

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Future Med Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 February 24.

Published in final edited form as: *Future Med Chem*. 2014 ; 6(17): 1909–1926. doi:10.4155/fmc.14.120.

Targeting SH2 domains in breast cancer

Pietro Morlacchi1, **Fredika M Robertson**2,3, **Jim Klostergaard**4, and **John S McMurray***,2 ¹Department of Genomic Medicine, The University of Texas MD, Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Blvd, Houston, TX 77030, USA

²Department of Experimental Therapeutics, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Blvd, Houston, TX 77030, USA

³Clinical Research Services/Center for Clinical & Translational Research, 1300 East Marshall Street, 8-045, PO Box 980155, Richmond, VA 23298, USA

⁴Department of Molecular & Cellular Oncology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Blvd, Houston, TX 77030, USA

Abstract

Breast cancer is among the most commonly diagnosed cancer types in women worldwide and is the second leading cause of cancer-related disease in the USA. SH2 domains recruit signaling proteins to phosphotyrosine residues on aberrantly activated growth factor and cytokine receptors and contribute to cancer cell cycling, metastasis, angiogenesis and so on. Herein we review phosphopeptide mimetic and small-molecule approaches targeting the SH2 domains of Grb2, Grb7 and STAT3 that inhibit their targets and reduce proliferation in *in vitro* breast cancer models. Only STAT3 inhibitors have been evaluated in *in vivo* models and have led to tumor reduction. Taken together, these studies suggest that targeting SH2 domains is an important approach to the treatment of breast cancer.

> Breast cancer is among the most commonly diagnosed types of cancer in women worldwide and is the second leading cause of cancer-related disease in the USA, accounting for more than 40,000 deaths annually [1]. Breast cancers have a high degree of genomic heterogeneity, which has a significant influence on treatment options, patient response to therapy, patterns of metastasis and patient survival [2,3]. Breast tumors are classified into specific intrinsic subtypes based on the presence or absence of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor, *ERBB2/Her2* oncogene amplification, the proliferation marker Ki-67 and the level of claudins, proteins involved in formation of tight junctions [4]. Importantly, the diagnosis, treatment and outcome are dependent upon the intrinsic subtype of the individual breast tumor [5]. Breast tumors that express the ER, and/or PR, lack amplification of Her2 and have low Ki-67 are classified as luminal A [2] and represent approximately

Competing interests disclosure

^{© 2014} Future Science Ltd

^{*}Author for correspondence: Tel.: +1 713 745 3763 Fax: +1 713 792 1204 jmcmurra@mdanderson.org.

The authors have no other relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript apart from those disclosed.

40% of all breast tumors [6]. Patients with this subtype have the highest positive survival rates, in part due to the development of hormone therapies. Breast cancers that have amplification of the *ERBB2/Her2* oncogene are another major subclass [2] and represent approximately 20% of all breast cancers [6]. This subtype of breast cancer has been the focus of significant efforts to develop targeted therapeutics. While there have been significant advances in the development of therapeutics that target ER and the *Her2* oncogene [7–9], few targeted therapeutics have been developed to treat breast tumors that lack ER/progesterone receptor and *Her2* oncogene, classified as triple-negative/basal-like subtype tumors (TNBC). Owing to the lack of hormone receptors and *Her2* oncogene amplification, patients with TNBC have a less favorable prognosis than those with other subtypes of breast cancer [10–12], demonstrating the significant need to focus effort to identify therapeutic targets in this subtype.

Signaling pathways originating from growth factors or cytokines are aberrantly activated in all breast cancers and contribute to cancer cell cycling, metastasis, angiogenesis and so on, although these aberrations are not unique to breast cancer. A major mechanism of transmitting signals is by phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of tyrosine residues on key proteins, such as growth factor and cytokine receptors, adapter proteins and enzymes, such as non-receptor tyrosine kinases. Phosphorylation creates sites for protein–protein interactions between the phosphorylated protein and signaling molecules containing SH2 and phosphotyrosine binding domains that recognize phosphotyrosine [13]. In this review we will discuss efforts to develop agents that target **SH2 domains** that have been evaluated in breast cancer models.

SH2 domains are approximately 100 amino acid subunits that mediate the transduction of signals via formation of multiprotein complexes initiated by recognition and binding to select phosphotyrosine residues on receptors and other proteins [13]. SH2 domains were recognized early in the 1990s as potential targets for several diseases, including cancer (reviewed in [14]). Early crystal structures of SH2 domains complexed with **phosphopeptide** ligands provided valuable information on the specifics of phosphopeptide– protein interactions [15,16]. This led to intense efforts in the 1990s and early 2000s by the pharmaceutical industry, academic laboratories and government laboratories to develop small-molecule phosphopeptide mimetic inhibitors, mainly targeting Src kinase, the Srcfamily kinase Lck, p85, the regulatory subunit of PI3K and **Grb2** and these efforts have been reviewed extensively [17–21]. More recently, the SH2 domains of **Grb7** [22] and **STAT3**, STAT5 have been targeted with peptides and **peptide mimetics** [23,24].

Developing viable drugs targeting SH2 domains has significant challenges. The phosphotyrosine residue has been estimated to provide one half of the binding energy of phosphopeptides to the SH2 domain [25]. However, the negative charge of the phosphate is a significant barrier to cell penetration and phosphate groups can be removed by phosphatases. Additionally, peptides are subject to proteolytic cleavage and, as a rule, exhibit poor bioavailability and cell permeability. Overall the pharmaceutical industry was successful in converting phosphopeptides to non-peptide mimics. However, overcoming the negative charge requirement was so significantly problematic that SH2 domains were abandoned as undruggable targets. Efforts by academic laboratories are continuing and only

recently reports of cell-permeable, SH2 domain-targeted inhibitors with *in vivo* activity have been reported [26,27].

Inhibitors targeting Grb2

Grb2 is a scaffold protein composed of two SH3 domains that flank a central SH2 domain. On binding of growth factors (e.g., EGF) to their receptors (e.g., Her-2), trans phosphorylation of tyrosine residues on intracellular domains by the kinase activity of the receptor occurs. Via its SH2 domain Grb2 is recruited to the receptor phosphotyrosine residues, at which time its SH3 domains recruit SOS. SOS binds to RAS and activates it leading to MEK pathway activation. Blocking the association of Grb2 and the growth factor with phosphopeptides or mimetics inhibits activation of RAS and the downstream MEK pathway. Readers are referred to excellent reviews on Grb2 as a cancer target [28] and on peptidomimetic inhibitor development [29].

The SH2 domain of Grb2 recognizes phosphotyrosine in the context of the sequence pTyr– Xaa–Asn (Figure 1). Using the peptidomimetic approach, researchers from Novartis (Basel, Switzerland) discovered that replacing the $pY+1$ residue with a 1aminocyclohexylcarboxylate (Ac_6c) and replacement of amino acids C-terminal to the required Asn with a naphthylpropyl group led to a lead phospho-tripeptide with low nM affinity [30,31]. To protect against cleavage of the phosphate by phosphatases, pTyr was replaced with 4-phosphonomethyl phenylalanine (Pmp) in peptidomimetic CGP78850 (Figure 1) [32]. Interestingly, in spite of the free phosphonate group with its negative charges, CGP78850 inhibited association of Grb2 with EGFR in intact serum-starved MDA-MB-468 triple-negative breast cancer cells stimulated with EGF *in vitro*. Significant inhibition was observed at 100 nM, while 10 μM brought cells to the non-EGF-stimulated state. Ras activation, as measured by interaction of Ras with a Ras binding domain–GST construct, and anchorage-independent growth, was also inhibited by treating cells with 50 μM inhibitor. To block the charge of the phosphonate, CGP78850 was converted into the prodrug CGP85793 using McQuigan's bio-reversible phenyl phosphoramidate scheme (Figure 1) [33]. The prodrug inhibited Ras activation at 50-fold lower concentration than the free phosphonate and also inhibited proliferation of MDA-MB-468 cells. CGP85793 also stimulated increases in levels of $p27^{Kip1}$ and $p21^{Waf1/Cip1/CAP1}$, albeit at the high concentration of 100 μM. Both the free phosphonate and prodrug were found to inhibit tumor cell motility processes in A431 and MDCK cells [34]. Further development of these compounds was not reported.

