

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript**

*Dev Biol*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 February 15.

Published in final edited form as:

*Dev Biol*. 2015 February 15; 398(2): 231–241. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2014.12.006.

# **Tak1, Smad4 and Trim33 redundantly mediate TGF-**β**3 signaling during palate development**

**Jamie Lane**1, **Kenji Yumoto**1, **Mohamad Azhar**2, **Jun Ninomiya-Tsuji**3, **Maiko Inagaki**3,7, **Yingling Hu**4, **Chu-Xia Deng**5, **Jieun Kim**6, **Yuji Mishina**1, and **Vesa Kaartinen**1,\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biologic and Materials Sciences, University of Michigan School of Dentistry, Ann Arbor, MI 48019, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Indiana University, Indianapolis, IN, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Environmental and Molecular Toxicology, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA

<sup>4</sup>Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research, Frederick, MD, USA

<sup>5</sup>Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Macau, Macau SAR, China

<sup>6</sup>The Saban Research Institute of Children's Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

# **Abstract**

Transforming growth factor-beta3 (TGF-β3) plays a critical role in palatal epithelial cells by inducing palatal epithelial fusion, failure of which results in cleft palate, one of the most common birth defects in humans. Recent studies have shown that Smad-dependent and Smad-independent pathways work redundantly to transduce TGF-β3 signaling in palatal epithelial cells. However, detailed mechanisms by which this signaling is mediated still remain to be elucidated. Here we show that TGF-β activated kinase-1 (Tak1) and Smad4 interact genetically in palatal epithelial fusion. While simultaneous abrogation of both *Tak1* and *Smad4* in palatal epithelial cells resulted in characteristic defects in the anterior and posterior secondary palate, these phenotypes were less severe than those seen in the corresponding *Tgfb3* mutants. Moreover, our results demonstrate that Trim33, a novel chromatin reader and regulator of TGF-β signaling, cooperates with Smad4 during palatogenesis. Unlike the epithelium-specific *Smad4* mutants, epithelium-specific *Tak1:Smad4*- and *Trim33:Smad4*-double mutants display reduced expression of *Mmp13* in palatal medial edge epithelial cells, suggesting that both of these redundant mechanisms are required for appropriate TGF-β signal transduction. Moreover, we show that inactivation of Tak1 in *Trim33:Smad4* double conditional knockouts leads to the palatal phenotypes which are identical to those seen in epithelium-specific *Tgfb3* mutants. To conclude, our data reveal added complexity in

<sup>© 2014</sup> Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: -vesak@umich.edu, -phone: 734 -615-4726, -fax: 734-647-2110. 7Present address: Institute of Radiation Biology and Medicine, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

TGF-β signaling during palatogenesis and demonstrate that functionally redundant pathways involving Smad4, Tak1 and Trim33 regulate palatal epithelial fusion.

#### **Keywords**

TGF-β3 signaling; palatogenesis; Tak1; Smad4; Trim33

#### **Introduction**

Cleft palate, one of the most common birth defects in humans, is caused by a failure in palatogenesis (Chai and Maxson, 2006). During mammalian development, the secondary palate, which separates the oral cavity from the nasal cavity, develops as bilateral outgrowths (palatal shelves) of the maxillary processes of the first pharyngeal arch (Bush and Jiang, 2012). Palatal shelves first grow vertically down along the sides of the tongue; then they rapidly elevate and fuse in the midline (Ferguson, 1987). Failure in any of these three processes can result in cleft palate. The palatal shelf growth and patterning are governed by complex interactions between the ectoderm-derived epithelium, and the underlying mesenchyme derived from the cranial neural crest. One of the later events in palatogenesis, albeit a critical one, is epithelial fusion. During this process, the medial edge epithelium (MEE) in tips of the apposing palatal shelves first forms the midline seam, which subsequently disappears. Many studies, both in humans and mice, have shown that signaling initiated by TGF-β3 is required for successful epithelial fusion (Dudas et al., 2007).

TGF-β3 binds and activates a heterotetrameric receptor complex composed of two type II and two type I receptors. Ligand-receptor interactions result in phosphorylation of TGF-β R-Smads 2 and 3 and subsequent complex formation with a common Smad (Co-Smad or Smad4). R-Smad/Co-Smad complexes then accumulate in the nucleus, where they function as transcriptional co-regulators (Shi and Massague, 2003). This so called canonical (or Smad-dependent) signaling is regulated by many proteins interacting either with receptor complexes or Smads, e.g., SARA, Axin, inhibitory Smads (I-Smads) and Trim33 (Derynck and Zhang, 2003; Lamouille et al., 2014; Massague and Xi, 2012). Previous studies have shown that Trim33 (Tif1 $\gamma$ , ectodermin) may regulate TGF-β superfamily signaling in different ways depending on the biological context. Dupont et al suggested that Trim33 is a negative regulator of TGF-β signaling by functioning as a monoubiquitin ligase capable of disrupting activated R-Smad/Co-Smad complexes , while He et al showed that Trim33 can bind to activated R-Smads in competition with Smad4 and mediate distinct TGF-β signaling processes (He et al., 2006). It also has been suggested that Trim33/R-Smad complexes can function as chromatin readers by making target genes accessible to R-Smad/Co-Smad complexes or by controlling the time the Smad complexes are bound to promoter sequences . In addition to the canonical (Smad-dependent) pathway, TGF-βs can also trigger non-canonical (Smad-independent) signaling processes leading to activation of various downstream mediators, such as small rho-related GTPases, TGF-β-activated kinase-1 and downstream map kinase cascades including p38 Mapk, and Ikk-α (Derynck and Zhang, 2003).

*Tgfb3* is strongly and specifically expressed in the MEE (Fitzpatrick et al., 1990; Pelton et al., 1990), and mouse embryos deficient in *Tgfb3,* as well as epithelium-specific *Tgfbr1* or *Tgfbr2* mutants suffer from defective palatal epithelial fusion (Dudas et al., 2006; Kaartinen et al., 1995; Proetzel et al., 1995; Xu et al., 2006). Xu et al previously showed that, at least in palatal explant cultures *in vitro*, Smad-dependent (Smad4-mediated) and Smadindependent (p38Mapk-mediated) pathways act redundantly during palatal epithelial fusion (Xu et al., 2008). Here, we show that cooperation between different TGF-β downstream mediators is even more extensive. By using tissue-specific mouse mutants in conjunction with a whole-head roller culture assay, we demonstrate that both *Tak1:Smad4*- and *Trim33:Smad4*-double conditional mutants display specific palatal fusion defects, and that simultaneous deletion/inactivation of all three proteins in palatal epithelial cells results in palatal phenotypes typically seen in epithelium-specific *Tgfb3* mutants.

# **Experimental Procedures**

**Mice**

*Tgfb3F, Tak1F IkkaF* and *Trim33F* mice have been described earlier (Doetschman et al., 2012; Kim and Kaartinen, 2008; Liu et al., 2008; Yumoto et al., 2013). *K14-Cre* and *Smad4<sup>F</sup>* mice were obtained from S. Millar (Andl et al., 2004) and C. Deng (Yang et al., 2002), respectively. To generate mutant embryos, Cre-positive male mice heterozygous for floxed (F) gene(s) were crossed with female mice carrying corresponding homozygous floxed allele(s) (see Table I). For timed matings, the presence of a vaginal plug was designated as embryonic day 0 (E0). DNA for genotyping was prepared from tail tissues using DirectPCR lysis reagents (Viagen Biotech). Mouse lines were maintained in mixed genetic backgrounds. All experiments involving the use of animals were approved by the Institutional Animal Use and Care Committee at the University of Michigan-Ann Arbor (Protocol #00004320).

