

World J Gastroenterol 2005;11(11):1653-1657 World Journal of Gastroenterology ISSN 1007-9327 © 2005 The WJG Press and Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

• BRIEF REPORTS •

Metabolic syndrome as a risk factor for gallstone disease

Nahum Méndez-Sánchez, Norberto C. Chavez-Tapia, Daniel Motola-Kuba, Karla Sanchez-Lara, Guadalupe Ponciano-Rodríguez, Héctor Baptista, Martha H. Ramos, Misael Uribe

Nahum Méndez-Sánchez, Norberto C. Chavez-Tapia, Daniel Motola-Kuba, Karla Sanchez-Lara, Guadalupe Ponciano-Rodríguez, Héctor Baptista, Martha H. Ramos, Misael Uribe, Departments of Biomedical Research and Gastroenterology and Liver Unit, Medica Sur Clinic and Foundation, Mexico City, Mexico Supported by the Medica Sur Clinic Foundation Correspondence to: Nahum Méndez-Sánchez, M.D., Ph.D., Departments of Biomedical Research, Gastroenterology and Liver Unit, Medica Sur Clinic and Foundation, Puente de Piedra 150, Col. Toriello Guerra, Mexico City, Mexico. nmendez@medicasur.org.mx Telephone: +525-606-6222-4215 Fax: +525-666-4031 Received: 2004-11-18 Accepted: 2004-11-29

Abstract

AIM: To establish an association between the presence of metabolic syndrome and the development of gallstone disease.

METHODS: We carried out a cross-sectional study in a check-up unit in a university hospital in Mexico City. We enrolled 245 subjects, comprising 65 subjects with gallstones (36 women, 29 men) and 180 controls (79 women and 101 men without gallstones). Body mass index, waist circumference, blood pressure, plasma insulin, and serum lipids and lipoproteins levels were measured. Insulin resistance was calculated by homeostasis model assessment. Unconditional logistic regression analysis (univariate and multivariate) was used to calculate the risk of gallstone disease associated with the presence of at least three of the criteria (Adult Treatment Panel III). Analyses were adjusted for age and sex.

RESULTS: Among 245 subjects, metabolic syndrome was present in 40% of gallstone disease subjects, compared with 17.2% of the controls, adjusted by age and gender (odds ratio (OR) = 2.79; 95%CI, 1.46-5.33; P = 0.002), a dose-dependent effect was observed with each component of metabolic syndrome (OR = 2.36, 95%CI, 0.72-7.71; P = 0.16 with one component and OR = 5.54, 95%CI, 1.35-22.74; P = 0.02 with four components of metabolic syndrome). Homeostasis model assessment was significantly associated with gallstone disease (adjusted OR = 2.25; 95%CI, 1.08-4.69; P = 0.03).

CONCLUSION: We conclude that as for cardiovascular disease and diabetes mellitus, gallstone disease appears to be strongly associated with metabolic syndrome.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2005 The WJG Press and Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Key words: Obesity; Metabolic syndrome; Gallstones;

Insulin resistance

Méndez-Sánchez N, Chavez-Tapia NC, Motola-Kuba D, Sanchez-Lara K, Ponciano-Rodríguez G, Baptista H, Ramos MH, Uribe M. Metabolic syndrome as a risk factor for gallstone disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2005; 11(11): 1653-1657 http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/11/1653.asp

INTRODUCTION

The phenotype characteristic of metabolic syndrome was first described by Archard and Thiers^[1] in 1921, in association with polycystic ovary syndrome. In 1956, Vague^[2] systematically described the features of the metabolic syndrome and in 1966, Welborn *et al*^[3], studied 19 nondiabetic patients with essential hypertension and demonstrated that these individuals had significantly higher plasma insulin concentrations compared to a normotensive control group. These observations suggest that the prevalence of resistance to insulin-mediated glucose disposal would be increased in patients with essential hypertension, and it was the first time that the implications of insulin resistance to the development of metabolic syndrome were described. In 1988, Reaven^[4] coined the term syndrome X.

The importance of metabolic syndrome is increasing, especially when associated co-morbidities are considered. The prevalence of metabolic syndrome varies according to the diagnostic criteria selected. The general prevalence is 23.7%, although the prevalence varies widely in population analyses^[5] and is up to 58.3% in Mexican-American women between 40- and 74-year old^[6]. Recently, the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Mexican population was determined to be 26.6% according to NCEP-III criteria^[7].

