

# FlgM Is Secreted by the Flagellar Export Apparatus in Bacillus subtilis

# Rebecca A. Calvo, Daniel B. Kearns

Indiana University, Department of Biology, Bloomington, Indiana, USA

The bacterial flagellum is assembled from over 20 structural components, and flagellar gene regulation is morphogenetically coupled to the assembly state by control of the anti-sigma factor FlgM. In the Gram-negative bacterium *Salmonella enterica*, FlgM inhibits late-class flagellar gene expression until the hook-basal body structural intermediate is completed and FlgM is inhibited by secretion from the cytoplasm. Here we demonstrate that FlgM is also secreted in the Gram-positive bacterium *Bacillus subtilis* and is degraded extracellularly by the proteases Epr and WprA. We further demonstrate that, like in *S. enterica*, the structural genes required for the flagellar hook-basal body are required for robust activation of  $\sigma^{D}$ -dependent gene expression and efficient secretion of FlgM. Finally, we determine that FlgM secretion is strongly enhanced by, but does not strictly require, hook-basal body completion and instead demands a minimal subset of flagellar proteins that includes the FliF/FliG basal body proteins, the flagellar type III export apparatus components FliO, FliP, FliQ, FliR, FlhA, and FlhB, and the substrate specificity switch regulator FliK.

**B** acterial transcription is initiated when RNA polymerase is directed to specific promoter sequences by the action of the sigma subunit (1). All bacteria encode a highly conserved ubiquitous  $\sigma^A/\sigma^{70}$  class sigma factor for generalized, vegetative, and housekeeping gene expression. For specialized gene expression, however, many bacteria also encode alternative sigma factors that differentially control regulons of genes in response to physiological or environmental conditions such as nutrient starvation, heat shock, envelope stress, and motility (2). One way to conditionally restrict alternative sigma factor activity is by production of an anti-sigma factor that binds to, and directly antagonizes, its cognate sigma factor (3). One of the better-understood examples of alternative sigma factor regulon control is that of an alternative sigma factor governing flagellar assembly,  $\sigma^{28}$ , by its anti-sigma factor, FlgM (4).

Flagella are constructed from over 20 different proteins that must be assembled in the correct order and in the correct stoichiometry (5). To ensure proper assembly, flagellar gene expression is organized in at least two hierarchical levels defined here as "early-class" genes, recognized by  $\sigma^{70}$ , and "late-class" genes, recognized by the alternative sigma factor  $\sigma^{28}$  (6). Early-class flagellar genes encode the flagellar type III export apparatus, the structural components of the hook-basal body, and the alternative sigma factor  $\sigma^{28}$ , which is held inactive through direct protein interaction with its cognate anti-sigma factor, FlgM (Fig. 1A) (7-9). Flagella are assembled in part by type III secretion, and when the hook-basal body is complete, the regulator FliK instructs the secretion apparatus to change specificity to recognize and secrete late-class flagellar proteins (10-12), including the anti-sigma factor FlgM (Fig. 1B) (13, 14). FlgM secretion liberates its cognate,  $\sigma^{28}$ , to direct expression of the late-class flagellar genes, such as the gene that encodes the flagellar filament structural protein, flagellin (Fig. 1C) (8, 15). Thus, completion of an assembly intermediate (the hook-basal body) permits the expression of late-class flagellar genes by controlling secretion of an anti-sigma factor. The FlgM secretion model of morphogenetic coupling of flagellar structure and regulation was established in the Gram-negative bacterium Salmonella enterica but has not been supported outside the gammaproteobacteria (16).

The Gram-positive bacterium Bacillus subtilis synthesizes fla-

gella and encodes both a homolog of the  $\sigma^{28}$  alternative sigma factor,  $\sigma^{\rm D}$ , and FlgM (17–19). The early-class genes are organized in a long, 32-gene, 27-kb operon called the *fla-che* operon, which is primarily expressed from a  $\sigma^{A}$ -dependent promoter (20–23). The late-class flagellar genes are  $\sigma^{D}$  dependent and part of a large regulon that also includes cell-separating peptidoglycan hydrolases (24-28). Mutation of certain genes in the *fla-che* operon required for hook-basal body assembly has been shown to abolish  $\sigma^{\rm D}$ -dependent gene expression and cause cells both to lose motility and to grow in long chains (20, 21, 29). Furthermore, mutation of FlgM has been shown to restore  $\sigma^{D}$ -dependent gene expression in these mutants (18, 30-33) suggesting that, like in S. enterica, an incomplete hook-basal body antagonizes FlgM function. Unlike what has been reported for S. enterica, however, secretion of B. subtilis FlgM has never been shown, and thus the mechanism of FlgM inhibition has remained unknown.

Here we show that *B. subtilis* FlgM is secreted by the flagellar export apparatus, consistent with the model of morphogenetic coupling proposed in *S. enterica* (Fig. 1B). Once secreted, FlgM is degraded by extracellular proteases, and we propose that FlgM proteolysis likely prohibited detection of FlgM secretion previously (Fig. 1D). By mutating every gene in the *fla-che* operon, we found that FlgM secretion and  $\sigma^{\rm D}$  activation were tightly correlated (Fig. 1E). Finally, by overriding native regulation, we identify a minimal core subset of flagellar proteins required for FlgM secretion, including six genes for the flagellar type III export appa-

Received 17 September 2014 Accepted 7 October 2014 Accepted manuscript posted online 13 October 2014 Citation Calvo RA, Kearns DB. 2015. FlgM is secreted by the flagellar export apparatus in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 197:81–91. doi:10.1128/JB.02324-14. Editor: G. A. O'Toole Address correspondence to Daniel B. Kearns, dbkearns@indiana.edu.

Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1128 /JB.02324-14.

Copyright © 2015, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/JB.02324-14



FIG 1 Model for FlgM regulation. (A to C) Extrapolation of the *S. enterica* model for FlgM inhibition as it might function for a Gram-positive organism. (A) Prior to hook-basal body completion (purple), FlgM (red) binds to and inhibits  $\sigma^{D}$  (dark green) intracellularly. (B) Upon hook-basal body completion, FliK (pink) changes the secretion specificity of the flagellar type III export apparatus (orange) to recognize and secrete FlgM. (C) Liberated  $\sigma^{D}$  directs transcription of the  $\sigma^{D}$  regulon, including the *hag* gene (light green), encoding the flagellar filament protein Hag. (D) In *B. subtilis*, extracellular FlgM is proteolyzed by the activity of two proteases, Epr and WprA. Light gray represents peptidoglycan, and dark gray represents cytoplasmic membrane. (E) Cartoon diagram of the *fla-che* operon. Genes predicted to encode flagellar structural proteins are colored purple, and genes predicted to encode type III export apparatus components are colored orange. For each phenotype examined in the study, i.e., swarming motility (migration over 0.7% LB agar),  $\sigma^{D}$  activity (expression of  $P_{hag}$ -GFP), FlgM<sup>WT</sup> out (secretion of FlgM expressed from an IPTG-inducible promoter), a circle is indicated below the gene if that gene product was found to be essential for the respective phenotype. Thus, purple circles indicate hook-basal body structural proteins, white circles indicate nonstructural proteins, and orange circles indicate type III export apparatus homologs required for the indicated phenotype. Green circles indicate *fliK*.

ratus, the FliF and FliG basal body components, and the substrate specificity switch protein FliK.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and growth conditions. *B. subtilis* strains were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) (10 g tryptone, 5 g yeast extract, 5 g NaCl per liter) broth or on LB plates fortified with 1.5% Bacto agar at 37°C. When appropriate, antibiotics were included at the following concentrations: 10 µg/ml tetracycline (Tet), 100 µg/ml spectinomycin (Spc), 5 µg/ml chloramphenicol (Cat), 5 µg/ml kanamycin (Kan), and 1 µg/ml erythromycin plus 25 µg/ml lincomycin (Mls). IPTG (isopropyl  $\beta$ -D-thiogalactopyranoside; Sigma) was added to the medium at the indicated concentration when appropriate.

**Strain construction.** Constructs were introduced into the PY79 or DS2569 strain background using DNA transformation and were then transferred to wild-type (WT) strain 3610 using SPP1-mediated generalized phage transduction (34). All strains are in the 3610 background unless otherwise noted. Strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material. Primers used in this study are listed in Table S2 in the supplemental material.

(i) In-frame deletions. To build the integrative in-frame deletion plasmids, one  $\sim$ 500-bp fragment upstream and one  $\sim$ 500-bp fragment downstream of the gene of interest were PCR amplified, digested with the appropriate restriction endonucleases, and cloned into pMiniMad (35). DNA amplicons were designed such that they removed a portion of the coding sequence of the gene of interest without disrupting the reading frame.

Plasmid DNA purified from *Escherichia coli* TG1was introduced into strain DS2569 by single-crossover integration using transformation at the restrictive temperature for plasmid replication (37°C) and Mls resistance as a selection. The integrated plasmid was then transduced into 3610. To evict the plasmid, the strain was grown overnight ( $\sim$ 14 h) in 3 ml LB broth at a permissive temperature for plasmid replication (22°C) and then serially diluted and plated on LB agar plates at 37°C. Individual colonies were patched onto LB plates and LB plates containing Mls to identify Mlssensitive colonies that had evicted the plasmid. Chromosomal DNA from colonies that had excised the plasmid was purified and screened by PCR using appropriate primers to determine which isolate retained the inframe deletion allele.

