

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Audiol Neurootol*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 January 01.

Published in final edited form as: *Audiol Neurootol*. 2015 ; 20(1): 62–71. doi:10.1159/000366512.

Assessment of the effects of superior canal dehiscence location and size on intracochlear sound pressures

Marlien E.F. Niesten1,2,3, **Christof Stieger**3,5, **Daniel J. Lee**3, **Julie P. Merchant**3, **Wilko Grolman**1,2, **John J. Rosowski**3,4, and **Hideko H. Nakajima**3,4

¹Department of Otorhinolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery, University Medical Center, Utrecht, the Netherlands ²Rudolf Magnus Institute of Neuroscience, Utrecht, the Netherlands ³Department of Otology and Laryngology, Harvard Medical School, Eaton Peabody Laboratory, Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, 243 Charles Street, Boston, MA 02114, USA ⁴Speech & Hearing Bioscience & Technology Program, Harvard-MIT Division of Health Sciences & Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA ⁵ARTORG Center, University Department of ENT Head and Neck Surgery, Inselspital, University Bern, 3010 Bern, Switzerland

Abstract

Superior canal dehiscence (SCD) is a defect in the bony covering of the superior semicircular canal. Patients with SCD present with a wide range of symptoms, including hearing loss, yet it is unknown whether hearing is affected by parameters such as the *location* of the SCD. Our previous human cadaveric temporal bone study, utilizing intracochlear pressure measurements, generally showed that an increase in dehiscence *size* caused a low-frequency monotonic decrease in the cochlear drive across the partition, consistent with increased hearing loss. This previous study was limited to SCD sizes including and smaller than 2 mm long and 0.7 mm wide. However, the effects of larger SCDs (>2 mm long) were not studied, although larger SCDs are seen in many patients. Therefore, to answer the effect of parameters that have not been studied, this present study assessed the effect of *SCD location* and the effect of *large-sized SCD* (>2 mm long) on intracochlear pressures. We used simultaneous measurements of sound pressures in scala vestibuli and scala tympani at the base of the cochlea to determine the sound-pressure difference across the cochlear partition – a measure of the cochlear drive in a temporal bone preparation – allowing for assessment of hearing loss. We measured the cochlear drive before and after SCDs were made at different locations (e.g., closer to the ampulla of the superior semicircular canal or closer to the common crus), and for different dehiscence sizes (including larger than 2 mm long and 0.7 mm wide). Our measurements suggest that: 1) Different SCD locations result in similar cochlear drive; 2) Larger SCDs produce larger decreases in cochlear drive at low frequencies. However, the effect of SCD size seems to saturate as the size increases above 2–3 mm long and 0.7 mm wide. Although the monotonic effect was generally consistent across ears, the quantitative amount of change in cochlear drive due to dehiscence size varied across ears. Additionally, the size of the dehiscence above which the effect on hearing saturated, varied across ears. These findings show

^{*}Corresponding author: Heidi Nakajima, EPL, Mass. Eye & Ear Infirm., 243 Charles St., Boston, MA 02114 USA, Tel.: +1 617 573 6954; Fax: +1 617 720 4408, heidi_nakajima@meei.harvard.edu.

that the location of the SCD does not generally influence the amount of hearing loss and that SCD size can help explain some of the variability of hearing loss in patients.

Keywords

superior semicircular canal dehiscence; cochlear pressure; SCD; scala vestibuli; scala tympani; cochlea; differential pressure; size; location

Introduction

Abnormal absence of bone between the superior semicircular canal and the intracranial space, called superior canal dehiscence (SCD), can cause a range of symptoms – SCD syndrome – including hearing loss [Minor et al., 1998]. Normally, sound produces motion of the oval and round windows, allowing for the development of a pressure difference between scala vestibuli (SV) and scala tympani (ST). This input differential pressure across the partition at the base of the cochlea – the cochlear drive – is an estimate of hearing in a human temporal bone preparation [Nakajima et al., 2009]. Previously, we described a method to simultaneously measure the intracochlear sound pressures in SV and ST (P_{SV} and PST) in order to determine the cochlear drive [Nakajima et al., 2009]. We then studied the effect of SCD on intracochlear pressures [Pisano et al., 2012], finding that SCD resulted in decreased P_{SV} and P_{ST} due to fluid motion shunting through an alternative low-impedance SCD pathway. Across the cochlear partition, the cochlear drive was also found to be lower with SCD, consistent with the hearing loss observed in patients with SCD [Pisano et al., 2012].

