

Inhibitory Effects of C_2 to C_{10} 1-Alkynes on Ammonia Oxidation in Two Nitrososphaera Species

A. E. Taylor,^a K. Taylor,^b B. Tennigkeit,^b M. Palatinszky,^c M. Stieglmeier,^{d,e} D. D. Myrold,^a C. Schleper,^d M. Wagner,^c P. J. Bottomley^{a,b}

Department of Crop and Soil Science, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, USA^a; Department of Microbiology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, USA^b; Department of Microbial Ecology, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria^c; Department of Ecogenomics and Systems Biology, Archaea Biology and Ecogenomics Division, University of Vienna, Austria^d; Department of Biology I, Biocenter LMU Munich, Planegg-Martinsried, Germany^e

A previous study showed that ammonia oxidation by the *Thaumarchaeota Nitrosopumilus maritimus* (group 1.1a) was resistant to concentrations of the C_8 1-alkyne, octyne, which completely inhibits activity by ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. In this study, the inhibitory effects of octyne and other C_2 to C_{10} 1-alkynes were evaluated on the nitrite production activity of two pure culture isolates from *Thaumarchaeota* group 1.1b, *Nitrososphaera viennensis* strain EN76 and *Nitrososphaera gargensis*. Both *N. viennensis* and *N. gargensis* were insensitive to concentrations of octyne that cause complete and irreversible inactivation of nitrite production by ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. However, octyne concentrations ($\geq 20 \mu$ M) that did not inhibit *N. maritimus* partially inhibited nitrite production in *N. viennensis* and *N. gargensis* in a manner that did not show the characteristics of irreversible inactivation. In contrast to previous studies with an ammonia-oxidizing bacterium, *Nitrosomonas europaea*, octyne inhibition of *N. viennensis* was: (i) fully and immediately reversible, (ii) not competitive with NH₄⁺, and (iii) without effect on the competitive interaction between NH₄⁺ and acetylene. Both *N. viennensis* and *N. gargensis* demonstrated the same overall trend in regard to 1-alkyne inhibition as previously observed for *N. maritimus*, being highly sensitive to $\leq C_5$ alkynes and more resistant to longer-chain length alkynes. Reproducible differences were observed among *N. maritimus*, *N. viennensis*, and *N. gargensis* in regard to the extent of their resistance/sensitivity to C_6 and C_7 1-alkynes, which may indicate differences in the ammonia monooxygenase binding and catalytic site(s) among the *Thaumarchaeota*.

ith only a few isolates of ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) available in pure/axenic culture, very little is known about the physiological and biochemical differences that might exist across the broad genotypic range of AOA (1-3) or how AOA physiology differs from that of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB). Although it is generally believed that both AOA and AOB initiate the oxidation of NH₃ with ammonia monooxygenase (AMO), there is evidence that suggests some aspects of NH₃ oxidation are probably different between them. For example, the NO scavenger 2-phenyl-4,4,5,5-tetramethylimidazoline-1-oxide-3-oxyl (PTIO) inhibits NH₃ oxidation by AOA and has little effect on AOB activity (4-6), suggesting that the intermediary products of NH₃ oxidation might be fundamentally different in AOA and AOB. Furthermore, whereas NH₃-oxidizing activity in AOB is inhibited by low concentrations (0.4 to 10 μ M) of the Cu chelator, allylthiourea (ATU) (4, 7–9), the ATU sensitivity of NH_3 oxidation by AOA is more variable. For example, NH₃-oxidizing activity of Nitrososphaera gargensis is only partially inhibited by 100 µM ATU (10), and 500 µM ATU is required to completely stop NH3-oxidizing activity in Nitrososphaera viennensis strain EN76 (4). In contrast, NH₃ oxidation by an obligate acidophilic AOA, Nitrosotalea devanaterra, is completely inhibited by 50 to 100 μ M ATU (11).

Recently, Taylor et al. (12) showed that NO₂⁻ production by the marine AOA, *Nitrosopumilus maritimus*, was unaffected by 20 μ M aqueous concentration (C_{aq}) of C_8 and C_9 1-alkynes, whereas NH₃-oxidizing activity of AOB is completely and quickly (\leq 30 min) inactivated by 1 to 5 μ M C_{aq} of 1-alkynes with a chain length of C₂ to C₁₀ (13). A short-term assay, based upon the difference in sensitivity of AOA and AOB to 1-octyne, was subsequently developed to measure the contributions of AOA and AOB to soil nitrifying activity. With the availability of more AOA isolates in pure culture, we deemed it important to confirm if the octyne resistance properties of *N. maritimus* were similar among other AOA isolates, and particularly among the group 1.1b lineage of *Thaumarchaeota*, whose members are widely distributed in terrestrial environments (2, 14). We report here the results of studies carried out to assess the effects of 1-alkynes of various chain lengths on the NH₃-oxidizing activity of two axenic culture isolates of AOA from group 1.1b, namely, *Nitrososphaera viennensis* strain EN76 (15, 16) and *Nitrososphaera gargensis* (1, 10). Both strains showed similar, albeit not identical 1-alkyne chain length inhibition profiles to *N. maritimus*. However, both strains were partially inhibited by \geq 20 µM octyne, which led to additional studies to compare the inhibitory properties of octyne with those of the more extensively studied alkyne, acetylene.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals. NH₄Cl and linear aliphatic 1-alkynes (C_3 to $C_{10} \ge 97\%$) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO), and acetylene (C_2H_2) was obtained from Airgas (Radnor, PA).

