Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA
Vol. 73, No. 5, pp. 1437–1441, May 1976
Biochemistry

Elucidation of hydrocarbon structure in an enzyme-catalyzed benzo[*a*]pyrene–poly(G) covalent complex*

(corrected fluorescence spectra/epoxides/microsomes/benzo[*a*]pyrene derivatives/high-pressure liquid chromatography)

THOMAS MEEHAN, KENNETH STRAUB, AND MELVIN CALVIN

Laboratory of Chemical Biodynamics, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, Calif. 94720

Contributed by Melvin Calvin, January 26, 1976

ABSTRACT The carcinogen, benzo[*a*]pyrene, was covalently attached to poly(G) by liver microsomes from rats pretreated with 3-methylcholanthrene. The complex was hydrolyzed with enzymes or base and products were isolated by Sephadex chromatography. Absorbance and fluorescence spectra of the products fit that of a red-shifted pyrene aromatic system and suggest that metabolism has occurred at the 7-, 8-, 9-, and 10-positions of the hydrocarbon. Benzanthracene or chrysene fluorescence were not observed in these preparations. Benzo[*a*]pyrene derivatives were synthesized and purified by high-pressure liquid chromatography. Dehydration of 7,8-dihydroxy-7,8,9,10-tetrahydrobenzo[*a*]pyrene resulted in the formation of small amounts of 7-oxo-7,8,9,10-tetrahydrobenzo[*a*]pyrene. A 7-keto species was also observed after similar treatment of the hydrocarbon-poly(G) hydrolysis products. Evidence of dehydration at the 9,10-positions was not observed. The hydrocarbon covalently bound to poly(G) is, therefore, a derivative of 7,8-dihydroxy-7,8,9,10-tetrahydrobenzo[*a*]pyrene with nucleic acid substitution at C-10 or 9.

The relatively inert polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH), benzo[*a*]pyrene, when activated, undergoes covalent binding to nucleic acids and proteins (1–3). It has been shown that this binding can be catalyzed by liver microsomes from animals pretreated with inducers of aryl hydrocarbon hydroxylase activity (2). The structure of the complex formed between hydrocarbon and nucleic acid is unknown, in part due to low levels of binding catalyzed by the enzyme(s). Some investigators have turned to model systems because conditions are more clearly defined and yields of products are greater than with *in vivo* systems. These investigators have approached the problem by activating carcinogens chemically or photochemically (4, 5), or by adding a reactive derivative of the hydrocarbon, e.g., an epoxide, directly to DNA (6). The structure of any complex produced in these model systems, however, has not yet been fully characterized.

Boyland (7) first proposed the intermediacy of epoxides in binding of PAH to nucleic acids, and subsequently epoxides have been shown to be metabolites of benzo[*a*]pyrene (BaP) (8, 9). Borgen *et al.* (10) reported that 7,8-dihydroxy-7,8-dihydrobenzo[*a*]pyrene, when activated with microsomes, bound to DNA 10-fold greater than did BaP. This led Sims *et al.* (11, 12) to the suggestion of a diol-epoxide intermediate in binding. Because of its sensitivity, fluorescence spectroscopy has been used in analyzing BaP–DNA complexes. The fluorescence emission spectrum of a complex formed *in vivo* that was consistent with that of a red-shifted pyrene nucleus (13) has been reported. This indicates that metabolism occurred at the 7-, 8-, 9-, and 10-positions of the hydrocarbon. Another study on the fluorescence of carcinogen-nucleic acid complexes has also appeared recently (14), but structural information was not obtained. In the above reports, fluorescence spectra were not corrected for wavelength-dependent distortions due to source, monochromators, and detector.

In this investigation, corrected fluorescence spectra were made of a BaP–poly(G) complex and compared to that of known hydrocarbon derivatives. This approach has allowed us to describe definitive evidence for the structure of BaP after enzyme-catalyzed covalent binding to a nucleic acid.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

BaP–Poly(G) Complex. Formation, hydrolysis, and isolation of hydrolysis products of a covalently linked [G-^{3}H]BaP–poly(G) complex have been described (15). Enzyme- or NaOH-hydrolyzed samples of the BaP–poly(G) complex were analyzed after isolation by LH20 column chromatography.

