

## Review Article

# Can Biomarkers Help to Diagnose Early Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction?

Jaroslav Meluzín<sup>1,2</sup> and Josef Tomandl<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Diseases, St. Anne's Hospital, ICRC, 65691 Brno, Czech Republic

<sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Diseases, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

<sup>3</sup>ICRC, Brno, Czech Republic

<sup>4</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, 62500 Brno, Czech Republic

Correspondence should be addressed to Jaroslav Meluzín; [jaroslav.meluzin@fnusa.cz](mailto:jaroslav.meluzin@fnusa.cz)

Received 9 December 2014; Revised 12 January 2015; Accepted 13 January 2015

Academic Editor: Serge Masson

Copyright © 2015 J. Meluzín and J. Tomandl. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Early heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) is a frequent disease, but its diagnosis is difficult and relies mostly on the evidence of left ventricular filling pressure (LVFP) elevation during exercise. Several reports have suggested that natriuretic peptides plasma levels reflect exercise-induced increase in LVFP, but they still have significant limitations. In this context, any new laboratory biomarker that can accurately reflect LVFP elevation during exercise is desirable. Recently, cardiotrophin-1, soluble endoglin, ST2, growth differentiation factor 15, galectin-3, and other new laboratory markers associated with LVFP have emerged. However, the current data on the relationship of these biomarkers and diastolic dysfunction are limited to resting conditions. Therefore, their secretion deserves to be tested under the exercise to determine their potential role in making a diagnosis of early HFpEF.

## 1. Introduction

Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) is associated with high mortality and morbidity [1, 2]. In addition to the presence of typical symptoms and signs of heart failure as well as the finding of nondilated left ventricle with preserved ejection fraction, the pivotal role in establishing a diagnosis of HFpEF has the evidence of left ventricular filling pressure (LVFP) elevation, indicative of a significant diastolic dysfunction [3, 4]. However, in many patients with exertional dyspnea and/or fatigue due to diastolic dysfunction, LVFP and other parameters quantifying diastolic function can be normal under resting conditions. In such patients, exercise is necessary to reveal a diagnosis of HFpEF. Several authors suggested that isolated only exercise-induced HFpEF (recently called early HFpEF) is a frequent disease [5–8]. Borlaug et al. [8] investigated 55 euvoletic patients with exertional dyspnea, left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) > 50%, normal brain natriuretic peptide, and normal cardiac filling pressures at rest. The exercise catheterization was used to classify patients as having HFpEF or noncardiac dyspnea.

Thirty-two (58%) subjects had exercise-induced pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (PCWP)  $\geq$  25 mmHg, confirming exercise-induced HFpEF. However, the noninvasive evidence of exercise-induced LVFP elevation is difficult. Several studies that tried to predict exercise LVFP elevation using Doppler echocardiography gave contradictory results [9–14]. Under these conditions, postexercise assessment of plasma levels of biomarkers known to increase in association with an increased myocardial wall stress may represent a new and promising tool to diagnose early HFpEF.

The aim of this review was to discuss the role of biomarkers in establishing a diagnosis of early (i.e., exercise-induced) HFpEF and to summarize the data on the relationship of new biomarkers and LVFP.

## 2. Brief Summary about the Role of Natriuretic Peptides in Establishing a Diagnosis of HFpEF

The clinically most important natriuretic peptides are brain natriuretic peptide (BNP), N-terminal proBNP

(NT-proBNP), atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP), and N-terminal proANP (NT-proANP). Natriuretic peptides are synthesized as precursor proteins (preprohormones) that undergo intracellular modification to form prohormones [15]. They are cleaved into amino-terminal segments (N-terminal-proANP or N-terminal-proBNP) and biologically active carboxy-terminal segments (ANP and BNP). Recently, a midregional sequence of proANP (MR-proANP) was successfully clinically utilized [16]. ANP is secreted from atria in normal adult humans and also from the left ventricle in patients with left ventricular (LV) dysfunction [17]. It is released from storage granules in response to atrial stretch [15]. Left atrial pressure seems to be the major stimulus for ANP release during exercise or atrial pacing [18–20]. BNP originates mainly from the left ventricle both in normal adult humans and in patients with LV dysfunction [17, 21] and is synthesized *de novo* in response to ventricular stretch [15]. The main impulse for the natriuretic peptide release is myocardial stretch (increased wall stress). The association with wall stress creates the link between elevation of intracardiac filling pressures and elevation of natriuretic peptide levels [15]. An increase in BNP in response to elevated LVFP is adaptive and acts to promote natriuresis, diuresis, inhibition of sympathetic nervous activity, and arterial vasodilatation [22]. Plasma half-lives of ANP, BNP, NT-proANP, and NT-proBNP are 1–5 min, 22 min, 60 min, and 120 min, respectively [15, 23]. The plasma level of BNP and of ANP at the peripheral vein had a significant positive correlation with PCWP, LV end-diastolic pressure (LVEDP), LV end-systolic volume index, LV end-diastolic volume index, and a significant negative correlation with cardiac index and LVEF, respectively [17]. Apart from the markers of systolic and diastolic function, there are other factors known to influence natriuretic peptide circulating levels, including tachycardia, history of atrial fibrillation, myocardial ischemia, ventricular pressure overload, treatment with diuretics and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, age, gender, body mass index, LV hypertrophy, abnormal lung function, creatinine clearance, and patient position at the time of measurement [15, 24–29]. When interpreting serial changes of natriuretic peptides, one has to take into account a considerable intraindividual variability in both BNP and NT-proBNP [30].