Cultures. *Nitrososphaera viennensis* strain EN76, here referred to as *N. viennensis*, was cultivated at its optimal growth temperature of 42°C in a

Received 7 November 2014 Accepted 18 December 2014

Accepted manuscript posted online 9 January 2015

Citation Taylor AE, Taylor K, Tennigkeit B, Palatinszky M, Stieglmeier M, Myrold DD, Schleper C, Wagner M, Bottomley PJ. 2015. Inhibitory effects of C₂ to C₁₀ 1-alkynes on ammonia oxidation in two *Nitrososphaera* species. Appl Environ Microbiol 81:1942–1948. doi:10.1128/AEM.03688-14.

Editor: R. E. Parales

Address correspondence to A. E. Taylor, anne.taylor@oregonstate.edu. Copyright © 2015, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/AEM.03688-14 freshwater medium (FWM) (16, 17), supplemented with 2 mM NH_4^+ and 1 mM pyruvate. Growth was routinely followed by measuring NO₂⁻ production as described previously (12). Nitrososphaera gargensis has now been obtained into pure culture (M. Palatinszky et al., unpublished data) and was cultivated at its optimal growth temperature of 46°C in a carbonate media (18) supplemented with 2 mM NH_4^+ . During routine growth of N. gargensis, further additions of 2 mM NH_4^+ were made after NH_4^+ was consumed. Cultures that had consumed a total of 8 to 10 mM NH₄ were used for experimental purposes. The excess insoluble carbonate in the N. gargensis medium provides: (i) buffering against the acidification that occurs with 10 mM NO₂⁻ production and (ii) is thought to provide a growth-promoting surface for N. gargensis. The presence of the carbonate provides challenges during experimental manipulations. For example, harvesting and concentration of the culture leads to a dense suspension of carbonate that settles immediately upon onset of static conditions. This phenomenon makes it difficult to dispense uniform aliquots of cells to experimental replicates. Because of the challenges posed by the excess carbonate in the media, only a subset of the experiments were performed with N. gargensis. As expected, the N. gargensis cultures grown with 8 to 10 mM NH₄⁺ yielded higher protein concentrations (132.6 \pm 21.0 µg of protein/ml of culture) than the N. viennensis cultures grown with 2 mM NH_4^{+} (9.0 ± 0.3 µg of protein/ml of culture). However, the N. gargensis cultures had much lower rates of NO₂⁻ production when expressed on a milligram protein basis. Protein concentrations were determined by using a Pierce BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) as described by the manufacturer.

Octyne sensitivity. Octyne stocks were prepared as described previously (12). N. viennensis was cultivated at 42°C in 200-ml volumes of FWM. After accumulation of 1.8 to 2 mM NO₂⁻, the cultures were harvested by centrifugation (10,000 \times g, 15 min) and concentrated 100-fold by resuspension in 2 ml of fresh FWM supplemented with 1 mM pyruvate but minus NH4⁺. N. gargensis was cultivated at 46°C in 200 ml of carbonate medium supplemented with a total addition of 8 to 10 mM NH₄⁺, harvested by centrifugation (10,000 \times g, 15 min), and concentrated 100fold by resuspension in 2 ml of fresh carbonate medium minus NH_4^+ . Aliquots (100 µl) of N. viennensis or N. gargensis cell suspensions were added to 10-ml portions of their respective growth media supplemented with 1 mM $\mathrm{NH_4}^+$ that had been pre-equilibrated with octyne (0, 10, 20, or 40 µM) for 30 min at either 42 or 46°C for N. viennensis and N. gargensis, respectively. Bottles were incubated as described above and sampled periodically over 6 h to quantify the rate of accumulation of NO₂⁻. Additional incubations were carried out with N. viennensis at two different temperatures (35 and 46°C) to evaluate the sensitivity of NO₂⁻ production to 10 µM octyne. An experiment was performed at 42°C in the presence or absence of 1 mM pyruvate to determine whether the latter influenced the rate and sensitivity of NO₂⁻ production to 10 μ M octyne.

Sensitivity of AOA isolates to C2 to C10 alkynes. A preliminary experiment was conducted in bottles containing alkynes pre-equilibrated at 30, 42, and 46°C. Headspace alkyne concentrations were monitored by using gas chromatography. There was no significant difference in headspace alkyne concentrations between bottles incubated across this temperature range, indicating that temperature shifts over this magnitude did not change the gas/aqueous phase-partitioning in the assay bottles sufficient to modify the aqueous concentration (C_{aq}) of alkynes. N. viennensis and N. gargensis were grown and harvested as described above, and 100-foldconcentrated cell aliquots (100 µl) were added to 10-ml portions of their respective growth media supplemented with 1 mM NH_4^+ that had been pre-equilibrated for 30 min with 10 μ M C_{aq} of each alkyne (C₂ to C₁₀) in 125-ml bottles sealed with gray butyl stoppers (12). This specific alkyne concentration was chosen after it had been determined that 10 µM octyne had no effect on NH₃ oxidation by either N. viennensis or N. gargensis but was previously shown to be more than sufficient to inactivate AOB (12). Bottles were incubated at 42 or 46°C for N. viennensis and N. gargensis, respectively, and NO₂⁻ accumulation monitored over 8 h.

