

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Plant J*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 March 01.

Published in final edited form as:

*Plant J*. 2015 March ; 81(5): 723–735. doi:10.1111/tpj.12762.

## **Leghemoglobin is nitrated in functional legume nodules in a tyrosine residue within the heme cavity by a nitrite/peroxidedependent mechanism**

**Martha Sainz**1, **Laura Calvo-Begueria**1, **Carmen Pérez-Rontomé**1, **Stefanie Wienkoop**2, **Joaquín Abián**3, **Christiana Staudinger**3, **Silvina Bartesaghi**4,5, **Rafael Radi**4, and **Manuel Becana**1,\*

<sup>1</sup>Departamento de Nutrición Vegetal, Estación Experimental de Aula Dei, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), 50080 Zaragoza, Spain

<sup>2</sup>Department of Ecogenomics and Systems Biology, University of Vienna, 1090 Vienna, Austria

<sup>3</sup>Laboratorio de Proteómica CSIC-Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona, Instituto de Investigaciones Biomédicas de Barcelona, 08036 Barcelona, Spain

<sup>4</sup>Departamento de Bioquímica and Center for Free Radical and Biomedical Research

<sup>5</sup>Departamento de Educación Médica, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de la República, Montevideo 11800, Uruguay

## **SUMMARY**

Protein Tyr nitration is a post-translational modification yielding 3-nitrotyrosine ( $NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr$ ). Formation of  $NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr$  is generally considered as a marker of nitroxidative stress and is involved in some human pathophysiological disorders, but it has been poorly studied in plants. Leghemoglobin (Lb) is an abundant hemeprotein of legume nodules that plays an essential role as O2 transporter. Liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry was used for a targeted search and quantification of  $NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr$  in Lbs. For all Lbs examined,  $Tyr^{30}$ , located in the distal heme pocket, is the major target of nitration. Lower amounts were found for  $NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr<sup>25</sup>$  and NO2-Tyr133. Nitrated Lb and other as yet unidentified nitrated proteins were also detected in nodules of plants not receiving  $NO_3^-$  and were found to decrease during senescence. This demonstrates formation of nitric oxide ( $\cdot$ NO) and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> by alternative means to nitrate reductase, probably *via* a NO synthase-like enzyme, and strongly suggests that nitrated proteins perform biological functions and are not merely metabolic byproducts. *In vitro* assays with purified Lbs revealed that Tyr nitration requires  $NO_2^- + H_2O_2$  and that peroxynitrite is not an efficient inducer

**SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

<sup>\*</sup>For correspondence (becana@eead.csic.es). *Corresponding author:* Prof. Manuel Becana, Estación Experimental de Aula Dei, CSIC, Apartado 13034, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain. Phone: +34-976-716055. Fax: +34-976-716145.

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

**Figure S1.** Peak-base separation of non-nitrated and mono-nitrated peptides of PvLb*a*.

**Figure S2.** Tandem MS analyses of the molecular ions (*m/z* 661) of nitrated hemes.

<sup>(</sup>a) Fragmentation profile of heme from the green Lb derivative S6 (terminology according to Figure 7).

<sup>(</sup>b) Fragmentation profile of nitri-heme (Navascués *et al*., 2012). Insets are close-up views of the spectra (range *m/z* ~480–660).

**Table S1.** Compilation of data obtained for Lb derivatives using immunoblots, low-resolution MS and high-resolution MS.

**Table S2.** MS/MS Transitions monitored for the calculation of the nitration ratios.

of nitration, possibly by isomerizing it to NO<sup>3</sup> <sup>−</sup>. Nitrated Lb is formed *via* oxoferryl Lb, which generates nitrogen dioxide and tyrosyl radicals. This mechanism is distinctly different from that involved in heme nitration. Formation of  $NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr$  in Lbs is a consequence of active metabolism in functional nodules, where Lbs may act as a sink of toxic peroxynitrite and may play a protective role in the symbiosis.