There are a cluster of metabolic syndromes, that include resistance to insulin-stimulated glucose uptake, glucose intolerance, hyperinsulinemia, increased very low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triacylglycerol, diminished highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) and hypertension^[4]. Furthermore, obesity, which has been progressively increasing worldwide, is closely associated with the increased morbidity and mortality caused by several of the most common diseases in the western world including diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular diseases, cancer, and gallstone disease^[4].

Gallstone disease is a major cause of morbidity in the United States^[8,9], other western countries^[10,11] and Latin American countries such as Chile^[12] and Mexico^[13]. In these countries, the economic impact of gallstone disease is high^[8-11]. Epidemiological studies have identified risk -factors for cholesterol gallstones^[10,14] and obesity and hyperinsulinemia

are the most related of these. In addition, hyperinsulinemia is considered to be a common factor linking cholesterol gallstone disease, diabetes mellitus and obesity^[15,16]. Currently, it is thought that the pathogenesis of cholesterol gallstone disease is multifactorial and the disease probably develops from complex interactions between multiple genetic and environmental factors^[17,18]. The aim of this study was to establish if there is an association between the presence of metabolic syndrome and the development of gallstone disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Population and sample

We conducted a cross-sectional study in the check-up unit of the Diagnostic Clinic at the Medica Sur Clinic and Foundation. This hospital provides care for mainly middleand high-income individuals from Mexico City and surrounding metropolitan areas. Our sample population was formed from a series of consecutive asymptomatic subjects who were referred to the check-up unit by their companies as an annual requirement and not for symptomatic disease. The study included 245 subjects who agreed to participate (no one neglected our invitation to participate), 65 subjects found to have gallstones (36 women, 29 men) and 180 controls (79 women and 101 men without gallstones). Gallstones cases and controls were a series of consecutive asymptomatic subjects from the check-up unit. Real-time ultrasonographic studies were done while the subjects were fasting (as part of usual procedures in check-up unit). Gallstones were defined by the presence of strong intraluminal echoes that were gravity-dependent or that attenuated ultrasound transmission (acoustic shadowing). At the completion of each patient's participation in the study, all ultrasonographic studies were evaluated again by the same radiologist. No discrepancies were found between the results of the first and second evaluations. In the second evaluation, all studies for each subject were viewed side-by-side in a masked fashion. The study was approved by the Medica Sur Clinic and Foundation Ethics Committee.

Questionnaire

Subjects were asked to complete a questionnaire that asked for information on demographic data, age, gender, alcohol consumption, smoking habits, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, chronic liver disease, hyperlipidemia, gastrointestinal surgery (vagotomy gastrectomy for peptic ulcer, ileal resection for inflammatory bowel disease, or any other disease or cause), gravidity, and the use of oral contraceptives.

Metabolic syndrome

Participants, having three or more of the following criteria, were defined as having the metabolic syndrome^[19]. The waist to hip >0.85 and high body fat were defined according to previous definitions^[20,21]. The other criteria were defined according to the Executive Summary of the Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program^[19]. (1) Abdominal obesity: waist circumference >102 cm in men and >88 cm in women; (2) Hypertriglyceridemia: triacylglycerol ≥1.7 mmol/L; (3) Low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol: HDL <1.03 mmol/L in men and <1.3 mmol/L in women; (4) High blood pressure: $\geq 17.3/11.3$ kPa; (5) High fasting glucose: ≥ 6.1 mmol/L.

Physical examination

Body weight was measured, in light clothing and without shoes, to the nearest 0.10 kg. Height was measured to the nearest 0.5 cm. Body mass index was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height (m) squared. Waist circumference (at the nearest 0.1 cm) was measured at the midpoint between the lower border of the rib cage and the iliac crest, and hip circumference was similarly obtained at the widest point between hip and buttock. Body fat percentage was measured using bipolar electric impedance (OMRON model HBF-306INT).

Three blood pressure readings were obtained at 1-min intervals, and the second and third systolic and diastolic pressure readings were averaged and used in the analyses.