Terrence Burke and colleagues and the National Cancer Institute (NCI, Frederick, MD, USA) performed a series of structure–activity relationship studies on the Novartis lead phospho-tripeptide to probe interactions with the SH2 domain of Grb2. Phosphotyrosine was replaced with a series of non-hydrolyzable surrogates to prevent inactivation by phosphatases [35–37]. The phosphate was replaced with groups such as malonyloxy, malonyl, phosphonomethyl, phosphonodifluoromethyl, carboxy, carboxymethyl and others to test the interaction of different displays of negative charge with the positively charged Arg67 and Arg86 in the phosphate-binding pocket. Derivatization of the α -amino group as an oxalyl amide imparted greater affinity than as an acetamide, likely due to an extra ionic

interaction with quanidinium group of Arg67, which lines the pTyr-binding pocket (C90 and C126, Figure 1). Taking a lead from Novartis, the naphthyl group was replaced with an indole group [35]. As part of the structure–activity relationship, inhibitors were evaluated for their ability to bind to Grb2 in extracellular ELISA or surface plasmon resonance (SPR) assays; inhibit the interaction of Grb2 and erbB-2 in MDA-MB-453 breast cancer cells; and, exert cytotoxicity against this line, which is dependent on Grb2 for proliferation. Interestingly, the negative charges on the phosphonate, malonate and oxalyl groups did not prevent cellular activity and some of these compounds potently inhibited proliferation of breast cancer cells, suggesting utility of this approach for breast cancer treatment. These studies led to two candidates, C90 and C126 (Figure 1), that were studied in greater detail. C90 incorporates para-malonylphenylalanine (Pmf) as a pTyr replacement and C126 employs Pmp. These two materials exhibited very high affinity for the SH2 domain of Grb2, with IC_{50} values of 70 and 50 nM in extracellular ELISA competition affinity measurements [35]. Both compounds inhibited intracellular association of Grb2 and erbB-2, as well as downstream MAPK activation in cultured MDA-MB-453 breast cancer cells [35]. C60 and C126 inhibited association of Grb2 and c-Met with IC_{50} s of 30 nM. [38]. They were selective for Grb2 as levels of PI3K downstream protein pAkt, pErk1 and pErk2 were not affected. As tools, they provided evidence that Grb2 does not transduce mitogenic signals originating from HGF/c-Met [38]. C60 and C126 exhibited antiangiogenic properties in *in vitro* assays [39] and C60 showed ability to inhibit cell migration and metastasis when pretreated cells were implanted as xenografts in nude mice [40].

Macrocyclization led to extremely high-affinity inhibitors with IC_{50} s in the low nM range, with one very potent candidate in the low pM range [41–43]. In addition to the presumed reduction in the binding entropy penalty due to cyclization, affinity was enhanced by inclusion of a carboxymethyl substituent at the α-position of the pTyr mimic, again allowing ionic interaction with the side chain of Arg67. Inhibitor 1 (Figure 1) had an IC_{50} of 910 pM in extracellular SPR assays [42]. It was able to inhibit Grb2–erbB-2 interaction with an IC_{50} of approximately 1 μM in MDA-MB-453 cells. Inhibition of proliferation of this cell line occurred with an IC₅₀ of 1.7 μM, whereas with MDA-MB-231, TNBC cells that are not dependent on Grb2, the IC₅₀ was >10 μ M. Replacing the naphthyl group with the 5methylindole group, inhibitor 2, resulted in a great increase in affinity with the IC_{50} of 75 pM (SPR). The high affinity was reflected in the ability to inhibit Grb2–erbB-2 interaction $(IC₅₀ 10 nM)$ and proliferation $(IC₅₀ = 0.63 \mu M)$ in MDA-MB-453 breast cancer cells. Selectivity was demonstrated by the lack of inhibition of proliferation of MDA-MB-231 cells [42].

Although the peptidomimetics reported by the Novartis and Burke groups were not advanced to preclinical *in vivo* cancer models, with the exception of the limited study of Burkes's C60, the results reported by these groups in cultured cells demonstrate the potential of blocking the SH2 domain of Grb2 as a modality for the treatment of breast cancer.

Inhibitors targeting Grb7

Grb7 is the prototype member of the Grb7 adapter protein family that also includes Grb10 and Grb14. These multidomain cytoplasmic proteins comprise an N-terminal proline-rich

region, a central region known as the GM region and a C-terminal SH2 domain [44]. The SH2 domain of Grb7 binds numerous signaling molecules and receptors including the ErbB family of tyrosine kinase receptors and tyrosine-phosphorylated signaling molecules associated with cell migration and invasion, such as the FAK [44]. Grb7 is co-amplified with ErbB2 in a panel of breast cancer subtypes [45] and clinical data showed the association between high Grb7 expression and poor outcomes in TNBC patients [22,46]. Recent studies using proteomic analysis revealed activation of Grb7 as part of EGFR signaling activity in inflammatory breast cancer [47]. Therefore, targeting the SH2 domain is a potentially promising therapeutic strategy for the treatment of tumors overexpressing Grb7.

Using phage-display technology, the group of David N Krag identified a series of nonphosphorylated peptide inhibitors binding the SH2 domain of Grb7. All of these peptides contained a Tyr–X–Asn motif as part of constrained cyclic peptides containing 11 amino acids [48]. Note that this is the recognition site for Grb2. One of the leads, G7–18NATE (Figure 2) inhibited the association of Grb7 with members of the ErbB tyrosine-kinase family at approximately 100 μm in cellular extracts of SK-BR-3 breast cancer cells. Later, Krag and coworkers synthesized cell-permeable derivatives of G7–18NATE, where a cellpenetrating peptide, Penetratin or Tat, was covalently attached to the C-terminal carboxyl group of Cys11. Both adducts, G7–18NATE– Penetratin (G7–18NATE-P) and G7– 18NATE–Tat (G7–18NATE-T) inhibited proliferation of SK-BR-3, ZR-75–30, MDA-MB-361 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines with EC_{50} values of 7.5–8 μ M [49]. G7–18NATE-P was also shown to improve the inhibitory effect on SK-BR-3 cell proliferation when given in combination with doxorubicin and herceptin [49].

A novel cell-permeable derivative of G7–18NATE was reported by the group of J Wilce [50]. G7–18NATE was ligated to the last seven residues of Penetratin and a biotin tag allowing intracellular visualization of the inhibitor by confocal microscopy. G7–18NATE-P7–biotin (G7–18NATE-P7-B) was obtained by solid-phase peptide synthesis using conventional Fmoc chemistry. Biotin was selectively installed on the C-terminal Lys side chain of the shortened Penetratin sequence prior to cyclization of the final peptide via thioether bond formation between the side chain of Cys11 and N-terminal Trp1 of the G7– 18NATE moiety (Figure 2). G7–18NATE-P7-B was shown to be efficiently taken up by MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cells after 30 min exposure to 10 μM inhibitor [50]. Isothermal calorimetry (ITC) experiments demonstrated that G7–18NATE-P7-B exhibited a twofold higher binding affinity, compared with G7–18NATE, thus indicating that the biotinylated Penetratin sequence did not negatively impact the ability of G7–18NATE to bind the Grb7- SH2 domain [50]. The same research group recently reported a second generation bicyclic peptide inhibitor derived from G7–18NATE with improved binding affinity for the SH2 domain of Grb7 [51]. The crystal structure of a G7–18NATE–Grb7-SH2 domain complex showed that Trp 1 and Thr 8 of the lead were not directly involved in binding interactions [52]. Based on this observation, Wilce and coworkers explored the hypothesis that constricting the inhibitor in its bound conformation would result in an improved affinity for the Grb7-SH2 domain. To this aim, they synthesized G7-B1 (Figure 2), a bicyclic version of G7–18NATE where Trp1 and Thr8 were replaced with O-allyl-serine residues allowing intramolecular linkage by metathesis reaction. The constrained bicyclic peptide resulted in a

two- to three-fold improvement in binding affinity compared with the monocylic precursor. Although G7-B1 still awaits evaluation in cellular assays, it appears a promising Grb7 inhibitor. These cyclic peptides demonstrate proof-of-principle that inhibiting the SH2 domain of Grb7 has potential for breast cancer treatment.

Using phosphopeptide Grb2 inhibitors to derive a 3D structural template, Ambaye *et al.* searched the NCI database and identified a series of benzopyrazine inhibitors of Grb7 [53]. Compounds were found to bind to the SH2 domain of Grb7 by both thermofluor and ITC. Lead NSC642056 (Figure 3) exhibited somewhat modest affinity with a *K*_d of 17.2 μM measured by ITC, and inhibited proliferation of MDA-MB-468 TNBC cells with an IC_{50} of 86 μM. In a slightly different approach, a second screen using G7–18NATE as a structural template identified a series of benzamides as potential inhibitors [54]. Again thermofluor and ITC provided proof of binding to the SH2 domain of Grb7 of the lead, NSC104999 (Figure 3), and a series of analogs. The K_d of the lead was found to be 32.3 μ M for isolated Grb7 SH2 domain and the IC_{50} for growth inhibition of cultured MDA-MB-468 cells was 39.9 μM. For both series, ITC revealed significant differences in enthalpic and entropic contributions that were largely canceled out leading to similar free energies of binding and K_d ranges of 1–32 μ M. The authors point out that for both series selectivity studies are required to determine if growth inhibition is due to Grb7 inhibition or off-target effects.

Specificity of inhibitors for Grb2 & Grb7

Both Grb2 and Grb7 recognize Tyr–Xaa–Asn as the recruiting motif. Thus, selectivity for individual proteins may be difficult to achieve. The non-phosphorylated cyclic peptide, G7– 18NATE, was found to be selective for Grb7 over Grb2, Grb10 and Grb14 [48]. However, the second virtual screen conducted by Ambaye *et al.* found NSC708238 among the first set of hits [54]. This material is C90 reported by the Burke laboratory (Figure 1). Thus, small phosphopeptide and related mimics based on pTyr–Xaa–Asn have the potential to ligate to both Grb2 and Grb7 and biological results must be interpreted with this in mind.

