

RESEARCH

Open Access

Spatiotemporal analysis of particulate air pollution and ischemic heart disease mortality in Beijing, China

Meimei Xu¹, Yuming Guo², Yajuan Zhang³, Dane Westerdahl⁴, Yunzheng Mo¹, Fengchao Liang¹ and Xiaochuan Pan^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Few studies have used spatially resolved ambient particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of $<10\ \mu\text{m}$ (PM_{10}) to examine the impact of PM_{10} on ischemic heart disease (IHD) mortality in China. The aim of our study is to evaluate the short-term effects of PM_{10} concentrations on IHD mortality by means of spatiotemporal analysis approach.

Methods: We collected daily data on air pollution, weather conditions and IHD mortality in Beijing, China during 2008 and 2009. Ordinary kriging (OK) was used to interpolate daily PM_{10} concentrations at the centroid of 287 township-level areas based on 27 monitoring sites covering the whole city. A generalized additive mixed model was used to estimate quantitatively the impact of spatially resolved PM_{10} on the IHD mortality. The co-effects of the seasons, gender and age were studied in a stratified analysis. Generalized additive model was used to evaluate the effects of averaged PM_{10} concentration as well.

Results: The averaged spatially resolved PM_{10} concentration at 287 township-level areas was $120.3 \pm 78.1\ \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. Ambient PM_{10} concentration was associated with IHD mortality in spatiotemporal analysis and the strongest effects were identified for the 2-day average. A $10\ \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in PM_{10} was associated with an increase of 0.33% (95% confidence intervals: 0.13%, 0.52%) in daily IHD mortality. The effect estimates using spatially resolved PM_{10} were larger than that using averaged PM_{10} . The seasonal stratification analysis showed that PM_{10} had the statistically stronger effects on IHD mortality in summer than that in the other seasons. Males and older people demonstrated the larger response to PM_{10} exposure.

Conclusions: Our results suggest that short-term exposure to particulate air pollution is associated with increased IHD mortality. Spatial variation should be considered for assessing the impacts of particulate air pollution on mortality.

Keywords: Spatiotemporal analysis, Ischemic heart disease, Particulate matter, Ordinary kriging, Generalized additive mixed model

Background

Ischemic heart disease (IHD) is one of the most common causes of death worldwide, causing 7,249,000 deaths in 2008, 12.7% of total global mortality [1]. According to Global Burden of Disease Study in 2010, the number of ischemic heart disease deaths rose from 450.3 million in 1990 to 948.7 million in 2010, ranking the second leading

causes of death in China in 2010 [2]. A number of risk factors for ischemic heart disease have been suggested, such as age, gender, hypertension, obesity and smoking [1-3].

Some studies have indicated that exposure to air pollution was associated with IHD mortality [4-6], morbidity [7], and hospital admissions [8,9]. Studies on the impacts of ambient particles less than $10\ \mu\text{m}$ in aerodynamic diameter (PM_{10}) on health have also been performed in China, but most have used non-spatial data of daily PM_{10} , e.g. monitoring values from one station or the average concentrations of a limited number of monitor stations, to

* Correspondence: xcpan@hsc.pku.edu.cn

¹Department of Occupational and Environmental Health, School of Public Health, Peking University, Beijing, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

estimate the association between PM_{10} and IHD mortality [10-12]. This may result in inaccuracies, specifically exposure misclassification, as PM_{10} may vary over a specific area due to expected differences in PM_{10} levels by the impact of local sources and meteorology. It is not clear how this uncertainty would impact risk estimations, either toward overestimation or underestimation, but it does make such evaluations much more difficult [13-15].

Various techniques (e.g. inverse distance weighting, land use regression analysis and geo-statistical methods such as kriging) have been developed to interpolate air pollution values at the locations where data are unavailable using data collected at multiple sites [16,17]. Some studies have applied the interpolation methods to examine the health effects of air pollution in studies [18,19]. There also has been evidence that the methods used to generate estimates of exposure to air pollution could affect the health risk estimates in epidemiological studies [20,21].

Studies have applied interpolation methods to estimate the air pollutant concentrations in China [22,23]. However, there is no study that has used spatially resolved PM_{10} concentrations to quantify the impact of PM_{10} on IHD mortality in China. The goal of this research is to apply a generalized additive mixed model to examine the association between spatially resolved PM_{10} concentrations and IHD mortality in Beijing.

Methods

Study area

Beijing is the capital of China, and located in the northern tip of the roughly triangular North China Plain. It has an area of 16,410 square kilometers, with 14 urban and suburban administrative districts (Dongcheng, Xicheng, Chaoyang, Haidian, Changping, Fengtai, Shijingshan, Mentougou, Daxing, Fangshan, Tongzhou, Shunyi, Huairou and Pinggu District) and two rural counties (Minyun and Yanqing County) which included 304 township-level areas. The population is 1.96 million (The Sixth National Population Census, Beijing, 2010). For townships, the size ranges from 1 to 390 square kilometers and the population ranges from 2000 to 359400 (The Sixth National Population Census, Beijing, 2010). It has a dry, monsoon-influenced humid continental climate, characterized by hot, humid summers and cold, windy, dry winters. Average annual temperature and precipitation was 14.0°C and 483.9 mm, respectively. Ambient air pollution is seriously elevated along with the increasing of fuel consumption (including vehicles, power plants and industries) and construction projects in the city.

Data collection

Daily numbers of IHD deaths between 1 January 2008 and 31 December 2009 were obtained from China Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (China CDC) for 287

township-level areas. The IHD death data were unavailable in Minyun and Yanqing Counties. The deaths at each area were residents of the corresponding area. IHD was defined according to the International Classification of Diseases, 10th version (ICD-10:I20-I25). The data were classified by gender (female and male) and age (<65 and ≥65 years).