Under resting conditions, many researchers studied plasma levels of natriuretic peptides and their diagnostic role in patients with diastolic dysfunction and suspected or proven HFpEF [31–37]. In such patients, secretion of natriuretic peptides from the left ventricle was found to be increased in proportion to the severity of the LV diastolic dysfunction [31, 33]. BNP and NT-proBNP can be used to detect patients with diastolic dysfunction mainly in those having a pseudonormal and restrictive transmitral filling flow pattern during Doppler echocardiography, that is, in subjects with elevated LVFPs. In patients with an abnormal relaxation filling pattern, that is, in those with normal or only mildly elevated LVFPs, the levels of natriuretic peptides can be normal [31, 36]. The limited utility of natriuretic peptides for the detection of milder systolic and diastolic dysfunction under resting conditions was also found in

2024 randomly selected residents of Olmstead County (MN, USA) [38]. In this study, BNP levels shifted upwards as the severity of diastolic dysfunction increased. To make a diagnosis of HFpEF, the combination of natriuretic peptides with clinical and echocardiographic parameters enhances diagnostic accuracy and appears to be a preferable approach [35, 39].

In summary, the ability of natriuretic peptides to diagnose HFpEF results from their capacity to reflect LVFP elevation caused by a significant diastolic dysfunction. When using natriuretic peptides for making a diagnosis of HFpEF, their plasma levels should not be used in isolation from the clinical context and echocardiography, as natriuretic peptides are influenced by many factors other than diastolic function and may give false positive results. In patients with suspected or proven HFpEF, natriuretic peptides are elevated mainly in subjects with advanced diastolic dysfunction but are frequently normal in mild diastolic dysfunction.

### 3. Novel Biomarkers and Determination of Left Ventricular Filling Pressure, Making a Diagnosis of HFpEF

Recently, increasing interest has been given to new agents and substances with the potential to reflect LVFP elevation and thus to contribute to the diagnosis of HFpEF. In this regard, an important attribute of the majority of these biomarkers is their ability to reflect an increase in myocyte stress/stretch. The most promising agents are cardiotrophin-1 [40–44], soluble endoglin [45], pancreatitis-associated protein [46], ST2 [47–49], growth differentiation factor 15 [50, 51], galectin-3 [52–57], and carbohydrate antigen-125 [58], even if one cannot exclude other agents, in which data on their relation to LVFP and HFpEF are still insufficient or missing.

Cardiotrophin-1 (CT-1) is an interleukin 6 related proinflammatory cytokine with a broad spectrum of biological activities, including cardiovascular ones. The release of CT-1 was found to be stimulated by ventricular stretch [40]. The effects of end-diastolic pressure (EDP) elevation were studied on isolated perfused rat hearts [40]. The left ventricle was stretched for 20 min to achieve an EDP 25–30 mmHg from baseline EDP 5–6 mmHg. Ventricular stretch resulted in a prompt and significant rise in perfusate CT-1 and BNP in both Wistar-Kyoto (WKY) and spontaneously hypertensive (SHR) rat hearts. Other authors demonstrated in rat experiments increased expression levels of CT-1 mRNA and protein at the congestive heart failure stage compared with the LV hypertrophy stage and suggested that CT-1 may play a role in ventricular remodeling during transition from LV hypertrophy to heart failure in the rat hypertensive model [41]. López et al. [42] described an association of plasma CT-1 with the progression of heart failure in hypertensive patients. CT-1 was directly ( $r = 0.416$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) and inversely ( $r = -0.263$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ) correlated with LV mass index and LVEF [42]. In hypertensive patients with heart failure, an excess of myocardial CT-1 protein was found to be associated with LV end-diastolic wall stress and increased collagen type I and type III mRNAs and protein expression

in the fibrotic myocardium [43]. Celik et al. [44] studied 57 patients with diastolic heart failure and 33 controls. CT-1 was significantly higher in patients with diastolic heart failure and significantly correlated with NT-proBNP ( $r = 0.349$ ), with the ratio of early diastolic transmitral flow velocity ( $E$ ) to early diastolic velocity of mitral annular motion ( $e'$ ) ( $r = 0.307$ ), and with the estimated mean PCWP ( $r = 0.308$ ). Taken together, all these reports suggest a relationship between CT-1 plasma level and LVFP and heart failure. However, data on the behaviour of CT-1 during exercise are limited to healthy untrained individuals and athletes [59]. The relationship of exercise-induced CT-1 changes in plasma levels and LVFP changes in patients with LV dysfunction is not known.

Endoglin (CD105) is a transforming growth factor- $\beta$  coreceptor that is released into the circulation as soluble endoglin (sEng). Kapur et al. [45] described a significant correlation of sEng with LVEDP ( $r = 0.689$ ), irrespective of LVEF. Using a receiver-operating characteristic curve, sEng levels predicted LVEDP  $\geq 16$  mmHg with an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.85, exceeding AUC for both atrial (AUC of 0.68) and brain (AUC of 0.65) natriuretic peptide. sEng also decreased in association with a reduced cardiac filling pressure after diuresis.

Pancreatitis-associated protein (PAP) is a cytokine expressed in a wide range of tissues in response to external stress or inflammation. Fitzgibbons et al. [46] showed that PAP levels correlate with the severity of heart failure and are a marker of cardiorenal syndrome, neurohormonal activation, and elevated filling pressures. In addition, PAP is a sensitive and specific marker for increased 6-month heart failure morbidity and 12- and 24-month all-cause mortality.

ST2 is a member of the interleukin 1 receptor family that represents a novel biomarker of mechanical stress measurable in serum [47]. It is linked to cardiac hypertrophy, fibrosis, and ventricular dysfunction. Elevated serum levels of the soluble isoform of ST2 (sST2) are associated with an increased risk of mortality. Bartunek et al. [48] described a significant correlation between serum level of ST2 and LVEDP and B-type natriuretic peptide level. Wang et al. [49] evaluated 107 hypertensive patients with LVEF  $> 50\%$ . Among them, 68 suffered from HFpEF. AUC for sST2 was 0.80 as compared to 0.70 for NT-proBNP to detect HFpEF. The sST2 concentration was significantly lower in patients with  $E/e' < 8$  compared with those with  $E/e' 8-15$  or  $E/e' > 15$ . Multivariate analysis demonstrated that sST2  $> 13.5$  ng/mL was independently associated with HFpEF. However, Santhanakrishnan et al. [50] did not find a significant difference in ST2 levels between HFpEF patients and controls after adjustment for age, sex, and other clinical covariates.