Analytical procedures

Insulin levels were measured using an immunoenzymometric assay (MEIA; Abbott Diagnostics), with inter- and intraassay coefficients of variation less than 3%. Plasma glucose in the fasting state was measured in duplicate with an automated analyzer. The coefficient of variation for a single determination was 1.5%. Cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, and triacylglycerol were measured by enzymatic colorimetric methods, using CHOL, HDL-C plus (second generation) and TG assays (Roche Diagnostics Co., Indianapolis, IN). Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL) concentrations were calculated using the Friedewald formula^[22].

Assessment of insulin resistance using the homeostasis model assessment (HOMA-IR)

HOMA-IR was calculated using the following formula: HOMA index = [fasting insulin (μ U/mL) fasting glucose (mmol/L)] 22.5⁻¹, high index of insulin resistance a value >2.5^[23]. HOMA-IR has a close correlation with the insulin sensitivity index by the standard euglycemic hyperinsulinemic clamp, as shown by Matthews *et al*^[23].

Statistical analysis

By means of cross-tabulations, the risks associated with the probability of developing gallstone disease were estimated. Odds ratios (OR) were calculated with the independent variables coded in a binary form. Statistical significance was determined by exact Fisher's test (two-tailed) and 95% confidence intervals and Mann-Whitney U test was used to determine non-normal distribution variables. To derive adjusted OR (by age and gender) associated with the probability of gallstone disease, multivariate unconditional logistic regression analyses were conducted. Multicollinearity in the adjusted models was tested by deriving the covariance matrix. All statistical analyses were carried out with the SPSS/PC v 10.0 program (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). The sample size for the study was chosen so that by assuming a prevalence of metabolic syndrome in cases (40%) and controls (17.2%), in a posthoc power calculation was analyzed to get statistically significant differences among cases and controls with >99% power, Table 2.

RESULTS

There were significant differences in the mean of clinical, laboratory and anthropometric data in relation to cases and controls. Cases were older, had greater waist and hip circumferences, body mass index, % body fat, and higher systolic and diastolic blood pressure, blood glucose and HOMA-IR (Table 1). Table 2 shows the crude (non-controlled) risks. In general terms, when anthropometric variables were tested, a higher obesity grade was associated with a higher probability of gallstone disease; however, non-statistical differences were showed related to gender. Waist circumference was also statistically associated with a higher risk of gallstone disease. Hypertension, which is a variable included within the definition of metabolic syndrome, was associated statistically with gallstone disease. An important fact is that none of the lipid variables (total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, low HDLcholesterol and triacylglycerol) was statistically associated with the risk of gallstone disease (Table 2).

 $\label{eq:table_table_table} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Table 1} & \mbox{Mean differences of continuous variables between cases} \\ \mbox{and controls (mean <math display="inline">\pm \mbox{SD}) \end{array}$

| Variable | Cases Controls n=65 n=180 | | rols 80 | Р | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|------|------------|------|----------|
| Age (yr) | 51.6 | 13.7 | 45.0 | 11.2 | < 0.0001 |
| Waist (cm) | 97.0 | 15.6 | 89.9 | 13.2 | < 0.0001 |
| Hip(cm) | 105.0 | 12.9 | 99.7 | 11.6 | 0.001 |
| Waist to hip ratio | 0.92 | 0.07 | 0.91 | 0.14 | 0.08 |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | 28.4 | 5.7 | 26.3 | 4.8 | 0.001 |
| % body fat | 33.1 | 7.8 | 28.8 | 7.5 | < 0.0001 |
| Systolic blood pressure (kPa) | 15.7 | 2.2 | 14.4 | 1.9 | < 0.0001 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (kPa) | 10.0 | 1.36 | 9.4 | 1.3 | 0.002 |
| Glucose (mmol/L) | 5.9 | 2.3 | 5.3 | 1.5 | 0.01 |
| Insulin (μ U/mL) | 7.1 | 4.3 | 6.1 | 3.9 | 0.10 |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L) | 5.3 | 1.2 | 5.3 | 1.0 | 0.80 |
| HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L) | 1.0 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.35 |
| LDL-cholesterol (mmol/L) | 3.3 | 0.9 | 3.42 | 0.9 | 0.53 |
| Triacylglycerol (mmol/L) | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 0.18 |
| Cholesterol/HDL ratio | 5.3 | 1.4 | 5.1 | 1.6 | 0.34 |
| HOMA index | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.02 |