PM_{10} data of 27 ambient air quality monitoring sites in Beijing city were collected from the Beijing Municipal Environmental Protection Bureau (Figure 1). The missing rate during the study period was from 0.4% to 6.7%. Imputation will produce error so we did not fill the missing value before interpolating. For SO_2/NO_2 , only a single daily average concentration for the whole city was available from the Beijing Public Net for Environmental Protection. To control for the effect of weather conditions on IHD mortality, daily meteorological data on mean temperature and relative humidity from one station (located at N39°48' E116°28') were obtained from China Meteorological Data Sharing Service System.

Data analysis


Spatial interpolation for PM_{10} concentration

We selected two methods, inverse distance weighting (IDW) and ordinary kriging (OK), to interpolate the daily PM_{10} concentrations from the values of 27 monitoring sites to the centroids of the 304 township-level areas across Beijing city. IDW and kriging are the most common interpolation methods. About the performance of IDW and kriging, the findings have been mixed [24].

The IDW interpolation method is to estimate the value of a given location by a weighted average of data at nearby monitors, where interpolation weights for each monitor's value are computed as a function of distance between observed sample sites and the site to be predicted [16]. We used $\lambda_i = 1/d_i^2$ as a weighting factor for the monitor site i , where d_i is the distance between the monitor site i and the point to be predicted (i.e., the centroid of each township).

The kriging method is a geo-statistical technique and also a weighted combination of monitor values that uses spatial autocorrelation among data to determine the weights [16]. OK is the most common kriging method. It assumes a constant but unknown mean, which allows construction of an unbiased estimator that does not require prior knowledge of the stationary mean of the observed values [23]. In this study, we estimated the data at the centroid of each township using OK.

To test the validity of the interpolation methods and provide a more quantitative comparison of the two models, we conducted "leave-one-out cross-validation" (LOOCV). This method involves using a single monitor values as the validation data and the remaining monitor values as the training data. This is then repeated such



that each monitor is used once as the validation data. The difference (including the root-mean-square error (RMSE) and the mean) and the correlation between the observed and predicted values were calculated as the measure indices of LOOCV.

Modeling the association between PM₁₀ and IHD mortality

A generalized additive mixed model (GAMM) was applied to analyze the effects of PM₁₀ on IHD mortality, which uses additive nonparametric functions to formulate covariate effects and adds random effects to the additive predictor accounting for over-dispersion and correlation [25,26]. We put the township-level IHD deaths as the dependent variable and the corresponding township-level PM₁₀ estimates as the main independent variable in GAMM. Penalized Quasi-likelihood method [27,28], accounting for the over-dispersion of daily death counts, was used in GAMM framework to model the natural logarithm of the expected daily death counts as a function of the predictor variables. A random area-level

intercept in GAMM can be used to model those areas with higher death rates [29,30].

First, the basic model was built excluding the air pollution variables. The penalized spline functions of time and weather variables for accommodating nonlinear relationships of mortality with these variables were incorporated. The partial autocorrelation function was used to guide the selection of degrees of freedom (df) for time trend [31]. We used squared Pearson scaled residuals to compare the fit of the models [30]. In this way, a penalized spline with seven degrees of freedom per year for time trend, which had the smallest sum of the absolute partial autocorrelation values over a 30-day lag period, was used to control for the seasonal and long-term trends. Because temperature's effects on health may be lagged for more than 10 days [32,33], the 14-day moving average temperature was controlled in our model [34,35]. The present-day relative humidity was incorporated in the models because no evidence of confounding by this variable was shown in air pollution epidemiology [35]. Three degrees of freedom for temperature and relative humidity

were chosen based on the model fitting [36]. The day of the week (DOW) and public holiday (PH) was adjusted as a categorical variable in the basic model. After the basic model was established, the pollutant variables were introduced. The final model was:

$$\log(E(Y_{i,t})) = \alpha + \beta PM_{10,i,t} + S(\text{Temp}_t, 3) + S(\text{RH}_t, 3) + S(t, 7 \times \text{Years}) + \lambda \text{DOW}_t + \delta \text{PH}_t + \mu Z_i$$

Where i is the township (township = 1, ..., 287); t is the day; $Y_{i,t}$ is the number of IHD deaths in township i on day t . α is the intercept. $PM_{10,i,t}$ is the daily PM_{10} concentration in township i on day t . $S(\cdot)$ is a penalized spline; Temp_t is the mean temperature on day t ; RH_t is relative humidity on day t ; a spline with 3 degrees of freedom (df) was used for temperature and relative humidity. A spline with 7 degrees of freedom per year for time was used to control for season and long-term trend. DOW_t is the categorical variable day of the week on day t . PH_t is the indicator of public holiday on day t . Z_i is a random intercept for township i .

In order to compare the effects of spatial resolved PM_{10} and averaged PM_{10} of 27 monitoring stations in Beijing, we also used GAMM and generalized additive model (GAM) to examine the impacts of averaged PM_{10} . The same confounders as GAMM were adjusted in GAM as follows:

$$\log(E(Y_t)) = \alpha + \beta PM_{10,t} + S(\text{Temp}_t, 3) + S(\text{RH}_t, 3) + S(t, 7 \times \text{Years}) + \lambda \text{DOW}_t + \delta \text{PH}_t$$

We next analyzed the associations between PM_{10} and IHD mortality with different lag structures, i.e. single-day lags (from lag 0 to lag 5) and multiday lags (lag 0–1 to 0–5). In single-day lag models, lag 0 referred to the current-day air pollutants concentration, and lag 1 corresponded to the previous-day concentration; while in multiday lag models, lag 0–1 meant the 2-day moving average concentration of current day and the previous day.

Single and multiple air pollutant models were also fitted to examine the effects of PM_{10} on IHD mortality in GAMM. In the single-pollutant model, PM_{10} was put alone in the model; in the two-pollutant models, SO_2 (lag0-1) or NO_2 (lag0-1) were jointly included. SO_2 or NO_2 at lag 0–1 was controlled because this lag was shown to be more strongly associated with health effects [37,38].

Stratified analyses by gender, age and season also were conducted. For season—spring, summer, autumn and winter are defined as March–May, June–August, September–November and December–February, respectively. The Z

test was used to detect statistically differences between effect estimates from stratified analyses [39].