Patients with SCD syndrome present symptoms ranging from no hearing loss to a large lowfrequency conductive loss. It is yet unknown whether differences in the location of the SCD affect differences in hearing. Clinically, dehiscences vary in location along the arc of the semicircular canal. Williamson et al. [2003] described that 36% of their patients had an SCD located at the arcuate eminence; the remainder had an SCD located at the posterior (36%) or anterior (28%) aspect of the superior semicircular canal. In addition, McCall et al. [2011] described a series of 12 patients (from a database of 131 patients) who had a more posteriorlocated SCD due to contact of the superior semicircular canal with the superior petrosal sinus. Furthermore, some clinical studies have found that an increase in SCD size is associated with increased hearing loss [Chien et al., 2012; Niesten et al., 2013], while others have observed no association [Chi et al., 2010; Mikulec et al., 2004]. Our previous human cadaveric temporal bone study showed results consistent with size-related hearing loss: an increase in dehiscence size (from 0.3 mm diameter pin-point to 2 mm long and 0.7 mm wide) caused a low-frequency monotonic decrease in the cochlear drive. Effects of larger SCDs (>2 mm long) were not assessed in our previous temporal bone studies, although many SCD patients have a dehiscence larger than 2 mm. The computational model predictions of Songer and Rosowski [2007] indicated that the low-frequency effect of the SCD size might reach a plateau after a certain size. Furthermore, another complicating matter is that above 1 kHz, it has been observed in cadaveric preparations that a small dehiscence (0.3–0.5 mm diameter) can sometimes produce a larger decrease in cochlear

drive than larger dehiscences [Pisano et al., 2012]. In this present study, we study yet unanswered questions: assess the effect of *SCD location* and the effect of *large-sized SCD* on intracochlear sound pressures.

Methods

Summary of specimens

We used 12 temporal bones from donors ranging in age from 22 to 81 years old, including 6 females and 6 males. In one experiment, we only studied the effect of SCD size, and in all other experiments we studied the effect of the SCD location as well. From the 12 temporal bones, 6 were excluded due to complications such as low stapes velocity (due most likely to ossicular stiffness or partial disarticulation), presence of air in the cochlea, trauma that occurred during the experiment, or sensor calibrations that differed by more than 3 dB in both SV and ST. Table 1 shows an overview of the 6 remaining preparations. Five bones resulted in experiments with stable sensors in which the effects of SCD on P_{SV} could be reversed by patching, and 3 of these 5 experiments also included reversal of the SCD effects in P_{ST} by patching. The stapes velocity obtained in 6 temporal bones was initially normal, changed when the SCD was created, and reversed on patching.

Temporal bone preparation

We prepared the temporal bones as described in Nakajima et al. [2009] and Pisano et al. [2012]; therefore, only a brief description of the preparation will be given. We used fresh human cadaveric temporal bones and previously frozen temporal bones that were removed within 24 hours of death by the method described in Nadol et al. [1996]. During temporal bone preparation, we opened the facial recess, thinned the area of the cochlear promontory where SV and ST sound pressure sensors would later be inserted, and removed the stapes tendon. In addition, we thinned (blue-lined) the bone overlying the superior semicircular canal along a length of 10 mm through the lateral transmastoid approach.

After placing a speaker at the ear-canal opening and probe-tube microphone 1–3 mm from the umbo, the ear canal was sealed. Under fluid, we drilled 200 μm diameter cochleostomies in SV and ST, near the oval and round windows, into which we inserted two micro-optical sound pressure sensors of the type developed by Olson et al. [1998]. The sensors were sealed with a dental impression material, Jeltrate (L.D. Caulk Co.), so as to prevent air from entering the inner ear and fluid from leaking out. With laser Doppler vibrometry (Polytec CLV) and reflectors on the stapes posterior crus and round-window membrane, we confirmed stability of stapes and round-window velocities before and after making cochleostomies and pressure-sensor insertions. We also evaluated if a half-cycle phase difference was present between the oval and round window motion in order to confirm that no air was introduced in the cochlea. Before and after insertion, the sensors were calibrated to ensure their stability within 3 dB. See Figure 1 for a schematic of our temporal bone preparation.