| Table 2 | Clinical, | demographic, | anthropometric | and biochemica | l variables | associated | with the | e probability | of gallstone | disease | in univariate |
|------------|-----------|--------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|------------|----------|---------------|--------------|---------|---------------|
| logistic r | egressio | n analysis | | | | | | | | | |

| ¥7 | Cases, $n = 65$ | | Controls, $n = 180$ | | OP | | D |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|------|---------------------|------|-------|------------|----------|
| variable | n | % | n | % | OK | 95%CI | Р |
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| Men vs women | 29 | 44.6 | 101 | 56.1 | 0.63 | 0.34-1.12 | 0.15 |
| Age (yr) | | | | | | | |
| ≥45 men; ≥55 women | 38 | 58.5 | 69 | 38.3 | 2.26 | 1.27-4.03 | 0.006 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | | | | | | | |
| >102 men; >88 women | 34 | 52.3 | 40 | 22.2 | 3.84 | 2.11-7.00 | < 0.0001 |
| Waist to hip ratio | | | | | | | |
| >0.85 | 55 | 84.6 | 139 | 77.2 | 1.62 | 0.76-3.46 | 0.29 |
| Body mass index (kg/m^2) | | | | | | | |
| ≥25 | 52 | 80.0 | 103 | 57.2 | 2.99 | 1.52-5.88 | 0.001 |
| Body fat (%) | | | | | | | |
| ≥30 | 41 | 63.1 | 79 | 43.9 | 2.18 | 1.22-3.91 | 0.009 |
| Glucose (mmol/L) | | | | | | | |
| ≥6.1 | 15 | 23.1 | 22 | 12.2 | 2.16 | 1.04-4.47 | 0.04 |
| Triacylglycerol (mmol/L) | | | | | | | |
| ≥17 | 32 | 49.2 | 76 | 42.2 | 1.33 | 0 75-2 34 | 0.38 |
| Triacylglycerol (mmol/L) | 0- | | | | 1.00 | 000 201 | 0.00 |
| ≥2.3 | 20 | 30.8 | 42 | 23.3 | 1 46 | 0 78-2 74 | 0.25 |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L) | | 00.0 | | 2010 | 1.10 | 000 201 | 0.20 |
| ≥ 6.2 | 13 | 20.0 | 31 | 17 2 | 1 20 | 0 59-2 47 | 0.71 |
| I DI -cholesterol (mmol/L) | 10 | 2010 | 01 | | 1120 | 0.007 2.17 | 0.01 |
| ≥ 41 | 16 | 24.6 | 38 | 21.1 | 1 22 | 0.63-2.38 | 0.60 |
| HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L) | 10 | 21.0 | 50 | 21.1 | 1.22 | 0.00 2.00 | 0.00 |
| <1.03 male: <1.3 female | 43 | 66.2 | 110 | 61.1 | 1 24 | 0.69-2.56 | 0.55 |
| HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L) | 10 | 00.2 | 110 | 01.1 | 1.21 | 0.09 2.00 | 0.00 |
| <0.9 | 19 | 29.2 | 51 | 28.3 | 1.05 | 0 56-1 95 | 0.87 |
| HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L) | 17 | 27.2 | 51 | 20.5 | 1.05 | 0.50-1.55 | 0.07 |
| <1 3 | 54 | 83.1 | 135 | 75.0 | 1.64 | 079.340 | 0.23 |
| Total cholesterol/HDL-cholesterol | 54 | 05.1 | 100 | 75.0 | 1.04 | 0.79-0.40 | 0.25 |
| >7 | 8 | 12.3 | 20 | 11 1 | 1 1 2 | 0 47-2 69 | 0.82 |
| Systolic blood pressure (kPa) | 0 | 12.0 | 20 | 11.1 | 1.12 | 0.17 2.09 | 0.02 |
| ≥ 17.3 | 21 | 32.3 | 18 | 10.0 | 4 30 | 2 11-8 76 | <0.0001 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (kPa) | 21 | 02.0 | 10 | 10.0 | 1.50 | 2.11 0.70 | -0.0001 |
| >11 3 | 12 | 18 5 | 14 | 78 | 2 69 | 1 17_6 16 | 0.03 |
| Blood pressure (kPa) | 12 | 10.5 | 14 | 7.0 | 2.09 | 1.17-0.10 | 0.00 |
| $\geq 17.3 / \geq 11.3$ | 21 | 32.2 | 23 | 12.8 | 3 26 | 1 65-6 43 | 0.001 |
| Metabolic syndrome (ATPIII) | 21 | 02.2 | 20 | 12.0 | 0.20 | 1.00 0.10 | 0.001 |
| ves zis no | 26 | 40.0 | 31 | 17 2 | 3 20 | 1 71_6 01 | <0.0001 |
| Metabolic syndrome (ATPIII) | 20 | 40.0 | 51 | 17.2 | 5.20 | 1.71-0.01 | \$0.0001 |
| 0 criterion | 4 | 62 | 39 | 21.7 | 1 | | |
| 1 criterion | 16 | 24.6 | 58 | 32.2 | 2 69 | 0.84-8.65 | 0.10 |
| 2 critoria | 10 | 24.0 | 52 | 28.9 | 3.56 | 1 12_11 31 | 0.03 |
| 3 critoria | 16 | 29.2 | 17 | 20.9 | 9.18 | 2 67-31 55 | <0.001 |
| 4 critoria | 7 | 10.8 | 17 | 67 | 5.10 | 1 42_22 80 | 0.0001 |
| 5 criteria | 3 | 4.6 | 2 | 11 | 14 63 | 1.86-115.2 | 0.01 |
| HOMA index | 0 | 1.0 | 2 | 1.1 | 11.00 | 1.00-110.2 | 0.01 |
| >25 | 17 | 26.2 | 24 | 13 3 | 2 30 | 1 14-4 64 | 0.03 |
| - 2.0 | 1/ | 20.2 | 27 | 10.0 | 2.50 | 1.17-1.01 | 0.00 |

