An SRY-related sequence on the marsupial X chromosome: Implications for the evolution of the mammalian testisdetermining gene

(sex chromosomes/sex determination/chromosome evolution)

JAMIE W. FOSTER*t AND JENNIFER A. MARSHALL GRAVES

Department of Genetics and Human Variation, LaTrobe University, Bundoora, Victoria 3083, Australia

Communicated by Mary F. Lyon, November 8, 1993 (received for review September 27, 1993)

ABSTRACT The SRY gene on the human, mouse, and marsupial Y chromosomes is the testis-determining gene that initiates male development in mammals. The SRY protein has ^a DNA-binding domain (high mobility group or HMG box) similar to those found in the high-mobility-group proteins. SRY is specific for the Y chromosome, but many autosomal genes have been identified that possess a similar HMG box region; those with the most closely SRY-related box regions form a gene family now referred to as SOX genes. We have identified ^a sequence on the marsupial X chromosome that shares homology with SRY. Sequence comparisons show near-identity with the mouse and human SOX3 gene (formerly called a3), the SOX gene which is the most closely related to SRY. We suggest here that the highly conserved X chromosome-linked SOX3 represents the ancestral SOX gene from which the sex-determining gene SRY was derived. In this model SOX3/SRY divergence and the acquisition of a testis-determining role by SRY might have preceded (and initiated) sex chromosome differentiation or, alternatively, might have been a consequence of X chromosome-Y chromosome differentiation initiated at the locus of an original sex-determining gene(s), later superseded by SRY.

Mammals have an XX female/XY male sex chromosome mechanism, in which ^a gene on the Y chromosome determines testis development, the first step in the male developmental pathway. SRY has been cloned from the sexdetermining region of the human Y chromosome (1), and evidence from mutational analysis (2, 3) and transgenesis (4) confirms that it acts as the testis-determining gene. The predicted SRYgene product contains an HMG (high mobility group) box that binds to double-stranded DNA in ^a sequencedependent manner and to cruciform DNA without sequence specificity (5, 6). Its similarity to a number of proteins that affect transcription suggests that the gene functions by activating or repressing other genes in the testis-determining pathway.

As would be expected of the mammalian testis-determining gene, homologues have been detected on the Y chromosomes of all eutherian and marsupial mammals tested (1, 7). The SRY gene is unique to the Y chromosome and is thus restricted to males. However, when used in Southern hybridization experiments, human SRY probes also detect sequences shared by males and females of many eutherian and marsupial species (1, 7, 8). These SRY-related sequences were thought to be members of a large family of related genes (8) , originally termed a1, a2, etc. but now known as $SOXI$, SOX2, etc. (for SRY-related HMG box-containing). These SOX genes are highly conserved and have been demonstrated in other vertebrates (9). The relationships between the SRY and SOX genes are obviously of interest in considering the origin and evolution of the SRY gene in mammals.

Marsupials diverged from eutherian (placental) mammals 120-150 million years ago and monotremes (egg-laying mammals) diverged even earlier, so that comparisons between these three major mammalian groups may provide information about the function and early evolution of mammalian sex chromosomes and sex-determining genes. Eutherian, marsupial, and monotreme sex chromosomes have been found to differ in size and gene content, enabling the different evolutionary origins of regions of the human sex chromosomes to be deduced. The genes on the long arm and proximal short arm of the human X chromosome are present on the X chromosome in marsupial and monotreme mammals, and this region, therefore, represents a conserved, probably original, mammalian X chromosome (10, 11). The marsupial and monotreme X chromosome lacks genes borne on the short arm of the human X chromosome, suggesting that this region was originally autosomal and was added later to the eutherian X chromosome. The presence of several genes in this region with homologues on the Y chromosome implies that the region was added to both X and Y chromosomes, probably by recombination within an original pseudoautosomal region (10, 12). In marsupials, as in eutherian mammals, the Y chromosome is testis determining, but at least some sexual dimorphisms are sex hormone independent and seem to be a function of X chromosome dosage, rather than the presence or absence of ^a Y chromosome (13).