#### **Histology, immunohistochemistry and cell death assays**

Embryos were collected into sterile DPBS and fixed at 4°C overnight in freshly prepared 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. Samples used for wax embedding were washed, dehydrated through a graded ethanol series (20, 50, 70, 95 and 100%) and an overnight step in 50% Ethanol/50% Toluene, one hour step in 100% toluene, one hour step in 50% toluene/50% fresh Blue Ribbon Tissue Embedding/Infiltration Medium (Leica Surgipath) before being oriented and embedded in fresh Blue Ribbon Tissue Embedding/Infiltration Medium (Leica Surgipath) after three changes. 7 $\mu$ m sections (histology and immunohistochemistry) were cut, mounted on Superfrost plus slides (Fisher) and stained with hematoxylin and eosin according to standard protocols. Fusion% was calculated as described by (Sun et al., 1998). Briefly, the length of confluence was divided by total length of adherence and multiplied by 100. For immunohistochemistry, sections were rehydrated, and after antigen retrieval (5–20 minutes at 95–100°C in 10mM citrate buffer, pH6.0) proliferating cells were detected using Ki67 antibody (#M7249; Dako). Cells positive for phosphorylated p38Mapk were detected using p-p38 Mapk antibody (#4511; Cell Signaling). Antibody binding was visualized with Alexa Fluor 594 secondary antibody (Life Technologies). Apoptotic cells were detected using a TUNEL assay (Dead End, Promega) following manufacturer's instructions.

Fluorescent images were viewed on an inverted fluorescent Leica DMI3000B microscope and documented using an Olympus DP72 camera.

#### **In situ hybridization**

Embryos were processed and embedded in paraffin as described above. 10µm sections were cut and mounted on glass slides. RNA probes were labeled using a DIG-labeled NTP mix (Roche Applied Science) according to manufacturer's instructions, stored at −80°C and diluted in hybridization buffer. Section ISH was performed as described (Moorman et al, 2001). After staining, sections were fixed and mounted in Immu-Mount (Thermo Scientific). Probe templates for *Tgfb3* and *Mmp13* were prepared as described (Blavier et al., 2001; Dudas et al., 2004).

#### **Real-time quantitative PCR**

Tissues were harvested from tips of palatal shelves from E14 and E15 mouse embryos, placed into 200µl of RLT (RNeasy mini kit, Qiagen), and RNAs were isolated by using RNeasy columns (Qiagen). cDNAs were synthesized by using Omniscript reverse transcriptase (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocols. Real time quantitative PCR experiments were done either by using Universal Probe library-based assays (Roche Applied Science) or by using TaqMan assay reagents (Applied Biosystems) (see Table II). 30µl assays were quantified using Applied Biosystems ABI7300 PCR and ViiA7 detection systems and software. Data were normalized to β-actin mRNA levels using the  $2<sup>-</sup>$  Ct method.

#### **Whole-head roller culture assays**

Roller culture assays were modified from (Goudy et al., 2010). Heads from E15 embryos were collected in DPBS and mandibles, tongues, and brains were removed. The resulting mid-face samples were cultured for 24 hours at 37°C in roller bottles (60ml serum bottles) (60 rotations/minute) in serum-free BGJb medium without penicillin and streptomycin. The bottles were gassed at the beginning of the culture and again every 12 hours by gently bubbling the medium for 2 minutes with  $O_2/CO_2$  (95%/5%). The palatal cultures were fixed, sectioned and stained as described above. In 5Z-7-oxozeanenol (Tak1 inhibitor; 10 $\mu$ M; eMolecules) or SB202190 (p38 Mapk inhibitor; 10µM; Sigma-Aldrich) treated samples, the inhibitor was added directly into the culture medium.

#### **Western-blot assays**

Palatal shelf edges were removed and cultured as described above in 2ml cryovials (60 rotations/minute) at 37°C in BGJb medium for 1 hour and then stimulated with TGF-β3 (10ng/ml) (#243-B3-002; R&D Systems) for 40 minutes. Tissues were then isolated and lysed in 2x Laemmli sample buffer, ran on NuPage 4–12% Bis-Tris gradient gels (Invitrogen) and transferred by "iBlot dry blotting" (Invitrogen) onto nitrocellulose filters. Immunobloting and detection were done using standard protocols. The antibodies used were p-38 Mapk (N-20) (#SC-728; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and p-p38 MapK (#4511; Cell Signaling).

#### **Statistical analyses**

For histological and real-time quantitative PCR analyses three or more samples were analyzed. Averages, standard error and probability (Student's t-test, 2-tailed) were calculated. Probability (p) equal or less than 0.05 was marked as statistically significant  $(*)$ .

# **Results**

#### **Epithelium-specific Smad4 mutants display mild defects in palatogenesis**

Smad-dependent and Smad-independent pathways have been shown to play functionally redundant roles during palatal epithelial fusion (Xu et al., 2008). To confirm and expand these findings, we first compared palate phenotypes of epithelium-specific *Tgfb3* mutants (*Tgfb3FF:K14-Cre = Tgfb3-cKO*) to those of corresponding *Smad4-cKOs (Smad4FF:K14- Cre). Tgfb3*-cKOs display a fusion defect in the anterior palate, failure of the anterior palate to fuse to the nasal septum, variable degree of fusion in the mid-palate, persistent midline seam and occasionally a cleft in the posterior palate (Fig. 1 A–F). These observed phenotypes are less severe than those seen in *Tgfb3* germline mutants (Kaartinen et al., 1995; Proetzel et al., 1995), but are practically identical to those seen in epithelium-specific *Tgfbr1* and *Tgfbr2* mutants (Dudas et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2006) suggesting that the phenotypic difference between *Tgfb3* germ line mutants and *Tgfb3-cKO* results, at least in part, from the inability of *K14-Cre* to recombine in the periderm as shown by (Lane et al., 2014). In contrast to phenotypes seen in *Tgfb3-cKOs,* palatal phenotypes displayed by *Smad4-cKO*s were very mild (Fig. 1G–I, M and Table III), yet consistent and previously unappreciated (Xu et al., 2008). These include posterior epithelial triangles and occasional failure of the anterior palate to fuse, and the nasal septum to fuse to the anterior palate (1/4).

