

Laboratory markers in ulcerative colitis: Current insights and future advances

Michele Cioffi, Antonella De Rosa, Rosalba Serao, Iliaria Picone, Maria Teresa Vietri

Michele Cioffi, Antonella De Rosa, Rosalba Serao, Iliaria Picone, Maria Teresa Vietri, Department of Biochemistry, Biophysics and General Pathology, Second Medical School Naples, 80138 Naples, Italy

Author contributions: Cioffi M designed the research, analysed the data, and wrote the paper; De Rosa A contributed analytic tools, analysed the data; Serao R analysed the data; Picone I contributed analytic tools; Vietri MT designed the research, contributed analytic tools, and wrote the paper.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Correspondence to: Michele Cioffi, MD, Department of Biochemistry, Biophysics and General Pathology, Second Medical School Naples, Via L. De Crecchio 7, 80138 Naples, Italy. michele.cioffi@unina2.it

Telephone: +39-08-15665680

Fax: +39-08-1450169

Received: May 27, 2014

Peer-review started: May 27, 2014

First decision: June 28, 2014

Revised: October 3, 2014

Accepted: December 29, 2014

Article in press: December 31, 2014

Published online: February 15, 2015

Abstract

Ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD) are the major forms of inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) in man. Despite some common features, these forms can be distinguished by different genetic predisposition, risk factors and clinical, endoscopic and histological characteristics. The aetiology of both CD and UC remains unknown, but several evidences suggest that CD and perhaps UC are due to an excessive immune

response directed against normal constituents of the intestinal bacterial flora. Tests sometimes invasive are routine for the diagnosis and care of patients with IBD. Diagnosis of UC is based on clinical symptoms combined with radiological and endoscopic investigations. The employment of non-invasive biomarkers is needed. These biomarkers have the potential to avoid invasive diagnostic tests that may result in discomfort and potential complications. The ability to determine the type, severity, prognosis and response to therapy of UC, using biomarkers has long been a goal of clinical researchers. We describe the biomarkers assessed in UC, with special reference to acute-phase proteins and serologic markers and thereafter, we describe the new biological markers and the biological markers could be developed in the future: (1) serum markers of acute phase response: The laboratory tests most used to measure the acute-phase proteins in clinical practice are the serum concentration of C-reactive protein and the erythrocyte sedimentation rate. Other biomarkers of inflammation in UC include platelet count, leukocyte count, and serum albumin and serum orosomucoid concentrations; (2) serologic markers/antibodies: In the last decades serological and immunologic biomarkers have been studied extensively in immunology and have been used in clinical practice to detect specific pathologies. In UC, the presence of these antibodies can aid as surrogate markers for the aberrant host immune response; and (3) future biomarkers: The development of biomarkers in UC will be very important in the future. The progress of molecular biology tools (microarrays, proteomics and nanotechnology) have revolutionised the field of the biomarker discovery. The advances in bioinformatics coupled with cross-disciplinary collaborations have greatly enhanced our ability to retrieve, characterize and analyse large amounts of data generated by the technological advances. The techniques available for biomarkers development are genomics (single nucleotide polymorphism genotyping, pharmacogenetics and gene expression analyses) and proteomics. In the future, the addition

of new serological markers will add significant benefit. Correlating serologic markers with genotypes and clinical phenotypes should enhance our understanding of pathophysiology of UC.

Key words: Inflammatory bowel diseases; Ulcerative colitis; Crohn's disease; Serologic markers; Acute phase response

© **The Author(s) 2015.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: Ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease are the major forms of inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD). Tests sometimes invasive are routine for the diagnosis and care of patients with IBD. The employment of non-invasive biomarkers is needed. We describe biomarkers assessed in UC, with special reference to acute-phase proteins and serologic markers and thereafter, we describe the new biological markers. The progress of molecular biology tools have revolutionised the field of the biomarker. The techniques available for biomarkers development are genomics and proteomics. Correlating serologic markers with genotypes and clinical phenotypes should enhance our understanding of pathophysiology of UC.

Cioffi M, De Rosa A, Serao R, Picone I, Vietri MT. Laboratory markers in ulcerative colitis: Current insights and future advances. *World J Gastrointest Pathophysiol* 2015; 6(1): 13-22 Available from: URL: <http://www.wjgnet.com/2150-5330/full/v6/i1/13.htm> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4291/wjgp.v6.i1.13>

INTRODUCTION

Ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD) are chronic, relapsing inflammatory diseases of the intestine. UC and CD can be differentiated by different genetic predisposition, risk factors, clinical, endoscopic and histological characteristics.

In UC pathogenesis are involved numerous mechanisms. The chronic inflammation of colonic mucosal in UC results from: (1) damage to the epithelial barrier; (2) equilibrium between tolerance to commensal microflora, dietary antigens and suitable sensitivity to enteric pathogens maintained by intestinal immune system; (3) dysregulation of immunological responses; and (4) genetic factors^[1].

The inflammation in UC is limited to the mucosal surface. The illness starts in the rectum and generally extends proximally through the whole colon. However, some patients with proctitis or left-sided colitis might have a caecal patch of inflammation^[2].

Although the precise cause of IBD is unknown, there seems to be a genetic predisposition. The risk is mainly high in first-degree relatives: from 5.7% to

15.5% of patients with UC has a first-degree relative with the same disease^[3,4]. In addition, Ashkenazi Jews have a frequency of UC 3-5 times higher than other ethnic groups.

The frequency of UC is higher in developed countries and in urban vs rural areas. Numerous environmental factors act as predisposing or protective factors for UC, such as cigarette smoke. A meta-analysis showed that cigarettes smoking is protective against UC compared with non-smoking^[5]. The smoking UC patients have a milder course of the disease than non-smokers, and disease activity is frequently improved in patients who have stopped smoking^[6].

Previous gastrointestinal infections (*e.g.*, *Salmonella* spp, *Shigella* spp and *Campylobacter* spp) double the risk of developing UC. This suggests that the acute intestinal infection could lead to changes in the intestinal flora triggering the onset of a chronic inflammatory process in genetically predisposed subjects^[7,8].

Appendectomy is protective against UC and a meta-analysis reported that reduces about 69% the risk of developing UC^[9,10].

