

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

Stem Cell Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 November 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Stem Cell Res. 2014 November; 13(3 0 0): 413–421. doi:10.1016/j.scr.2014.09.005.

# CstF-64 is Necessary for Endoderm Differentiation Resulting in Cardiomyocyte Defects

#### Bradford A. Youngblood and Clinton C. MacDonald

Department of Cell Biology & Biochemistry, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, 3601 4th Street, Lubbock, Texas 79430-6540, USA

# Abstract

Although adult cardiomyocytes have the capacity for cellular regeneration, they are unable to fully repair severely injured hearts. The use of embryonic stem cell (ESC)-derived cardiomyocytes as transplantable heart muscle cells has been proposed as a solution, but is limited by the lack of understanding of the developmental pathways leading to specification of cardiac progenitors. Identification of these pathways will enhance the ability to differentiate cardiomyocytes into a clinical source of transplantable cells. Here, we show that the mRNA 3' end processing protein, CstF-64 is essential for cardiomyocyte differentiation in mouse ESCs. Loss of CstF-64 in mouse ESCs results in loss of differentiation potential towards the endodermal lineage. However, CstF-64 knockout ( $Cstf2^{E6}$ ) cells were able to differentiate into neuronal progenitors, demonstrating that some differentiation pathways were still intact. Markers for mesodermal differentiation were also present, although  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells were defective in forming beating cardiomyocytes and expressing cardiac specific markers. Since the extraembryonic endoderm is needed for cardiomyocyte differentiation and endodermal markers were decreased, we hypothesized that endodermal factors were required for efficient cardiomyocyte formation in the  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells. Using conditioned medium from the extraembryonic endodermal (XEN) stem cell line we were able to restore cardiomyocyte differentiation in  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells, suggesting that CstF-64 has a role in regulating endoderm differentiation that is necessary for cardiac specification and that extraembryonic endoderm signaling is essential for cardiomyocyte development.

#### Keywords

CstF-64; embryonic stem cell differentiation; cardiomyocytes; endoderm

<sup>© 2014</sup> The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V.

Corresponding Author: Clinton C. MacDonald, Department of Cell Biology & Biochemistry, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, 3601 4th Street, Lubbock, Texas 79430-6540, USA, Phone: +1-806-743-2524, Fax: +1-806-743-2990, clint.macdonald@ttuhsc.edu.

The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

### Introduction

Embryonic stem cell (ESC) derived cardiomyocytes offer a source of transplantable heart cells [1–3]. Embryonic stem cell (ESC) derived cardiomyocytes offer a source of transplantable heart cells, but the developmental and molecular pathways leading to specification of cardiac progenitors remain unclear. In vertebrates, the heart is the first organ to become functional after gastrulation. For this process, the primitive endoderm must be present to promote specification of the nascent mesoderm into cardiomyocytes [4,5]. This process can be mimicked in vitro either by co-culture of ESCs with endodermal cell lines [6–8] or by addition of conditioned media from various primitive endodermal cell lines [9]. Recent experiments have elucidated the role of the endoderm in cardiomyocyte differentiation [10]. Our interests here are to understand the role of RNA processing in controlling the cardiogenic factors required to enable differentiation of ESC-derived cardiomyocytes.

CstF-64 is the RNA-binding component of the cleavage stimulation factor (CstF) that is necessary for efficient and accurate polyadenylation of most mRNAs [11–15]. As such, CstF-64 is involved in the expression of many cellular mRNAs [16–18] including mRNAs encoding replication-dependent histones [19–22]. Previously, we showed that CstF-64 was necessary for correct histone mRNA 3' end processing as well as maintenance of pluripotency in mouse ESCs [23]. CstF-64 knockout ESCs (*Cstf2<sup>E6</sup>* cells) displayed decreased expression of pluripotency markers and partial differentiation toward ectodermal and endodermal lineages. Wild type ESCs and *Cstf2<sup>E6</sup>* cells also express the mammalian paralog of CstF-64,  $\tau$ CstF-64, which is necessary for spermatogenesis [24–27]. Increased expression of  $\tau$ CstF-64 in *Cstf2<sup>E6</sup>* cells probably accounted for their viability in the absence of CstF-64 [23].

In the  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells, loss of CstF-64 reduced pluripotency and led to partial differentiation in ESCs [23]. Therefore, we wondered whether CstF-64 was also required for differentiation of ESCs to other cell lineages including endoderm, ectoderm, and mesoderm [28,29]. Here we demonstrate that CstF-64 is needed for proper differentiation of mouse ESCs into the endodermal lineage, but not into ectodermal or mesodermal endpoints; and that endoderm is required for further differentiation of mesoderm into cardiomyocyte cells.  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells, when differentiated into embryoid bodies (EBs), displayed a defect in cavitation and a decrease in both primitive and definitive endoderm markers, suggesting disruption of endoderm differentiation. However, both mesoderm and ectoderm markers were expressed normally. In agreement with the EB data,  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells were capable of differentiating into neuronal progenitors (an ectodermal lineage). However, in contrast to their expression of mesodermal markers, *Cstf2<sup>E6</sup>* cells displayed a profound defect in cardiomyocyte differentiation, showing a significant decrease in spontaneous beating and expression of cardiac markers. To account for this, we determined that endoderm differentiation was severely disrupted in the  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cardiomyocytes, although mesoderm markers were increased. However, we were able to rescue the spontaneous beating and expression of cardiac markers in the  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cardiomyocytes through the addition of conditioned medium from extraembryonic endodermal (XEN) stem cells, demonstrating that mesodermal and post-mesodermal potential of the cells was normal. These data support a necessary role for

the primitive endoderm in ESC-derived cardiomyocyte differentiation and suggest that CstF-64 is needed for cardiomyocyte differentiation through the expression of paracrine factors that regulate endoderm differentiation.