Characteristics of acetylene and octyne inhibition of NO_2^- production by *N. viennensis.* (i) Kinetic relationships between NH_4^+ and alkyne inhibition of *N. viennensis.* Aliquots of a *N. viennensis* cell suspension were exposed to different concentrations of either octyne (30 or 40 μ M) or acetylene (1, 3, or 6 μ M) in the presence of various concentrations of NH_4^+ (0.01 to 2 mM) at 42°C. Initial rates of NO_2^- production were determined by sampling every 15 min for a total of 90 to 120 min, and the data were plotted in double-reciprocal Lineweaver-Burk plots.

(ii) Examining the influence of octyne on the relationship between NH_4^+ concentration and acetylene on the inhibition of NO_2^- production by *N. viennensis*. Aliquots of *N. viennensis* were exposed to 30 μ M octyne with increasing concentrations of NH_4^+ (0.25 to 2 mM) for 15 min, followed by the addition of 1 μ M acetylene. NO_2^- accumulation was evaluated at 30-min intervals for 120 min at 42°C, and analysis of variance (ANOVA) of rates determined differences in response to acetylene, octyne, or the combination of acetylene and octyne. Controls included treatments without alkyne and a treatment containing 1 μ M acetylene minus octyne.

(iii) Time course of recovery of NO₂⁻ production in *N. viennensis* following inhibition by acetylene or octyne. Aliquots of a *N. viennensis* cell suspension were exposed to either 40 μ M octyne or 6 μ M acetylene with an initial NH₄⁺ concentration of 0.5 mM for 2 h, along with a minus-alkyne control also incubated for 2 h. This concentration of NH₄⁺ was chosen to minimize the potential for competition with acetylene. After the alkyne exposure period, bottles were degassed to remove the alkynes (12). Additional NH₄⁺ was added to increase the concentration to 2 mM for optimal growth conditions, and the recovery of NO₂⁻ production was monitored by sampling at 60-min intervals over 4 h.

(iv) Hydroxylamine-dependent $\mathrm{NO_2}^-$ production by a cetylene- and octyne-treated N. viennensis. It is well established that hydroxylaminedependent NO2⁻ production is unaffected by alkyne inactivation of AMO in AOB and has been used on several occasions to infer that alkynes specifically target AMO and have no effect on downstream enzymes in the NO₂⁻ production pathway (19, 20). Aliquots of N. viennensis cell suspensions were exposed to 18 µM acetylene or 40 µM octyne, with 2 mM NH_4^+ , and a range of hydroxylamine concentrations (20 to 400 μ M), and evidence for hydroxylamine-dependent NO2⁻ accumulation was sought by incubation at 42°C and sampling at 15-min intervals for 2 h. Abiotic controls containing only growth medium plus hydroxylamine were included. We were unable to measure significant hydroxylamine-dependent NO₂⁻ production in octyne-inhibited or acetylene-inactivated cultures (P > 0.3). Furthermore, hydroxylamine-dependent NO₂⁻ production could not be measured in N. viennensis cultures immediately after total depletion of NH4⁺ and untreated with either acetylene or octyne.

Statistics. SigmaPlot 12.5 (Systat Software, Inc., San Jose, CA) was used to perform statistical analyses. ANOVA was used to determine whether the differences in the mean values among the treatment groups were greater than would be expected by chance. If there was a statistically significant difference the Holm-Sidak method of all pairwise multiple-comparison procedure was used to isolate the group or groups that differed from the others. A nonlinear regression procedure was used to estimate *N. viennensis* K_m for NH₄⁺ in the presence of 1 μ M acetylene. The best-fit slopes of the relationship between NO₂⁻ production and NH₄⁺ concentration in regression of double-reciprocal plots.

RESULTS

Sensitivity of *N. viennensis* and *N. gargensis* to octyne. Initial work focused on the response of NO₂⁻ production by *N. viennensis* and *N. gargensis* to different concentrations of octyne (C₈). The rate of NO₂⁻ production by *N. viennensis* in the presence of 10 μ M octyne was not significantly different (*P* > 0.05) than the rate in the no-alkyne control (175 ± 20 nmol/min/mg of protein, Fig. 1A) at the optimal growth temperature of 42°C. However, 20 and 40 μ M octyne partially inhibited NO₂⁻ accumulation to 58



FIG 1 Response of NO_2^- production by *N. viennensis* (A) and *N. gargensis* (B) to different aqueous concentrations of octyne (Oct). Cell suspensions were added to their respective media pre-equilibrated with Oct in the presence of 1 mM NH_4^+ . Bottles were incubated at 42 or 46°C for *N. viennensis* and *N. gargensis*, respectively, and the accumulation of NO_2^- was monitored. Experiments were repeated three times (in triplicate) with similar results. Error bars represent the standard deviations of three replicate bottles of each treatment.

and 33%, respectively, of the activity of the no-alkyne control. Initial rates of NO₂⁻ production (0 to 6 h) by *N. viennensis* were also insensitive to 10 μ M octyne at 35 or 46°C ($P \ge 0.1$), and the presence or absence of 1 mM pyruvate had no effect on the inhibitory effects of octyne ($P \ge 0.1$). The rate of NO₂⁻ production by N. gargensis was not affected by the presence of 10 μ M octyne (P > 0.1) compared to the rate in the no-alkyne control (3.3 \pm 1.1 nmol/min/mg of protein, Fig. 1B). As with N. viennensis, NO₂⁻ accumulation was partially inhibited by 20 and 40 µM octyne to 56 and 29%, respectively, of the activity of the no-alkyne control. In the case of both AOA isolates, NO_2^- production in the presence of 20 and 40 μM octyne continued at a constant rate for at least 24 h (data not shown), suggesting that octyne was not behaving as a turnover-dependent irreversible inactivator of AMO. Octyne concentrations in the headspace of the biological treatments at 24 h were no different than in abiotic controls (P > 0.17), providing no evidence of substantial consumption by the AOA. In addition, gas chromatographic analysis provided no evidence of trace contamination of octyne by other volatile compounds that might explain partial inhibition by $\geq 20 \ \mu M$ octyne.