Inhibitors targeting STAT3

STAT3 transmits signals from IL-6 family cytokines and growth factors, such as EGF, PDGF, ALK and VEGF, directly from the receptor to the nucleus where it is involved in the transcription of downstream genes. It is involved in cell cycling, metastasis, angiogenesis and immune cell evasion in most human cancers, including breast cancer, and its activity has been reviewed extensively [55–57]. On activation of the cytokine or growth factor receptor, STAT3, via its SH2 domain, is recruited to pTyr residues on the cytoplasmic domains of the receptor. Upon receptor docking, Tyr705 of STAT3 becomes phosphorylated by associated JAK, Src kinase or the kinase activity of the receptor. Tyr705 phosphorylation, termed activation, results in STAT3 dimerization by reciprocal pTyr-SH2 domain interactions. The dimer is translated to the nucleus where STAT3 behaves as a transcription factor and participates in the expression of various genes including those in the acute phase response, angiogenesis and cell cycling. STAT3 has been shown to be activated in a large percentage of breast cancer tumor samples from patients and is constitutively activated in several breast cancer cell lines, both human and murine [58,59]. Several groups have developed small-

molecule and phosphopeptide-based compounds targeting the SH2 domain of STAT3 and these have been recently reviewed [23,60–62].

Small molecules targeting the SH2 domain

Several groups have screened compound libraries to find small molecules that have conventional drug-like properties to target the SH2 domains of STAT3. One of the first publications was that of Song *et al.*, who reported the discovery of STA-21 (Figure 4) using a virtual library docking to the SH2 domain of STAT3 [63]. This compound inhibited STAT3-dependant luciferase activity in MDA-MB-435 cells, as well as the expression of canonical STAT3 downstream genes. Preferential growth inhibition was also observed in breast cancer cell lines harboring constitutively active STAT3 phosphorylation.

No data showing actual binding to the SH2 domain were presented in this study. Computerbased docking models are useful for the development of hypotheses about the interactions between compounds and their target proteins. To test the hypothesis put forward by Song *et al.* that STA-21 binds directly to the SH2 domain, our laboratory acquired this compound and found that at concentrations up to 100 μM it did not compete with a fluorescein-tagged phosphopeptide, FAM–Ala–pTyr–Leu–Pro–Gln–Thr–Val–NH₂ (FAM = 5carboxyfluorescein), in our fluorescence polarization assay (10 nM peptide, 80 nM STAT3) (Figure 5) [McMurray JS *et al.*, Unpublished Data] [64]. This experiment strongly suggests that STA-21 does not bind to the SH2 domain of STAT3 and that the reported activity in cells was likely due to indirect perturbation of STAT3 phosphorylation.

Subsequently reported analogs of STA-21, 1-acetyl-5-hyroxyanthraquinone, 1-acetyl-8 hyroxyanthraquinone and LLL12, are quite effective at inhibiting cancer cell proliferation, inducing apoptosis and so on, concomitantly with reductions in pSTAT3 levels in cultured cells [65,66]. These analogs were also docked to the SH2 domain of STAT3 using computational methods, but no biochemical evidence was presented to show that they bind to the SH2 domain of STAT3. STA-21 and its analogs are benzoquinones and anthroquinones, which are known to exert cytotoxic effects through redox recycling and arylation of essential nucleophiles, such as glutathione and protein thiols [67]. These inhibitors are structural analogs of plumbagin, a well known phytochemical that has been shown to have antibacterial properties, alter redox potential in cells, inhibit NF-κB, alter mitotic spindles and chelate heavy metals, among other effects [68]. Since no appreciable binding to the SH2 domain of STAT3 was measured, the off-target activities of the hydroxyquinone moiety may be responsible for the antiproliferative activity of STA-21.

As STAT3 both transmits VEGF signals and is involved in the transcription of the VEGF gene [69,70], LLL12 was assayed for antiangiogenic activity. It inhibited proliferation, tube formation and migration of human umbilical vascular endothelial cells *in vitro*. LLL12 inhibited the growth of OS-1 osteosarcoma xenografts, which was accompanied by an extensive reduction in microvessel density and STAT3 phosphorylation levels. Growth inhibition was the result of inhibition of VEGF signaling as well as the inhibition of the production of angiogenic factors, VEGF, MMP-9, angiopoetin, tissue factor and FGF1, which was attributed to the near complete inhibition of pSTAT3 measured in the tumors

[71]. Although this was not a breast cancer model, these results suggest that LLL12 is a novel antiangiogenic agent.

Another small-molecule natural product, cryptotanshinone, was reported to inhibit STAT3 activity in tumor cells [72]. A molecular model generated by computational docking reported by the authors suggested that this molecule binds to the phosphotyrosine binding site on the SH2 domain of STAT3. To test this hypothesis, we also acquired this material and found that at concentrations as high as 100 μM it does not bind to STAT3 in our fluorescence polarization assay [McMurray JS *et al.*, Unpublished Data]. Therefore, it is unlikely that cryptotanshinone binds to the SH2 domain of STAT3 in cultured cells. It was recently reported that cryptotanshinone reduced the phosphorylation of JAK2, which phosphorylates STAT3 [73]. The mechanism is likely related to the increased expression of Src homology region 2 domain-containing phosphatase-1 on addition of this material to cells [73]. Cryptotanshinone, an orthoquinone, not surprisingly has several other activities associated with it, such as inhibition of NF-κB and COX-2 and activation of the PI3K/Akt pathway [74,75]. Thus, the activities of this material leading to cell death will be difficult to precisely enumerate.

David Tweardy and colleagues carried out a virtual screen of small molecules to find candidates that bind to the SH2 domain of STAT3. Three leads were identified and were found to compete with a phosphopeptide derived from EGF pTyr1068 in a SPR assay for binding to STAT3, thus, providing evidence that they actually bind to the SH2 domain [76]. Cpd3, Cpd30 and Cpd188 (Figure 6) exhibited IC_{50} values of 447, 30 and 20 μ M, respectively, in the SPR assay and inhibited IL-6-stimulated STAT3 phosphorylation in HepG2 cells with IC_{50} values of 91, 18 and 73 μ M. Similarity screening of other compound databases revealed that Cpd3–7, Cpd3–12 and Cpd30–20 were capable of inhibiting phosphopeptide binding to STAT3, as well as IL-6-stimulated STAT3 phosphorylation. This panel was assayed for the ability to induce apoptosis in a panel of breast cancer lines. Compounds Cpd3 and Cpd30 were selective for cell lines with constitutively phosphorylated STAT3, MDA-MB-468, MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-435. No effect was observed on the control lines MDA-MB-453 and MCF7 not harboring constitutively phosphorylated STAT3. Of all the compounds, Cpd188 was the most potent, causing apoptosis in cell lines expressing high levels of phospho-STAT3 with IC_{50} values of 0.73–7.01 μM. Crossreactivity with the control lines was observed, albeit with reduced potencies: IC_{50} values were 15 and 17 μM.

TNBC and $Her2^+$ breast cancers are enriched for cells defined as cancer stem cells $(CSCs)$ or tumor-initiating cells [77,78], which play a role in development of resistance to chemotherapeutic agents, and their persistence following primary systemic chemotherapy has been associated with poor prognosis [79,80]. CD44⁺/CD24^{low/−} CSCs in breast tumors require the JAK2/STAT3 signaling pathway for growth [81]. Inflammatory breast cancer, the most metastatic form of breast cancer, has been demonstrated to be enriched for CSCs [82], which is associated with activation of the JAK/STAT3 pathway [82]. Cpd188 in combination with docetaxel reduced CSC populations in human breast cancer xenograft models in mice, resulting in improved recurrence-free survival [60].

Peptidomimetic inhibitors targeting the SH2 domain of STAT3

James Turkson and colleagues developed both peptidomimetic and small-molecule inhibitors of STAT3. They first described tripeptide mimetics derived from Tyr705, Pro– pTyr–Leu–Lys–Thr–Lys and pTyr SH2 domain-binding sequence in STAT3 dimers [83]. Pro–pTyr–Leu and Ala–pTyr–Leu showed inhibition of STAT3 activation at approximately 200 μM in cellular extracts of EGF-stimulated fibroblasts [84]. Further modifications of the lead tripeptides by substitution of the Y-1 residue with aromatic groups led to a library of synthetic derivatives, one of which, ISS-610 (Figure 7), showed preferential inhibition of STAT3 at 100 μM in Src-transformed mouse fibroblasts (NIH3T3/v-Src) and human breast cancer cells MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-435 with constitutive STAT3 activation. Computational analysis of the interactions between ISS-610 and the SH2 domain of STAT3 combined with structural information derived from the x-ray crystal structure of STAT3β led Siddiquee *et al.* to the development of S3I-M2001 (Figure 7), an oxazole derivative of ISS-610 [85]. This compound inhibited STAT3 phosphorylation at 100 μM in NIH 3T3/v-Src and MDA-435-MB cells and tumor growth in human breast tumor xenografts [85]. In a continuing effort to develop more potent and selective inhibitors targeting the SH2 domain of STAT3, Patrick Gunning and co-workers reported a library of hybrid peptidomimetic inhibitors derived from ISS-610 and peptide 1.6, one of the STAT3 inhibitors developed in the McMurray laboratory [86]. *In vitro* evaluation of the lead compound 14aa (Figure 7) on NIH-3T3/v-Src cells showed inhibition of STAT3 at 100 μM. Interestingly, a nonphosphorylated version of 14aa inhibited STAT3 phosphorylation in cultured cells.