# **Simultaneous deletion of TGF-**β **activated kinase-1 and Smad4 results in anterior and posterior palate defects**

To further examine cooperation between Smad-independent and Smad-dependent pathways during palatal epithelial fusion in vivo, we generated an allelic series of double mutants lacking one or both alleles of *Tak1 (w*hich, at least in some cell and/or tissue types, is an upstream activator of p38 Mapk (Yamashita et al., 2008)) and *Smad4* in epithelial cells by crossing transgenic *K14-Cre* male mice (Andl et al., 2004) that also were heterozygous for both *Tak1F* and *Smad4F* alleles, with double homozygote *Tak1FFSmad4FF* female mice (Table III). Epithelium-specific *Tak1* mutants (Supplementary Fig. 1) and double heterozygotes showed no palatal phenotypes, while corresponding *Tak1:Smad4* double mutants (herein called *Tak1:Smad4-dcKO*) displayed specific and consistent defects in palatogenesis (Fig. 1J–L, M, and Table III), e.g., persistent epithelial seam, anterior fusion defect and a failure of the nasal septum to fuse to the anterior secondary palate. *Cre*-positive embryos heterozygous for the *floxed Tak1* allele and homozygous for the *floxed Smad4*  allele showed similar but milder defects as the dcKOs with variable penetrance (Table III).

#### **Additional modifiers of TGF-**β **signaling during palatal epithelial fusion**

Our results suggested that Tak1 plays a redundant regulatory role in TGF- $\beta$  signaling, perhaps by functioning upstream of p38Mapk in palatal epithelial cells. Yet, the

*Tak1:Smad4-dcKO* palatal phenotypes (Fig. 1J–L) were noticeably less severe than those seen in corresponding *Tgfb3-cKOs* (Fig. 1D–F) or *Tgfbr1-cKOs* (Dudas et al., 2006)). Therefore we wondered whether, in addition to the Tak1-mediated pathway, other signaling mediators could be involved in TGF-β3-induced palatal epithelial fusion. As candidates, we chose Ikk-α (Chuk) and Trim33 (Tif1γ; ectodermin), because both proteins have been shown to be able to modulate TGF-β signaling both by Smad-dependent and Smadindependent mechanisms contingent on the biological context (He et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2008). Our results showed that epithelium-specific single mutants (i.e., *Ikka-cKOs* and *Trim33-cKOs)* did not show palatal phenotypes (Supplementary Fig. 1, and data not shown), and that *Ikka:Smad4-dcKO* mutant palates did not significantly differ from those of *Smad4 cKOs* (Fig. 2A–F,M) suggesting that Ikk-α does not contribute to TGF-β-triggered MEE removal. However, *Trim33:Smad4-dcKO* mutants consistently showed a persistent midline epithelial seam both in the anterior and in the posterior palate, and between the nasal septum and the anterior palate (Fig. 2G–L,M and Table IV). This phenotype differs from that seen in *Smad4-cKOs* or in *Tak1:Smad4-dcKOs* (compare Fig.1 and 2) suggesting that Trim33 and Smad4 cooperate in palatal epithelial fusion that are at least in part distinct from that of a combined role of Tak1 and Smad4.

# **Expression of a TGF-**β **signaling target Mmp13 is affected in Tak1:Smad4- and Trim33:Smad4 - double conditional mutants**

It has been previously shown that *Mmp13* expression is rapidly induced by TGF-β3 signaling in the midline seam during palatogenesis (Blavier et al., 2001; Xu et al., 2006). To analyze whether Tak1 and Smad4- or Trim33 and Smad4-mediated pathways are involved in regulation of *Mmp13* expression, we first harvested palatal shelves from control, *Tgfb3 cKO, Smad4-cKO, Tak1:Smad4-dcKO* and *Trim33:Smad4-dcKO* embryos at E15.0 and used qRT-PCR to quantify their *Mmp13* mRNA levels. As shown in Fig. 3A, *Mmp13* expression was significantly reduced in *Tgfb3, Tak1:Smad4-dcKO* and *Trim33:Smad4-dcKO* samples, while the reduction in *Smad4-cKO* samples was rather modest and not statistically significant (Fig. 3A). We also used section ISH to analyze *Mmp13* and *Tgfb3* expression in the MES and nasal septal epithelium (Fig. 3B–I). Cre-negative control and *Smad4-cKO*  samples displayed strong *Tgfb3* and *Mmp13* expression both in the MES and in the nasal septal epithelium. In *Tak1:Smad4-dcKOs* there was a notable reduction in *Mmp13*  expression, while in *Trim33:Smad4-dcKOs Mmp13* expression was barely detectable. In both samples, *Tgfb3* mRNA levels did not differ from those seen in controls. These results suggest that a known TGF-β signaling target *Mmp13* is regulated both by *Tak1:Smad4*- and *Trim33:Smad4*-mediated pathways in palatal medial edge epithelial cells.

#### **Altered gene expression in TGF-**β **pathway mutants**

Iwata et al recently reported that signaling via TgfβRII stimulates *Irf6* expression, which in turn suppresses dNp63 allowing appropriate *p21 (Cdkn1a, p21Cip1)* expression (Iwata et al., 2013). In prefusion palatal shelves at E14, we could not detect any differences in *Irf6* or *dNP63* expression levels between the control, *Tgfb3-cKO, Smad4-cKO, Tak1:Smad4-dcKO*  or *Tak1:Smad4-dcKO* samples *(*Fig.3J). In the fusing palatal shelves at E15, *Irf6* was reduced only in *Tak1:Smad4-dcKO* samples, while dNP63 levels were increased in *Tgfb3*-

and *Smad4-cKO* samples. In contrast to the lack of differences in *Irf6* and *dNP63* expression at E14, *p21* expression levels were reduced in all samples lacking *Smad4 (i.e., Smad4-cKO, Tak1:Smad4-dcKO and Trim33:Smad4-dcKO)*, but not in *Tgfb3-cKO* samples (Fig. 3J). In addition to p21, TGF-β signaling has been shown to regulate expression of other cyclindependent kinase inhibitors in the MEE (Iordanskaia and Nawshad, 2011). Therefore, we compared expression levels of *p15 (Cdkn2b, p15Ink4b), p16 (Cdkn2a, p16Ink4a)* and *p57 (Cdkn1c, p57Kip2)* as outlined above (Fig. 3K and data not shown). At E14, *p16* expression was significantly reduced in *Tgfb3-cKOs* and in *Tak1:Smad4-dcKOs,* but not in *Trim33:Smad4-dcKOs,* whereas *Tgfb3-cKO* samples showed a dramatic increase in *p57*  expression at E15 (expression levels of p15 were very low and did not show detectable differences between controls and different mutants; data not shown). Taken together, differences in expression level of candidate genes between *Tak1:Smad4-dcKOs* and *Tgfb3* cKOs suggest that Tak1 and TGF-β3 can affect, at least, in part distinct pathways that act together to promote palatal epithelial fusion. Similarly, differences in gene expression between *Trim33:Smad4* and *Tak1:Smad4* double mutants imply that these molecules mediate distinct arms of TGF-β signaling.

# **Epithelial cell proliferation and apoptosis are variably affected in Tak1:Smad4- and Trim33:Smad4- dcKOs**

Previous studies showed that TGF-β signaling in the palatal epithelium induces cell cycle arrest that is a prerequisite for the disappearance of the midline seam by apoptosis and other possible mechanisms (Cui et al., 2003; Iwata et al., 2013; Nawshad, 2008). To examine whether cell proliferation in the MES was affected in *Tak1:Smad4*- or *Trim33:Smad4 dcKOs,* we used Ki67 immunostaining on anterior frontal sections obtained from control (Cre-negative) and *dcKO* embryos at E14.5 E15.0 (Fig. 4A–C). Both *Tak1:Smad4*- and *Trim33:Smad4-dcKOs* showed an increased number of positively staining epithelial cells in the tip of the nasal septum and in epithelial tips of prefusion palatal shelves when compared to the controls (Fig. 4A–C, J). In contrast, the number of TUNEL-positive apoptotic cells was significantly reduced in the control and mutant samples in the anterior palate on the level of the nasal septum (Fig. 4D, F, H). MEE cells of *Tak1:Smad4-dcKO*s showed reduced phosphorylation (activation) of apoptosis-associated TGF-β-induced signaling molecule p38 Mapk, while *Trim33:Smad4-dcKOs* did not demonstrate comparable changes (Fig. 4 E, G, I).