Experimental approach

Before and after the creation of an SCD under fluid (to prevent entrance of air into the inner ear), we measured the pressures in SV, ST and the ear canal, as well as the stapes velocity for frequencies between 100 Hz and 10 kHz. In each temporal bone, we created dehiscences slowly with a diamond burr in two different locations: a more anterior SCD between the ampullated end and the arcuate eminence, and a more posterior SCD between the arcuate eminence and the common crus (Figures. 1 and 2). After each measurement with an SCD, we patched the SCD with dental impression material to ensure a reversal of the SCD effects and to confirm that the values were similar to the baseline. Then an SCD was created in the other location, measurements made, then the SCD was patched and measurements were again made to ensure reversal to the initial state after patching the second SCD. In half of the experiments, we created the anterior SCD first, and in the other half, we created the posterior SCD first. By keeping the SCD size similar for both locations $(\sim 1.3 \text{ mm}$ long and 0.7 mm wide) and ensuring reversal of the SCD effects, the SCD location was therefore the only variable that changed during measurements. From our previous experiments [Pisano etl al. 2012], we know that an SCD length between 1 and 1.5 mm shows a considerable change in pressure and that the effect is reversible with patching.

Patching in this study was modified with respect to our earlier study of Pisano et al. [2012]. Unlike previously, where only Jeltrate was applied [Pisano et al. 2012], we initially layered a piece of writing paper approximately the size of the dehiscence, then applied the Jeltrate over the paper; this was done to prevent Jeltrate from entering the semicircular canal. Throughout this procedure, we were careful not to introduce air into the semicircular canal.

After completing intracochlear sound pressure measurements for both SCD locations separately, we removed the Jeltrate and paper from the SCDs and measured the intracochlear pressures with both SCDs open. It was noted that upon removal of the paper and Jeltrate, the Jeltrate likely did not obstruct the lumen, and the contents of the lumen did not appear traumatized. In addition, we drilled away the bone between the anterior and posterior SCDs to create one large dehiscence of around 4 mm long and 0.7 mm wide, which is approximately the median SCD size found in a study assessing SCD size and location in 104 patients clinically [Niesten et al., 2012]. We patched the different SCDs after each measurement to ensure reversal of the effects. Figure 2 shows photographs of the preparation, with sensors inserted in the cochlea and an anterior and a posterior dehiscence in the superior semicircular canal.

Results

Stapes velocity

In all six temporal bones, the velocity of the stapes changed when an SCD was made, and reversed back to the initial value after the dehiscence was patched (similar to Pisano et al., 2012). In all but one experiment, the stapes velocity increased at varying frequencies after creating a dehiscence. This has been explained by the idea of the fluid motion shunting through the SCD, allowing for larger movement of the stapes [Chien et al., 2007; Pisano et al., 2012].) However, in one experiment, the stapes velocity did the opposite: it decreased

following SCD and reversed back to its initial velocity following patching. These results were similar to that found in Pisano et al. [2012], where it was found and described that the changes in stapes velocity due to SCD varied across ears and was unrelated to the cochlear input drive. The impedance at the oval window (influencing stapes velocity) is likely influenced by relative impedances of various structures in the inner ear to the impedance of the annular ligament between the footplate and cochlea. Therefore, stapes velocity serves as a poor indicator of the effects of SCD on hearing.

Effects of SCD Location

Scala vestibuli pressure (P_{SV})—Four experiments had stable sensors in scala vestibuli and reversal of the SCD effects after patching. In 2 experiments we created the anterior SCD first, and in the 2 others we created the posterior SCD first. The first SCD was patched before the second SCD was created, with confirmation of reversals in pressures to the initial values; therefore, results were not influenced by which SCD location was created first (anterior or posterior). In each ear, the anterior and posterior SCDs were about the same size (0.7 mm wide) and approximately the same length (within 0.2 mm). Across ears, the length varied between 1.2 and 1.5 mm long.