There are no data supporting psychological stress can promote the onset or relapse of UC^[11]. The use of oral contraceptives is moderately associated with disease onset^[12]. Breastfeeding is protective against later development of UC, but only when the duration of breastfeeding is more than 3 mo^[13].

UC is more common than CD. In United States and in Northern Europe, the incidence of UC ranging from 9-20 cases per 100000 persons/years and prevalence rates from 156-291 cases per 100000 people. The UC has the main peak of onset between 15 and 30 years old^[14] and second peak in patients aged 50-70 years. Previous studies have shown no preference for sex^[14], if not a slight prevalence in men^[15].

The goals of drug treatment for UC are treating the symptoms and inducing clinical remission. The ileal-pouch anal anastomosis (IPAA) is the elective surgery treatment in about 20%-30% of UC patients that eventually underwent surgery.

DIAGNOSIS

The diagnosis of UC is based on clinical symptoms combined with radiological and endoscopic investigations. Employment of non-invasive biomarkers is needed. Non-invasive biomarkers have the potential to avoid invasive diagnostic tests and inhibit potential complications^[16].

The ability to determine the type of UC, severity, prognosis and response to therapy, using biomarkers has long been the aim of clinical researchers^[17,18]. A working group of the National Institute of Health, in 2001, defined biomarker as "a characteristic that is objectively measured and evaluated as an

Table 1 Main biological markers in ulcerative colitis

Serum markers of acute phase response		Response
C-reactive protein		Increased
Erythrocyte sedimentation rate		Increased
Platelet count		Increased
White blood cell count		Increased
Alpha1-acid glycoprotein (Orosomucoid)		Increased
B2-microglobulin		Increased
Sialic acid		Increased
Serum amyloid A		Increased
Ferritin		Increased
Serum albumin	Complement system	Decreased
Trasferrin	Complement system	Decreased
C1s, C2, C3, C4, B	Complement system	Increased
Haptoglobin	Transport proteins	Increased
Haemopexin	Transport proteins	Increased
Caeruloplasmin	Transport proteins	Increased
Alpha1 Antitrypsin	Proteinase inhibitors	Increased
Alpha 1 antichymotrypsin	Proteinase inhibitors	Increased
Fibrinogen	Coagulation and fibrinolytic proteins	Increased
Prothrombin	Coagulation and fibrinolytic proteins	Increased
Plasminogen	Coagulation and fibrinolytic proteins	Increased
Factor XII	Coagulation and fibrinolytic proteins	Decreased
IL-6, IL-1 β - TNF- α , IL-8, IL-10, Interferon- β	Cytokines	Increased
Serologic markers/antibodies		Positive rate
ANCA	Anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (cANCA, sANCA, pANCA)	2%-28% CD 20%-85% UC
ASCA	Anti-saccharomyces cerevisiae antibodies	39%-69% CD 5%-15% UC
Anti-OmpC	Antibodies to outer membrane porin	24%-50% CD 5%-11% UC
Anti-Cbir1	Flagellin related antigen	50% CD 5%-11% UC
Anti-I2	Pseudomonas fluorescens-associated sequence I-2	30%-50% CD 2%-10% UC
Flagellin A4-Fla2 and Fla-X antibodies	Newly identified	About 57% CD
Antilaminaribioside carbohydrate IgG (ALCA)	Antiglycan antibody	17%-28% CD 4%-7% UC
Antichitobioside carbohydrate IgA (ACCA)	Antiglycan antibody	20%-25% CD 5%-15% UC
Anti-synthetic mannoside antibodies (ASMA or AMCA)	Antiglycan antibody	28% CD 18% UC
Pancreatic antibodies	Pancreatic secretion	30%-40% CD 2%-6% UC
Serum p53 antibodies		9.3% UC

CD: Crohn's disease; UC: Ulcerative colitis; IL: Interleukin.

indicator of normal biological processes, pathogenic processes, or pharmacologic responses to a therapeutic intervention¹⁹. A good biomarker must be accurate, reproducible, standardized, easy to be interpreted by clinicians and with a high diagnostic sensitivity and specificity. Unfortunately, no single marker has all these features.

First we consider biomarkers assessed in UC, with special reference to acute-phase proteins and serologic markers. Thereafter, we consider new biomarkers and which biological markers should be developed in the future. The main biomarkers in UC are the acute-phase proteins and serologic markers (Table 1).

SERUM MARKERS OF ACUTE PHASE RESPONSE

The laboratory tests most used to measure the acute-phase proteins in clinical practice are the serum concentration of C-reactive protein (CRP) and the erythrocyte sedimentation rate. Other biomarkers of acute phase response in UC include platelet and leukocyte count, serum albumin, and orosomucoid concentrations.

CRP

CRP is an acute phase protein produced by the liver in response to various acute and chronic inflammatory

conditions. CRP is produced mainly by hepatocytes in response to circulating interleukin-6 (IL-6), and to a lesser extent in response to IL-1 β and TNF- α ^[20].

CRP levels range from 5 to 200 mg/L. During the onset of inflammatory response an increasingly number of hepatocytes are recruited to its synthesis. This recruitment is extremely rapid. The decrease of CRP concentration may be similarly rapid, with a decrease from peak with a half time of 48 h^[21].

In literature are reported significant differences in the CRP response between CD and UC. In CD patients has been described a clear increase in CRP, whereas in UC the response is slight or absent^[22,23]. There is no satisfactory explanation for these differences. Nevertheless, in literature is reported that serum IL-6 concentrations were significantly increased in patients with CD compared with UC and healthy controls^[24]. Another interpretation could be that the inflammation in UC is confined to the mucosa while in CD the inflammation is transmural, but not enough to explain all the differences.

Erythrocyte sedimentation rate

The Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) determination reflects the changes in the various acute phase proteins. Although the usefulness of this test has decreased, it is still widely used. The test measures the distance that erythrocytes have fallen after one hour in a vertical column of non-coagulated blood under the influence of gravity^[25].

ESR varies with plasma protein concentrations and haematocrit value, and in IBD provides a simple and rapid assessment of the plasma protein alterations of the acute phase response.

Thus, the ESR is greatly influenced by the size, shape, and number of erythrocytes as well as by other factors, including age, gender, anaemia, blood dyscrasias and pregnancy^[26].

