



Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin Psychol. 2015 August ; 4: 13–20. doi:10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.01.003.

Depression from childhood through adolescence: Risk mechanisms across multiple systems and levels of analysis

Benjamin L. Hankin

University of Denver

Abstract

This paper selectively reviews recent research, especially in the last two years (2012-2014) in preschool, child, and adolescent depression. In particular, attention is paid to developmental epidemiology as well as risk factors and processes that contribute to depression trajectories over time. Emphasis is placed on a developmental psychopathology perspective in which risks are instantiated across multiple systems and levels of analysis, including genetics, stress contexts and processes, biological stress mechanisms, temperament, emotion, reward, cognitive factors and processes, and interpersonal influences. These risks dynamically transact over time, as they emerge and stabilize into relatively trait-like vulnerabilities that confer risk for the increasing rates of depression observed in adolescence. Overall, this summary illustrates that considerable progress has been made recently in understanding the complex developmental processes contributing to depression. Finally, a few gaps are highlighted as opportunities for future research.

Depression is a common, debilitating, burdensome, and chronic mental health problem. Moreover, it is a developmental phenomenon. One of the major accomplishments in understanding depression has been the explicit recognition that it is a neurodevelopmental disorder. Modal onset of first episodes of depression most commonly occur in middle to late adolescence (1, 2), and many adult depressive episodes represent recurrences of adolescent-onset depression (3). Compelling evidence shows that depression often begins much earlier than previously believed, including during preschool (4,5). Risk factors and processes first emerge, and then accumulate and crystalize over time, likely via dynamic developmental cascades starting with early adverse environments and then ongoing chronic and acute stressors that transact with these vulnerabilities across multiple systems and levels of analysis until these risks stabilize and consolidate. Indeed these developmental cascades can begin prenatally, as maternal emotional distress and stress physiology predict many of the developmental precursors that contribute to the emergence of pathways leading to

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Benjamin L. Hankin, Department of Psychology, University of Denver, 2155 South Race Street, Denver CO 80208. Internet correspondence can be addressed to ben.hankin@psy.du.edu. Phone: 303-871-7468.

Conflict of interest statement

I have no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest. Ben Hankin, Ph.D.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

vulnerabilities, and eventual enhanced risk to internalizing problems later in childhood and adolescence (6,7).

Given the recognized importance of developmental processes for understanding depression, this paper takes an integrative developmental psychopathology perspective to better understand the multiple influences that may affect, and be affected by, depression across the early lifespan from preschool to childhood and into adolescence. Space limitations require an admittedly biased and selective viewpoint in which recent papers predominantly from the last two years (2012-2014) are preferentially reviewed. Additionally, this review focuses relatively more on recent areas of inquiry relevant for risk mechanisms (e.g., genetic influences, adverse childhood experiences (ACE), biological stress susceptibility) that are not as extensively covered in other papers in this special issue. This review explicitly adopts a developmental psychopathology perspective (cf., 8), emphasizes that risk factors and mechanisms are likely instantiated across multiple systems and levels of analysis (e.g., genetic to neural to psychosocial influences all within environmental contexts), and highlights developmental processes, including emergence and stabilization of risk processes over time.

Developmental Epidemiology

The most recent data regarding prevalence, severity, and comorbidity of adolescent clinical depression are provided by the National Comorbidity Study-Adolescent Supplement (NCS-A; 1). Based on interview data with adolescents aged 13-18, lifetime and 1-year prevalence of Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) were 11.0% and 7.5%, respectively; for severe MDD, rates were 3.0% and 2.3%. MDD becomes increasingly more prevalent across adolescence, and this is especially so for girls relative to boys. MDD prevalence was independent of other sociodemographic features. Comorbidity with other disorders was common: 71.9% for all lifetime episodes and 63.7% for past year cases. Anxiety disorders were the most frequent. Although cross-sectional, these NCS-A data are consistent with other longitudinal, community-based depression studies (e.g., 2). The well-known gender difference in depression emerges in early adolescence (2), or mid-puberty (9). Depression rates increase after the pubertal transition (9, 10).

Moving earlier in age to complete the descriptive timeline of depression trajectories, clinical depression begins as early as preschool. By age 3, 1.8% of preschoolers in a community sample had MDD (4). Longitudinal follow-up demonstrates predictive validity and clinical significance as preschool depression continues into childhood. Consistent with homotypic continuity, preschool depression predicted MDD later in childhood and early adolescence (5). Consistent with heterotypic continuity, preschool MDD predicted later childhood anxiety disorders and ADHD (5, 11). Early onset preschool depression proved to be a more robust predictor of childhood depression than maternal MDD or traumatic life events (5). Other prospective predictors of later school-aged MDD (assessed at age 6) include preschool irritability (12), prior anxiety, poor inhibitory control, poor peer functioning, parental psychopathology and lower education, and early and recent stressful events (13).

In sum, the developmental epidemiological findings in depression clearly show that MDD can begin early in life, be identified and diagnosed with similar (albeit not developmentally isomorphic), reliable, and valid criteria as used with adolescents and adults. MDD rates then increase in prevalence from childhood into adolescence. At the same time, it is important to note that there are both continuities and discontinuities in depression trajectories over time and in the underlying mechanisms (14). Thus, it is important to carefully identify latent trajectories of depression across time and salient developmental stages (e.g., pubertal transition) and investigate potential sequential and integrative interplay among genetic, neural, psychosocial, and environmental contextual processes contributing to progressions in depression.