(a)  $\Delta 7$  protease mutant. The *aprE* deletion plasmid pDP314 was built using primer pairs 1751/1752 and 1753/1754. The *aprE* deletion was resolved in DS2569 to generate strain DS5648. The *nprE* deletion plasmid pDP313 was built using primer pairs 1747/1748 and 1749/1750. The *nprE* deletion was resolved in DS5648 to generate strain DS5700. The *bpr* deletion plasmid pDP311 was built using primer pairs 1739/1740 and 1741/ 1742. The *bpr* deletion was resolved in DS5700 to generate strain DS5771. The *epr* deletion plasmid pDP315 was built using primer pairs 1755/1756 and 1757/1758. The *epr* deletion was resolved in DS5771 to generate strain DS5810. The *vpr* deletion plasmid pDP312 was built using primer 1743/ 1744 and 1745/1746. The *vpr* deletion was resolved in DS5810 to generate strain DS5893. The *wprA* deletion plasmid pDP317 was built using primer pairs 1763/1764 and 1765/1766. The *wprA* deletion was resolved in DS5893 to generate strain DS6105. The *mpr* deletion plasmid pDP320 was built using primer pairs 1759/1760 and 1761/1762. The *mpr* deletion was

TABLE 1 Strains used in this study

TABLE 1 (Continued)

B cubtilic		R cubtilic	,
strain	Relevant genotype or description	strain	Relevant genotype or description
Parental		DK1971	A7 AcomI AfliK
strains		DK2012	$\Lambda 7 \Lambda com I \Lambda fliE$
3610	Wild type (ancestral strain)	DK2013	$\Delta 7 \Delta com I \Delta che A$
DS2569	Cured strain (3610 lacking pBS32)	DK2014	$\Delta 7 \Delta com I \Delta v lz I$
DS6329	AabrE AnbrE Abbr Aebr Avbr Awbr A Mbr (A7 protease or A7)	DK2026	$\Delta 7 \Delta com \Delta v l x F$
PY79	$swrA^{PY79}$ sfp <sup>0</sup> (laboratory strain)	DK2027	$\Delta 7 \Delta com I \Delta cheC$
11/2	sinni sjp (lassialor)	DK2028	$\Lambda 7 \Lambda com I \Lambda fliL$
Derivative		DK2029	$\Lambda 7 \Lambda com I \Lambda cheD$
strains		DK2030	$\Delta 7 \Delta com I \Delta cheW$
DK214	$\Delta a pr E \Delta n pr E \Delta b pr \Delta e pr w pr A:: cat a my E:: P_{lumpout}$ -flgM Spc <sup>r</sup>	DK2031	$\Delta 7 \Lambda com I \Lambda cheY$
DK215	$\Delta a pr E \Delta n pr E \Delta b pr w pr A:: cat a mv E:: P_{i_1,,i_r} - flg M Spc^r$	DK2058	$\Lambda 7 \Lambda com I \Lambda f i I$
DK216	$\Delta a pr E \Delta n pr E w pr A:: cat a mv E:: P_{hurbank} - flg M Spc^r$	DK2059	$\Delta 7 \Lambda com I \Lambda fliP$
DK217	$\Delta a prE w prA::cat a mvE::P_{1}, flgM Spc^{r}$	DK2060	Δ7 ΔcomI ΔcheB
DK382	wbrA::cat	DK2071	$\Lambda7 \Lambda com I \Lambda flhG$
DK383	epr::kan	DK2071	A7 AcomI AsigD
DK462	wprA::cat epr::kan	DK2200	A7 AcomI AsigD amvE: P -flaM Spc <sup>r</sup>
DK717	Λ7 ΛflσB	DK2001	$\Lambda 7 \Lambda flaB ampE:D flaM Spc^r$
DK1142	$\Lambda7 \Lambda flhA amvF.P.$ -flaM Spc <sup>r</sup>	DK3052	Δ7 ΔjigD uniyE.:F <sub>hyspank</sub> -jigivi Spc Δ7 Δ com I ΔfiH amyE::D flaM Spc <sup>r</sup>
DK1142	$\Delta T \Delta flaC amvE: P = -flaM Spc^r$	DK3052	$\Delta 7 \Delta com \Delta fill amyEF_{hyspank}$ -JigW Spc
DK1143	$\Delta T \Delta fige university hyperank-figure spectrum \Delta T \Delta fige university D = figM Spectrum \Delta T \Delta fige university D = figM Spectrum \Delta T \Delta fige university D = figM Spectrum \Delta T \Delta fige university D = figM Spectrum \Delta T \Delta fige university D = figM Spectrum \Delta T \Delta fige university D = figM Spectrum \Delta T \Delta fige university D = figM Spectrum \Delta T \Delta fige university D = figM Spectrum \Delta T \Delta fige university D = figM Spectrum \Delta T \Delta fige university D = figM Spectrum \Delta T \Delta fige university D = figM Spectrum \Delta T \Delta fige university D = figM Spectrum \Delta T \Delta fige university D = figM Spectrum \Delta T \Delta fige university D = figM Spectrum \Delta T \Delta fige university D = figM Spectrum \Delta fige university D = figM Spectrum \Delta fige university D = figM Spectrum (fige univers$	DK3055	$\Delta 7 \Delta com \Delta Jue umye:: P_{hyspank}-Jugin Spc$
DV1054	$\Delta f a B f a Mutat amuEuD CED Catrr$	DK3054	$\Delta / \Delta fild amyE::P_{hyspank}$ -figM Spc
DK1050	$\Delta figD figN1lei umyEF_{hag}$ -GFF Cat	DK3055	$\Delta / \Delta com \Delta f u a my E:: P_{hyspank}$ -figM Spc
DK1057	$\Delta Jig \cup Jig M :: let um y E:: F_{hag} - GFF Cat$	DK3056	$\Delta / \Delta com \Delta f \mu J amy E:: P_{hyspank}$ -flgM Spc
DK1050	$\Delta JILE JIgINI: lel umyE::P_{hag}$ -GFP Cal	DK3057	$\Delta 7 \Delta com \Delta y lxF amy E:: P_{hyspank}-flgM Spc$
DK1859	Afile figm::tet amyE::P <sub>hag</sub> -GFP Cat	DK3058	$\Delta 7 \Delta com \Delta flik amy E:: P_{hyspank} - flgM Spc'$
DK1800	$\Delta j \mu \mu j \eta g M :: let u m y E:: P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat	DK3059	$\Delta 7 \Delta com \Delta flgD amy E:: P_{hyspank} - flgM Spc^{2}$
DK1001	Afili JigM::let amyE::P <sub>hag</sub> -GFP Cat	DK3060	$\Delta 7 \Delta com \Delta y lz l am y E:: P_{hyspank}-flg M Spc$
DK1862	$\Delta J \mu J$ JigM::tet amyE:: $P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat	DK3061	$\Delta 7 \Delta com \Delta f li L am y E:: P_{hyspank} - flg M Spc'$
DK1865	AyixF JigMiitet amyEiiP <sub>hag</sub> -GFP Cat	DK3062	$\Delta 7 \Delta com I \Delta f li M amy E:: P_{hyspank} - flg M Spc'$
DK1864	Aflik figM::tet amyE::P <sub>hag</sub> -GFP Cat <sup>2</sup>	DK3063	$\Delta 7 \Delta f li Y amy E:: P_{hyspank} - f lg M Spc'$
DK1865	AflgD flgM::tet amyE::P <sub>hag</sub> -GFP Cat <sup>**</sup>	DK3064	$\Delta 7 \Delta com I \Delta cheY amy E:: P_{hyspank} - flgM Spc^r$
DK1866	Afige figm::tet amyE::P <sub>hag</sub> -GFP Cat <sup>2</sup>	DK3065	$\Delta 7 \Delta com I \Delta fliO amy E:: P_{hyspank} - flgM Spc^{1}$
DK1867	$\Delta y z $ flgM::tet amyE::P <sub>hag</sub> -GFP Cat	DK3066	$\Delta 7 \Delta com I \Delta fliP amy E:: P_{hyspank} - flgM Spc^{r}$
DK1868	Aflil flgM::tet amyE::P <sub>hag</sub> -GFP Cat	DK3067	$\Delta 7 \Delta fliQ amyE::P_{hyspank}-flgM Spc^{r}$
DK1869	Aflim figm::tet amyE::P <sub>hag</sub> -GFP Cat	DK3068	$\Delta 7 \Delta com \Delta f lh B amy E:: P_{hyspank} - f lg M Spc^{1}$
DK1870	ΔfliY flgM::tet amyE::P <sub>hag</sub> -GFP Cat	DK3069	$\Delta 7 \Delta com I \Delta flhG amy E:: P_{hyspank}-flgM Spc^{r}$
DK1871	Achey flgM::tet amyE::P <sub>hag</sub> -GFP Cat	DK3070	$\Delta 7 \Delta com I \Delta cheB amy E:: P_{hyspank}-flgM Spc^{r}$
DK1872	AfliO flgM::tet amyE::P <sub>hag</sub> -GFP Cat	DK3071	$\Delta 7 \Delta comI \Delta cheA amyE::P_{hyspank}-flgM Spc^{r}$
DK1873	ΔfliP flgM::tet amyE::P <sub>hag</sub> -GFP Cat	DK3072	$\Delta 7 \Delta comI \Delta cheW amyE::P_{hyspank}-flgM Spc^{r}$
DK1874	ΔfliQ flgM::tet amyE::P <sub>hag</sub> -GFP Cat	DK3073	$\Delta 7 \Delta comI \Delta cheC amyE::P_{hyspank}-flgM Spc^{r}$
DK1875	ΔfliR flgM::tet amyE::P <sub>hag</sub> -GFP Cat	DK3074	$\Delta 7 \Delta comI \Delta cheD amyE::P_{hyspank}-flgM Spc^{r}$
DK1876	$\Delta flhB flgM::tet amyE::P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat	DK3075	$\Delta 7 \Delta com I \Delta swr B$
DK1877	ΔflhA flgM::tet amyE::P <sub>hag</sub> -GFP Cat	DK3076	$\Delta 7 \ \Delta com I \ \Delta f li R$
DK1878	AfInF flgM::tet amyE::P <sub>hag</sub> -GFP Cat	DK3077	$\Delta 7 \ \Delta com I \ \Delta f lh F$
DK1879	ΔflhG flgM::tet amyE::P <sub>hag</sub> -GFP Cat	DK3078	$\Delta 7 \Delta comI \Delta swrB amyE::P_{hyspank}-flgM Spc^{r}$
DK1880	ΔcheB flgM::tet amyE::P <sub>hag</sub> -GFP Cat	DK3079	$\Delta 7 \ \Delta comI \ \Delta fliR \ amyE::P_{hyspank}-flgM \ Spc^{r}$
DK1881	$\Delta cheA flgM::tet amyE::P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>	DK3080	$\Delta 7 \Delta com I \Delta flhF amy E:: P_{hyspank}-flgM Spc^{r}$
DK1882	$\Delta cheW flgM::tet amyE::P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat	DS908	<i>amyE::P<sub>hag</sub>-</i> GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>
DK1883	Δ <i>cheC flgM::tet amyE::P<sub>hag</sub></i> -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>	DS2509	$\Delta swrB$
DK1884	$\Delta cheD flgM::tet amyE::P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>	DS3772	<i>amyE::P<sub>hyspank</sub>-flgM</i> Spc <sup>r</sup>
DK1885	$\Delta sigD flgM::tet amyE::P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>	DS4029	$\Delta flgM$
DK1886	$\Delta swrB flgM::tet amyE::P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>	DS4264	<i>flgM::tet amyE::P<sub>hag</sub>-</i> GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>
DK1902	$\Delta 7 \Delta fliG$	DS4536	$\Delta fliK$
DK1903	$\Delta 7 \Delta fliQ$	DS4680	$\Delta flgB$
DK1904	$\Delta 7 \Delta f li Y$	DS4681	$\Delta flgE$
DK1935	$\Delta 7 \Delta com I$	DS5384	$\Delta fliY$
DK1951	$\Delta 7 \ \Delta com I \ \Delta f li H$	DS5648	$\Delta a prE$
DK1952	$\Delta 7 \ \Delta com I \ \Delta fli J$	DS5700	$\Delta a pr E \Delta n pr E$
DK1953	$\Delta 7 \ \Delta com I \ \Delta fli M$	DS5771	$\Delta a pr E  \Delta n pr E  \Delta b pr$
DK1968	$\Delta 7 \ \Delta com I \ \Delta f lh B$	DS5810	$\Delta a pr E \Delta n pr E \Delta b pr \Delta e pr$
DK1969	$\Delta 7 \ \Delta com I \ \Delta fliO$	DS5893	$\Delta a pr E \Delta n pr E \Delta b pr \Delta e pr \Delta v pr$
DK1970	$\Delta 7 \Delta com I \Delta flg D$	DS5913	$\Delta flhA$