The ESR determination monitors satisfactorily the acute-phase response of disease after the first 24 h. In contrast, during the first 24 h the CRP is a better indicator of the acute phase.

The ESR, compared with CRP, reaches the highest point less quickly, decreases more slowly and has a lesser degree of change.

Platelets

The platelets also play an active role in several inflammatory processes^[27]. The high platelet number correlates well with disease severity, and, interestingly, may persist even after bowel resection in IBD patients. Mean platelet volume has been proposed as a potential marker of clinical disease activity, being inversely proportional to the levels of CRP and ESR. The cause of the reduction in platelet volume in clinically active UC is unknown, but it may be a direct result of the thrombopoiesis disorder often observed in the early phases of systemic inflammatory

progression^[28]. The platelets also relates to the increased incidence of thromboembolic phenomena in CD and UC. Some studies reported that spontaneous platelet aggregation is observed in more than 30% of IBD patients^[29].

Other serum laboratory markers

The number of white blood cells increases during the acute phase response and is also influenced by the drugs utilized in IBD, such as glucocorticoids (increased) or azathioprine and 6-mercaptopurine (decreased).

Albumin is a negative acute phase marker and decreased levels may be found during inflammation. Alpha 1-acid glycoprotein or orosomucoid is another hepatocyte derived acute phase protein related with IBD activity^[30], but the long half-life (5 d) reduced its usefulness.

Other acute phase markers include sialic acid, fibrinogen, lactoferrin, β 2-microglobulin, serum amyloid A, alpha 2-globulin, and alpha 1-antitrypsin. Most of these markers have not been extensively studied in IBD and the authors describe opposing results.

Cytokines

The cytokines are intercellular signalling polypeptides produced by activated cells. The cytokines produced during inflammatory processes are the chief stimulators of the production of acute-phase proteins. The inflammation-associated cytokines include IL-6, IL-1 β , TNF- α , IFN- β , TGF- β , IL-8 and possibly IL-10^[25].

For UC, the cellular events are less clear, but natural killer T cells may play an important role as initiating cells. The proinflammatory cytokines include TNF- α , IL-12, IL-23, perhaps IL-17 and IFN- β ^[31].

In the intestinal mucosa from UC patients the expression of proinflammatory cytokines was significantly increased. Future investigations will clarify the significance of impairments of cytokine network for the beginning and UC progression. Serum cytokines assessment has not correlated well with clinical activity.

SEROLOGIC MARKERS/ANTIBODIES

In previous years, serological and immunologic markers have been used in clinical practice. In UC, the presence of these antibodies can aid as alternate markers for the aberrant host immune response. New markers directed against microbial antigens have recently emerged (Table 1).

Anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies

Serologic anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA) are assessed by indirect immunofluorescence (IIF) and are detectable showed three main staining patterns: the cytoplasmic (cANCA), the speckled

(sANCA) and the perinuclear (pANCA).

ANCAs are classically associated with vasculitis, in which ANCA serum levels are used for diagnostic, monitoring, and prognostic aims. In addition, ANCAs are found in other chronic inflammatory disorders, such as rheumatoid arthritis and in UC^[32]. While ANCA values ranges from 2%-28% in CD patients, 20%-85% of UC patients are positive for ANCA, resulting a sensitivity of 56% and a specificity of 89% in UC patients^[33,34].

Anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae antibodies

Anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae antibodies (ASCA) are found in 39%-69% of CD patients and in 5%-15% of UC patients^[35]. It is interesting to note that ASCA IgA sensitivity is lower in Japanese and Chinese CD patients than Caucasian CD patients^[33], suggesting that the ASCA response may be influenced by several distinct genetic determinants and/or environmental risk factors.

Antibodies to outer membrane porin, Flagellin, Pseudomonas fluorescens-associated sequence I-2 and antibodies to Flagellin A4-Fla2 and Fla-X

Antibodies to outer membrane porin (Anti-OmpC) are a major outer-membrane protein isolated first from Escherichia coli. The positivity of anti-OmpC was very low in UC patients and in healthy subjects (5%-11% and 5%, respectively). Anti-OmpC may aid diagnosis of ASCA negative CD patients^[36].

Antibodies to Cbir1 Flagellin (Anti-Cbir1) are positive in about 50% of CD patients, in contrast lower positivity was observed in UC patients (5%-11%), other inflammatory gastrointestinal diseases (14%) and control subjects (8%). The positivity of anti-CBir1 antibodies is greater in patients with increased antibody reactivity to ASCA, I2 and OmpC, without correlation between the level of response to CBir1 and the other antibodies^[33]. Serum responses to CBir1 aid the differentiation between atypical p-ANCA positive CD and UC patients independently of ASCA^[37].

Pseudomonas flouescens-associated sequence I-2 (Anti-I2) has been studied and appears to be associated with CD^[38]. IgA positivity against I2 has been reported in 30%-50% in CD, 2%-10% in UC^[39], 36%-42% in indeterminate colitis, 19% of patients with other inflammatory gastrointestinal diseases and 4%-8% of healthy controls.

Flagellins A4-Fla2 and Fla-X have recently been found in CD patients. Flagellins A4-Fla2 and Fla-X positivity is more prevalent in subjects with post-infectious irritable bowel disease.

Anti-carbohydrate antibodies: Antilaminaribioside carbohydrate IgG, antichitobioside carbohydrate IgA, anti-synthetic mannoside antibodies

Antilaminaribioside carbohydrate IgG (ALCA), antichitobioside carbohydrate IgA (ACCA), and anti-

synthetic mannoside antibodies (ASMA or AMCA) are new antiglycan antibodies. ALCA and ACCA are found respectively in 17%-28% and 20%-25% of CD patients. These antibodies may improve the sensitivity of diagnostic test since they are positive in 34%-44% of ASCA-negative patients^[40,41].

ASMA are antibodies against two major oligo-mannose epitopes that were positive in 28% of ASCA-negative CD patients. Anti-C, anti-chitin carbohydrate, anti-L, and anti-laminarin carbohydrate antibodies have a low sensitivity but moderately high specificity in CD patients, related to UC patients^[42,43].