Genetics

Telomerase length (TL) is shortened in many, albeit not all, studies with depressed adults (15). Telomeres, which cap the end of chromosomes, shorten with each cell division and have been shown to erode more in the context of chronic stress, such as childhood adversity (15). In a longitudinal study, persistent internalizing disorders, including MDD, from age 11 to 38 predicted shortened TL at age 38 in a dose-dependent way in men (16). Gotlib (17) demonstrated that TL was shortened in never-depressed daughters at high familial risk for depression compared to controls, and eroded telomeres related to cortisol reactivity to laboratory stress.

Methylation of particular genes is an epigenetic mechanism postulated to explain how environmental experiences across key developmental periods can affect later risk to depression (e.g., 18). For example, one longitudinal study showed maternal stress during the first year of a child's life was related to DNA methylation when the children were in middle adolescence and that some of these epigenetic effects varied by child sex (19). Also, ACE, especially maltreatment, affects risk to depression via GxE processes (20), and this may occur by epigenetic changes in biologically plausible genes (e.g., *BDNF*, *NR3C1*, and *FKBP5*) relevant for stress susceptibility systems in maltreated children (21, 22). Finally and consistent with the emphasis on connecting risk across multiple systems and units of analysis, methylation of *5-HTTLPR* predicted threat-related amygdala reactivity (23).

There has been considerable controversy and mixed evidence regarding candidate gene-environment interactions (GxE) predicting depression, but more recent studies that have clearly characterized particular developmentally salient stressful environments have proven successful at predicting prospective elevations of depression in adolescence. For example, interpersonal stressors, especially chronic family stress, enhances the effect of *5-HTTLPR* on later depression during adolescence (e.g., 24, 25). Additionally, early ACE affects more proximal stressors in childhood and adolescence, and this stress sensitization process is moderated by genetics. For example, *5-HTTLPR* and *CRHR1* enhanced the effect of early ACE (over the first five years of life) sensitizing recent chronic stress to predict depression at age 20 years (26).

Genetic influences not only affect risk via GxE mechanisms but also through gene-environment correlations (rGE), in which particular stressful contexts are more likely to be

experienced for particular individuals at enhanced genetic susceptibility. While less research has directly investigated rGE relative to GxE (27), recent work illustrates the importance of both types of gene-environment interplay. For example, emotionally or sexually maltreated youth at genetic risk (*5-HTTLPR*) experienced more dependent, especially interpersonal, proximal events (i.e., stress generation) prior to MDD (28). Behavioral genetic research shows that both depressive symptoms and particular stress contexts, including parenting and family stress, are moderately heritable, thus indicative of rGE, and that GxE co-exists alongside this rGE in that risk to depressive symptoms is stronger among children with enhanced genetic risk who also experience family stress (29). Expanding on this latent rGE process with parenting, other molecular rGE research shows that more attuned parents (i.e., those who were less neurotic and more extraverted) provided more sensitive, responsive, and warm parenting for their children at greater genetic risk (30). Thus, these genetically influenced parent-child relationships and parental socialization processes affect child risk mechanisms via stress, neural, and interpersonal processes (see later), thus providing another example of risk instantiated via multiple systems and levels of analysis.

Stress contexts and processes

ACE confers enhanced risk and an adverse course of MDD over the lifecourse. Using data from NCS-A to investigate the impact of multiple childhood adversities (CA), distress disorders, including MDD, were most associated with emotional abuse, sexual abuse, parental mental illness, parental criminality, parental divorce, and family violence; overall CAs accounted for 32.2% of distress disorders (31). Moreover, childhood maltreatment predicted persistent and recurrent MDD (32).

In addition to ACE, chronic stress and acute episodic events exhibit bi-directional transactions with depression and other risk factors. These effects can occur via stress amplification, stress generation, and stress sensitization processes. Stressful life events increase across adolescence, especially after the pubertal transition (10), when more interpersonal stressors occur. As more stress is experienced and in developmentally relevant social contexts, depression is more likely to ensue via the main effect of stress or via various vulnerabilities interacting with the rise in stress through stress amplification processes. More recent multivariate studies have examined which particular individual differences most strongly predict prospective dependent, especially interpersonal, events. Interpersonal risks (e.g., co-rumination and attachment) and elevated levels of multiple psychopathologies most strongly predicted dependent interpersonal stressors, and this effect was stronger for girls (33). Regarding stress sensitization processes, maltreated adolescents, especially those who were emotionally abused, reported lower stress severity prior to MDD onset (34). Also consistent with stress sensitization, longitudinal research shows that idiographic dependent stressors predicted future depressive symptoms after youths' first onset of MDD in the transition from early to middle adolescence (35). Finally and consistent with a more nuanced developmental psychopathological perspective, which stress mechanism (e.g., sensitization, amplification) is operating to contribute effective risk to later depression may depend upon age of first MDD onset and exposure to ACE (36).