# Results

#### CstF-64 and TCstF-64 are downregulated during in vitro differentiation

Cstf2<sup>E6</sup> cells are C57BL/6N-derived Lex3.13 mouse ESCs that have a gene trap cassette inserted between the first and second exons of Cstf2 (Figure 1A), and thus do not express detectable CstF-64 [23]. Cstf2<sup>E6</sup> cells have lost pluripotency markers and display characteristics of partially differentiated cells (ibid.). Thus, we were curious how these cells responded to differentiation signals. We induced wild type ESCs and  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells to differentiate into embryoid bodies (EBs, see Materials and Methods) and examined the expression of CstF-64 and other polyadenylation factors. In wild type EBs, CstF-64 and TCstF-64 expression seemed to decrease after 15 days of differentiation (Figure 1B, lanes 1, 3, 5, and 7). In the  $Cstf2^{E6}$  EBs,  $\tau$ CstF-64 expression was consistently increased compared to wild type (lanes 2, 4, 6, and 8), possibly due to a compensatory mechanism that is activated upon CstF-64 depletion [23,30,31]. We also examined CstF-77 and CPSF-100 protein expression, which was unchanged between WT and *Cstf2<sup>E6</sup>* cells at all time points (Figure 1B). These data suggest that both CstF-64 and TCstF-64 respond independently to differentiation signals, while other polyadenylation factors are unaffected; the other polyadenylation factors also do not respond to loss of CstF-64. This is consistent with our previous observation that these proteins did not change in  $Cstf^{E6}$  cells [23].

#### Cstf2<sup>E6</sup> embryoid bodies do not cavitate

EBs are capable of differentiating into derivatives of all three germ layers [4,5]. Upon removal of leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) and growth as either hanging drop or in suspension culture, ESCs form aggregates that mimic mammalian pregastrulation development and early gastrulation stages [32]. The primitive endoderm, specifically the visceral endoderm gives rise to a fluid-filled cavity that is essential for proper gastrulation. To examine the differentiation potential of the  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells, we performed embryoid body in vitro differentiation experiments. Interestingly, the Cstf2<sup>E6</sup> EBs did not form cavities (Figure 1D), as did wild type EBs (Figure 1C). Lack of cavitation suggested a defect in primitive endoderm differentiation [33]. To further verify endoderm disruption in the  $Cstf2^{E6}$  EBs, we performed qRT-PCR on markers for all three germ layers and markers representing pluripotency. Consistent with the lack of cavitation observed, the Cstf2<sup>E6</sup> EBs displayed significant reduction of endodermal markers compared to wild type EBs, including the primitive endoderm marker, Afp, and the endoderm markers Foxa2 and Sox17 (Figure 1E-G). In contrast, the mesodermal markers, Hand1, Mixl1, Msx1 and the primitive streak marker, *Eomes* were significantly increased in the *Cstf2<sup>E6</sup>* EBs (Figure 1H–J). However, the hemato-cardiovascular marker, Flk1 was significantly increased in the wild type EBs compared to the  $Cstf2^{E6}$  EBs (Supplemental Table 2). In addition, expression of some of these markers, e. g., Mix11 and Mesp1, seemed delayed compared to other studies [34,35]. This may reflect impaired differentiation or delay in mesoderm formation.

Ectoderm markers did not show a consistent expression pattern between the  $Cstf2^{E6}$  and wild type EBs (Figure 1K–M).

The pluripotency markers *Nanog* and *Klf4* displayed decreased expression in the wild type EBs, consistent with the activation of differentiation transcriptional programs (Supplemental Table 2). Interestingly, *Nanog* displayed increased expression in the  $Cstf2^{E6}$  EBs on day 15, whereas *Klf4* followed a similar pattern to wild type EBs. This increased expression of *Nanog* is consistent with the lack of endoderm differentiation [36]. These data suggest that the  $Cstf2^{E6}$  EBs have a defect in endodermal lineage expression, consistent with their lack of cavitation, but have higher mesodermal characteristics. Ectoderm lineage markers are only partially affected.

#### CstF-64 is not necessary for in vitro neuronal differentiation

To further test the differentiation potential, we subjected wild type ESCs and  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells to either the N2B27 or the 4–/4+ protocol to differentiate them into neuronal progenitors. N2B27 is a chemically defined serum free media that only allows neuronal progenitors to proliferate in a monolayer [37], whereas the 4–/4+ protocol requires embryoid body formation and retinoic acid supplementation followed by laminin attachment [38]. Both wild type ESCs and  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells seemed competent to differentiate into morphologically neuronal cell types (Figure 2A, B). We further performed RT-PCR and qRT-PCR on neuronal markers *Blbp* and *Mash1* (Figure 2C and Supplemental Table 3). Wild type ESC- and  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cell-derived neuronal progenitors demonstrated increased expression of both *Blbp* and *Mash1* mRNAs using both protocols, with the 4–/4+ protocol inducing a greater increase (Figure 2C). These data suggest that CstF-64 is not necessary for *in vitro* differentiation of ESCs into the neuronal lineage, possibly due to the functional redundancy of  $\tau$ CstF-64.