#### **Keywords**

*Glycine max*; leghemoglobin; legume nodules; nitrogen dioxide; peroxynitrite; *Phaseolus vulgaris*; protein tyrosine nitration

## **INTRODUCTION**

The molecular interaction of legumes and soil bacteria (rhizobia) culminates with the formation of nodules, generally on the roots. Legume nodules are unique symbiotic organs where atmospheric  $N_2$  is reduced to ammonia assimilable for the plant in exchange for photosynthetically produced sugars. This biological  $N_2$  fixation is highly beneficial for agriculture and the environment as it allows a reduction in the input of nitrogen fertilizers. Nodule function requires leghemoglobins (Lbs), hemeproteins that occur at concentrations of 1–5 mM in the cytosol of nodule host cells. These proteins transport and deliver  $O_2$  to the symbiosomes at a low but steady concentration that allows efficient bacteroid respiration while preventing nitrogenase inactivation (Appleby and Bergersen, 1980). Generally, there are several Lb isoproteins in the nodules. Soybean nodules contain four major Lbs and bean nodules one major Lb (Fuchsman and Appleby, 1979).

Only the ferrous form of Lbs binds  $O_2$  and under physiological conditions 20% of the ferrous Lb is oxygenated and 80% is deoxygenated. However, nonfunctional forms of Lb may be produced in nodules (Lee *et al*., 1995) by redox reactions involving reactive oxygen species (ROS). Ferric Lb may be generated by autoxidation of oxygenated Lb, and ferryl Lb (Lb<sup>4+</sup>=O, formally oxoFe<sup>4+</sup>) by oxidation of ferrous or ferric Lbs with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Aviram *et al.*, 1978; Moreau *et al.*, 1995). *In vitro* studies have shown that the oxidative attack of  $H_2O_2$  on Lbs gives rise to protein radicals, which are then quenched *via* formation of intramolecular (heme-protein) and intermolecular (dimers) cross-links (Moreau *et al*., 1995). Ferrous, ferric and ferryl Lbs can react also with reactive nitrogen species (RNS). Thus, ferrous Lb binds •NO avidly to form the nitrosyl complex (LbNO), which has been detected in intact nodules (Mathieu *et al*., 1998; Meakin *et al*., 2007). *In vitro* oxygenated ferrous Lb and ferric Lb are able to isomerize ONOO<sup>-</sup> to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and ferryl Lb is reduced by nitric oxide (•NO) (Herold and Puppo, 2005a,b). Recently, we reported the presence in soybean nodules of green derivatives of Lbs having a nitro  $(NO<sub>2</sub>)$  group on the 4-vinyl of the heme (nitri-heme) (Navascués *et al*., 2012). By analogy with similar nitri-hemes found *in vitro* in myoglobin (Mb; Bondoc and Timkovich, 1989) and hemoglobin (Hb; Otsuka *et al*., 2010), and to avoid confusion with Lb nitrated in Tyr residues, we will refer to those Lbs as nitri-Lbs.

The nitration of Tyr residues in proteins involves the substitution of a H atom by a  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ group to yield  $NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr$ . This post-translational protein modification has attracted much attention in studies related to human health as it is involved in diverse pathological

conditions (Schopfer *et al*., 2003; Radi, 2004). Our knowledge of protein nitration is much more limited in plants, where an increase in the amount of nitrated proteins is associated to nitroxidative stress (Corpas *et al*., 2007; Cecconi *et al*., 2009; Begara-Morales *et al*., 2013). Many of these studies are based on proteomic approaches, in which the proteins are separated in two-dimensional gels, detected with anti- $NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr$  antibody, and identified (Chaki *et al*., 2009; Galetskiy *et al*., 2011; Begara-Morales *et al*., 2013). In other cases, the plants are treated with •NO or ONOO− donors prior to proteomic analysis (Tanou *et al*., 2012). Detailed studies using immunoprecipitation and/or semiquantitative assays with antibodies led to the identification of *o*-acetylserine(thiol)lyase (Álvarez *et al*., 2011) and glutamine synthetase (Melo *et al*., 2011) as targets of nitration. However, to our knowledge there are no studies showing unequivocally the presence and site of  $NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr$  residues in plant proteins *in vivo*. In an incisive work, Lozano-Juste *et al.* (2011) were able to detect a peptide of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase with an NH2-Tyr residue, and concluded that this was generated by reduction of  $NO<sub>2</sub>-Ty$  during the mass spectrometry (MS)-based proteomic analysis, emphasizing that Tyr nitration analyses are prone to artifacts.