(Continued on following page)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

B. subtilis	Delevent construction description	B. subtilis	
strain	Relevant genotype or description		
DS6105	$\Delta$ aprE $\Delta$ nprE $\Delta$ bpr $\Delta$ epr $\Delta$ vpr $\Delta$ wprA	DS7713	
DS6420	$\Delta sigD$	DS7714	
DS6468	$\Delta fliO$	DS7715	
DS6540	$\Delta fliL$	DS8080	
DS6554	$\Delta y l x F$	DS8081	
DS6555	$\Delta flgD$	DS8082	
DS6657	$\Delta y l z I$	DS8083	
DS6658	$\Delta flhF$	DS8084	
DS6728	$\Delta fliI$	DS8085	
DS6729	$\Delta fliH$	DS8117	
DS6775	$\Delta fliM$	DS8365	
DS6806	$\Delta 7 amy E:: P_{hyspank}$ -flgM Spc <sup>r</sup>	DS8404	
DS6867	$\Delta cheC$		
DS6868	$\Delta cheD$		
DS6869	$\Delta cheW$		
DS6870	$\Delta cheY$	resolved in D	
DS6871	$\Delta 7 \Delta f liF$	strain.	
DS6887	$\Delta cheA$	(b) fla-ch	
DS6919	$\Delta 7 \Delta fliF amyE::P_{hyspank}$ -flgM Spc <sup>r</sup>	was built usir	
DS7080	$\Delta fliF$	plasmid pDP	
DS7118	$\Delta fliQ$	The <i>fliE</i> delet	
DS7119	$\Delta fliP$	and 2323/232	
DS7120	$\Delta f lh B$	pairs 1900/19	
DS7161	$\Delta 7 \Delta flgM$	built using p	
DS7303	$\Delta flgC$	pDP335 was	
DS7306	$\Delta cheB$	deletion plas	
DS7308	$\Delta fliE$	2128/2129. T	
DS7317	$\Delta fliR$	857/858 and	
DS7357	$\Delta fliG$	primer pairs	
DS7358	$\Delta f lhG$	was built usir	
DS7359	$\Delta fliJ$	plasmid pDP	
DS7457	$\Delta fliF flgM::tet amyE::P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>	The <i>flgE</i> delet	
DS7684	$\Delta flgB amyE::P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>	and 1485/148	
DS7685	$\Delta flgC amyE::P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>	pairs 2037/20	
DS7686	$\Delta fliE amyE::P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>	built using pr	
DS7687	$\Delta fliF amyE::P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>	mid pSG32 v	
DS7688	$\Delta fliG amyE::P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>	fliV deletion	
DS7689	$\Delta fliH amyE::P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>	1576/1577 T	
DS7690	$\Delta fliI amyE::P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>	pairs 2197/21	
DS7691	$\Delta fliJ amyE::P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>	built using pr	
DS7692	$\Delta y lxF amyE::P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>	mid nDP346	
DS7693	$\Delta fliK amyE::P_{har}$ -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>	fliO deletion	
DS7694	$\Delta flgD amyE::P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>	2288/2289 T	
DS7695	$\Delta flgE amyE::P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>	2200/2209. 1 2325/2326 ar	
DS7696	$\Delta v lz I am v E:: P_{1,-z}$ -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>	using primer	
DS7697	$\Delta fliL amyE::P_{has}$ -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>	pI C47 was b	
DS7698	$\Delta fliM amvE::P_{tar}-GFP Cat^r$	tion plasmid	
DS7699	$\Delta fliY amvE::P_r - GFP Cat^r$	2106 The flk	
DS7700	$\Delta cheY amvE::P_r - GFP Cat^r$	2100. The jui	
D\$7701	$\Lambda fliO amvE::P_r - GEP Catr$	827 allu 828	
D\$7702	AfliP amvE::P, -GEP Cat <sup>r</sup>	primer pairs	
D\$7703	$\Lambda fliO amvE::PGFP Catr$	pDF 556 was i	
DS7704	$\Delta fliR amvE::P_{1,}-GFP Cat^r$	action plas	
DS7705	$\Lambda flhB amvE::PGFP Catr$	2195/2196. 1	
D\$7706	$\Lambda flhA amvF.PGFP Catr$	pairs 2185/21	
D\$7707	$\Lambda$ flh E amv F··PGEP Cat <sup>r</sup>	built using pr	
D\$7708	$\Delta flhG amvF.P. = GFP Catr$	mid pDP326	
D\$7700	$\Delta cheB amvF:PCFD Catr$	swrB deletion	
D\$7710	$\Delta che \Delta amv E^{*}P$ _CEP Cot <sup>r</sup>	839/840. The	
D\$7711	AcheWannyE.PCEP Catr	4190/4191 an	
D\$7712	A cheC amuE: $P$ _CEP Cat	(c) fla-ch	
D3//14	active with the for the for the former of th	ground To a	

TABLE 1 (Continued)

B. subtilis	Relevant genotype or description
Stram	Relevant genotype of description
DS7713	$\Delta cheD amyE::P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>
DS7714	$\Delta sigD amyE::P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>
DS7715	$\Delta swrB amyE::P_{hag}$ -GFP Cat <sup>r</sup>
DS8080	$\Delta aprE \Delta nprE \Delta bpr \Delta epr \Delta vpr \Delta wprA amyE::P_{hyspank}-flgM Spc^{r}$
DS8081	$\Delta a pr E \Delta n pr E \Delta b pr \Delta e pr \Delta v pr a my E:: P_{hyspank}-flg M Spc^{r}$
DS8082	$\Delta a pr E \Delta n pr E \Delta b pr \Delta e pr a my E:: P_{hyspank} - flg M Spc^r$
DS8083	$\Delta a pr E \Delta n pr E \Delta b pr a my E:: P_{hyspank} - flg M Spc^{r}$
DS8084	$\Delta a pr E \Delta n pr E a my E:: P_{hyspank} - flg M Spc^{r}$
DS8085	$\Delta a pr E a m y E:: P_{hyspank} - flg M Spc^r$
DS8117	$\Delta 7 \Delta f lh A$
DS8365	$\Delta 7 \Delta flgE$
DS8404	$\Delta 7 \Delta flgC$

resolved in DS6105 to generate strain DS6329, the  $\Delta7$  protease mutant strain.

