h post dose were not included in the statility pool and were analyzed only within the initial 24h (baseline concentration) and again after 7 days storage at RT for StatSure and 4°C for Oral-Eze sumples (Figure 13). This allowed up to evaluate the robustness of these collection devices in samples that were not processed according to the recommendations of the manufacturer.

#### Oral Fluir: Cannabinoid Analysis

OF THC, CBD, CBN, 11-P, aroxy-TPC (11-OF Tax), and THCCOOH were quantified by a runy validated 2-dimension al gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (2D-GC-MS) method with minor modifications [21]. The electron ion ration chromatographic system included a DB- 1MS (Agilent Technologies) as the primary and ZB-50 (Phenomenex) as the accondary column, and an oven temperature program utilized in our plasma method [22]. Millor seruple r eparation modifications also were required to process Oral-Eze and Stat 'ure specimens. During solid phase extraction, 0.4 mL methanol (StatSure) or hexane (Jral-Le) was add ut to the column prior to the elui on solvent for THC, CBD and CBN in order to reduce baseline interferences and improve thro natography. StatSure calibrators and qu uity controls were prepared in 0.25 L blank OF and 0.25 mL StatSure buffer; linear rans es were 0.5-50 µg/L for THC, CBD, CBN and 11-OH-THC; and 15-500 ng/L for THC COCH; intra- and inter- and imprecision were < 7 7%, and analytical bias was within 97.1-1 77% To account for specimen dilutice, oral-Eze culturators and quality controls were prepared in 0.25 mL blank OF and 0.50 mL Oral-Eze blaner; lipear ranges were 0.5-50 µg/L for THC and 11-OH-THC, 1.0-50; g/L for CPD and CPD, and 15-500 ng/L for THCCOOH; while intra- and inter-a say imprecision were < 7.6%, and analytical bias was 88.2-110%.

#### Data analysis

Baseline cannational poor annauous were established within 24h of collection. Concentration changes are reported as %baseline and calculated as (cfored sample concentration / baseline concentration  $\times 100\%$ ). Concertration changes within  $\pm 20\%$  of baseline were considered studie. Specimers for which %baseline could not be determined due to concentrations < unit of quantification (LOQ) at baseline, insufficient OF volume or chromatographic interferences were excluded from calculations. Schiples with initial concentrations <20% above the LOQ and then falling below LOQ were excluded from stability comparisons, while analyte concentrations falling below LOQ were considered unstable only if initial baseline concentrations were 20% above the LOO

# Results

THC, CBD, CBN, 11-OH-THC and THCCOOH concentrations were quantified in 16 pools (one for each of 16 participants) to investigate inter-in livic ual differences in stability from authentic OF collected with StatS are and Oral-Eze devices. All specimens collected with StatSure (n=80) and Oral-Eze (n=95) devices were analyzed: 11-OH-THC was not detected in any specimen; therefore, no stability usual were available. Calinabinoid concentration

# TF'C Stability

In poole 2 CF collected with the statSure device, all 16 participants' samples were stable under all conditions test ed. Median %by seline concentrations (range) after 1 week at 4°C were  $^{2}2.6\%$  (85.6-111%), after 4 weeks  $^{3}2.4\%$  (82.3-111%). Median %baseline concentrations after 4 weeks at -20% were 96 % (89.7-117%), and after 24 weeks 96.7% (84.6-110%). In OF collected with the StatSure device 4.5 h after smoking following 1 week storage at RT, all participants' samples (m-16) were stable, with median %baseline concentrations of 90.1% (80.7-107%).

In pooled CD, silected with the Oral-Eze device all 15 participants' samples were stable at  $4^{\circ}$ C for 1 and 4 viecks, with median %baseline concentrations of 93.7% (80.0-119%) and 93.5% (80.1 a19%), respectively. However, during noten storage (-20°C), THC in one scanple decreased to 45.4% of baseline after 4 weeks, and 3 of 16 samples' THC concentrations decreased to 60.5-75.1% of baseline after 24 weeks. THC was stable in all but one pool effect RT storage for 1 week. Notelian %br seline concentrations at RT were 83.3%, including OF from the one participant where THC concentration decreased to 70.7% of baseline. All individual OF specimens collected with the Oral-Eze device 4.5 h after smoking and stored for 1 week at 4°C also were stable with median %baseline concentrations of 97.3% (30.7–119%).