Increased concentrations of growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF-15) and high-sensitivity troponin T (hsTnT) were found in patients with both HFpEF and heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) as compared to community-based controls [50]. Interestingly, even if regarded as markers of inflammation (GDF-15) and myocyte necrosis (hsTnT), both GDF-15 ( $r = 0.406$ ) and hsTnT ( $r = 0.424$ ) significantly correlated with  $E/e'$  ratio, a noninvasive surrogate of LVFP. Similarly, both of these biomarkers significantly correlated with wall stress. GDF-15 distinguished

HFpEF from controls with an AUC of 0.936. A GDF-15 cut-off value of 879 pg/mL provided 92% sensitivity and 84% specificity. Similar findings concerning GDF-15 were found in a comparison of 142 patients with HFpEF, 86 patients with HFrEF, and 188 healthy elderly controls [51]. As compared to controls, GDF-15 plasma levels were elevated in both HFpEF and HFrEF patients. In HFpEF patients, GDF-15 was associated with the  $E/e'$  ratio. GDF-15 was at least as good as NT-proBNP for the detection of HFpEF and the combination of both markers was better than NT-proBNP alone.

A novel biomarker in relation to cardiac fibrosis and remodeling that is currently intensively studied is galectin-3 [52]. Its plasma and/or serum levels are increased in acute and chronic heart failure and are linked with worse prognosis [53–56]. In a study of 115 patients with acute dyspnea with and without acutely decompensated heart failure, higher levels of galectin-3 were significantly but weakly correlated with Doppler indices of higher filling pressure ( $E/e'$ ,  $r = 0.345$ ) and more extensive diastolic relaxation abnormalities ( $e'$ ,  $r = -0.246$ ) [56]. However, in patients recovering from an acute myocardial infarction with preserved LVEF, plasma levels of galectin-3 did not differ between patients with and without diastolic dysfunction and were not related to PCWP [57]. Table 1 demonstrates correlations of new biomarkers with surrogates of LVFP.

Recently, carbohydrate antigen-125 (CA-125) was found to be helpful in the establishment of diagnosis of HFpEF. Even if the relationship of CA-125 to LV filling pressures was not studied, CA-125 was found to significantly correlate with the maximum left atrial volume, improved diagnostics of HFpEF, and predicted hospitalizations for heart failure [58].

Diagnosis of HFpEF has also been shown to be associated with the elevation of some inflammatory markers such as interleukin 6, interleukin 8, and monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 [63]. However, their problem is a lack of diagnostic specificity. There are several other emerging biomarkers that are or could be associated with a diagnosis and/or prognosis of heart failure, which are discussed in detail elsewhere [64].

In summary, serum or plasma levels of various new biomarkers correlate with the diastolic load. However, limited or no data are available on the reaction of these biomarkers to exercise and on the capacity to utilize such a biomarker reaction to diagnose early HFpEF.

#### 4. Response of Natriuretic Peptides to Exercise

In view of a relatively high frequency of HFpEF confined only to exercise, the identification of biomarkers with the ability to promptly react to exercise-induced LVFP elevation is desirable. Because of a relatively low diagnostic specificity of currently used biomarkers, the optimal agent would have the capacity to increase immediately following exercise-induced elevation of LVFP and return to normal baseline value after cessation of exercise and normalization of LVFP. The time coincidence of biomarker and LVFP elevation induced by exercise would provide very powerful evidence that biomarker elevation really reflects the exercise-induced HFpEF. To date, limited data are available on the course of

TABLE 1: Correlations of new biomarkers with surrogates of left ventricular filling pressure.

Reference	<i>n</i>	Biomarker	Surrogates of LVFP		<i>P</i>
			<i>E/e'</i>	PCWP LVEDP	
Celik et al. [44]	57	CT-1	0.307*		0.003
Kapur et al. [45]	82	sEng		0.689*	<0.0001
Bartunek et al. [48]	121	ST2		0.37*	<0.01
Santhanakrishnan et al. [50]	151	ST2	0.256*		0.002
		GDF-15	0.406*		<0.001
		hsTnT	0.424*		<0.001
Shah et al. [56]	115	Galectin-3	0.35*		0.01
Andersen et al. [57]	74	Galectin-3		NNI	NS

CT-1: cardiotrophin-1; *E*: early diastolic transmitral flow velocity; *e'*: early diastolic velocity of mitral annular motion; GDF-15: growth differentiation factor 15; hsTnT: high-sensitivity troponin T; LVEDP: left ventricular end-diastolic pressure; LVFP: left ventricular filling pressure; PCWP: pulmonary capillary wedge pressure; sEng: soluble endoglin; NNI: number not included in the paper; NS: not significant; \* correlation coefficients are included.

biomarker blood level changes induced by exercise in patients with HFpEF. The majority of reports, published thus far, studied exercise-induced plasma concentrations of BNP and ANP and focused mainly on healthy subjects [60, 65–70], patients with essential hypertension [61, 70, 71] or various cardiac diseases [72], and on subjects with systolic heart failure [21, 73, 74]. Limited data are available concerning the response of natriuretic peptides to exercise in patients with HFpEF.

**4.1. Response of Natriuretic Peptides to Exercise in Healthy Volunteers.** Baker et al. [65] studied plasma levels of N-terminus and C-terminus of the atrial natriuretic propeptide (NT-proANP and ANP) in 12 healthy volunteers before exercise, during exercise at workloads of 25, 50, 75, 100, 125, 150, and 175 W, and at 10, 30, 60, 120, and 240 min after exercise. Both peptides NT-proANP and ANP increased linearly with graded exercise peaking at 10 min after exercise. In contrast to ANP, NT-proANP remained significantly elevated at 30 and 60 min after exercise as compared to preexercise values. Subjects who were able to achieve a larger workload and a higher  $VO_2$ max had in general the ability to increase their circulating concentrations of both peptides NT-proANP and ANP to a greater extent. Circulating concentrations of both peptides had strong positive correlations with systolic and diastolic pressure, heart rate,  $VO_2$ max, and respiratory quotient. The significant correlation of the increase in the plasma concentration of ANP during exercise with the increase in heart rate and systolic blood pressure was confirmed by Saito et al. [66], who also found the association between an increase in plasma ANP concentration and the intensity of a workload. Follenius and Brandenberger [67] studied plasma levels of ANP in 6 normal male subjects. ANP increased rapidly and significantly after 5–10 min of exercise reaching peak levels at the end of the 30 min exercise. During the recovery phase, ANP decreased immediately and had reached control levels within 30 min. Similar findings were found by Somers et al. [68] and Petzl et al. [69]. However, the exercise-induced plasma ANP elevation reached a lesser level as compared to patients with cardiac disorders [69]. Concerning the effect of exercise on circulating BNP concentration, Huang et al.