Spectroscopy. Fluorescence was recorded on a xenon source spectrophotofluorometer (Perkin-Elmer, model MPF-3, Norwald, Conn.). The instrument automatically records corrected excitation and emission spectra (i.e., wavelength variations due to source; monochromators and detectors are eliminated) by signal ratioing between sample and reference (rhodamine B) channels. Anthracene was used to test the correction. Spectra were recorded under the following conditions: (*i*) band pass 10 nm (slit width 1.29 mm) for both excitation and emission scans; (*ii*) path lengths, excitation 10 mm and emission 3 mm; (*iii*) absorbance <0.05 at all excitation wavelengths; (*iv*) self-absorption effects not observed; (*v*) temperature, 25°; (*vi*) samples equilibrated with air; and (*vii*) solvents, redistilled ethanol, and/or distilled deionized water.

Ultraviolet and infrared spectra were determined on a Cary model 118 (Cary/Varian, Palo Alto, Calif.) and Perkin-Elmer model 257 (Norwalk, Conn.) spectrophotometers, respectively. Mass spectra were recorded at 70 eV on a Du-

Abbreviations: PAH, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon; BaP, benzo[*a*]pyrene; 4(H)BaP, 7,8,9,10-tetrahydrobenzo[*a*]pyrene; 7,8-diOH-4(H)BaP, 7,8-dihydroxy-7,8,9,10-tetrahydrobenzo[*a*]pyrene; 9,10-diOH-4(H)BaP, 9,10-dihydroxytetrahydro derivative of BaP; 7-OH-4(H)-BaP, 7-hydroxy-7,8,9,10-tetrahydrobenzo[*a*]pyrene; 9-OH-4(H)BaP and 10-OH-4(H)BaP, other monohydroxytetrahydro derivatives of BaP; 7-oxo-4(H)BaP, 7-oxo-7,8,9,10-tetrahydrobenzo-[*a*]pyrene; 8-oxo-4(H)BaP, 9-oxo-4(H)BaP and 10-oxo-4(H)BaP, other keto tetrahydro derivatives of BaP; 7,8-2(H)-BaP, 7,8-dihydrobenzo[*a*]pyrene; 9,10-2(H)BaP, 9,10-dihydrobenzo[*a*]pyrene; 9,10-2(H)BaP 7,8-oxide, 9,10-dihydrobenzo[*a*]pyrene 7,8-oxide; BaP 4,5-oxide, benzo[*a*]pyrene 4,5-oxide; HPLC, high-pressure liquid chromatography; tosic acid, *p*-toluene sulfonic acid.

* This is the second paper of a series. The first paper is ref. 15.

Pont model 21-492-1 mass spectrometer, equipped with a model 21-094B data system.

High-Pressure Liquid Chromatography (HPLC). HPLC was carried out with a Varian model 8500 liquid chromatograph (Palo Alto, Calif.) on a reverse phase Micropak CH-10 column (25 cm × 2 mm). The column was eluted at room temperature with methanol–water mixtures. The flow rate was 60 ml/hr, and the effluent was monitored by ultraviolet absorbance. The percentage of methanol–water used was optimized for each compound. Spectroquality methanol used in these operations was obtained from Matheson, Coleman, and Bell (Norwood, Ohio).