# **Inactivation of Tak1 in Trim33:Smad4-dcKOs phenocopies the palate defects seen in Tgfb3-cKOs**

As outlined above, the palatal phenotypes of both *Tak1:Smad4*- and *Trim33:Smad4-dcKOs,*  while consistent and clear, were still less severe than those seen in  $Tgfb3-cKOs$ . Therefore, we wondered whether combined loss of *Tak1, Smad4* and *Trim33* would result in palatal phenotypes similar to those seen in *Tgfb3-cKOs.* To this end, we used a potent Tak1 inhibitor, 5Z-7-oxozeaenol, in conjunction with *Trim33:Smad4-dcKOs ex vivo* by using a whole-head roller culture assay. Control cultures treated with 5Z-7-oxozeaenol, which efficiently blocked p38 Mapk phosphorylation (Fig. 5I), showed consistent and reliable fusion identical to that seen in untreated cultures (Fig. 5A–D). Furthermore, *Smad4-cKO*  cultures did not demonstrate detectable phenotypes, and with addition of Tak1 inhibitor

resembled the *Tak1:Smad4-dcKO* phenotype (Supplementary Fig. 2). Under similar culture conditions, *Trim33:Smad4-dcKOs* without the Tak1 inhibitor showed a persistent midline seam both in the anterior and posterior palate, comparable to that seen in *Trim33:Smad4 dcKOs* in vivo (Fig. 5E,F). However, treatment of double-cKO cultures with the Tak1 inhibitor resulted in palatal phenotypes practically identical to those seen in *Tgfb3-cKOs*, i.e., an anterior hole and a posterior epithelial bridge (Fig. 5G,H). These data suggest that Tak1, Smad4 and Trim33 are redundantly transducing TGF-β3 signaling to remove the midline epithelial seam, and to facilitate palatal anterior and posterior fusion during palatogenesis (Fig. 6).

# **Discussion**

Palatogenesis is a complex developmental process involving appropriate growth, elevation and fusion of palatal shelves (Ferguson, 1988). While the role of TGF-β3 in the palatal epithelium (MEE) is well-established (Kaartinen et al., 1995; Proetzel et al., 1995), recent studies have shown that mechanisms by which the downstream signaling is transduced are surprisingly complicated, involving redundantly acting canonical and non-canonical arms of TGF-β signaling (Iwata et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2008). Here we show that in MEE cells, redundancy of TGF-β signal transduction is even more extensive, since recapitulation of the *Tgfb3-cKO* palatal phenotype required inactivation of three separate genes/gene products (*Tak1, Smad4, Trim33)* mediating different arms of TGF-β signaling.

Developing palatal shelves are composed of the mesenchyme (largely derived from the cranial neural crest with a minor contribution coming from the cranial paraxial mesoderm) and from the ectoderm-derived surface epithelium, which is covered by a thin layer of peridermal cells (Lane and Kaartinen, 2014). Recent studies have shown that peridermal cells play a key role in palatal shelf adherence, and that this layer has to be removed for normal palatal adhesion and fusion to take place (Wu et al., 2013a). *Tgfb3* is expressed in both cell types (Lane et al., 2014) and interestingly, the cleft palate phenotype of *Tgfb3* null mutants can be partially rescued by transducing *Tgfb3* exclusively in peridermal cells (Wu et al., 2013a). Likewise, *Tgfb3-cKO* mice, which lack *Tgfb3* in epithelial cells including the MEE but not in peridermal cells, display significantly milder palatal phenotypes than those seen in systemic *Tgfb3* mutants ((Lane et al., 2014) and the present study), suggesting that TGF-β3 signaling is important for peridermal cell removal and is thus required for adhesion and fusion between the palatal shelves in the mid-palate. In fact, *Tgfb3-cKO* palatal phenotypes are practically identical to those seen in epithelium-specific *Tgfbr1* or *Tgfbr2*  mutants (Dudas et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2006). Moreover, this distinct palatal phenotype can be seen in mice expressing *Tgfb1* in the *Tgfb3* locus (Yang and Kaartinen, 2007) suggesting that *Tgfb1* can functionally replace *Tgfb3* in peridermal cells but not in MEE cells. Nevertheless, the consistent defects of *Tgfb3-cKO* embryos in the anterior and posterior palate (anterior hole, nasal septum fusion defect and posterior epithelial bridge/submucous cleft) show that TGF-β3-mediated signaling is also crucial in the MEE, and that the *K14-Cre*  driver line is a useful tool to study gene functions in this cell type during palatogenesis.

A previous study on epithelium-specific *Tgfbr2:K14-Cre* mice suggested that TGF-β via TgfbRII triggers a Smad4-Irf6 signaling cascade, which downregulates *dNP63* resulting in

p21 induction, cell cycle arrest and removal of MEE cells (Iwata et al., 2013). Using the same *K14-Cre* transgenic line (Andl et al., 2004) we demonstrate that *Tgfb3-cKO* embryos, which display clear and consistent palatal defects similar to those seen in *Tgfbr2:K14-Cre*  mutants, do not show differences in *p21* expression in prefusion or fusing palatal shelves. In addition, *Smad4-cKOs*, which display a very mild phenotype in the posterior palate, consistently show reduced levels of *p21* expression. Based on these results we conclude that changes in *p21* expression are not causally related to the observed palatal phenotypes. Despite the obvious reduction in *p21* expression in *Smad4-cKOs* as well as in *Tak1:Smad4* and *Trim33:Smad4-dcKOs*, we could not see significant differences in *Irf6* or *dNP63*  expression in prefusion palatal shelves at E14. In fusing palatal shelves at E15, *Tak1:Smad4 dcKOs* palatal shelves showed reduction in *Irf6* expression while palatal tissues harvested from *Tgfb3-cKOs* at E15 showed an increase in *dNP63*. Whether these discrepancies between our current findings and those reported by others (Iwata et al., 2013) are caused by experimental variables, e.g., timing and/or technique of tissue harvest and differences in genetic backgrounds, or whether they are caused by other currently unknown causes, remains to be shown.