Metabolic syndrome was associated with a more than three-fold risk of gallstone disease (OR = 3.20; 95%CI, 1.71-6.01; P = 0.0001), and the HOMA-IR with a risk of 2.30 (95%CI, 1.14-6.64; P = 0.03) (Table 2). Because age was identified as a potential confounder and there was a trend towards a greater proportion of women in the gallstone disease group, compared to the control group (Table 2), we adjusted the risks by both variables (age and gender) in multivariate models (Table 3). Metabolic syndrome increased its risk, with an OR of 2.79 (95%CI, 1.46-5.33; P = 0.002). Other anthropometric variables remained statistically associated. Low HDL-cholesterol had a borderline risk (OR = 2.32; 95%CI, 1.05-5.11; P = 0.04) in this adjusted analysis.

 Table 3 Adjusted¹ risks associated with the probability of gallstone

 disease in multivariate logistic regression analysis

| Model | OR | 95%CI | Р |
|--|------|------------|----------|
| Glucose (mmol/L) | | | |
| ≥6.1 | 2.05 | 0.96-4.39 | 0.06 |
| Metabolic syndrome (ATPIII) ² | | | |
| Yes vs no | 2.79 | 1.46-5.33 | 0.002 |
| Metabolic syndrome (ATPIII) | | | |
| 0 criterion | 1 | | |
| 1 criterion | 2.36 | 0.72-7.71 | 0.16 |
| 2 criteria | 3.89 | 1.20-12.59 | 0.02 |
| 3 criteria | 7.89 | 2.25-27.73 | 0.001 |
| 4 criteria | 5.54 | 1.35-22.74 | 0.02 |
| 5 criteria | 7.46 | 0.89-62.85 | 0.07 |
| HOMA index | | | |
| >2.5 | 2.25 | 1.08-4.69 | 0.03 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | | | |
| >102 male; >88 women | 3.61 | 1.95-6.71 | < 0.0001 |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | | | |
| 25-29.9 vs <25 | 2.35 | 1.09-5.09 | 0.03 |
| ≥30 vs <25 | 5.84 | 2.47-13.83 | < 0.0001 |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | | | |
| \geq 25 vs < 25 | 3.23 | 1.57-6.62 | 0.001 |
| HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L) | | | |
| <1.3 | 2.32 | 1.05-5.11 | 0.04 |
| Waist to hip ratio | | | |
| >0.85 | 2.20 | 0.95-5.12 | 0.07 |
| | | | |

¹All of the models adjusted by: age (\geq 45 men; \geq 55 women) and gender (men *vs* women). ²Yes = 3 or more criteria of ATPIII. No = 0-2 ATPIII criteria.