Sensitivity analyses were conducted to check the impacts of PM_{10} on IHD mortality using the different degrees of freedom (4–9) for time trend, temperature (4–6) and relative humidity (4–6) as well as controlling 14-day moving average relative humidity in the model. All the sensitivity analyses were done only for the whole population.

All the data analysis was performed in statistical software R version 3.0.1 (R Development Core Team, 2013). The “gstat” package was used to interpolate spatial PM_{10} . The “mgcv” package was used to fit GAMM and GAM. All statistical tests were two-sided and P-values with less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The results are presented as the percent change and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) in daily IHD mortality per $10\text{-}\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in PM_{10} concentrations.

Results

Table 1 showed the descriptive statistics for IHD deaths, air pollutants and weather data in Beijing during the study period. There were a total of 26,653 IHD deaths (14,240 males and 12,413 females) from Jan 1 2008 to Dec 31 2009. The average daily deaths of IHD were about 40, with the most occurring in the winter months and the least in the summer, of which 17.7% were for <65 years old and 82.3% for ≥ 65 years old.

The average temperature and relative humidity were $13.4 \pm 11.1^\circ\text{C}$ and $51.7 \pm 19.9\%$ during the study period. The mean values of SO_2 and NO_2 were $36.0 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ and $52.2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, respectively. The air pollution levels varied across seasons. The mean daily SO_2 level was higher in winter and spring than in autumn and summer while the mean daily NO_2 level was higher in winter and autumn than in spring and summer.

In summary, the mean daily PM_{10} concentrations were $120.8 \pm 81.6 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. There were higher PM_{10} concentrations in spring and winter than in autumn and summer. At 27 monitoring stations, the daily means of PM_{10} ranged from $72.6 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ to $144.9 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$; 67.9% ~25.7% days had higher PM_{10} level than the China ambient air quality standard level-II ($150 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) (Additional file 1: Table S1). The correlations in daily PM_{10} concentrations between stations were strong (Additional file 1: Table S2).

To estimate PM_{10} concentration more precisely, we adopted “leave-one-out” cross-validations to provide a more quantitative comparison of the interpolation methods (Additional file 1: Table S3). Every index of cross-validations indicated OK gave more accurate spatial PM_{10} estimates than IDW. The estimated PM_{10} using OK were strongly correlated with observed PM_{10} , with the correlation coefficient ranging from 0.90 to

Table 1 Summary statistics for PM₁₀, spatially resolved PM₁₀, SO₂, NO₂, daily mean temperature, daily mean relative humidity and daily IHD death counts in Beijing between 2008 and 2009

	Season	Min	25%	Median	75%	Max	Mean	SD
PM ₁₀ (μg/m ³)* ^a	Spring	7.0	80.0	124.0	184.0	600.0	144.5	96.3
	Summer	5.0	64.0	98.0	134.0	463.2	101.4	52.2
	Autumn	7.0	54.0	94.0	148.0	553.0	110.1	75.9
	Winter	7.0	62.0	108.0	170.0	600.0	127.7	88.7
	Overall	5.0	64.0	104.0	150.0	600.0	120.8	81.6
Spatially resolved PM ₁₀ (μg/m ³) ^b	Spring	13.1	84.9	125.4	186.7	593.1	147.6	93.4
	Summer	17.3	69.0	98.8	133.8	432.0	103.7	50.4
	Autumn	11.3	61.3	99.1	150.8	489.9	114.9	75.1
	Winter	13.2	61.6	96.9	144.4	600.0	114.9	78.8
	Overall	11.3	68.0	104.2	150.7	600.0	120.3	78.1
SO ₂ (μg/m ³)	Spring	6	14.0	25.0	39.8	138	30.7	22.7
	Summer	6	8.0	11.0	14.0	44	12.5	6.7
	Autumn	6	12.0	16.0	29.0	136	24.9	21.8
	Winter	10	39.3	72.0	102.0	202	75.7	42.3
	Overall	6	12.0	21.0	46.0	202	36.0	35.6
NO ₂ (μg/m ³)	Spring	16	41.6	49.6	59.2	152	51.6	18.4
	Summer	14.4	28.8	40.0	44.8	62.4	37.9	11.0
	Autumn	19.2	40.0	52.8	67.2	142.4	58.2	26.6
	Winter	9.6	40.0	59.2	80.6	140.8	60.6	25.9
	Overall	9.6	36.8	48.0	60.8	152	52.2	23.2
T(°C)	Spring	-0.1	10.0	15.6	20.6	26.8	15.2	6.5
	Summer	17.5	24.3	26.0	28.0	31.6	26.0	2.7
	Autumn	-2	7.1	15.1	19.9	25.4	13.4	7.6
	Winter	-9.4	-3.5	-1.5	0.5	9.4	-1.3	3.4
	Overall	-9.4	2.3	15.4	23.55	31.6	13.4	11.1
RH(%)	Spring	15	30.0	44.0	56.0	95	44.8	18.2
	Summer	19	57.0	68.0	76.0	90	64.8	15.5
	Autumn	19	44.0	60.5	71.0	88	57.2	18.0
	Winter	11	24.0	38.0	53.0	82	39.8	17.4
	Overall	11	35	53	68	95	51.7	19.9
IHD(N)	Spring	20	32.8	37	42	57	37.3	7.3
	Summer	19	28	32	37	49	32.5	6.4
	Autumn	18	34	40	46	67	41.0	9.8
	Winter	29	43	49	55	75	49.1	8.4
	Overall	18	33	39	46	75	39.9	10.1

Note: PM₁₀: Particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of <10 μm; SO₂: sulfur dioxide; NO₂: nitrogen dioxide; T: Temperature; RH: Relative humidity; IHD: Ischemic heart disease; N: Number of death.

*The maximum limit of detection for PM₁₀ concentration is 600 μg/m³.

^aRepresenting the average of the 27 monitoring stations.