Pancreatic antibodies

Antibodies directed against exocrine pancreas (PABs) have been reported in patients affected with CD with a low prevalence (30%-40%)^[44,45]. Anti-pancreatic antibodies have been detected by IIF in UC patients (2%-6%) and in healthy subjects (0%-2%)^[36,46].

Serum p53 antibodies

During the tumor progression, the genetic alterations are a key feature of malignant cells. The p53 gene is frequently mutated in human cancers. Cellular accumulation of mutated p53 protein can initiate an immune response with generation of circulating anti-p53 antibodies. Patients with UC have an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, among the different genes involved in carcinogenesis, p53 may play a key role. Serum p53Ab were detectable in 9.3% of patients with UC. Serum p53Abs assessment could be used as a complementary test to improve surveillance program performance^[47].

USEFULNESS OF SERUM MARKERS AND ANTIBODIES IN UC

Diagnostic and differential diagnostic value

Previous studies have valued the usefulness of routine laboratory testing in UC. CRP is a helpful index of UC activity, but its utility, as a screening test has not been totally evaluated.

CRP is the most sensitive compared to other serologic markers of inflammation in adult population for detecting IBD. The sensitivity of CRP ranges from 70%-100% in the differential diagnosis between CD versus irritable bowel syndrome and from 50%-60% in UC^[32]. In high percentage of paediatric patients, the sensitivity of routine testing (anemia, ESR, CRP or platelet count), varies from 62%-91% when evaluating the combination of ≥ 2 routine laboratory tests, whereas specificity ranged from 75%-94%.

Levels of CRP are higher in active CD than in UC and this difference might be used to differentiate between CD and UC. The measurements of circulating levels of CRP, ESR, platelets count are not useful at all for differentiation between both types of IBD^[48].

Orosomucoid is not useful test for screening healthy populations or differentiating patients with inflammatory vs functional disorders.

The clinical usefulness of pANCA or ASCA testing in patients with non-specific gastrointestinal symptoms is limited, because of the low sensitivity. Assaying all the serum markers available for CD, the sensitivity for the diagnosis of CD is greater than 80% and the positive predictive value is over 90% but only when the prevalence of CD is > 38%^[49].

Serum evaluation of ANCA and ASCAs could help patients with indeterminate colitis. In these patients, early diagnosis could positively influence treatment decisions and prognosis^[50]. Patients pANCA-positive and ASCA-negative have a 19 times greater likelihood of developing UC, while patients ASCA-positive and pANCA-negative are 16 times more likely to suffer CD^[51].

Prediction of relapse

IBD is characterized by acute episodes followed by remissions. The management of patients at high risk of relapse may be improved with early treatment. Previous studies about CD have investigated a panel of acute phase markers. Recently, a prospective study measured every six weeks, after recent weaning of steroids, some laboratory parameters (full blood count, CRP, ESR, α 1 antitrypsin, orosomucoid) in CD patients^[52]. The best predictor of short-term relapse is the combination of CRP and ESR.

Patients with CRP > 20 mg/L and ESR > 15 mm had an eightfold increased risk of relapse with a negative predictive value of 97%, suggesting that normal CRP and ESR could almost exclude relapse in the next six weeks. In another study in which the patients were followed until relapse, the ESR, globulin and alpha-1-glycoprotein have been described like the best markers for discriminate relapse from non-relapse.

It is clear that alone CRP cannot predict clinical relapse in IBD. ESR, CRP, IL-1b, IL-6 and IL-15 are not predictive of clinical recurrence in UC^[53]. In CD, serum IL-6 and soluble IL-2 receptor have been associated with a higher risk of relapse.

Association with clinical phenotypes and prognostic indicators

In UC, very few studies have assessed acute phase markers in predicting outcome of disease or association with clinical phenotypes. In severe UC, after three days of intensive treatment (hydrocortisone and/or cyclosporine) patients with frequent stools (> 8/d), or 3-8 stools/d and CRP > 45 mg/L should be identified, as most of them will need to undergo colectomy. It is commonly accepted that the presence of ANCA in UC is not related to the duration and age of onset^[54]. Previous studies agree that in patients affected with CD, the presence of atypical pANCA in serum characterizes an UC-like clinical phenotype^[44].

Follow-up and response to treatment

CRP level is a good predictor of remission and response to treatment. Anti-inflammatory or immunosuppressive drugs do not affect CRP production. Therefore, changes of CRP concentrations during treatment occur only as a result of the effect of the drug on the inflammation or disorder.

The serological markers are not useful for follow-up of disease activity. In UC the presence of atypical pANCA have been associated with resistance to treatment of left sided UC and early surgery. These data suggest that pANCA-positive UC patients may require earlier intervention with immunomodulators. In patients affected with UC pANCA-positive and ASCA-negative at first infliximab infusion have been associated with a suboptimal early clinical response^[55]. In patients ASCA, anti-OmpC and anti-I2 positive was observed a better response to antibiotic therapy, compared with negative patients^[56].

FUTURE BIOMARKERS

The development of biomarkers in UC will be very important in the future. The progress of molecular biology tools (microarrays, proteomics and nano-technology) has revolutionized the field of biomarker discovery^[57]. The advances in bioinformatics associated with interdisciplinary collaborations have greatly improved the ability to collect, characterize and analyse large amounts of data.

The techniques available for biomarkers development are genomics [Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genotyping, pharmacogenetics and gene expression analyses] and proteomics.

Metabolome biomarkers

The search for metabolic biomarkers in CD as evidence of microbial functions in the gut is a new and interesting diagnostic approach^[58].

Recent studies described the role of Enzyme indoleamine 2,3 dioxygenase (IDO1) in gut inflammation and IBD. IDO1 is an enzyme that degrades the essential amino acid tryptophan. The concept that cells expressing IDO can suppress T-cell responses and promote tolerance is a relatively new paradigm in immunology^[59]. IDO1 is significantly increased in the intestinal inflammation^[60]. IDO induction might therefore contribute to a T-cell-mediated negative feedback-loop by inhibition of their further activation. Thus, some papers hypothesized a potential anti-inflammatory role of IDO in IBD, especially in CD, which is characterized by an exaggerated Th1-cell response^[61].