e operon gene deletions. The *flgB* deletion plasmid pDP305 ng primer pairs 1479/1480 and 1481/1482. The *flgC* deletion 349 was built using primer pairs 2317/2318 and 2319/2320. tion plasmid pDP350 was built using primer pairs 2321/2322 24. The *fliF* deletion plasmid pLC16 was built using primer 901 and 1898/1899. The *fliG* deletion plasmid pKB40 was rimer pairs 770/771 and 772/773. The *fliH* deletion plasmid built using primer pairs 2122/2123 and 2124/2125. The fliI mid pDP336 was built using primer pairs 2126/2127 and he *fliJ* deletion plasmid pLC25 was built using primer pairs 859/860. The ylxF deletion plasmid pDP327 was built using 2029/2030 and 2031/2032. The fliK deletion plasmid pKB93 ng primer pairs 1387/1388 and 1389/1390. The flgD deletion 328 was built using primer pairs 2033/2034 and 2035/2036. tion plasmid pDP306 was built using primer pairs 1483/1484 36. The *ylzI* deletion plasmid pDP329 was built using primer 038 and 2039/2040. The *fliL* deletion plasmid pDP330 was timer pairs 2041/2042 and 2043/2044. The *fliM* deletion plasvas built using primer pairs 1569/1570 and 1571/1572. The plasmid pSG6 was built using primer pairs 1574/1575 and The cheY deletion plasmid pDP343 was built using primer 198 and 2199/2200. The fliO deletion plasmid pDP332 was rimer pairs 1692/1693 and 1694/1695. The fliP deletion plaswas built using primer pairs 2290/2291 and 2292/2293. The plasmid pDP345 was built using primer pairs 2286/2287 and he *fliR* deletion plasmid pDP351 was built using primer pairs nd 2327/2328. The *flhB* deletion plasmid pDP347 was built pairs 2294/2295 and 2296/2297. The flhA deletion plasmid uilt using primer pairs 976/977 and 978/979. The flhF delepDP333 was built using primer pairs 2103/2104 and 2105/ G deletion plasmid pLC22 was built using primer pairs 826/ /829. The cheB deletion plasmid pDP344 was built using 2282/2283 and 2284/2285. The cheA deletion plasmid built using primer pairs 2177/2178 and 2179/2180. The *cheW* mid pDP342 was built using primer pairs 2193/2194 and The cheC deletion plasmid pDP340 was built using primer 86 and 2187/2188. The cheD deletion plasmid pDP341 was timer pairs 2189/2190 and 2191/2192. The sigD deletion plaswas built using primer pairs 2019/2020 and 2021/2022. The plasmid pDP242 was built using primer pairs 740/741 and swrB deletion plasmid pRC62 was built using primer pairs nd 4192/4193.

(c) fla-che operon gene deletions in  $\Delta 7$  protease mutant background. To generate the  $\Delta 7$  protease  $\Delta comI$  mutant, the in-frame markerless deletion construct pMP50 was introduced into the  $\Delta 7$  protease mutant background by SPP1-mediated generalized transduction. The *comI* gene was deleted as previously described to generate DK1935. Each *fla-che* gene deletion construct purified from *E. coli* TG1 was transformed into DK1935 and deleted as previously described. For certain *fla-che* gene deletions, SPP1-mediated generalized transduction was successfully used to introduce the deletion constructs directly into the  $\Delta$ 7 protease mutant background (Table 1).

(ii)  $\Delta flgM::tet$ . The  $\Delta flgM::tet$  insertion-deletion allele was generated by long flanking homology PCR (using primer pairs 140/141 and 142/ 143), and DNA containing a tetracycline drug resistance gene (pDG1515) was used as a template for marker replacement (36).

(iii)  $\Delta wprA::cat$ . The  $\Delta wprA::cat$  insertion-deletion allele was generated by long flanking homology PCR (using primer pairs 3260/3261 and 3262/3263), and DNA containing a chloramphenicol resistance gene (pAC225) was used as a template for marker replacement (pAC225 was a generous gift from Amy Camp, Mount Holyoke College).

(iv)  $\Delta epr::kan$ . The  $\Delta epr::kan$  insertion-deletion allele was generated by long flanking homology PCR (using primer pairs 721/722 and 723/724), and DNA containing a kanamycin resistance gene (pDG780) was used as a template for marker replacement (36).

(v) Inducible constructs. To generate the inducible *amyE::P*<sub>hyspank</sub>flgM Spc overexpression construct pRC21, a fragment containing the flgM gene was PCR amplified using primer pair 3330/1135 and 3610 genomic DNA as a template. The resulting PCR product was digested with NheI and SphI and ligated into the NheI and SphI sites of pDR111 containing a spectinomycin resistance cassette, a polylinker downstream of the P<sub>hyspank</sub> promoter, and the gene encoding the LacI repressor between the arms of the *amyE* gene (37).

(vi) 6His-SUMO-FlgM protein expression constructs. To generate the translational fusion of FlgM to the 6His-SUMO tag, a fragment containing *flgM* was PCR amplified using strain 3610 DNA as a template and primer pair 933/934 and was digested with SapI and XhoI. The fragment was ligated into the SapI and XhoI sites of plasmid pTB146 containing an ampicillin resistance cassette to create pDP266 (38).

**SPP1 phage transduction.** To 0.2 ml of dense culture grown in TY broth (LB broth supplemented after autoclaving with 10 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub> and 100  $\mu$ M MnSO<sub>4</sub>), serial dilutions of SPP1 phage stock were added and statically incubated for 15 min at 37°C. To each mixture, 3 ml TYSA (molten TY supplemented with 0.5% agar) was added, poured atop fresh TY plates, and incubated at 30°C overnight. Top agar from the plate containing near-confluent plaques was harvested by scraping into a 15-ml conical tube, vortexed, and centrifuged at 5,000 × g for 10 min. The supernatant was treated with 25  $\mu$ g/ml DNase (final concentration) before being passed through a 0.45- $\mu$ m syringe filter and stored at 4°C.

Recipient cells were grown to stationary phase in 2 ml TY broth at 37°C. One milliliter of cells was mixed with 25  $\mu$ l of SPP1 donor phage stock. Nine milliliters of TY broth was added to the mixture and allowed to mix by gentle rocking at 25°C for 30 min. The transduction mixture was then centrifuged at 5,000 × g for 10 min, the supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was resuspended in the residual volume. One hundred microliters of cell suspension was then plated on LB fortified with 1.5% agar, the appropriate antibiotic, and 10 mM sodium citrate.

**Swarm expansion assay.** Cells were grown to mid-log phase at 37°C in LB broth and resuspended to an optical density at 600 nm (OD<sub>600</sub>) of 10 in pH 8.0 phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) buffer (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, and 2 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) containing 0.5% India ink (Higgins). Freshly prepared LB containing 0.7% Bacto agar (25 ml/plate) was dried for 20 min in a laminar flow hood, centrally inoculated with 10  $\mu$ l of the cell suspension, dried for another 10 min, and incubated at 37°C. After 5 h, the radius of swarm expansion was measured and recorded for each strain.

**Microscopy.** Fluorescence microscopy was performed with a Nikon 80i microscope with a phase-contrast objective Nikon Plan Apo 100X and an Excite 120 metal halide lamp. FM4-64 was visualized with a C-FL HYQ Texas Red filter cube (excitation filter, 532 to 587 nm; barrier filter, >590

nm). Green fluorescent protein (GFP) was visualized using a C-FL HYQ fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) filter cube (for FITC, excitation filter, 460 to 500 nm, and barrier filter, 515 to 550 nm). Images were captured with a Photometrics Coolsnap HQ2 camera in black and white, false colored, and superimposed using Metamorph image software.

For GFP fluorescence microscopy, cells were grown to mid-log phase  $(OD_{600}, 0.4 \text{ to } 0.9)$  in 2 ml of LB broth at 37°C, and 1 ml was pelleted and washed with 1 ml PBS. Membranes were stained by resuspending the cell pellet in 50 µl of PBS containing 5 µg/ml FM4-64 and incubated for 5 min at room temperature in the dark. Samples were observed by spotting 3 µl of the suspension on a glass microscope slide and were immobilized with a poly-L-lysine-treated coverslip.

FlgM protein purification. The 6His-SUMO-FlgM fusion protein expression vector pDP266 was transformed into Rosetta-gami II E. coli, and the resulting strain was grown to an  $OD_{600}$  of  $\sim 0.8$  at 37°C with shaking in 1 liter of Terrific Broth supplemented with 100  $\mu$ g/ml ampicillin (12 g tryptone, 25 g yeast extract, 0.4% glycerol per 900 ml, with addition of 100 ml sterile potassium phosphate solution [2.31 g KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 12.54 g K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>] after autoclaving). Protein expression was induced with the addition of 0.1 mM IPTG, and growth was continued overnight at 16°C. Cells were pelleted, resuspended in lysis/binding buffer (50 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMSF]; pH 8.0), and lysed by sonication. Lysed cells were centrifuged at 8,000  $\times$  g for 30 min at 4°C. Cleared supernatant was combined with 1 ml of nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) His Bind resin (Novagen) equilibrated in lysis/binding buffer and incubated overnight with gentle rotation at 4°C. The resin-lysate mixture was poured into a 1-cm separation column (Bio-Rad), the resin was allowed to pack, and the lysate was allowed to flow through the column. The resin was washed with wash buffer (50 mM Na2HPO4, 500 mM NaCl, 40 mM imidazole, 0.1 mM PMSF; pH 8.0). The 6His-SUMO-FlgM fusion protein bound to the resin was eluted using elution buffer (50 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 500 mM NaCl, 500 mM imidazole, 0.1 mM PMSF; pH 8.0). Elution products were separated by 15% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and Coomassie blue stained to verify purification of the 6His-SUMO-FlgM fusion protein and pure fractions.