^bRepresenting the average of the 287 townships where health data was available.

0.99 (P < 0.01). Generally, the differences between observed and spatially resolved PM₁₀ were small.

The averaged spatially resolved PM₁₀ concentration at 287 township-level areas was 120.3 ± 78.1 μg/m³, following the same trend in seasons as the observed PM₁₀

levels. During the study period, the average daily PM₁₀ concentration in the south of Beijing was higher than that in the north of Beijing (Figure 2).

The Spearman correlations between air pollutants and meteorological variables during the whole study were



presented (Additional file 1: Table S4). PM₁₀ was positively associated with other air pollutants and meteorological variables. The correlation between PM₁₀ and NO₂ ($r = 0.55$) was stronger than that between PM₁₀ and SO₂ ($r = 0.43$).

Figure 3 shows the association between PM₁₀ and IHD mortality using spatially resolved PM₁₀ concentrations. We observed statistically significant associations of daily IHD mortality with PM₁₀ on the current day (lag 0), the previous day (lag 1), the moving average 2 days (lag 0–1) and the moving average 3 days (lag 0–2). We estimated an increase of 0.26% (95% CI: 0.09%, 0.43%), 0.23% (95% CI: 0.06%, 0.39%), 0.33% (95% CI: 0.13%, 0.52%) and 0.26% (95% CI: 0.04%, 0.47%) in IHD mortality associated with a 10- $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in PM₁₀ at lag 0, lag 1, lag 0–1 and lag

0–2, respectively. The largest effects was observed for 2-day average.

For the effects of averaged PM₁₀ on IHD mortality, we also observed the largest effects at lag 0–1 using GAMM and GAM. However, the effect estimates were smaller and the confidence intervals were larger than those using spatially resolved PM₁₀ (Figure 3).

In the two-pollutant model, the association of PM₁₀ with IHD mortality was seen to be reduced at all lag patterns after adjustment for SO₂ or NO₂ (Figure 4), but still remained significant at lag 0–1 day.

The associations between PM₁₀ and IHD mortality differed by season (Table 2). The effects of PM₁₀ on IHD mortality were the strongest in summer, with a 10- $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase associated with a 0.83% (95% CI:




Figure 3 Percentage increase of IHD mortality associated with a $10\text{-}\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in PM_{10} concentration in Beijing, China. Note: GAM: Estimated effects in GAM using averaged PM_{10} ; GAMM_Mean: Estimated effects in GAMM using averaged PM_{10} ; GAMM_Estimates: Estimated effects in GAMM using spatially resolved PM_{10} .

0.31%, 1.35%), 0.88% (95% CI: 0.31%, 1.45%) increase of IHD mortality at lag 0–1 and lag 0–2 days, respectively. The differences of effect estimates between summer and spring as well as between summer and winter were statistically significant.

The association of PM_{10} with IHD mortality also varied by gender and age group (Figures 5 and 6). The largest effects of PM_{10} were observed on the current day for females and at lag 0–1 for males. The effect estimates of PM_{10} among females were higher than those among




Figure 4 Percent increase in IHD mortality associated with a $10\text{-}\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in PM_{10} concentrations using the single- and two-pollutant models in GAMM.

Table 2 Percentage increase in IHD mortality associated with a 10- $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in PM_{10} concentrations by four seasons using the single-pollutant model in GMM

Lag	Season	Percent change (95% CI)
lag0	Spring	0.17(-0.07,0.40)
	Summer	0.60(0.13,1.06)*
	Autumn	0.35(0.06,0.64)*
	Winter	0.23(-0.06,0.51)
lag1	Spring	0.19(-0.05,0.43)
	Summer	0.68(0.22,1.14)* ^b
	Autumn	0.27(-0.01,0.56)
	Winter	0.12(-0.16,0.41)
lag2	Spring	0.03(-0.21,0.27)
	Summer	0.43(-0.03,0.90) ^b
	Autumn	-0.08(-0.37,0.21)
	Winter	-0.16(-0.44,0.12)
lag01	Spring	0.24(-0.02,0.51)
	Summer	0.83(0.31,1.35)* ^a
	Autumn	0.41(0.08,0.73)*
	Winter	0.27(-0.06,0.60)
lag02	Spring	0.22(-0.06,0.51)
	Summer	0.88(0.31,1.45)* ^{ab}
	Autumn	0.29(-0.06,0.65)
	Winter	0.14(-0.22,0.51)

Note: * $P < 0.05$.

^aThe difference of effect estimate between summer and spring was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$).

^bThe difference of effect estimate between summer and winter was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$).

CI: confidence interval.

males on the current day while the effect estimates of PM_{10} among males were higher than those among females at lag 1 day and lag 0–1 days. However, the between-gender differences were not statistically significant. We observed the effect estimates among people aged ≥ 65 years were significant and approximately 3 times higher than those aged < 65 years at lag 0–1, but the differences of effect estimates between age groups were not statistically significant.

Sensitivity analysis was conducted to check our findings. Changing the degrees of freedom for time, temperature and relative humidity did not substantially affect the association of PM_{10} with IHD mortality. The effects estimates were hardly changed when 14-day moving average relative humidity was controlled in our model. These results suggested that our findings are statistically robust.

Discussion

We found that there were statistically significant associations between spatially resolved estimated PM_{10} mass concentration and increased risk of IHD death of the

exposed population in Beijing, China. To our knowledge, it is the first time to use the spatiotemporal analysis method to examine the acute effects of ambient PM_{10} on IHD mortality, and also the first study to show spatial variation of ambient PM_{10} level in township-level of Beijing. We also examined whether the effect estimates varied by age, gender and season.