A computer-aided screening approach of compound libraries from the NCI, identified S3I-201 (NSC-74859, Figure 8), which inhibited STAT3– DNA complex formation and decreased survival of Src-transformed mouse fibroblasts and human breast cancer cell lines MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468 and MDA-MB-435 that harbor constitutive STAT3 activation. Inhibition of tumor growth was also observed in MDA-231-MB xenografts in mice [85]. Molecular models suggested that the salicylic acid group docked to the phosphotyrosine binding site. Modifications of the glycolic acid scaffold of S3I-201 were made to improve binding affinity, paying particular attention to the third hydrophobic subpocket of the SH2 domain of STAT3. In addition to breaking up STAT3–DNA complexes, binding to the SH2 domain was demonstrated by competition of a high affinity fluorescently labeled phosphopeptide using fluorescence polarization [87]. One of these derivatives, SF-1–066 (or S3I-201.1066, Figure 8), showed inhibition of STAT3 at 50 μM in NIH-3T3/v-Src, Panc-1 and MDA-231-MB cells as well as reduction of tumor growth in human MDA-231-MB breast cancer xenografts [88]. Recently, Zhang *et al.* reported BP-1– 102, an orally bioavailable analog of SF-1–066 (8) [27]. The authors provided extensive SPR, fluorescence polarization (FP) and ITC evidence of binding to the phosphotyrosine binding pocket of the SH2 domain of STAT3. Interestingly the K_d determined by SPR was 504 nM, whereas that determined by FP was 4.1 μM. Unfortunately intrinsic binding was not studied directly by ITC. BP-102 inhibited both anchorage-dependent and independent proliferation of cell lines exhibiting constitutive phosphorylation of STAT3, including the TNBC line, MDA-MB-231. Those lines not expressing pSTAT3 were not growth inhibited. BP-102 inhibited the growth of MDA-MB-231 xenografts in mice, which was accompanied

by reduction in canonical downstream genes c-Myc, cyclin D1, Bcl-XL, survivin, and VEGF. Evidence of antiangiogeneic activity was not reported. BP-102 displayed favorable oral and intravenous pharmacokinetic characteristics and was found at concentrations of 55 and 35 μg/g tumor tissue from iv. and oral delivery, respectively. BP-102 provides strong evidence that inhibition of STAT3 phosphorylation is a promising strategy for treatment of breast cancer.

Page *et al.* developed further analogs of BP-1–102 and SF-1–066 to explore alkyl substitution on the sulfonamide nitrogen [89]. Although several analogs were cytotoxic to MDA-MB-468 cells, no major improvements in potency were found over the *N*-methyl leads. Structure–activity relationship studies starting with S3I-201 was carried out independently by Urlam *et al.* [90]. In contrast to the 4-aminosalicylates in BP-1–102 and SF-1–066, the authors found that 5-aminosalicylates were quite effective as pTyr mimics. The sulfonylglycolamide was replaced by benzamides and again a 4-cyclohexylbenzyl substituent on the nitrogen provided both binding energy and cellular potency. This work led to S3I-1757 (Figure 8), reported by Zhang *et al.* [91], which selectively inhibited the phosphorylation of STAT3 over AKT1 and ERK1/2, nuclear accumulation of phospho-STAT3, STAT3–DNA binding and transcriptional activation and suppressed expression STAT3 target genes, such as Bcl-xL (BCL2L1), survivin (BIRC5), cyclin D1 (CCND1) and MMP-9. Furthermore, S3I-1757 inhibited anchorage-dependent and independent growth, migration and invasion of human breast cancer cells, which depend on STAT3. The importance of the cyclohexyl substituent of the benzyl group was illustrated by S3I-1756, which had no impact on any of the intracellular or extracellular parameters inhibited by S3I-1757.

To find a lead for the development of phosphopeptide ligands targeting the SH2 domain of STAT3, our group screened a series of phosphopeptides derived from known receptor docking sites [92]. The lead, Peptide 1.6, Ac–pTyr–Leu–Pro–Gln–Thr–Val–NH2 (Figure 9), possessed Tyr–Xaa–Yaa–Gln, reported to be the recognition determinant for STAT3 [93,94]. Similar sequences were discovered independently using a combinatorial peptide library [95]. Extensive studies were carried out to probe the phosphopeptide binding site [64,96–101]. These studies revealed that phosphotyrosine could be replaced by the conformationally constrained 4-phosphocinnamate [99] (e.g., PM-66F, Figure 9) and inclusion of a methyl group on the β-carbon of the cinnamate increased affinity [97]. The central Leu–Pro dipeptide had a *trans* peptide bond [96] and could be replaced with the tricyclic lactam Haic [99]. The C-terminal Thr–Val dipeptide could be replaced [64], but the side chain of carboxamide of glutamine was essential for high affinity.

To prepare these phosphopeptide mimetics for cellular studies, the phosphate group was replaced with the phosphatase-stable phosphonodifluoromethyl group [97,102]. To block the negative charges of the phosphonate and allow cell penetration, the oxygens were capped with carboxyesterase-labile pivaloyloxymethyl groups [97,102]. Cellular potency was influenced by structural features that had little or opposite effects on affinity for the protein [97,100]. For example, replacement of the C-terminal CONHCH₂C₆H₅ group of BP-PM6 (Figure 9) with a simple methyl group (PM-73G) brought the concentration for complete inhibition of STAT3 phosphorylation from 10 μM to 500 nM, a 20-fold increase in potency.

Substitution of proline with *cis*-3,4-methanoproline (PM-272G-1), 4,4-difluoroproline or 4,4-dimethylp-roline increased cellular potency by 20-fold over the parent prolinecontaining inhibitor [100].

A set of prodrugs, which included PM-73G, PM-72G-1 and PM-274G-1, was tested for the ability to inhibit the phosphorylation of STAT3 in several cancer cell lines, including the MDA-MB-468 TNBC line. Overall the prodrugs were very potent and specific, exhibiting inhibition of constitutive STAT3 phosphorylation significantly at 100 nM, with complete inhibition observed at 500 nM. At 5 μM, the compounds were selective for STAT3 over EGF- induced STAT5, PI3K and Src, and were ten-fold more selective for STAT3 over STAT1. In contrast to the dogma, daily dosing of 5 μ M, ten-times the concentration that completely inhibited STAT3 phosphorylation, resulted in no significant cytotoxicity for breast cancer lines MDA-MB-468 and MCF7, nor for the lung cancer line, HCC827, nor the ovarian cancer line, SKOV3-ip. The IC_{50} for MDA-MB-468 cells was between 25 and 50 μM. However, at 25 μM, 2 h treatment showed significant inhibition of STAT5, pAkt and pFAKTyr861. Thus, high concentrations of inhibitor resulted in off-target effects that correlated with cytotoxicity of cancer cells.

Treatment of MDA-MB-468 orthotopic xenografts by intratumoral injection led to significant reduction in tumor growth, which was accompanied by significant inhibition of tumor vascularization and VEGF protein [26]. Daily intraperitoneal (systemic) injection led to significant tumor reduction with inhibition of angiogenesis. Thus, it appears that selective inhibition of STAT3, while not cytotoxic to cancer cells, leads to impaired VEGF signaling and to inhibition of tumor angiogenesis [26].

JAK inhibition

Although the emphasis of this article is on agents that target SH2 domains in breast cancer, it is worthwhile to discuss inhibitors of JAKs, which prevent the phosphorylation of STAT proteins. A dogma developed over time that suggests that STAT3 is required for tumor cell growth and proliferation [56,103]. In contrast to the evidence provided for BP-102 [27], research with JAK inhibitors is showing that this hypothesis is not correct. Pyridone P6, first reported by Merck (Rahway, NJ, USA) [104], is an inhibitor of all of the JAKs. Kreiss *et al.* observed that treatment of a panel of melanoma cell lines with this compound brought pSTAT3 levels to below detectable levels, but this had no effect on proliferation *in vitro* [105]. As is the case with most kinase inhibitors, Pyridone P6 inhibits other kinases, notably PDK1 [106], but these off-target effects are not cytotoxic. AZ1480, introduced by researchers at AstraZeneca (Waltham, MA, USA) [107], preferentially inhibits JAK2 over the other JAKs [108]. At concentrations that completely inhibited STAT3 phosphorylation, Hedvat *et al.* showed that this material had no effect on the proliferation of MDA-MB-468 (breast), DU145 (prostate) and MDAH2774 (ovarian) cancer cells *in vitro* [108]. This finding was recapitulated in subsequent publications from this group [109,110] and others [111]. The JAK1/2 inhibitor, ruxolitinib, US FDA-approved for myelodysplastic disorders, inhibits pSTAT3 and did not affect growth of lung cancer cell lines in 2D cultures, but it did inhibit anchorage-independent colony formation in soft agar [112]. These reports with the JAK inhibitors and the phosphopeptide mimics targeting the SH2 domain [97] show that

inhibiting the phosphorylation of Tyr705 of STAT3 is not cytotoxic to cancer cells, which is also supported by a report that treatment of ovarian cancer cells with siltuximab, the anti-IL-6 monoclonal antibody, resulted in pSTAT3 inhibition with no effect on proliferation [113]. By inference, if a compound inhibits STAT3 phosphorylation and it kills cells, it must be doing the latter by off-target effects.