Figure 3 illustrates a representative example showing a similar drop in P_{SV} for the anterior and posterior SCD. For each specimen, similar decreases in P_{SV} resulted with anterior and posterior SCD, (within 0 to 4 dB, except for experiment 112 shown in Figure 3 were the lowest frequency of 100 Hz had a difference of 6.8 dB). However, as shown in Figure 4, the magnitude of change in pressure due to SCD varied among the four temporal bones, ranging from 8 to 18 dB, similar to variations seen across bones in Pisano et al. [2012]. The effects of SCD were mainly present in the low frequencies, up to 1 kHz. In the mid to high frequencies (1–2 kHz) we either found a slight increase or decrease in P_{SV} , or we did not see an effect. In one temporal bone, we found a slight increase in P_{SV} between 1 and 2 kHz.

Scala tympani pressure (P_{ST})—Three temporal bones had stable sensors in scala tympani and reversal of the SCD effects after patching. Figure 3 shows an example of P_{ST} before and after anterior and posterior SCDs were made for a representative experiment. The drop in P_{ST} was similar for both SCD locations, ranging from 0 to 4 dB within each temporal bone (except for experiment 112: at 100 Hz there was a difference of 6.9 dB between the anterior and posterior SCD). Similar to Pisano et al. [2012], the change in P_{ST} across temporal bones varied and ranged from 8 to 17 dB, as shown in Figure 4. The effects were mainly present up to 600–800 Hz. In the high frequencies, P_{ST} remained similar or changed slightly, similar to Pisano et al. [2012]. For one experiment (#125), the measurements were close to the noise level at high frequencies.

Cochlear drive (P)—Three experiments had stable sensors and reversal of the SCD effects on both SV and ST pressures, for which we were able to calculate P. Figure 5 shows two examples of P. In addition to the low-frequency decrease in P_{SV} and P_{ST} , we found a decrease in P after creation of an SCD. We found a similar low-frequency decrease in differential pressure for the anterior and posterior SCD, indicating that the location of the SCD does not influence the differential pressure. This would correspond clinically with

similar hearing loss for patients with an SCD located either more anteriorly or more posteriorly. The decrease in P ranged from 10 to 20 dB up to 600 and 800 Hz. Since the magnitude of P_{SV} was generally larger than P_{ST} , the cochlear drive is mostly influenced by P_{SV} changes (as discussed in Stieger et al. [2013]), and can be appreciated by comparing experiment #112 in Figure 5 and Figure 3.

Effects of larger SCD size

Scala vestibuli pressure (P_{SV})—In 4 temporal bones we had stable sensors and a reversal of SCD effects on P_{SV} for various SCD sizes. Figure 6 shows a representative example of the different SCD sizes, starting with an SCD length of 0.6 mm, a larger SCD of 1.2 mm, then a 2.4 mm, and finally an SCD length of 3.0 mm. The width was around 0.5 mm for the small SCDs and around 0.7 mm for the larger SCDs. In the low frequencies, and primarily at 100 Hz, we found a larger decrease in P_{SV} when the dehiscence size increased. As illustrated in Figure 6, the smaller SCD of 0.6 mm seemed to cause less decrease in pressure up to 1000 Hz, which is consistent with data from Pisano et al. [2012]. Above 200– 300 Hz, not much variation exists in the amount of pressure drop for the larger (1.2–3.0 mm) SCDs. Generally, P_{SV} decreased montonically when the SCD size increased, ranging from 2–8 dB within each temporal bone. The effect of SCD size was more prominent for smaller SCDs (<1.5 mm) and seemed to saturate as the size increased above approximately 2–4 mm long and 0.7 mm wide (with the size for which saturation of SCD effects occurred varying across ears). Because large dehiscence sizes (>2 mm length) were studied in this study, as compared to Pisano et al. (2012, where <2 mm lengths were studied), we were able to determine that the size effect saturated. In the high frequencies, the intracochlear sound pressures were similar or slightly decreased due to the dehiscence. This amount of decrease in pressure was variable across different temporal bones.