Biological stress processes

The Limbic Hypothalamic Pituitary Adrenal (LHPA) axis is one biological intermediate process that may undergird stress sensitivity. Cortisol, the end product of the LHPA system, is dysregulated in depression. The cortisol awakening response predicts prospective onsets of MDD during adolescence, although the strength of the effect decays over time (37). Cortisol reactivity to a laboratory challenge is also dysregulated in youth, including in preschoolers with high internalizing symptoms (38) as well as children and adolescents, although the direction of dysregulation (i.e., cortisol hyporeactivity to hyperreactivity) may change across the pubertal transition (39).

More recent studies have progressed to examine developmental origins of such altered cortisol responses. Early ACE and more recent traumatic events were associated with children's cortisol reactivity patterns (40; see 41 for review). Additionally, candidate genes relevant for stress susceptibility (e.g., *FKPB5*, *CRHR1*) interact with ACE to predict cortisol reactivity in adolescence (42, 43). Parents' depression and various aspects of child temperament predicted longitudinal cortisol reactivity in middle childhood (44). Cortisol reactivity exhibits moderate trait-like stability over time, and particular genetic variants for stress susceptibility, especially *5-HTTLPR* and *CRHR1*, predict greater cortisol stability (45). Also consistent with this review's emphasis on integrating risk across multiple systems and levels of analysis, parental depression history and child cognitive vulnerability interacted to predict cortisol reactivity (46).

Stressful contexts also contribute risk to depression via another intermediate biological process—altered immune system and inflammation processes via social signal transduction (47). ACE, including childhood maltreatment, contributes to allostatic load, via biological embedding and altered immune system functioning (41). A longitudinal study of adolescent girls showed that those with ACE exhibited significant coupling and bi-directional effects between depression and inflammatory biomarkers (IL-6 and C-Reactive Protein) (48). Another longitudinal study of a birth cohort showed that IL-6, assessed at age 9, predicted MDD by age 18 (49).

Temperament, emotion, and reward

Predominant temperament models propose that negative (NEM) and positive emotionality (PEM) contribute to affective reactivity while effortful control (EC) comprises the capacity to regulate and control these affective responses. Considerable research indicates that negative constitutes a potent affective temperamental risk to depression (50). Likewise, lower PEM, including disrupted reward functioning, predicts later depression (51), and this effect may increase through the pubertal transition (52, 53). Poor EC, as a main effect, also predicts later depression (13). Importantly, EC also synergistically interacts with the affective temperament components to contribute to depression risk, such that high NEM, low PEM, and low EC related to high depressive symptoms (54).

Emotion is also disrupted in youth depression. Children of depressed mothers displayed increased pupil dilation when exposed to sad faces (55). Boys at familial depression risk exhibited enhanced sensitivity to recognize sad faces in an emotion morph task (56). Last,

currently clinically depressed, but not remitted or control, youth misclassified happy and sad emotion faces as angry in an emotion morph task (57). These findings suggest that currently depressed, or those at enhanced risk, display biased perceptions of emotion faces.

These associations between depression and temperament dimensions, emotion, and reward, also have been examined at other levels of analysis. A review of neuroimaging studies in child and adolescent depression found abnormal activations in ventromedial and orbitofrontal frontal regions, the amygdala, and the anterior cingulate (58). This “extended medial network” is broadly associated with reward processing, emotion processing, cognitive control, emotion recognition, and resting-state functional connectivity. Reward processing, an important feature of PEM and depression risk, has been investigated neurally via psychophysiology (59) and fMRI (51). Lowered feedback negativity (FN), a psychophysiological index of reward, predicted prospective MDD onset over 2 years among previously never depressed girls (60).

Relations with depression and temperament, emotion, and reward have also been integrated across multiple systems, and these findings further demonstrate the complex, transactional developmental pathways that confer risk across systems to contribute to depression’s etiology. For example, parental negative affective, parent psychopathology, and child EC predict youths’ LHPA axis dysregulation (61, 62). Additionally, maternal warmth, assessed in early childhood and later in early adolescence, predicted male offspring’s neural activity (e.g. mPFC, caudate, striatum) during reward and loss tasks years later in young adulthood (63). Also of interest, different neural areas are activated in response to potential rewards in the context of low parental warmth (increase in rewards in mPFC, striatum, and amygdala) relative to peer victimization (decrease in reward response in mPFC) (64). Early parenting and parental MDD affected offspring’s reward processing neurally as assessed psychophysiological via error-related negativity (65).

Cognitive factors and processes

A recent comprehensive review shows that many cognitive vulnerabilities contribute risk to depression cross-sectionally and longitudinally and that many, albeit not all, cognitive risks appear to be transdiagnostic predictors and not specifically associated with risk to depression per se (66). Self-reported cognitive products (e.g., dysfunctional attitudes, negative cognitive style, rumination) predict prospective increases in depressive symptoms and MDD in youth, often via vulnerability-stress interactions in which cognitively vulnerable youth are more likely to become depressed when experiencing stressors. Additionally, cognitive processes, including deficits in executive function as well as biased attention and memory, are concomitantly associated with depression.

These cognitive products and processes have been integrated within other levels of analysis and systems, including genetics (e.g., 67), stress biology (e.g., 46), cognitive/affective neurosciences (e.g., 68, 69), and interpersonal influences. For example, successful cognitive reappraisal protects against the depresogenic GxE effect (*5-HTTLPR* × stress) (70). Negative parenting contributes to children’s attentional bias to negative emotional stimuli (71).