Sensitivity of AOA isolates to C_2 to C_{10} alkynes. To determine whether there was differential sensitivity to alkynes of various chain lengths, we compared the NO_2^- production response of N. viennensis and N. gargensis to C2 to C10 1-alkynes in the presence of 1 mM NH_4^+ (Fig. 2). There was a significant decrease in $NO_2^$ production compared to the no-alkyne control when N. viennensis was exposed to 10 μ M C₂ to C₅ and C₇ 1-alkynes (P < 0.05), but there was no significant difference (P > 0.05) in NO₂⁻ accumulation when exposed to C₆, and C₈ to C₁₀ alkynes. The reduced rate of NO₂⁻ production by N. viennensis in the presence of 10 μ M C₇ $(69\% \pm 1\% \text{ of control})$ continued at the same rate for at least 24 h, showing no sign of a time-dependent reduction of the rate as would be anticipated if C_7 was behaving as an inactivator of NO_2^{-1} production (data not shown). Although 10 µM C7 was inhibitory, 2.5 and 5.0 μ M C₇ did not have a significant effect on the rates of NO_2^{-} accumulation (P > 0.07). Furthermore, as described above for octyne, there was no evidence for substantial consumption of C_7 in the *N. viennensis* treatments after 24 h inhibition (P > 0.15), and no evidence of contamination of C₇ by other alkynes that might explain partial inhibition. In the case of N. gargensis, there was a significant inhibitory effect of 10 µM C2 to C5 but no significant effect of C_6 to C_{10} alkynes on NO_2^- accumulation (P > 0.05). In comparison, our previous work had also shown a significant inhibitory effect of C2 to C5 1-alkynes on NO2 production



FIG 2 Response of NO_2^- production by *N. viennensis* and *N. gargensis* when exposed to C_2 to C_{10} 1-alkynes. Cultures were harvested and aliquots of cell suspensions were added to treatments containing their respective media with 10 μ M C_{aq} of C_2 to C_{10} alkyne and 1 mM NH_4^+ . *N. viennensis* and *N. gargensis* were incubated at 42 and 46°C, respectively, and NO_2^- accumulation was measured. Experiments were repeated at least three times with similar results. Bars represent the average activity in three replicate treatments compared to the no-alkyne control. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the average.



FIG 3 Response of initial velocities of NO_2^- production by *N. viennensis* to acetylene (A and B) and octyne (C and D) at increasing concentrations of NH_4^+ . (A) Inhibition by 1 µM acetylene is overcome by increasing the NH_4^+ concentration. (B) Lineweaver-Burk double-reciprocal plot shows that the slopes for each acetylene concentration differ, indicating competitive inhibition. (C) V_i decreases with higher octyne concentrations, but octyne inhibition cannot be overcome by increasing NH_4^+ . (D) Lineweaver-Burk double-reciprocal plots intercept the $1/V_0$ axis at different values indicating noncompetitive inhibition. Error bars represent the standard deviations of three replicates.

by the marine AOA *N. maritimus* whereas 20 μ M C₆ and C₇ 1-alkynes were partially inhibitory (56% ± 2% and 58% ± 4%, respectively), and 20 μ M C₈ and C₉ 1-alkynes had no effect (12).

Characteristics of acetylene and octyne inhibition of NO₂⁻ production by *N. viennensis.* Because NO₂⁻ production rates in both strains were partially inhibited by $\geq 20 \ \mu$ M octyne but showed no sign of time-dependent rate reduction, several experiments were conducted to compare in more detail the characteristics of acetylene and octyne inhibition in *N. viennensis*.

(i) Kinetic relationships between NH_4^+ and alkyne inhibition of *N. viennensis.* The first experiment compared the concentration-dependent inhibition of NO_2^- production by acetylene and octyne. In the absence of acetylene or octyne the rate of NO_2^- production by *N. viennensis* was saturated with NH_4^+ concentrations from 0.01 to 2.0 mM (Fig. 3A). In the presence of 1 μ M acetylene and 0.25 mM NH_4^+ , the initial rate of NO_2^- production (V_i) was inhibited to 47% of the control, but the inhibition could be completely overcome by increasing the NH_4^+ concentration to 1 mM (Fig. 3A). When acetylene concentrations were increased to 3 and 6 μ M, inhibition could not be completely overcome by increasing the NH_4^+ concentration to 2 mM. These results suggest that acetylene and NH_4^+ are competitive substrates for binding at