Scala tympani pressure (P_{ST})—We had 2 bones with successful reversal of SCD effects in P_{ST} due to different SCD sizes. Figure 6 shows a representative example of P_{ST} , where we made intracochlear sound pressure measurements for SCD lengths of 1.3, 2.7, and 4.5 mm. The figure illustrates that pressure decreased with an increase in SCD size, up to 500–600 Hz. Generally, the P_{ST} decreased further as SCD size increased, ranging from 2–7 dB drop within each temporal bone. The effects of SCD size on P_{ST} were generally similar as in SV in that after an increase in dehiscence length of over 1.5–3 mm, the incremental decrease in ST pressure were less pronounced, though saturation was not always reached (as shown in Figure 6). Magnitude variation of the pressure drop across ears existed for P_{ST} as well.

Cochlear drive (P)—Pisano et al. [2012] showed that P decreased as the SCD size increased up to around 2 mm. Magnitude of P depended more on P_{SV} than on P_{ST} , because P_{SV} was generally much larger in magnitude than P_{ST}, that is, $P = (P_{SV} - P_{ST}) \approx P_{SV}$ (see explanation and illustration of vector representation of intracochlear pressures in Stieger et al. [2013]). Reversal of the effects in both scalae became more challenging as the SCD size increased, especially for SCDs around 3–4 mm. While we did not have an experiment with perfect reversal of both P_{SV} and P_{ST} after large SCDs of 4 mm, P would decrease for

larger SCD sizes, similar to the change in P_{SV} , and this effect would likely reach saturation as the SCD size reached a critical point.

Discussion

Our measurements suggest that: 1) differences in SCD location do not affect changes seen in intracochlear pressures, and 2) larger SCDs produce larger decreases in cochlear drive at low frequencies, but this effect seems to saturate as size increases (though varying across ears) above 2–4 mm long and 0.7 mm wide. The results of this present study for small dehiscences are consistent with those of Pisano et al. (2012). However, in Pisano et al. (2012) the location of the dehiscence was held constant and the sizes were less than 2mm long. In this study, we were able to show the saturation of the effect of SCD for dehiscences greater than approximately 2 mm. The magnitude of pressure change for similarly-sized SCD varied across ears as well as the size of dehiscence to result in the saturation of the SCD effects

Effect of SCD Location

To study the effect of SCD location, all variables (such as the length, shape, and width of the SCD) were kept constant and only variations in SCD location were made. Different SCD locations resulted in similar decreases in low-frequency pressures, as shown in Figure 4. In two experiments (#124 and #125), each ear had anterior and posterior SCDs of the same length resulting in the same decrease (within 2 dB) in cochlear drive. In two other experiments, there was a slight difference in SCD length (within 0.2 mm) between the two locations, resulting in a slight difference in the decreases of pressures (within 5 dB).

When we compare our outcomes with our clinical study that assessed the effect of SCD size and location on hearing, we find similar results. The clinical study also showed that the location of the SCD did not correlate with the amount of hearing loss [Niesten et al., 2013]. Predictions in an animal model hypothesized that an SCD closer to the ampulla would show slightly more effect on hearing than an SCD further away from the ampulla, but that the SCD location could not account for large differences in hearing [Rosowski et al., 2004]. It could be theorized that the fluid flow may divide preferentially towards the ampulated end of the semicircular canal or to the common crus, depending on where the dehiscence is located, and therefore diversion of fluids through a dehiscence located more medially/ posteriorly may be just as easy as through a dehiscence located more anteriorly/laterally. To clarify this mechanism, and to investigate the direction of fluid flow when an SCD is created, sound pressure measurements in the semicircular canal itself might help to address this question in the future.

Effect of SCD Size

In this study we found that when all factors were kept similar and only variations in the SCD size were made, a larger SCD caused a larger drop in pressure, but that this effect seemed to saturate as the SCD size increased beyond a critical point (approximately 2 mm in length). A theory that might explain this phenomenon is that increasing SCD size results in lowered impedance through the SCD, but at some point, other limits dominate and further increase in

size does not appreciably continue to lower the inner-ear impedance. Our results correspond with an animal computational modeling study predicting that the effect of SCD size will not continue to influence hearing outcomes after a certain size is reached [Songer and Rosowski, 2007]. They proposed that the magnitude of hearing loss is affected by the increase in dehiscence size, but that this loss is maximal once the SCD size exceeds the diameter of the canal in the chinchilla. However, our human temporal bone experiments showed that the length of SCD to result in saturation was approximately twice the diameter of the semicircular canal.