Future perspective

SH2 domains play key roles in signaling pathways that contribute to the pathologies of the many variants of breast cancer. Early Grb2 inhibitors provided proof-of-principle that SH2 domains could be inhibited in intact cells. As certain variants of breast cancer are sensitive to Grb2 inhibition and others are not, personalized therapy strategies would have to be employed with this target. The work with the Grb2 inhibitors and recent progress with the derivatized aminosalicylate inhibitors of STAT3(BP-102) shows that negatively charged compounds can enter cells and tissues *in vivo* and inhibit SH2 domain targets. The more traditional phosphonate prodrug approach has also been employed to inhibit the SH2 domain of STAT3 both in cultured cells and *in vivo*, suggesting that this technology may lead to agents to treat breast cancer.

STAT3 has received considerable attention as a target for breast cancer. A caveat is emerging that inhibition of its activation, either by selectively targeting the SH2 domain, by inhibition of upstream cytokine binding or by inhibition of JAK activity, indicates that this protein is not a target for direct cancer cell killing. Rather, tumor reduction is likely achieved by inhibiting supporting processes, such as angiogenesis. This has to be kept in mind when evaluating new agents targeting the SH2 domain of STAT3. If a new inhibitor expresses cytotoxicity in 2D cultures, it likely has off-target effects in addition to, or that contribute to, inhibition of pSTAT3. These off-target activities could be helpful or a liability when it comes to treating patients. One successful example of a cytotoxic compound that also inhibits STAT3 phosphorylation is LLL12, which displayed promising antiangiogenic activity *in vivo*. Overall, several compounds discussed herein demonstrate that inhibition of the SH2 domain of STAT3 could be a useful strategy for the treatment of breast cancer.

The pharmaceutical industry essentially abandoned the SH2 domain as a viable target. The steady improvement in the potency *in vivo* of SH2 domain inhibitors, notably STAT3, in recent years by academic laboratories suggests that this domain is indeed druggable. Other SH2 domains, for example, p85, the regulatory subunit of PI3K, SH2-containing phosphatases, phospholipase C-γ or STAT5, are potentially useful targets for the treatment of one or more forms of breast cancer. Given the progress reported herein, it is expected that these proteins will be targeted in the future.

Acknowledgments

Financial disclosure

The authors are grateful to the NCI for grant CA096652, the CTT/TI-3D Chemistry and Molecularly-Targeted Therapeutic Development Grant Program, and the MDACC IRG program for funding this work.

No writing assistance was utilized in the production of this manuscript.

Key terms

References

Papers of special note have been highlighted as: • of interest; • of considerable interest

- 1. American Cancer Society. www.cancer.org/research/cancerfactsfigures/cancerfactsfigures/cancerfacts-and-figures-2010
- 2. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature. 2012; 490(7418):61–70. [PubMed: 23000897]
- 3. Martin M, Romero A, Cheang MC, et al. Genomic predictors of response to doxorubicin versus docetaxel in primary breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2011; 128(1):127–136. [PubMed: 21465170]
- 4. Prat A, Perou CM. Deconstructing the molecular portraits of breast cancer. Mol Oncol. 2011; 5(1): 5–23. [PubMed: 21147047]

- 5. Parker JS, Mullins M, Cheang MC, et al. Supervised risk predictor of breast cancer based on intrinsic subtypes. J Clin Oncol. 2009; 27(8):1160–1167. [PubMed: 19204204]
- 6. Burke WM, Jin X, Lin HJ, et al. Inhibition of constitutively active Stat3 suppresses growth of human ovarian and breast cancer cells. Oncogene. 2001; 20(55):7925–7934. [PubMed: 11753675]
- 7. Arteaga CL, Sliwkowski MX, Osborne CK, Perez EA, Puglisi F, Gianni L. Treatment of HER2 positive breast cancer: current status and future perspectives. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2011; 9(1):16– 32. [PubMed: 22124364]
- 8. Lin NU, Winer EP. Advances in adjuvant endocrine therapy for postmenopausal women. J Clin Oncol. 2008; 26(5):798–805. [PubMed: 18258989]
- 9. Peng J, Sengupta S, Jordan VC. Potential of selective estrogen receptor modulators as treatments and preventives of breast cancer. Anticancer Agents Med Chem. 2009; 9(5):481–499. [PubMed: 19519291]
- 10. Carey LA, Perou CM, Livasy CA, et al. Race, breast cancer subtypes, and survival in the Carolina Breast Cancer Study. JAMA. 2006; 295(21):2492–2502. [PubMed: 16757721]
- 11. Foulkes WD, Smith IE, Reis-Filho JS. Triple-negative breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2010; 363(20): 1938–1948. [PubMed: 21067385]
- 12. Onitilo AA, Engel JM, Greenlee RT, Mukesh BN. Breast cancer subtypes based on ER/PR and Her2 expression: comparison of clinicopathologic features and survival. Clin Med Res. 2009; 7(1– 2):4–13. [PubMed: 19574486]
- 13. Pawson T. Protein modules and signalling networks. Nature. 1995; 373:573–580. [PubMed: 7531822]
- 14. Waksman G, Kumaran S, Lubman O. SH2 domains: role, structure and implications for molecular medicine. Exp Rev Mol Med. 2004; 6(03):1–18.
- 15. Kuriyan J, Cowburn D. Modular peptide recognition domains in eukaryotic signaling. Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct. 1997; 26:259–288. [PubMed: 9241420]
- 16. Najmudin S, Bax B, Guillory RJ. Structural analysis of the SH2 and SH3 domains: modules that regulate protein interactions. J Biochem Mol Biol Biophys. 1997; 1(2):73–88.
- 17. Garcia-Echeverria C. Antagonists of the homology 2 (SH2) domains of Grb2, Src, Lck and ZAP-70. Curr Med Chem. 2001; 8(13):1589–1604. [PubMed: 11562287]
- 18. Garcia-Echeverria, C. Inhibitors of signaling interfaces: targeting Src homology 2 domains in drug discovery. In: Fabbro, D.; McCormick, F., editors. Protein Tyrosine Kinases: From Inhibitors to Useful Drugs. Humana Press Inc; Totowa, NJ, USA: 2006. p. 31-52.
- 19. Muller G. Peptidomimetic SH2 domain antagonists for targeting signal transduction. Top Curr Chem. 2001; 211:17–59.
- 20. Sawyer TK. Src homology-2 domains: structure, mechanisms, and drug discovery. Biopolymers. 1998; 47(3):243–261. [PubMed: 9817027]
- 21. Shakespeare WC. SH2 domain inhibition: a problem solved? Curr Opin Chem Biol. 2001; 5(4): 409–415. [PubMed: 11470604]
- 22. Giricz O, Calvo V, Pero SC, Krag DN, Sparano JA, Kenny PA. GRB7 is required for triplenegative breast cancer cell invasion and survival. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2012; 133(2):607–615. [PubMed: 22005836]
- 23. Haftchenary S, Avadisian M, Gunning PT. Inhibiting aberrant Stat3 function with molecular therapeutics: a progress report. Anticancer Drugs. 2011; 22(2):115–127. [PubMed: 21063201]
- 24. Matsuno K, Masuda Y, Uehara Y, et al. Identification of a new series of STAT3 inhibitors by virtual screening. ACS Med Chem Lett. 2010; 1(8):371–375. [PubMed: 24900220]
- 25. Bradshaw JM, Mitaxov V, Waksman G. Investigation of phosphotyrosine recognition by the SH2 domain of the Src kinase. J Mol Biol. 1999; 293(4):971–985. [PubMed: 10543978]
- 26••. Auzenne EJ, Klostergaard J, Mandal PK, et al. A phosphopeptide mimetic prodrug targeting the SH2 domain of STAT3 inhibits tumor growth and angiogenesis. J Exp Ther Oncol. 2012; 10(2): 155–162. First example of a phosphopeptide mimic prodrug targeting an SH2 domain *in vivo* with systemic administration. Inhibition of STAT3 phosphorylation led to tumor growth inhibition accompanied by reduced angiogenesis. [PubMed: 23350355]