The major drawbacks for studying protein nitration *in vivo* are indeed the low abundance of nitrated proteins and the potential artifacts that may arise during processing and MS analysis of samples. Because Lb is essential for symbiotic  $N_2$  fixation and participates in multiple reactions involving ROS and RNS, we reasoned that this hemeprotein might be a target of nitration *in vivo* and that its high abundance in nodules would facilitate the quantification of  $NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr$  by dedicated MS procedures. Further motivation for undertaking this research was the puzzling observation that nitri-Lbs have their apoproteins intact (Navascués *et al*., 2012), which suggests that nitration of hemes and of Tyr residues, if any, should obbey distinct mechanisms and/or occur at different developmental stages and/or stress conditions of nodules.

In this work, we demonstrate that Lbs bearing  $NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr$  are present in legume nodules and that  $Tyr^{30}$ , which is located in the heme distal pocket, is the major target of nitration. Nitrated Lb decreases during nodule senescence and is present also in nodules of plants that were not given any  $NO_3^-$  in the nutrient solution. This indicates that there is a steady-state level of nitrated Lb *in vivo* and that sources other than bacteroid or plant nitrate reductases, probably a •NO synthase (NOS)-like activity, also produce the  $NO_2^-$  required for nitration. Based on *in vitro* assays that generate nitrating molecules, such as nitrogen dioxide radicals  $(\cdot NO_2)$  and ONOO<sup>-</sup>-derived species, we propose a mechanism entailing ferryl Lb and  $\cdot NO_2$ , rather than ONOO−, that accounts for the Tyr nitration pattern of Lb observed *in vivo*. Finally, we compare the mechanisms involved in heme and Tyr nitration and discuss their biological relevance.

## **RESULTS**

#### **Physiological state of nodules during natural and induced senescence**

Two crop legumes, bean and soybean, were chosen to investigate Lb nitration because they have been extensively used by our group and others in studies of nodule natural and induced senescence (Pfeiffer *et al*., 1983; Evans *et al*., 1999; Escuredo *et al*., 1996; Gogorcena *et al*.,

1997). Bean and soybean plants were grown in controlled-environment cabinets and under field conditions, respectively, and nodules were harvested at three developmental stages. Additional experiments were set up with bean plants grown in the complete absence of  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  to compare nitration levels in nodules, as well as with bean plants treated with 10 mM NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> for 4 days to induce senescence of young nodules. The total soluble protein and Lb of host cells are markers of the physiological state of nodules and were found to decrease by 19% and 39% in senescent bean nodules and by 27% and 20% in senescent soybean nodules; however, much larger decreases, 44% for soluble protein and 74% for Lb, were found in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-treated bean nodules (Figures 1a,b). The NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> content of nodules was also determined as it is relevant for our nitration studies. Bean nodules contained very low amounts, ~5–8 nmol g<sup>-1</sup> fresh wt (detection limit ~1 nmol g<sup>-1</sup> fresh wt), whereas the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> content of soybean nodules reached ~40–80 nmol g−1 fresh wt, showing a decreasing trend with aging (Figure 1c).