Synthesis. 7-oxo-4(H)BaP was prepared according to Fieser and Novello (16). The following derivatives were prepared as described by Sims (17): 7,8-2(H), 9,10-2(H), *cis*-7,8-diOH-4(H), *cis*-9,10-diOH-4(H), 7-OH-4(H), 10-OH-4(H), and 9-oxo-4(H)BaP. 4(H)BaP was made by Wolff-Kishner reduction of the 7-oxo-4(H) derivative. Epoxidation of the 9,10-dihydro derivative with *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid in CH_2Cl_2 resulted in 7,8-epoxy-9,10-2(H)BaP. 8-Oxo-4(H)BaP was a product of acid-catalyzed rearrangement of the 7,8-epoxy derivative. The reaction was carried out in benzene with *p*-toluene sulfonic acid (tosic acid) as catalyst. Oxidation of 10-OH-4(H)BaP with dimethyl sulfoxide–acetic anhydride (18) yielded 10-oxo-4(H)BaP. All compounds gave satisfactory ultraviolet, infrared, and mass spectra.

The fluorescence spectra of 7,8-2(H) and 4(H)BaP were satisfactory and both chromatographed as a single peak by HPLC, whereas 9,10-2(H)BaP contained a few percent of BaP that did not interfere with fluorescence measurements. The remainder of the compounds were purified by HPLC prior to analysis.

Dehydration Reactions. Samples were dehydrated by refluxing in the presence of excess tosic acid in dry ethanol for 16–20 hr. Tosic acid was removed from the samples by LH20 column chromatography. Alternatively, dehydrations were carried out in benzene, and the tosic acid was removed by extraction with buffer. Solvents were evaporated *in vacuo* and samples were taken up in ethanol or 50% ethanol–water for fluorescence assay.

RESULTS

Absorbance and Fluorescence Spectra of BaP–Poly(G) Complex. Spectral analysis of the BaP–poly(G) complex indicated that a component with an absorbance and fluorescence spectra similar to pyrene was present. This is in agreement with the report by Daudel *et al.* (13) and indicates that metabolism of the 7-, 8-, 9-, and 10-positions of the hydrocarbon occurred during enzyme-activated binding. The absorbance spectrum of the BaP–poly(G) complex is shown in Fig. 1c, along with that of 4(H)BaP (Fig. 1a) and *cis*-7,8-diol-4(H)BaP (Fig. 1b). The fluorescence spectra of 4(H)BaP and the enzyme- and NaOH-hydrolyzed products of the complex are presented in Fig. 2a–c. The spectral relationship between the carcinogen-nucleic acid complexes and 4(H)BaP derivatives is evident, but it becomes clearer after HCl treatment of the complex which removes the ribosyl residue (15).

Acid Treatment of BaP–Poly(G) Complex. When a sample of the NaOH-hydrolyzed product was heated with HCl in a boiling-water bath, an apparent change in peak ratios and reduction of noise resulted in a more clearly defined fluorescence spectra (Fig. 3b). The spectrum now clearly shows its identity as a 4(H)BaP derivative. The same result was obtained from an enzyme-hydrolyzed sample.



FIG. 1. Absorbance spectra of (a) 4(H)BaP, 1.7×10^{-5} M, in ethanol; (b) *cis*-7,8-diol-4(H)BaP, 2.95×10^{-5} M, in ethanol; and (c) enzyme-hydrolyzed BaP-poly(G) products in 50% ethanol–water.

The emission spectrum of the complex is remarkably similar to 10-OH-4(H)BaP (Fig. 3a), which exhibits a characteristic shape different from that observed in the other 4(H) derivatives. An oxygen at C-10 of the hydrocarbon reduces the relative intensity of the first emission peak.

Dehydration of 7-OH-4(H)BaP. The fluorescence spectra of 7-OH-4-(H) and 9,10-2(H)BaP are presented in Fig. 4a and b. Conjugation of the 7,8-double bond with the pyrene aromatic system, as expected, causes a red shift in the emission spectrum, and peaks occur at 364 and 382 nm in its excitation spectrum. The fluorescence spectrum of a sample of 7-OH-4(H)BaP which was dehydrated with tosic acid is shown in Fig. 4c. Peaks at 364 and 383 nm in the excitation spectrum, and the similarity between emission spectra of the acid-treated sample and the 2(H) derivative, indicated that elimination of water from the 7,8-positions had occurred. Similar treatment of 10-OH-4(H)BaP resulted in formation of 7,8-2(H)BaP. The fluorescence emission of 7,8-2(H)BaP is also red shifted with peaks at 399, 419, and a shoulder at 445 nm (spectrum not shown).