DISCUSSION

This is the first study to show an association between gallstone disease and metabolic syndrome in a population with a high prevalence of both diseases^[13,24]. The presence of metabolic syndrome was associated with an increased risk of gallstone disease (OR = 3.20; 95%CI, 1.71-6.01; P = 0.0001). Of all the characteristics of metabolic syndrome, the presence of high waist circumference was the most important factor associated with the risk of having gallstone disease (OR = 3.84; 95%CI, 2.11-7.00; P<0.0001), followed by body mass index (OR = 2.99; 95%CI, 1.52-5.88; P = 0.001). This observation coincides with the importance of obesity as a pathophysiological phenomenon in both the conditions^[18,25,26]. Obesity is an important risk factor for gallstone disease, more so for women than for men, especially considering that women with a body mass index of 30 kg/m^2 or more have at least twice the risk of gallstone disease as

women with a body mass index of less than 25 kg/m^{2[27-33]}. In our study, the presence of high waist circumference was common in patients with gallstone disease compared with the controls (52.3% vs 22.2%). The cornerstone of metabolic syndrome is the presence of obesity, especially considering its increasing prevalence, reaching 20.9% of the United States population^[34]. In Mexican-Americans, the prevalence of high waist circumference in patients with metabolic syndrome is higher (62.7%)^[35]. Furthermore, in the present study, the presence of insulin resistance in patients with gallstone disease is 26.2%, compared with 13.3% in controls (P = 0.03), which confers an increased risk of having gallstone disease (OR = 2.30; 95%CI, 1.14-4.66; P = 0.03). This increased risk could be attributed to the presence of obesity, which is a major risk factor for developing gallstone disease, mainly by the presence of lithogenic bile, a consequence of excessive synthesis of cholesterol^[35,36]. In addition, increases in the plasma insulin levels seen in obesity could be a determinant in developing gallstone disease. Scragg et al^[37], show that mean plasma insulin concentration was higher in patients with gallstone disease, independent of triglyceride levels, and the presence of a state of increased plasma insulin levels associated with obesity increases the bile cholesterol saturation index^[38,39]. In fact, an increase in insulin concentration of 10 μ U/mL was associated with an increased relative risk of developing gallstone disease in women (OR = 1.9; 95%CI, 1.1-4.2). The mechanism by which insulin may increase gallstone formation could be by increasing the activity of hydroxy-3methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase^[40], and insulin has been reported to stimulate the bile acid-independent flow of bile in whole animals^[41], and in perfused liver^[42]. In our multivariate analysis, the increased risk of having metabolic syndrome was maintained in all variables, and show the influence of low HDL cholesterol (OR = 2.32; 95%CI, 1.05-5.11; P = 0.004) on developing gallstone disease. One of the main metabolic characteristics of metabolic syndrome is diminished levels of HDL cholesterol^[19], with this pattern having an increased risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality^[43]. The importance of HDL cholesterol in developing gallstone disease has been shown in different analyses, which show that the bile cholesterol saturation index is negatively correlated with HDL cholesterol. Considering the high association between gallstone disease and metabolic syndrome in this study, the fact that blood pressure, especially systolic blood pressure, was associated with metabolic syndrome and gallstone disease appears logical. With more insulin resistance and higher levels of plasma insulin, this association could be explained by the action of insulin in hypertension. Finally, the presence of three criteria of metabolic syndrome confers a 7.89-fold increased risk of having gallstone disease. These data support the strong relationship between the entities that share the cornerstone phenomena of obesity and insulin resistance.

In conclusion, as in cardiovascular disease and diabetes mellitus, gallstone disease appears to be strongly associated with metabolic syndrome. These results are also consistent with the hypothesis that insulin resistance plays an important role in the pathogenesis of such diseases and that gallstone disease may be a part of metabolic syndrome.