[60] analyzed 138 blood samples from 23 healthy men aged 23 to 27 years. Authors noticed a transient increase in plasma BNP from  $3.38 \pm 0.50$  to  $8.21 \pm 2.02$  pg/mL immediately after exercise. BNP concentration returned to normal levels within 1 h after exercise.

Taken together, exercise results in elevation of natriuretic peptide plasma levels in healthy subjects. The magnitude of this elevation is related to the intensity of exercise. Several factors have been implicated as potential stimuli for the increase of natriuretic peptides plasma levels during exercise. At present, it is unclear whether they act directly on natriuretic peptide elevation or their effects are mediated through the elevation of LVFP during exercise. However, the exercise-induced rise of natriuretic peptides is less than that of patients with cardiac disorders.

**4.2. Response of Natriuretic Peptides to Exercise in Patients with Various Cardiac Diseases.** Steele et al. [73] investigated circulating plasma levels of ANP and BNP in 10 patients with stable chronic heart failure with LVEF  $\leq 40\%$  and in 10 normal control subjects. Levels of ANP and BNP were higher at both rest and peak exercises in patients with heart failure. The rise in ANP at peak exercise was significant in patients compared with the resting level, but not in controls. For BNP, there was a significant rise in patients but no change in control subjects. The circulating plasma levels of both peptides showed a strong negative correlation with LVEF. Matsumoto et al. [21] showed in 7 patients with congestive heart failure due to dilated cardiomyopathy that a symptom-limited exercise test resulted in a significant increase in plasma levels of both ANP and BNP. Keller et al. [18] investigated 33 patients with congestive heart failure using right-sided heart catheterization during supine graded bicycle exercise. Plasma ANP concentrations were elevated at rest and rose considerably during exercise. Of the functional and hemodynamic variables, including right atrial pressure, pulmonary arterial pressure, PCWP, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, plasma ANP correlated most strongly with PCWP both at rest and during exercise. Wijbenga et al. [74] analyzed plasma concentrations of natriuretic peptides at rest and at peak exercise in 52 patients with chronic systolic

TABLE 2: Responses of BNP levels to exercise in healthy volunteers, patients with hypertension, and in subjects with hypertension and suspected or proven HFpEF.

Reference	n	Diagnosis	Biomarker	Plasma levels		P
				Rest	Exercise	
Huang et al. [60]	23	Healthy cont.	BNP (pg/mL)	3.38 ± 0.50*	8.21 ± 2.02*	<0.01
Kohno et al. [61]	6	Hypertension	BNP (pg/mL)**	14.8 ± 4.1**	40.9 ± 6.5**	<0.01
Mottram et al. [62]	26	Hypertension + HFpEF sp	BNP (pg/mL)	48 ± 57	74 ± 97 123 ± 124***	<0.001

Results are presented as mean ± SD unless otherwise stated. Cont.: controls; sp: suspected or proven; \*mean ± SEM; \*\*pulmonary arterial BNP levels; \*\*\*in a subgroup of patients with elevated left ventricular filling pressure.

heart failure. They found clinically significant differences in response to exercise between individual peptides. The percentage exercise-induced increases in ANP, BNP, NT-proBNP, and NT-proANP were 59 ± 58%, 38 ± 52%, 24 ± 24%, and 5 ± 18%, respectively. In 12 patients with old myocardial infarction, Matsubara et al. [19] described a significant correlation ( $r = 0.7$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ) of exercise-induced changes of ANP levels ( $\Delta$ ANP) and exercise-induced changes of PCWP. No significant correlations existed between  $\Delta$ ANP and exercise-induced changes of heart rate, mean blood pressure, pulmonary artery diastolic pressure, cardiac index, and plasma norepinephrine or epinephrine levels, respectively. These findings suggest that ANP secretion is primarily stimulated by the increased atrial pressure.

Kohno et al. [61] studied 6 patients with essential hypertension. They underwent right heart catheterization with graded exercise on a supine bicycle ergometer. The mean pulmonary arterial BNP concentration at rest was 14.8 ± 4.1 pg/mL and increased gradually during exercise, reaching 40.9 ± 6.5 pg/mL at the maximum exercise stage. Close correlations of pulmonary arterial pressure ( $r = 0.83$ ) and pulmonary arterial wedge pressure ( $r = 0.82$ ) with pulmonary arterial BNP concentration were observed. These data suggest that the wall stress caused by exercise stimulates secretion of BNP from ventricles in hypertensive patients. Nishikimi et al. [70] investigated the effect of exercise on plasma concentrations of adrenomedullin, BNP, and ANP in 10 normotensive subjects and in 15 patients with essential hypertension. Plasma levels of all three peptides at rest were significantly higher in hypertensive than in control patients. Plasma concentrations of ANP increased with exercise in both groups and had greater increments in hypertensive patients. Plasma concentrations of BNP increased with exercise only in patients with hypertension. Plasma adrenomedullin did not change with exercise in either group. Tanaka et al. [71] described the exercise-induced increase in BNP as well as ANP, accompanied by an increase in blood pressure, heart rate, and plasma norepinephrine or epinephrine in both normal subjects and hypertensive patients. Univariate and multivariate regression analyses demonstrated that in the normal subjects the exercise-induced release of BNP and ANP was mediated by plasma epinephrine or norepinephrine, respectively, whereas heart rate mediated release of BNP and ANP in the hypertensive patients.