**FigM antibody production.** One milligram of purified 6His-SUMO-FigM protein was sent to Cocalico Biologicals Inc. for serial injection into a rabbit host for antibody generation. Anti-FigM serum was mixed with FigM-conjugated Affigel-10 beads and incubated overnight at 4°C. The beads were packed onto a 1-cm column (Bio-Rad) and then washed with 100 mM glycine (pH 2.5) to release the antibody and immediately neutralized with 2 M Tris base. The purification of the antibody was verified by SDS-PAGE. Purified anti-FigM antibody was dialyzed into 1× PBS– 50% glycerol and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C.

FlgM secretion assay. For the pellet fraction (cytoplasmic and cellassociated proteins), B. subtilis strains were grown in 25 ml LB broth to an OD<sub>600</sub> of ~1.0, and 1-ml and 10-ml samples of broth culture were harvested by centrifugation, resuspended to 10 OD<sub>600</sub> units in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 7.0], 10 mM EDTA, 1 mg/ml lysozyme, 10 µg/ml DNase I, 100 µg/ml RNase I, 1 mM PMSF), and incubated 30 min at 37°C. For the supernatant fraction (secreted extracellular proteins), 10 ml of supernatant was collected from the same cultures as those used to generate the pellet fractions. The supernatant was clarified by centrifugation at 5,000 imesg for 30 min and treated with 1 ml of freshly prepared 0.015% sodium deoxycholate for 10 min at room temperature. Proteins from the supernatant were precipitated by adding 500 µl chilled trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and incubating the mixture for >2 h on ice at 4°C. Precipitated proteins were pelleted at 9,447  $\times$  g for 10 min at 4°C, washed twice with 1 ml ice-cold acetone, and resuspended to 10 OD<sub>600</sub> units in 0.1 N sodium hydroxide. Ten microliters of cell pellet or supernatant sample was mixed with 2  $\mu$ l 6× SDS loading buffer. Samples were separated in parallel by 15% SDS-PAGE. Proteins were electroblotted onto nitrocellulose for 50 min at 400 mA and probed with a 1:10,000 dilution of anti-FlgM primary antibody, with a 1:40,000 dilution of anti-SigA primary antibody (a gen-



FIG 2 FlgM is secreted and proteolyzed extracellularly. (A) FlgM secretion assay. Cell pellets (pel) were lysed, and supernatants (sup) were concentrated by TCA precipitation of dissolved proteins, resolved by SDS-PAGE, electroblotted, and probed in Western analysis. Anti-FlgM (αFlgM) primary antibody was used to detect FlgM, and anti-SigA (αSigA) served as a loading control and as a control for cytoplasmic contamination of supernatant samples caused by cell lysis. The horizontal bars group strains with the indicated common genetic background. "Ø" indicates no additional change to the genetic background. The following strains were used to generate the figure: 3610 (WT strain), DS4029 (*flgM*), DS3772 (*flgM*<sup>++</sup>), DS6329 ( $\Delta$ 7), DS7161 ( $\Delta$ 7 *flgM*), and DS6806 ( $\Delta$ 7 *flgM*<sup>++</sup>).

erous gift from Masaya Fujita, University of Huston), and with a 1:10,000 dilution of secondary antibody (horseradish peroxidase [HRP]-conjugated goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G). Immunoblots were developed using the Pierce ECL Western blotting substrate kit (Thermo Scientific).

# RESULTS

**FlgM is secreted and degraded extracellularly.** FlgM is the antisigma factor that inhibits the activity of the motility sigma factor  $\sigma^{\rm D}$  (19). In *S. enterica*, FlgM activity is inhibited when FlgM is secreted through the flagellar hook-basal body and becomes spatially separated from its cognate sigma factor (13, 14). To test whether FlgM is secreted in *B. subtilis*, Western blot analysis was performed using anti-FlgM primary antibody on TCA-precipitated supernatant and cell pellet lysate fractions of the wild type,

an *flgM* mutant, and a strain that expressed *flgM* from the artificial IPTG-inducible  $P_{hyspank}$  promoter integrated at the ectopic *amyE* locus (*amyE::P<sub>hyspank</sub>*-*flgM*). In parallel, Western blot assays were conducted using primary antibody against SigA, the constitutive cytoplasmic vegetative sigma factor. SigA serves both as a loading control for cell pellet samples and as a control for cytoplasmic contamination of supernatant samples caused by cell lysis, as SigA is cytoplasmic and is not secreted. FlgM protein was barely detectable in the cell pellet lysates of the wild type, absent from the *flgM* mutant, and enhanced in the  $P_{hyspank}$ -*flgM* strain when induced with 1 mM IPTG (Fig. 2). Neither FlgM nor SigA was detected in the any of the TCA-precipitated supernatant fractions. We conclude that either FlgM is not secreted in *B. subtilis* or FlgM is secreted and degraded extracellularly.

FlgM could be extracellularly degraded by one or more of the seven extracellular proteases secreted by *B. subtilis*: AprE, NprE, Bpr, Epr, Vpr, WprA, and Mpr (39–45). To test whether extracellular proteases degrade FlgM, a secretion assay was conducted using a mutant containing multiple in-frame markerless deletions disrupting each of the exoprotease-encoding genes (" $\Delta 7$  mutant"). In the  $\Delta 7$  mutant background, FlgM was detected in both the cell pellet lysates and the corresponding TCA-precipitated supernatant fractions from the wild-type and IPTG-induced  $P_{hyspank}$ -flgM strains (Fig. 2). SigA was detected in the cell lysate fraction but was not detected in the TCA-precipitated supernatant fraction, suggesting that SigA was neither secreted nor released by cell lysis. We conclude that FlgM is secreted in *B. subtilis* and that one or more of the seven secreted proteases degraded FlgM in the extracellular environment.

A series of mutants was constructed to reductively deduce which exoprotease(s) contributed to the degradation of FlgM (Fig. 3A). Each strain tested in order lacked a single protease encoded by the preceding strain. Thus, when FlgM was detected in the supernatant, we could infer that the most recently mutated protease contributed to FlgM degradation. FlgM was not detected by



FIG 3 FlgM is specifically proteolyzed by WprA and Epr. (A) FlgM secretion assay. All strains contain the  $P_{hyspank}$ -flgM construct (flgM<sup>++</sup>) and were grown in the presence of 1 mM IPTG. Blots were probed with both anti-FlgM ( $\alpha$ FlgM) and anti-SigA ( $\alpha$ SigA) to serve as a loading and lysis control. The following strains were used to generate the figure: DS6806 (lane 1), DS8085 (lane 2), DS8084 (lane 3), DS8083 (lane 4), DS8082 (lane 5), DS8081 (lane 6), DS8080 (lane 7), DK217 (lane 8), DK216 (lane 9), DK215 (lane 10), and DK214 (lane 11). We note that some SigA was detected in the supernatant of lane 6, presumably due to a low level of spontaneous cell lysis prior to harvesting the supernatant. We further note that the cell lysis that occurred did not seem to contribute significantly to the amount of FlgM in the supernatant. (B) FlgM secretion assay. All strains contain the  $P_{hyspank}$ -flgM construct (flgM<sup>++</sup>) and were grown in the presence of 1 mM IPTG. The following strains were used to generate the figure: DS6806 (WT strain), DK382 (*wprA*), DK383 (*epr*), DK462 (*wprA epr*), and DS6329 ( $\Delta$ 7).



FIG 4 Mutations in the *fla-che* operon abolish swarming motility. Swarm expansion assays were conducted on the indicated strains, and the swarm radius was measured after 5 h of incubation at 37°C. Bars represent averages of three replicates. The dashed line indicates the swarming motility of the wild type (strain 3610) at 5 h. The following strains (mutated genes in parentheses) were used to generate the figure: DS4680 (*flgB*), DS7303 (*flgC*), DS7308 (*fliE*), DS7080 (*fliF*), DS7357 (*fliG*), DS6729 (*fliH*), DS6728 (*fliI*), DS7359 (*fliJ*), DS6554 (*ylxF*), DS4536 (*fliK*), DS6555 (*flgD*), DS4681 (*flgE*), DS6657 (*ylzI*), DS6540 (*fliI*), DS7757 (*fliM*), DS5384 (*fliY*), DS6870 (*cheY*), DS6468 (*fliO*), DS7118 (*fliQ*), DS7317 (*fliR*), DS7120 (*fliB*), DS5913 (*flhA*), DS6658 (*flhF*), DS7358 (*flhG*), DS7306 (*cheB*), DS6887 (*cheA*), DS6867 (*cheC*), DS6868 (*cheD*), DS6420 (*sigD*), and DS2509 (*swrB*).

Western blotting of TCA-precipitated supernatant fractions when strains encoded the exoprotease WprA (Fig. 3A, lane 6) but was detected when WprA was mutated (Fig. 3A, lane 7), suggesting that WprA was involved in FlgM degradation. Mutation of WprA alone, however, was not sufficient to allow for the detection of FlgM in the supernatant fraction, suggesting that one or more of the remaining six exoproteases was also involved (Fig. 3B).