Studies [40,41] have shown that the concentrations of air pollutants varied spatially across a specific area. Capturing the spatial variation using spatial modeling methods have been used to estimate air pollutants values from multiple monitor stations to the whole study region or exposure at the individual level. However, there was no consistent conclusions on which method was the best. Air pollution exposure estimates using spatial methods are affected by several factors, including the density and location of monitors and the available variables affecting air pollutants concentrations. Firstly, governmental monitor stations usually are placed in urban region, while fewer monitors are available in rural areas. This may result in misestimating the exposure in rural areas when using the values from nearby urban areas. Secondly, industrial and traffic emissions that may be more important in the urban areas, land use patterns and meteorological factors can influence the spatial and temporal distribution of air pollutants. Some models, such as land use regression model (LUR) [24,42] and generalized additive mix model (GMM) [43-45] allowing for those variables, have been utilized to estimate the air pollutants exposure. Studies showed LUR or GMM performed better than the conventional spatial interpolation methods (inverse distance weighting, nearest neighbor method or kriging) [43-47]. Those variables were unavailable in our study, so we are left only to estimate PM_{10} using the simple spatial interpolation methods. We found that the OK produced more accurate and less biased estimates than inverse distance weighting based on cross-validation results; therefore, we applied OK to interpolate PM_{10} concentrations over each township in Beijing.

Particulate matter may trigger ischemic heart disease through several possible mechanisms, including increasing inflammation [48], abnormal regulation of cardiac autonomic system [49], increasing blood viscosity [50] and vasoconstrictor such as endothelins [51]. Previous studies have reported inconsistent association between PM_{10} and ischemic heart disease mortality [10,11,52]. In our analysis, the largest effect was observed for 2-day average, with a 0.33% (95% CI: 0.13%, 0.52%) increase of IHD mortality per 10- $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase of 2-day moving average PM_{10} . The magnitude of our estimates was smaller than previous findings [10,11]. For example, Li et al. [11] found IHD mortality increased by 0.53% (95% CI: 0.30%, 0.84%) for a 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increment PM_{10} on the same day in Tianjin. However, in Netherlands, Hoek et al. [52] did




Figure 5 Percent increase (95% CI) in IHD mortality associated with a 10- $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in PM_{10} concentrations by sex using the single-pollutant model in GAMM.




Figure 6 Percent increase (95% CI) in IHD mortality associated with a 10- $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in PM_{10} concentrations by age using the single-pollutant model in GAMM.

not observed statistically significant association between PM₁₀ and IHD mortality. The heterogeneity of these findings may be explained by the different characteristics of the study sites such as PM₁₀ level, components of PM₁₀, sensitivity of local residents to PM₁₀, indoor air pollution, weather patterns [53,54]. In addition, the df selection decisions and the different lag patterns in GAM and the number of study years could affect the estimated effects [55,56].

Our study observed harvesting effects although the effects have no statistically significance. This means that PM₁₀ may hasten the deaths of persons who were extremely frail. But the effect sizes of PM₁₀ rebound because PM₁₀ could exacerbate ischemic heart disease [57], potentially increasing the number of sensitive persons whose illness is life threatening.

Consistent with previous reports [15,58], we found that the effect estimates using spatially resolved PM₁₀ were larger than that using averaged PM₁₀ from multiple stations. This suggested that previous time-series studies using the average levels may underestimate the effects of PM₁₀. Although the effects difference using different exposure metrics was not too large, it still needs be considered especially in cities with large spatial variation of air pollutants and cannot be ignored because the association between air pollution and mortality itself was weak.

In the two-pollutant models, the associations between PM₁₀ and IHD mortality adjusting for SO₂ or NO₂ were attenuated and become insignificant at some lag patterns, which may be caused by the collinearity between PM₁₀ and NO₂ as well as SO₂ (Additional file 1: Table S4). The findings are consistent with previous studies [58]. So far it is still an unresolved scientific question to separate the independent effects of individual air pollutant from multiple-pollutant models in short-term effects studies of air pollution. Moreover, in order to better examine the effects of spatial resolved PM₁₀ in multiple-pollutant model, the other air pollutants also needed to be estimated spatially because between-pollutant relationships may not be characterized well just by the averaged value in one area. Further studies are needed to resolve these problems.

Seasonal differences in the short-term effects of PM₁₀ on IHD mortality were found in this study. The association in the summer period was stronger than in the other seasons. Li et al. [11] also identified the strongest effects of PM₁₀ on IHD mortality in summer in Tianjin, China. However, Chen et al. [59] observed the largest estimates of PM₁₀ on daily mortality in winter and summer in northern cities of China which have similar meteorological conditions to Beijing. There are several explanations for the inconsistent findings in the studies. Firstly, the particulate matter constituents may vary by season in these cities. We cannot obtain the data of the PM₁₀ components,

which hinders further study on how the different particulate matter constituents affect the effects by season. In addition, socioeconomic characteristics, activity patterns of local residents and statistical models used could partially account for the discrepant finding.

We found the effect estimate of PM₁₀ on IHD mortality in females was larger than those in males on the current day. This suggested that females were more sensitive to PM₁₀, which was possibly due to higher airway hyper-responsiveness to oxidants, more deposition of fine particles or relatively lower socioeconomic status [54]. The larger lag effects in males may be partly explained by the higher incidence of heart disease in males than in females, particularly pre-menopausal females. Studies have shown that biological factors, such as hormone levels, help protect women against heart disease [60].

Our study also found the elderly were more susceptible to PM₁₀ exposure than the younger group. This is consistent with previous reports [54,61]. Preexisting chronic disease such as cardiorespiratory disease in the elderly are more prevalent than in the younger group.

This study has several limitations. Firstly, we cannot obtain daily data on SO₂, NO₂, temperature and relative humidity from multiple stations, so the township-based spatial distributions of the covariates cannot be estimated. Consequently, we did not control for the spatial variation of these variable in our model, which may result in a bias in effect estimates. Secondly, our exposure assignment approach assumed that the subjects lived and worked at the same township, and considered outdoor air concentrations at the centroid of the corresponding township as personal exposure, which might result in exposure misclassification. Thirdly, studies have shown that exposure measurement error may affect the effect estimations when exposure predictions as explanatory variables are incorporated into a regression model for health effects analyses [62,63]. The exposure measurement error contains a Berkson-like component that increases the variance of the effect estimate and a classical-type component that not only increase the variance but also bias the effect estimates [64]. Szpiro and his colleagues developed a method for measurement error correction based on asymptotic approximations that derived for linear regression for the exposure and health models [64,65]. To date, there has been no methods for measurement error correction used in the nonlinear regression models and assessing health effects of multiple predicted pollutants exposure [65]. Thus, we did not correct the measurement error in our study, which may have an impact on the effect estimates.