The protein nitration profiles were examined on immunoblots with an anti- $NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr$ antibody (Figure 2a,b). These allowed us to make a number of interesting observations. First, the nitration patterns of bean and soybean nodules were similar during senescence, and in fact nitrated proteins were virtually undetectable in aged or  $NO_3^-$ -treated bean nodules and hardly visible in senescent soybean nodules. Second, nitration was more intense in soybean nodules (readily visible bands with 20 µg protein) than in bean nodules (requiring 50 µg protein). Third, nodules of bean plants not receiving  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  had substantial nitration levels. Fourth, comparison of immunoblots and the respective Coomassie-stained gels revealed that a few proteins with lower abundance than Lb were intensely nitrated. These proteins were not identified and had apparent molecular masses of ~32, 38 and 45 kDa in bean nodules and ~28, 34, 38, 48 and 75 kDa in soybean nodules. Finally, nitrated Lb was hardly detectable on immunoblots of bean nodules and not visible on immunoblots of soybean nodules. To verify the presence of nitrated Lb in nodule extracts using immunoblots, a preparative native gel was loaded with high amounts  $(\sim 500 \,\mu$ g) of protein and the bands corresponding to bean Lb*a* (PvLb*a*) and soybean Lb*a* and Lb*c* (GmLb*a* and GmLb*c*) were excised, eluted and analyzed on SDS-gels and immunoblots. This demonstrated that nitrated Lb is present in nodules (Figure 2b). Direct in-gel trypsinization of the excised Lb bands and subsequent MS analysis or direct analysis of crude nodule extracts by MS with Orbitrap technology also confirmed the presence of very low levels of nitrated Lb.

#### **Nitration of Lbs occurs in legume nodules and decreases during senescence**

The tryptic peptides of Lbs obtained as indicated above were independently analyzed by µLC-ESI-MS/MS and nLC-ESI-MS/MS with similar results. Both methods were used in order to increase the reproducibility and confidence of the identification and quantification of the very low abundant modified peptides. The use of dithiothreitol or β-mercaptoethanol was avoided to prevent reduction of  $NO<sub>2</sub>-$ Tyr residues, which may be mediated by thehemeproteins themselves at 37°C and above (Balanbali *et al*., 1999; Söderling *et al*., 2007), as often practised in proteomic analyses. Because Lbs do not contain Cys residues, the alkylating reagent iodoacetamide was also omitted prior to trypsinization. An illustrative example is the tryptic peptide GNIPQYSVVFYTSILEK of PvLb*a* and its mononitrated

species GNIPQY\*SVVFYTSILEK (NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr<sup>25</sup>) and GNIPQYSVVFY\*TSILEK (NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr30). The three peptide species were fully resolved (Figure S1) and unequivocally identified by their MS/MS profiles (Figure 3). Likewise, we found in nodules the nitrated peptides ANIPQYSVVFY\*TSILEK (NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr<sup>30</sup>) of GmLba and ANIPQYSVVFY\*NSILEK (NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr<sup>30</sup>) of GmLbc<sub>*I*</sub>, as well as a small proportion (0.16– 0.34%) of the C-terminal peptide  $AWEVAY^*DELAAAIK (NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr<sup>133</sup>)$  of GmLba. We were unable to detect in nodules the dinitrated species  $NO_2$ -Tyr<sup>25</sup> +  $NO_2$ -Tyr<sup>30</sup> or mononitrated  $NO_2$ -Tyr<sup>133</sup> in PvLb*a*, and the same occurred for mononitrated  $NO_2$ -Tyr<sup>25</sup> in GmLb*a* and GmLb*c1*.