Dehydration of 4(H)BaP Diols and HPLC Analysis. The fluorescence excitation and emission spectra of *cis*-7,8-diol-4(H), 7-oxo-4(H), and 8-oxo-4(H)BaP are presented in Figs. 5a, 5b, and 7a, respectively. After dehydration of *cis*-7,8-diol-4(H)BaP small amounts (<5%) of 7-oxo-4(H)BaP were



FIG. 2. Fluorescence spectra of (a) 4(H)BaP, 3.40 × 10^{-7} M, in ethanol (em 3.33 × ex scale); (b) enzyme-hydrolyzed BaP-poly(G) products (em 10 × ex scale); and (c) NaOH-hydrolyzed BaP-poly(G) products (em 10 × ex scale). Arb. units means arbitrary units.

detected, as evidenced by a peak at 478 nm in its emission spectrum (Fig. 5c). The same result was obtained by starting with the *trans*-7,8-diol (unpublished results).

HPLC of the dehydration products of *cis*-7,8-diol-4(H)BaP resulted in 8-oxo-4(H)BaP along with a small amount (<5%) of 7-oxo isomer, as evidenced by cochromatography and fluorescence (Fig. 6a).

Dehydration of *cis*-9,10-diol-4(H)BaP was carried out with tosic acid, and the products were analyzed by HPLC. In this case the 9-oxo isomer was formed but the 10-ketone was not detected by HPLC retention time or fluorescence. Since dehydration of the *cis*-9,10-diol results in formation of ketone only at C-9, a 9,10-diol would not be detected in the BaP–poly(G) complex because fluorescence of 9-oxo is identical to the other 4(H)BaP derivatives.

The selective dehydration of 9,10-diol-4(H)BaP to give the 9-ketone only and of 7,8-diol to give mainly the 8-ketone (with some 7-) probably results from differing resonance stabilization of intermediate benzylic carbonium ions at the 7- and 10-positions.

Dehydration of BaP–Poly(G) Complex. Aliquots of the base-hydrolyzed products from the BaP–poly(G) complex after dehydration and fluorescence assay revealed the presence of a 7-oxo-4(H)BaP species (Fig. 6b). The emission



FIG. 3. Fluorescence spectra of (a) 10-OH-4(H)BaP, 3.24 × 10^{-7} M, in ethanol (em 10 × ex scale) and (b) NaOH-hydrolyzed BaP-poly(G) products, treated with HCl as described previously (15), in 50% ethanol–water (em 3.33 × ex scale).

spectrum with peaks at 382, 400, and 418 nm are indicative of a 4(H)BaP derivative.

Fluorescence Spectrum of 10-oxo-4(H)BaP. The fluorescence spectrum of 10-oxo-4(H)BaP (Fig. 7b) is easily distinguished from that of the 7-oxo or other 4(H) derivatives.

DISCUSSION

The corrected excitation and emission spectra of the enzyme- and NaOH-hydrolyzed BaP–poly(G) complex showed that the hydrocarbon had been metabolized to a 7,8,9,10-tetrahydro derivative. Because hydroxylated derivatives are primary and secondary products of PAH metabolism, their presence in the BaP–poly(G) complex was investigated by dehydration of the sample with tosic acid and fluorescence assay of the products. If hydroxyls occur at the 7-, 8-, 9-, or 10-positions of the hydrocarbon, dehydration would result in a number of possibilities: (*i*) monohydroxy at 7 or 10 would yield 9,10-2(H) or 7,8-2(H)BaP, respectively; (*ii*) dihydroxy at either 7,8 or 9,10 would result in 8- or 9-oxo-4(H)BaP; and (*iii*) a tri-or tetrahydroxy derivative would be expected to result in a fully aromatized BaP chromophore.