Finally, longitudinal research with repeated measures of cognitive risks demonstrates moderate stability in negative self-referential thinking (72) as well as cognitive products (73) over time in children and adolescents. These findings suggest that cognitive vulnerabilities may be relatively trait-like, intermediate risk processes, that emerge and stabilize before the large surge in depression rates observed during middle to late adolescence.

Interpersonal influences

Developmental social contexts, and the salience of relationships, normatively change from preschool into childhood and adolescence. Still, despite the normative increase and emphasis in peer relations during adolescence, parent-child relationships remain important as positive parental relationship quality continued to buffer against the depressogenic effects of peer stress from childhood through adolescence (74). In adolescence, peer and romantic relationships take on increasing salience and potential to contribute to depression via peer and romantic stress. A particularly potent interpersonal predictor of depression, especially in the context of interpersonal relationships, is co-rumination, the process of emotionally rehashing negative emotion in close social relationships (75, 76). Regarding romantic stress, results from a family-based design showed that only sexual activity in a nonromantic relationship predicted adolescent depression after controlling for genetic and shared familial confounds (77).

More recently, work has increasingly integrated neural processes with parenting, socialization, and peer influences. Exposure to positive parenting behavior is associated with specific neural areas and circuitry (e.g., anterior cingulate, vIPFC) in adolescent offspring, and these neural areas are reduced in depressed adolescents exposed to their own parents' positive behavior (78). Additionally, longer duration of maternal negative emotion affected similar neural areas in her child in response to lack of peer acceptance (79). Social information processing systems, including affective and motivational processes linked to peers and romantic partners, are hypothesized to become more sensitive and reactive with the transition through puberty (52). Consistent with this, sensitivity to social evaluation increases across adolescence, as evidenced by pupillary reactivity (80) and fMRI-based neural activation (81), to peer rejection in a virtual chatroom task, and these multi-level risk processes relate to enhanced vulnerability to adolescent depression.

Future directions

First, theoretical work is needed to coherently synthesize and integrate the rapidly accumulating knowledge of risk factors across multiple levels and over the lifespan so that a more succinct, focused conceptual model of key etiological risk factors and mechanisms can be articulated. The literature reviewed here does not presently offer a clear theoretical depiction of the core mechanisms contributing to the ontogeny of depression over time. This review enumerated many factors and processes that potentially provide suggestive, compelling clues upon which to build and articulate a more integrative, coherent theoretical model of the development of depression.

Second, continued longitudinal, preferably multi-wave repeated measures, research is still needed to more rigorously examine the extent to which the various risk factors and mechanisms reviewed here function as potential causes, correlates, and/or consequences to rising trajectories of depression over time. Many of the studies are cross-sectional, and as such cannot address issues of temporal precedence and possible causal risk mechanisms. Additionally, further longitudinal research is needed to investigate developmental processes in these core risk factors and mechanisms, including: the extent to which these risks and depression affect each other over time in dynamic, transactional, and cascading processes; and developmental origins along with the relative degree of stability and change occurring over time for these risk.

Finally, the degree to which many of these risk mechanisms predict depression specifically, versus comorbid conditions more transdiagnostically, is not well understood. Better understanding and predicting developmental sequential comorbidity trajectories of depression with commonly co-occurring disorders represents an important area of inquiry. For example, depression co-occurs with many, albeit not all, anxiety disorders, and recent work summarizes three potential temporal pathways through which depression and anxiety can affect each other (82). Accordingly, different potential processes and models (e.g., 83) can then be evaluated to better ascertain which particular risk mechanisms predict depression specifically versus transdiagnostically and in what ways.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NIMH grants MH077195 and MH102210 (Hankin). The content is solely the responsibility of the author and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institute of Mental Health or National Institutes of Health.

References

- 1*. Avenevoli S, Swendsen J, He JP, Burstein M, Merikangas K. Major Depression in the National Comorbidity Survey-Adolescent Supplement: Prevalence, Correlates, and Treatment. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2014 Provides most up to date prevalence, severity, comorbidity and treatment utilization for adolescent depression in largest, most representative psychiatric epidemiological sample in United States.
2. Hankin BL, Abramson LY, Moffitt TE, Silva PA, McGee R, Angell KE. Development of depression from preadolescence to young adulthood: emerging gender differences in a 10-year longitudinal study. *Journal of abnormal psychology*. 1998; 107(1):128. [PubMed: 9505045]
3. Rutter M, Kim-Cohen J, Maughan B. Continuities and discontinuities in psychopathology between childhood and adult life. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2006; 47(3–4):276–295. [PubMed: 16492260]
4. Bufferd SJ, Dougherty LR, Carlson GA, Klein DN. Parent-reported mental health in preschoolers: findings using a diagnostic interview. *Comprehensive psychiatry*. 2011; 52(4):359–369. [PubMed: 21683173]
- 5*. Luby JL, Gaffrey MS, Tillman R, April LM, Belden AC. Trajectories of Preschool Disorders to Full DSM Depression at School Age and Early Adolescence: Continuity of Preschool Depression. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2014 Demonstrates clinical significance and predictive validity of preschool depression over approximately 6 ½ year follow-up into childhood and early adolescence.
6. Buss C, Davis EP, Shahbaba B, Pruessner JC, Head K, Sandman CA. Maternal cortisol over the course of pregnancy and subsequent child amygdala and hippocampus volumes and affective problems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2012; 109(20):E1312–E1319.