#### **THCCOOH Stability**

In StatSure booled OF, all TECCOOH concentrations (n=12) were stable at 4°C for 1 week, but 3 weeks later, only 11 of 13 were stable, with one poor increasing (141.9% baseline) and one decreasing (68.4% baseline) concentration. These same 2 samples were the only unstable samples after 4 weeks at -30°C. After 24 weeks at -30°C, 100% of samples (n=13) were stable, with median % baseline concentrations of 104% (32.3-118%). In OF collected 4.5 h after smoking with the StatSure device and stored for 1 meek at kT, 12 of 13 participants' samples 'lad stable THCCOOH concentrations, with one sample's THCCOOH decreasing to 60.0% of baseline.

In pooled OF collected with the Oral-Eze device, all samples (r-13) were stable when refrigerated for one and diweeks. After 4 week  $\leq a -20^{\circ}$ C, concent ations from one sample decreased to 60.8% baseline. This sample was also unstable for 7 HC mater the same storage condition (4 weeks at -20°C). After 24 weeks at 20°C, this sample's TPCCOOM concentration remained unstrole (75.1% baseline) with 2 additional samples concentrations increasing to 127 and 139%. Let OF collected 4.5 h after chooking with the Oral-Eze device, 11 of 12 participants' samples were stable after 1 weeks at divC with ried an % baseline concentrations of 91.4%, including OF from one participant where TACCOOH concentrations decreased to 77.4% of baseline.

# CBD Stability

In pooled OF saturates collected with the statSure device, 100% were stable under all tested conditions, with median %biccline concentrations of 97.6, 96.5, 100 and 97.8% after 1 and 4 weeks storage at 4°C ( $r = 11 r_{eld} 10$ ) and 4 and 24 weeks at -20°C (n=9 and 9), respectively. In OF collected with StatCare device 4.5 h after smoking, 6 of 7 samples were stable *r*fter one week storage at KT. Ore<sup>1</sup> fluid from one participant decreased to 50.8% of baccline.

In pooled OF samples collected with the Oral-Free device, 7 participants' samples were shape after 1 weak at RT with median % baseline of 0.1.1%, with only 1 sample decreased to 75.1%. Similar results were obtained at lower temperatures for storage times up to 4 weeks; 78, 89 and 78% of participants' CDD concent ation, were stable at 4°C for 1 and 4 weeks (...?) and at -20°C for 4 weeks (n=°), respectively. Longer storage (24 weeks) at -20°C increased CDP instability; 44% of participants' camples were unstable with % baseline betweel 21.9 and 77.1%. In OF collected with the Oral-Eze device 4.5 h after smoking, only 1 participant' nad a CBD concentration abc ve the LOQ and after 1 week at 4°C, however, the CBD concentration abc ve the LOQ and after 1 week at 4°C, however, the

#### **CBN Stability**

In pooled OF collected with the Statsure device,  $15 \circ 16$  samples were stable for 1 week at RT, with one increasing to 167% of baseline. After 4 weeks, 27 and 80% of samples were stable at 4° C (n=15) and -20°C (n=15), respectively. Statsure OF from the same 2 participants were unstable at both temperatures exhibiting %baseline increases of up to 166%. Similarly, 13 of 15 samples were stable after 24 works at -?0°C (n=15) with median %baseline of 55.9% (90  $^{2}$  - 106%). As expected, the 2 unstable samples increasing to 133 and 183% baseline were from the same participants' an OF collected 4.5 h after smoking with the StatSure device, 100% of participants' sa units (n=12) were stable, with %baseline concentrations ranging between 65.5 and 111%.