In epithelial cells, TGF-βs are well-known growth inhibitory cytokines (Siegel et al., 2003). It has been suggested that inhibition of MEE proliferation by TGF- $\beta$  signaling triggers a cascade of events that results in the removal of MEE via apoptosis (and to some extent by transdifferentiation/migration) (Cui et al., 2003; Iwata et al., 2013; Nawshad, 2008). Despite some differences in gene expression patterns between *Tak1:Smad4-dcKOs* and *Trim33:Smad4-dcKOs,* we show that both of these epithelium-specific double mutants show increased levels of proliferation, particularly in the epithelial tips of the nasal septum, while a smaller number of TUNEL-positive nuclei could be seen both in the epithelial tips of palatal shelves and nasal septum. Increased cell proliferation is consistent with our finding that p21 expression levels are reduced in both *dcKO*s. However, it is likely that expression of other negative cell cycle regulators, e.g., p16, is also regulated by TGF-β, as p21 expression levels are not reduced in *Tgfb3* mutants, although they display a welldocumented failure to suppress cell proliferation in the prefusion MEE (Cui et al., 2003; Dudas et al., 2004; Martinez-Alvarez et al., 2000).

A role of Tak1 in regulation of TGF-β3-induced p38Mapk activation is currently controversial . Several studies have suggested that Traf6-Tak1 signaling module mediates TGF-β-induced p38Mapk activation (Kim et al., 2009; Sorrentino et al., 2008; Yamashita et al., 2008), while other studies have proposed that instead of Tak1, other Map3Ks, e.g., Map3K4 and Map3K10, play the key role in transducing TGF-β signaling that results in p38 Mapk phosphorylation (Sapkota, 2013). We previously showed that neural crest-derived ectomesenchymal cells lacking *Tak1* fail to demonstrate TGF-β-induced p38 Mapk activation (Yumoto et al., 2013). Our present results imply that also in MEE cells Tak1 is at least partially responsible for mediating p38 Mapk activation, since we could see reduction in p38Mapk phosphorylation in *Tak1:Smad4-dcKOs* when compared to controls or *Trim33:Smad4-dcKOs.* Moreover, similar to palatal enxplant cultures with inactivated p38 Mapk , inactivation of Tak1 alone, which totally abolished the p38Mapk phosphorylation (Fig. 5I), did not result in defects in palatogenesis (Fig. 5), while simultaneous inactivation

of Tak1 and deletion of *Smad4* in explant cultures resulted in palatal phenotypes similar to that seen in *Tak1:Smad4-dcKOs* in vivo (Supplementary Fig. 1). In the roller culture assay, *Tak:Wnt1-Cre* mutant palatal shelves were able to go through normal palatogenesis (Yumoto et al., 2013) suggesting that lack of Tak1 in the palatal mesenchyme does not alter the ability of the palatal shelves to go through normal fusion. In our study, the Tak1 inhibitor was added at the time of palatal shelf adhesion and does not cause visible phenotypes within the mesenchyme that would alter the way analysis is done in the presence of a persistent epithelial seam. In addition, upon simultaneous inactivation of Tak1 and deletion of *Smad4* in the roller culture system, we see a palatal phenotype similar to that seen in *Tak1:Smad4-dcKOs* in vivo. The Tak1 inhibitor 5Z-7-oxozeaenol also inhibits several other protein kinases when tested with a kinase panel (Wu et al., 2013b), and therefore we cannot fully exclude a possibility that (in addition to Tak1), other protein kinases would be partially responsible for p38 Mapk inhibition and for the observed palate phenotypes in the roller culture system. However, notable similarities between *Tak1* mutant palate phenotypes in vivo and corresponding phenotypes in inhibitor-treated samples in vitro (as shown above), together with the finding that the inhibitor displays remarkable specificity in inhibition of Tak1 pathway in cell lines (Wu et al., 2013b), alleviate these concerns.

Mutations in the *IRF6* gene cause van der Woude syndrome, an autosomal dominant syndrome characterized by cleft lip and/or palate (Kondo et al., 2002). Mouse embryos lacking *Irf6* display early differentiation defects of the ectoderm, which are practically identical to those seen in *Ikka* knockout mice (Ingraham et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2008; Richardson et al., 2006). Therefore, it is surprising that deletion of *Ikka* in epithelial cells including the MEE does not result in noticeable defects in palatogenesis. Instead, we discovered that in MEE cells, concomitant deletion of a novel chromatin reader *Trim33* and *Smad4* led to defective palatal fusion. Several recent reports have demonstrated that epigenetic mechanisms involving appropriate histone modifications are crucial for successful craniofacial development and palatogenesis. In humans, haploinsufficiency of histone-3 demethylases, e.g., *PHF8* and *KATB6,* has been shown to result in cleft palate (Clayton-Smith et al., 2011; Laumonnier et al., 2005), while neural crest specific deletion of *Hdac3* resulted in severe craniofacial defects including cleft palate in mouse embryos (Singh et al., 2013). Trim33 is a multifunctional protein (Dupont et al., 2005), which has been shown to both facilitate and antagonize TGF-β signaling. In differentiating stem cells, Trim33, when complexed with Smad2, is able to bind to specific poised chromatin marks found in the promoter regions of master regulators, rendering them accessible to R-Smad/Co-Smad complexes (Xi et al., 2011). In other cellular systems, Trim33 has been shown to mono-ubiquitinate Smad4 disrupting Smad4-chromatin complexes and limiting their residence time at TGF-β-responsive enhancers (Agricola et al., 2011; Dupont et al., 2009). Here we show that in MEE cells Trim33 does not function as a negative regulator of canonical TGF-β signaling, but rather that Trim33 and Smad4 are functionally redundant during palatal fusion (Fig. 6). A similar redundant role of Smad4 and Trim33 in control of neural stem cell proliferation was recently shown to take place in the developing cortex (Falk et al., 2014).

In conclusion, our data indicate that complex and largely redundant mechanisms involving both non-canonical and canonical arms of TGF-β signaling control disappearance of MEE cells during palatal fusion. These involve Tak1, Smad4 and a novel Smad2 binding partner and a putative chromatin reader Trim33 (Fig. 6). While the roles of Tak1 and Trim33 appear fully redundant with Smad4, our results also reveal a subtle but consistent, and previously unappreciated, non-redundant role for Smad4 in MEE cells during palatogenesis.

# **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

# **Acknowledgements**

We thank Manas K. Ray and Greg Scott for technical assistance, Junichi Iwata for sharing unpublished data, and Ben Allen, Deneen Wellik, Penny Thomas and Rogerio Castilho for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the NIH RO1 grants RO1DE020843 (to YM), RO1GM068812 (JN) and RO1DE013085 (to VK).