# Cstf2<sup>E6</sup> cells are unable to form beating cardiomyocytes

Cardiomyocytes, while of mesodermal origin, require paracrine factors from endoderm for early specification [9]. Although mesodermal markers were increased in the *Cstf2<sup>E6</sup>* cells (Figure 1H–J), endodermal markers were significantly decreased relative to wild type (Figure 1E–G). Therefore, we wondered whether the *Cstf2<sup>E6</sup>* cells were capable of differentiation to cardiomyocytes, a mesoderm-derived cell type. We subjected both wild type ESCs and *Cstf2<sup>E6</sup>* cells to a cardiomyocyte differentiation protocol [39] and counted the number of EBs that demonstrated spontaneous beating on days 8, 10, and 12. Wild type ESC-derived cardiomyocytes demonstrated 65–75% beating EBs for all three days, indicating efficient cardiomyocyte differentiation (Figure 3A). In contrast, the *Cstf2<sup>E6</sup>* ESC derived cardiomyocytes demonstrated very little spontaneous beating, with only 2–5% EBs displaying beating (Figure 3A). In wild type ESCs, CstF-64 levels decreased at day 10 of the cardiomyocyte differentiation protocol, then increased slightly at day 15 (Supplemental Figure 1, lanes 1, 3, 5).  $\tau$ CstF-64 decreased at both 10 and 15 days of the protocol (lanes 1, 3, 5), but increased at day 10 in the *Cstf2<sup>E6</sup>* cells (lanes 2, 4, 6). CstF-77 did not appear to change throughout the protocol.

Consistent with the lack of rhythmic beating, the  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells displayed significantly reduced cardiac markers (*Myl2*, *Myh6*, *Myh7*, *Myl7*, and *Actc1*), significantly higher mesodermal markers (*Msx1*, *Hand1*, and *Mixl1*), and significantly decreased primitive and definitive endodermal markers (*Afp*, *Ttr*, *Foxa2*, *Sox17*, and *Gata4*) compared to wild type cells (Figure 3B, C, and Supplemental Figure 2). In addition, expression of the transcription factor *Eomes* mRNA in  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cardiomyocytes was delayed compared to wild type cardiomyocytes (Figure 3B). Consistent with *Eomes* role in activating *Mesp1* expression to specify the cardiac mesoderm [40], *Mesp1* expression also demonstrated a delayed pattern in  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cardiomyocytes (Figure 3B). These data demonstrate that  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells are impaired in cardiomyocyte differentiation, though not in mesoderm differentiation. They further

in cardiomyocyte differentiation, though not in mesoderm differentiation. They further suggest that the impairment is due to a disruption in endoderm signals and not due to defective mesoderm differentiation.

# Cstf2<sup>E6</sup> cardiomyocyte potential can be rescued using XEN cell-conditioned media

Extraembryonic endoderm XEN stem cells are derived from late blastocyst stage embryos and serve as a developmentally relevant source of primitive endoderm factors [9]. To examine whether defective primitive endoderm differentiation led to cardiomyocyte disruption in  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells, we used medium that had been conditioned by XEN stem cells to promote cardiomyocyte differentiation.

Wild type and  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells were subjected to the cardiomyocyte differentiation protocol using either ESC medium without LIF or XEN cell-conditioned medium. As before, Cstf2<sup>E6</sup> cells formed few beating cardiomyocytes compared to wild type ESCs (Figure 4A). Addition of XEN media to wild type cardiomyocytes did not significantly change the beating efficiency. However, the addition of XEN cell-conditioned media on days 4-6 of differentiation almost completely rescued the ability of the  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells to form beating cardiomyocytes, with approximately 65% of EBs displaying beating (Figure 4A). Consistent with the increase in beating incidence, there was an increase in the expression of mRNAs encoding the cardiac markers, Myh7, Myl2, and Actc1 in the  $Cstf2^{E6}$  XEN medium treated cells compared to the non-treated cells (Figure 4B). Addition of XEN cell-conditioned medium to the Cstf2<sup>E6</sup> cardiomyocytes decreased the expression of the mesoderm marker *Mixl1* relative to non-treated  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells. In addition, there was an increase in the expression of the primitive endoderm marker Afp in the  $Cstf2^{E6}$  XEN-treated cells compared to the non-treated cells. These data demonstrate that the cardiomyocyte differentiation potential in  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells can be rescued using conditioned media from the XEN cells, suggesting that endoderm-derived paracrine factors are sufficient to promote cardiomyogenesis in these cells. Further, they demonstrate that CstF-64 is necessary for proper endoderm differentiation that is necessary to specify cardiac progenitors for efficient cardiomyocyte differentiation, but that CstF-64 is not otherwise necessary for differentiation to the mesoderm lineage or cardiomyocytes.

#### Discussion

The use of stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes is an appealing approach for treating heart disease [1,2], but it has not yet demonstrated clinical success in humans [3]. However,

Youngblood and MacDonald

before it can be used in regenerative medicine, an efficient and reproducible cardiomyocyte differentiation protocol must be established, requiring a molecular investigation of cardiomyocyte development. Cardiac progenitor cells require inductive signals from the primitive endoderm to specify the nascent mesoderm into the cardiac fate. However these cardiogenic signals have not all been identified. Here we demonstrate that the mRNA 3' end processing factor, CstF-64 is required for differentiation of mouse ESCs into endoderm, which in turn produces signals necessary to support cardiomyocyte formation. EBdifferentiated Cstf2<sup>E6</sup> cells display significant defects in both primitive and definitive endoderm expression compared to EB-differentiated wild type ESCs [32], for example, failing to form interior cavities as do wild type ESCs (Figure 1). Interestingly, the endodermal differentiation defect was specific, as neither mesodermal nor ectodermal lineage expression markers were significantly reduced in *Cstf2<sup>E6</sup>* cells. Using two different differentiation protocols, we were able to induce neuronal differentiation in Cstf2<sup>E6</sup> cells (Figure 2), demonstrating that specification of mouse ESCs to the neuronal pathway can progress without CstF-64. While it is somewhat surprising that this differentiation pathway continues to function in the absence of CstF-64 [23], it is likely that many functions of CstF-64 are subsumed by  $\tau$ CstF-64 in mammals [23,26,31]. Therefore, we presume that retinoic acid- and sonic hedgehog-dependent signaling pathways continue to function in  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells [41].

On the other hand, Cstf2<sup>E6</sup> cells displayed significant defects in cardiomvocvte differentiation demonstrated by the decrease in spontaneous beating and the decrease in cardiac and endoderm markers compared to wild type ESCs (Figure 3). However, the majority of mesoderm markers were significantly increased, suggesting that mesoderm precursors to cardiomyocytes were not dependent on CstF-64. In contrast to the other mesodermal markers, *Flk1* expression was increased in wild type EBs (Supplemental Table 2). Flk1 positive cells give rise to cardiovascular cell lineages and may explain the decreased expression displayed by the  $Cstf2^{E6}$  EBs compared to wild type [42]. To implicate endoderm differentiation disruption in the block to cardiomyocyte differentiation in the  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells, we used conditioned media from the XEN endodermal stem cell line [9] that almost completely rescued cardiomyocyte beating potential in the Cstf2<sup>E6</sup> cells and resulted in a significant increase in cardiac and endoderm markers (Figure 4). This suggests that CstF-64 regulates the expression of paracrine factors inducing endoderm differentiation required for cardiomyocyte differentiation. Candidates include but are not limited to Afp, Ttr, Foxa2, and other downregulated genes (Figure 3B, C), and other CstF-64-regulated genes such as bone morphogenic protein 2 (BMP2, [43]), which has been previously been implicated in cardiac fate specification [44,45]. We propose that CstF-64 regulates the expression of several of these genes directly through polyadenylation, resulting in regulation of paracrine pathways in endoderm cells necessary to support differentiation of ESCs into cardiomyocytes.

Previously, CstF-64 expression was shown to increase in embryonic development as well as in the reprogramming of somatic cells into iPS cells [46,47]. In contrast, we show here that both CstF-64 and  $\tau$ CstF-64 decrease upon differentiation of ESCs into EBs, while other polyadenylation factors do not (Figure 1). The CstF-64 mRNA 3' end-processing factor is

involved in both polyadenylation [11,13] and replication-dependent histone mRNA processing [19,21–23]. Changes in both these processes result in changes to pluripotency, cell cycle and growth characteristics of mouse ESCs (reference [23] and this manuscript). Similarly, loss of tCstF-64 resulted in global changes in gene expression in mouse spermatogenic cells [27] resulting in male infertility [26,48,49]. These data suggest that loss of CstF-64, as of TCstF-64, will act at one of two levels: (1) it will act directly to affect the expression of key genes through improper 3' end processing, or (2) it will act indirectly to affect the expression of a larger number of genes as consequences of changes in the first set of genes. In other experiments, we will determine whether genes involved in the endoderm differentiation pathway are due to direct or indirect effects, or both, and what roles CstF-64 and tCstF-64 play in their regulation. From the data presented here, we know that tCstF-64 can only partially compensate for the multiple functions of CstF-64, since the Cstf2<sup>E6</sup> cells display a phenotype relative to wild type ESCs [23]. This suggests that specific gene targets of CstF-64 and TCstF-64 differ in differentiating ESCs. Investigation of these targets will help better understand the pluripotency and differentiation potentials of ESCs, and advance their therapeutic utility.

#### Materials and Methods

#### **Cell Culture**

*Cstf2<sup>Gt(IST10905E6)Tigm* cell line was obtained from Texas A&M Institute for Genomic Medicine (TIGM) and derived from mouse C57BL/6N-derived Lex3.13 ESC lines in which a gene-trap cassette was inserted between the first and second exons (*Cstf2<sup>Gt(IST10905E6)Tigm*, [23]). Mouse embryonic stem cells (ESCs) were maintained on 0.1% gelatin-coated 10 cm dishes without feeder cells in Embryo Max DMEM (Millipore) supplemented with 15% ESC-qualified fetal bovine serum (Hyclone/Thermo), 2mM L-glutamine (Gibco), 0.1mM - mercaptoethanol (Sigma), 0.1 mM MEM non-essential amino acid stock (Gibco), and 10 ng/mL human leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF, InVitria). ESCs were grown at 37°C in a humidified incubator in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and passaged every two days (~70–80% confluency).</sup></sup>

XEN cells [9] were a gift from Ann C. Foley (Clemson University), and were cultured in 10 cm dishes in ESC complete media without LIF at  $37^{\circ}$ C in a humidified incubator in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. For cardiomyocyte differentiation, XEN complete media was obtained from 70% confluent cells and 0.2 micron-filtered.

#### **Embryoid Body Differentiation**

ESCs were deprived of LIF in complete media for 96 hours in 10 cm dishes. After 96 hours,  $2 \times 10^6$  cells were plated in ultra low-attachment 10cm dishes (Corning) for 5, 10, or 15 days in complete ESC media without LIF.

#### **Neuronal Differentiation**

**N2B27 Protocol**—Wild type and *Cstf2<sup>E6</sup>* cells were plated on 0.1% gelatin-coated 6-well plates in N2B27 media (19 mL DMEM/F12 Glutamax medium (Invitrogen), 19 mL neurobasal medium (Invitrogen), 0.4mL 100X N2 (Invitrogen), 0.8mL 50X B27

(Invitrogen), [37]) at 10,000 cells/cm<sup>2</sup>. Cells were cultured in N2B27 media for 6 days, followed by RNA extraction.

**4–/4+ Protocol**—Wild type and *Cstf2<sup>E6</sup>* cells were plated as hanging drop embryoid bodies in 10 cm dishes in complete ESC media without LIF [38]. Briefly, approximately 200 cells/drop were plated for 24 hours, followed by plating in ultra low-attachment 10cm dishes (Corning) for 8 days. On the first 4 days, EBs were cultured in complete ESC media without LIF, followed by the addition of  $5 \times 10^{-7}$  M all-trans retinoic acid (Sigma) for the last 4 days. After 8 days, the EBs were plated on laminin (Sigma)-coated dishes for 6 days in ESC complete media without LIF, followed by photography and RNA extraction.

#### **Cardiomyocyte Differentiation**

ESCs were plated as hanging drop EBs in complete ESC media without LIF for 5 days. After 5 days, EBs were plated in 0.1% gelatin coated dishes for indicated times. Incidence of beating was determined by counting at least 50 EB foci for spontaneous beating. Statistical significance of beating frequency was determined by counting 3 biological replicates. Cardiomyocyte differentiation with the XEN conditioned media was performed according to Brown et al. [9]. Briefly, hanging drop EBs were formed and incubated for 24 hours, followed by plating on 0.1% gelatin-coated dishes in complete ESC media without LIF. XEN media was added on days 4–6, followed by the addition of complete ESC media without LIF for the duration of differentiation.

#### **RNA Extraction**

RNA was extracted from ESCs using the Qiagen RNAeasy Kit following the manufacturer's instructions. Genomic DNA was eliminated using gDNA spin columns provided in the Qiagen RNAeasy kit. The quantity of RNA was determined using NanoDrop device.

#### RT-PCR

Complementary DNA was prepared from total mouse ESC RNA by reverse transcription with Super Script II RT (Life Technologies) following the protocol recommended by the manufacturer. cDNA was amplified using 2X EmeraldAMP PCR Master Mix (Clonetech) with 30 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds, 55°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 45 seconds, and a final extension of 10 minutes at 72°C. For negative –RT control, Super Script II was not added to cDNA synthesis reaction. PCR products were displayed on 1.5% agarose (Invitrogen) gels stained with ethidium bromide (0.5  $\mu$ g/mL). Primers used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

#### **Real-Time RT-PCR**

For real-time PCR, 20ng of cDNA was amplified in triplicates using 2X SYBR Green Master Mix (Invitrogen). PCR program consisted of 95°C for 10 minutes, 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds followed by 55°C for 1 minute. The ribosomal protein S2 (*Rps2*) served as a loading control and reference gene. Relative expression was calculated using the comparative C<sub>t</sub> method as described previously [23]. Statistical significance was determined using a two-tailed t test comparing WT and *Cstf2<sup>E6</sup>* cells.

#### Western Blots

For Western blots, protein extracts were prepared as previously described [23]. Briefly, protein was extracted using RIPA buffer (50mM Tris-HCl pH:8.8, 150mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% deoxycholate, and 0.5% NP-40) and the concentrations quantified using BCA assay (Thermo). Protein were resolved on 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gels and transferred to PVDF (Millipore) for immunoblot detection. Primary antibodies for all the polyadenylation proteins were purchased from Bethyl Laboratories (Montgomery, TX) with the exception of anti-CstF-64 (3A7) and anti-tCstF-64 (6A9), which were used as previously described [50].

#### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the Texas A&M Institute for Genomic Medicine for ESCs, the TTUHSC School of Medicine Cancer Center for support and instrumentation, Atia Amatullah for technical support, and Petar Grozdanov and Eric Edwards for comments on the manuscript. The authors thank Ann C. Foley for the gift of the XEN cells. Research reported in this publication was supported by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development of the National Institutes of Health under award number R01HD037109. Additional support was from the Laura W. Bush Institute for Women's Health.

#### References

- 1. Passier R, van Laake LW, Mummery CL. Stem-cell-based therapy and lessons from the heart. Nature. 2008; 453:322–329. [PubMed: 18480813]
- 2. Segers VF, Lee RT. Stem-cell therapy for cardiac disease. Nature. 2008; 451:937–942. [PubMed: 18288183]
- 3. Chong JJ, Yang X, Don CW, Minami E, Liu YW, et al. Human embryonic-stem-cell-derived cardiomyocytes regenerate non-human primate hearts. Nature. 2014; 510:273–277. [PubMed: 24776797]
- 4. Murry CE, Keller G. Differentiation of embryonic stem cells to clinically relevant populations: lessons from embryonic development. Cell. 2008; 132:661–680. [PubMed: 18295582]
- Pauklin S, Pedersen RA, Vallier L. Mouse pluripotent stem cells at a glance. J Cell Sci. 2011; 124:3727–3732. [PubMed: 22124139]
- Mummery C, Ward D, van den Brink CE, Bird SD, Doevendans PA, et al. Cardiomyocyte differentiation of mouse and human embryonic stem cells. J Anat. 2002; 200:233–242. [PubMed: 12033727]
- Mummery C, Ward-van Oostwaard D, Doevendans P, Spijker R, van den Brink S, et al. Differentiation of human embryonic stem cells to cardiomyocytes: role of coculture with visceral endoderm-like cells. Circulation. 2003; 107:2733–2740. [PubMed: 12742992]
- Mummery CL, van Achterberg TA, van den Eijnden-van Raaij AJ, van Haaster L, Willemse A, et al. Visceral-endoderm-like cell lines induce differentiation of murine P19 embryonal carcinoma cells. Differentiation. 1991; 46:51–60. [PubMed: 1710586]
- Brown K, Doss MX, Legros S, Artus J, Hadjantonakis AK, et al. eXtraembryonic ENdoderm (XEN) stem cells produce factors that activate heart formation. PLoS One. 2010; 5:e13446. [PubMed: 20975998]
- Cai W, Albini S, Wei K, Willems E, Guzzo RM, et al. Coordinate Nodal and BMP inhibition directs Baf60c-dependent cardiomyocyte commitment. Genes Dev. 2013; 27:2332–2344. [PubMed: 24186978]

- Takagaki Y, Manley JL, MacDonald CC, Wilusz J, Shenk T. A multisubunit factor CstF is required for polyadenylation of mammalian pre-mRNAs. Genes & Development. 1990; 4:2112– 2120. [PubMed: 1980119]
- Salisbury J, Hutchison KW, Graber JH. A multispecies comparison of the metazoan 3'-processing downstream elements and the CstF-64 RNA recognition motif. BMC Genomics. 2006; 7:55. [PubMed: 16542450]
- Hockert JA, Yeh HJ, MacDonald CC. The hinge domain of the cleavage stimulation factor protein CstF-64 is essential for CstF-77 interaction, nuclear localization, and polyadenylation. J Biol Chem. 2010; 285:695–704. [PubMed: 19887456]
- Darmon SK, Lutz CS. mRNA 3' end processing factors: a phylogenetic comparison. Comparative and functional genomics. 2012; 2012:876893. [PubMed: 22400011]
- 15. Tian B, Manley JL. Alternative cleavage and polyadenylation: the long and short of it. Trends Biochem Sci. 2013; 38:312–320. [PubMed: 23632313]
- Takagaki Y, Seipelt RL, Peterson ML, Manley JL. The polyadenylation factor CstF-64 regulates alternative processing of IgM heavy chain pre-mRNA during B cell differentiation. Cell. 1996; 87:941–952. [PubMed: 8945520]
- Martin G, Gruber AR, Keller W, Zavolan M. Genome-wide Analysis of Pre-mRNA 3' End Processing Reveals a Decisive Role of Human Cleavage Factor I in the Regulation of 3' UTR Length. Cell Reports. 2012; 1:753–763. [PubMed: 22813749]
- Yao C, Biesinger J, Wan J, Weng L, Xing Y, et al. Transcriptome-wide analyses of CstF64-RNA interactions in global regulation of mRNA alternative polyadenylation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2012; 109:18773–18778. [PubMed: 23112178]
- 19. Kolev NG, Steitz JA. Symplekin and multiple other polyadenylation factors participate in 3'-end maturation of histone mRNAs. Genes Dev. 2005; 19:2583–2592. [PubMed: 16230528]
- Sullivan KD, Steiniger M, Marzluff WF. A core complex of CPSF73, CPSF100, and Symplekin may form two different cleavage factors for processing of poly(A) and histone mRNAs. Mol Cell. 2009; 34:322–332. [PubMed: 19450530]
- Sabath I, Skrajna A, Yang XC, Dadlez M, Marzluff WF, et al. 3'-End processing of histone premRNAs in Drosophila: U7 snRNP is associated with FLASH and polyadenylation factors. RNA. 2013; 19:1726–1744. [PubMed: 24145821]
- 22. Yang XC, Sabath I, Debski J, Kaus-Drobek M, Dadlez M, et al. A complex containing the CPSF73 endonuclease and other polyadenylation factors associates with U7 snRNP and is recruited to histone pre-mRNA for 3'-end processing. Molecular and Cellular Biology. 2013; 33:28–37. [PubMed: 23071092]
- 23. Youngblood BA, Grozdanov PN, MacDonald CC. CstF-64 Supports Pluripotency and Cell Cycle Progression in Embryonic Stem Cells through Histone 3' End Processing. Nucleic Acids Res. In the press.
- 24. Dass B, McMahon KW, Jenkins NA, Gilbert DJ, Copeland NG, et al. The gene for a variant form of the polyadenylation protein CstF-64 is on chromosome 19 and is expressed in pachytene spermatocytes in mice. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2001; 276:8044–8050. [PubMed: 11113135]
- 25. Dass B, McDaniel L, Schultz RA, Attaya E, MacDonald CC. The gene CSTF2T encoding the human variant CstF-64 polyadenylation protein τCstF-64 is intronless and may be associated with male sterility. Genomics. 2002; 80:509–514. [PubMed: 12408968]
- 26. Dass B, Tardif S, Park JY, Tian B, Weitlauf HM, et al. Loss of polyadenylation protein τCstF-64 causes spermatogenic defects and male infertility. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, USA. 2007; 104:20374–20379.
- 27. Li W, Yeh HJ, Shankarling GS, Ji Z, Tian B, et al. The τCstF-64 polyadenylation protein controls genome expression in testis. PLoS One. 2012; 7:e48373. [PubMed: 23110235]
- Chambers I, Smith A. Self-renewal of teratocarcinoma and embryonic stem cells. Oncogene. 2004; 23:7150–7160. [PubMed: 15378075]
- Chambers I, Tomlinson SR. The transcriptional foundation of pluripotency. Development. 2009; 136:2311–2322. [PubMed: 19542351]

- Ruepp MD, Schweingruber C, Kleinschmidt N, Schümperli D. Interactions of CstF-64, CstF-77, and symplekin: implications on localisation and function. Mol Biol Cell. 2011; 22:91–104. [PubMed: 21119002]
- 31. Yao C, Choi EA, Weng L, Xie X, Wan J, et al. Overlapping and distinct functions of CstF64 and CstF64τ in mammalian mRNA 3' processing. RNA. 2013; 109:18773–18778.
- 32. Murray P, Edgar D. The regulation of embryonic stem cell differentiation by leukaemia inhibitory factor (LIF). Differentiation. 2001; 68:227–234. [PubMed: 11776475]
- 33. Coucouvanis E, Martin GR. BMP signaling plays a role in visceral endoderm differentiation and cavitation in the early mouse embryo. Development. 1999; 126:535–546. [PubMed: 9876182]
- 34. Ng ES, Azzola L, Sourris K, Robb L, Stanley EG, et al. The primitive streak gene *Mixl1* is required for efficient haematopoiesis and BMP4-induced ventral mesoderm patterning in differentiating ES cells. Development. 2005; 132:873–884. [PubMed: 15673572]
- 35. Lim SM, Pereira L, Wong MS, Hirst CE, Van Vranken BE, et al. Enforced expression of *Mixl1* during mouse ES cell differentiation suppresses hematopoietic mesoderm and promotes endoderm formation. Stem Cells. 2009; 27:363–374. [PubMed: 19038793]
- Hamazaki T, Oka M, Yamanaka S, Terada N. Aggregation of embryonic stem cells induces Nanog repression and primitive endoderm differentiation. J Cell Sci. 2004; 117:5681–5686. [PubMed: 15494369]
- Ying QL, Stavridis M, Griffiths D, Li M, Smith A. Conversion of embryonic stem cells into neuroectodermal precursors in adherent monoculture. Nat Biotechnol. 2003; 21:183–186. [PubMed: 12524553]
- Bain G, Kitchens D, Yao M, Huettner JE, Gottlieb DI. Embryonic stem cells express neuronal properties in vitro. Dev Biol. 1995; 168:342–357. [PubMed: 7729574]
- Maltsev VA, Wobus AM, Rohwedel J, Bader M, Hescheler J. Cardiomyocytes differentiated in vitro from embryonic stem cells developmentally express cardiac-specific genes and ionic currents. Circ Res. 1994; 75:233–244. [PubMed: 8033337]
- 40. Costello I, Pimeisl IM, Drager S, Bikoff EK, Robertson EJ, et al. The T-box transcription factor Eomesodermin acts upstream of Mesp1 to specify cardiac mesoderm during mouse gastrulation. Nat Cell Biol. 2011; 13:1084–1091. [PubMed: 21822279]
- Wichterle H, Lieberam I, Porter JA, Jessell TM. Directed differentiation of embryonic stem cells into motor neurons. Cell. 2002; 110:385–397. [PubMed: 12176325]
- Ishitobi H, Wakamatsu A, Liu F, Azami T, Hamada M, et al. Molecular basis for Flk1 expression in hemato-cardiovascular progenitors in the mouse. Development. 2011; 138:5357–5368. [PubMed: 22071109]
- Liu D, Fritz DT, Rogers MB, Shatkin AJ. Species-specific cis-regulatory elements in the 3'untranslated region direct alternative polyadenylation of bone morphogenetic protein 2 mRNA. J Biol Chem. 2008; 283:28010–28019. [PubMed: 18703506]
- 44. Monzen K, Shiojima I, Hiroi Y, Kudoh S, Oka T, et al. Bone morphogenetic proteins induce cardiomyocyte differentiation through the mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase TAK1 and cardiac transcription factors Csx/Nkx-2.5 and GATA-4. Mol Cell Biol. 1999; 19:7096–7105. [PubMed: 10490646]
- 45. van Wijk B, Moorman AF, van den Hoff MJ. Role of bone morphogenetic proteins in cardiac differentiation. Cardiovasc Res. 2007; 74:244–255. [PubMed: 17187766]
- 46. Ji Z, Lee JY, Pan Z, Jiang B, Tian B. Progressive lengthening of 3' untranslated regions of mRNAs by alternative polyadenylation during mouse embryonic development. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009; 106:7028–7033. [PubMed: 19372383]
- Ji Z, Tian B. Reprogramming of 3' untranslated regions of mRNAs by alternative polyadenylation in generation of pluripotent stem cells from different cell types. PLoS One. 2009; 4:e8419. [PubMed: 20037631]
- 48. Hockert KJ, Martincic K, Mendis-Handagama SMLC, Borghesi LA, Milcarek C, et al. Spermatogenetic but not immunological defects in mice lacking the τCstF-64 polyadenylation protein. Journal of Reproductive Immunology. 2011; 89:26–37. [PubMed: 21489638]

- Tardif S, Akrofi A, Dass B, Hardy DM, MacDonald CC. Infertility with impaired zona pellucida adhesion of spermatozoa from mice lacking τCstF-64. Biol Reprod. 2010; 83:464–472. [PubMed: 20463354]
- 50. Wallace AM, Dass B, Ravnik SE, Tonk V, Jenkins NA, et al. Two distinct forms of the 64,000 M<sub>r</sub> protein of the cleavage stimulation factor are expressed in mouse male germ cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, USA. 1999; 96:6763–6768.