Some clinical studies report that a larger SCD tends to correlate with larger ABG [Chien et al., 2012; Niesten et al., 2012; Yuen et al., 2009] or that no correlation between SCD size and hearing loss exists [Chi et al., 2010; Martin et al., 2009; Mikulec et al., 2004]. The large variation in cochlear pressure drop across the different ears for similar sized SCDs in temporal bone preparations might help explain the results from these clinical studies, and the number of patients in some of these clinical studies might also have been too small to study the effect of SCD size on hearing, due to this large variability among ears. Furthermore, in some ears, cochlear pressures in temporal bones were affected even more by very small SCD than large SCDs at frequencies above 1 kHz, also complicating the effects that SCD size has on inner ear pressures, hearing, balance and other symptoms (Pisano et al., 2012).

Limitations of the study

It has been shown that differential pressure P can be used to make an estimate of hearing in a human cadaveric temporal bone preparation (assuming the neurosensory system is intact) because it estimates the input drive across the partition despite changes in cochlear impedances. It is a good method to assess the effect of one variable in a controlled setting by keeping the other variables constant within the same ear by ensuring that effects are reversible. However, although this method provides insight into hearing in live humans, it is still difficult to determine the influences of factors that are different in the clinical situation.

Isolated temporal bone preparations do not include soft tissues such as the dura and brain above the superior semicircular canal. In some cases, the dura and brain could seal or plug the SCD. It is possible that natural plugging by the dura and brain can prevent symptoms despite having anatomical SCD diagnosed by CT (Brandolini and Modugno 2012). Natural plugging or patching by soft tissue could also explain why many patients report a "second event", a trauma, "unmasking" SCD symptoms, and why patients present with a myriad of signs and symptoms. Adding pressure at the dehiscence with a column of fluid, as well as patching the dehiscence with dura has been shown to result in decreased mechanical effect of SCD (Luers et al., 2014). In our experiments we find that resurfacing the SCD with dental cement (which dries to a hard substance, but requires a dry surface to set well) often does not reverse the effects of SCD, likely due to a persistent small leak. However, resurfacing with dental impression material (Jeltrate, which dries to a soft material, but can set in a wet environment) usually reverses the effect of SCD, thus producing a tight water-seal for the dehiscence. In the future, studies with whole head specimens could investigate the effect of dura and brain on SCD (Stieger et al., 2012).

In some experiments with stable sensors, we could not achieve full reversal of the effects of a large SCD after patching the dehiscence. Patching a larger dehiscence was more challenging than patching a smaller dehiscence (up to 2 mm). We also found that with our method of "resurfacing" the dehiscence with paper and dental impression material, slight leakage of the dental impression material into the superior canal sometimes occurred. Still, in most cases, the resurfacing material was easily removed in one piece without notable trauma to the lumen of the canal.

Clinical implication and future directions

We found an effect of SCD on cochlear pressure predominantly in the low frequencies, but the magnitude of the effect varied among different ears. At low frequencies near 100 Hz, some specimens showed a large effect, while others showed only a small decrease in pressure at this frequency. The frequency range in which the effect of an SCD is visible seems to vary among ears. This could help explain the variation among patients in conductive hearing loss across the different frequencies. We also suspect the effect of SCD to be larger in frequencies below 100 Hz, and we plan to assess this in our future studies. In addition, in patients with SCD syndrome, bone conduction thresholds are decreased [Mikulec et al., 2004; Minor et al., 2003], and similar results have been found in an animal model studying SCD [Rosowski et al., 2004; Songer and Rosowski, 2007]. We plan to study the effect of SCD on bone conduction in our temporal bone model in the future.