In pooled OF collected with the Oral-Tze device, only 53% of samples (n=15) were stable after 1 week at RT; concentrations in 2 unstable samples increased up to 155% baseline, while concentrations from 5 cliner samples devices do 70...' and 78.4% of baseline. For the same storage duration, decreasing temperature to 4°C did to it increases CBN stability. Longer storage at the same temperature (4°C or -20°C) sometimes typeared to increase stability. Indeed, at 4°C, %stable samples increased from 60% for 1 week (n=15) to 79% for 4 weeks (n=14) storage; at -20°C, %stable samples increased from 64% for 1 week (n=14) to 80% for 4 weeks (n=14). In OF collected 4.5 h after smoking with the Oral izze device, 4 of 6 participants' samples were stable with median %oaseline 93.5% (82-102%), with 2 increasing to 137 and 145%.

# Discussion

We present cannabinoid stability in authentic OF specimers collected with the StatSure and Oral-Eze OF collection devices after controlled smoking of a 6.8% THC cignitute. This study included the sequential collection of authentic OF (StatSure Saliva Sampler follow ed by Oral-Eze) to evaluate cannabinoid stability. Francation of stability was performed on

Anizan et al.

pooled participant complex and me order of collection did not affect these calculations. No signif cant differences were closer of between baseline concentrations collected with either device (p>0.05), and stability vias calculated as change from baseline. The strengths of this study include the variety of storage conditions evaluated and baseline specimen analysis within 24.1 of collection after controlled clanar is smoking, allowing precise determination of auther at OF concentrations. In addition, individually prepared pools for all 16 participants allowed evaluation of inter subject variability. However, there were limitations to using authentic specimens, as some at alyte baseline concentrations quantified <LOQ and could not be included in stability calculations. For operator of all specimens had CBD show at baseline.

In pooled OF collected with the Statuare device, all analytes were stable (median %baseline hetween 80 12000 and were, most of the time, not a fected by temperature or duration of storage THC and CPD were the most stable analytes will concentrations at all stability conditions \$2.3-1,7% of baseline (100% stable). THCCOOH, an important analyte that may help discriminate passive environmental contamination from active cannabis smoking [23] was also highly stable ander all storage conditions. THCCOOH concentrations were always stable with the exception of 2 of 13 samples stored for 4 weeks at 4°C. The same 2 samples also were unstable when stored for 4 weeks at 20°C. The few unstable samples for CBN we e al vays the consequence of an increase compared to ine baseline concentration. In our previous stability study with authentic OF collected by expectation or with the Quantisal device, a similar phenomenon was observed with CBN concertrations [24]. This may be explained by the conversion during storage of THC to CBN [6; 25; 26; 27]. These results indicated that OF collected with the StatSure device for cannau roid quantification should be stored for 4 weeks at 4°C r. 24 weeks at -20°C burrer analysis vithout significantly affecting THC, CBP and THCCGOH concentrations. However, the best storage condition for CBN was 1 week of + C (94% of specimens stable.)

In OF collected with the Oral-Eze device, changes in temperature and storage conditions adversely affected analyte stability. At PA all analyte, evolute CBN forly 53% stable) had at least 88% of samples stable for 1 wilek. As previously discussed, CLAT instability may be due to concentration increases from baseling, as also observed with other collection devices. Decreasing temperature from RT to 4°C resulted in slightly better stability for all analytes, including CBN. CBN stability increased from 5.1% after 1 week or storage at RT to 79% after 4 weeks of storage at 4°C. An FHC and TH-CCOOH sampled were stable at 4°C for 1 and 4 weeks. After 4 weiks, all analytes were nore stable at 4°C than -20°C. The faced of decreasing stability was amplified after 24 weeks or storage at -2°. C for an analyted except CBN. In order to accurately determine TAC, CBN, CBD and TH/CCOOH conceptiations in Oral-Eze collected OF specimicals, we suggest storage at 4°C and analysis within 1 weeks.