Conclusions

Ambient PM₁₀ concentration was statistically significant associated with IHD mortality of the population in spatiotemporal analysis in Beijing, China. The stronger

association occurred for the 2-day average. Season, gender and age appear to modify the effects of PM₁₀ on IHD mortality. GAMM considering spatial variations of ambient PM₁₀ produced greater effect estimates than GAM using averaged PM₁₀ concentrations. It implies that spatial variation should be considered for assessing the impacts of air pollution on mortality. Our findings may have implication for primary prevention of IHD deaths in China and guiding future work on more advanced methods of estimated exposure and health effects.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Table S1. Summary statistics for daily PM₁₀ (μg/m³) at 27 monitoring stations in Beijing, China between 2008 and 2009 (see Figure 1 for the locations). Table S2 Spearman correlations between daily PM₁₀ concentrations at 27 monitoring stations in Beijing city between 2008 and 2009. Table S3 The comparison between the predicted and observed PM₁₀ concentrations using different interpolation methods at 27 monitoring stations during 2008-2009. Table S4 The correlation between pollutants and meteorological variables.

Abbreviations

PM₁₀: Particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of <10 μm; GAM: Generalized additive model; GAMM: Generalized additive mixed model; CI: Confidence interval; ICD-10: International classification of diseases 10th version; CDC: China centers for disease control and prevention; SO₂: Sulfur dioxide; NO₂: Nitrogen dioxide; T: Temperature; RH: Relative humidity; SD: Standard deviation; *df*: Degree of freedom; IDW: Inverse distance weighting; OK: Ordinary Kriging; LOOCV: Leave-one-out cross-validation; DOW: Day of the week; RMSE: Root-mean-square error.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

MX designed the study and directed its implementation, including data analysis, writing the paper, and quality assurance and control. YG, YZ and YM helped analyze the data. XP and DW reviewed and helped edit the paper, FL helped prepare the database and conduct the data quality assurance. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgment

This study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (#81273033). We thank the China Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing Municipal Environmental Protection Bureau, China Meteorological Data Sharing Service System and Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences for providing the data.

Author details

¹Department of Occupational and Environmental Health, School of Public Health, Peking University, Beijing, China. ²Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Population Health, the University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia. ³Department of Occupational and Environmental Health, School of Public Health, Ningxia Medical University, Yinchuan, China. ⁴Sibley School of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA.

Received: 5 September 2014 Accepted: 3 December 2014

Published: 12 December 2014

References

- Ockene J: Relationship between baseline risk factors and coronary heart disease and total mortality in the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial Research Group. *Prev Med* 1986, **15**:254–273.

- Wilson PW, D'Agostino RB, Sullivan L, Parise H, Kannel WB: Overweight and obesity as determinants of cardiovascular risk: the Framingham experience. *Arch Intern Med* 2002, **162**:1867–1872.
- Yusuf HR, Giles WH, Croft JB, Anda RF, Casper ML: Impact of multiple risk factor profiles on determining cardiovascular disease risk. *Prev Med* 1998, **27**:1–9.
- Evans J, van Donkelaar A, Martin RV, Burnett R, Rainham DG, Birkett NJ, Krewski D: Estimates of global mortality attributable to particulate air pollution using satellite imagery. *Environ Res* 2013, **120**:33–42.
- Balluz L, Wen XJ, Town M, Shire JD, Qualter J, Mokdad A: Ischemic heart disease and ambient air pollution of particulate matter 2.5 in 51 counties in the U.S. *Public Health Rep* 2007, **122**:626–633.
- Breitner S, Liu L, Cyrus J, Bruske I, Franck U, Schlink U, Leitte AM, Herbarth O, Wiedensohler A, Wehner B, Hu M, Pan XC, Wichmann HE, Peters A: Sub-micrometer particulate air pollution and cardiovascular mortality in Beijing, China. *Sci Total Environ* 2011, **409**:5196–5204.
- Pope CR, Muhlestein JB, May HT, Renlund DG, Anderson JL, Horne BD: Ischemic heart disease events triggered by short-term exposure to fine particulate air pollution. *Circulation* 2006, **114**:2443–2448.
- Schwartz J, Morris R: Air pollution and hospital admissions for cardiovascular disease in Detroit, Michigan. *Am J Epidemiol* 1995, **142**:23–35.
- Lee JT, Kim H, Cho YS, Hong YC, Ha EH, Park H: Air pollution and hospital admissions for ischemic heart diseases among individuals 64+ years of age residing in Seoul, Korea. *Arch Environ Health* 2003, **58**:617–623.
- Wong TW, Tam WS, Yu TS, Wong AH: Associations between daily mortalities from respiratory and cardiovascular diseases and air pollution in Hong Kong, China. *Occup Environ Med* 2002, **59**:30–35.
- Li G, Zhou M, Zhang Y, Cai Y, Pan X: Seasonal effects of PM10 concentrations on mortality in Tianjin, China: a time-series analysis. *J Public Health* 2013, **21**:135–144.
- Chang G, Pan X, Xie X, Gao Y: Time-series analysis on the relationship between air pollution and daily mortality in Beijing. *Wei Sheng Yan Jiu* 2003, **32**:565–568.
- Ito K, Kinney PL, Thurston GD: Variations in PM-10 Concentrations Within two Metropolitan Areas and Their Implications for Health Effects Analyses. *Inhal Toxicol* 1995, **7**:735–745.
- Sarnat SE, Sarnat JA, Mulholland J, Isakov V, Ozkaynak H, Chang HH, Klein M, Tolbert PE: Application of alternative spatiotemporal metrics of ambient air pollution exposure in a time-series epidemiological study in Atlanta. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol* 2013, **23**:593–605.
- Chen L, Mengersen K, Tong S: Spatiotemporal relationship between particle air pollution and respiratory emergency hospital admissions in Brisbane, Australia. *Sci Total Environ* 2007, **373**:57–67.
- Wong DW, Yuan L, Perlin SA: Comparison of spatial interpolation methods for the estimation of air quality data. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol* 2004, **14**:404–415.
- Moore DK, Jerrett M, Mack WJ, Kunzli N: A land use regression model for predicting ambient fine particulate matter across Los Angeles, CA. *J Environ Monit* 2007, **9**:246–252.
- Lee D, Shaddick G: Spatial modeling of air pollution in studies of its short-term health effects. *Biometrics* 2010, **66**:1238–1246.
- Jerrett M, Burnett RT, Ma R, Pope CR, Krewski D, Newbold KB, Thurston G, Shi Y, Finkelstein N, Calle EE, Thun MJ: Spatial analysis of air pollution and mortality in Los Angeles. *Epidemiology* 2005, **16**:727–736.
- Jerrett M, Arain A, Kanaroglou P, Beckerman B, Potoglou D, Sahuvaroglu T, Morrison J, Giovis C: A review and evaluation of intraurban air pollution exposure models. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol* 2005, **15**:185–204.
- Son JY, Bell ML, Lee JT: Individual exposure to air pollution and lung function in Korea: spatial analysis using multiple exposure approaches. *Environ Res* 2010, **110**:739–749.
- Chen L, Baili Z, Kong S, Han B, You Y, Ding X, Du S, Liu A: A land use regression for predicting NO₂ and PM10 concentrations in different seasons in Tianjin region, China. *J Environ Sci (China)* 2010, **22**:1364–1373.
- Zhang A, Qi Q, Jiang L, Zhou F, Wang J: Population exposure to PM2.5 in the urban area of Beijing. *PLoS One* 2013, **8**:e63486.
- Li L, Losser T, Yorke C, Piltner R: Fast inverse distance weighting-based spatiotemporal interpolation: a web-based application of interpolating daily fine particulate matter PM2.5 in the contiguous U.S. using parallel programming and k-d tree. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2014, **11**:9101–9141.