In summary, in patients with cardiac diseases, the exercise-induced increases in natriuretic peptides exceed those found in healthy controls. There exists a significant correlation between natriuretic peptide levels and LVFP during exercise. There are significant differences in response to exercise between individual natriuretic peptides.

*4.3. Response of Natriuretic Peptides to Exercise in Patients with Suspected or Proven HFpEF.* Mottram et al. [62] analyzed results of 26 hypertensive patients with suspected diastolic heart failure (exertional dyspnea, diastolic dysfunction, and LVEF > 50%) at rest and during exercise. Peak exercise BNP was higher in subjects with elevated LVFPs at peak exercise ( $E/e' > 10$ ) compared to those with normal filling pressures (123 ± 124 versus 45 ± 71 pg/mL,  $P = 0.027$ ). Table 2 demonstrates reactions of BNP levels to exercise in healthy controls, in patients with hypertension, and in subjects with hypertension and suspected or proven HFpEF. Even if a direct comparison among the studies presented cannot be made, there appears a clear trend towards the elevation of exercise BNP levels with progressive worsening of diastolic function. Tschöpe et al. [75] studied 15 controls and 15 patients with HFpEF. In subjects with normal resting PCWP (<12 mmHg) and LVEDP (<16 mmHg), there was only a weak correlation of log NT-proBNP with PCWP at rest ( $r = 0.37$ ,  $P = 0.051$ ) and LVEDP at rest ( $r = 0.39$ ,  $P = 0.044$ ). However, log NT-proBNP was strongly associated with PCWP at exercise ( $r = 0.78$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) when PCWP was elevated in patients with HFpEF in the range of 20–40 mmHg. The same authors [33] demonstrated in a larger population of 68 patients with HFpEF that NT-proBNP levels obtained at rest may correlate better with LVFPs measured at peak exercise than with those measured at rest. Similar results were described by Fukuta and Little [76] in 80 patients with an impaired relaxation pattern of Doppler LV filling. While there was no significant correlation between the resting  $E/e'$  ratio and BNP ( $r = 0.18$ ), the  $E/e'$  obtained immediately after exercise correlated significantly with BNP ( $r = 0.56$ ). Recently, Andersen et al. [57] found in patients recovering from an acute myocardial infarction with preserved LVEF a weak but significant correlation between MR-proANP and PCWP at rest ( $r = 0.33$ ,  $P = 0.002$ ) and at peak exercise ( $r = 0.35$ ,  $P = 0.002$ ). MR-proANP was collected at rest before the exercise.

Taken together, results of the above presented studies suggest that increasing LVFP during exercise is an important

trigger for natriuretic peptide secretion. In patients with suspected or proven HFpEF, natriuretic peptide plasma levels may be helpful in the identification of an exercise-induced increase in LV filling pressures.

## 5. Conclusion

The diagnosis of early HFpEF relies most frequently on the evidence of LVFP elevation during exercise. However, noninvasive echocardiographic detection of exercise-induced LVFP elevation is difficult and the results are controversial. In this context, a serologic parameter that reflects LVFP elevation during exercise would be clinically very useful. Numerous reports suggest that natriuretic peptides have the potential to improve the establishment of diagnosis of early HFpEF but still have limitations. Recently, CT-1, sEng, GDF-15, ST2, galectin-3, and other new cardiac markers associated with LVFP have emerged. However, the current data on the relationship of these biomarkers and diastolic dysfunction are limited to resting conditions. Therefore, their secretion deserves to be tested under exercise to determine their potential role in the diagnosis of early HFpEF.

## Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

## Authors' Contribution

Jaroslav Meluzín contributed to the acquisition of previous literature on the topic discussed; the preparation, drafting, writing, and revising the paper; and the approval of the final version. Josef Tomandl contributed to the preparation, drafting, writing, and revising the paper and the approval of the final version.

## Acknowledgment

The study was supported in part by the European Regional Development Fund, Project FNUSA-ICRC (no. CZ.1.05/1.1.00/02.0123).