To find additional exoproteases that contributed to FlgM degradation, we reanalyzed a reductive series of exoprotease mutants in which WprA was also mutated. FlgM was not detected in TCAprecipitated supernatants in WprA-mutated strains that encoded the protease Epr (Fig. 3A, lane 10) but was detected when Epr was also mutated, suggesting that Epr contributed to FlgM degradation (Fig. 3A, lane 11). Mutation of Epr alone was also not sufficient to allow for the detection of FlgM in the supernatant fraction, likely due to the presence of WprA (Fig. 3B). Mutation of both WprA and Epr, however, was sufficient to allow for the detection of FlgM in the TCA-precipitated supernatant fraction (Fig. 3B). We conclude that FlgM is proteolytically degraded in the extracellular environment by the combined activity of WprA and Epr. Henceforth, all FlgM secretion assays were conducted in the  $\Delta$ 7 protease mutant background.

**FigM inhibits**  $\sigma^{D}$  **activity in flagellar basal body mutants.** In *S. enterica*, cells defective in synthesis of the flagellar hook-basal body inhibit  $\sigma^{28}$  activity by an enhanced accumulation of the antisigma factor FigM (7). Thirty-two genes within the *B. subtilis flache* operon are predicted to be involved in either flagellar assembly or flagellar function based on their homology to flagellar proteins in *S. enterica* (20). To determine which genes in the *fla-che* operon were required for flagellar assembly and/or function, swarm expansion motility assays were conducted in strains mutated for each of the *fla-che* operon genes (Fig. 4). Mutants able to expand from the point of inoculation after 5 h of incubation were deemed swarming proficient, and based on this criterion, the following genes were considered unlikely candidates essential for the assembly of the hook-basal body: *ylxF*, *fliL*, *flhF*, *flhG*, *cheB*, *cheW*, *cheC*, and *cheD* (Fig. 1E).

In *S. enterica*, defects in flagellar hook-basal body assembly result in the inhibition of  $\sigma^{28}$  activity (7). To determine which mutants in the *fla-che* operon were defective in  $\sigma^{D}$  activity, a reporter for  $\sigma^{D}$ -dependent gene expression in which the  $P_{hag}$  pro-

moter for the *hag* gene encoding the flagellar filament Hag was transcriptionally fused to green fluorescent protein was integrated at the ectopic *amyE* locus (*amyE*::*P*<sub>hao</sub>-GFP) in the wild type and each mutant background (Fig. 5, top left images). Expression of  $\sigma^{\rm D}$ -dependent genes is heterogenous in the population, and wildtype cells displayed bistable expression of  $P_{hag}$ -GFP such that short motile cells were brightly fluorescent and nonmotile chains were dark (28, 46). Mutants that robustly expressed the  $P_{hag}$ -GFP reporter did not have a strong defect in  $\sigma^{D}$ -dependent gene expression, and based on this criterion, the following genes were also considered unlikely candidates essential for the assembly of the hook-basal body: ylzI, cheY, cheA, and swrB (Fig. 1E). As further support that ylzI, cheY, cheA, and swrB are not essential for hookbasal body assembly, liquid cultures of each mutant exhibited swimming motility when observed in phase-contrast microscopy of wet mounts. In sum, we infer that the following *fla-che*-encoded proteins are required for hook-basal body completion in B. subtilis: FlgB, FlgC, FliE, FliF, FliG, FliH, FliI, FliJ, FliK, FlgD, FlgE, FliM, FliY, FliO, FliP, FliQ, FliR, FlhB, and FlhA.

In *S. enterica*, defects in flagellar hook-basal body assembly result in the inhibition of  $\sigma^{28}$  activity due to a failure to inhibit the FlgM anti-sigma factor (7). To determine whether the low fluorescence from the  $P_{hag}$ -GFP reporter in the *fla-che* operon mutants was due to enhanced FlgM activity, FlgM was mutated in each *fla-che* operon mutant background (Fig. 5, bottom right images). Mutation of *flgM* increased  $P_{hag}$ -GFP fluorescence magnitude in the wild type and was epistatic to all *fla-che* operon mutants irrespective of basal  $P_{hag}$ -GFP fluorescence level. We conclude that each of the *fla-che* operon mutants expressing low levels of  $P_{hag}$ -GFP experience enhanced inhibition of  $\sigma^{D}$ -dependent gene expression due to an inability to antagonize FlgM.

FlgM is secreted through the hook-basal body by the flagellar export apparatus. In *S. enterica*, FlgM is inhibited by secretion through a flagellar type III export apparatus that becomes proficient for FlgM secretion only upon completion of the hook-basal body structural intermediate (15). To test whether FlgM secretion depends on a functional hook-basal body in *B. subtilis*, in-frame markerless deletions of each gene in the *fla-che* operon were constructed in the  $\Delta$ 7 protease mutant background. Low levels of FlgM were detected in the cell pellet lysate fraction in each mutant save *sigD*, as *flgM* gene expression is  $\sigma^{D}$  dependent (Fig. 6A). Im-



FIG 5 Mutations in the *fla-che* operon impair  $\sigma^{D}$ -dependent gene expression. Fluorescence microscopy of the indicated strains that contain the *amyE::P<sub>hag</sub>*-GFP reporter construct. The top left triangle of each square is an image of the strain containing an in-frame deletion of the indicated gene; the bottom right triangle is an image of the corresponding deletion mutant strain containing an additional *flgM::tet* mutation (see the legend in the top left panel). Cell membranes were stained with FM4-64 and are false-colored red. GFP fluorescence is false-colored green. Scale bar, 4 µm. The following strains (mutated genes in parentheses) were used to generate the figure: DS908 (WT strain), DS4264 (*flgM*), DS7684 (*flgB*), DK1856 (*flgB flgM*), DS7685 (*flgC*), DK1857 (*flgC flgM*), DS7686 (*fliE*), DK1858 (*fliE flgM*), DS7687 (*fliF*), DS7457 (*fliF flgM*), DS7688 (*fliG*), DK1859 (*fliG flgM*), DS7689 (*fliH*), DK1860 (*fliH flgM*), DS7690 (*fliI*), DK1861 (*fliI flgM*), DS7691 (*fliJ*), DK1862 (*fliJ flgM*), DS7691 (*fliJ*), DK1862 (*fliJ flgM*), DS7691 (*fliJ*), DK1862 (*fliJ flgM*), DS7697 (*fliZ*), DK1863 (*ylxF flgM*), DS7693 (*fliK*), DK1864 (*fliK flgM*), DS7694 (*flgD*), DK1865 (*flgD flgM*), DS7695 (*fliZ*), DK1866 (*flgE flgM*), DS7696 (*fliI*), DK1867 (*ylzI flgM*), DS7697 (*fliI*), DK1868 (*fliL flgM*), DS7698 (*fliM*), DK1869 (*fliM flgM*), DS7699 (*fliY*), DK1870 (*fliP flgM*), DS7709 (*fliA*), DK1870 (*fliP flgM*), DS7709 (*fliA*), DK1870 (*fliB flgM*), DS7706 (*fliA*), DK1870 (*fliB flgM*), DS7706 (*fliA*), DK1870 (*fliB flgM*), DS7708 (*fliG*), DK1870 (*fliB flgM*), DS7709 (*fliA*), DK1870 (*fliB flgM*), DS7709 (*fliA*), DK1705 (*fliB flgM*), DS7708 (*fliG*), DK1870 (*fliA*), DK1870 (*fliB flgM*), DS7709 (*fliA*), DK1870 (*fliB flgM*), DS7708 (*fliG*), DK1870 (*fliB flgM*), DS7709 (*fliA*), DK1870 (*fliB flgM*), DS7710 (*cheA*), DK1883 (*cheC flgM*), DS7710 (*cheA*), D

portantly, FlgM secretion was reduced in each mutant background that also exhibited low  $\sigma^{\rm D}$ -dependent gene expression (Fig. 1E). We conclude that the ability to activate  $\sigma^{\rm D}$  is correlated with the ability to secrete FlgM into the extracellular environment.

In *B. subtilis*, the expression of FlgM is under explicit control of  $\sigma^{\rm D}$ , and thus the reduced ability of some mutants to inhibit FlgM could limit FlgM expression and thus lower FlgM levels, both intracellularly and extracellularly, below the limit of detection (18, 47). To override native transcriptional regulation of FlgM, the *flgM* gene was artificially expressed from the artificial, IPTG-inducible  $P_{\rm hyspank}$  promoter ( $P_{\rm hyspank}$ -*flgM*) in each of the *fla-che* operon mutants in the  $\Delta$ 7 protease mutant background. FlgM secretion was abolished in cells mutated for the FliF and FliG basal body components, the FliO, FliP, FliQ, FliR, FlhA, and FlhB type III export apparatus components, and the FliK substrate specific-

ity switch regulator (Fig 6B). By contrast, the remaining proteins required for hook-basal body completion were not essential for FlgM secretion when FlgM was artificially expressed (Fig 6B). We conclude that completion of the hook-basal body structural assembly intermediate enhances but is not absolutely required for FlgM secretion (Fig. 1E).