- 27••. Zhang X, Yue P, Page BD, et al. Orally bioavailable small-molecule inhibitor of transcription factor Stat3 regresses human breast and lung cancer xenografts. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2012; 109(24):9623–9628. In-depth report of the development of a potent, orally available, non-peptide inhibitor of the SH2 domain of STAT3. [PubMed: 22623533]
- 28. Giubellino A, Burke TR Jr, Bottaro DP. Grb2 signaling in cell motility and cancer. Expert Opin Ther Targets. 2008; 12(8):1021–1033. [PubMed: 18620523]
- 29. Burke TR. Development of Grb2 SH2 domain signaling antagonists: a potential new class of antiproliferative agents. Int J Pept Res Ther. 2006; 12(1):33–48. [PubMed: 19444322]
- 30. Furet P, Gay B, Caravatti G, et al. Structure-based design and synthesis of high affinity tripeptide ligands of the Grb2-SH2 domain. J Med Chem. 1998; 41(18):3442–3449. [PubMed: 9719597]
- 31. Garcia-Echeverria C, Furet P, Gay B, et al. Potent antagonists of the SH2 domain of Grb2: optimization of the X+1 position of 3-amino-Z-Tyr(PO3H2)-X+1-Asn-NH2. J Med Chem. 1998; 41(11):1741–1744. [PubMed: 9599224]
- 32•. Gay B, Suarez S, Caravatti G, Furet P, Meyer T, Schoepfer J. Selective GRB2 SH2 inhibitors as anti-Ras therapy. Int J Cancer. 1999; 83(2):235–241. Demonstrates the utility of phosphopeptide mimics targeting an SH2 domain in intact cells. Both a free phosphonate and a prodrug demonstrated inhibition of Grb2 in breast cancer cells. [PubMed: 10471533]
- 33. McGuigan C, Pathirana RN, Balzarini J, De Clercq E. Intracellular delivery of bioactive AZT nucleotides by aryl phosphate derivatives of AZT. J Med Chem. 1993; 36(8):1048–1052. [PubMed: 8478904]
- 34•. Gay B, Suarez S, Weber C, et al. Effect of potent and selective inhibitors of the Grb2 SH2 domain on cell motility. J Biol Chem. 1999; 274(33):23311–23315. Demonstrates disruption of cellular activity with a phosphopeptide mimic targeting an SH2 domain; that of Grb2. [PubMed: 10438507]
- 35. Gao Y, Luo J, Yao ZJ, et al. Inhibition of Grb2 SH2 domain binding by non-phosphate-containing ligands. 2. 4-(2-Malonyl)phenylalanine as a potent phosphotyrosyl mimetic. J Med Chem. 2000; 43(5):911–920. [PubMed: 10715157]
- 36. Yao ZJ, King CR, Cao T, et al. Potent inhibition of Grb2 SH2 domain binding by non-phosphatecontaining ligands. J Med Chem. 1999; 42(1):25–35. [PubMed: 9888830]
- 37. Kang SU, Worthy KM, Bindu LK, et al. Design and synthesis of 4-(alphahydroxymalonyl)phenylalanine as a new phosphotyrosyl mimetic and its use in growth factor receptor bound 2 src-homology 2 (Grb2 SH2) domain-binding peptides. J Med Chem. 2005; 48(16):5369–5372. [PubMed: 16078854]
- 38. Atabey N, Gao Y, Yao ZJ, et al. Potent blockade of hepatocyte growth factor-stimulated cell motility, matrix invasion and branching morphogenesis by antagonists of Grb2 Src homology 2 domain interactions. J Biol Chem. 2001; 276(17):14308–14314. [PubMed: 11278639]
- 39. Soriano JV, Liu N, Gao Y, et al. Inhibition of angiogenesis by growth factor receptor bound protein 2-Src homology 2 domain bound antagonists. Mol Cancer Ther. 2004; 3(10):1289–1299. [PubMed: 15486196]
- 40. Giubellino A, Gao Y, Lee S, et al. Inhibition of tumor metastasis by a growth factor receptor bound protein 2 Src homology 2 domain-binding antagonist. Cancer Res. 2007; 67(13):6012–6016. [PubMed: 17616655]
- 41. Gao Y, Voigt J, Wu JX, Yang D, Burke TR Jr. Macrocyclization in the design of a conformationally constrained Grb2 SH2 domain inhibitor. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2001; 11(14): 1889–1892. [PubMed: 11459654]
- 42. Shi ZD, Lee K, Liu H, et al. A novel macrocyclic tetrapeptide mimetic that exhibits low-picomolar Grb2 SH2 domain-binding affinity. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2003; 310(2):378–383. [PubMed: 14521921]
- 43. Wei CQ, Gao Y, Lee K, et al. Macrocyclization in the design of Grb2 SH2 domain-binding ligands exhibiting high potency in whole-cell systems. J Med Chem. 2003; 46(2):244–254. [PubMed: 12519063]
- 44. Han DC, Shen TL, Guan JL. The Grb7 family proteins. Structure, interactions with other signaling molecules and potential cellular functions. Oncogene. 2001; 20(44):6315–6321. [PubMed: 11607834]

- 45. Stein D, Wu J, Fuqua SA, et al. The SH2 domain protein GRB7 is co-amplified, overexpressed and in a tight complex with HER2 in breast cancer. EMBO J. 1994; 13(6):1331–1340. [PubMed: 7907978]
- 46. Sparano JA, Goldstein LJ, Childs BH, et al. Relationship between quantitative GRB7 RNA expression and recurrence after adjuvant anthracycline chemotherapy in triple-negative breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2011; 17(22):7194–7203. [PubMed: 21933890]
- 47. Zhang EY, Cristofanilli M, Robertson F, et al. Genome wide proteomics of ERBB2 and EGFR and other oncogenic pathways in inflammatory breast cancer. J Proteome Res. 2013; 12(6):2805–2817. [PubMed: 23647160]
- 48. Pero SC, Oligino L, Daly RJ, et al. Identification of novel non-phosphorylated ligands, which bind selectively to the SH2 domain of Grb7. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277(14):11918–11926. [PubMed: 11809769]
- 49. Pero SC, Shukla GS, Cookson MM, Flemer S Jr, Krag DN. Combination treatment with Grb7 peptide and doxorubicin or trastuzumab (Herceptin) results in cooperative cell growth inhibition in breast cancer cells. Br J Cancer. 2007; 96(10):1520–1525. [PubMed: 17426702]
- 50. Ambaye ND, Lim RC, Clayton DJ, et al. Uptake of a cell permeable G7–18NATE contruct into cells and binding with the Grb-7-SH2 domain. Biopolymers. 2011; 96(2):181–188. [PubMed: 20564009]
- 51. Gunzburg MJ, Ambaye ND, Del BMP, Perlmutter P, Wilce JA. Design and testing of bicyclic inhibitors of Grb7-are two cycles better than one? Biopolymers. 2013; 100(5):543–549. [PubMed: 23505041]
- 52. Ambaye ND, Pero SC, Gunzburg MJ, et al. Structural basis of binding by cyclic nonphosphorylated peptide antagonists of Grb7 implicated in breast cancer progression. J Mol Biol. 2011; 412(3):397–411. [PubMed: 21802427]
- 53. Ambaye ND, Gunzburg MJ, Lim RCC, Price JT, Wilce MCJ, Wilce JA. Benzopyrazine derivatives: a novel class of growth factor receptor bound protein 7 antagonists. Bioorg Med Chem. 2011; 19(1):693–701. [PubMed: 21134757]
- 54. Ambaye ND, Gunzburg MJ, Lim RCC, Price JT, Wilce MCJ, Wilce JA. The discovery of phenylbenzamide derivatives as Grb7-based antitumor agents. ChemMedChem. 2013; 8(2):280– 288. [PubMed: 23355456]
- 55. Huang S. Regulation of metastases by signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 signaling pathway: clinical implications. Clin Cancer Res. 2007; 13(5):1362–1366. [PubMed: 17332277]
- 56. Yu H, Jove R. The STATs of cancer new molecular targets come of age. Nat Rev Cancer. 2004; 4(2):97–105. [PubMed: 14964307]
- 57. Yu H, Pardoll D, Jove R. STATs in cancer inflammation and immunity: a leading role for STAT3. Nat Rev Cancer. 2009; 9(11):798–809. [PubMed: 19851315]
- 58. Diaz N, Minton S, Cox C, et al. Activation of Stat3 in primary tumors from high-risk breast cancer patients is associated with elevated levels of activated Src and survivin expression. Clin Cancer Res. 2006; 12(1):20–28. [PubMed: 16397019]
- 59. Berishaj M, Gao SP, Ahmed S, et al. Stat3 is tyrosine-phosphorylated through the interleukin-6/ glycoprotein 130/Janus kinase pathway in breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res. 2007; 9(3):R32. [PubMed: 17531096]
- 60•. Dave B, Landis MD, Tweardy DJ, et al. Selective small molecule Stat3 inhibitor reduces breast cancer tumor-initiating cells and improves recurrence free survival in a human-xenograft model. PLoS ONE. 2012; 7(8):e30207. Cancer stem cells are hypothesized to regenerate tumors and to promote metastasis. This paper suggests that inhibition of STAT3 phosphorylation in inhibitory to cancer stem cells. [PubMed: 22879872]
- 61. Lavecchia A, Di Giovanni C, Novellino E. STAT-3 inhibitors: state of the art and new horizons for cancer treatment. Curr Med Chem. 2011; 18(16):2359–2375. [PubMed: 21568920]
- 62. Miklossy G, Hilliard TS, Turkson J. Therapeutic modulators of STAT signalling for human diseases. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2013; 12(8):611–629. [PubMed: 23903221]
- 63. Song H, Wang R, Wang S, Lin J. A low-molecular-weight compound discovered through virtual database screening inhibits Stat3 function in breast cancer cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005; 102(13):4700–4705. [PubMed: 15781862]

