



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Obstet Gynecol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 September 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Obstet Gynecol. 2014 September ; 124(3): 634–635. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000000438.

In Reply

William M. Callaghan, MD, MPH,

Division of Reproductive Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia

William A. Grobman, MD, MBA,

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois

Sarah J. Kilpatrick, MD, PhD,

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, California

Elliott K. Main, MD, and

California Maternal Quality Care Collaborative, Palo Alto, California

Mary D'Alton, MD

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons, New York, New York

We are grateful for Dr. Lockhart's interest and close reading of our article proposing an approach for identifying severe maternal morbidity in birthing facilities.¹ Dr. Lockhart raises an important point regarding transfusion of blood products, and we agree that this point requires clarification. The use of the term "blood products" could be confusing, especially when considering pooled blood components.

We would like to use this opportunity to clarify that for the purpose of facility-based review, "severe maternal morbidity" should be defined as women who are admitted to an intensive care unit, women who receive 4 or more units of blood (packed red blood cells or whole blood), or both. This definition will identify essentially the same women as would have been identified if other blood products also were included, but it would avoid potential confusion. For example, based on data used by You et al² in their study of severe maternal morbidity, nearly all women who would have been identified as having had severe morbidity only because they received blood products other than packed cells or whole blood would have been identified anyway because they were admitted to an intensive care unit.

Identification and review of severe maternal morbidity is an evolving process, and we acknowledge that institutions may elect to include criteria in addition to intensive care unit admission, transfusion of 4 or more units of blood, or both. We look forward to participating in ongoing discussions about review of severe maternal morbidity as a key component of quality improvement for maternity care, and we thank Dr. Lockhart for her insight regarding a potential point of confusion.

Copyright© American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists

Financial Disclosure: The authors did not report any potential conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

2. You WB, Chandrasekaran S, Sullivan J, Grobman W. Validation of a scoring system to identify women with near-miss maternal morbidity. *Am J Perinatol.* 2013; 30:21–4. [PubMed: 22814799]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript