

Submit a Manuscript: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/ Help Desk: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i6.1838 World J Gastroenterol 2015 February 14; 21(6): 1838-1844 ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online) © 2015 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Cohort Study

Fibroblast growth factor receptor 4 protein expression and clinicopathological features in gastric cancer

Hao Chen, Dan-Ping Shen, Zi-Zhen Zhang, Jia-Hua Liu, Yan-Ying Shen, Xing-Zhi Ni

Hao Chen, Dan-Ping Shen, Zi-Zhen Zhang, Jia-Hua Liu, Xing-Zhi Ni, Department of General Surgery, Ren Ji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200127, China

Yan-Ying Shen, Department of Pathology, Ren Ji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200127, China

Author contributions: Chen H, Shen YY and Ni XZ designed the study; Shen DP and Liu JH analyzed the data; Chen H wrote the paper; Ni XZ and Zhang ZZ revised the manuscript.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Correspondence to: Xing-Zhi Ni, MD, Professor of Medicine, Department of General Surgery, Ren Ji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 1630 Dongfang Road, Shanghai 200127, China. niyin92@gmail.com

Telephone: +86-21-68383731 Fax: +86-21-58394262 Received: April 17, 2014 Peer-review started: April 18, 2014 First decision: May 29, 2014 Revised: August 4, 2014 Accepted: September 5, 2014 Article in press: September 5, 2014 Published online: February 14, 2015

Abstract

AIM: To investigate fibroblast growth factor receptor 4 (FGFR4) protein expression in Chinese patients with resectable gastric cancer (GC) and the association with clinicopathological characteristics and survival.

METHODS: One hundred and seventy-five GC patients

who underwent curative surgical procedures were enrolled in this study. The protein expression of FGFR4 in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) GC tissues was determined by immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis. Patient clinicopathological data and survival information were also collected and χ^2 statistical analysis was performed to analyze FGFR4 protein expression in the subgroups with differing clinicopathological characteristics including; gender, age, tumor location, differentiation, tumor-node-metastasis stage, macroscopic type, depth of invasion, lymph node metastases, distant metastasis, neural invasion and vascular invasion. Furthermore, some common molecular markers of GC in our cancer center, including p53, p27, topoisomerase II α (Topo II α) were also determined by IHC and their association with FGFR4 protein expression evaluated. The probability of survival for different subgroups with different clinicopathological characteristics was calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method and survival curves plotted using the log rank test.

RESULTS: Seventy seven cases (44%) were found to have high expression of FGFR4 protein. Significantly different FGFR4 expression was observed between gastric cancers with differing expression of Topo $II \alpha$ (log rank χ^2 = 9.4760, *P* = 0.0236). No significant differences were observed between subgroups defined by any of the other clinicopathological characteristics. The median survival time of the FGFR4 high expression (77 cases) and low expression groups (98 cases) was 27 mo and 39 mo, respectively. The five-year survival rates and median survival times of gastric cancers with high FGFR4 expression were worse than those with low expression (30.8% vs 39.2%, 27 mo vs 39 mo), respectively, however, no significant difference was observed in survival time (log rank χ^2 = 1.0477, P = 0.3060). Survival analysis revealed that high expression of FGFR4 was a predictor of poor outcome in GC patients if the tumor was small (less than or equal to 3 cm in size) (log rank χ^2 = 5.5033, P = 0.0190), well dif-



WJG www.wjgnet.com

1838

ferentiated (log rank χ^2 = 7.9757, *P* = 0.0047), and of T1 or T2 stage invasion depth (log rank χ^2 = 4.8827, *P* = 0.0271).

CONCLUSION: Our results suggest that high tumor expression of FGFR4 protein is not an independent risk factor for GC cancer initiation, but is a useful prognostic marker for GC patients when the tumor is relatively small, well differentiated, or in the early stages of invasion.

Key words: Gastric cancer; Fibroblast growth factor receptor 4; Protein expression; Clinicopathological characteristics; Prognosis

© **The Author(s) 2015.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: This study investigated the possible contributions of fibroblast growth factor receptor 4 (FGFR4) protein expression as a risk factor for gastric cancer (GC), and the associations between protein expression and clinicopathological parameters. The results suggested that FGFR4 protein expression may correlate with the expression of Topo II α . Furthermore, we demonstrated that FGFR4 protein expression is not a risk factor for GC initiation, but may be a useful prognostic marker for GC patients with tumors which are relatively small, well differentiated, or in the early stages of invasion.

Chen H, Shen DP, Zhang ZZ, Liu JH, Shen YY, Ni XZ. Fibroblast growth factor receptor 4 protein expression and clinicopathological features in gastric cancer. *World J Gastro-enterol* 2015; 21(6): 1838-1844 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v21/i6/1838.htm DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v21.i6.1838

INTRODUCTION

The overall survival of patients with gastric cancer (GC) continues to improve due to the introduction of multidisciplinary treatment approaches and the identification of novel targeted agents; however, GC remains the fourth most commonly diagnosed cancer and the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide^[1,2]. It remains of great clinical importance to identify new biomarkers for early diagnosis, targeted treatment and prognostic evaluation in gastric cancer.

The human fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) 4 protein belongs to the FGFR family of receptor tyrosine kinases, which are involved in the regulation of diverse cellular processes including cell growth, differentiation, survival, and migration^[3]. Targeting of such receptors with novel drugs is a proven therapeutic strategy, as exemplified by the clinical success of trastuzumab in treating patients with HER2 amplified breast cancer^[4]. The upregulation of FGFR4 protein expression occurs in prostate^[5], breast^[6], pancre-

atic^[7], renal^[8] and ovarian cancers^[9], and has been associated with resistance to chemotherapy in breast cancer^[10]. A growing body of research indicates that inhibition of the FGF pathway may present an effective therapeutic option for cancer. Moreover, activation of the FGFR pathway may, in some cases, provide a mechanism of resistance against current targeted and antiangiogenic drugs^[11].

A recent report showed that high expression of FGFR4 protein accelerated the progression of advanced GC and might be associated with poor disease prognosis in GC patients^[12]. To our knowledge, this is the only report on the association between FGFR4 protein expression and GC progression in Chinese patients, and therefore requires further confirmation. Importantly, to date no studies have been conducted on the correlation between FGFR4 protein expression and the risk of GC. In this study, we investigated the expression of FGFR4 protein in the context of clinicopathological features and patient prognosis, using an expanded population of 175 Chinese patients with resectable GC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

A retrospective cohort study was conducted and included 175 GC patients who underwent curative surgery at Ren Ji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, from August 2006 to March 2009. We reviewed the medical charts and pathological records for clinicopathological parameters such as age, gender, histological subtype and pathological stage. Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded samples of tumors were evaluated for FGFR4 protein using immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis. None of the patients had undergone preoperative chemotherapy, radiation or targeted therapy. The study included 50 women and 125 men aged 28 to 85 years. The median age was 62 years. The tumor sample characteristics of all 175 cases are shown in Table 1. Of all the tumors examined, 32 (18.28%) were located in the cardiac region, 71 (40.58%) in the body, and 72 (41.14%) in the pylorus. 76 (43.43%) cases were poorly differentiated (grades I and II), and 99 (56.57%) cases were well differentiated (grades III and IV). Tumor-nodemetastasis (TNM) classification revealed that 37 cases were stage [(21.14%), 45 were stage [] (25.71%), 69 were stage III (39.43%) and 24 were stage ${
m IV}$ (13.71%). Clinical stage was determined according to the Union for International Cancer Control TNM staging system, and tumor grade was based on the World Health Organization classification. Postoperative follow-up ended in March, 2014.

Immunohistochemical staining

Tissue sections of paraffin-embedded formalin-fixed tissue blocks were deparaffinized with xylene for 5

WJG www.wjgnet.com

Chen H et al. FGFR4 protein expression in gastric cancer

	expression with cli	nicopa	thological	characterist	ics <i>n</i> (^o	%)
InterpretationInterpretationInterpretationInterpretationInterpretationGenderI5 (4 k20)9 (5 k20)IAge (m)I2 (4 k20)8 (5 k5 k3)ISelon1034 5 (4 s0)9 (5 k5 k3)ISelon1034 5 (4 s0)9 (6 k0)IISelon1054 (6 k00)IIISelon10110 (1 k0)2 (4 k0)IISelon10217 (2 k0)1 (4 k0)IISelon10213 (4 k0)1 (4 k0)IITumor locationI1 (2 k0)1 (4 k0)IIUmarc locationI1 (2 k0)1 (4 k0)IITumor locationI1 (4 k0)1 (4 k0)IIUmarc locationI1 (2 k0)1 (4 k0)IIUmarc locationI2 (3 k0)1 (4 k0)IIUmarc locationI1 (4 k0)1 (4 k0)IIUmarc locationI1 (4 k0)1 (4 k0)IIUmarc locationI1 (4 k0)1 (4 k0)IIUmarc locationII (4 k0)1 (4 k0)IIUmarc locationII (4 k0)1 (4 k0)IIUmarc locationII (4 k0)I (4 k0)IIUmarc locationII (4 k0)I (4 k0)I (4 k0)IUmarc locationI (4 k0)I (4 k0) <t< th=""><th>Clinicopathological characteristics</th><th>n</th><th>FGFR4 positive</th><th>FGFR4 negative</th><th>χ^2</th><th>P value</th></t<>	Clinicopathological characteristics	n	FGFR4 positive	FGFR4 negative	χ^2	P value
Cender0.1140.8663Male1255 (4)9 (5).00Age (yr)021 (42.009 (5).00\$<00		175	77 (44.00)	98 (56.00)		
Male12556 (44.8)69 (55.20)Female5021 (42.00)29 (58.00) \triangleleft 607232 (44.44)40 (55.50) \geqslant 607232 (44.44)40 (55.50) \geqslant 607332 (43.69)58 (56.31)Tumor size	Gender				0.1140	0.8663
Image Age (yr)(b)(c)<	Male	125	56 (44.8)	69 (55.20)		
Arge (17)1.30001.3000< 60	Female A ge (vr)	50	21 (42.00)	29 (58.00)	0 9211	1 0000
≥ 6010345 (43.69)58 (56.31)Tumor size0.14000.1518≤ 3 cm6031 (51.67)29 (48.33)> 3 cm1546 (40.00)69 (60.00)Tumor location	< 60	72	32 (44.44)	40 (55.56)	0.9211	1.0000
Tumor size0.14000.15180.140000.9148.33> 3 cm6031 (51.67)0.948.330.498.01Tumor location	≥ 60	103	45 (43.69)	58 (56.31)		
S A cmfor154 (40.00)69 (60.00)> Jumor location13217 (53.13)15 (46.88)1.39420.4980U3217 (53.13)15 (46.88)42 (59.15)1.129L7231 (43.06)42 (59.15)1.1290.1129Cifferentiation729 (83.16)47 (61.84)1.129Well998 (84.88)51 (51.52)1.129Macroscopic type866 (67)1.129Macroscopic type762 (48.82)65 (51.18)1.129T93 (33.33)6 (66.67)1.1290.0522I93 (33.33)6 (66.67)1.1290.129T62 (48.82)65 (51.18)1.1291.129TNM stages112 (46.67)24 (53.33)1.129I3715 (40.54)22 (59.46)1.1491.8160I14 (45.33)13 (54.17)1.1491.81601.8169I218 (38.10)13 (61.90)1.1491.149I128 (38.10)13 (61.90)1.1491.149I14 (45.28)15 (51.72)1.1491.1491.149I128 (38.10)13 (61.90)1.1491.149I136430 (46.83)34 (53.13)1.1491.149I1413 (48.29)15 (51.72)1.1491.1491.149I1413 (41.92)1.165.631.1491.1491.149I14 <td>Tumor size</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.1400</td> <td>0.1518</td>	Tumor size				0.1400	0.1518
> 3 cm 115 46 (40.00) 69 (60.00) Tumor location 1.3942 0.4980 M 71 29 (40.85) 42 (59.15) . L 72 31 (43.06) 41 (56.94) . . Tumor . 72 31 (43.06) 47 (61.84) . . Tumor . 84 (84.84) 51 (51.52) . . . Macroscopic type EGC 21 8 (38.10) 13 (61.90) Macroscopic type . <t< td=""><td>≤ 3 cm</td><td>60</td><td>31 (51.67)</td><td>29 (48.33)</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	≤ 3 cm	60	31 (51.67)	29 (48.33)		
Initial for for all of the second	> 3 cm	115	46 (40.00)	69 (60.00)	1 2042	0.4080
M 71 29 (40.8) 42 (50.8) 42 (50.8) L 72 31 (43.06) 41 (56.94) Turmor Poor 76 29 (38.16) 47 (61.84) . . Well 99 48 (48.48) 51 (51.52) . . Macroscopic type EGC 21 8 (38.10) 13 (61.90) 9.3842 0.0522 I 9 3 (333) 6 (66.67) . . . II 15 3 (60.00) 2 (40.00) . . . III 177 15 (40.54) 22 (59.46) . . . III 177 15 (40.53) 39 (56.52) . . . III 45 21 (46.77) 24 (50.91) . . . III 21 8 (38.10) 13 (61.90) 	I unfor location	32	17 (53 13)	15 (46 88)	1.3942	0.4960
L 72 31 (43.06) 41 (56.94) Tumor 0.1129 differentiation - 0.2191 0.1129 Poor 76 29 (48.06) 47 (61.8) - Macroscopic type - - - - EGC 21 8 (38.10) 13 (61.09) 9.3842 0.0522 1 9 3 (33.30) 6 (66.07) - - II 5 3 (60.0) 2 (40.0) - - II 13 17.09 12 (92.31) - - - II 37 15 (40.54) 32 (50.51) - - - II 45 21 (46.67) 24 (53.33) - - - II 45 81 (43.8) 13 (61.90) - - - I 21 8 (38.10) 13 (54.17) - - - J 21 44 (48.28) 15 (51.72) - - -	M	71	29 (40.85)	42 (59.15)		
Tumor0.21910.1129differentiationPoor7629 (38.10)47 (61.84)Well943 (38.04)77 (61.84)Wall93 (33.33)6 (66.77)Imacroscopic type93 (33.33)6 (66.77)Imacroscopic type218 (38.10)13 (61.09)9.8842Imacroscopic type213 (30.00)2 (40.00)Imacroscopic type1262 (48.24)65 (51.84)Imacroscopic type13 (54.04)22 (59.46)1Imacroscopic type14 (45.33)13 (54.07)1Imacroscopic type14 (45.33)13 (54.07)1Imacroscopic type14 (45.33)13 (54.07)1Imacroscopic type14 (45.33)13 (54.07)1Imacroscopic type14 (45.83)13 (54.	L	72	31 (43.06)	41 (56.94)		
differentiationPoor7629 (38.16)47 (61.84)Well9948 (48.88)57 (51.52)Macroscopic typeEGC218 (38.10)13 (61.00)9.38420.0522193 (33.33)6 (66.67)II93 (33.33)6 (56.18)II1262 (48.82)65 (51.18)IV1317 (769)12 (92.31)TI3715 (40.54)22 (59.46)II3715 (40.54)22 (59.46)II3715 (40.54)22 (59.46)II3715 (40.54)22 (59.46)II3715 (40.54)32 (55.2)II3715 (40.54)32 (55.2)II3718 (38.10)13 (61.00)214 (48.28)13 (51.72) </td <td>Tumor</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.2191</td> <td>0.1129</td>	Tumor				0.2191	0.1129
Poor 76 29 (38.16) 47 (61.84) Well 99 48 (48.48) 15 (51.52) Macroscopic type EGC 21 8 (38.10) 13 (61.90) 9.3842 0.0522 I 9 3 (3.33) 6 (66.67)	differentiation					
Wen9948 (48.48)51 (51.52)Macroscopic typeFGC18 (38.10)13 (61.90)9.38420.0522I93 (33.33)6 (66.67)IIIII12762 (48.82)65 (51.18)IIIII12762 (48.82)65 (51.18)IIIIII12762 (48.82)65 (51.18)IIIIII131 (7.69)12 (22.1)IIIIII6930 (43.48)39 (56.52)IIIIII6930 (44.88)39 (56.52)IIIIII6930 (44.88)13 (61.90)III22914 (48.28)15 (51.72)III36430 (46.88)34 (53.13)III1218 (38.10)13 (61.90)III22914 (48.28)15 (51.72)III36430 (46.88)34 (53.13)III12211 (45.33)13 (54.17)III12411 (45.33)13 (54.17)III12411 (45.83)13 (54.17)III12411 (45.83)13 (54.17)III12411 (45.83)13 (54.17)III11568 (45.33)82 (65.63)II </td <td>Poor</td> <td>76</td> <td>29 (38.16)</td> <td>47 (61.84)</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Poor	76	29 (38.16)	47 (61.84)		
Natioscopic typeI8 (38.10)13 (61.90)9.38420.0522I93 (33.33)6 (66.67)IIII53 (60.00)2 (40.00)IIII12762 (48.82)65 (51.18)IIIV131 (7.69)12 (92.31)IITNM stages0.34990.95040.9504II4521 (46.67)24 (53.33)IIIII6930 (43.48)39 (56.52)IIIV2411 (45.83)13 (61.00)20.95230.8128I2914 (48.28)15 (51.72)II36430 (46.88)34 (53.13)II46125 (40.98)36 (59.02)IIN11 (34.38)21 (65.63)III05527 (49.09)28 (50.11)II13211 (34.38)21 (65.63)II22411 (45.83)13 (54.17)II36428 (43.75)36 (56.25)IIMI21 (165.33)12 (65.63)II15271 (49.09)28 (50.11)II015166 (43.71)85 (56.29)IINeural invasionI0.75760.5146IIYes3513 (37.14)22 (62.86)IINo15068 (45.71)76 (54.29)	Well Macroscopic type	99	48 (48.48)	51 (51.52)		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	EGC	21	8 (38.10)	13 (61.90)	9.3842	0.0522
Π 5 3 (60.00) 2 (40.00) Π 127 62 (48.82) 65 (51.18) N 13 17.09) 12 (92.31) TNM stages 0.3499 0.9504 I 37 15 (40.54) 22 (59.46) Π 45 21 (46.67) 24 (53.33) Π 69 30 (43.48) 39 (56.52) N 24 11 (45.83) 13 (54.17) T 0.9523 0.8128 1 21 8 (38.10) 13 (61.90) 2 29 14 (48.28) 15 (51.72) 3 64 30 (46.88) 34 (53.13) 4 61 25 (40.98) 36 (59.02) N 18160 0.6115 0 55 27 (49.09) 28 (50.91) 1 32 11 (45.83) 13 (54.17) 3 66 (63.71) 85 (56.29) 1 1 24 11 (45.83) 13 (54.17) Neural invasion 0.7576 0.5146 New 0.3079 1.0000 No	I	9	3 (33.33)	6 (66.67)		
III12762 (48.82)65 (51.18)N131 (7.69)12 (92.31)TNM stages0.34990.9504I3715 (40.54)22 (59.46)II4521 (46.72)24 (53.33)III6930 (43.48)39 (56.52)N2411 (45.83)13 (54.17)T0.95230.81281218 (38.10)13 (61.90)22914 (48.28)15 (51.72)36430 (46.88)34 (53.13)46130 (46.88)34 (53.13)46132 (40.99)28 (50.91)13211 (34.38)21 (65.63)22411 (45.83)13 (54.17)36428 (43.75)36 (56.25)M0.03791.00001015166 (43.71)85 (56.29)1259 (36.00)16 (64.00)Neural invasion0.75760.5146Yes259 (36.00)16 (64.00)No14064 (45.71)76 (52.29)Yes3513 (37.14)22 (62.86)No14064 (45.71)76 (52.29)PS307 (35.00)13 (65.00)34017 (42.50)23 (57.50)92707 (35.00)13 (65.00)34017 (42.50)23 (57.50)92709 (39.13)108737 (42.33)8 (65.67)2124 (33.33)8 (65.67)<	П	5	3 (60.00)	2 (40.00)		
N 13 1 (7.69) 12 (92.31) TNM stages 0.3499 0.9504 I 37 15 (40.54) 22 (59.46) II 45 21 (46.67) 24 (53.33) III 69 30 (43.48) 39 (56.52) IV 24 11 (45.83) 13 (54.17) T 0.9523 0.8128 1 21 8 (38.10) 13 (61.90) 2 29 14 (48.28) 15 (51.72) 3 64 30 (46.88) 34 (53.13) 4 61 30 (44.88) 34 (53.13) 4 61 32 (40.99) 28 (50.91) 1 32 11 (34.38) 21 (65.63) 2 24 11 (45.83) 13 (54.17) 3 64 28 (43.75) 36 (56.25) M 0.0379 1.0000 0 151 66 (43.71) 85 (56.29) 1 22 9 (36.00) 16 (64.00) Neural invasion 0.7576 0.5146 Yes 25 9 (36.00) 16 (64.00)	Ш	127	62 (48.82)	65 (51.18)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	IV	13	1 (7.69)	12 (92.31)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	INM stages	27	15 (40 54)	22 (50.46)	0.3499	0.9504
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	П	37 45	13(40.34) 21(46.67)	22 (59.46)		
N2411 (45.83)13 (54.17)T0.95230.81281218 (38.10)13 (61.90)22914 (48.28)15 (51.72)36430 (46.88)34 (53.13)46125 (40.98)36 (59.02)N1.81600.611505527 (49.09)28 (50.91)13211 (34.38)21 (65.63)22411 (45.83)13 (54.17)36428 (3.75)36 (56.25)M0.03791.0000015166 (43.71)85 (56.29)12411 (45.83)13 (54.17)36426 (3.71)85 (56.29)12411 (45.83)13 (54.17)Neural invasion0.75760.5146Yes259 (36.00)16 (64.00)No15068 (45.33)82 (54.67)Yes3513 (37.14)22 (62.86)No14064 (45.71)76 (54.29)P53073 (51.39)35 (48.61)14316 (37.21)27 (62.79)2207 (35.00)13 (65.00)34017 (42.53)50 (57.47)17234 (47.22)38 (52.78)2124 (33.33)8 (66.67)342 (50.00)2 (50.00)17234 (47.22)38 (52.78)2124 (33.33)8 (66.67)342 (50.00)2 (50.00)	Ш	69	30 (43.48)	39 (56.52)		
T0.95230.81281218 (38.10)13 (61.90)22914 (48.28)15 (51.72)36430 (46.88)34 (53.13)46125 (40.98)36 (59.02)N1.81600.611505527 (49.09)28 (50.91)13211 (34.38)21 (65.63)22411 (45.83)13 (54.17)36428 (43.75)36 (56.25)M0.03791.0000015166 (43.71)85 (56.29)12411 (45.83)13 (54.17)Neural invasion0.75760.5146Yes259 (36.00)16 (64.00)No15068 (45.33)82 (54.67)Yes3513 (37.14)22 (62.86)No14064 (45.71)76 (54.29)P5307 (35.00)13 (65.00)34017 (42.50)23 (57.50)P2707 (35.00)13 (65.00)34017 (42.53)50 (57.47)17234 (47.22)38 (52.78)2124 (33.33)8 (66.67)342 (50.00)2 (50.00)1008737 (42.53)50 (57.47)17234 (47.22)38 (52.78)2124 (33.33)8 (66.67)342 (50.00)2 (50.00)1008329 (34.94)54 (65.06)16631 (46.97)35 (53.03	IV	24	11 (45.83)	13 (54.17)		
1218 (38.10)13 (61.90)22914 (48.28)15 (51.72)36430 (46.88)34 (53.13)46125 (40.98)36 (59.02)N1.81600.611505527 (49.09)28 (50.91)13211 (34.38)21 (65.63)22411 (45.83)13 (54.17)36428 (43.75)36 (56.25)M0.03791.0000015166 (43.71)85 (56.29)12112 (45.33)13 (54.17)Neural invasion0.75760.5146Yes259 (36.00)16 (64.00)No15068 (45.33)82 (54.67)Vascular invasion0.44730.2356Yes3513 (37.14)22 (62.86)No14064 (45.71)76 (54.29)P533.09410.377307237 (51.39)35 (48.61)14316 (37.21)27 (62.79)2207 (35.00)13 (65.00)34017 (42.50)23 (57.50)P2709.9240.803108737 (42.53)50 (57.47)17234 (47.22)38 (52.78)2124 (33.33)8 (66.67)342 (50.00)2 (50.00)Topo IIα9 (34.94)54 (65.06)16631 (46.97)35 (53.03)22514 (60.87)9 (39.13) <td>Т</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.9523</td> <td>0.8128</td>	Т				0.9523	0.8128
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	21	8 (38.10)	13 (61.90)		
36430 (46.36)34 (35.13)46125 (40.98)36 (59.02)N1.81600.611505527 (49.09)28 (50.91)13211 (34.38)21 (65.63)22411 (45.83)13 (54.17)36428 (43.75)36 (56.25)M0.03791.0000015166 (43.71)85 (56.29)12411 (45.83)13 (54.17)Neural invasion0.75760.5146Yes259 (36.00)16 (64.00)No15068 (45.33)82 (54.67)Vascular invasion0.44730.2356Yes3513 (37.14)22 (62.86)No14064 (45.71)76 (54.29)P533.09410.377307237 (51.39)35 (48.61)14316 (37.21)27 (62.79)2207 (35.00)13 (65.00)34017 (42.53)50 (57.47)17234 (47.22)38 (52.78)2124 (33.33)8 (66.67)342 (50.00)2 (50.00)Topo IIα9 (34.94)54 (65.06)16631 (46.97)35 (53.03)22514 (60.87)9 (39.13)	2	29	14 (48.28)	15 (51.72)		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	3	61	25 (40.98)	36 (59 02)		
05527 (49.09)28 (50.91)13211 (34.38)21 (65.63)22411 (45.83)13 (54.17)36428 (43.75)36 (56.25)M0.03791.0000015166 (43.71)85 (56.29)12411 (45.83)13 (54.17)Neural invasion0.75760.5146Yes259 (36.00)16 (64.00)No15068 (45.33)82 (54.67)Yes3513 (37.14)22 (62.86)No14064 (57.1)76 (54.29)P533.09410.377307237 (51.39)35 (48.61)14316 (37.21)27 (62.79)2207 (35.00)13 (65.00)34017 (42.50)23 (57.50)P270.99240.803108737 (42.53)50 (57.47)17234 (47.22)38 (52.78)2124 (33.33)8 (66.67)342 (50.00)2 (50.00)108329 (34.94)54 (65.06)16631 (46.97)35 (53.03)214 (60.87)9 (39.13)	N	01	10 (10000)	00 (05102)	1.8160	0.6115
13211 (34.38)21 (65.63)22411 (45.83)13 (54.17)36428 (43.75)36 (56.25)M015166 (43.71)85 (56.29)12411 (45.83)13 (54.17)Neural invasion0.75760.5146Yes259 (36.00)16 (64.00)No15068 (45.33)82 (54.67)Yes3513 (37.14)22 (62.86)No14064 (57.1)76 (54.29)P533.09410.377307237 (51.39)35 (48.61)14316 (37.21)27 (62.79)2207 (35.00)13 (65.00)34017 (42.53)50 (57.47)17234 (47.22)38 (52.78)2124 (33.33)8 (66.67)342 (50.00)2 (50.00)Topo IIα631 (46.97)35 (53.03)08329 (34.94)54 (65.06)16631 (46.97)35 (53.03)2207 (35 (60.87)	0	55	27 (49.09)	28 (50.91)		
22411 (45.83)13 (54.17)36428 (43.75)36 (56.25)M015166 (43.71)85 (56.29)12411 (45.83)13 (54.17)Neural invasion0.75760.5146Yes259 (36.00)16 (64.00)No15068 (45.33)82 (54.67)Vascular invasion0.44730.2356Yes3513 (37.14)22 (62.86)No14064 (45.71)76 (54.29)P533.09410.377307237 (51.39)35 (48.61)14316 (37.21)27 (62.79)2207 (35.00)13 (65.00)34017 (42.50)23 (57.50)P270.99240.803108737 (42.53)50 (57.47)17234 (47.22)38 (52.78)2124 (33.33)8 (66.67)342 (50.00)2 (50.00)Topo IIα9.47600.023608329 (34.94)54 (65.06)16631 (46.97)35 (53.03)22514 (60.87)9 (39.13)	1	32	11 (34.38)	21 (65.63)		
36428 (43.75)36 (56.25)M0.03791.0000015166 (43.71)85 (56.29)12411 (45.83)13 (54.17)Neural invasion0.75760.5146Yes259 (36.00)16 (64.00)No15068 (45.33)82 (54.67)Vascular invasion0.44730.2356Yes3513 (37.14)22 (62.86)No14064 (45.71)76 (54.29)P533.09410.377307237 (51.39)35 (48.61)14316 (37.21)27 (62.79)2207 (35.00)13 (65.00)34017 (42.50)23 (57.50)P270.99240.803108737 (42.53)50 (57.47)17234 (47.22)38 (52.78)2124 (33.33)8 (66.67)342 (50.00)2 (50.00)Topo IIα9.47600.023608329 (34.94)54 (65.06)16631 (46.97)35 (53.03)22514 (60.87)9 (39.13)	2	24	11 (45.83)	13 (54.17)		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 M	64	28 (43.75)	36 (56.25)	0.0270	1 0000
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	151	66 (43 71)	85 (56 29)	0.0379	1.0000
Neural invasion0.75760.5146Yes259 (36.00)16 (64.00)No15068 (45.33)82 (54.67)Vascular invasion0.44730.2356Yes3513 (37.14)22 (62.86)No14064 (45.71)76 (54.29)P533.09410.377307237 (51.39)35 (48.61)14316 (37.21)27 (62.79)2207 (35.00)13 (65.00)34017 (42.50)23 (57.50)P270.99240.803108737 (42.53)50 (57.47)17234 (47.22)38 (52.78)2124 (33.33)8 (66.67)342 (50.00)2 (50.00)Topo IIα9.47600.023608329 (34.94)54 (65.06)16631 (46.97)35 (53.03)22514 (60.87)9 (39.13)	1	24	11 (45.83)	13 (54.17)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Neural invasion		. ,	. ,	0.7576	0.5146
No15068 (45.33)82 (54.67)Vascular invasion0.44730.2356Yes3513 (37.14)22 (62.86)No14064 (45.71)76 (54.29)P5337 (51.39)35 (48.61)14316 (37.21)27 (62.79)2207 (35.00)13 (65.00)34017 (42.50)23 (57.50)P270.99240.803108737 (42.53)50 (57.47)17234 (47.22)38 (52.78)2124 (33.33)8 (66.67)342 (50.00)2 (50.00)Topo II α 9.47600.023608329 (34.94)54 (65.06)16631 (46.97)35 (53.03)22514 (60.87)9 (39.13)	Yes	25	9 (36.00)	16 (64.00)		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	No	150	68 (45.33)	82 (54.67)	0.4470	0.005/
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Vascular invasion	25	12(2714)	22 (62 86)	0.4473	0.2356
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	No	140	64(4571)	76 (54 29)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	P53	110	01 (10.71)	70 (01.2))	3.0941	0.3773
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	72	37 (51.39)	35 (48.61)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	43	16 (37.21)	27 (62.79)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	20	7 (35.00)	13 (65.00)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	40	17 (42.50)	23 (57.50)	0.0024	0.9021
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	87	37 (42 53)	50 (57 47)	0.9924	0.8051
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	72	34 (47.22)	38 (52.78)		
3 4 2 (50.00) 2 (50.00) Topo II α 9.4760 0.0236 0 83 29 (34.94) 54 (65.06) 1 66 31 (46.97) 35 (53.03) 2 25 14 (60.87) 9 (39.13)	2	12	4 (33.33)	8 (66.67)		
Topo II α 9.4760 0.0236 0 83 29 (34.94) 54 (65.06) 1 66 31 (46.97) 35 (53.03) 2 25 14 (60.87) 9 (39.13)	3	4	2 (50.00)	2 (50.00)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Τορο ΙΙα	0.7	00 (0 + 5))		9.4760	0.0236
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	83	29 (34.94)	54 (65.06) 35 (52.02)		
	2	25	51 (46.97) 14 (60.87)	55 (55.03) 9 (39.13)		
3 3 3 (100) 0 (0)	3	3	3 (100)	0 (0)		

FGFR4: Fibroblast growth factor receptor 4; TNM: Tumor-node-metastasis.



Figure 1 Immunohistochemical analysis of fibroblast growth factor receptor 4 protein expression (× 200). A: High expression; B: Low expression.

min, followed by two washes with 100% ethanol for 10 min each. The slides were then incubated in 95% ethanol for 10 min and washed twice in dH₂O for 5 min. Antigen retrieval was performed by placing the slides in 10 mmol/L citrate buffer (pH 6.0) and microwave treatment for 15 min. Tissue sections were cooled to room temperature (RT), and washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and distilled water. IHC was carried out on 4-µm sections using specific antibodies against FGFR4 (sc-124, Santa Cruz), p53 (sc-126, Santa Cruz), p27 (sc-393380, Santa Cruz), and Topo II α (sc-65743, Santa Cruz). IHC samples were examined by two pathologists who were experienced in gastrointestinal cancers and unaware of the clinical information. Immunostains were standardized using appropriate positive and negative controls for each antibody.

The FGFR4 was evaluated according to both the signal intensity and the percentage of stained cells. The signal intensity was scored as negative (0), weak (1), moderate (2) or strong (3). When the percentage of FGFR4 immune-positive tumor cells was considered, a score of 1 was given when < 10% of cells were positive; 2 when 10%-50% of cells were positive. Both scores were multiplied and the resulting score was used to categorize FGFR4 expression as low expression (< 3) or high expression (> 3).

The expression of p53, p27 and Topo $\,\mathrm{II}\,\alpha$ were



Figure 2 Significant difference was found between patients with high and low fibroblast growth factor receptor 4 protein expression after stratified Kaplan-Meier survival analysis. A: Patients with tumor size \leq 3 cm; B: Patients with well-differentiated gastric cancer; C: Patients with gastric cancer classified as stage T1 or T2.

assessed by determining the number of positively stained nuclei, with less than 10% of stained cells indicating a negative result. A score of 1 was given when 10%-30% of the cells stained positively. Scores of 2 or 3 were given when 30%-50% or > 50% of the cells stained positively, respectively.

Chen H et al. FGFR4 protein expression in gastric cancer

Statistical analysis

Pearson χ^2 statistical analysis was performed to assess FGFR4 protein expression in the subgroups with differing clinicopathological characteristics. The probability of survival for different subgroups was calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method and the survival curves were plotted using the log rank test. All statistics were performed using 2-sided analysis, with a significance level of P < 0.05, using the "SPSS 19.0" statistical software package.

RESULTS

FGFR 4 protein expression

According to the criteria described previously, among 175 cases, 77 (44%) had high FGFR4 protein expression (Figure 1A) and 98 (56%) had low expression (Figure 1B).

Correlation of FGFR4 protein expression with clinicopathological characteristics

A significant correlation was observed between FGFR4 protein expression and Topo II α expression in gastric cancers (log rank $\chi^2 = 9.4760$, P = 0.0236). No relationships were observed between FGFR4 expression and gender, age, tumor size, tumor location, tumor differentiation, macroscopic type, p53 status, p27 status or TNM GC classification (P > 0.05; Table 1). Furthermore, within the subgroups, no relationships were observed between FGFR4 protein expression and depth of invasion, lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis, neural invasion or vascular invasion (Table 1).

Survival analysis

The five-year survival rate for patients with tumors showing low expression of FGFR4 was 39.2%, and the median survival time was 39 mo. The five-year survival rate for patients with tumors showing high FGFR4 expression was 30.8%, and median survival time was 27 mo. However, analysis of the entire patient cohort using Kaplan-Meier survival analysis showed no difference in survival between patients with high and low FGFR4 expressing tumors (log rank $\chi^2 = 1.0477, P = 0.3060$). When the patient population was stratified by clinicopathological parameters, such as age at diagnosis, gender, tumor size, differentiation, pathological stage, neural or vascular invasion, we found that the expression of FGFR4 protein was associated with a shorter survival time in GC patients if the tumor was small (less than or equal to 3 cm in size) (log rank χ^2 = 5.5033, P = 0.0190, Figure 2A), well differentiated (log rank χ^2 = 7.9757, P = 0.0047, Figure 2B), or of T1 or T2 stage (log rank χ^2 = 4.8827, P = 0.0271, Figure 2C). No survival difChen H et al. FGFR4 protein expression in gastric cancer

Clinicopathological FGFR4 positive FGFR4 negative χ^2 P characteristics value	ie
Median 5-yr Median 5-yr survival survival survival survival time (mo) rate time (mo) rate	
Gender	
Male 27 27.4 47 36.7 1.1198 0.29	00
Female 43 42.2 46 44.8 0.0000 0.99	67
Age (yr)	
< 60 31 23.9 35 30.0 0.4080 0.52	30
≥ 60 44 36.1 52 46.0 0.6206 0.43	08
Tumor size	
$\leq 3 \text{ cm}$ 42 40.4 60 69.0 5.5033 0.01	90
> 3 cm 22 24.8 24 26.2 0.0746 0.78	48
Tumor location	
U 18 31.1 38 31.4 0.7164 0.39	73
M 43 31.5 46 38.1 0.0225 0.88	07
L 35 29.1 52 42.5 1.1274 0.28	83
Tumor	
differentiation	
Poor 17 23.4 35 20.1 2.2622 0.13	26
Well 31 47.0 56 62.1 7.9757 0.00	47
TNM stages	
I + II 56 57.7 60 69.6 1.3128 0.25	19
III + IV 15 3.5 19 10.6 0.5328 0.46	54
Т	
T1 + T2 54 55.8 60 82.1 4.8827 0.02	71
T3 + T4 22 19.4 18 21.3 0.0479 0.82	68
Ν	
N0 58 67.4 60 75.0 0.4856 0.48	59
N1 + N2 + N3 18 10.1 25 24.3 2.5385 0.11	11
М	
0 43 35.3 52 45.4 1.2078 0.27	18
1 9 0.0 12 0.0 0.4042 0.52	49
Neural I invasion	
Yes 19 38.9 56 18.8 2.1949 0.13	85
No 36 30.2 49 43.3 3.1118 0.07	77
Vascular	
I invasion	
Yes 21 13.8 22 14.3 0.0507 0.82	19
No 42 34.0 54 46.2 1.7283 0.18	86

FGFR4: Fibroblast growth factor receptor 4; TNM: Tumor-node-metastasis.

ferences were observed in any of the other subgroups (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

In this single-center study, we investigated the FGFR4 protein expression status of 175 resectable GC specimens using IHC analysis. Herein, we focused on the role of FGFR4 as a prognostic marker for predicting cancer behavior and clinical outcome in GC patients undergoing curative surgery. To our knowledge, this is the largest study conducted to date.

Our data showed that 44% of cases (77) exhibited high FGFR4 protein expression, a result which is in keeping with a similarly high expression rate of around 38%, documented in a previous study which also reported that GC tissues have higher FGFR4 protein expression than normal tissues^[13]. Overexpression of FGFR4 protein has been described in various malignancies and has been shown to play an important biological role. Roidl et al^[14] in 2009 demonstrated that FGFR4 expression is up-regulated in response to doxorubicin treatment in apoptosisresistant cancer cell clones. Turkington et al^[15] in 2014 demonstrated that FGFR4 has an important role in resistance to oxaliplatin and 5-FU treatment in a range of colorectal cancer cell line models, whilst Zaid et al^[9] in 2013 demonstrated that gene silencing of FGFR4 and inhibition of ligand-receptor binding both significantly decreased ovarian tumor growth both in vitro and in vivo. Recently, a study using a combination of the FGFR4 inhibitor, PD173074, and 5-fluorouracil showed an anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic effect in GC cells in vitro^[16]. Targeting gastric cancers with high levels of FGFR4 protein expression may represent a new therapeutic modality.

In our 175 patient cohort, no relationships were observed between FGFR4 protein expression and age, gender, tumor location, tumor differentiation, macroscopic type, TNM classification or other clinicopathological characteristics (P > 0.05). This is in keeping with similar data from several published studies on GC, hepatocellular carcinoma^[17] and other tumor types. Results from earlier studies also showed that FGFR4 expression correlated significantly with the expression of human epidermal receptor 2 (HER-2), p21, and proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA)^[13]. In our study, we found that FGFR4 expression correlated positively with Topo II α expression, but not with p53 or p27.

Topo $\prod \alpha$ is a nuclear enzyme which modulates the topology of chromosomal DNA by causing transient double-stranded DNA breaks. This enzyme plays a key role in a number of DNA-related processes^[18], is essential for cell growth and is typically expressed at high levels in rapidly growing cancer cells^[19]. Notably, the fact that specific enzymatic inhibition of Topo II α results in significant antitumor activity confirms that Topo $II \alpha$ is an important target for anticancer agents^[20]. Furthermore, reports have also shown that Topo $II \alpha$ is involved in multiple mechanisms of drug resistance in primary gastric cardiac adenocarcinoma^[21]. Hence, we suggest that the correlation of high FGFR4 and Topo $II \alpha$ protein expression may, in part, explain the relatively poor prognosis for GC patients. Clearly, the underlying molecular mechanisms involved are complex and require further investigation.

In our study, the median survival time and 5-year survival rate for patients with high FGFR4 protein expression were both worse than those with low expression. However, no statistically significant differences were observed (log rank $\chi^2 = 1.0477$, P = 0.3060). These findings agree with the analysis of 94 GC patients performed by Ye *et al*^[12] in 2012. We postulate that the up-regulation of FGFR4 may contribute to an antiapoptotic effect in GC cells^[13], with



similar data reported in hepatocellular carcinoma^[22] and colorectal cancer^[23].

Notably, we observed significant statistical differences in FGFR protein expression following stratification of tumors by size, differentiation, and invasion depth (P < 0.05). The high expression of FGFR4 appears to play an important role in the prognosis of GC with fewer other risk factors including small tumor size, degree of differentiation and early stage invasive depth. Our results demonstrate that FGFR4 protein expression is a prognostic factor in relatively small (less than 3 cm), well-differentiated (grades I and II) and early stage invasive (stages I and II) GC tumors.

The role of FGFR4 as a cancer prognostic factor, however, still remains controversial. Li et al^[24] in 2014 investigated 316 colorectal cancer cases and concluded that FGFR4 positivity was significantly correlated with shorter disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS). A further study by Brito et al^[25] in 2012 demonstrated that FGFR4 protein overexpression and gene amplification were predictors of poor outcome in adult patients with adrenocortical tumors. In contrast, Dutra et al^[26] in 2012 showed that low FGFR4 protein expression was related to lymph node positivity and premature relapse of disease, as well as disease-related death after analyzing 75 patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the mouth and oropharynx. Similar disagreement also occurs in GC. Ye et al^[12] in 2012 analyzed 94 GC cases and subgroup analysis illustrated that in GC patients with III/IV stage, the prognosis of patients with high expression of FGFR4 was much poorer. This is in contrast to the data presented here. We suggest that the smaller sample size in the study by Ye et al^[12] may explain these conflicting results. Our study included a higher proportion of patients with large tumors and late-stage disease compared to the study by Ye *et al*^[12]. A further possible explanation for this</sup> may be underestimation of the biomarker heterogeneity of GC. Clearly, further research with larger sample sizes is required to explain the full impact of FGFR4 on the development and prognosis of GC.

In conclusion, to date, this is the largest study focusing on the expression of FGFR4 protein in GC. Notably, our data show that high FGFR4 protein expression is related to the expression of Topo II α and poor overall survival in patients harboring relatively small (\leq 3 cm), well-differentiated tumors with early stage invasive depth. Overall, this study suggests that FGFR4 may represent an attractive therapeutic target in a subgroup of gastric cancers.

COMMENTS

Background

The fibroblast growth factor (FGF) pathway may represent an effective therapeutic option for cancer as FGF receptor 4 (FGFR4) protein expression is upregulated in several cancers. However, there are few studies on the role of FGFR4 in gastric cancer (GC).

Research frontiers

The authors investigated FGFR4 protein expression in Chinese patients with resectable GC and the association with clinicopathological characteristics and survival.

Innovations and breakthroughs

To date, this is the largest study focusing on the expression of FGFR4 protein in GC.

Applications

FGFR4 may be an effective therapeutic biomarker for GC. More studies should be performed to investigate the role of FGFR4 in GC.

Peer-review

This is an interesting article focusing on FGFR4 protein expression GC. They concluded that the FGFR4 high expression is not an independent risk factor for GC cancer initiation but that it is a useful prognostic marker for GC patients when the tumor is relatively small, well differentiated or in early depth invasion. More studies on the role of FGFR4 in GC should be performed.

REFERENCES

- Jemal A, Siegel R, Xu J, Ward E. Cancer statistics, 2010. CA Cancer J Clin 2010; 60: 277-300 [PMID: 20610543 DOI: 10.3322/ caac.20073]
- 2 Dikken JL, Jansen EP, Cats A, Bakker B, Hartgrink HH, Kranenbarg EM, Boot H, Putter H, Peeters KC, van de Velde CJ, Verheij M. Impact of the extent of surgery and postoperative chemoradiotherapy on recurrence patterns in gastric cancer. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28: 2430-2436 [PMID: 20368551 DOI: 10.1200/ JCO.2009.26.9654]
- 3 Haugsten EM, Wiedlocha A, Olsnes S, Wesche J. Roles of fibroblast growth factor receptors in carcinogenesis. *Mol Cancer Res* 2010; 8: 1439-1452 [PMID: 21047773 DOI: 10.1158/1541-7786. MCR-10-0168]
- 4 Jain VK, Turner NC. Challenges and opportunities in the targeting of fibroblast growth factor receptors in breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res* 2012; 14: 208 [PMID: 22731805 DOI: 10.1186/ bcr3139]
- 5 Gowardhan B, Douglas DA, Mathers ME, McKie AB, McCracken SR, Robson CN, Leung HY. Evaluation of the fibroblast growth factor system as a potential target for therapy in human prostate cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2005; **92**: 320-327 [PMID: 15655558 DOI: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6602274]
- 6 Tenhagen M, van Diest PJ, Ivanova IA, van der Wall E, van der Groep P. Fibroblast growth factor receptors in breast cancer: expression, downstream effects, and possible drug targets. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 2012; 19: R115-R129 [PMID: 22508544 DOI: 10.1530/ERC-12-0060]
- 7 Leung HY, Gullick WJ, Lemoine NR. Expression and functional activity of fibroblast growth factors and their receptors in human pancreatic cancer. *Int J Cancer* 1994; **59**: 667-675 [PMID: 7960240]
- 8 Takahashi A, Sasaki H, Kim SJ, Kakizoe T, Miyao N, Sugimura T, Terada M, Tsukamoto T. Identification of receptor genes in renal cell carcinoma associated with angiogenesis by differential hybridization technique. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1999; 257: 855-859 [PMID: 10208873]
- 9 Zaid TM, Yeung TL, Thompson MS, Leung CS, Harding T, Co NN, Schmandt RS, Kwan SY, Rodriguez-Aguay C, Lopez-Berestein G, Sood AK, Wong KK, Birrer MJ, Mok SC. Identification of FGFR4 as a potential therapeutic target for advanced-stage, highgrade serous ovarian cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2013; **19**: 809-820 [PMID: 23344261 DOI: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-2736]
- Sugiyama N, Varjosalo M, Meller P, Lohi J, Hyytiäinen M, Kilpinen S, Kallioniemi O, Ingvarsen S, Engelholm LH, Taipale J, Alitalo K, Keski-Oja J, Lehti K. Fibroblast growth factor receptor 4 regulates tumor invasion by coupling fibroblast growth factor signaling to extracellular matrix degradation. *Cancer Res* 2010; **70**: 7851-7861 [PMID: 20876804 DOI: 10.1158/0008-5472. CAN-10-1223]
- 11 Kumar SB, Narasu L, Gundla R, Dayam R, J A R P S. Fibroblast