L-arginine (L-Arg) serum levels are increased in patients with severe UC and L-Arg serum levels were highly correlated with disease activity index^[62]. Metabolomics array studies have also identified high levels of L-Arg in colonic mucosal specimens of UC patients^[63,64].

Gene expression profiling

In the recent years there have been significant advances in understanding the genetics of UC. Gene expression profiling is considered a predictive marker in IBD.

Several studies explore the associations of UC with gene polymorphisms (*i.e.*, vitamin D receptor, interleukin, interleukin receptor gene and OCT-1). Genetic polymorphisms of *VDR* are significantly correlated with UC. Mutation of *VDR* is a protective factor for UC. Moreover, mutant genotype (TC/CC) of *VDR* and vitamin D deficiency may exert synergistic effects on the susceptibility to UC^[65].

The cytokine network is highly complex with interactive cascades of gene activation and suppression. Not only the *IL* and *ILR* gene polymorphisms are in relation with UC pathogenesis but also the downstream signalling components of several ILs (*i.e.*, JAKs, STATs), which could be potential targets of novel treatment strategies^[66].

Several SNPs in the TNF- α promoter region are known to affect the level of gene expression. The G \rightarrow A polymorphism at position -238 in the *TNF* gene is associated with lower production of TNF- α in UC patients. In contrast, the -308A polymorphism is associated with enhanced TNF- α production in cells *in vitro* and in CD patients *in vivo*^[67].

The -857 C \rightarrow T SNP, located in promoter region of TNF- α , is functional through binding to the transcription factor octamer transcription factor-1 (OCT-1). Carriers of the 857C allele show higher levels of circulating TNF- α and was suggested that the TNF-857C/T SNP increased the susceptibility to IBD in English population through its effects on the interaction between the *OCT-1* gene and the NF- κ B transcription factor^[68].

In literature was reported in Australian subjects a possible association of TNF- α -857 variant with an increased CD risk. In another study was described an association of TNF-857C with IBD overall and with sub-phenotypes in either UC or CD, only in patients not carrying other common mutations^[69].

Genetic markers are associated with disease phenotype and long-term evolution, but their value in clinical practice is limited.

Proteomics

The current advances in proteomic array profiling technology have sparked interest in using this technique for diagnosis of IBD.

Proteomic approaches for the identification of disease biomarkers are mainly based on the comparative analysis of protein expression in healthy and diseased tissues to identify aberrantly expressed proteins, analysis of secreted proteins (in cell lines and primary cultures) and direct serum protein profiling.

Recently has been published a study in patients with IBD, using the methodology of Surface Enhanced

Laser Desorption Ionization-Time of Flight-Mass Spectrometer (SELDI-TOF-MS). Four proteins of acute phase inflammation biomarkers were identified (PF4, MRP8, FIBA and Hp- α 2). PF4 and Hp- α 2 were also detected in serum by classical methods and their true diagnostic value should be confirmed. In the future, the application of protein interaction maps to intestinal cell models in IBD will produce a detailed photograph of protein dynamics regulating signalling homeostasis^[17].

Furthermore, in inflammatory bowel diseases, proteomic arrays have shown promise for identify active disease, to differentiate between CD and UC and to study the pathogenesis of the diseases^[17,70].

CONCLUSION

Several reliable serum biomarkers are currently used to aid in the diagnosis of IBD, to differentiate between CD and UC, to assess disease activity and to predict relapse. However, the available serological markers are limited in their capacity as predict longer-range disease course.

Advances in genomic, proteomic, and metabolomics array are easing biomarker finding in UC.

In the future, the addition of new serological markers will add significant benefit. In the last years have been identified 163 risk loci, which include a variety of immunologic functions. IBD is a highly heterogeneous disease for the onset, course and progression of the illness. Significant differences were also reported in the response to therapies and susceptibility to therapy-related. Therefore, it is important identify predictors of the disease course, complications, probability of response to therapy and any adverse events, in order to enable a targeted therapeutic process. The genotype of an individual is constant and unchangeable, and thus could potentially play the role of important predictors of these outcomes.

Currently, the integration of serological markers with genetic markers may not be justified. However, with the increasing use of innovative methodological approaches such as genetics and proteomics, it is reasonable to expect that the aetiology of IBD could be clarified in the near future. In the future it is expected that all these biomarkers will be implemented in an integrated molecular diagnostic and prognostic approach of patients.

REFERENCES

- 1 **Ordás I**, Eckmann L, Talamini M, Baumgart DC, Sandborn WJ. Ulcerative colitis. *Lancet* 2012; **380**: 1606-1619 [PMID: 22914296 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60150-0]
- 2 **Silverberg MS**, Satsangi J, Ahmad T, Arnott ID, Bernstein CN, Brant SR, Caprilli R, Colombel JF, Gasche C, Geboes K, Jewell DP, Karban A, Loftus EV, Peña AS, Riddell RH, Sachar DB, Schreiber S, Steinhart AH, Targan SR, Vermeire S, Warren BF. Toward an