7. Sandman CA, Buss C, Head K, Davis EP. Fetal Exposure to Maternal Depressive Symptoms Is Associated With Cortical Thickness in Late Childhood. *Biological psychiatry*. 2014
8. Cicchetti D, Toth SL. The past achievements and future promises of developmental psychopathology: The coming of age of a discipline. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2009; 50:16–25.10.1111/j.1469-7610.2008.01979.x [PubMed: 19175810]
9. Mendle J. Why Puberty Matters for Psychopathology. *Child Development Perspectives*. 2014; 8(4): 218–222.
10. Conley CS, Rudolph KD, Bryant FB. Explaining the longitudinal association between puberty and depression: sex differences in the mediating effects of peer stress. *Development and psychopathology*. 2012; 24(02):691–701. [PubMed: 22559140]
11. Bufferd SJ, Dougherty LR, Carlson GA, Rose S, Klein DN. Psychiatric disorders in preschoolers: continuity from ages 3 to 6. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2012; 169(11):1157–1164. [PubMed: 23128922]
12. Dougherty LR, Smith VC, Bufferd SJ, Stringaris A, Leibenluft E, Carlson GA, Klein DN. Preschool Irritability: Longitudinal Associations With Psychiatric Disorders at Age 6 and Parental Psychopathology. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2013; 52(12):1304–1313. [PubMed: 24290463]
13. Bufferd SJ, Dougherty LR, Olino TM, Dyson MW, Lupton RS, Carlson GA, Klein DN. Predictors of the onset of depression in young children: a multi-method, multi-informant longitudinal study from ages 3 to 6. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2014 Offers longitudinal predictors of early-onset depression from preschool into childhood.
14. Jaffee SR, Moffitt TE, Caspi A, Fombonne E, Poulton R, Martin J. Differences in early childhood risk factors for juvenile-onset and adult-onset depression. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2002; 59:215–22. [PubMed: 11879158]
15. Price LH, Kao HT, Burgers DE, Carpenter LL, Tyrka AR. Telomeres and early-life stress: an overview. *Biological psychiatry*. 2013; 73(1):15–23. [PubMed: 22831981]
- 16*. Shalev I, Moffitt TE, Braithwaite AW, Danese A, Fleming NI, Goldman-Mellor S, Caspi A, et al. Internalizing disorders and leukocyte telomere erosion: a prospective study of depression, generalized anxiety disorder and post-traumatic stress disorder. *Molecular psychiatry*. 2014 Demonstrates that adolescent depression prospectively predicts shortened telomere length in adulthood.
- 17*. Gotlib IH, LeMoult J, Colich NL, Foland-Ross LC, Hallmayer J, Joormann J, Wolkowitz OM, et al. Telomere length and cortisol reactivity in children of depressed mothers. *Molecular psychiatry*. 2014 Shows that telomere length is eroded in nondepressed, high-risk children and correlates with cortisol reactivity as biological stress processes across levels of analysis.
18. Heim C, Binder EB. Current research trends in early life stress and depression: Review of human studies on sensitive periods, gene–environment interactions, and epigenetics. *Experimental neurology*. 2012; 233(1):102–111. [PubMed: 22101006]
19. Essex MJ, Thomas Boyce W, Hertzman C, Lam LL, Armstrong JM, Neumann S, Kobor MS. Epigenetic vestiges of early developmental adversity: childhood stress exposure and DNA methylation in adolescence. *Child Development*. 2013; 84(1):58–75. [PubMed: 21883162]
- 20*. Peyrot WJ, Milaneschi Y, Abdellaoui A, Sullivan PF, Hottenga JJ, Boomsma DI, Penninx BW. Effect of polygenic risk scores on depression in childhood trauma. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*. 2014; 205(2):113–119. Specifies that combination of candidate genes, formed into polygenic risk score, interacted with maltreatment. [PubMed: 24925986]
21. Klengel T, Mehta D, Anacker C, Rex-Haffner M, Pruessner JC, Pariante CM, Binder EB, et al. Allele-specific FKBP5 DNA demethylation mediates gene-childhood trauma interactions. *Nature neuroscience*. 2013; 16(1):33–41.
22. Weder N, Zhang H, Jensen K, Yang BZ, Simen A, Jackowski A, Kaufman J, et al. Child abuse, depression, and methylation in genes involved with stress, neural plasticity, and brain circuitry. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2014; 53(4):417–424. [PubMed: 24655651]
- 23*. Nikolova YS, Koenen KC, Galea S, Wang CM, Seney ML, Sibille E, Hariri AR, et al. Beyond genotype: serotonin transporter epigenetic modification predicts human brain function. *Nature*