growth factor receptor inhibitors. *Curr Pharm Des* 2013; **19**: 687-701 [PMID: 23016864]

- 12 Ye YW, Zhang X, Zhou Y, Wu J, Zhao C, Yuan L, Wang G, Du C, Wang C, Shi Y. The correlations between the expression of FGFR4 protein and clinicopathological parameters as well as prognosis of gastric cancer patients. *J Surg Oncol* 2012; 106: 872-879 [PMID: 22585711 DOI: 10.1002/jso.23153]
- 13 Ye YW, Zhou Y, Yuan L, Wang CM, Du CY, Zhou XY, Zheng BQ, Cao X, Sun MH, Fu H, Shi YQ. Fibroblast growth factor receptor 4 regulates proliferation and antiapoptosis during gastric cancer progression. *Cancer* 2011; 117: 5304-5313 [PMID: 21567388 DOI: 10.1002/cncr.26207]
- 14 Roidl A, Berger HJ, Kumar S, Bange J, Knyazev P, Ullrich A. Resistance to chemotherapy is associated with fibroblast growth factor receptor 4 up-regulation. *Clin Cancer Res* 2009; 15: 2058-2066 [PMID: 19240166]
- 15 Turkington RC, Longley DB, Allen WL, Stevenson L, McLaughlin K, Dunne PD, Blayney JK, Salto-Tellez M, Van Schaeybroeck S, Johnston PG. Fibroblast growth factor receptor 4 (FGFR4): a targetable regulator of drug resistance in colorectal cancer. *Cell Death Dis* 2014; **5**: e1046 [PMID: 24503538 DOI: 10.1038/cddis.2014.10]
- 16 Ye YW, Hu S, Shi YQ, Zhang XF, Zhou Y, Zhao CL, Wang GJ, Wen JG, Zong H. Combination of the FGFR4 inhibitor PD173074 and 5-fluorouracil reduces proliferation and promotes apoptosis in gastric cancer. *Oncol Rep* 2013; 30: 2777-2784 [PMID: 24126887 DOI: 10.3892/or.2013.2796]
- 17 Chen Z, Xie B, Zhu Q, Xia Q, Jiang S, Cao R, Shi L, Qi D, Li X, Cai L. FGFR4 and TGF-β1 expression in hepatocellular carcinoma: correlation with clinicopathological features and prognosis. *Int J Med Sci* 2013; **10**: 1868-1875 [PMID: 24324363 DOI: 10.7150/ ijms.6868]
- 18 Giles GI, Sharma RP. Topoisomerase enzymes as therapeutic targets for cancer chemotherapy. *Med Chem* 2005; 1: 383-394 [PMID: 16789895]

- 19 Chen W, Qiu J, Shen YM. Topoisomerase IIα, rather than IIβ, is a promising target in development of anti-cancer drugs. *Drug Discov Ther* 2012; 6: 230-237 [PMID: 23229142]
- 20 Azarova AM, Lyu YL, Lin CP, Tsai YC, Lau JY, Wang JC, Liu LF. Roles of DNA topoisomerase II isozymes in chemotherapy and secondary malignancies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2007; 104: 11014-11019 [PMID: 17578914 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0704002104]
- 21 Shi H, Lu D, Shu Y, Shi W, Lu S, Wang K. Expression of multidrug-resistance-related proteins P-glycoprotein, glutathione-S-transferases, topoisomerase-II and lung resistance protein in primary gastric cardiac adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Invest* 2008; 26: 344-351 [PMID: 18443954 DOI: 10.1080/07357900701788072]
- 22 Mellor HR. Targeted inhibition of the FGF19-FGFR4 pathway in hepatocellular carcinoma; translational safety considerations. *Liver Int* 2014; 34: e1-e9 [PMID: 24393342 DOI: 10.1111/liv.12462]
- 23 Liu R, Li J, Xie K, Zhang T, Lei Y, Chen Y, Zhang L, Huang K, Wang K, Wu H, Wu M, Nice EC, Huang C, Wei Y. FGFR4 promotes stroma-induced epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res* 2013; **73**: 5926-5935 [PMID: 23943801 DOI: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-4718]
- 24 Li CS, Zhang SX, Liu HJ, Shi YL, Li LP, Guo XB, Zhang ZH. Fibroblast growth factor receptor 4 as a potential prognostic and therapeutic marker in colorectal cancer. *Biomarkers* 2014; 19: 81-85 [PMID: 24410190 DOI: 10.3109/1354750X.2013.876555]
- 25 Brito LP, Ribeiro TC, Almeida MQ, Jorge AA, Soares IC, Latronico AC, Mendonca BB, Fragoso MC, Lerario AM. The role of fibroblast growth factor receptor 4 overexpression and gene amplification as prognostic markers in pediatric and adult adrenocortical tumors. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 2012; 19: L11-L13 [PMID: 22241720 DOI: 10.1530/ERC-11-0231]
- 26 Dutra RL, de Carvalho MB, Dos Santos M, Mercante AM, Gazito D, de Cicco R, Group G, Tajara EH, Louro ID, da Silva AM. FGFR4 profile as a prognostic marker in squamous cell carcinoma of the mouth and oropharynx. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e50747 [PMID: 23226373 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0050747]

P- Reviewer: Abbott DE, Uraoka T, Yahya RS S- Editor: Ma YJ L- Editor: Webster JR E- Editor: Zhang DN







Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx http://www.wjgnet.com





© 2015 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.