- integrated clinical, molecular and serological classification of inflammatory bowel disease: report of a Working Party of the 2005 Montreal World Congress of Gastroenterology. *Can J Gastroenterol* 2005; **19** Suppl A: 5A-36A [PMID: 16151544 DOI: 10.1067/mcp.2001.113989]
- 3 **Monsén U**, Broström O, Nordenvall B, Sörstad J, Hellers G. Prevalence of inflammatory bowel disease among relatives of patients with ulcerative colitis. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1987; **22**: 214-218 [PMID: 3576128]
 - 4 **Farmer RG**, Michener WM, Mortimer EA. Studies of family history among patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Clin Gastroenterol* 1980; **9**: 271-277 [PMID: 7389171]
 - 5 **Mahid SS**, Minor KS, Soto RE, Hornung CA, Galandiuk S. Smoking and inflammatory bowel disease: a meta-analysis. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2006; **81**: 1462-1471 [PMID: 17120402 DOI: 10.4065/81.11.1462]
 - 6 **Beaugerie L**, Massot N, Carbonnel F, Cattan S, Gendre JP, Cosnes J. Impact of cessation of smoking on the course of ulcerative colitis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2001; **96**: 2113-2116 [PMID: 11467641 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2001.03944.x]
 - 7 **García Rodríguez LA**, Ruigómez A, Panés J. Acute gastroenteritis is followed by an increased risk of inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology* 2006; **130**: 1588-1594 [PMID: 16697722 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2006.02.004]
 - 8 **Porter CK**, Tribble DR, Aliaga PA, Halvorson HA, Riddle MS. Infectious gastroenteritis and risk of developing inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology* 2008; **135**: 781-786 [PMID: 18640117 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2008.05.081]
 - 9 **Andersson RE**, Olaison G, Tysk C, Ekbohm A. Appendectomy and protection against ulcerative colitis. *N Engl J Med* 2001; **344**: 808-814 [PMID: 11248156 DOI: 10.1056/NEJM200103153441104]
 - 10 **Koutroubakis IE**, Vlachonikolis IG. Appendectomy and the development of ulcerative colitis: results of a metaanalysis of published case-control studies. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2000; **95**: 171-176 [PMID: 10638578 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2000.01680.x]
 - 11 **Vidal A**, Gómez-Gil E, Sans M, Portella MJ, Salamero M, Piqué JM, Panés J. Life events and inflammatory bowel disease relapse: a prospective study of patients enrolled in remission. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006; **101**: 775-781 [PMID: 16494590 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2006.00476.x]
 - 12 **Cornish JA**, Tan E, Simillis C, Clark SK, Teare J, Tekkis PP. The risk of oral contraceptives in the etiology of inflammatory bowel disease: a meta-analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2008; **103**: 2394-2400 [PMID: 18684177 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2008.02064.x]
 - 13 **Klement E**, Cohen RV, Boxman J, Joseph A, Reif S. Breastfeeding and risk of inflammatory bowel disease: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2004; **80**: 1342-1352 [PMID: 15531685]
 - 14 **Bernstein CN**, Rawsthorne P, Cheang M, Blanchard JF. A population-based case control study of potential risk factors for IBD. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006; **101**: 993-1002 [PMID: 16696783 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2006.00381.x]
 - 15 **Loftus EV**, Sandborn WJ. Epidemiology of inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 2002; **31**: 1-20 [PMID: 12122726]
 - 16 **Dubinsky MC**, Ofman JJ, Urman M, Targan SR, Seidman EG. Clinical utility of serodiagnostic testing in suspected pediatric inflammatory bowel disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2001; **96**: 758-765 [PMID: 11280547 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2001.03618.x]
 - 17 **Meuwis MA**, Fillet M, Geurts P, de Seny D, Lutteri L, Chapelle JP, Bours V, Wehenkel L, Belaiche J, Malaise M, Louis E, Merville MP. Biomarker discovery for inflammatory bowel disease, using proteomic serum profiling. *Biochem Pharmacol* 2007; **73**: 1422-1433 [PMID: 17258689 DOI: 10.1016/j.bcp.2006.12.019]
 - 18 **Cellier C**, Sahnoud T, Froguel E, Adenis A, Belaiche J, Bretagne JF, Florent C, Bouvry M, Mary JY, Modigliani R. Correlations between clinical activity, endoscopic severity, and biological parameters in colonic or ileocolonic Crohn's disease. A prospective multicentre study of 121 cases. The Groupe d'Etudes Thérapeutiques des Affections Inflammatoires Digestives. *Gut* 1994; **35**: 231-235 [PMID: 7508411 DOI: 10.1136/gut.35.2.231]
 - 19 **Biomarkers Definitions Working Group**. Biomarkers and surrogate endpoints: preferred definitions and conceptual framework. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2001; **69**: 89-95 [PMID: 11240971 DOI: 10.1067/mcp.2001.113989]
 - 20 **Darlington GJ**, Wilson DR, Lachman LB. Monocyte-conditioned medium, interleukin-1, and tumor necrosis factor stimulate the acute phase response in human hepatoma cells in vitro. *J Cell Biol* 1986; **103**: 787-793 [PMID: 3017995]
 - 21 **Mazlam MZ**, Hodgson HJ. Why measure C reactive protein? *Gut* 1994; **35**: 5-7 [PMID: 8307448 DOI: 10.1136/gut.35.1.5]
 - 22 **Pepys MB**, Druguet M, Klass HJ, Dash AC, Mirjah DD, Petrie A. Immunological studies in inflammatory bowel disease. *Ciba Found Symp* 1977; **(46)**: 283-304 [PMID: 346325]
 - 23 **Saverymuttu SH**, Hodgson HJ, Chadwick VS, Pepys MB. Differing acute phase responses in Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. *Gut* 1986; **27**: 809-813 [PMID: 3732890 DOI: 10.1136/gut.27.7.809]
 - 24 **Gross V**, Andus T, Caesar I, Roth M, Schölmerich J. Evidence for continuous stimulation of interleukin-6 production in Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology* 1992; **102**: 514-519 [PMID: 1370661]
 - 25 **Gabay C**, Kushner I. Acute-phase proteins and other systemic responses to inflammation. *N Engl J Med* 1999; **340**: 448-454 [PMID: 9971870 DOI: 10.1056/NEJM199902113400607]
 - 26 **Mendoza JL**, Abreu MT. Biological markers in inflammatory bowel disease: practical consideration for clinicians. *Gastroenterol Clin Biol* 2009; **33** Suppl 3: S158-S173 [PMID: 20117339 DOI: 10.1016/S0399-8320(09)73151-3]
 - 27 **Danese S**, Motte Cd Cde L, Fiocchi C. Platelets in inflammatory bowel disease: clinical, pathogenic, and therapeutic implications. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2004; **99**: 938-945 [PMID: 15128364 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2004.04129.x]
 - 28 **Kapsoritakis AN**, Koukourakis MI, Sfiridaki A, Potamianos SP, Kosmadaki MG, Koutroubakis IE, Kouroumalis EA. Mean platelet volume: a useful marker of inflammatory bowel disease activity. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2001; **96**: 776-781 [PMID: 11280550 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2001.03621.x]
 - 29 **Webberley MJ**, Hart MT, Melikian V. Thromboembolism in inflammatory bowel disease: role of platelets. *Gut* 1993; **34**: 247-251 [PMID: 8432482 DOI: 10.1136/gut.34.2.247]
 - 30 **Andre C**, Descos L, Landais P, Fermanian J. Assessment of appropriate laboratory measurements to supplement the Crohn's disease activity index. *Gut* 1981; **22**: 571-574 [PMID: 6973509 DOI: 10.1136/gut.22.7.571]
 - 31 **Roberts-Thomson IC**, Fon J, Uylaki W, Cummins AG, Barry S. Cells, cytokines and inflammatory bowel disease: a clinical perspective. *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2011; **5**: 703-716 [PMID: 22017698 DOI: 10.1586/egh.11.74]
 - 32 **Vermeire S**, Van Assche G, Rutgeerts P. Laboratory markers in IBD: useful, magic, or unnecessary toys? *Gut* 2006; **55**: 426-431 [PMID: 16474109]
 - 33 **Peyrin-Biroulet L**, Standaert-Vitse A, Branche J, Chamaillard M. IBD serological panels: facts and perspectives. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2007; **13**: 1561-1566 [PMID: 17636565]
 - 34 **Reese GE**, Constantinides VA, Simillis C, Darzi AW, Orchard TR, Fazio VW, Tekkis PP. Diagnostic precision of anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae antibodies and perinuclear antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies in inflammatory bowel disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006; **101**: 2410-2422 [PMID: 16952282 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2006.00840.x]
 - 35 **Vermeire S**, Van Assche G, Rutgeerts P. C-reactive protein as a marker for inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2004; **10**: 661-665 [PMID: 15472532]
 - 36 **Papp M**, Norman GL, Altorjay I, Lakatos PL. Utility of serological markers in inflammatory bowel diseases: gadget or magic? *World J Gastroenterol* 2007; **13**: 2028-2036 [PMID: 17465443 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v13.i14.2028]
 - 37 **Targan SR**, Landers CJ, Yang H, Lodes MJ, Cong Y, Papadakis KA, Vasiliauskas E, Elson CO, Hershberg RM. Antibodies to CBir1