As expected, baseline concentration ranges which similar for each device, and orieral, there were few stability differences between StatSure and Oral-Fize collection. TEC and CBD were the most stable analytes collected by each device, and CBN the least. With the StatSure collection device all THC (n=64) and CBD (n=3°) stability samples were stable. In comparison, for pooled samples collected with the Cral-Eze device, 75 or 80 (93 %) and 34 of 44 (77.3%) were stable for THC and CBD, respectively. Similar results were observed for

Anizan et al.

THCCOOH, as 17 of 51 (22.2/0) and 62 of 67 (92.5%) samples were stable when collected with the StatSury or Oral-E = devire, respectively. For similar storage conditions, OF SutSu 2 specimens wei 2 sli, bt', y more stable than Oral-Eze OF specimens, suggesting that cam abin oids were better preserved in the StatSure buffer. Our previous study evaluated cannabine id stability in authantic expected and in authentic OF collected with the Quantise! arvice [24]. Speciments collected with a device showed improved stability over expectorate a OF specir ens. In the previous study, less than 50% of expectorated OF specimens remained stal 1. 4 weeks after collection with storage at 4°C or -20°C. Stability of cranabinoids in OF collected with the Quantisal device was similar to the stability observed in uns study with Oral-Eze, in that specimens were conerally less stable when stored for longer periods of time at lower temperatures (-20°C). The differences in stability between devices are most likely due to the proprietary juffer con position and OF/buffer volume the unit is unique tor each manufacturer. Although each levice collects 1 mL OF, the total OF/bu for mix-ure volume varied for each govicy. The ouffer volumes for StatSure (1 mL), Or (1-Ez e (2 mI), and Quantisal (3 mL) help to stabilize drugs but yield dramatically different dilations for each device. The most diluted CF (Quantisal) had the lowest cannability, whereas the least diluted OF (Stat.Sure) exhibited the greatest stability. Nowever 1.55 device butter results in lower total s2.npl/ volume; which may be problematic in workplace, unical and forensic drug testing settings where screening and multiple drug confirmation assays on the same specimen are common place. Other studies evaluated THC stability 11 fortified OF [18; 2°, 29,30]. Willou al. [18] documented THC stability in fortified OF speciments collected with the State are device Smicimens stored for 8 weeks at 4°C and -20°C displayed similar stability with our result in authentic OF. However, others observed a loss of THC in fortified OF specimens collected with the Intercept device and stored bet veen 2 and 4 we dis at all conditions  $(4^{\circ}C, 21^{\circ}C \text{ or } -20^{\circ}C)$ ; or in fortified near OF stored for 24h at  $\tilde{K}_1$  (>50%  $^{1}$ Cas) and stored for 24h  $\sim$  2 weeks at  $^{\circ}C$  and  $-18^{\circ}C$  (15-35% loss) [28; 29]. Sarci et al. evaluated short (14 days) and long term (2 nonths) stability in fortified OF at -20°C for THC, CDD, CLN and THCCOOP. All analytes were within intermediate reproducibility of the ... ethor. [30]. The e result demonstrate the variability between authentic and fortified JF, and how OF collection muchod and a vice buffer composition can affect cannabine id stability and test result interpretation. It is essential that a thorough evaluation of car abinoid stability be perforned for a commercially available OF collection device.

Using the 4.5h specimen, our evaluation of manufacturers' recommended storage conditions (Table 2) demonstrated that OF contected from either device riay be shipped at RT or refrigerated to analytical laboratories, as recommended by the contection device manufacturer. Acceptable stability repairs were contained for Statfoure speciments containing CBN and CBD. However, O. at-Eze stability results should be quilified as 10/16 (CPN) and 15/16 (CBD) participants' OF samples had concentrations <LOQ 4.5 in after dosing and were not included in stability calculations.