# **References**

- Agricola E, Randall RA, Gaarenstroom T, Dupont S, Hill CS. Recruitment of TIF1gamma to chromatin via its PHD finger-bromodomain activates its ubiquitin ligase and transcriptional repressor activities. Molecular cell. 2011; 43:85–96. [PubMed: 21726812]
- Andl T, Ahn K, Kairo A, Chu EY, Wine-Lee L, Reddy ST, Croft NJ, Cebra-Thomas JA, Metzger D, Chambon P, Lyons KM, Mishina Y, Seykora JT, Crenshaw EB, Millar SE 3rd. Epithelial Bmpr1a regulates differentiation and proliferation in postnatal hair follicles and is essential for tooth development. Development. 2004; 131:2257–2268. [PubMed: 15102710]
- Blavier L, Lazaryev A, Groffen J, Heisterkamp N, DeClerck YA, Kaartinen V. TGF-beta3-induced palatogenesis requires matrix metalloproteinases. Mol.Biol.Cell. 2001; 12:1457–1466. [PubMed: 11359935]
- Bush JO, Jiang R. Palatogenesis: morphogenetic and molecular mechanisms of secondary palate development. Development. 2012; 139:231–243. [PubMed: 22186724]
- Chai Y, Maxson RE Jr. Recent advances in craniofacial morphogenesis. Dev.Dyn. 2006; 235:2353– 2375. [PubMed: 16680722]
- Clayton-Smith J, O'Sullivan J, Daly S, Bhaskar S, Day R, Anderson B, Voss AK, Thomas T, Biesecker LG, Smith P, Fryer A, Chandler KE, Kerr B, Tassabehji M, Lynch SA, Krajewska-Walasek M, McKee S, Smith J, Sweeney E, Mansour S, Mohammed S, Donnai D, Black G. Wholeexome-sequencing identifies mutations in histone acetyltransferase gene KAT6B in individuals with the Say-Barber-Biesecker variant of Ohdo syndrome. American journal of human genetics. 2011; 89:675–681. [PubMed: 22077973]
- Cui XM, Chai Y, Chen J, Yamamoto T, Ito Y, Bringas P, Shuler CF. TGF31 beta3-dependent SMAD2 phosphorylation and inhibition of MEE proliferation during palatal fusion. Dev.Dyn. 2003; 227:387–394. [PubMed: 12815624]
- Derynck R, Zhang YE. Smad-dependent and Smad-independent pathways in TGF-beta family signalling. Nature. 2003; 425:577–584. [PubMed: 14534577]
- Doetschman T, Georgieva T, Li H, Reed TD, Grisham C, Friel J, Estabrook MA, Gard C, Sanford LP, Azhar M. Generation of mice with a conditional allele for the transforming growth factor beta3 gene. Genesis. 2012; 50:59–66. [PubMed: 22223248]
- Dudas M, Kim J, Li WY, Nagy A, Larsson J, Karlsson S, Chai Y, Kaartinen V. Epithelial and ectomesenchymal role of the type I TGF-beta receptor ALK5 during facial morphogenesis and palatal fusion. Dev.Biol. 2006; 296:298–314. [PubMed: 16806156]
- Dudas M, Li WY, Kim J, Yang A, Kaartinen V. Palatal fusion Where do the midline cells go? A review on cleft palate, a major human birth defect. Acta Histochem. 2007; 109:1–14. [PubMed: 16962647]

- Dudas M, Nagy A, Laping NJ, Moustakas A, Kaartinen V. Tgf-beta3-induced palatal fusion is mediated by Alk-5/Smad pathway. Dev.Biol. 2004; 266:96–108. [PubMed: 14729481]
- Dupont S, Mamidi A, Cordenonsi M, Montagner M, Zacchigna L, Adorno M, Martello G, Stinchfield MJ, Soligo S, Morsut L, Inui M, Moro S, Modena N, Argenton F, Newfeld SJ, Piccolo S. FAM/ USP9x, a deubiquitinating enzyme essential for TGFbeta signaling, controls Smad4 monoubiquitination. Cell. 2009; 136:123–135. [PubMed: 19135894]
- Dupont S, Zacchigna L, Cordenonsi M, Soligo S, Adorno M, Rugge M, Piccolo S. Germ-layer specification and control of cell growth by Ectodermin, a Smad4 ubiquitin ligase. Cell. 2005; 121:87–99. [PubMed: 15820681]
- Falk S, Joosten E, Kaartinen V, Sommer L. Smad4 and trim33/tif1gamma redundantly regulate neural stem cells in the developing cortex. Cerebral cortex. 2014; 24:2951–2963. [PubMed: 23765158]
- Ferguson MW. Palate development: mechanisms and malformations. Ir. J.Med.Sci. 1987; 156:309– 315.
- Ferguson MW. Palate development. Development. 1988; 103(Suppl):41–60. [PubMed: 3074914]
- Fitzpatrick DR, Denhez F, Kondaiah P, Akhurst RJ. Differential expression of TGF beta isoforms in murine palatogenesis. Development. 1990; 109:585–595. [PubMed: 2401212]
- Goudy S, Law A, Sanchez G, Baldwin HS, Brown C. Tbx1 is necessary for palatal elongation and elevation. Mech Dev. 2010; 127:292–300. [PubMed: 20214979]
- He W, Dorn DC, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, Moore MA, Massague J. Hematopoiesis controlled by distinct TIF1gamma and Smad4 branches of the TGFbeta pathway. Cell. 2006; 125:929–941. [PubMed: 16751102]
- Ingraham CR, Kinoshita A, Kondo S, Yang B, Sajan S, Trout KJ, Malik MI, Dunnwald M, Goudy SL, Lovett M, Murray JC, Schutte BC. Abnormal skin, limb and craniofacial morphogenesis in mice deficient for interferon regulatory factor 6 (Irf6). Nat Genet. 2006; 38:1335–1340. [PubMed: 17041601]
- Iordanskaia T, Nawshad A. Mechanisms of transforming growth factor beta induced cell cycle arrest in palate development. Journal of cellular physiology. 2011; 226:1415–1424. [PubMed: 20945347]
- Iwata J, Suzuki A, Pelikan RC, Ho TV, Sanchez-Lara PA, Urata M, Dixon MJ, Chai Y. Smad4-Irf6 genetic interaction and TGFbeta-mediated IRF6 signaling cascade are crucial for palatal fusion in mice. Development. 2013; 140:1220–1230. [PubMed: 23406900]
- Kaartinen V, Voncken JW, Shuler C, Warburton D, Bu D, Heisterkamp N, Groffen J. Abnormal lung development and cleft palate in mice lacking TGF-beta 3 indicates defects of epithelialmesenchymal interaction. Nat.Genet. 1995; 11:415–421. [PubMed: 7493022]
- Kim J, Kaartinen V. Generation of mice with a conditional allele for Trim33. Genesis. 2008; 46:329– 333. [PubMed: 18543301]
- Kim SI, Kwak JH, Na HJ, Kim JK, Ding Y, Choi ME. Transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-beta1) activates TAK1 via TAB1-mediated autophosphorylation, independent of TGF-beta receptor kinase activity in mesangial cells. J Biol Chem. 2009; 284:22285–22296. [PubMed: 19556242]
- Kondo S, Schutte BC, Richardson RJ, Bjork BC, Knight AS, Watanabe Y, Howard E, de Lima RL, Daack-Hirsch S, Sander A, McDonald-McGinn DM, Zackai EH, Lammer EJ, Aylsworth AS, Ardinger HH, Lidral AC, Pober BR, Moreno L, Arcos-Burgos M, Valencia C, Houdayer C, Bahuau M, Moretti-Ferreira D, Richieri-Costa A, Dixon MJ, Murray JC. Mutations in IRF6 cause Van der Woude and popliteal pterygium syndromes. Nat Genet. 2002; 32:285–289. [PubMed: 12219090]
- Lamouille S, Xu J, Derynck R. Molecular mechanisms of epithelial-mesenchymal transition. Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology. 2014; 15:178–196.
- Lane J, Kaartinen V. Signaling networks in palate development. Wiley interdisciplinary reviews. Systems biology and medicine. 2014; 6:271–278. [PubMed: 24644145]
- Lane J, Yumoto K, Pisano J, Azhar M, Thomas PS, Kaartinen V. Control elements targeting Tgfb3 expression to the palatal epithelium are located intergenically and in introns of the upstream Ift43 gene. Frontiers in physiology. 2014; 5:258. [PubMed: 25071603]
- Laumonnier F, Holbert S, Ronce N, Faravelli F, Lenzner S, Schwartz CE, Lespinasse J, Van Esch H, Lacombe D, Goizet C, Phan-Dinh Tuy F, van Bokhoven H, Fryns JP, Chelly J, Ropers HH, Moraine C, Hamel BC, Briault S. Mutations in PHF8 are associated with X linked mental

retardation and cleft lip/cleft palate. Journal of medical genetics. 2005; 42:780–786. [PubMed: 16199551]