- neuroscience. 2014; 17(9):1153–1155. Shows that methylation of 5-HTTLPR is associated with threat-related amygdala activity.
24. Jenness J, Hankin BL, Abela JRZ, Young JF, Smolen A. Chronic family stress interacts with 5-HTTLPR to predict prospective depressive symptoms among youth. *Depression and Anxiety*. 2011; 28:1074–1080. [PubMed: 22058064]
 25. Vrshek-Schallhorn S, Mineka S, Zinbarg RE, Craske MG, Griffith JW, Sutton J, Adam EK, et al. Refining the Candidate Environment Interpersonal Stress, the Serotonin Transporter Polymorphism, and Gene-Environment Interactions in Major Depression. *Clinical Psychological Science*. 2013 2167702613499329.
 - 26*. Starr LR, Hammen C, Conway CC, Raposa E, Brennan PA. Sensitizing effect of early adversity on depressive reactions to later proximal stress: Moderation by polymorphisms in serotonin transporter and corticotropin releasing hormone receptor genes in a 20-year longitudinal study. *Development and psychopathology*. 2014; 26(4pt2):1241–1254. Demonstrates that candidate genes sensitize effects of early adversity to later chronic stress and that early adversity enhanced the predictive effect of chronic stress on later depression. [PubMed: 25422958]
 27. Knafo A, Jaffee SR. Gene–environment correlation in developmental psychopathology. *Development and psychopathology*. 2013; 25(01):1–6. [PubMed: 23398748]
 28. Harkness KL, Bagby MR, Stewart JG, Larocque CL, Mazurka R, Strauss JS, Ravindran A, Rector NA, Wynne-Edwards KE, Kennedy JL. Emotional and Sexual Maltreatment Moderate the Relation of the Serotonin Transporter Gene to Stress Generation. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. in press.
 29. Wilkinson PO, Trzaskowski M, Haworth C, Eley TC. The role of gene–environment correlations and interactions in middle childhood depressive symptoms. *Development and psychopathology*. 2013; 25(01):93–104. [PubMed: 23398755]
 30. Oppenheimer CW, Hankin BL, Jenness JL, Young JF, Smolen A. Observed positive parenting behaviors and youth genotype: Evidence for gene–environment correlations and moderation by parent personality traits. *Development and psychopathology*. 2013; 25(01):175–191. [PubMed: 23398761]
 - 31*. McLaughlin KA, Green JG, Gruber MJ, Sampson NA, Zaslavsky AM, Kessler RC. Childhood adversities and first onset of psychiatric disorders in a national sample of US adolescents. *Archives of general psychiatry*. 2012; 69(11):1151–1160. Provides multivariate data on early childhood adversities and their effects on first onset of depression. [PubMed: 23117636]
 32. Nanni V, Uher R, Danese A. Childhood maltreatment predicts unfavorable course of illness and treatment outcome in depression: a meta-analysis. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2012; 169(2): 141–151. [PubMed: 22420036]
 33. Shapero BG, Hankin BL, Barrocas A. Stress generation in a multi-wave study of adolescents: Transactional processes and sex differences. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*. 2013; 32:989–1012. [PubMed: 24683291]
 34. La Rocque CL, Harkness KL, Bagby RM. The differential relation of childhood maltreatment to stress sensitization in adolescent and young adult depression. *Journal of adolescence*. 2014; 37(6): 871–882. [PubMed: 24960433]
 35. Technow JR, Hazel NA, Abela JRZ, Hankin BL. Stress reactivity interacts with depression history to predict depressive symptoms among youth: Prospective changes following first depression onset. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*. in press.
 36. Oldehinkel AJ, Ormel J, Verhulst FC, Nederhof E. Childhood adversities and adolescent depression: A matter of both risk and resilience. *Development and psychopathology*. 2014; 26(4pt1):1067–1075. [PubMed: 24933401]
 37. Vrshek-Schallhorn S, Doane LD, Mineka S, Zinbarg RE, Craske MG, Adam EK. The cortisol awakening response predicts major depression: predictive stability over a 4-year follow-up and effect of depression history. *Psychological medicine*. 2013; 43(03):483–493. [PubMed: 22652338]
 38. Kryski KR, Smith HJ, Sheikh HL, Singh SM, Hayden EP. HPA axis reactivity in early childhood: Associations with symptoms and moderation by sex. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2013; 38(10): 2327–2336. [PubMed: 23764193]