## References

- [1] R. S. Bhatia, J. V. Tu, D. S. Lee et al., "Outcome of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction in a population-based study," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 355, no. 3, pp. 260–269, 2006.
- [2] T. E. Owan, D. O. Hodge, R. M. Herges, S. J. Jacobsen, V. L. Roger, and M. M. Redfield, "Trends in prevalence and outcome of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 355, no. 3, pp. 251–259, 2006.
- [3] W. J. Paulus, C. Tschöpe, J. E. Sanderson et al., "How to diagnose diastolic heart failure: a consensus statement on the diagnosis of heart failure with normal left ventricular ejection fraction by the Heart Failure and Echocardiography Associations of the European Society of Cardiology," *European Heart Journal*, vol. 28, no. 20, pp. 2539–2550, 2007.
- [4] J. J. V. McMurray, S. Adamopoulos, S. D. Anker et al., "ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure," *European Heart Journal*, vol. 33, pp. 1787–1847, 2012.
- [5] D. J. Holland, S. B. Prasad, and T. H. Marwick, "Contribution of exercise echocardiography to the diagnosis of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF)," *Heart*, vol. 96, no. 13, pp. 1024–1028, 2010.
- [6] J. Meluzín, J. Sitar, J. Křístek et al., "The role of exercise echocardiography in the diagnostics of heart failure with normal left ventricular ejection fraction," *European Journal of Echocardiography*, vol. 12, no. 8, pp. 591–602, 2011.
- [7] J. Meluzin, P. Hude, P. Leinveber et al., "High prevalence of exercise-induced heart failure with normal ejection fraction in post-heart transplant patients," *Biomedical Papers*, vol. 158, no. 2, pp. 295–302, 2014.
- [8] B. A. Borlaug, R. A. Nishimura, P. Sorajja, C. S. P. Lam, and M. M. Redfield, "Exercise hemodynamics enhance diagnosis of early heart failure with preserved ejection fraction," *Circulation: Heart Failure*, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 588–595, 2010.
- [9] M. I. Burgess, C. Jenkins, J. E. Sharman, and T. H. Marwick, "Diastolic stress echocardiography: hemodynamic validation and clinical significance of estimation of left ventricular filling pressure with exercise," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 47, no. 9, pp. 1891–1900, 2006.
- [10] D. R. Talreja, R. A. Nishimura, and J. K. Oh, "Estimation of left ventricular filling pressure with exercise by Doppler echocardiography in patients with normal systolic function: a simultaneous echocardiographic-cardiac catheterization study," *Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 477–479, 2007.
- [11] M. Dalsgaard, J. Kjaergaard, R. Pecini et al., "Left ventricular filling pressure estimation at rest and during exercise in patients with severe aortic valve stenosis: comparison of echocardiographic and invasive measurements," *Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 343–349, 2009.
- [12] M. T. Maeder, B. R. Thompson, H.-P. Brunner-La Rocca, and D. M. Kaye, "Hemodynamic basis of exercise limitation in patients with heart failure and normal ejection fraction," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 56, no. 11, pp. 855–863, 2010.
- [13] J. Meluzin, P. Hude, J. Krejci et al., "Noninvasive prediction of the exercise-induced elevation in left ventricular filling pressure in post-heart transplant patients with normal left ventricular ejection fraction," *Experimental & Clinical Cardiology*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 63–72, 2013.
- [14] M. J. Andersen, M. Ersbøll, F. Gustafsson et al., "Exercise-induced changes in left ventricular filling pressure after myocardial infarction assessed with simultaneous right heart catheterization and Doppler echocardiography," *International Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 168, no. 3, pp. 2803–2810, 2013.
- [15] M. Bansal and T. H. Marwick, "Natriuretic peptides and filling pressure at rest and stress," *Heart Failure Clinics*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 71–86, 2008.
- [16] M. Potocki, T. Breidhardt, T. Reichlin et al., "Comparison of midregional pro-atrial natriuretic peptide with N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide in the diagnosis of heart failure," *Journal of Internal Medicine*, vol. 267, no. 1, pp. 119–129, 2010.
- [17] H. Yasue, M. Yoshimura, H. Sumida et al., "Localization and mechanism of secretion of B-type natriuretic peptide in comparison with those of A-type natriuretic peptide in normal

- subjects and patients with heart failure,” *Circulation*, vol. 90, no. 1, pp. 195–203, 1994.
- [18] N. Keller, J. Larsen, R. Sykulski, T. Storm, and G. Thamsborg, “Atrial natriuretic factor during exercise in patients with congestive heart failure,” *Acta Endocrinologica*, vol. 118, no. 2, pp. 168–172, 1988.
- [19] H. Matsubara, M. Nishikawa, Y. Umeda et al., “The role of atrial pressure in secreting atrial natriuretic polypeptides,” *The American Heart Journal*, vol. 113, no. 6, pp. 1457–1463, 1987.
- [20] K. P. Walsh, T. D. M. Williams, C. Spiteri, E. Pitts, S. L. Lightman, and R. Sutton, “Role of atrial pressure and rate in release of atrial natriuretic peptide,” *The American Journal of Physiology—Regulatory Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, vol. 254, pp. R607–R610, 1988.
- [21] A. Matsumoto, Y. Hirata, S.-I. Momomura et al., “Effects of exercise on plasma level of brain natriuretic peptide in congestive heart failure with and without left ventricular dysfunction,” *American Heart Journal*, vol. 129, no. 1, pp. 139–145, 1995.
- [22] K. F. Adams Jr., V. S. Mathur, and M. Gheorghide, “B-Type natriuretic peptide: from bench to bedside,” *The American Heart Journal*, vol. 145, no. 2, pp. S34–S46, 2003.
- [23] N. Ikeda, T. Yasu, T. Nishikimi et al., “N-terminal pro-atrial natriuretic peptide and exercise prescription in patients with myocardial infarction,” *Regulatory Peptides*, vol. 141, no. 1–3, pp. 154–158, 2007.