25. Coull BA, Schwartz J, Wand MP: **Respiratory health and air pollution: additive mixed model analyses.** *Biostatistics* 2001, **2**:337–349.
26. Lin X, Zhang D: **Inference in generalized additive mixed models by using smoothing splines.** *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)* 1999, **61**:381–400.
27. Breslow NE, Clayton DG: **Approximate inference in generalized linear mixed models.** *J Am Stat Assoc* 1993, **88**:9–25.
28. Likhvar V, Honda Y, Ono M: **Relation between temperature and suicide mortality in Japan in the presence of other confounding factors using time-series analysis with a semiparametric approach.** *Environ Health Prev Med* 2011, **16**:36–43.
29. Ruppert D, Wand MP, Carroll RJ: *Semiparametric Regression*. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2003.
30. Guo Y, Barnett AG, Tong S: **Spatiotemporal model or time series model for assessing city-wide temperature effects on mortality?** *Environ Res* 2013, **120**:55–62.
31. Qian Z, Lin HM, Stewart WF, Kong L, Xu F, Zhou D, Zhu Z, Liang S, Chen W, Shah N, Stetter C, He Q: **Seasonal pattern of the acute mortality effects of air pollution.** *J Air Waste Manag Assoc* 2010, **60**:481–488.
32. Ma W, Chen R, Kan H: **Temperature-related mortality in 17 large Chinese cities: How heat and cold affect mortality in China.** *Environ Res* 2014, **134C**:127–133.
33. Guo Y, Barnett AG, Pan X, Yu W, Tong S: **The impact of temperature on mortality in Tianjin, China: a case-crossover design with a distributed lag nonlinear model.** *Environ Health Perspect* 2011, **119**:1719–1725.
34. Guo Y, Li S, Tawatsupa B, Punnasiri K, Jaakkola JJ, Williams G: **The association between air pollution and mortality in Thailand.** *Sci Rep* 2014, **4**:5509.
35. Chen R, Cai J, Meng X, Kim H, Honda Y, Guo YL, Samoli E, Yang X, Kan H: **Ozone and daily mortality rate in 21 cities of East Asia: how does season modify the association?** *Am J Epidemiol* 2014, **180**:729–736.
36. Samet JM, Zeger SL, Dominici F, Currier F, Coursac I, Dockery DW, Schwartz J, Zanobetti A: **The National Morbidity, Mortality, and Air Pollution Study. Part II: Morbidity and mortality from air pollution in the United States.** *Res Rep Health Eff Inst* 2000, **94**(Pt 2):5–70. 71–79.
37. Chen R, Samoli E, Wong CM, Huang W, Wang Z, Chen B, Kan H: **Associations between short-term exposure to nitrogen dioxide and mortality in 17 Chinese cities: the China Air Pollution and Health Effects Study (CAPES).** *Environ Int* 2012, **45**:32–38.
38. Wong CM, Vichit-Vadakan N, Kan H, Qian Z: **Public Health and Air Pollution in Asia (PAPA): a multicity study of short-term effects of air pollution on mortality.** *Environ Health Perspect* 2008, **116**:1195–1202.
39. Altman DG, Bland JM: **Interaction revisited: the difference between two estimates.** *BMJ* 2003, **326**:219.
40. Levy JI, Hanna SR: **Spatial and temporal variability in urban fine particulate matter concentrations.** *Environ Pollut* 2011, **159**:2009–2015.
41. Burton RM, Suh HH, Koutrakis P: **Spatial variation in particulate concentrations within Metropolitan Philadelphia.** *Environ Sci Technol* 1996, **30**:400–407.
42. Cesaroni G, Porta D, Badaloni C, Stafoggia M, Eeftens M, Meliefste K, Forastiere F: **Nitrogen dioxide levels estimated from land use regression models several years apart and association with mortality in a large cohort study.** *Environ Health* 2012, **11**:48.
43. Yanosky JD, Paciorek CJ, Suh HH: **Predicting chronic fine and coarse particulate exposures using spatiotemporal models for the Northeastern and Midwestern United States.** *Environ Health Perspect* 2009, **117**:522–529.
44. Yanosky JD, Paciorek CJ, Schwartz J, Laden F, Puett R, Suh HH: **Spatio-temporal modeling of chronic PM10 exposure for the Nurses' Health Study.** *Atmos Environ* 2008, **42**:4047–4062.
45. Hart JE, Yanosky JD, Puett RC, Ryan L, Dockery DW, Smith TJ, Garshick E, Laden F: **Spatial modeling of PM10 and NO2 in the continental United States, 1985–2000.** *Environ Health Perspect* 2009, **117**:1690–1696.
46. Briggs DJ, de Hoogh C, Gulliver J, Wills J, Elliott P, Kingham S, Smallbone K: **A regression-based method for mapping traffic-related air pollution: application and testing in four contrasting urban environments.** *Sci Total Environ* 2000, **253**:151–167.