the active site. Although $V_{\rm max}$ was achieved in the presence of 1 μ M acetylene by raising the NH₄⁺ concentration, the apparent K_m increased from ≤ 0.01 mM to 0.55 \pm 0.08 mM NH₄⁺. Although there are no published values of the K_s for NH_4^+/NH_3 in these particular AOA isolates, a maximum velocity of NO₂⁻ production was achieved with 10 μ M NH₄⁺/NH₃ in the absence of acetylene (Fig. 3A). When the responses of the rates of NO_2^- production to a range of acetylene and NH₄⁺ concentrations were plotted in a double-reciprocal plot (Fig. 3B), the lines representing each inhibitor concentration had a different slope, also diagnostic of competitive inhibition. In contrast, there was no significant effect of NH_4^+ concentration (P > 0.07) on V_i at any inhibitory octyne concentration, indicating that octype inhibition could not be overcome with the addition of higher concentrations of NH4 (Fig. 3C). When the responses of NO_2^- production to a range of octyne and NH4⁺ concentrations were plotted in a double-reciprocal plot the intercepts on the y axis are distinctively different (Fig. 3D). This result would be expected if inhibition by octyne was noncompetitive with respect to NH_4^+ .

(ii) Examining the influence of octyne on the relationship between NH_4^+ concentration and acetylene on the inhibition of NO_2^- production by *N. viennensis*. An additional experiment

TABLE 1 Comparison of the response of rates of NO₂⁻ production by *N. viennensis* in a minus-alkyne control to treatments exposed to 1 μ M acetylene, 30 μ M octyne, or a combination of acetylene and octyne at different concentrations of NH₄^{+a}

NH4 ⁺ concn (mM)	$\mathrm{NO_2}^-$ production (nmol/ml/h) after exposure to various alkyne treatments			
	Control	Acetylene	Octyne	Acetylene + octyne
2.0	13.1 (1.9) ^A	11.5 (2.5) ^A	6.5 (2.6) ^A	$6.7(1.1)^{A}$
1.0	15.5 (3.8) ^A	$11.7 (2.4)^{A}$	$4.9(1.3)^{A}$	$4.9(0.6)^{A}$
0.5	$14.0(2.3)^{A}$	$7.2(1.7)^{AB}$	$5.1(1.2)^{A}$	$1.6 (0.7)^{B}$
0.25	$16.9 (0.8)^{A}$	$4.1(1.3)^{B}$	$4.9(1.5)^{A}$	$1.0 (0.3)^{B}$

^{*a*} The acetylene-octyne samples were exposed to 30 μ M octyne for 15 min before the addition of 1 μ M acetylene. Values in parentheses represent the standard deviations of three replicates. Different superscript letters indicate significant differences ($P \le 0.03$) between different NH₄⁺ concentrations within a specific alkyne treatment. Values with superscript letters in common are not significantly different.

was conducted to confirm that the mechanisms of octyne and acetylene inhibition differed. We reasoned that if octyne does not interact at the same site as acetylene it should not affect the competitive behavior between acetylene and NH4⁺. N. viennensis was treated with octyne in some treatments before adding acetylene (Table 1). In the no-alkyne control $V_{\rm max}$ was saturated by all NH_4^+ concentrations (0.25 to 2 mM NH_4^+ , P > 0.3). When N. viennensis was treated with 1 µM acetylene, there was a statistically significant effect of NH₄⁺ concentration on V_{max} ($P \le 0.005$), as shown earlier (Fig. 3). In contrast, the addition of 30 µM octyne decreased V_{max} (P < 0.01), but there was no significant protective effect of increasing NH₄⁺ concentration on the rate of NO₂⁻ production (0.25 to 2 mM NH₄⁺, P > 0.3). When N. viennensis was treated with octyne prior to acetylene, there was a statistically significant protective effect of increasing NH4⁺ concentrations on the rate of octyne-insensitive, acetylene-sensitive NO₂⁻ production ($P \leq 0.005$), indicating that the competitive behavior between NH_4^+ and acetylene was not modified by the presence of octyne. This result gives additional support, suggesting that octyne is not functioning akin to acetylene as a competitive inhibitor of NH_4^+/NH_3 oxidation.

(iii) Time course of recovery of NO₂⁻ production in N. viennensis following inhibition by acetylene or octyne. It is known that acetylene is an irreversible inactivator of AMO of AOA (12, 21) and AOB (22). Recovery from inactivation requires new AMO protein synthesis resulting in a lag of several hours for resumption of maximum NO₂⁻ production. Previously, Vajrala et al. (21) showed that the eukaryotic protein synthesis inhibitor, cycloheximide, prevented recovery of NO₂⁻ production in N. maritimus after acetylene inhibition. In the case of N. viennensis, the same concentration range of cycloheximide slowed but did not prevent recovery of NO2⁻ production after inactivation with acetylene (data not shown). The lack of an unambiguous protein synthesis inhibitor for N. viennensis made us reliant upon the comparative time course of recovery of NO2⁻ production after exposure to acetylene or octyne. After exposure of N. viennensis to either 6 µ.M acetylene or 40 μ M octyne, NO₂⁻ production was inhibited to 0 or 21%, respectively, of the control (Fig. 4). After the alkynes were removed by degassing, the initial rates of NO₂⁻ production during the first hour of the recovery period averaged 32% (acetylene) and 96% (octyne) of the no-alkyne control, respectively, indicating that the majority of octyne inhibition was quickly reversible. In the case of acetylene, 3 h were required for the NO₂⁻ production rate to reach the rate of the control treatment. A "starved" control incubated without NH₄⁺ for 2 h immediately accumulated NO₂⁻ at the same rate as the minus alkyne control upon the addition of NH₄⁺ (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