# DISCUSSION

Flagellar biosynthesis is complex, and FlgM secretion is a paradigm for the morphogenetic coupling of flagellar structure and gene transcription (4). Prior to completion of the hook-basal body structural intermediate, FlgM inhibits the expression of the  $\sigma^{\rm D}$ regulon such that the gene encoding the abundant flagellar filament protein Hag is not expressed prematurely (18, 30–33). In *S. enterica*, the flagellar type III export apparatus changes substrate



FIG 6 Mutations in the *fla-che* operon abolish FlgM secretion. (A) FlgM secretion assay in which control of FlgM is under the native promoter. All mutations were constructed in the  $\Delta$ 7 protease mutant background. The two panels were generated using the same samples. Blots were probed with both anti-FlgM ( $\alpha$ FlgM) and anti-SigA ( $\alpha$ SigA) to serve as a loading and lysis control. The strains with mutations for *flgB* (DK717), *flgC* (DS8404), *fliE* (DK2012), *fliF* (DS6871), *fliG* (DK1902), *fliH* (DK1951), *fliI* (DK2058), *fliJ* (DK1952), *ylxF* (DK2026), *fliK* (DK1971), *flgD* (DK1970), *flgC* (DS8365), *ylzI* (DK2014), *fliE* (DK2028), *fliM* (DK1953), *fliY* (DK1904), *cheY* (DK2031), *fliO* (DK1969), *fliP* (DK2059), *fliQ* (DK1903), *fliR* (DK3076), *flhB* (DK1968), *flhA* (DS8117), *flhF* (DK3077), *flhG* (DK2071), *cheB* (DK2060), *cheA* (DK2013), *cheW* (DK2030), *cheC* (DK2027), *cheD* (DK2029), *sigD* (DK2230), and *swrB* (DK3075) were used to generate the figure. (B) FlgM secretion assay in which FlgM is artificially expressed from an IPTG-inducible promoter. Strains contain the *amyE::Physpank-flgM* construct (*flgM*<sup>++</sup>) and were grown in the presence of 1 mM IPTG. The strains with mutations *flgB flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3051), *flgC flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3057), *fliK flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3053), *fliF flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3054), *fliH flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3055), *fliI flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3055), *fliJ flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3056), *fliX flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3057), *fliK flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3057), *fliK flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3058), *flgD flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3059), *flig flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3060), *fliI flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3060), *fliI flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3061), *fliM flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3062), *fliF flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3063), *cheY flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3063), *fliP flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3064), *fliO flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3065), *fliP flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3066), *fliQ flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3071), *cheA flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3071), *cheV flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3072), *cheC flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3073), *cheD flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3074), *sigD flgM*<sup>++</sup> (DK3074), and *swrB* 

specificity upon hook-basal body completion to recognize, export, and inhibit FlgM (13, 14). While the FlgM paradigm is widely accepted, it has rarely been tested outside a subset of Gramnegative gammaproteobacteria (16). Here we show that the Gram-positive bacterium *B. subtilis* conforms to the established paradigm of flagellar morphogenetic coupling, as the activity of  $\sigma^{\rm D}$  was tightly correlated with the ability to efficiently secrete FlgM from the cytoplasm.

FlgM secretion was not originally detected in the wild type due to the fact that FlgM was degraded by Epr and WprA, two relatively understudied representatives of the seven extracellular proteases secreted by B. subtilis. The mechanisms that govern extracellular protease specificity are unclear, so why FlgM is degraded by those two enzymes in particular and not by the general specificity protease AprE (subtilisin) is unknown (39). While the involvement of Epr and WprA may be arbitrary, it is also possible that protease-specific degradation of FlgM is biologically relevant. For example, Epr is the only protease expressed under the control of  $\sigma^{D}$  (48), and WprA (wall protease A) is anchored to the peptidoglycan and thus is in close proximity to the flagellar basal body (44). We note that the same two proteases, Epr and WprA, were reported to proteolytically restrict localization of the autolysin LytF, which is required for separating daughter cells after division, and LytF is coincidentally under strict  $\sigma^{D}$  control (46, 49). Proteolysis of FlgM and LytF suggests that at least some members of the  $\sigma^{\rm D}$  regulon may be coordinately processed at the posttranslational

level, but if so, the coprocessing seems unrelated to both motility and cell separation, as here we show that strains with deletion of all seven proteases produce single motile cells, comparable to what occurs in the wild type (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). The relevance of FlgM and/or LytF extracellular proteolysis is unknown.

It has been shown that mutation of a subset of *fla-che* operon genes abolishes  $\sigma^{D}$ -dependent gene expression in an FlgM-dependent manner (31-33). To further explore this correlation, we tested mutations of every gene in the *fla-che* operon and measured both motility and  $\sigma^{\rm D}$ -dependent gene expression. Mutation of 24 of the 32 fla-che operon genes resulted in severe swarming motility defects. Four of the mutants defective for swarming motility expressed  $\sigma^{\rm D}$ -dependent genes and were proficient for swimming in liquid (swrB, cheA, cheY, and ylzI), suggesting that the corresponding gene products were not essential for hook-basal body assembly. The remaining 20 genes were defective for both swarming and  $\sigma^{\rm D}$ -dependent gene expression, and with the exceptions of *sigD* and fliK, all were predicted to encode a conserved structural component of the flagellum (20). Furthermore, each mutant defective for  $\sigma^{\rm D}$ -dependent gene expression also failed to secrete FlgM. Thus, as in S. enterica, the ability to secrete FlgM depended on hook-basal body completion and FlgM secretion was tightly correlated with  $\sigma^{D}$  activity.

FlgM is autoinhibitory, as the *flgM* gene is expressed by  $\sigma^{D}$  (18). Thus, reduced FlgM secretion could be misinterpreted due

to reduced FlgM expression. Indeed, artificial IPTG induction of FlgM revealed that many genes required for hook-basal body assembly improved but were not in fact absolutely essential for FlgM secretion. Ultimately, nine gene products, including the flagellar baseplate FliF, the flagellar rotor FliG, the flagellar type III export apparatus components FliO, FliP, FliQ, FliR, FlhB, and FlhA, and the substrate specificity switch mediator FliK constituted the minimal set of proteins strictly required to secrete FlgM. In contrast, the completion of the hook-basal body, including the rod (FlgB and FlgC), the hook (FlgD and FlgE), the C-ring (FliM and FliY), and the C-rod (FliH, FliI, and FliJ), strongly enhances, but is not strictly essential, for FliK to switch the specificity of the type III export apparatus and permit FlgM secretion. We note that this may be the first report of robust FlgM secretion in the absence of a complete hook-basal body, perhaps due to the technical limitations of the Gram-negative model systems. In contrast, Grampositive model organisms such as B. subtilis permit the study of early events in type III export as the substrates are secreted directly into the extracellular environment even in flagellar mutants with severe structural defects.

In sum, the control of FlgM in B. subtilis largely adheres to the paradigm of morphogenetic coupling of structural assembly and gene regulation established in S. enterica. In S. enterica, however, FlgM is believed to be the primary form of regulation on the expression of the flagellar filament protein; in B. subtilis, the flagellar filament protein Hag is regulated posttranscriptionally by CsrA, an RNA-binding protein that inhibits Hag translation (31, 50). Importantly, like FlgM, CsrA is controlled (albeit indirectly) by the activity of the flagellar type III export apparatus and completion of the hook-basal body (31, 50). Thus, B. subtilis engages both FlgM and CsrA during the assembly of the flagellum, but unlike CsrA, which is specific for one protein, FlgM controls an entire regulon of genes. Perhaps FlgM inhibition by secretion through the completed hook-basal body has less to do with regulating motility per se and more to do with coordinating motility gene expression with other aspects of B. subtilis biology, peptidoglycan hydrolases in particular.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by NIH Training Grant T32 GM007757 to R.A.C. and NIH GM093030 to D.B.K.

#### REFERENCES

- Wösten MMSM. 1998. Eubacterial sigma-factors. FEMS Microbiol Rev 22:127–150. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-6445(98)00011-4.
- Haldenwang WG. 1995. The sigma factors of *Bacillus subtilis*. Microbiol Rev 59:1–30.
- Hughes KT, Mathee K. 1998. The anti-sigma factors. Annu Rev Microbiol 52:231–286. http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.52.1.231.
- Chilcott GS, Hughes KT. 2000. Coupling of flagellar gene expression to flagellar assembly in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium and *Escherichia coli*. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 64:694–708. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1128/MMBR.64.4.694-708.2000.
- Macnab RM. 1992. Genetics and biogenesis of bacterial flagella. Annu Rev Genet 26:131–158. http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ge.26.120192.001023.
- Chevance FFV, Hughes KT. 2008. Coordinating assembly of a bacterial macromolecular machine. Nat Rev Microbiol 6:455–465. http://dx.doi .org/10.1038/nrmicro1887.
- Gillen KL, Hughes KT. 1991. Negative regulatory loci coupling flagellin synthesis to flagellar assembly in *Salmonella typhimurium*. J Bacteriol 173: 2301–2310.
- 8. Ohnishi K, Kutsukake K, Suzuki H, Iino T. 1992. A novel transcriptional regulation mechanism in the flagellar regulon of *Salmonella typhimurium*: an anti-sigma factor inhibits the activity of the flagellum-specific sigma

factor,  $\sigma^F.$  Mol Microbiol 6:3149–3157. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365 -2958.1992.tb01771.x.