- [24] G. N. Onuoha, D. P. Nicholls, A. Patterson, and T. Beringer, “Neuropeptide secretion in exercise,” *Neuropeptides*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 319–325, 1998.
- [25] W. P. Abhayaratna, T. H. Marwick, N. G. Becker, I. M. Jeffery, D. A. McGill, and W. T. Smith, “Population-based detection of systolic and diastolic dysfunction with amino-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide,” *American Heart Journal*, vol. 152, no. 5, pp. 941–948, 2006.
- [26] M. G. Fradley, M. G. Larson, S. Cheng et al., “Reference limits for N-terminal-pro-B-type natriuretic peptide in healthy individuals (from the Framingham Heart Study),” *The American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 108, no. 9, pp. 1341–1345, 2011.
- [27] W. Qi, P. Mathisen, J. Kjekshus et al., “Natriuretic peptides in patients with aortic stenosis,” *American Heart Journal*, vol. 142, no. 4, pp. 725–732, 2001.
- [28] R. K. Rogers, G. J. Stoddard, T. Greene et al., “Usefulness of adjusting for clinical covariates to improve the ability of B-type natriuretic peptide to distinguish cardiac from noncardiac dyspnea,” *The American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 104, no. 5, pp. 689–694, 2009.
- [29] G. I. W. Galasko, A. Lahiri, S. C. Barnes, P. Collinson, and R. Senior, “What is the normal range for N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide? How well does this normal range screen for cardiovascular disease?” *European Heart Journal*, vol. 26, no. 21, pp. 2269–2276, 2005.
- [30] R. O’Hanlon, P. O’Shea, M. Ledwidge et al., “The biologic variability of B-type natriuretic peptide and N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide in stable heart failure patients,” *Journal of Cardiac Failure*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 50–55, 2007.
- [31] P. M. Mottram, R. Leano, and T. H. Marwick, “Usefulness of B-type natriuretic peptide in hypertensive patients with exertional dyspnea and normal left ventricular ejection fraction and correlation with new echocardiographic indexes of systolic and diastolic function,” *The American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 92, no. 12, pp. 1434–1438, 2003.
- [32] E. Lubien, A. DeMaria, P. Krishnaswamy et al., “Utility of B-natriuretic peptide in detecting diastolic dysfunction: comparison with Doppler velocity recordings,” *Circulation*, vol. 105, no. 5, pp. 595–601, 2002.
- [33] C. Tschöpe, M. Kašner, D. Westermann, R. Gaub, W. C. Poller, and H.-P. Schultheiss, “The role of NT-proBNP in the diagnostics of isolated diastolic dysfunction: correlation with echocardiographic and invasive measurements,” *European Heart Journal*, vol. 26, no. 21, pp. 2277–2284, 2005.
- [34] S. Watanabe, J. Shite, H. Takaoka et al., “Myocardial stiffness is an important determinant of the plasma brain natriuretic peptide concentration in patients with both diastolic and systolic heart failure,” *European Heart Journal*, vol. 27, no. 7, pp. 832–838, 2006.
- [35] M. Kasner, R. Gaub, D. Westermann et al., “Simultaneous estimation of NT-proBNP on top to mitral flow Doppler echocardiography as an accurate strategy to diagnose diastolic dysfunction in HFNEF,” *International Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 149, no. 1, pp. 23–29, 2011.
- [36] U. Dahlström, “Can natriuretic peptides be used for the diagnosis of diastolic heart failure?” *European Journal of Heart Failure*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 281–287, 2004.
- [37] B. Joung, J.-W. Ha, Y. G. Ko et al., “Can pro-brain natriuretic peptide be used as a noninvasive predictor of elevated left ventricular diastolic pressures in patients with normal systolic function?” *American Heart Journal*, vol. 150, no. 6, pp. 1213–1219, 2005.
- [38] M. M. Redfield, R. J. Rodeheffer, S. J. Jacobsen, D. W. Mahoney, K. R. Bailey, and J. C. Burnett Jr., “Plasma brain natriuretic peptide to detect preclinical ventricular systolic or diastolic dysfunction: a community-based study,” *Circulation*, vol. 109, no. 25, pp. 3176–3181, 2004.
- [39] P. A. McCullough, R. M. Nowak, J. McCord et al., “B-type natriuretic peptide and clinical judgment in emergency diagnosis of heart failure: analysis from Breathing Not Properly (BNP) Multinational Study,” *Circulation*, vol. 106, no. 4, pp. 416–422, 2002.
- [40] C. J. Pemberton, S. D. Raudsepp, T. G. Yandle, V. A. Cameron, and A. M. Richards, “Plasma cardiotrophin-1 is elevated in human hypertension and stimulated by ventricular stretch,” *Cardiovascular Research*, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 109–117, 2005.
- [41] Y. Takimoto, T. Aoyama, Y. Iwanaga et al., “Increased expression of cardiotrophin-1 during ventricular remodeling in hypertensive rats,” *The American Journal of Physiology—Heart and Circulatory Physiology*, vol. 282, no. 3, pp. H896–H901, 2002.
- [42] B. López, A. González, R. Querejeta, J. Barba, and J. Díez, “Association of plasma cardiotrophin-1 with stage C heart failure in hypertensive patients: potential diagnostic implications,” *Journal of Hypertension*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 418–424, 2009.
- [43] B. López, A. González, R. Querejeta, M. Larman, G. Rábago, and J. Díez, “Association of cardiotrophin-1 with myocardial fibrosis in hypertensive patients with heart failure,” *Hypertension*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 483–489, 2014.
- [44] A. Celik, S. Sahin, F. Koc et al., “Cardiotrophin-1 plasma levels are increased in patients with diastolic heart failure,” *Medical Science Monitor*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. CR25–CR31, 2012.
- [45] N. K. Kapur, K. S. Heffernan, A. A. Yunis et al., “Usefulness of soluble endoglin as a noninvasive measure of left ventricular filling pressure in heart failure,” *The American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 106, no. 12, pp. 1770–1776, 2010.

