Using EMRs to fuel quality improvement

Michelle Greiver MD MSc CCFP FCFP Neil Drummond PhD Richard Birtwhistle MD MSc CCFP FCFP John Queenan PhD Anita Lambert-Lanning MLS Dave Jackson

ncreasingly, FPs and primary care teams are using electronic medical records (EMRs). There is growing consensus that EMRs facilitate research and epidemiologic surveillance but little evidence yet that they improve patient care or health outcomes.1

Data from EMRs can provide feedback, a core activity for quality improvement (QI). Feedback is effective if it is local (reflecting actions of a physician and the group), includes comparisons, and is credible and actionable.² Creating useful comparative reports depends on accurate, standardized data and software tailored to practice needs; the availability of such software is currently limited.

In Canada, most FPs practise within groups,³ and 25% practise in interdisciplinary primary care organizations3 (eg, family health teams [FHTs] in Ontario and primary care networks in Alberta). To meet increasing requirements for accountability and reporting, some groups have hired data analysts to help them manage their information. In Ontario, analysts include provincially funded Quality Improvement and Data Support Specialists, each of whom supports a group of affiliated FHTs. However, the data entry and the EMRs' capabilities are often unable to support feedback and reporting.^{4,5} Conflicting evidence about EMR benefits for patient care is due partly to problems encountered in using EMR data for measurement.6

To overcome these challenges, the Canadian Primary Care Sentinel Surveillance Network (CPCSSN) developed the Data Presentation Tool (DPT)7 and provided it to several teams in its network. The DPT uses data cleaned and standardized by CPCSSN and has guery, export, and reporting features usually not available in EMRs. The data and software are returned to the team member responsible for analytics. Data can be re-identified at the practice site for clinical action. Information about the DPT's effect in these teams is emerging.8 For example, the North York FHT used it to identify missing or non-standardized data in its EMRs. The data were then updated with standardized codes, which led to a 22% improvement in coding across 5 important chronic conditions and to the team's formation of registries of health conditions.8 At project's end, the team not only had credible data but also analysts confident in using the DPT to manage the team's data. The result was teambased decisions on QI projects and actionable information delivered by well respected local clinical leaders.9

Key informants in the FHT stressed the value of access to these data: "We can now pose questions and get answers

La traduction en français de cet article se trouve à www.cfp.ca dans la table des matières du numéro de janvier 2015 à la page e68.

to the questions that are important to us."8 The interprofessional team members also better understood the benefits of entering structured, standardized data in their EMR.

Multiple report types might be needed to fuel QI in primary care. For example, aggregated information comparing groups to others provincially or nationally, such as reports provided by Health Quality Ontario, 10 can send signals indicating need for improvement. However, these reports might not identify individual patients needing actions or be informed by local priorities or resource availability. Reports using local EMR data and by analysts embedded in the team are less broadly based but perhaps timelier and more focused and responsive to local needs; they can also identify individual patients requiring additional clinical action. It is feasible to return standardized CPCSSN data and the DPT to support local clinical analytics; given appropriate resources, this approach could be widely implemented by primary care groups across Canada and is being actively pursued by CPCSSN.

Dr Greiver is a family physician at North York General Hospital and Assistant Professor at the University of Toronto in Ontario. Dr Drummond is Professor in the Department of Family Medicine at University of Alberta in Edmonton. Dr Birtwhistle is Professor in the departments of Family Medicine and Community Health and Epidemiology at Queen's University in Kingston, Ont. Dr Queenan is an epidemiologist at the Centre for Studies in Primary Care at Queen's University and with CPCSSN. Ms Lambert-Lanning is CPCSSN Project Manager. Mr Jackson is Data Manager for the Southern Alberta Primary Care Research Network in Calgary.

Competing interests

None declared

References

- 1. Khangura S, Grimshaw J, Moher D; Knowledge to Action Research Group. Evidence summary: electronic health records (EHRs). Ottawa, ON: Ottawa Hospital Research Institute; 2014.
- 2. Ivers NM, Sales A, Colquhoun H, Michie S, Foy R, Francis JJ, et al. No more 'business as usual' with audit and feedback interventions: towards an agenda for a reinvigorated intervention. Implement Sci 2014;9:14. Epub 2014 Jan 17.
- 3. College of Family Physicians of Canada, Canadian Medical Association, Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada. National Physician Survey, 2013. Mississauga, ON: College of Family Physicians of Canada; 2013. Available from: http://nationalphysiciansurvey.ca/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/2013-FPGP-EN-Q8.pdf. Accessed 2014 Oct 27.
- 4. Williamson T, Natarajan N, Barber D, Jackson D, Greiver M. Caring for the whole practice: the future of primary care. Can Fam Physician 2013;59:800 (Eng), e341-2 (Fr).
- 5. Greiver M, Keshavjee K, Martin K, Aliarzadeh B. Who are your patients with diabetes? EMR case definitions in the Canadian primary care setting. Can Fam Physician 2012;58:804 (Eng), e421-2 (Fr).
- 6. Baron RJ. Quality improvement with an electronic health record: achievable, but not automatic. Ann Intern Med 2007;147:549-52.
- 7. Greiver M, Keshavjee K, Jackson D, Forst B, Martin K, Aliarzadeh B. Sentinel feedback: path to meaningful use of EMRs. Can Fam Physician 2012;58:1168 (Eng), e611-2 (Fr).
- 8. Greiver M, Martin K, Aliarzadeh B, Lambert-Lanning A, Leggett J; Canadian Primary Care Sentinel Surveillance Network. Implementing a scalable tool for quality improvement in primary care: a report for Canada Health Infoway. Toronto, ON: Canadian Primary Care Sentinel Surveillance Network; 2013.
- 9. Greiver M, Wintemute K, Griffis S, Moeinedin M. Using evidence for the care of practice team populations [letter]. Can Fam Physician 2014;60:223.
- 10. Health Quality Ontario [website]. Primary care practice report. Toronto, ON: Health Quality Ontario; 2014. Available from: www.hqontario.ca/quality-improvement/primary-care/practice-reports. Accessed 2014 Nov 12.

Sentinel Eye is coordinated by CPCSSN, in partnership with the CFPC, to highlight surveillance and research initiatives related to chronic illness prevalence and management in Canada. Please send questions or comments to Anita Lambert-Lanning, CPCSSN Project Manager, at all@cfpc.ca.