We have isolated an SRY-related sequence from the marsupial X chromosome, which is closely homologous to the mouse and human SOX3 gene. This raises the possibility that X chromosome inactivation or gene dosage may play ^a role in sex determination in marsupials. However, we suggest that a more likely explanation for the presence of SRY homologues on marsupial, mouse, and human X chromosomes is that $SOX3$ and \overline{SRY} were originally alleles of a developmentally important gene shared by partly differentiated ancestral X and Y chromosomes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We used two marsupial species, representing the two major Australian orders that diverged about 50 million years ago, the striped-faced dunnart Sminthopsis macroura (Order Polyprotodonta, Family Dasyuridae) and the Tammar wallaby Macropus eugenii (Order Diprotodonta, Family Macropodidae). Tissue was originally provided by D. W. Cooper (School of Biological Sciences, Macqurie University) and L. Selwood (Department of Genetics and Human Vari-

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Abbreviation: HPRT, hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase.

^{*}Present address: Department of Genetics, Cambridge University,

Tennis Court Road, Cambridge CB2 3EH, United Kingdom.

tTo whom reprint requests should be addressed.

ation, Latrobe University) and was retained under the provisions of permit RP 90-177 from the Victorian Department of Conservation and the Environment. Rodent-marsupial cell hybrids containing ^a marsupial X chromosome were obtained by fusing hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase (HPRT) deficient Chinese hamster cells with fibroblasts from Planigale maculata, a dasyurid marsupial related to S. macroura (14). Marsupial fibroblast and hybrid lines were cultured under standard conditions in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium/10% fetal calf serum.

DNA extraction and Southern blotting procedures were adapted from Reed and Mann (15). Ten micrograms of restricted DNA was electrophoresed through 0.8% agarose, transferred to Hybond-N+ (Amersham) with $20 \times$ standard saline citrate (SSC) and fixed with 0.4 M NaOH. A 0.9-kb HincII subclone of $pY53.3$, containing the human SRY gene (1), was labeled with [32P]dCTP by random priming. The probe was hybridized in $5 \times$ SSPE $[1 \times$ SSPE is 0.5% SDS/5 \times Denhardt's solution (0.18 M NaCl/10 mM phosphate, pH $7.4/1$ mM EDTA)/10% (wt/vol) dextran sulfate/denatured salmon sperm at 100 μ g/ml at 65°C]. Membranes were washed in $2 \times$ SSC/0.1% SDS at 65°C and autoradiographed for 6-10 days at -70° C. Fragment sizes are estimated relative to λ HindIII molecular-weight markers.

Genomic libraries were constructed from S. macroura liver DNA, partially restricted with Sau3AI and size-selected in 10-40% glycerol gradients. DNA was ligated to EMBL3A (BRL) and packaged with λ in vitro packaging reactions (Amersham). A total of 1.0×10^6 plaque-forming units were screened without amplification, using the 0.9-kb HincII fragment of pY53.3 as a probe. Positive clones were plaquepurified and subcloned into pUC vectors. Subclones were sequenced by the dideoxynucleotide chain-termination method (16) using Sequenase II (United States Biochemical) and Taq Track (Promega).

In situ hybridization was done as described (17). Probe was nick-translated with [3HldATP, [3H]dCTP, and [3H]dTTP to activities of 2-6 \times 10⁷ cpm/ μ g and was hybridized to formamide-denatured metaphase spreads over a range of concentrations. Slides were autoradiographed by using Amersham nuclear track emulsion, and autoradiographs with the highest signal/noise ratio were selected for scoring. The location of grains over at least 100 spreads was recorded by using a Leitz Dialux microscope fitted with a Sony video recorder. The grain distribution was analyzed by using the z_{max} statistic, which tests the overall distribution for departures from randomness, detects overlabeled sites, and attaches a level of significance to the localization (18).

RESULTS

In our original experiments to identify a male-specific SRY gene in marsupials, Southern analysis was done on DNA from male and female animals of the two marsupial species, probed with the human SRYgene. This procedure identified a single male-specific restriction fragment in marsupial DNA, which was subsequently cloned and shown to be homologous to SRY (7). In addition, this probe revealed several bands shared by males and females and thought to be the equivalent of the mouse SRY-like HMG box-containing genes $aI-4$ (since named Sox genes) described by Gubbay et al. (8).

One of the male/female-shared bands from each species showed a very clear 1:2 male/female dosage effect characteristic of X chromosome-linked genes (Fig. la). Experiments were undertaken to clone and characterize the 2.3-kb EcoRI fragment from S. macroura that showed dosage differences and to determine its location on marsupial chromosomes.