- [46] T. P. Fitzgibbons, J. Paolino, J. C. Dagorn, and T. E. Meyer, "Usefulness of pancreatitis-associated protein, a novel biomarker, to predict severity of disease in ambulatory patients with heart failure," *The American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 113, no. 1, pp. 123–126, 2014.
- [47] R. V. Shah and J. L. Januzzi Jr., "ST2: a novel remodeling biomarker in acute and chronic heart failure," *Current Heart Failure Reports*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 9–14, 2010.
- [48] J. Bartunek, L. Delrue, F. van Durme et al., "Nonmyocardial production of ST2 protein in human hypertrophy and failure is related to diastolic load," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 52, no. 25, pp. 2166–2174, 2008.
- [49] Y.-Ch. Wang, C.-Ch. Yu, F.-Ch. Chiu et al., "Soluble ST2 as a biomarker for detecting stable heart failure with a normal ejection fraction in hypertensive patients," *Journal of Cardiac Failure*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 163–168, 2013.
- [50] R. Santhanakrishnan, J. P. C. Chong, T. P. Ng et al., "Growth differentiation factor 15, ST2, high-sensitivity troponin T, and N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide in heart failure with preserved vs. reduced ejection fraction," *European Journal of Heart Failure*, vol. 14, no. 12, pp. 1338–1347, 2012.
- [51] R. Stahrenberg, F. Edelmann, M. Mende et al., "The novel biomarker growth differentiation factor 15 in heart failure with normal ejection fraction," *European Journal of Heart Failure*, vol. 12, no. 12, pp. 1309–1316, 2010.
- [52] R. A. De Boer, L. Yu, and D. J. Van Veldhuisen, "Galectin-3 in cardiac remodeling and heart failure," *Current Heart Failure Reports*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2010.
- [53] F. J. Carrasco-Sánchez, O. Aramburu-Bodas, P. Salamanca-Bautista et al., "Predictive value of serum galectin-3 levels in patients with acute heart failure with preserved ejection fraction," *International Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 169, no. 3, pp. 177–182, 2013.
- [54] R. A. de Boer, D. J. A. Lok, T. Jaarsma et al., "Predictive value of plasma galectin-3 levels in heart failure with reduced and preserved ejection fraction," *Annals of Medicine*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 60–68, 2011.
- [55] J. E. Ho, C. Liu, A. Lyass et al., "Galectin-3, a marker of cardiac fibrosis, predicts incident heart failure in the community," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 60, no. 14, pp. 1249–1256, 2012.
- [56] R. V. Shah, A. A. Chen-Tournoux, M. H. Picard, R. R. J. van Kimmenade, and J. L. Januzzi, "Galectin-3, cardiac structure and function, and long-term mortality in patients with acutely decompensated heart failure," *European Journal of Heart Failure*, vol. 12, no. 8, pp. 826–832, 2010.
- [57] M. J. Andersen, M. Ersbøll, J. Bro-Jeppesen et al., "Relationships between biomarkers and left ventricular filling pressures at rest and during exercise in patients after myocardial infarction," *Journal of Cardiac Failure*, vol. 20, no. 12, pp. 959–967, 2014.
- [58] C.-L. Hung, T.-C. Hung, C.-C. Liu et al., "Relation of carbohydrate antigen-125 to left atrial remodeling and its prognostic usefulness in patients with heart failure and preserved left ventricular ejection fraction in women," *The American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 110, no. 7, pp. 993–1000, 2012.
- [59] G. Limongelli, P. Calabrò, V. Maddaloni et al., "Cardiotrophin-1 and TNF- $\alpha$  circulating levels at rest and during cardiopulmonary exercise test in athletes and healthy individuals," *Cytokine*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 245–247, 2010.
- [60] W.-S. Huang, M.-S. Lee, H.-W. Perng, S.-P. Yang, S.-W. Kuo, and H.-D. Chang, "Circulating brain natriuretic peptide values in healthy men before and after exercise," *Metabolism: Clinical and Experimental*, vol. 51, no. 11, pp. 1423–1426, 2002.
- [61] M. Kohno, T. Horio, K. Yokokawa, K. Akioka, M. Ikeda, and T. Takeda, "Pulmonary arterial brain natriuretic peptide concentration and cardiopulmonary hemodynamics during exercise in patients with essential hypertension," *Metabolism: Clinical and Experimental*, vol. 41, no. 12, pp. 1273–1275, 1992.
- [62] P. M. Mottram, B. A. Haluska, and T. H. Marwick, "Response of B-type natriuretic peptide to exercise in hypertensive patients with suspected diastolic heart failure: correlation with cardiac function, hemodynamics, and workload," *The American Heart Journal*, vol. 148, no. 2, pp. 365–370, 2004.
- [63] P. Collier, C. J. Watson, V. Voon et al., "Can emerging biomarkers of myocardial remodelling identify asymptomatic hypertensive patients at risk for diastolic dysfunction and diastolic heart failure?" *European Journal of Heart Failure*, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 1087–1095, 2011.
- [64] R. R. J. van Kimmenade and J. L. Januzzi Jr., "Emerging biomarkers in heart failure," *Clinical Chemistry*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 127–138, 2012.
- [65] B. J. Baker, W. C. L. Wu, C. J. Winters et al., "Exercise increases the circulating concentration of the N-terminus of the atrial natriuretic factor prohormone in normal individuals," *The American Heart Journal*, vol. 122, no. 5, pp. 1395–1402, 1991.
- [66] Y. Saito, K. Nakao, A. Sugawara et al., "Atrial natriuretic polypeptide during exercise in healthy man," *Acta Endocrinologica*, vol. 116, no. 1, pp. 59–65, 1987.
- [67] M. Follenius and G. Brandenberger, "Increase in atrial natriuretic peptide in response to physical exercise," *European Journal of Applied Physiology and Occupational Physiology*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 159–162, 1988.
- [68] V. K. Somers, J. V. Anderson, J. Conway, P. Sleight, and S. R. Bloom, "Atrial natriuretic peptide is released by dynamic exercise in man," *Hormone and Metabolic Research*, vol. 18, no. 12, pp. 871–872, 1986.
- [69] D. H. Petzl, E. Hartter, W. Osterode, H. Böhm, and W. Woloszczuk, "Atrial natriuretic peptide release due to physical exercise in healthy persons and in cardiac patients," *Klinische Wochenschrift*, vol. 65, no. 4, pp. 194–196, 1987.
- [70] T. Nishikimi, A. Morimoto, K. Ishikawa et al., "Different secretion patterns of adrenomedullin, brain natriuretic peptide, and atrial natriuretic peptide during exercise in hypertensive and normotensive subjects," *Clinical and Experimental Hypertension*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 503–518, 1997.
- [71] M. Tanaka, Y. Ishizaka, Y. Ishiyama et al., "Exercise-induced secretion of brain natriuretic peptide in essential hypertension and normal subjects," *Hypertension Research—Clinical and Experimental*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 159–166, 1995.
- [72] A. E. G. Raine, P. Erne, E. Bürgisser et al., "Atrial natriuretic peptide and atrial pressure in patients with congestive heart failure," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 315, no. 9, pp. 533–537, 1986.
- [73] I. C. Steele, G. McDowell, A. Moore et al., "Responses of atrial natriuretic peptide and brain natriuretic peptide to exercise in patients with chronic heart failure and normal control subjects," *European Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 270–276, 1997.
- [74] J. A. M. Wijnbenga, A. H. M. M. Balk, F. Boomsma, A. J. Man, and C. Hall, "Cardiac peptides differ in their response to exercise. Implications for patients with heart failure in clinical practice," *European Heart Journal*, vol. 20, no. 19, pp. 1424–1428, 1999.



- [75] C. Tschöpe, M. Kasner, D. Westermann et al., "Elevated NT-ProBNP levels in patients with increased left ventricular filling pressure during exercise despite preserved systolic function," *Journal of Cardiac Failure*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. S28–S33, 2005.
- [76] H. Fukuta and W. C. Little, "Elevated left ventricular filling pressure after maximal exercise predicts increased plasma B-type natriuretic peptide levels in patients with impaired relaxation pattern of diastolic filling," *Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography*, vol. 20, no. 7, pp. 832–837, 2007.