- 64. Coleman DR IV, Ren Z, Mandal PK, et al. Investigation of the binding determinants of phosphopeptides targeted to the SRC homology 2 domain of the signal transducer and activator of transcription 3. Development of a high-affinity peptide inhibitor. J Med Chem. 2005; 48(21): 6661–6670. [PubMed: 16220982]
- 65••. Wei C-C, Ball S, Lin L, et al. Two small molecule compounds, LLL12 and FLLL32, exhibit potent inhibitory activity on STAT3 in human rhabdomyosarcoma cells. Int J Oncol. 2011; 38(1): 279–285. Correlates STAT3 inhibition with reduction in angiogenesis. These materials are both cytotoxic and novel antiangiogenic agents. [PubMed: 21109950]
- 66. Bhasin D, Cisek K, Pandharkar T, et al. Design, synthesis, and studies of small molecule STAT3 inhibitors. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2008; 18(1):391–395. [PubMed: 18006313]
- 67. O'Brien PJ. Molecular mechanisms of quinone cytotoxicity. Chem Biol Interact. 1991; 80(1):1–41. [PubMed: 1913977]
- 68. Padhye S, Dandawate P, Yusufi M, Ahmad A, Sarkar FH. Perspectives on medicinal properties of plumbagin and its analogs. Med Res Rev. 2012; 32(6):1131–1158. [PubMed: 23059762]
- 69. Niu G, Wright KL, Huang M, et al. Constitutive Stat3 activity up-regulates VEGF expression and tumor angiogenesis. Oncogene. 2002; 21(13):2000–2008. [PubMed: 11960372]
- 70. Gray MJ, Zhang J, Ellis LM, et al. HIF-1alpha, STAT3, CBP/p300 and Ref-1/APE are components of a transcriptional complex that regulates Src-dependent hypoxia-induced expression of VEGF in pancreatic and prostate carcinomas. Oncogene. 2005; 24(19):3110–3120. [PubMed: 15735682]
- 71. Bid HK, Oswald D, Li C, London CA, Lin J, Houghton PJ. Anti-angiogenic activity of a small molecule STAT3 inhibitor LLL12. PLoS ONE. 2012; 7(4):e35513. [PubMed: 22530037]
- 72. Shin DS, Kim HN, Shin KD, et al. Cryptotanshinone inhibits constitutive signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 function through blocking the dimerization in DU145 prostate cancer cells. Cancer Res. 2009; 69(1):193–202. [PubMed: 19118003]
- 73. Jung JH, Kwon TR, Jeong SJ, et al. Apoptosis induced by tanshinone IIA and cryptotanshinone is mediated by distinct JAK/STAT3/5 and SHP1/2 signaling in chronic myeloid leukemia K562 cells. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2013; 2013:805639. [PubMed: 23878608]
- 74. Jin YC, Kim CW, Kim YM, et al. Cryptotanshinone, a lipophilic compound of Salvia miltiorrriza root, inhibits TNF-alpha-induced expression of adhesion molecules in HUVEC and attenuates rat myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury *in vivo*. Eur J Pharmacol. 2009; 614(1–3):91–97. [PubMed: 19401198]
- 75. Zhang F, Zheng W, Pi R, et al. Cryptotanshinone protects primary rat cortical neurons from glutamate-induced neurotoxicity via the activation of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt signaling pathway. Exp Brain Res. 2009; 193(1):109–118. [PubMed: 18936923]
- 76. Xu X, Kasembeli MM, Jiang X, Tweardy BJ, Tweardy DJ. Chemical probes that competitively and selectively inhibit Stat3 activation. PLoS ONE. 2009; 4(3):e4783. [PubMed: 19274102]
- 77. Park SY, Lee HE, Li H, Shipitsin M, Gelman R, Polyak K. Heterogeneity for stem cell-related markers according to tumor subtype and histologic stage in breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2010; 16(3):876–887. [PubMed: 20103682]
- 78. Ricardo S, Vieira AF, Gerhard R, et al. Breast cancer stem cell markers CD44, CD24 and ALDH1: expression distribution within intrinsic molecular subtype. J Clin Pathol. 2011; 64(11):937–946. [PubMed: 21680574]
- 79. Ginestier C, Hur MH, Charafe-Jauffret E, et al. ALDH1 is a marker of normal and malignant human mammary stem cells and a predictor of poor clinical outcome. Cell Stem Cell. 2007; 1(5): 555–567. [PubMed: 18371393]
- 80. Lee SJ, Ghosh SC, Han HD, et al. Metronomic activity of CD44-targeted hyaluronic acidpaclitaxel in ovarian carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2012; 18(15):4114–4121. [PubMed: 22693353]
- 81. Marotta LL, Almendro V, Marusyk A, et al. The JAK2/STAT3 signaling pathway is required for growth of CD44(+)CD24(−) stem cell-like breast cancer cells in human tumors. J Clin Invest. 2011; 121(7):2723–2735. [PubMed: 21633165]
- 82. Charafe-Jauffret E, Ginestier C, Iovino F, et al. Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1-positive cancer stem cells mediate metastasis and poor clinical outcome in inflammatory breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2010; 16(1):45–55. [PubMed: 20028757]

- 83. Becker S, Groner B, Muller CW. Three-dimensional structure of the Stat3beta homodimer bound to DNA. Nature. 1998; 394(6689):145–151. [PubMed: 9671298]
- 84. Turkson J, Kim JS, Zhang S, et al. Novel peptidomimetic inhibitors of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 dimerization and biological activity. Mol Cancer Ther. 2004; 3(3):261– 269. [PubMed: 15026546]
- 85. Siddiquee KA, Gunning PT, Glenn M, et al. An oxazole-based small-molecule Stat3 inhibitor modulates Stat3 stability and processing and induces antitumor cell effects. ACS Chem Biol. 2007; 2(12):787–798. [PubMed: 18154266]
- 86. Shahani VM, Yue P, Fletcher S, et al. Design, synthesis, and *in vitro* characterization of novel hybrid peptidomimetic inhibitors of STAT3 protein. Bioorg Med Chem. 2011; 19(5):1823–1838. [PubMed: 21216604]
- 87. Fletcher S, Singh J, Zhang X, et al. Disruption of transcriptionally active Stat3 dimers with nonphosphorylated, salicylic acid-based small molecules: potent *in vitro* and tumor cell activities. ChemBioChem. 2009; 10(12):1959–1964. [PubMed: 19644994]
- 88. Zhang X, Yue P, Fletcher S, Zhao W, Gunning PT, Turkson J. A novel small-molecule disrupts Stat3 SH2 domain-phosphotyrosine interactions and Stat3-dependent tumor processes. Biochem Pharmacol. 2010; 79(10):1398–1409. [PubMed: 20067773]
- 89. Page BD, Croucher DC, Li ZH, et al. Inhibiting aberrant signal transducer and activator of transcription protein activation with tetrapodal, small molecule Src homology 2 domain binders: promising agents against multiple myeloma. J Med Chem. 2013; 56(18):7190–7200. [PubMed: 23968501]
- 90. Urlam MK, Pireddu R, Ge Y, et al. Development of new N-arylbenzamides as STAT3 dimerization inhibitors. MedChemComm. 2013; 4(6):932–941. [PubMed: 24073326]
- 91•. Zhang X, Sun Y, Pireddu R, et al. A novel inhibitor of STAT3 homodimerization selectively suppresses STAT3 activity and malignant transformation. Cancer Res. 2013; 73(6):1922–1933. Another paper showing that mononegatively charged compounds can enter cells and inhibit STAT3 function. [PubMed: 23322008]
- 92. Ren Z, Cabell LA, Schaefer TS, McMurray JS. Identification of a high-affinity phosphopeptide inhibitor of Stat3. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2003; 13(4):633–636. [PubMed: 12639546]
- 93. Stahl N, Farruggella TJ, Boulton TG, Zhong Z, Darnell JE Jr, Yancopoulos GD. Choice of STATs and other substrates specified by modular tyrosine-based motifs in cytokine receptors. Science. 1995; 267(5202):1349–1353. [PubMed: 7871433]
- 94. Gerhartz C, Heesel B, Sasse J, et al. Differential activation of acute phase response factor/STAT3 and STAT1 via the cytoplasmic domain of the interleukin 6 signal transducer gp130. I. Definition of a novel phosphotyrosine motif mediating STAT1 activation. J Biol Chem. 1996; 271(22): 12991–12998. [PubMed: 8662591]
- 95. Wiederkehr-Adam M, Ernst P, Muller K, et al. Characterization of phosphopeptide motifs specific for the Src homology 2 domains of signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 (STAT1) and STAT3. J Biol Chem. 2003; 278(18):16117–16128. [PubMed: 12591923]
- 96. Coleman DR, Kaluarachchi K, Ren Z, Chen X, McMurray JS. Solid phase synthesis of phosphopeptides incorporating 2,2-dimethyloxazolidine pseudoproline analogs: evidence for *trans* Leu-Pro peptide bonds in Stat3 inhibitors. Int J Pept Res Ther. 2008; 14(1):1–9.
- 97. Mandal PK, Gao F, Lu Z, et al. Potent and selective phosphopeptide mimetic prodrugs targeted to the Src Homology 2 (SH2) domain of Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3. J Med Chem. 2011; 54(10):3549–5463. [PubMed: 21486047]
- 98. Mandal PK, Heard PA, Ren Z, Chen X, McMurray JS. Solid-phase synthesis of Stat3 inhibitors incorporating O-carbamoylserine and O-carbamoylthreonine as glutamine mimics. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2007; 17(3):654–656. [PubMed: 17113289]
- 99. Mandal PK, Limbrick D, Coleman DR, et al. Conformationally constrained peptidomimetic inhibitors of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3: evaluation and molecular modeling. J Med Chem. 2009; 52(8):2429–2442. [PubMed: 19334714]
- 100•. Mandal PK, Ren Z, Chen X, Kaluarachchi K, Liao WSL, McMurray JS. Structure–activity studies of phosphopeptidomimetic prodrugs targeting the Src homology 2 (SH2) domain of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (Stat3). Int J Pept Res Ther. 2013; 19(1):3–12.