Conclusions

The location of the dehiscence in the superior canal does not seem to affect the severity of hearing loss. However, the large clinical variation in hearing loss can be partly explained by a difference in SCD size: a larger SCD seems to correspond with a larger drop in pressure within each ear below 1 kHz, but this effect seems to saturate as the SCD size increases. Nevertheless, across ears, the magnitude of the SCD effect appears to vary.

Acknowledgments

Funding: NIH/NIDCD R03DC011158-03

Thank: Mike Ravicz, Melissa McKinnon

References

- Chi FL, Ren DD, Dai CF. Variety of audiologic manifestations in patients with superior semicircular canal dehiscence. Otol Neurotol. 2010; 31:2–10. [PubMed: 20050265]
- Chien W, Janky KL, Minor LB, Carey J. Superior canal dehiscence size: Multivariate assessment of clinical impact. Otology & Neurotology. 2012; 33:810–815. [PubMed: 22664896]
- Chien W, Ravicz ME, Rosowski JJ, Merchant SN. Measurements of human middle- and inner-ear mechanics with dehiscence of the superior semicircular canal. Otol Neurotol. 2007; 28:250–257. [PubMed: 17255894]
- Luers JC, Pazen D, Meister H, Lauxmann M, Eiber A, Beutner D, Huttenbrink KB. Acoustic effects of a superior semicircular canal dehiscence: a temporal bone study. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2014 [Epub].
- Martin C, Chahine P, Veyret C, Richard C, Prades JM, Pouget JF. Prospective radiological study concerning a series of patients suffering from conductive or mixed hearing loss due to superior

semicircular canal dehiscence. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2009; 266:1175–1181. [PubMed: 19002698]

- McCall AA, McKenna MJ, Merchant SN, Curtin HD, Lee DJ. Superior canal dehiscence syndrome associated with the superior petrosal sinus in pediatric and adult patients. Otol Neurotol. 2011; 32:1312–1319. [PubMed: 21918420]
- Mikulec AA, McKenna MJ, Ramsey MJ, Rosowski JJ, Herrmann BS, Rauch SD, Curtin HD, Merchant SN. Superior semicircular canal dehiscence presenting as conductive hearing loss without vertigo. Otol Neurotol. 2004; 25:121–129. [PubMed: 15021770]
- Minor LB, Carey JP, Cremer PD, Lustig LR, Streubel SO, Ruckenstein MJ. Dehiscence of bone overlying the superior canal as a cause of apparent conductive hearing loss. Otol Neurotol. 2003; 24:270–278. [PubMed: 12621343]
- Minor LB, Solomon D, Zinreich JS, Zee DS. Sound- and/or pressure-induced vertigo due to bone dehiscence of the superior semicircular canal. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1998; 124:249– 258. [PubMed: 9525507]
- Nadol JB Jr. Techniques for human temporal bone removal: Information for the scientific community. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1996; 115:298–305. [PubMed: 8861882]
- Nakajima HH, Dong W, Olson ES, Merchant SN, Ravicz ME, Rosowski JJ. Differential intracochlear sound pressure measurements in normal human temporal bones. J Assoc Res Otolaryngol. 2009; 10:23–36. [PubMed: 19067078]
- Niesten MEF, Hamberg L, Silverman J, Lou KV, McCall AA, Windsor A, Curtin HD, Herrmann BS, Grolman W, Nakajima HH, Lee DJ. Association of size and location of superior canal dehiscence with clinical presentation, audiometric and vestibular testing. Audiol Neurotol. 2013 in press.
- Olson ES. Observing middle and inner ear mechanics with novel intracochlear pressure sensors. J Acoust Soc Am. 1998; 103:3445–3463. [PubMed: 9637031]
- Pisano DV, Niesten ME, Merchant SN, Nakajima HH. The effect of superior semicircular canal dehiscence on intracochlear sound pressures. Audiol Neurootol. 2012; 17:338–348. [PubMed: 22814034]
- Rosowski JJ, Songer JE, Nakajima HH, Brinsko KM, Merchant SN. Clinical, experimental, and theoretical investigations of the effect of superior semicircular canal dehiscence on hearing mechanisms. Otol Neurotol. 2004; 25:323–332. [PubMed: 15129113]
- Songer JE, Rosowski JJ. A mechano-acoustic model of the effect of superior canal dehiscence on hearing in chinchilla. J Acoust Soc Am. 2007; 122:943–951. [PubMed: 17672643]
- Stieger C, Rosowski JJ, Nakajima HH. Comparison of forward (ear-canal) and reverse (roundwindow) sound stimulation of the cochlea. Hear Res. 2013; 301:105–114. [PubMed: 23159918]
- Stieger C, Candreia C, Kompis M, Herrmann G, Pfiffner F, Widmer D, Arnold A. Laser Doppler vibrometric assessment of middle ear motion in Thiel-embalmed heads. Otol Neurotol. 2012; 33:311–8. [PubMed: 22377645]
- Williamson RA, Vrabec JT, Coker NJ, Sandlin M. Coronal computed tomography prevalence of superior semicircular canal dehiscence. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2003; 129:481–489. [PubMed: 14595270]
- Yuen HW, Boeddinghaus R, Eikelboom RH, Atlas MD. The relationship between the air-bone gap and the size of superior semicircular canal dehiscence. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2009; 141:689– 694. [PubMed: 19932839]