In summary, these OF cannabinoi 1 stability results obtained after controlled shoked cannabis administration and collected with StatSure and Oral-Eze devices, subgest performing analyses within 4 weeks of storage at 4 °C for Chal-Eze and within 2 weeks at 4°C or 24 weeks at -20°C for StatSure. These data contribute to the OF cannabinoid

scientific database and permit use development of evidence-based OF drug testing policy

# and legislation, and improve interpretation of authentic OF cannabinoid results.

# Acknowledgments

The aut. ors p knowledge the contribution of the Chemistry and Drug Metabolism Section staff, and the clinical staff of the National Institution on Drug Loose, Intramulat Research Program and the Behavioral Pharmacology Research Unit and Clinical Research Unit Tohns Hopkins Bayview Medical Center. This research was supported by the Intramulat Research Program. National Institution on Drug Abuse, NIH. MMB received grants from CAPES and FAPF's (Brazil) and NAD received a fellow, hip from the "Fon fation Baxter et Alma Ricard" (Canada).

# References

- 1. United Nations Office on Drugs and Cline (UNOPC). World Drug Report 2011. Vienna: 2011. p. 272
- 2. Bosker WM, Huestis MA. Oral 1<sup>-1</sup> and Testing for Drugs of Ab ise. Clin. Chem. 2009; 55:1910–1931. [Pul Incu. 1<sup>12</sup>/45067]
- 3. Cone E<sup>1</sup>, Hues<sup>+</sup>.s MA. Interpretation of oral fluid tests for drugs of abuse. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 2\07; 1098.51–103. [PubMed: 17332074]
- 4 Lee D Luestis MA. C. in the knowledge on caprionic 1s in oral fluid. Drug Test. Anal. 2013
- . Substance At use and Merual Health Se vice's Administration (SAMHSA). Proposed Revisions to the Mandatory Guadelines for Federal V ork place Drug Tering Programs. 2004; 69:19673–19732.
- 6. 'erstractor, A.; Knoche, A.; Jantos, R.; Skopp, G.; Giertor, H.; Vindenes, V.; Mørland, J.; Langel, K.; L'llsunde, P. Per se limits - Methods of defining cut-officiances tor zero tolerance, 6th Framework Programme, Deliverable 1.4.2 "Driving under the influence of Datages, Alcohol and Medicines. 2011.
- 7. Fair airn JW, Liebman, JA, Rowin MG. The stability of cantabis and its preparations on storage. J. Phan 7. Pharmacol. 1976; 20.1–7. [PubMed: 6643]
- Turner CF, Hadley KW, Fetterman PS, Doorenbos NJ Quimby M. Waller C. Constituents of Cannabi sati a L. IV: Stability of canabinoids in stored plant material. J. Pharm. Sci. 1973; 62:1601-160.7. [PubMed: 4752104]
- 9. Garrett El , Gouyette AJ, Reccoom H. Stability of tetre lydrocannabine's II. J. Pharm. Sci. 1978; 67:27–32. [1]:hMed: 22/40]
- ElSohly, MA. Chemical Constituents of Cannabis.. In: Grotenbethien, F. Russo, E., editors. Cannabis and Cannabinoids: Pharmacology, Toxicology, and Therepoutic Potential. The Haworth Integrative Healing Press, New York: 2002, p. 27-36.
- 11. Garrett ER, Hunt CA. Physic memical properties, solubility and protein. Unding of delta-9tetrahydrocannabinol. Pharm Sci. 1974; 63:1056-1064 [Publicd: 4853 [40]
- 12. Molnar A, Lewis J, Fu S. Recovery of spiked Delta9-tetrahydry came binol in cral fluid from polypropylene con ainers. Forensic Sci. Int. 201.'; 227:69–75 [PubMed: 25210029]
- Houwing S, Smink EE, Legrand SA. Manufissen EP, Verstrace AG, Brookhais KA. Replatability of oral fluid collection methods for THC maa uren ent. Forensic Sci. 1nt. 2012; 223:266–72. [PubMed: 23103115]
- Lee D, Milman G, Schwor J DM, Barnes AJ, Gorelic: DA, Hues is NA. Cannolinoid stability in authentic oral fluid after controlled cannabic sunoking. Clin. Chem. 2012, 58:1101–9. [FubMed: 22532594]
- 15. Langel K, Engblom C, Pehr. son A, Gur...ar T, Ariniemi K, Lillsunde P. J. ug troung in oul fluidevaluation of sample collection devices J. Anal. Toxicol. 2008; 32:393-4/1. [Publicu. 18652744]
- 16. Moore C, Vincent M, Rana S, Coult & C, Ag, awal A, Jpares J. Stability of [Field ]9tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) in or al fluit a using the Quartisal TM) collection. device. Fore sic Sci. Int. 2006; 164:126–130. [PubMed: 16423482]
- 17. Moore C, Rana S, Coulter C. Similtan ous identification of 2-carboxy-tetrahydrocampationol, tetrahydrocannabinol, cannabinol and cannabinol in oral fluid. J. Chromatogr D. Analyt Technol Biomed. Life Sci. 2007; 852:459–64.