The human SRY probe was used to screen S. macroura genomic phage libraries. Several genomic clones were isolated, three of which (SOXX1, -2, and -3) were found to have internal 2.3-kb EcoRI fragments. Restriction mapping showed

FIG. 1. Southern blot analysis of SRY -related genes in marsupials and cell hybrids. (a) Southern analysis of EcoRI-restricted DNA from male and female S. macroura (S. ma., lanes ¹ and 2) and M. eugenii (M. eu., lanes 3 and 4), hybridized with a 0.9-kb HincII fragment of p53.3, containing the human SRY open reading frame. The probe detects a male-specific fragment (7) in both species, as well as several fragments shared between males (δ) and females (Ω) . The shared bands at 2.3 kb and 7.5 kb in S . macroura and M . eugenii, respectively (arrows), show a 1:2 male/female intensity difference, suggesting X chromosome linkage. (b) Southern analysis of EcoRIrestricted DNA from Chinese hamster-dasyurid marsupial somatic cell hybrid. Lanes: ¹ and 2, S. macroura (S. ma.) female and male; 3, P. maculata (P. ma.) marsupial parent cell line; 4, V2C5 HPRT- deficient revertant cell line lacking the marsupial X chromosome; 5, V2C5 HPRT+ hybrid retaining only the marsupial X chromosome; 6, Chinese hamster parent cell line. The membrane was hybridized with the 1.6-kb EcoRI fragment of SOXX2, which detects a 1.6-kb fragment (arrow) common to P. maculata and S. macroura. This band shows a 1:2 dosage between male and female S. macroura and is also present in the X-bearing hybrid but not in the hamster parent or the revertant that lacks the marsupial X chromosome. Reprobing the blot with the human ZFY gene probe (which is autosomal in marsupials) detected a strong hamster-specific band in lanes 4-6 and marsupial-specific band in lanes 1-3 (data not shown). This reprobing revealed that lanes 4 and 6 were overloaded with respect to the other lanes, which may account for the smearing in these lanes.

that these independent clones shared 1.6-, 2.3-, and 3.6-kb EcoRI fragments of DNA, arranged as shown in Fig. 2a.

To determine the chromosomal origin of the fragments in these phage clones, the 1.6- and 2.3-kb EcoRI and the 2.8-kb EcoRI/Sal ^I restriction fragments from SOXX2 were used to probe Southern blots containing DNA from HPRT+ rodentmarsupial somatic cell hybrids that retain ^a dasyurid X chromosome, and HPRT- revertants that have lost it. Both the 2.3- and 2.8-kb fragments contained repetitive elements and produced uninformative smears with rodent DNA. The 1.6-kb cloned marsupial fragment detected only a marsupialspecific band in the hybrid DNA, which was absent from the

FIG. 2. Restriction maps and sequences of SOXX. (a) Restriction maps of phage clones SOXX1, -2, and -3, isolated from independent S. macroura genomic libraries. Maps show position of SRY homologous region (hatched) and the size and location of EcoRI fragments used as probes (see text for details). E, EcoRI; H, HindIII; S, Sal I; X, Xba I. (b) Nucleotide sequence of the S. macroura (marsupial) Sox3 homologue SOXX2 clone, showing the predicted amino acid sequence. Alignment of the amino acid sequence of human (Hsa, ref. 19), is shown beneath the S. macroura (Sma) SOX3 sequence. The HMG box is underlined. Dashes were inserted to maintain alignment, which is disrupted by the variable number of repeats within each sequence. The marsupial sequence is incomplete at the 3' end, and the human sequence has been truncated at this point.

revertant (Fig. 1b). Thus, somatic cell genetic analysis assigned the SOXX sequence to the X chromosome in this dasyurid marsupial.

To confirm the assignment of this SOXX sequence to the X chromosome and map it relative to other markers in normal cells of the two test species, clone SOXX2 (excluding the 2.3-kb region that contains the conserved cross-hybridizing sequences) was used for in situ hybridization to metaphase chromosomes of S. macroura and M. eugenii. The grain distributions each showed a single site of hybridization on the X chromosome in both species (Fig. 3); statistical analysis confirmed that these sites alone were significant.

The 2.3-kb EcoRI fragment of SOXX2 was subcloned into $pUC19$, the region with homology to SRY was sequenced, and an open reading frame of >800 bp was identified. The protein predicted by the SOXX open reading frame contained the 79-amino acid HMG box sequence, the DNA-binding motif typical of eutherian SRY and SOX genes (Fig. 2b). Within the conserved motif, SOXX was found to share 84%

homology with the S. macroura SRY sequence, 84% homology (including 9 conservative amino acid changes) with human SRY , and 81% homology with mouse Sry . Outside the HMG box, no homology with SRY genes was detectable, and there was only limited homology with the closely related mouse autosomal Sox1 and Sox2 genes. Most striking, however, was the 100% homology between SOXX and the mouse and human SOX3 genes within the HMG box. Homology outside the box extended in the N-terminal direction to the putative initiation of translation methionine and in the C-terminal direction to the end of available sequence (Fig. 2b).