Figure 1.

Illustration of the temporal bone preparation showing the anterior and the posterior SCD locations along the superior semicircular canals. P_{SV} = scala vestibuli pressure, P_{ST} = scala tympani pressure, P_{EC} = ear canal pressure, V_{Prom} = promontory velocity, V_{Stap} = stapes velocity, V_{RW} = round window velocity, SCD = superior canal dehiscence, LS = loudspeaker. Note: the opening shown in the cochlea is for illustration purposes only.

Figure 2.

Images of a temporal bone during and after an experiment. The large bottom left picture shows an overview that includes ear canal, open facial recess and exposed epitympanic space without the pressure sensors. Cochleostomies were made for the pressure sensors, and two dehiscences were created in the semicircular canal. The top image shows a magnified view (from a slightly different angle) to show the position of the pressure sensors inserted in scala vestibuli and scala tympani relative to the round window and stapes. The image on the right shows a magnified version of the dehiscences. P_{SV} = scala vestibuli pressure, P_{ST} = scala tympani pressure, RW = round window, SCD = superior canal dehiscence.

Figure 3.

Effect of dehiscence location: figure on the left shows the scala vestibuli pressure normalized to ear-canal pressure (P_{SV}/P_{EC}) , and figure on the right shows the scala tympani pressure normalized to ear-canal pressure (P_{SV}/P_{EC}) , before and after creation of a SCD in two different locations. The black solid line shows the initial intracochlear sound pressure, the solid line with circles shows the lower pressure after creation of the posterior SCD. The SCD was then patched to ensure reversal of the pressures, shown by the thin solid black lines. Then, an anterior SCD was created, resulting in the lower pressures shown by the dotted line with squares. At the end of the experiment the SCD is patched, as indicated by the thin dashed black line.

Figure 4.

Overview effect of SCD location: change in pressure in scala vestibuli for four temporal bones (left plot) and scala tympani for three temporal bones (right). The sizes for both locations were similar: 112 – anterior 1.3 mm and posterior 1.4 mm, 115 – anterior 1.35 mm and posterior 1.5 mm, 124 – anterior and posterior 1.2 mm, 125 – anterior and posterior 1.4 mm.

Figure 5.

Two representative experiments: differential pressure before and after creation of an anterior and posterior SCD. The black solid line shows the initial intracochlear sound pressure; the solid line with circles shows the decrease in pressure after creation of the first SCD. The SCD was then patched to ensure reversal of the pressures, shown by the thin solid black line. The dotted line with squares shows the drop in pressure after the second SCD was created. At the end of the experiment, the second SCD was patched, as indicated by the thin dashed black line.

Figure 6.

Effect of dehiscence size: The left figure shows the change in scala vestibuli pressure and the right figure shows the change in scala tympani pressure, after the creation of different sizes of SCD in two different experiments. The black solid line shows the initial intracochlear sound pressure; the other lines show the decrease in pressure as different SCD sizes are created. Between the measurements, the SCD was patched to ensure reversal of the pressures, and at the end of the experiment, the SCD was patched, as indicated by the thin dashed black line.

Table 1

Summary of Specimens