Shows that subtle structural modifications have significant impact on the cellular potency of phosphopeptide mimics. [PubMed: 24707243]

- 101. Mandal PK, Ren Z, Chen X, Xiong C, McMurray JS. Structure–affinity relationships of glutamine mimics incorporated into phosphopeptides targeted to the SH2 domain of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3. J Med Chem. 2009; 52(19):6126–6141. [PubMed: 19728728]
- 102. Mandal PK, Liao WS, McMurray JS. Synthesis of phosphatase-stable, cell-permeable peptidomimetic prodrugs that target the SH2 domain of Stat3. Org Lett. 2009; 11(15):3394– 3397. [PubMed: 19594124]
- 103. Costantino L, Barlocco D. STAT3 as a target for cancer drug discovery. Curr Med Chem. 2008; 15:834–843. [PubMed: 18473793]
- 104. Thompson JE, Cubbon RM, Cummings RT, et al. Photochemical preparation of a pyridone containing tetracycle: a Jak protein kinase inhibitor. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2002; 12(8):1219– 1223. [PubMed: 11934592]
- 105••. Kreis S, Munz GA, Haan S, Heinrich PC, Behrmann I. Cell density dependent increase of constitutive signal transducers and activators of transcription 3 activity in melanoma cells is mediated by Janus kinases. Mol Cancer Res. 2007; 5(12):1331–1341. First paper showing that inhibition of STAT3 phosphorylation by JAK inhibition is not cytotoxic to cancer cells. [PubMed: 18171991]
- 106. Bobkova EV, Weber MJ, Xu Z, et al. Discovery of PDK1 kinase inhibitors with a novel mechanism of action by ultrahigh throughput screening. J Biol Chem. 2010; 285(24):18838– 18846. [PubMed: 20385558]
- 107. Ioannidis S, Lamb ML, Wang T, et al. Discovery of 5-Chloro-N(2)-[(1S)-1-(5-fluoropyrimidin-2 yl)ethyl]-N(4)-(5-methyl-1H-pyr azol-3-yl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (AZD1480) as a novel inhibitor of the Jak/Stat pathway. J Med Chem. 2011; 54(1):262–276. [PubMed: 21138246]
- 108••. Hedvat M, Huszar D, Herrmann A, et al. The JAK2 inhibitor AZD1480 potently blocks Stat3 signaling and oncogenesis in solid tumors. Cancer Cell. 2009; 16(6):487–497. Showed that selective inhibition of STAT3 is not cytotoxic to tumor cells in culture but results in tumor growth inhibition *in vivo*. [PubMed: 19962667]
- 109. Scuto A, Krejci P, Popplewell L, et al. The novel JAK inhibitor AZD1480 blocks STAT3 and FGFR3 signaling, resulting in suppression of human myeloma cell growth and survival. Leukemia. 2011; 25(3):538–550. [PubMed: 21164517]
- 110•. Xin H, Herrmann A, Reckamp K, et al. Antiangiogenic and antimetastatic activity of JAK inhibitor AZD1480. Cancer Res. 2011; 71(21):6601–6610. Shows that JAK inhibition leads to reductions in angiogenesis and metastasis, two of the hallmarks of STAT3 signaling. Furthermore, immune cell infiltration into tumors was inhibited. [PubMed: 21920898]
- 111. Loveless ME, Lawson D, Collins M, et al. Comparisons of the efficacy of a Jak1/2 inhibitor (AZD1480) with a VEGF signaling inhibitor (cediranib) and sham treatments in mouse tumors using DCE-MRI, DW-MRI, and histology. Neoplasia. 2012; 14(1):54–64. [PubMed: 22355274]
- 112. Looyenga BD, Hutchings D, Cherni I, Kingsley C, Weiss GJ, Mackeigan JP. STAT3 is activated by JAK2 independent of key oncogenic driver mutations in non-small cell lung carcinoma. PLoS ONE. 2012; 7(2):e30820. [PubMed: 22319590]
- 113•. Coward J, Kulbe H, Chakravarty P, et al. Interleukin-6 as a therapeutic target in human ovarian cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2011; 17(18):6083–6096. IL-6 antibodies have entered clinical trials. This paper shows that blockade of IL-6 inhibits STAT3 phosphorylation as expected, but is not cytotoxic to cultured tumor cells. [PubMed: 21795409]

Executive summary

Background

- **•** Several types of breast cancer, including triple-negative and inflammatory breast cancer have dysregulated growth and metastatic signaling pathways in which SH2 domains play integral parts.
- **•** SH2 domains are involved in key protein–protein interactions that transmit aberrant cell growth signals in several molecular pathways in most human cancers, including breast cancer.
- **•** Targeting SH2 domains is an untapped potential modality for treating breast cancers.
- **•** Grb2, Grb7 and STAT3 contribute to aberrant signaling pathways in breast cancer and the SH2 domains of these proteins have been targeted by industrial, government and academic laboratories.
- **•** SH2 domains recognize phosphotyrosine residues and have been challenging to target in cells and tissues because the required dense negative charge on ligands or inhibitors has been difficult to deliver. The pharmaceutical industry was not successful in developing clinical candidates targeting SH2 domains.

Inhibitors targeting Grb2

- **•** Research on peptidomimetic inhibitors of Grb2 indicates that free phosphonates are capable of crossing cell membranes and inhibiting protein–protein interactions mediated by the SH2 domain. As phosphonates, these materials have a charge of -1 at physiological pH.
- **•** Certain breast cancer cell lines are sensitive to Grb2 inhibition. Thus, patients will have to be stratified as to which will likely respond to this treatment.

Inhibitors targeting Grb7

- **•** Cyclic peptide inhibitors provided proof-of-principle that inhibition of Grb7 is a potential treatment modality for breast cancer. Furthermore, they served as structural templates for the identification of small-molecule inhibitors.
- **•** Low-affinity small-molecule inhibitors have been identified in initial screens but optimization is needed to properly assess their potential.

Specificity of inhibitors for Grb2 & Grb7

• Grb2 and Grb7 both recognize pTyr–Xaa–Asn motifs. Selective inhibition of one over the other must be demonstrated to fully understand the consequences of blocking their SH2 domains on the biology of breast cancer cells *in vitro* as well as in *in vivo* breast cancer models.

Inhibitors targeting STAT3

• Research with upstream blockers of IL-6 and JAK inhibitors, as well as phosphopeptide mimetic prodrugs targeting the SH2 domain, shows that

selective inhibition of Tyr705 phosphorylation of STAT3, the activation event for this protein, does not lead to direct killing of cancer cells, including breast cancer cells. In tumor models *in vivo*, tumor growth inhibition appears to correlate with inhibition of angiogenesis and possibly impaired tumor immune evasion.

- **•** By inference, if a small molecule inhibits tumor cell proliferation, it likely perturbs off-targets pathways leading to cell death.
- **•** Two small-molecule inhibitors (i.e., STA-21 and cryptotanshinone) do not bind to the SH2 domain of STAT3. Given their quinonoid structures, it is likely that they inhibit cell growth by off-target effects.
- **•** Research with hydroxysalicylate inhibitors of STAT3 also show that a negatively charged compound can enter cells and disrupt the function of the SH2 domain of this target.
- **•** Peptide mimetic prodrugs that selectively target the SH2 domain of STAT3 reduce the growth of human breast tumor xenografts in murine models, accompanied by a significant reduction of angiogenesis.
- **•** Compounds with both cytotoxic and STAT3 inhibitory properties will likely be effective therapeutics due to both cancer cell killing and reduction of supporting angiogenesis, provided that overall toxicity is acceptable.
- **•** STAT3 inhibitors represent a novel class of antiangiogenic agents.

Figure 1. Peptidomimetic inhibitors of Grb2.

Morlacchi et al. Page 23

Figure 2. Non-phosphorylated cyclic peptide inhibitors targeting the SH2 domain of Grb7 ITC: Isothermal calorimetry.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Figure 3. Non-peptidic inhibitors of Grb7 discovered by computational screening ITC: Isothermal calorimetry.

Figure 4. Small-molecule inhibitors proposed to target the SH2 domain of STAT3 Binding affinities for these compounds were not reported.

Figure 5. Neither STA-21 nor cryptotanshinone exhibit affinity for the SH2 domain of STAT3 Competition of the binding of FAM–Ala–pTyr–Leu–Gln–Thr–Val– NH2 to STAT3 measured by fluorescence polarization using the conditions of Coleman *et al.* [64] (FAM = 5-carboxygluorescein). Fluorescent-peptide (10 nM) and full-length STAT3 (80 nM) were incubated with increasing concentrations of phosphopeptide, STA-21, or cryptotanshinone and polarization was read.

Morlacchi et al. Page 27

Figure 6. Small-molecule STAT3 inhibitors SPR: Surface plasmon resonance.

Figure 7. Peptidomimetic inhibitors of STAT3 derived from Pro–pTyr–Leu–Lys–Thr–Lys FP: Fluorescence polarization.

Figure 8. Small-molecule STAT3 inhibitors derived from S3I-201/NSC-74859 FP: Fluorescence polarization; SPR: Surface plasmon resonance.

Morlacchi et al. Page 30

Figure 9. Peptidomimetic inhibitors of STAT3 derived from gp130 Tyr904 FP: Fluorescence polarization.