- 18. Wille SM, Di Fazio V. Partice-Fernancez Mdel M, Kummer N, Samyn N. Driving under the influence of connabis: pitfalls validation, and quality control of a UPLC-MS/MS method for the quantification or tetrahydrocame binol in oral fluid collected with StatSure, Quantisal, or Certus collector. Ther. Drug. 1 doi: 2013: 25:101–11. [PubMed: 23318281]
- Yewn eyer MN, Desrosyers NA, Lee D, Mendu DR, Barnes AJ, Gorelick DA, Huestis MA. Cynnal inoid disposition in trad fluid after controlled cannabis smoking in frequent and occasional smokers. Drug Test. April. 2014 doi: 10.1002/d.a.1632.
- 20. Ar izan S Milman G, Demosiers N, Balnes AJ, Gorelick DA, Huestis MA. Oral fluid cannabinoid concellurations following controllect smcked cannabis in chronic frequent and occasional smokers. A ...al. Bioanal. Chem. 2013; 405:84: 1–84 61 [7 ubM. d: 23954944]
- <sup>2</sup>. Milman G. Barnes AJ, Lowe RH, Hussis MA. Simultaneous quantification of cannabinoids and metabolites in oral fluid by two-dimensional gas chromotography mass spectrometry. J. Chromotogi, A. 2010; 1217:1512–1521. [Publiced: 2008.251]
- 22. Lowe RH, Karschner EL, Schwilke Dw, Barnes AJ, Puesi's MA. Simultaneous quantification of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (1HC), 11 i. droz y-delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (11-OH-THC), and 11-nor-delta-9-tetrahydrocannabili-inoi-9-carb xyl.c acid (THCCOOH) in human plasma using two-din ensions, gas chromatography, cryofocus, ng. 2 nd electron impact-mass spectrometry. J. Chromology, A. 2007; 1163:318–327. [PubMed: 17640656]
- 23. 1 Joore C. Coulter C, Uges D, Tuyay J, van de Linde S, van Leeuwen A, Garnier M, Orbita J Jr. Cannebinoids in oral fluid following parative expective to marijuana smoke. Forensic Sci. Int. 2011; 212:227–30. [PauMed: 21763088]
- 21. Lee D, Jullman G. Schwope DM, Barr es A J, Goreliz & DA, Huestis MA. Cannabinoid Stability in Authentic Gral Fluid after Controlled Cannabis Smoking. Clin. Chem. 2012; 58:1101–1109. [Pub Med: 22532594]
- 25. Koss & A, MA E. CBN and D9-THC concentration ratio as an indicator of the age of stored morijue na samples. Unit as Nations Office (in Drugo and Crime, Bull, Narc. 1997; 1:1-8.
- 26. Tur, er CE, Electiny MA. Constituents of cannabis sativa L. XVL ρ possible decomposition pathway γι Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol to cannabinol. J. Huterocycl. Chem. 1979; 16:1667–1668.
- 27. Mechoi iam, K. Chapter I. Cannaki, oid Chemicary. In: Machouism, R., editor. Marijuana. Academic Press; New York, 1973. p. 1-87.
- Crouch DJ. Oral fluid collection: the neglected variable in oral fluid writing. Forensic Sci. Int. 2005; 156-165-73. [PauMed: 15899565]
- 29. Molnar A, Lewis J, Doble P, Hansen G. Prolov T, Fu S. A rapid and sensitive method for the identification of denta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol in oral fluid by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Forencic Schluc 29:1; 215:92–96. [Pub Med: 21:007546]
- 30. Sergi M, Montesano C, Oa parái S, Mainero RL, Fabrizi G, Compagnor e D, Curini R. Micro extraction by packed sorbent complete to liquid chromatography fondein mass spectrometry for the rapid and sensitive defermination of cannaismoids in oral huids. J. Chromatogr A. 2013; 1301:139–146. [Pt oMed: 23806358]