Since only picomole quantities of the BaP–poly(G) complex were available, dehydrations were carried out with excess tosic acid. The above cases were first tested on model compounds to confirm the feasibility of this approach on the BaP–poly(G) complex. Dehydration of 7-OH and 10-OH-4(H)BaP under these conditions gave the expected products, 9-10-2(H) and 7,8-2(H)BaP, respectively, as evidenced by fluorescence spectroscopy.

Dehydration of 7,8-diol-4(H)BaP has been reported to give mainly 8-oxo-4(H)BaP (17), but fluorescence of these



FIG. 4. Fluorescence spectra of (a) 7-OH-4(H)BaP, 3.34 × 10^{-7} M, in ethanol (em 3.33 × ex scale); (b) 9,10-2(H)BaP, 5.9 × 10^{-7} M, in ethanol (em 3.33 × ex scale); and (c) tosic acid-dehydrated 7-OH-4(H)BaP in ethanol (em and ex scales the same), see *Materials and Methods.*

two compounds was indistinguishable. We also found that the 8-oxo was the main product of 7,8-diol dehydration but, in addition, small amounts (<5%) of the 7-oxo isomer were detected by its unique fluorescence. Therefore, fluorescence analysis could still be used to follow the dehydration reaction. 7-Oxo-4(H)BaP formation by 7,8-diol dehydration was confirmed by HPLC purification of the products. A number of prominent peaks were observed in the HPLC trace of the dehydrated sample, one of which was identified as the 7-ketone by its distinct fluorescence and cochromatography.

Fluorescence analysis of the base-hydrolyzed BaP–poly(G) complex suggested the presence of only one luminescent species. The emission spectrum of the complex was the same irrespective of the wavelength of exciting light and, conversely, the excitation spectrum did not vary with emission setting. However, the possibility that more than one hydrocarbon structure was present with identical fluorescent spectra cannot be ruled out. Under the standard binding assay conditions used here, fluorescence measurements indicate that most of the carcinogen is intercalated in poly(G) (D. Warshawsky, personal communication). Under these conditions it is possible that the 7-, 8-, 9-, and 10-positions of the hydrocarbon are the only available sites of attack by the microsomal enzyme(s), and would explain the observation that only one fluorescent product was bound to poly(G).



FIG. 5. Fluorescence spectra of (a) *cis*-7,8-diol-4(H)BaP, 1.67 × 10^{-7} M, in ethanol (em 3.33 × ex scale); (b) 7-oxo-4(H)BaP, 1.85 × 10^{-6} M, in ethanol (em 10 × ex scale); and (c) emission spectrum of tosic acid-dehydrated 7,8-diol-4(H)BaP (– – –) after LH20 chromatography, as described under *Materials and Methods.*

Dehydration of the complex resulted in formation of a 7-oxo-4(H)BaP. Since control experiments indicated that this occurred with OH at C-7 and C-8 of BaP, the hydrocarbon



FIG. 6. Fluorescence spectra of (a) 7-oxo-4(H)BaP isolated by HPLC after dehydration of 7,8-diol-4(H)BaP (em 3.33 × ex scale) and (b) NaOH-hydrolyzed BaP–poly(G) complex after tosic acid dehydration (em 10 × ex scale). Dehydration procedure is described under *Materials and Methods.*



FIG. 7. Fluorescence spectra of (a) 8-oxo-4(H)BaP, 1.40 × 10^{-6} M in ethanol and (b) 10-oxo-4(H)BaP, 3.61 × 10^{-6} M, in ethanol, em 3.33 × ex scale in (a) and (b).

covalently linked to poly(G) must be a derivative of 7,8-diol-4(H)BaP, a result which further suggests that the nucleic acid is substituted at the 9- or 10-position of the carcinogen. This provides the first direct evidence on the chemical structure of enzymatically catalyzed PAH–nucleic acid complexes.