- flagellin define a unique response that is associated independently with complicated Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology* 2005; **128**: 2020-2028 [PMID: 15940634 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2005.03.046]
- 38 **Wei B**, Huang T, Dalwadi H, Sutton CL, Bruckner D, Braun J. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* encodes the Crohn's disease-associated I2 sequence and T-cell superantigen. *Infect Immun* 2002; **70**: 6567-6575 [PMID: 12438326 DOI: 10.1128/IAI.70.12.6567-6575.2002]
- 39 **Landers CJ**, Cohavy O, Misra R, Yang H, Lin YC, Braun J, Targan SR. Selected loss of tolerance evidenced by Crohn's disease-associated immune responses to auto- and microbial antigens. *Gastroenterology* 2002; **123**: 689-699 [PMID: 12198693 DOI: 10.1053/gast.2002.35379]
- 40 **Dotan I**, Fishman S, Dgani Y, Schwartz M, Karban A, Lerner A, Weishauss O, Spector L, Shtevi A, Altstock RT, Dotan N, Halpern Z. Antibodies against laminaribioside and chitobioside are novel serologic markers in Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology* 2006; **131**: 366-378 [PMID: 16890590 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2006.04.030]
- 41 **Simondi D**, Mengozzi G, Betteto S, Bonardi R, Ghignone RP, Fagoonee S, Pellicano R, Sguazzini C, Pagni R, Rizzetto M, Astegiano M. Antiglycan antibodies as serological markers in the differential diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2008; **14**: 645-651 [PMID: 18240283 DOI: 10.1002/ibd.20368]
- 42 **Rieder F**, Schleder S, Wolf A, Dirmeier A, Strauch U, Obermeier F, Lopez R, Spector L, Fire E, Yarden J, Rogler G, Dotan N, Klebl F. Association of the novel serologic anti-glycan antibodies anti-laminarin and anti-chitin with complicated Crohn's disease behavior. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2010; **16**: 263-274 [PMID: 19653286 DOI: 10.1002/ibd.21046]
- 43 **Lakatos PL**, Papp M, Rieder F. Serologic antiglycan antibodies in inflammatory bowel disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2011; **106**: 406-412 [PMID: 21245832 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2010.505]
- 44 **Bossuyt X**. Serologic markers in inflammatory bowel disease. *Clin Chem* 2006; **52**: 171-181 [PMID: 16339302 DOI: 10.1373/clinchem.2005.058560]
- 45 **Klebl FH**, Bataille F, Huy C, Hofstädter F, Schölmerich J, Rogler G. Association of antibodies to exocrine pancreas with subtypes of Crohn's disease. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2005; **17**: 73-77 [PMID: 15647645]
- 46 **Joossens S**, Vermeire S, Van Steen K, Godefridis G, Claessens G, Pierik M, Vlietinck R, Aerts R, Rutgeerts P, Bossuyt X. Pancreatic autoantibodies in inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2004; **10**: 771-777 [PMID: 15626896]
- 47 **Cioffi M**, Riegler G, Vietri MT, Pilla P, Caserta L, Carratù R, Sica V, Molinari AM. Serum p53 antibodies in patients affected with ulcerative colitis. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2004; **10**: 606-611 [PMID: 15472522]
- 48 **Niederer C**, Backmerhoff F, Schumacher B, Niederer C. Inflammatory mediators and acute phase proteins in patients with Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. *HepatoGastroenterology* 1997; **44**: 90-107 [PMID: 9058126]
- 49 **Abreu MT**. Serologies in Crohn's disease: can we change the gray zone to black and white? *Gastroenterology* 2006; **131**: 664-667 [PMID: 16890618 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2006.06.040]
- 50 **Joossens S**, Reinisch W, Vermeire S, Sendid B, Poulain D, Peeters M, Geboes K, Bossuyt X, Vandewalle P, Oberhuber G, Vogelsang H, Rutgeerts P, Colombel JF. The value of serologic markers in indeterminate colitis: a prospective follow-up study. *Gastroenterology* 2002; **122**: 1242-1247 [PMID: 11984510 DOI: 10.1053/gast.2002.32980]
- 51 **Abreu MT**. Controversies in IBD. Serologic tests are helpful in managing inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2002; **8**: 224-226; discussion 223, 224-226 [PMID: 11979146]
- 52 **Consigny Y**, Modigliani R, Colombel JF, Dupas JL, Lémann M, Mary JY. A simple biological score for predicting low risk of short-term relapse in Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2006; **12**: 551-557 [PMID: 16804391 DOI: 10.1097/01.ibd.0000225334.60990.5b]
- 53 **Bitton A**, Peppercorn MA, Antonioli DA, Niles JL, Shah S, Bousvaros A, Ransil B, Wild G, Cohen A, Edwardes MD, Stevens AC. Clinical, biological, and histologic parameters as predictors of relapse in ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology* 2001; **120**: 13-20 [PMID: 11208709 DOI: 10.1053/gast.2001.20912]
- 54 **Reumaux D**, Sendid B, Poulain D, Duthilleul P, Dewit O, Colombel JF. Serological markers in inflammatory bowel diseases. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol* 2003; **17**: 19-35 [PMID: 12617880 DOI: 10.1053/bega.2002.0347]