DISCUSSION

We have demonstrated that an SRY-homologous sequence SOXX lies on the marsupial X chromosome. In the Tammar wallaby, the SOXX sequence maps to the same region of the X chromosome as does the coagulation factor gene $F9$. The sequence of SOXX is most closely homologous to that of

FIG. 3. Mapping of SOXX to marsupial chromosomes using in situ hybridization. Ideograms of S. macroura and M. eugenii chromosomes showing the location of silver grains after in situ hybridization with 1.6- and 2.8-kb fragments of SOXX2. There are sites of hybridization over the X chromosomes of both S. macroura [analysis using the z_{max} test indicates a significant site of hybridization on the X chromosome ($k = 14$ segments, $n = 676$ grains; $z_{\text{max}} = 10.864, 0.1\%$ significance level = 3.80)] and *M. eugenii* Y [analysis indicates a significant site of hybridization on chromosome $Xq(k = 14$ segments, $n = 601$ grains; $z_{\text{max}} = 8.953$, 0.1% significance level = 3.80)].

mouse and human SOX3. The human homologue SOX3 has subsequently been cloned and mapped to human Xq27 near to F9 based upon deletion mapping in a hemophilia B patient (19). The mouse $Sox3$ gene has now been mapped to the mouse X chromosome to a position similar to that of the human gene, a region of conserved synteny (19). Thus, it appears that a highly conserved SOX gene maps to a conserved region of the X chromosome, at least in therian mammals. From its sequence similarity and conserved position on the X chromosome, we conclude that SOXX is the marsupial homologue of the SOX3 gene and will refer to it by this name.

There are two alternative interpretations of the discovery of an X chromosome-borne SRY-related gene. One possibility is that $SOX3$, as well as SRY , is involved in sex determination in mammals. If these genes are functionally equivalent, they may act together to determine sex according to the dosage of active alleles, rather than by the unique action of the Y chromosome-linked allele, as originally proposed by Chandra (21), and applied to ZFX/ZFY, previously proposed to be involved in sex determination (22). In marsupials, but not eutherians, there is some evidence that development of scrotum or mammary gland is controlled by the dosage of a gene(s) borne on the X chromosome (13). However, testis determination in both eutherians and marsupial mammals seems independent of the numbers of X chromosomes, so it is hard to see how SOX3 could be directly involved in testis determination.

The expression patterns of Sox3 in mouse and human tissues are also inconsistent with a role in testis determination. Sox3 is expressed broadly in the central nervous system of the mouse embryo, although it also shows significant expression in the indifferent gonad in both sexes (19). Human SOX3 is expressed in several fetal tissues, including brain and spinal cord, and several adult tissues (19). Its lack of involvement in human testis determination is shown by the deletion of a portion of the X chromosome that includes it from a male hemophilia patient.

A more likely explanation for the occurrence of an X chromosome-linked homologue of SRY may be found in the evolution, rather than the functions, of SOX3 and SRY. The SOX3 gene is the most closely related to SRY of any of the HMG box-containing gene family (8, 9), suggesting that the two genes shared a common ancestor more recently than did SRY and other SOX genes.

Mammalian X and Y chromosomes were long ago proposed to have differentiated from a homologous chromosome pair in an ancestral mammal (23). This hypothesis receives support from the observation that the conserved region of the mammalian X chromosome contains genes that are also present on the Y chromosome. A sperm motility factor UBEI is shared on the X and Y chromosomes in marsupial as well as mouse (11), and $RPS4$ has copies on Xq and Y chromosomes in human (24). There is also a lengthening list of genes cloned from the differentiated regions of the human or mouse Y chromosome, almost all of which have homologues on the X chromosome (for review, see ref. 12). Some of these genes (STS, ZFX, ADMLX, AMG) lie in the recently added region of the X chromosome (10), which was autosomal until relatively recently.