In an earlier study, we showed that NO₂⁻ production by the marine AOA, N. maritimus (Thaumarchaeota group 1.1a) was very resistant to inhibition by $\geq C_7$ 1-alkynes in comparison to the AOB, N. europaea and Nitrosospira multiformis (12). In the present study, we confirm that NO₂⁻ production by pure culture isolates of N. viennensis strain EN76 (15, 16) and N. gargensis (10), both members of Thaumarchaeota group 1.1b, show the same overall trend, being highly sensitive to $\leq C_5$ and much more resistant to longer-chain-length alkynes. They are also insensitive to concentrations of the C8 alkyne, octyne, that cause complete and irreversible inactivation of NH3 oxidation in AOB. These observations provide direct evidence that octyne-resistant NO₂⁻ production is a property of members of the phylogenetically distinct Thaumarchaeota group 1.1b and strengthens the idea that octyne might be exploited to differentiate between the relative activities of AOA and AOB in different natural environments where groups 1.1a and 1.1b are found.

Our observations that higher concentrations of octyne partially inhibit AOA activity in a manner that is (i) time independent, (ii) fully reversible, (iii) not competitive with NH_4^+ , and (iv) without effect on the competitive interaction between NH_4^+ and acetylene raises the possibility that kinetic and catalytic properties of AMO from AOA and AOB might differ. For example, the observation that octyne inhibition of NO_2^- production in *N. viennensis* is noncompetitive with respect to NH_4^+ concentration



FIG 4 Response of NO₂⁻ production by *N. viennensis* after acetylene or octyne exposure. Cells were exposed for 2 h to either 6 µM acetylene or 40 µM octyne in media with 0.5 mM NH₄⁺. Alkyne and no-alkyne treatments were then degassed and NH₄⁺ increased to 2 mM. NO₂⁻ accumulation was monitored after alkyne exposure for 4 h. Bars represent the average activity in three replicate treatments. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the average. Lowercase letters indicate the significance ($P \le 0.02$) of sampling time within a specific treatment. Values that have lowercase letters in common are not significantly different.

(23), suggests that octyne binds to an alternate (non-NH₃) binding site on AMO. This observation relates to earlier kinetic work of Keener et al. (24), who showed that, whereas NH₄⁺ and acetylene competed for the same binding site on AMO of *N. europaea*, NH₄⁺ concentration did not affect the rate of inactivation of *N. europaea* AMO by either 1- or 3-hexyne. These authors suggested that *N. europaea* AMO contained different binding sites or different access channels to the active site for small substrates (e.g., NH₃, CH₄, and acetylene) versus larger substrates (e.g., 1- and 3-hexyne). Indeed, Keener and Arp (25) also showed that most alternate substrates for *N. europaea* AMO are noncompetitive inhibitors of NH₃ oxidation. The specific reason(s) why octyne inhibits rather than inactivates AOA AMO are unknown and require further study.

Our data on the inhibitory properties of alkynes of different chain length on AOA AMO are worthy of discussion in context with the topic of substrate range of the membrane-bound, Cucontaining methane monooxygenase (pMMO). Whereas it makes intuitive sense to compare characteristics of AMO from AOA and AOB, a suggestion has been made recently that AOA amo sequences are more closely related to the particulate methane monooxygenase (pmo) of the Verrucomicrobia phylum than to AOB *amo* sequences (26). It has been known for many years that pMMOs of the well-studied methanotrophs, Methylosinus trichosporium OB3b and Methylococcus capsulatus (Bath), exhibit a hydrocarbon substrate range that extends beyond CH₄ and yet, are restricted to short-chain alkanes (C_2 to C_5) and alkenes (C_2 to C_4) (27–29). It has been suggested that the chain-length-limited substrate range of pMMO is due to the active site of the enzyme being located in a hydrophobic pocket that cannot accommodate alkanes of $>C_5$, and there is speculation that the pocket might have separate binding sites for CH_4 versus the larger substrates (28–31). Perhaps, it is not unreasonable to link our own data with the above-mentioned properties of pMMO to suggest that AOA AMO might also contain a binding pocket resembling pMMO that is either too small to freely accommodate $\geq C_6$ 1-alkynes or constrained sufficiently to allow binding but does not allow turnover of the longer 1-alkynes. Clearly, since AMO of N. europaea oxidizes C₂ to C₈ alkanes and is also inactivated by C₂ to C₈ 1-alkynes, it is reasonable to conclude that the substrate range and catalytic properties of the two AMOs are different.

Furthermore, the reproducible differences in alkyne-chainlength sensitivity among the three isolates of *Thaumarchaeota* may also indicate differences in the properties of the AMO among the AOA. Whether such differences have ecological significance awaits further study.