- 55 **Van Assche G**, Vermeire S, Rutgeerts P. Infilimab therapy for patients with inflammatory bowel disease: 10 years on. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2009; **623** Suppl 1: S17-S25
- 56 **Beaven SW**, Abreu MT. Biomarkers in inflammatory bowel disease. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol* 2004; **20**: 318-327 [PMID: 15703659]
- 57 **Iskandar HN**, Ciorba MA. Biomarkers in inflammatory bowel disease: current practices and recent advances. *Transl Res* 2012; **159**: 313-325 [PMID: 22424434 DOI: 10.1016/j.trsl.2012.01.001]
- 58 **Lin HM**, Helsby NA, Rowan DD, Ferguson LR. Using metabolomic analysis to understand inflammatory bowel diseases. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2011; **17**: 1021-1029 [PMID: 20629098 DOI: 10.1002/ibd.21426]
- 59 **Mellor AL**, Munn DH. IDO expression by dendritic cells: tolerance and tryptophan catabolism. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2004; **4**: 762-774 [PMID: 15459668 DOI: 10.1038/nri1457]
- 60 **Gupta NK**, Thaker AI, Kanuri N, Riehl TE, Rowley CW, Stenson WF, Ciorba MA. Serum analysis of tryptophan catabolism pathway: correlation with Crohn's disease activity. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2012; **18**: 1214-1220 [PMID: 21823214 DOI: 10.1002/ibd.21849]
- 61 **Wolf AM**, Wolf D, Rumpold H, Moschen AR, Kaser A, Obrist P, Fuchs D, Brandacher G, Winkler C, Geboes K, Rutgeerts P, Tilg H. Overexpression of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase in human inflammatory bowel disease. *Clin Immunol* 2004; **113**: 47-55 [PMID: 15380529]
- 62 **Hong SK**, Maltz BE, Coburn LA, Slaughter JC, Chaturvedi R, Schwartz DA, Wilson KT. Increased serum levels of L-arginine in ulcerative colitis and correlation with disease severity. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2010; **16**: 105-111 [PMID: 19637336 DOI: 10.1002/ibd.21035]
- 63 **Balasubramanian K**, Kumar S, Singh RR, Sharma U, Ahuja V, Makharia GK, Jagannathan NR. Metabolism of the colonic mucosa in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases: an in vitro proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. *Magn Reson Imaging* 2009; **27**: 79-86 [PMID: 18599242 DOI: 10.1016/j.mri.2008.05.014]
- 64 **Martin FP**, Rezzi S, Philippe D, Tornier L, Messlik A, Hölzlwimmer G, Baur P, Quintanilla-Fend L, Loh G, Blaut M, Blum S, Kochhar S, Haller D. Metabolic assessment of gradual development of moderate experimental colitis in IL-10 deficient mice. *J Proteome Res* 2009; **8**: 2376-2387 [PMID: 19323467 DOI: 10.1021/pr801006e]
- 65 **Wang L**, Wang ZT, Hu JJ, Fan R, Zhou J, Zhong J. Polymorphisms of the vitamin D receptor gene and the risk of inflammatory bowel disease: a meta-analysis. *Genet Mol Res* 2014; **13**: 2598-2610 [PMID: 24782048 DOI: 10.4238/2014.April.8.2]
- 66 **Magyari L**, Kovesdi E, Sarlos P, Javorhazy A, Sumegi K, Melegh B. Interleukin and interleukin receptor gene polymorphisms in inflammatory bowel diseases susceptibility. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; **20**: 3208-3222 [PMID: 24695754 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i12.3208]
- 67 **Voleti B**, Hammond DJ, Thirumalai A, Agrawal A. Oct-1 acts as a transcriptional repressor on the C-reactive protein promoter. *Mol Immunol* 2012; **52**: 242-248 [PMID: 22750226 DOI: 10.1016/j.molimm.2012.06.005]
- 68 **van Heel DA**, Udalova IA, De Silva AP, McGovern DP, Kinouchi Y, Hull J, Lench NJ, Cardon LR, Carey AH, Jewell DP, Kwiatkowski D. Inflammatory bowel disease is associated with a TNF polymorphism that affects an interaction between the OCT1 and NF(-kappa)B transcription factors. *Hum Mol Genet* 2002; **11**: 1281-1289 [PMID: 12019209 DOI: 10.1093/hmg/11.11.1281]
- 69 **van Heel DA**, Fisher SA, Kirby A, Daly MJ, Rioux JD, Lewis CM. Inflammatory bowel disease susceptibility loci defined by genome scan meta-analysis of 1952 affected relative pairs. *Hum Mol Genet* 2004; **13**: 763-770 [PMID: 14976156 DOI: 10.1093/hmg/ddh090]

- 70 **Nanni P**, Parisi D, Roda G, Casale M, Belluzzi A, Roda E, Mayer L, Roda A. Serum protein profiling in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases using selective solid-phase bulk extraction, matrix-

assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry and chemometric data analysis. *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom* 2007; **21**: 4142-4148 [PMID: 18022963 DOI: 10.1002/rcm.3323]

P- Reviewer: Ahluwalia NK, de Silva AP, Yamakama M
S- Editor: Yu J **L- Editor:** A **E- Editor:** Wu HL





Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: <http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx>

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