#### higare 1

Stronty evaluation design. (A) For each participant, one collection with each device at each time point (t= -1.0, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10.5%) was performed resulting in 10 different OF for each device. After individual analyses for pharmacokinetics purposes, the remaining OF from each of 10 OF were pooled in one tube for each device (StatSure=SS and Oral-Eze=OE) which was analyzed within 24h for baseline concentrations. After baseline quantification, different aliquots were stored under different conditions for different durations for s ability analyzes. (B) to evaluate manufacturers recommended storage conditions, the 4.5% time point was collected with each device and analyzed within 24h for baseline concentration. After analyzed within 24h for recommended storage conditions, the 4.5% time point was collected with each device and analyzed within 24h for baseline concentration. After analysis, the tubes were  $k_{\rm ept}$  for 1 week at 4° (Oral-Eze) or room temperature (StatSure), analyzed and compared to backing for stability purpose.



# Figure 2.

Median delta-9-tetrahydrocannabino (THC), cernapidiol (CPD), cannabinol (CBN) and 11nor-9-carbexy-Trie (THCCCOH) %baseline concentration after different storage conditions for the StatSure (\*) and Oral-Eze device pools (B). Foch par represents median data from 16 individual sample pools (pooling in defore to 10.5h [StatSure] or 13 h [Oral-Eze] after smoking a 6.8% delta-9-tetrahydro annah.nol cigare te) collected from 16 adult cannabis smokers. Error bars indicate interquarale ranges. RT is room, comperature.

# Table 1

Plina-9-tetrahydrocai nabinol (THC), cannabidol (CDD), cannabinol (CBN), and 11-nor-9-carboxy-THC (THC COOK) concentration changes from oaseline for oral fluid specimens collected with the StatSure and Oral-Eze devices after bling stored at nom temperature (RT) for 1 week (Oral-Eze only), 4°C for 1 and 4 weeks, and -20°C for 4 and 2.1 weeks (both devices).

Analyte	Buseline of acentration range <sup>1</sup>	Storage condition	# Sples w. th analyter LOQ <sup>2</sup>	# Stable samples (%stable)	%Baseline, range
		A statSure or	action device	•	•
тнс	6.8 – 509 µg/L	1 wetk, 4°С	16	16 (100)	85.6–111
		4 week: 4°C	16	16 (100)	82.3–111
		4 weeks,u~C	1,5	16 (100)	89.7–117
		24 weeks, -20°C	16	16 (100)	84.6–110
CBD	< LUQ –15.3 μg/″_	1 week, 4°C	11	11 (100)	94.3–109
		→ weeks, 4°C	.U	10 (100)	89.4–108
		/ weeks, -20°C	9	9 (100)	88.4–108
		24 weeks, -20°C	$\neg \neg \neg$	9 (100)	89.1–108
CBN	0.1 – 35.8 µg/L	1 week, 4°C	1.0	15 (94)	80.4–167
		4 weeks, 4°C	13	13 (87)	87.2–166
		4 weeks ~ )°C		12 (80)	91.9–165 <sup>3</sup>
		24 weeks, -20°C	15	13 (87)	90.3–183
тнссоон	<loq-150 l<="" ng="" td=""><td>1 week</td><td>2</td><td>12 ('00)</td><td>80.4–115</td></loq-150>	1 week	2	12 ('00)	80.4–115
		4 weeks. ₄°℃	13	11 (85)	68.4–142
		: weeks, -20°C	13	1. (85)	66.7–148
		24 weeks, -20°C	13	13 (100	88.3–118
		B. Oral-Eze col	lecti, n device		
THC	6 – 376 μg/L	l veek. "J	16	15 (9 1)	70.7–108
		1 week, 4°C	16	16(.90)	80.0–119
		4 wer's, 4°C	16	16 (100)	80.1–119
		weeks, -20°C	15	15 (* .)	454-113
		24 weeks, -20°C	16	13 (81)	00.5–99.8
CBD	< LOQ – 15.1 µg/L	week, RT	8	7 (88)	75 1 .99.4
		ек, 4°С	9	7 ( `8)	66.1 1
		4 weeks, 4°C	9	8 (54)	SU.0-102 <sup>3</sup>
		4 week >, -20°C	0	7 (78)	40.8 99.8
		24 weeks, -20°C	9	5 (56)	31.9–98 7
CBN	<loq -="" 16.8="" l<="" td="" µg=""><td>1 week, RT</td><td>15</td><td>8 (53)</td><td>-J.2-1 55</td></loq>	1 week, RT	15	8 (53)	-J.2-1 55
		1 week, 4°(	15	9 (60)	57.3-163
		4 weeks, 4°C	14	11 (79)	Lu.1–147
		4 weeks, –20°C	13	9 (69)	€5.+-14t