47. Poulou T, Kanaroglou PS, Elliott SJ, Pengelly LD: **Assessing the health impacts of air pollution: a re-analysis of the Hamilton children's cohort data using a spatial analytic approach.** *Int J Environ Health Res* 2008, **18**:17–35.
48. Pope CR, Hansen ML, Long RW, Nielsen KR, Eatough NL, Wilson WE, Eatough DJ: **Ambient particulate air pollution, heart rate variability, and blood markers of inflammation in a panel of elderly subjects.** *Environ Health Perspect* 2004, **112**:339–345.
49. Whitsel EA, Quibrera PM, Christ SL, Liao D, Prineas RJ, Anderson GL, Heiss G: **Heart rate variability, ambient particulate matter air pollution, and glucose homeostasis: the environmental epidemiology of arrhythmogenesis in the women's health initiative.** *Am J Epidemiol* 2009, **169**:693–703.
50. Peters A, Doring A, Wichmann HE, Koenig W: **Increased plasma viscosity during an air pollution episode: a link to mortality?** *Lancet* 1997, **349**:1582–1587.
51. Bouthillier L, Vincent R, Goegan P, Adamson IY, Bjarnason S, Stewart M, Guenette J, Potvin M, Kumarathasan P: **Acute effects of inhaled urban particles and ozone: lung morphology, macrophage activity, and plasma endothelin-1.** *Am J Pathol* 1998, **153**:1873–1884.
52. Hoek G, Brunekreef B, Fischer P, van Wijnen J: **The association between air pollution and heart failure, arrhythmia, embolism, thrombosis, and other cardiovascular causes of death in a time series study.** *Epidemiology* 2001, **12**:355–357.
53. Samet JM: **Air pollution risk estimates: determinants of heterogeneity.** *J Toxicol Environ Health A* 2008, **71**:578–582.
54. Kan H, London SJ, Chen G, Zhang Y, Song G, Zhao N, Jiang L, Chen B: **Season, sex, age, and education as modifiers of the effects of outdoor air pollution on daily mortality in Shanghai, China: The Public Health and Air Pollution in Asia (PAPA) Study.** *Environ Health Perspect* 2008, **116**:1183–1188.
55. Peng RD, Dominici F, Louis TA: **Model choice in time series studies of air pollution and mortality.** *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society)* 2006, **169**:179–203.
56. Chen R, Zhang Y, Yang C, Zhao Z, Xu X, Kan H: **Acute effect of ambient air pollution on stroke mortality in the China air pollution and health effects study.** *Stroke* 2013, **44**(4):954–960.
57. Peters A, Dockery DW, Muller JE, Mittleman MA: **Increased particulate air pollution and the triggering of myocardial infarction.** *Circulation* 2001, **103**:2810–2815.
58. Zhang Y, Guo Y, Li G, Zhou J, Jin X, Wang W, Pan X: **The spatial characteristics of ambient particulate matter and daily mortality in the urban area of Beijing, China.** *Sci Total Environ* 2012, **435–436**:14–20.
59. Chen R, Peng RD, Meng X, Zhou Z, Chen B, Kan H: **Seasonal variation in the acute effect of particulate air pollution on mortality in the China Air Pollution and Health Effects Study (CAPES).** *Sci Total Environ* 2013, **450–451**:259–265.
60. Jousilahti P, Vartiainen E, Tuomilehto J, Puska P: **Sex, age, cardiovascular risk factors, and coronary heart disease: a prospective follow-up study of 14 786 middle-aged men and women in Finland.** *Circulation* 1999, **99**:1165–1172.
61. Zeka A, Zanobetti A, Schwartz J: **Individual-level modifiers of the effects of particulate matter on daily mortality.** *Am J Epidemiol* 2006, **163**:849–859.
62. Basagana X, Aguilera I, Rivera M, Agis D, Foraster M, Marrugat J, Elosua R, Kunzli N: **Measurement error in epidemiologic studies of air pollution based on land-use regression models.** *Am J Epidemiol* 2013, **178**:1342–1346.
63. Gryparis A, Paciorek CJ, Zeka A, Schwartz J, Coull BA: **Measurement error caused by spatial misalignment in environmental epidemiology.** *Biostatistics* 2009, **10**:258–274.
64. Szpiro AA, Sheppard L, Lumley T: **Efficient measurement error correction with spatially misaligned data.** *Biostatistics* 2011, **12**:610–623.
65. Szpiro AA, Paciorek CJ: **Measurement error in two-stage analyses, with application to air pollution epidemiology.** *Environmetrics* 2013, **24**:501–517.

doi:10.1186/1476-069X-13-109

Cite this article as: Xu et al.: Spatiotemporal analysis of particulate air pollution and ischemic heart disease mortality in Beijing, China. *Environmental Health* 2014 **13**:109.