- Liu B, Xia X, Zhu F, Park E, Carbajal S, Kiguchi K, DiGiovanni J, Fischer SM, Hu Y. IKKalpha is required to maintain skin homeostasis and prevent skin cancer. Cancer cell. 2008; 14:212–225. [PubMed: 18772111]
- Martinez-Alvarez C, Tudela C, Perez-Miguelsanz J, O'Kane S, Puerta J, Ferguson MW. Medial edge epithelial cell fate during palatal fusion. Dev.Biol. 2000; 220:343–357. [PubMed: 10753521]
- Massague J, Xi Q. TGF-beta control of stem cell differentiation genes. FEBS letters. 2012; 586:1953– 1958. [PubMed: 22710171]
- Nawshad A. Palatal seam disintegration: to die or not to die? that is no longer the question. Dev Dyn. 2008; 237:2643–2656. [PubMed: 18629865]
- Pelton RW, Dickinson ME, Moses HL, Hogan BL. In situ hybridization analysis of TGF beta 3 RNA expression during mouse development: comparative studies with TGF beta 1 and beta 2. Development. 1990; 110:609–620. [PubMed: 1723948]
- Proetzel G, Pawlowski SA, Wiles MV, Yin M, Boivin GP, Howles PN, Ding J, Ferguson MW, Doetschman T. Transforming growth factor-beta 3 is required for secondary palate fusion. Nat.Genet. 1995; 11:409–414. [PubMed: 7493021]
- Richardson RJ, Dixon J, Malhotra S, Hardman MJ, Knowles L, Boot-Handford RP, Shore P, Whitmarsh A, Dixon MJ. Irf6 is a key determinant of the keratinocyte proliferation-differentiation switch. Nat Genet. 2006; 38:1329–1334. [PubMed: 17041603]
- Sapkota GP. The TGFbeta-induced phosphorylation and activation of p38 mitogen34 activated protein kinase is mediated by MAP3K4 and MAP3K10 but not TAK1. Open biology. 2013; 3:130067. [PubMed: 23760366]
- Shi Y, Massague J. Mechanisms of TGF-beta signaling from cell membrane to the nucleus. Cell. 2003; 113:685–700. [PubMed: 12809600]
- Siegel PM, Shu W, Massague J. Mad upregulation and Id2 repression accompany transforming growth factor (TGF)-beta-mediated epithelial cell growth suppression. J Biol Chem. 2003; 278:35444– 35450. [PubMed: 12824180]
- Singh N, Gupta M, Trivedi CM, Singh MK, Li L, Epstein JA. Murine craniofacial development requires Hdac3-mediated repression of Msx gene expression. Dev Biol. 2013; 377:333–344. [PubMed: 23506836]
- Sorrentino A, Thakur N, Grimsby S, Marcusson A, von Bulow V, Schuster N, Zhang S, Heldin CH, Landstrom M. The type I TGF-beta receptor engages TRAF6 to activate TAK1 in a receptor kinase-independent manner. Nature cell biology. 2008; 10:1199–1207.
- Sun D, Vanderburg CR, Odierna GS, Hay ED. TGFbeta3 promotes transformation of chicken palate medial edge epithelium to mesenchyme in vitro. Development. 1998; 125:95–105. [PubMed: 9389667]
- Wu C, Endo M, Yang BH, Radecki MA, Davis PF, Zoltick PW, Spivak RM, Flake AW, Kirschner RE, Nah HD. Intra-amniotic transient transduction of the periderm with a viral vector encoding TGFbeta3 prevents cleft palate in Tgfbeta3(−/−) mouse embryos. Molecular therapy : the journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy. 2013a; 21:8–17. [PubMed: 23089732]
- Wu J, Powell F, Larsen NA, Lai Z, Byth KF, Read J, Gu RF, Roth M, Toader D, Saeh JC, Chen H. Mechanism and in vitro pharmacology of TAK1 inhibition by (5Z)-7-Oxozeaenol. ACS chemical biology. 2013b; 8:643–650. [PubMed: 23272696]
- Xi Q, Wang Z, Zaromytidou AI, Zhang XH, Chow-Tsang LF, Liu JX, Kim H, Barlas A, Manova-Todorova K, Kaartinen V, Studer L, Mark W, Patel DJ, Massague J. A poised chromatin platform for TGF-beta access to master regulators. Cell. 2011; 147:1511–1524. [PubMed: 22196728]
- Xu X, Han J, Ito Y, Bringas P Jr, Deng C, Chai Y. Ectodermal Smad4 and p38 MAPK are functionally redundant in mediating TGF-beta/BMP signaling during tooth and palate development. Dev Cell. 2008; 15:322–329. [PubMed: 18694570]
- Xu X, Han J, Ito Y, Bringas P Jr, Urata MM, Chai Y. Cell autonomous requirement for Tgfbr2 in the disappearance of medial edge epithelium during palatal fusion. Dev.Biol. 2006; 297:238–248. [PubMed: 16780827]

- Yamashita M, Fatyol K, Jin C, Wang X, Liu Z, Zhang YE. TRAF6 mediates Smad-independent activation of JNK and p38 by TGF-beta. Molecular cell. 2008; 31:918–924. [PubMed: 18922473]
- Yang LT, Kaartinen V. Tgfb1 expressed in the Tgfb3 locus partially rescues the cleft palate phenotype of Tgfb3 null mutants. Dev.Biol. 2007; 312:384–395. [PubMed: 17967447]
- Yang X, Li C, Herrera PL, Deng CX. Generation of Smad4/Dpc4 conditional knockout mice. Genesis. 2002; 32:80–81. [PubMed: 11857783]
- Yumoto K, Thomas PS, Lane J, Matsuzaki K, Inagaki M, Ninomiya-Tsuji J, Scott GJ, Ray MK, Ishii M, Maxson R, Mishina Y, Kaartinen V. TGF-beta-activated kinase 1 (Tak1) mediates agonistinduced Smad activation and linker region phosphorylation in embryonic craniofacial neural crestderived cells. J Biol Chem. 2013; 288:13467–13480. [PubMed: 23546880]

# **Highlights**

- **•** *Tak1* and *Smad4* interact genetically during palatal epithelial fusion
- **•** *Trim33* and *Smad4* cooperate during palatal epithelial fusion
- **•** Inactivation of *Tak1* in *Trim33:Smad4* double mutants results in *Tgfb3*-like phenotype

Lane et al. Page 16



#### **Figure 1. Epithelium-specific deletion of** *Tgfb3, Smad4* **and** *Tak1* **together with** *Smad4* **results in defects in palatogenesis**