39. Hankin BL, Badanes LS, Abela JR, Watamura SE. Hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis dysregulation in dysphoric children and adolescents: Cortisol reactivity to psychosocial stress from preschool through middle adolescence. *Biological psychiatry*. 2010; 68(5):484–490. [PubMed: 20497900]
40. Jaffee SR, McFarquhar T, Stevens S, Ouellet-Morin I, Melhuish E, Belsky J. Interactive effects of early and recent exposure to stressful contexts on cortisol reactivity in middle childhood. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2014
41. Danese A, McEwen BS. Adverse childhood experiences, allostasis, allostatic load, and age-related disease. *Physiology & behavior*. 2012; 106(1):29–39. [PubMed: 21888923]
42. Buchmann AF, Holz N, Boecker R, Blomeyer D, Rietschel M, Witt SH, Laucht M, et al. Moderating role of *FKBP5* genotype in the impact of childhood adversity on cortisol stress response during adulthood. *European Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2014; 24(6):837–845. [PubMed: 24411633]
43. Sumner JA, McLaughlin KA, Walsh K, Sheridan MA, Koenen KC. *CRHR1* genotype and history of maltreatment predict cortisol reactivity to stress in adolescents. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2014; 43:71–80. [PubMed: 24703172]
44. Mackrell SV, Sheikh HI, Kotelnikova Y, Kryski KR, Jordan PL, Singh SM, Hayden EP. Child temperament and parental depression predict cortisol reactivity to stress in middle childhood. *Journal of abnormal psychology*. 2014; 123(1):106. [PubMed: 24661163]
- 45*. Hankin BL, Badanes LS, Smolen A, Young JF. Cortisol reactivity to stress among youth: Stability over time and genetic variants for stress sensitivity. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. (in press). Shows that cortisol reactivity to stress is moderately stable over time, and higher stability is associated with genes linked to stress sensitivity.
46. Hayden EP, Hankin BL, Mackrell SV, Sheikh HI, Jordan PL, Dozois DJ, Badanes LS, et al. Parental depression and child cognitive vulnerability predict children’s cortisol reactivity. *Development and psychopathology*. 2014; 26(4pt2):1445–1460. [PubMed: 25422972]
47. Slavich GM, Irwin MR. From stress to inflammation and major depressive disorder: A social signal transduction theory of depression. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2014; 140:774–815. [PubMed: 24417575]
- 48*. Miller GE, Cole SW. Clustering of depression and inflammation in adolescents previously exposed to childhood adversity. *Biological psychiatry*. 2012; 72(1):34–40. Girls exposed to childhood adversity exhibited higher CRP and IL-6 as they became depressed, the CRP levels persisted, and higher IL-6 predicted later depression among girls with greater childhood adversity. [PubMed: 22494534]
49. Khandaker GM, Pearson RM, Zammit S, Lewis G, Jones PB. Association of serum interleukin 6 and C-reactive protein in childhood with depression and psychosis in young adult life: a population-based longitudinal study. *JAMA psychiatry*. 2014; 71(10):1121–1128. [PubMed: 25133871]
50. Ormel J, Jeronimus BF, Kotov R, Riese H, Bos EH, Hankin B, Oldehinkel AJ, et al. Neuroticism and common mental disorders: Meaning and utility of a complex relationship. *Clinical psychology review*. 2013; 33(5):686–697. [PubMed: 23702592]
51. Forbes EE, Dahl RE. Research Review: Altered reward function in adolescent depression: what, when and how? *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2012; 53(1):3–15. [PubMed: 22117893]
52. Ladouceur CD. Neural systems supporting cognitive-affective interactions in adolescence: the role of puberty and implications for affective disorders. *Frontiers in integrative neuroscience*. 2012; 6
53. Morgan JK, Olino TM, McMakin DL, Ryan ND, Forbes EE. Neural response to reward as a predictor of increases in depressive symptoms in adolescence. *Neurobiology of disease*. 2013; 52:66–74. [PubMed: 22521464]
54. Vasey MW, Harbaugh CN, Lonigan CJ, Phillips BM, Hankin BL, Willem L, Bijtbeier P. Dimensions of temperament and depressive symptoms in youth: Replicating a three-way interaction. *Journal of Research in Personality*. 2013; 47:908–921. [PubMed: 24493906]
55. Burkhouse KL, Siegle GJ, Gibb BE. Pupillary reactivity to emotional stimuli in children of depressed and anxious mothers. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2014

56. Lopez-Duran NL, Kuhlman KR, George C, Kovacs M. Facial emotion expression recognition by children at familial risk for depression: high-risk boys are oversensitive to sadness. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2013; 54(5):565–574. [PubMed: 23106941]
57. Jenness J, Hankin BL, Gibb BE, Young JF, Abela JRZ. Biases in sensitivity to and misclassification of emotional facial expression in depressed youth. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*. in press.
- 58*. Kerestes R, Davey CG, Stephanou K, Whittle S, Harrison BJ. Functional brain imaging studies of youth depression: A systematic review. *NeuroImage: Clinical*. 2014; 4:209–231. Reviews functional brain imaging studies in youth depression across multiple domains. [PubMed: 24455472]
59. Kujawa A, Proudfit GH, Kessel EM, Dyson M, Olino T, Klein DN. Neural reactivity to monetary rewards and losses in childhood: Longitudinal and concurrent associations with observed and self-reported positive emotionality. *Biological psychology*. 2014
- 60*. Bress JN, Foti D, Kotov R, Klein DN, Hajcak G. Blunted neural response to rewards prospectively predicts depression in adolescent girls. *Psychophysiology*. 2013; 50(1):74–81. Shows that feedback negativity, an ERP component related to rewards, was blunted among those girls who later became clinically depressed. [PubMed: 23252717]
61. Dougherty LR, Dyson MW, Olino TM, Lipton RS, Klein DN, Hayden EP. Effortful control and parenting: Associations with HPA axis reactivity in early childhood. *Developmental science*. 2013; 16(4):531–541. [PubMed: 23786471]
62. Dougherty LR, Smith VC, Olino TM, Dyson MW, Bufferd SJ, Rose SA, Klein DN. Maternal psychopathology and early child temperament predict young children's salivary cortisol 3 years later. *Journal of abnormal child psychology*. 2013; 41(4):531–542. [PubMed: 23192743]
63. Morgan JK, Shaw DS, Forbes EE. Maternal Depression and Warmth During Childhood Predict Age 20 Neural Response to Reward. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2014; 53(1):108–117. [PubMed: 24342390]
- 64*. Casement MD, Guyer AE, Hipwell AE, McAloon RL, Hoffmann AM, Keenan KE, Forbes EE. Girls' challenging social experiences in early adolescence predict neural response to rewards and depressive symptoms. *Developmental cognitive neuroscience*. 2014; 8:18–27. Demonstrates that girls' neural response to rewards depends on earlier experiences and varies by parental characteristics and peer acceptance. [PubMed: 24397999]
65. Kujawa A, Proudfit GH, Lipton R, Klein DN. Early parenting moderates the association between parental depression and neural reactivity to rewards and losses in offspring. *Clinical Psychological Science*. 2014
66. Hankin, BL.; Snyder, HR.; Gulley, LD. Cognitive risks in developmental psychopathology. In: Cicchetti, D., editor. *Developmental Psychopathology*. Wiley; in press
67. Gibb BE, Beevers CG, McGeary JE. Toward an integration of cognitive and genetic models of risk for depression. *Cognition & emotion*. 2013; 27(2):193–216. [PubMed: 22920216]
68. Hankin BL. Future directions in vulnerability to depression among youth: Integrating risks across multiple levels of analysis. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Clinical Psychology*. 2012; 41:695–718.
69. Disner SG, Beevers CG, Haigh EA, Beck AT. Neural mechanisms of the cognitive model of depression. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2011; 12(8):467–477.
70. Ford BQ, Mauss IB, Troy AS, Smolen A, Hankin BL. Emotion regulation protects children from risk associated with the 5-HTT gene and stress. *Emotion*. in press.
71. Gulley L, Oppenheimer CW, Hankin BL. Developmental origins of attention bias to anger among youth: The role of negative parenting and associations with anxiety. *Developmental Psychology*. 2014; 50:577–585. [PubMed: 23815705]
72. Hayden EP, Olino TM, Mackrell SV, Jordan PL, Desjardins J, Katsiroumbas P. Cognitive vulnerability to depression during middle childhood: Stability and associations with maternal affective styles and parental depression. *Personality and Individual Differences*. 2013; 55(8):892–897. [PubMed: 25392596]
73. Hankin BL. Stability of cognitive vulnerabilities to depression: a short-term prospective multiwave study. *Journal of abnormal psychology*. 2008; 117(2):324. [PubMed: 18489208]