Comparisons in different species show that the X chromosome- and Y chromosome-borne homologues of some of these genes have diverged in sequence and function. The X chromosome- and Y chromosome-borne zinc finger genes ZFX and ZFY have a similar gene structure and sequence (25) , and, because ZFX is included in the recently added region of the human X chromosome (being autosomal in marsupials and monotremes; see refs. 1, 10, and 26), it must have been located on an ancestral autosome 130 million years ago. The sequence of ZFY has diverged more rapidly than that of ZFX (27, 28).

For many of these X chromosome-Y chromosome-shared genes, the Y chromosome-borne homologue is transcribed at a lower level [e.g., amelogenin AMGY and the Kallman syndrome gene ADMLY(29, 30)] or not expressed at all (e.g., the Y chromosome-linked pseudogene STSP, homologous to the steroid sulfatase gene; see ref. 31). Some Y chromosomelinked genes have assumed a testis-specific expression pattern and have perhaps taken on a specialized role in male fertility, whereas their X chromosome-linked homologues are ubiquitously expressed. Zfy is test is specific in mouse but not in man (25), and the testis-specific Ubely is a sperm motility factor in mouse (32).

There are obvious parallels between these X chromosome-Y chromosome-shared genes and SOX3/SRY, and we suggest that SOX3 and SRY diverged in sequence and function in a similar way to ZFX/ZFY and Ubelx/Ubely (Fig. 4). SOX3 is widely expressed in both sexes, whereas Sry expression is restricted to male genital ridge and adult testis. SOX3 is highly conserved in evolution, whereas SRY has diverged markedly in sequence, even within orders (20, 33).

We propose that $SOX3$ and SRY were originally alleles of a SOX gene coding for a DNA-binding protein with a general

FIG. 4. Divergence of alleles on the X and Y chromosomes. The undifferentiated region of the proto-X and proto-Y chromosomes includes members of the zinc finger (ZF) , the ubiquitin-activating enzyme ($UBE1$), and the SOX gene families. As the X and Y chromosomes are progressively differentiated and prevented from recombining, X- and Y-borne alleles of each diverge in sequence and function; the X-borne alleles $(ZFX, UBEIX, and SOX3)$ retain a general role in both sexes, and the Y-borne alleles (ZFY, UBEIY, and SRY) acquire male-specific patterns of expression and function.

function in both male and female embryos, perhaps involved in development of central nervous system or the indeterminate gonad. This ancestral SOX3 gene was located on the undifferentiated or partially differentiated proto-X and proto-Y chromosomes of an ancestral mammal. SR Yarose as variant form of SOX3, which evolved a male-specific function in testis determination. We cannot tell whether SOX/SRY divergence and the acquisition of a testis-determining role by SRY preceded (and initiated) mammalian X and Y chromosome differentiation, or whether it was ^a consequence of X chromosome-Y chromosome differentiation initiated at the locus of an original sex-determining gene(s), later superseded by SRY.

We thank Prof. P. N. Goodfellow and Dr. A. H. Sinclair for their encouragement during the early phases of this study and Prof. Goodfellow and Dr. R. Lovell-Badge for making sequence data available before publication. This study was supported by grants to J.A.M.G. from the Australian Research Council and the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council.