- Sorenson MK, Ray SS, Darst SA. 2004. Crystal structure of the flagellar σ/anti-σ complex σ<sup>28</sup>/FlgM reveals an intact σ factor in an inactive conformation. Mol Cell 14:127–138. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1097-2765 (04)00150-9.
- Moriya N, Minamino T, Hughes KT, Macnab RM, Namba K. 2006. The type III flagellar export specificity switch is dependent on FliK ruler and a molecular clock. J Mol Biol 359:466–477. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb .2006.03.025.
- 11. Minamino T, Moriya N, Hirano T, Hughes KT, Namba K. 2009. Interaction of FliK with the bacterial flagellar hook is required for efficient export specificity switching. Mol Microbiol 74:239–251. http://dx.doi.org /10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06871.x.
- Erhardt M, Singer HM, Wee DH, Keener JP, Hughes KT. 2011. An infrequent molecular ruler controls flagellar hook length in *Salmonella enterica*. EMBO J 30:2948–2961. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2011 .185.
- Hughes KT, Gillen KL, Semon MJ, Karlinsey JE. 1993. Sensing structural intermediates in bacterial flagellar assembly by export of a negative regulator. Science 262:1277–1280. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.8235660.
- 14. Kutsukake K. 1994. Excretion of the anti-sigma factor through a flagellar substructure couples flagellar gene expression with flagellar assembly in *Salmonella typhimurium*. Mol Gen Genet 243:605–612.
- Karlinsey JE, Tanaka S, Bettenworth V, Yamaguchi S, Boos W, Aizawa S, Hughes KT. 2000. Completion of the hook-basal body complex of the *Salmonella typhimurium* flagellum is coupled to FlgM secretion and *fliC* transcription. Mol Microbiol 37:1220–1231. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j .1365-2958.2000.02081.x.
- Rust M, Borchert S, Niehus E, Kuehne SA, Gripp E, Bajceta A, Mc-Murry JL, Suerbaum S, Hughes KT, Josenhans C. 2009. The *Helicobacter pylori* anti-sigma factor FlgM is predominantly cytoplasmic and cooperates with the flagellar basal body protein FlhA. J Bacteriol 191:4824–4834. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.00018-09.
- Helmann JD, Márquez LM, Chamberlin MJ. 1988. Cloning, sequencing, and disruption of the *Bacillus subtilis* o<sup>28</sup> gene. J Bacteriol 170:1568–1574.
  Mirel DB, Lauer P, Chamberlin MJ. 1994. Identification of flagellar
- 18. Mirel DB, Lauer P, Chamberlin MJ. 1994. Identification of flagellar synthesis regulatory and structural genes in a  $\sigma^{\rm D}$ -dependent operon of *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 176:4492–4500.
- Bertero MG, Gonzales B, Tarricone C, Ceciliani F, Galizzi A. 1999. Overproduction and characterization of the *Bacillus subtilis* anti-sigma factor FlgM. J Biol Chem 274:12103–12107. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc .274.17.12103.
- Albertini AM, Caramori T, Crabb WD, Scoffone F, Galizzi A. 1991. The *flaA* locus of *Bacillus subtilis* is part of a large operon coding for flagellar structures, motility functions, and an ATPase-like polypeptide. J Bacteriol 173:3573–3579.
- Márquez-Magaña LM, Chamberlin MJ. 1994. Characterization of the sigD transcription unit of Bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol 176:2427–2434.
- 22. Estacio W, Santa Anna-Arriola S, Adedipe M, Márquez-Magaña LM. 1998. Dual promoters are responsible for transcription initiation of the *fla/che* operon in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol **180:**3548–3555.
- West JT, Estacio W, Márquez-Magaña LM. 2000. Relative roles of the *fla/che* P<sub>A</sub>, P<sub>D-3</sub>, and P<sub>sigD</sub> promoters in regulating motility and *sigD* expression in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 182:4841–4848. http://dx.doi.org /10.1128/JB.182.17.4841-4848.2000.
- 24. Mirel DB, Chamberlin MJ. 1989. The *Bacillus subtilis* flagellin gene (*hag*) is transcribed by the  $\sigma^{28}$  form of RNA polymerase. J Bacteriol 171:3095–3101.
- Mirel DB, Lustre VM, Chamberlin MJ. 1992. An operon of *Bacillus* subtilis motility genes transcribed by the σ<sup>D</sup> form of RNA polymerase. J Bacteriol 174:4197–4204.
- Kuroda A, Sekiguchi J. 1993. High-level transcription of the major *Bacillus subtilis* autolysin operon depends on expression of the sigma D gene and is affected by a *sin (flaD)* mutation. J Bacteriol 175:795–801.
- Serizawa M, Yamamoto H, Yamaguchi H, Fujita Y, Kobayashi K, Ogasawara N, Sekiguchi J. 2004. Systematic analysis of SigD-regulated genes in *Bacillus subtilis* by DNA microarray and Northern blotting analyses. Gene 329:125–136. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2003.12.024.
- Kearns DB, Losick R. 2005. Cell population heterogeneity during growth of *Bacillus subtilis*. Genes Dev 19:3083–3094. http://dx.doi.org/10.1101 /gad.1373905.
- 29. Márquez LM, Helmann JD, Ferrari E, Parker HM, Ordal GW, Cham-

berlin MJ. 1990. Studies of  $\sigma^{D}$ -dependent functions in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 172:3435–3443.

- Caramori T, Barilla D, Nessi C, Sacchi L, Galizzi A. 1996. Role of FlgM in σ<sup>D</sup>-dependent gene expression in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 178:3113– 3118.
- Mukherjee S, Yakhnin H, Kysela D, Sokoloski J, Babitzke P, Kearns DB. 2011. CsrA-FliW interaction governs flagellin homeostasis and a checkpoint on flagellar morphogenesis in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 82: 447–461. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2011.07822.x.
- 32. Courtney CR, Cozy LM, Kearns DB. 2012. Molecular characterization of the flagellar hook in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 194:4619–4629. http://dx .doi.org/10.1128/JB.00444-12.
- Cozy LM, Kearns DB. 2010. Gene position in a long operon governs motility development in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 76:273–285. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07112.x.
- 34. Yasbin RE, Young FE. 1974. Transduction in *Bacillus subtilis* by bacteriophage SPP1. J Virol 14:1343–1348.
- Patrick JE, Kearns DB. 2008. MinJ (YvjD) is a topological determinant of cell division in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 70:1166–1179. http://dx .doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06469.x.
- Guérout-Fleury AM, Shazand K, Frandsen N, Stragier P. 1995. Antibiotic-resistance cassettes for *Bacillus subtilis*. Gene 167:335–336. http://dx .doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(95)00652-4.
- Ben-Yehuda S, Rudner DZ, Losick R. 2003. RacA, a bacterial protein that anchors chromosomes to the cell poles. Science 299:532–536. http://dx .doi.org/10.1126/science.1079914.
- Bendezú FO, Hale CA, Bernhardt TG, de Boer PA. 2009. RodZ (YfgA) is required for proper assembly of the MreB actin cytoskeleton and cell shape in *E. coli*. EMBO J 28:193–204. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2008.264.
- Stahl ML, Ferrari E. 1984. Replacement of the *Bacillus subtilis* subtilisin structural gene with an *in vitro*-derived deletion mutation. J Bacteriol 158:411–418.
- 40. Yang MY, Ferrari E, Henner DJ. 1984. Cloning of the neutral protease gene of *Bacillus subtilis* and the use of the cloned gene to create an *in vitro*-derived deletion mutation. J Bacteriol 160:15–21.

- Wu XC, Nathoo S, Pang AS, Carne T, Wong SL. 1990. Cloning, genetic organization, and characterization of a structural gene encoding bacillopeptidase F from *Bacillus subtilis*. J Biol Chem 265:6845–6850.
- 42. Brückner R, Shoseyov O, Doi RH. 1990. Multiple active forms of a novel serine protease from *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Gen Genet 221:486–490.
- Sloma A, Rufo GA, Theriault KA, Dwyer M, Wilson SW, Pero J. 1991. Cloning and characterization of the gene for an additional extracellular serine protease of *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 173:6889–6895.
- 44. Margot P, Karamata D. 1996. The *wprA* gene of *Bacillus subtilis* 168, expressed during exponential growth, encodes a cell-wall associated protease. Microbiology 142:3437–3444. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/13500872 -142-12-3437.
- Rufo GA, Sullivan BJ, Sloma A, Pero J. 1990. Isolation and characterization of a novel extracellular metalloprotease from *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 172:1019–1023.
- 46. Chen RC, Guttenplan SB, Blair KM, Kearns DB. 2009. Role of the σ<sup>D</sup>-dependent autolysins in *Bacillus subtilis* population heterogeneity. J Bacteriol 191:5775–5784. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.00521-09.
- 47. Hsueh Y-H, Cozy LM, Sham L-T, Calvo RA, Gutu AD, Winkler ME, Kearns DB. 2011. DegU-phosphate activates expression of the anti-sigma factor FlgM in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 81:1092–1108. http://dx.doi .org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2011.07755.x.
- Dixit M, Murudkar CS, Rao KK. 2002. *epr* is transcribed from a σ<sup>D</sup> promoter and is involved in swarming of *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 184: 596–599. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.184.2.596-599.2002.
- Yamamoto H, Kurosawa S, Sekiguchi J. 2003. Localization of the vegetative cell wall hydrolases LytC, LytE, and LytF on the *Bacillus subtilis* cell surface and the stability of these enzymes to cell wall-bound or extracellular proteases. J Bacteriol 185:6666–6677. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB .185.22.6666-6677.2003.
- Yakhnin H, Pandit P, Petty TJ, Baker CS, Romeo T, Babitzke P. 2007. CsrA of *Bacillus subtilis* regulates translation initiation of the gene encoding the flagellin protein (*hag*) by blocking ribosome binding. Mol Microbiol 64:1605–1620. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.05765.x.