Acetylene specifically and irreversibly inactivates AMO of *N.* europaea and under that inactivated condition *N. europaea* demonstrates hydroxylamine-dependent NO_2^- production at a rate identical to NH_3 -driven NO_2^- production (19, 20). *N. maritimus* also demonstrates hydroxylamine-dependent NO_2^- production when inactivated with acetylene (32), suggesting that acetylene inhibition is AMO specific, and led those authors to suggest that hydroxylamine is an intermediate in NH_4^+ oxidation in AOA, as well as AOB. However, we cannot rule out that partial inhibition by octyne occurs at a site other than AMO since we were unable to measure hydroxylamine-dependent NO_2^- production in cultures of *N. viennensis* either partially inhibited by octyne, inactivated with acetylene, or under NH_4^+ -depleted conditions. Much remains to be resolved regarding the mechanism of NH_3/NH_4^+ oxidation in AOA (33), and further work is needed to determine whether there are fundamental differences among the AOA.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by USDA NIFA award 2012-67019-3028, an Oregon Agricultural Research Foundation competitive grant, and the Agricultural Experiment Station. M.P. and M.W. were supported by a grant from the European Research Council (advanced grant NITRICARE 294343).

We thank N. Vajrala for valuable discussion on hydroxylamine experiments.

REFERENCES

- Spang A, Poehlein A, Offre P, Zumbragel S, Haider S, Rychlik N, Nowka B, Schmeisser C, Lebedeva EV, Rattei T, Bohm C, Schmid M, Galushko A, Hatzenpichler R, Weinmaier T, Daniel R, Schleper C, Spieck E, Streit W, Wagner M. 2012. The genome of the ammoniaoxidizing *Candidatus* Nitrososphaera gargensis: insights into metabolic versatility and environmental adaptations. Environ Microbiol 14:3122– 3145. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02893.x.
- Pester M, Rattei T, Flechl S, Grongroft A, Richter A, Overmann J, Reinhold-Hurek B, Loy A, Wagner M. 2012. *amoA*-based consensus phylogeny of ammonia-oxidizing archaea and deep sequencing of *amoA* genes from soils of four different geographic regions. Environ Microbiol 14:525–539. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02666.x.
- 3. Walker CB, de la Torre JR, Klotz MG, Urakawa H, Pinel N, Arp DJ, Brochier-Armanet C, Chain PSG, Chan PP, Gollabgir A, Hemp J, Hugler M, Karr EA, Konneke M, Shin M, Lawton TJ, Lowe T, Martens-Habbena W, Sayavedra-Soto LA, Lang D, Sievert SM, Rosenzweig AC, Manning G, Stahl DA. 2010. *Nitrosopumilus maritimus* genome reveals unique mechanisms for nitrification and autotrophy in globally distributed marine *Crenarchaea*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:8818–8823. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0913533107.
- Shen T, Stieglmeier M, Dai J, Urich T, Schleper C. 2013. Responses of the terrestrial ammonia-oxidizing archaeon *Ca. Nitrososphaera viennensis* and the ammonia-oxidizing bacterium *Nitrosospira multiformis* to nitrification inhibitors. FEMS Microbiol Lett 344:121–129. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1111/1574-6968.12164.
- Jung MY, Well R, Min D, Giesemann A, Park SJ, Kim JG, Kim SJ, Rhee SK. 2014. Isotopic signatures of N₂O produced by ammonia-oxidizing archaea from soils. ISME J 8:1115–1125. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ismej .2013.205.
- 6. Yan J, Haaijer SCM, den Camp HJMO, van Niftrik L, Stahl DA, Konneke M, Rush D, Damste JSS, Hu YY, Jetten MSM. 2012. Mimicking the oxygen minimum zones: stimulating interaction of aerobic archaeal and anaerobic bacterial ammonia oxidizers in a laboratory-scale model system. Environ Microbiol 14:3146–3158. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02894.x.
- Ginestet P, Audic JM, Urbain V, Block JC. 1998. Estimation of nitrifying bacterial activities by measuring oxygen uptake in the presence of the metabolic inhibitors allylthiourea and azide. Appl Environ Microbiol 64: 2266–2268.
- Hooper AB, Terry KR. 1973. Specific inhibitors of ammonia oxidation in Nitrosomonas. J Bacteriol 115:480–485.
- Bédard C, Knowles R. 1989. Physiology, biochemistry, and specific inhibitors of CH₄, NH₄⁺, and CO oxidation by methanotrophs and nitrifiers. Microbiol Rev 53:68–84.
- 10. Hatzenpichler R, Lebedeva EV, Spieck E, Stoecker K, Richter A, Daims H, Wagner M. 2008. A moderately thermophilic ammonia-oxidizing crenarchaeote from a hot spring. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105:2134–2139. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0708857105.
- Lehtovirta-Morley LE, Verhamme DT, Nicol GW, Prosser JI. 2013. Effect of nitrification inhibitors on the growth and activity of *Nitrosotalea devanaterra* in culture and soil. Soil Biol Biochem 62:129–133. http://dx. doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.01.020.
- 12. Taylor AE, Vajrala N, Giguere AT, Gitelman AI, Arp DJ, Myrold DD, Sayavedra-Soto L, Bottomley PJ. 2013. Use of aliphatic *n*-alkynes to discriminate soil nitrification activities of ammonia-oxidizing thaumarchaea and bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol **79**:6544–6551. http://dx.doi .org/10.1128/AEM.01928-13.
- 13. Hyman MR, Murton IB, Arp DJ. 1988. Interaction of ammonia mono-

oxygenase from *Nitrosomonas europaea* with alkanes, alkenes, and alkynes. Appl Environ Microbiol **54**:3187–3190.