# Highlights

- We examined differentiation of embryonic stem cells that lacked Cstf2 (CstF-64)
- Cstf2-null ESCs could differentiate into mesoderm and ectoderm, but not endoderm
- Cstf2-null cells could not be induced to differentiate into cardiomyocytes
- Factors from XEN cells were able to restore cardiomyocyte differentiation
- We propose that CstF-64 has a role in regulating cardiac specification



#### Figure 1.

Loss of CstF-64 alters ESC differentiation patterns. (A) Schematic representation of insertion of the gene-trap β-galactosidase-neomycin (Bgeo) fusion protein in the first  $(Cstf2^{E6})$  intron of the Cstf2 gene in the ESC line [23]. The gene-trap consists of a splice acceptor (SA) site and polyadenylation (PA) signal. Yellow bars represent the open reading frame of *Cstf2* mRNA. (**B**) Western blot analysis shows that  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells do not express detectable CstF-64 protein either when grown in the presence of LIF (lane 2) or in the absence of LIF for up to 15 days (lanes 4, 6, and 8). Lanes 1, 3, 5, and 7 are identically treated wild type cells. Also shown are protein expression levels of tCstF-64, CPSF-100, CstF-77, and  $\beta$ -tubulin. Photomicrographs (100× magnification) of wild type embryoid bodies (C) or  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells treated by the same protocol (D). Note the cavity formed in wild type embryoid bodies (arrow in C) that is absent in  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells (arrow in D). (E–M) Relative mRNA expression (qRT-PCR) of markers for endoderm (Afp, Foxa2, and Sox17, E, F, and G), mesoderm (Hand1, Mix11, Flk1, Eomes and Msx1 H, I, and J), ectoderm (Fgf8, NCAD, Hes5, and Nes, K, L, and M), or pluripotency (Nanog and Klf4) in wild type ESCs or EBs (orange bars) and  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells (blue bars). \*\* denotes p < 0.01. Bars indicate standard deviation of at least three biological replicates.

Youngblood and MacDonald



#### Figure 2.

 $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells are able to differentiate into neuronal cells *in vitro*. Wild type ESCs (**A**) and  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells (**B**) were differentiated using the 4–/4+ protocol for 14 days. Both cell types form indistinguishable neurite structures (**A** and **B**). (**C**) Agarose gel electrophoresis of RT-PCR amplimers made using RNA from wild type (lanes 1–3) or  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells (lanes 4–6). Cells were either grown in the presence of LIF (lanes 1 and 4), or subjected to differentiation using N2B27 medium (lanes 2 and 5) or using the 4–/4+ protocol (lanes 3 and 6). Primers were directed against mouse neuronal markers *Blbp* or *Mash1*, or *Rps2* as a loading control.



#### Figure 3.

Loss of CstF-64 impairs cardiomyocyte differentiation. (**A**) Incidence of beating (percent) of wild type (orange) and  $Cstf2^{E6}$  (blue) cardiomyocytes on days 8, 10, and 12 of differentiation. \*\*\* denotes p < 0.001. Bars indicate standard deviation of at least three biological replicates. (**B**) Agarose gel electrophoresis of RT-PCR amplimers made with RNA from wild type (lanes 1–3) or  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells (lanes 4–6). Cells were either grown in the presence of LIF (lanes 1 and 4) or differentiated into cardiomyocytes (lanes 2–3 and 5–6). Primers were directed against cardiac (*Myl2, Myh6, Myh7, Myl7*, and *Mesp1*), endoderm (*Afp, Ttr,* and *Eomes*), and mesoderm (*Msx1, Hand1*, and *Mixl1*) markers. (**C**) Table displaying the relative mRNA fold change of cardiac, endoderm, and mesoderm genes acquired from qRT-PCR in wild type and  $Cstf2^{E6}$  day 15 cardiomyocytes. Fold change is relative to wild type cardiomyocytes on day 15.



#### Figure 4.

XEN cell-conditioned medium can rescue the cardiac differentiation defect in  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells. (A) Graph displaying the beating incidences of wild type cardiomyocytes (WT), wild type cardiomyocytes grown in the presence of XEN cell-conditioned medium (WT + XEN), Cstf2<sup>E6</sup> cardiomyocytes (E6), and Cstf2<sup>E6</sup> cardiomyocytes grown in the presence of XEN cell-conditioned medium (E6 + XEN) on days 8 and 10 of differentiation. \*\*\* denotes p < 0.001. Bars indicate standard deviation of three biological replicates. (B) Agarose gel electrophoresis of RT-PCR amplimers made with RNA from wild type ESCs (lanes 1), Cstf2<sup>E6</sup> cells (lanes 2), wild type ESCs treated with XEN cell-conditioned medium (lanes 3), or  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells treated with XEN cell-conditioned medium on day 10 of cardiomyocyte differentiation. Primers were directed against cardiac (Myl2, Myh7, and Actc1), endoderm (Afp), or Mesoderm (Mixl1) markers. (C) Cartoon depiction of cell lineages and the role of CstF-64 in cardiomyocyte differentiation. In wild type ESCs (left), embryoid bodies give rise to both the mesoderm cell lineage that can further differentiate to cardiomyocytes and the endoderm cell lineage that is needed to provide paracrine factors necessary for cardiomyocyte differentiation. Like wild type ESCs, Cstf2<sup>E6</sup> cells lacking CstF-64 (right) differentiate into embryoid bodies that give rise to mesoderm cell lineages. However, CstF-64 is required for differentiation of ESCs to endoderm. Thus, lacking endodermsupplied paracrine factors,  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells fail to differentiate completely to cardiomyocytes. Addition of XEN cell-conditioned medium can rescue cardiomyocyte differentiation of  $Cstf2^{E6}$  cells by activating endoderm signaling required for proper cardiomyocyte differentiation.