Analyte	Baseling once tration range	torage cond:on	# Samples with analytes >LOQ <sup>2</sup>	# Stable samples (%stable)	%Baseline, range
		2 <sup>,</sup> weeks 20°C	14	12 (86)	83.2-129
J HCC OOH	< LOQ در rg/L	<sup>1</sup> week, RT	1	13 (93)	70.1–120
		<u>1 сек, 4°С</u>	13	13 (100)	81.4–119
		4 we as, 4°C	13	13 (100)	82.4–118
		4 weeks, –20°C	13	12 (92)	60.8–104
		24 week° 20°C	14	11 (79)	75.1–139

<sup>1</sup>No significant differences (p>0.05, Mann-Whitney test for median comparison) were obcasted by tween baseline concentrations after StatSure or Oral-Eze OF collections

# <sup>2</sup>LOQ: Limit of Quantification

 $^{3}1$  CBD and 1 CBN sample conc. ntr<sup>2</sup> .on we<sup>2</sup> .quantified at >20% of LOQ at baseline but <LOQ after storage. No %baseline was calculated, but the sample was considered u stable.

# Table 1

Dena-9-tetrahydrocal nab.nol (THC), cantabiadol (CDD), cannabinol (CBN), and 11-nor-9-carboxy-THC (THC COOK) concentration changes from oaseline for oral fluid specimens collected 4.5 h after cannabis sn oking with the StatSule ( $^{A}$ ) and Ora -Eze (B) devices and stored for 1 week at 4°C (Oral-Eze) and room temperature (R1) (StatState).

Analyte	B <sup>r</sup> seline c <sup>r</sup> acentration range <sup>1</sup>	Storage condition	# °nples v ith determined °/asseline	# Stable samples (%stable)	%Baseline range			
A. StatSurlection devi.c								
THC	4.4 – 81.4 μg/L	1 week, RT	1/	16 (100)	80.7–107			
CBD	< LOO - 3.2 mg/T		7	6 (86)	50.8–108			
CBN	< LOQ - 3.9 ug/T		12	12 (100)	83.3–114			
ТНССООН	<1 OQ - 165g/L		13	12 (92)	60.0–119			
B. Oral-Eze collection device								
THC	2.5 – 4° υ μg/L	i week, 4°C	16	16 (100)	80.7–119			
CBD	U JQ-2.7		1	0	162			
CBN	<q-3.5 l<="" mg="" td=""><td></td><td>6</td><td>4 (67)</td><td>82.0–145</td></q-3.5>		6	4 (67)	82.0–145			
ТНССООН	< LUQ – 156 ng/L		12	11 (92)	77.4–119			

<sup>1</sup>No significant differences (p> 05, Mann-Whitney ter for medial comparison) were of solved between b seline concentrations after StatSure or Oral-Eze OF collections