- Gubry-Rangin C, Hai B, Quince C, Engel M, Thomson BC, James P, Schloter M, Griffiths RI, Prosser JI, Nicol GW. 2011. Niche specialization of terrestrial archaeal ammonia oxidizers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108:21206–21211. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1109000108.
- Tourna M, Stieglmeier M, Spang A, Konneke M, Schintlmeister A, Urich T, Engel M, Schloter M, Wagner M, Richter A, Schleper C. 2011. *Nitrososphaera viennensis*, an ammonia oxidizing archaeon from soil. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108:8420–8425. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas .1013488108.
- Stieglmeier M, Klingl A, Alves RJE, Rittmann SK-MR, Melcher M, Leisch N, Schleper C. 2014. *Nitrososphaera viennensis* gen. nov., sp. nov., an aerobic and mesophilic, ammonia-oxidizing archaeon from soil and a member of the archaeal phylum *Thaumarchaeota*. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 64:2738–2752. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.063172-0.
- Tourna M, Freitag TE, Nicol GW, Prosser JI. 2008. Growth, activity and temperature responses of ammonia-oxidizing archaea and bacteria in soil microcosms. Environ Microbiol 10:1357–1364. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111 /j.1462-2920.2007.01563.x.
- 18. Lebedeva EV, Hatzenpichler R, Pelletier E, Schuster N, Hauzmayer S, Bulaev A, Grigor'eva NV, Galushko A, Schmid M, Palatinszky M, Le Paslier D, Daims H, Wagner M. 2013. Enrichment and genome sequence of the group I.1a ammonia-oxidizing archaeon "*Ca. Nitrosotenuis uzonen*sis" representing a clade globally distributed in thermal habitats. PLoS One 8:e80835. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0080835.
- Hyman MR, Arp DJ. 1992. ¹⁴C₂H₂- and ¹⁴CO₂-labeling studies of the de novo synthesis of polypeptides by *Nitrosomonas europaea* during recovery from acetylene and light inactivation of ammonia monooxygenase. J Biol Chem 267:1524–1545.
- Hynes RK, Knowles R. 1978. Inhibition by acetylene of ammonia oxidation in *Nitrosomonas europaea*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 4:319–321.
- Vajrala N, Bottomley PJ, Stahl DA, Arp DJ, Sayavedra-Soto LA. 2014. Cycloheximide prevents the de novo polypeptide synthesis required to recover from acetylene inhibition in *Nitrosopumilus maritimus*. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 88:495–502. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1574-6941.12316.
- Hyman MR, Wood PM. 1985. Suicidal inactivation and labeling of ammonia monooxygenase by acetylene. Biochem J 227:719–725.
- 23. Mathews C, van Holde KE, Ahern K. 2000. Biochemistry. Benjamin/ Cummings, San Francisco, CA.

- Keener WK, Russell SA, Arp DJ. 1998. Kinetic characterization of the inactivation of ammonia monooxygenase in *Nitrosomonas europaea* by alkyne, aniline, and cyclopropane derivatives. Biochim Biophys Acta 1388:373–385.
- Keener WK, Arp DJ. 1993. Kinetic studies of ammonia monooxygenase inhibition in *Nitrosomonas europaea* by hydrocarbons and halogenated hydrocarbons in an optimized whole-cell assay. Appl Environ Microbiol 59:2501–2510.
- Tavormina P, Orphan V, Kalyuzhnaya M, Jetten MS, Klotz M. 2011. A novel family of functional operons encoding methane/ammonia monooxygenase-related proteins in gammaproteobacterial methanotrophs. Environ Microbiol Rep 3:91–100. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-2229 .2010.00192.x.
- Burrows KJ, Cornish A, Scott D, Higgins IJ. 1984. Substrate specificities of the soluble and particulate methane mono-oxygenases of *Methylosinus trichosporium* OB3b. J Gen Microbiol 130:3327–3333.
- Elliott SJ, Zhu M, Tso L, Nguyen HHT, Yip JHK, Chan SI. 1997. Regioand stereoselectivity of particulate methane monooxygenase from *Methylococcus capsulatus* (Bath). J Am Chem Soc 119:9949–9955.
- Culpepper MA, Rosenzweig AC. 2012. Architecture and active site of particulate methane monooxygenase. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 47:483– 492. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/10409238.2012.697865.
- Ng KY, Tu LC, Wang YS, Chan SI, Yu SSF. 2008. Probing the hydrophobic pocket of the active site in the particulate methane monooxygenase (pMMO) from *Methylococcus capsulatus* (Bath) by variable stereoselective alkane hydroxylation and olefin epoxidation. Chembiochem 9:1116– 1123. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cbic.200700628.
- 31. Miyaji A, Miyoshi T, Motokura K, Baba T. 2011. The substrate binding cavity of particulate methane monooxygenase from *Methylosinus tricho-sporium* OB3b expresses high enantioselectivity for *n*-butane and *n*-pentane oxidation to 2-alcohol. Biotechnol Lett 33:2241–2246. http://dx.doi .org/10.1007/s10529-011-0688-3.
- 32. Vajrala N, Martens-Habbena W, Sayavedra-Soto L, Schauer A, Bottomley PJ, Stahl DA, Arp D. 2013. Hydroxylamine as an intermediate in ammonia oxidation by globally abundant marine archaea. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:1006–1011. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1214272110.
- 33. Urakawa H, Martens-Habbena W, Stahl DA. 2011. Physiology and genomics of ammonia-oxidizing *Archaea*, p 117–155. *In* Ward BB, Arp D, Klotz M (ed), Nitrification. ASM Press, Washington, DC.