- 1. Sinclair, A. H., Berta, P., Palmer, M. S., Hawkins, J. R., Griffiths, B. L., Smith, M. J., Foster, J. W., Frischauf, A. M., Lovell, B. R.
- & Goodfellow, P. N. (1990) Nature (London) 346, 240-244. 2. Berta, P., Hawkins, J. R., Sinclair, A. H., Taylor, A., Griffiths, B. L., Goodfellow, P. N. & Fellous, M. (1990) Nature (London) 348, 448-450.
- 3. Jager, R. J., Anvret, M., Hall, K. & Scherer, G. (1990) Nature (London) 348, 452-454.
- 4. Koopman, P., Gubbay, J., Vivian, N., Goodfellow, P. & Lovell, B. R. (1991) Nature (London) 351, 117-121.
- 5. Harley, V. R., Jackson, D. I., Hextall, P. J., Hawkins, J. R., Berkovitz, G. D., Sockanathan, S., Lovell, B. R. & Goodfellow, P. N. (1992) Science 255, 453-456.
- 6. Ferrari, S., Harley, V. H., Pontiggia, A., Goodfellow, P. N., Lovell-Badge, R. & Bianchi, M. E. (1992) EMBO J. 11, 4497-4506.
- 7. Foster, J. W., Brennan, F. E., Hampikian, G. K., Goodfellow, P. N., Sinclair, A. H., Lovell, B. R., Selwood, L., Renfree, M. B., Cooper, D. W. & Graves, J. A. (1992) Nature (London) 359, 531- 533.
- 8. Gubbay, J., Collignon, J., Koopman, P., Capel, B., Economou, A., Munsterberg, A., Vivian, N., Goodfellow, P. & Lovell, B. R. (1990) Nature (London) 346, 245-250.
- 9. Griffiths, R. (1991) Proc. R. Soc. London B 244, 123-128.
10. Graves, J. A. & Watson, J. M. (1991) Chromosoma 101.
- 10. Graves, J. A. & Watson, J. M. (1991) Chromosoma 101, 63-68.
11. Mitchell, M. J., Woods, D. R., Wilcox, S. A., Graves, J. A.
- Mitchell, M. J., Woods, D. R., Wilcox, S. A., Graves, J. A. & Bishop, C. E. (1992) Nature (London) 359, 528-531.
- 12. Graves, J. A. M. & Schmidt, M. M. (1992) Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 2, 890-901.
- 13. Sharman, G. B., Hughes, R. L. & Cooper, D. W. (1990) Aust. J. Zool. 37, 451-466.
- 14. Dobrovic, A. & Graves, J. A. M. (1986) Cytogenet. Cell Genet. 41, 9-13.
- 15. Reed, K. C. & Mann, D. A. (1983) Nucleic Acids Res. 13, 7207- 7221.
- 16. Sanger, F., Nicklen, S. & Coulson, A. R. (1977) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74, 5463-5467.
- 17. Sinclair, A. H., Wrigley, J. M. & Graves, J. A. M. (1987) Genet.
- Res. 50, 131-136. 18. Ewens, W. J., Griffiths, R. C., Ethier, S. N., Wilcox, S. A. & Graves, J. A. (1992) Genomics 12, 675-682.
- 19. Stevanovic, M., Lovell-Badge, R., Collignon, J. & Goodfellow, P. N. (1993) Hum. Mol. Genet. 12, 2013-2018.
- 20. Whitfield, L. S., Lovell-Badge, R. & Goodfellow, P. N. (1993) Nature (London) 364, 713-715.
- 21. Chandra, H. S. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 6947-6949. Page, D. C., Mosher, R., Simpson, E. M., Fisher, E. M. C., Mar-
- don, G., Pollack, J., McGillivray, B., de la Chapelle, A. & Brown, L. G. (1987) Cell 51, 1091-1104.
- 23. Ohno, S. (1%7) Sex Chromosomes and Sex Linked Genes (Springer, Berlin).
- 24. Hamvas, R. M., Zinn, A., Keer, J. T., Fisher, E. M., Beer, R. P., Brown, S. D. & Page, D. C. (1992) Genomics 12, 363-367.
- 25. Schneider, G. A., Beer, R. P., Brown, L. G., Nussbaum, R. & Page, D. C. (1989) Cell 57, 1247-1258.
- 26. Watson, J. M., Frost, C., Spencer, J. A. & Graves, J. A. (1993) Genomics 15, 317-322.
- 27. Pamilo, P. & Bianchi, N. O. (1993) Mol. Biol. Evol. 10, 271–281.
28. Shimmin. L. C., Chang. B. H. & Li. W. H. (1993) Nature (London
- Shimmin, L. C., Chang, B. H. & Li, W. H. (1993) Nature (London) 362, 745-747.
- 29. Salido, E. C., Yen, P. H., Koprivnikar, K., Yu, L. C. & Shapiro, L. J. (1992) Am. J. Hum. Genet. 50, 303-316.
- 30. Legouis, R., Hardelin, J. P., Levilliers, J., Claverie, J. M., Compain, S., Wunderle, V., Millasseau, P., Le, P. D., Cohen, D., Caterina, D., Bougueleret, L., Delemarre-Van de Waal, H., Lutfalla, G., Weissenbach, J. & Petit, C. (1991) Cell 67, 423-435.
- 31. Yen, P. H., Marsh, B., Allen, E., Tsai, S. P., Ellison, J., Connolly, L., Neiswanger, K. & Shapiro, L. J. (1988) Cell 55, 1123-1135.
- 32. Mitchell, M. J., Woods, D. R., Tucker, P. K., Opp, J. S. & Bishop, C. E. (1991) Nature (London) 354, 483-486.
- 33. Tucker, P. K. & Lundrigan, B. L. (1993) Nature (London) 364, 715-717.