Frontal sections (E18.0) on the anterior level (A, D, G, J), mid anterior (B, E, H, K) and posterior (C, F, I, L) levels (see N for levels of sections along the anterior-posterior axis). A-C, Control; D-F, *Tgfb3-cKO*, G-I, *Smad4-cKO,* J-L, *Tak1:Smad4-dcKO.* Asterisks in A, B, C, G illustrate the palatal mesenchymal confluence, black arrows in D and J point to the sites of failed fusion in the anterior palate, black arrows in E and K point to a gap between the nasal septum and the secondary palate, the black arrow in F points to an epithelial bridge in the posterior palate and black arrowheads in H, I, K and L point to persistent epithelial seams. Scale bar, 200 µm. Bar graph (M) illustrates the degree of fusion (mesenchymal confluence); Error bars, SEM; \*, p<0.05; Ant, anterior level; Post, posterior level; NS, fusion between the nasal septum and secondary palate. N, mouse mouth roof at E18; superior view; red lines illustrate the levels of frontal sections shown in  $A-L$  (n=3).



**Figure 2. Simultaneous deletion of** *Trim33* **and** *Smad4* **in epithelial cells leads to persistence of the midline seam**

Frontal sections (E18.0) on the anterior level (A, D, G, J), mid anterior (B, E, H, K) and posterior (C, F, I, L) levels (see Fig 1N). A–C and G–I, Control; D–F, *Ikka:Smad4-dcKO,* J-L, *Trim33:Smad4-dcKO.* Asterisks in A, B, C, D, E, G, H, I illustrate the palatal mesenchymal confluence, black arrowheads in E point to epithelial islands between the nasal septum and the posterior palate, arrowhead in F points to the persistent oral epithelial angle and black arrows in J, K, and L point to persistent epithelial seams. Scale bar, 200  $\mu$ m. Bar graph (M) illustrates the degree of fusion (mesenchymal confluence); Error bars, SEM;

\*, p<0.05; Ant, anterior level; Post, posterior level; NS, fusion between the nasal septum and secondary palate (n=3).

Lane et al. Page 19



#### **Figure 3. Gene expression differences in prefusion and fusing palatal shelves between controls and TGF-**β **pathway mutants**

A, QRT-PCR analysis of *Mmp13* expression in palatal tissues of control (blue), *Tgfb3cKO*  (red), *Smad4-cKO* (green), *Tak1:Smad4-dcKO* (purple) and *Trim33:Smad4-dcKO*  (turquoise) at E15 (Error bars, SEM; \*,  $p<0.05$ ,  $n>3$  or more). B-I, In situ hybridization for *Tgfb3* (B, C, D, E) and for *Mmp13* (F, G, H, I). B, F, Control; C, G, *Smad4-cKO; D, H,*  Tak1:Smad4-dcKO; E, I, *Trim33:Smad4-dcKO.* Frontal sections of E15 embryos; anterior level. Scale bar in I, 100µm (for B-I). J, QRT-PCR analysis of *Irf6, dNP63* and *p21*  expression in palatal tissues from control, *Tgfb3-cKO, Smad4-cKO, Tak1:Smad4-dcKO* and *Trim33:Smad4-dcKO* embryos harvested at E14 and E15 (Error bars, SEM; \*, p<0.05, n>3). K, QRT-PCR analysis of *p16,* and *p57* expression in palatal tissues from control, *Tgfb3 cKO, Smad4-cKO, Tak1:Smad4-dcKO* and *Trim33:Smad4-dcKO* embryos harvested at E14 and E15 (Error bars, SEM;  $*$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ;  $n > 3$ ).



**Figure 4. Proliferation and cell death are affected in** *Tak1:Smad4* **and** *Trim33:Smad4***-dcKOs** Immunostaining for Ki67 (red) in control (A, A ), *Tak1:Smad4*- (B, B ) and *Trim33:Smad4* dcKO (C, C) at E14.5-E15. Frontal sections, anterior level. Counterstaining with DAPI (blue nuclei). In A, B and C palatal shelves are forming a contact with each other, and in A , B C the midline seam is forming. White arrowheads in A-C point to Ki67-positive cells in the MEE and nasal septum epithelium. TUNEL staining to detect apoptotic/necrotic cells (white arrows in D, F, H; green nuclei) in control (D), *Tak1:Smad4:dcKOs* (F) and in *Trim33:Smad4-dcKO(H)* samples (E15.0). Frontal sections, anterior level; counter staining

with DAPI (blue). Immunostaining for phosphorylated p38 Mapk (p-p38, red staining) in control (E), *Tak1:Smad4:dcKOs* (G) and in *Trim33:Smad4-dcKO* (I) samples (E15.0). White arrows in E, G, and I point to positively-staining cells. Frontal sections, anterior level; counter staining with DAPI (blue). Scale bar in A, 50µm (for A-I). J, Bar graph shows quantification of proliferation (Ki67, positively staining cells both in the nasal septum and MEE were counted) apoptosis (TUNEL) and phopho-p38 Mapk assays (Error bars, SEM; \*, p<0.05; n>3).



**Figure 5. Conditional removal of** *Trim33* **and** *Smad4* **along with Tak1 inhibition recapitulates the palatal phenotype seen in** *Tgfb3-cKO* **embryos**

A whole-head roller culture assay of Cre-negative controls (A-D) and Trim33:Smad4 dcKOs (E-H) in the absence  $(A,B, E, F)$  and presence of Tak1 inhibitor  $(C, D, G, H)$ . A, C, E, G, frontal sections, anterior level; B, D, F, H, frontal sections, posterior level. Asterisks in A, B, C, D illustrate mesenchymal confluence, black arrow in D points to oral epithelial triangle, black arrows in E point to intact MEE cells in palatal shelves and nasal septum, black arrow in F points to the persistent epithelial seam, black arrows in G point to the anterior cleft and black arrow in H points to the posterior epithelial bridge. Scale bar, 100µm. I, Tak1 inhibitor 5Z-7-oxozeaenol (5Z) prevents p38 Mapk phosphorylation in palatal shelves harvested from whole-head roller cultures. J, bar graph shows degree of palatal fusion in control and *Trim33:Smad4-cKO* samples cultured in the presence or absence of 5Z in a whole-head roller culture assay. Error bars, SEM;  $*$ ,  $p<0.05$ ;  $n=3$ ). Blue columns, anterior palate; red columns, posterior palate. 0% fusion represents a full cleft or the presence of a fully intact epithelial seam.



## **Figure 6. Schematic drawing illustrating cooperation between Tak1, Smad4 and Trim33 during palatal epithelial fusion**

MEE, medial edge epithelium; MES, midline seam.

#### **Table I**

# Crosses used to generate mutant embryos



#### **Table II**

# Real-time quantitative PCR



 $\overline{a}$ 

J.

l. l,

# **Table III**

Summary of palatal defects in *Tgfb3, Smad4, Tak1 cKOs* and *Tak1:Smad4-dcKOs (E18.0)*.



### **Table IV**

Summary of palatal defects in *Ikka*- and *Trim33-cKOs and Ikka:Smad4*- and *Trim33:Smad4-dcKOs* (E18.0).