Since there is a growing body of evidence that epoxides are intermediates in binding (6, 11, 19), our results support the intermediacy of 7,8-diol-4(H)BaP 9,10-oxide. Opening of the oxide could leave oxygen at C-9 or C-10, but is expected at the 9-position (compare footnote 15 of ref. 19). Thus, the covalent carbon linkage to the poly(G) would be expected at the 10-position. Dehydration of this derivative would result in the formation of a fully aromatized BaP chromophore, but fluorescence of such a structure was not observed. Two possible explanations for these results are: (*i*) dehydration at 9,10- is prevented by interaction with the nucleic acid at C-10, or (*ii*) the 9- (or 10-OH) may be involved in formation of a cyclic derivative with the nucleic acid.

PAH intercalation coupled with formation of a covalent bond to guanine, like that of *N*-acetylaminofluorene, probably results in a distortion of the nucleic acid tertiary structure (20, 21). The biological consequence of this would involve mutagenicity and possibly carcinogenicity. The fact that a derivative of 7,8-diol-4(H)BaP is the main product of enzyme-catalyzed covalent binding of BaP to poly(G), coupled with the recent observation that the 7,8-diol 9,10-oxide is orders of magnitude more mutagenic than BaP 4,5-oxide (19) suggests that this compound may be the ultimate carcinogenic form of BaP.

The work described in this paper was sponsored, in part, by the U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration and, in part, by National Cancer Institute Contract no. Y01 CP-30211. TM is an NIH Postdoctoral Fellow.

1. Brookes, P. & Lawley, P. D. (1964) *Nature* **202,** 781–784.
2. Gelboin, H. V. (1969) *Cancer Res.* **29,** 1272–1276.
3. Wang, I. Y., Rassmussen, R. E. & Crocker, T. T. (1972) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **49,** 1142–1149.
4. Umans, R. S., Lesko, S. A. & Ts'o, P.O.P. (1969) *Nature* **221,** 763–764.
5. Ts'o, P.O.P. & Lu, P. (1964) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **51,** 272–280.
6. Blobstein, S. H., Weinstein, I. B., Grunberger, D., Weisgras, J. & Harvey, R. G. (1975) *Biochemistry* **14,** 3451–3458.
7. Boyland, E. (1950) *Biochem. Soc. Symp.* **5,** 40–54.
8. Selkirk, J. K., Huberman, E. & Heidelberger, C. (1971) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **43,** 1010–1016.
9. Selkirk, J. K., Croy, R. G. & Gelboin, H. V. (1975) *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **168,** 322–326.
10. Borgen, A., Darvey, H., Castagnoli, N., Crocker, T. T., Rasmussen, R. E. & Wang, I. Y. (1973) *J. Med. Chem.* **16,** 502–505.
11. Sims, P., Grover, P. L., Swaisland, A., Pal, K. & Hewer, A. (1974) *Nature* **252,** 326–328.
12. Swaisland, A. J., Hewer, A., Pal, K., Keysell, G. R., Booth, J., Grover, P. L. & Sims, P. (1974) *FEBS Lett.* **47,** 34–38.
13. Daudel, P., Duquesne, M., Vigny, P., Grover, P. L. & Sims, P. (1975) *FEBS Lett.* **57,** 250–253.
14. Kodama, M. & Nagata, C. (1975) *Biochemistry* **14,** 4645–4650.
15. Meehan, T. D., Warshawsky, D. & Calvin, M. (1976) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **73,** 1117–1120.
16. Fieser, L. F. & Novello, F. C. (1940) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **62,** 1855–1859.
17. Sims, P. (1968) *J. Chem. Soc. C,* 32–34.
18. Albright, J. P. & Goldman, L. (1967) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **89,** 2416–2423.
19. Yagi, H., Hernandez, O. & Jerina, D. M. (1975) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **97,** 6881–6883.
20. Weinstein, I. B. & Grunberger, D. (1974) in *Chemical Carcinogenesis,* eds. Ts'o, P.O.P. & DiPaolo, J. A. (Marcel Decker, New York), Part A, pp. 217–235.
21. Fuchs, R. & Daune, M. (1973) *FEBS Lett.* **34,** 295–298.