REFERENCES

- Archard C, Thiers J. Le virlisme pilaire et son association a l'insuffisance glycolytique (diabe'te des femmes a barb). Bull Acad Natl Med 1921; 86: 51-64
- 2 **Vague J.** The degree of masculine differentiation of obesities: a factor-determining predisposition to diabetes, atherosclerosis, gout, and uric calculous disease. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1956; **4**: 20-34
- 3 Welborn TA, Breckenridge A, Rubinstein AH, Dollery CT, Fraser TR. Serum-insulin in essential hypertension and in peripheral vascular disease. *Lancet* 1966; 1: 1336-1337
- 4 **Reaven GM.** Banting lecture 1988. Role of insulin resistance in human disease. *Diabetes* 1988; **37**: 1595-1607
- 5 Ford ES, Giles WH, Dietz WH. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome among US adults: findings from the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. JAMA 2002; 287: 356-359
- 6 **Ford ES**, Giles WH. A comparison of the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome using two proposed definitions. *Diabetes Care* 2003; **26**: 575-581
- 7 Aguilar-Salinas CA, Rojas R, Gomez-Perez FJ, Valles V, Rios-Torres JM, Franco A, Olaiz G, Rull JA, Sepulveda J. Analysis of the agreement between the World Health Organization criteria and the National Cholesterol Education Program-III definition of the metabolic syndrome: results from a population-based survey. *Diabetes Care* 2003; 26: 1635
- 8 National Institutes of Health Consensus Development Conference Statement on Gallstones and Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy. Am J Surg 1993; 165: 390-398
- 9 Russo MW, Wei JT, Thiny MT, Gangarosa LM, Brown A, Ringel Y, Shaheen NJ, Sandler RS. Digestive and liver diseases statistics, 2004. *Gastroenterology* 2004; 126: 1448-1453
- 10 Diehl AK. Epidemiology and natural history of gallstone disease. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 1991; **20**: 1-19
- 11 Sandler RS, Everhart JE, Donowitz M, Adams E, Cronin K, Goodman C, Gemmen E, Shah S, Avdic A, Rubin R. The burden of selected digestive diseases in the United States. *Gastroenterology* 2002; 122: 1500-1511
- 12 Medina E, Pascual JP, Medina R. Incidence of biliary lithiasis in Chile. *Rev Med Chil* 1983; 111: 668-675
- 13 Mendez-Sanchez N, Jessurun J, Ponciano-Rodriguez G, Alonso-de-Ruiz P, Uribe M, Hernandez-Avila M. Prevalence of gallstone disease in Mexico. A necropsy study. *Dig Dis Sci* 1993; 38: 680-683
- 14 Mendez-Sanchez N, Vega H, Uribe M, Guevara L, Ramos MH, Vargas-Vorackova F. Risk factors for gallstone disease in Mexicans are similar to those found in Mexican-Americans. *Dig Dis Sci* 1998; 43: 935-939
- 15 Ruhl CE, Everhart JE. Association of diabetes, serum insulin, and C-peptide with gallbladder disease. *Hepatology* 2000; 31: 299-303
- 16 **Diehl AK.** Cholelithiasis and the insulin resistance syndrome. *Hepatology* 2000; **31**: 528-530
- 17 Apstein MD, Carey MC. Pathogenesis of cholesterol gallstones: a parsimonious hypothesis. Eur J Clin Invest 1996; 26: 343-352
- 18 Mendez-Sanchez N, Chavez-Tapia NC, Uribe M. The role of dietary fats in the pathogenesis of gallstones. *Front Biosci* 2003; 8: e420-e427
- 19 Executive Summary of The Third Report of The National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, And Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol In Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). JAMA 2001; 285: 2486-2497
- 20 Hattori K, Becque MD, Katch VL, Rocchini AP, Boileau RA, Slaughter MH, Lohman TG. Fat patterning of adolescents. Ann Hum Biol 1987; 14: 23-28
- 21 Lim SC, Tai ES, Tan BY, Chew SK, Tan CE. Cardiovascular risk profile in individuals with borderline glycemia: the effect of the 1997 American Diabetes Association diagnostic criteria and the 1998 World Health Organization Provisional Report. *Diabetes Care* 2000; **23**: 278-282
- 22 Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the

concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin Chem* 1972; **18**: 499-502