74. Hazel NA, Oppenheimer CW, Technow JR, Young JF, Hankin BL. Parent relationship quality buffers against the effect of peer stressors on depressive symptoms from middle childhood to adolescence. *Developmental Psychology*. 2014; 50:2115–2123. [PubMed: 24932722]
75. Stone LB, Hankin BL, Gibb BE, Abela JR. Co-rumination predicts the onset of depressive disorders during adolescence. *Journal of abnormal psychology*. 2011; 120(3):752. [PubMed: 21500877]
76. Waller JM, Silk JS, Stone LB, Dahl RE. Co-Rumination and Co-Problem-Solving in the Daily Lives of Adolescents With Major Depressive Disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2014
- 77*. Mendle J, Ferrero J, Moore SR, Harden K. Depression and adolescent sexual activity in romantic and nonromantic relational contexts: A genetically-informative sibling comparison. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 2013; 122:51–63. Demonstrates the specificity of various developmentally contextually relevant stressful environments as risk to depression by showing that only stress in nonromantic relationships predicted adolescent depression after controlling for relevant confounds. 10.1037/a0029816 [PubMed: 22985011]
- 78*. Whittle S, Yücel M, Forbes EE, Davey CG, Harding IH, Sheeber L, Allen NB, et al. Adolescents' depressive symptoms moderate neural responses to their mothers' positive behavior. *Social cognitive and affective neuroscience*. 2012; 7(1):23–34. Demonstrates multiple levels of interplay between adolescents' neural response and depression as a function of their mothers' positivity. [PubMed: 21917846]
79. Tan PZ, Lee KH, Dahl RE, Nelson EE, Stroud LJ, Siegle GJ, Silk JS, et al. Associations between maternal negative affect and adolescent's neural response to peer evaluation. *Developmental cognitive neuroscience*. 2014; 8:28–39. [PubMed: 24613174]
80. Silk JS, Stroud LR, Siegle GJ, Dahl RE, Lee KH, Nelson EE. Peer acceptance and rejection through the eyes of youth: pupillary, eyetracking and ecological data from the Chatroom Interact task. *Social cognitive and affective neuroscience*. 2012; 7(1):93–105. [PubMed: 21775386]
- 81*. Silk JS, Siegle GJ, Lee KH, Nelson EE, Stroud LR, Dahl RE. Increased neural response to peer rejection associated with adolescent depression and pubertal development. *Social cognitive and affective neuroscience*. 2013 nst175. Shows multiple levels of interplay between adolescents' neural response and depression as a function of peer rejection and changes in puberty.
82. Cummings CM, Caporino NE, Kendall PC. Comorbidity of anxiety and depression in children and adolescents 20 years after. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2013; 140(3):816–845. [PubMed: 24219155]
83. Cohen J, Young JF, Gibb BE, Hankin BL. Why are Anxiety and Depressive Symptoms Comorbid in Youth? A Multi-Wave, Longitudinal Examination of Competing Etiological Models. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2014; 161:21–29. [PubMed: 24751303]

Highlights

1. Summarizes recent developmental epidemiological research in depression from preschoolers, children, and adolescence.
2. Reviews recent risk factor and mechanism research.
3. Emphasizes that risks are instantiated across multiple systems and levels of analysis.
4. Highlights dynamic interplay, developmental cascades, and transactions over time.