- 23 Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia* 1985; **28**: 412-419
- 24 **Marceau P**, Biron S, Hould FS, Marceau S, Simard S, Thung SN, Kral JG. Liver pathology and the metabolic syndrome X in severe obesity. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1999; **84**: 1513-1517
- 25 Kahn BB, Flier JS. Obesity and insulin resistance. J Clin Invest 2000; 106: 473-481
- 26 Layde PM, Vessey MP, Yeates D. Risk factors for gall-bladder disease: a cohort study of young women attending family planning clinics. J Epidemiol Community Health 1982; 36: 274-278
- 27 Barbara L, Sama C, Morselli Labate AM, Taroni F, Rusticali AG, Festi D, Sapio C, Roda E, Banterle C, Puci A. A population study on the prevalence of gallstone disease: the Sirmione Study. *Hepatology* 1987; 7: 913-917
- 28 The epidemiology of gallstone disease in Rome, Italy. Part II. Factors associated with the disease. The Rome Group for Epidemiology and Prevention of Cholelithiasis (GREPCO). *Hepatology* 1988; 8: 907-913
- 29 Jorgensen T. Gall stones in a Danish population. Relation to weight, physical activity, smoking, coffee consumption, and diabetes mellitus. *Gut* 1989; 30: 528-534
- 30 Sichieri R, Everhart JE, Roth HP. Low incidence of hospitalization with gallbladder disease among blacks in the United States. Am J Epidemiol 1990; 131: 826-835
- 31 **Maurer KR**, Everhart JE, Knowler WC, Shawker TH, Roth HP. Risk factors for gallstone disease in the Hispanic populations of the United States. *Am J Epidemiol* 1990; **131**: 836-844
- 32 Stampfer MJ, Maclure KM, Colditz GA, Manson JE, Willett WC. Risk of symptomatic gallstones in women with severe obesity. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1992; 55: 652-658
- 33 **Everhart JE.** Contributions of obesity and weight loss to gallstone disease. *Ann Intern Med* 1993; **119**: 1029-1035
- 34 Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Ogden CL, Johnson CL. Prevalence and trends in obesity among US adults, 1999-2000. JAMA 2002; 288: 1723-1727
- 35 Park YW, Zhu S, Palaniappan L, Heshka S, Carnethon MR, Heymsfield SB. The metabolic syndrome: prevalence and associated risk factor findings in the US population from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988-1994. Arch Intern Med 2003; 163: 427-436
- 36 Heaton KW, Braddon FE, Emmett PM, Mountford RA, Hughes AP, Bolton CH. Why do men get gallstones? Roles of abdominal fat and hyperinsulinemia. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 1991; 3: 745-751
- 37 Scragg RK, Calvert GD, Oliver JR. Plasma lipids and insulin in gall stone disease: a case-control study. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) 1984; 289: 521-525
- 38 **de Leon MP**, Ferenderes R, Carulli N. Bile lipid composition and bile acid pool size in diabetes. *Am J Dig Dis* 1978; **23**: 710-716
- 39 Kajiyama G, Oyamada K, Nakao S, Miyoshi A. The effect of diabetes mellitus and its treatment on the lithogenesity of bile in man. *Hiroshima J Med Sci* 1981; 30: 221-227
- 40 Nepokroeff CM, Lakshmanan MR, Ness GC, Dugan RE, Porter JW. Regulation of the diurnal rhythm of rat liver betahydroxy-beta-methylglutaryl coenzmye A reductase activity by insulin, glucagon, cyclic AMP and hydrocortisone. Arch Biochem Biophys 1974; 160: 387-396
- 41 **Brunzell JD**, Obesity, diabetes and hypertriglyceridemia, in Recent advances in obesity research III, Bjorntop P, Cairella M, Howard AN, Editors. 1980, John Libbey: London. p. 239-247
- 42 **Storer GB**, Topping DL, Trimble RP. Direct stimulation by glucose and insulin of glycogen synthesis in perfused rat liver. *FEBS Lett* 1981; **136**: 135-137
- 43 Isomaa B, Almgren P, Tuomi T, Forsen B, Lahti K, Nissen M, Taskinen MR, Groop L. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality associated with the metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes Care* 2001; 24: 683-689