LC-MS/MS aproaches based on targeted precursors and selected reaction monitoring (SRM) were used to determine the proportion of  $NO_2$ -Tyr<sup>30</sup> in Lbs during natural and  $NO_3$ <sup>-</sup>induced nodule senescence. We chose  $Tyr^{30}$  to quantify nitration because it is the most abundant nitrated Tyr residue and is important for Lb function (Kundu *et al*., 2004). Figure 4 shows that young nodules contain 0.23% of nitrated PvLb*a*, 0.95% of nitrated GmLb*a* and 2.31% of nitrated GmLb*c1*. Similar values of Lb nitration were obtained for nodules of plants than had received 0 or 0.25 mM  $NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>$  in the nutrient solution. The proportions of nitrated Lb relative to total Lb decreased during the natural ( $\sim$ 30%) and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-induced  $(\sim 60\%)$  senescence of bean nodules as well as with advanced aging  $(\sim 70\%)$  of soybean nodules (Figure 4).

#### **Nitration of Tyr residues in vitro: in search for a mechanism in vivo**

The model structure of GmLba shows that  $Tyr^{30}$  is located in the distal heme pocket,  $Tyr^{133}$ is also in the heme pocket but situated laterally, and  $Tyr^{25}$  is outside the heme crevice (Figure 5). Because Tyr30 is the main target of nitration *in vivo*, we surmised that the heme may participate in nitration of this Tyr residue. Considering that  $NO_2^-$  and •NO lack of sufficient reactivity to cause nitration but may give rise to the nitrating agents  $\cdot NO_2$  and ONOO− in the presence of H2O2 (Herold, 2004; Nicolis *et al*., 2004), we assayed nitration using the estimated concentrations of ferric Lb (10  $\mu$ M), H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (20–100  $\mu$ M) and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> (100 µM) in nodules or in other plant tissues (Evans *et al*., 1999; Becana and Klucas, 1992). Also, care was taken to perform all the reactions at physiological conditions of nodules (pH 6.5 and 26C) rather than at conditions commonly used for animal systems (pH 7.4 and 37C). At proportions of  $Lb:H_2O_2:NO_2^-$  of 1:2:2 and 1:2:10, nitration was less intense than at 1:10:10 or 1:10:100. The two last proportions were chosen for further studies because the high yields of the nitrated products facilitated their separation on preparative gels and the subsequent MS analyses (see below). Treatment of 10  $\mu$ M of PvLba or GmLba with 100  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> + 100 μM NO<sub>2</sub><sup>−</sup> yielded 25–50% NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr<sup>30</sup> and ~0.5% NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr<sup>25</sup> (except for GmLb*c*<sub>*I*</sub>, which produced 13.5%  $NO_2$ -Tyr<sup>25</sup>) (Table 1). Increasing  $NO_2^-$  concentration to 1 mM, while keeping  $H_2O_2$  constant, produced ~70% of NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr<sup>30</sup> and 0.4–1.4% NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr<sup>25</sup> (Table 1). Thus, NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr<sup>30</sup> was the preferred target of Lb nitration by  $H_2O_2 + NO_2^-$  in *vitro*.

To gain further insights on the mechanism of the nitration reaction, Lbs were treated with H2O2 + NO<sup>2</sup> <sup>−</sup> in the presence of potential inhibitors (Bartesaghi *et al*., 2004, 2006; Castro *et al*., 2004) and the products were analyzed on immunoblots (Figure 6a). These show that

nitration does not take place when  $H_2O_2$  was omitted and that the reaction was inhibited by deferoxamine mesylate (DFO; powerful Fe<sup>3+</sup>chelator and  $\cdot$ NO<sub>2</sub> scavenger), 4hydroxyphenylacetic acid (pHPA; hydrophylic structural analog of Tyr that intercepts •NO2), uric acid (scavenger of radicals derived from ONOO−) and cyanide (ligand of ferric hemeproteins). The addition of diethylenetriamine-pentaacetic acid (DTPA; metal ion chelator) had no effect. We then examined whether ONOO− could be also a nitrating agent in our system by treating Lbs with 3-mopholino-sydnonimine (SIN-1) (Figure 6b) or with synthetic ONOO<sup>-</sup> (Figure 6c) in the presence of 100 μM DTPA to suppress metal-catalyzed nitration. It has been shown that SIN-1 generates nearly equimolar amounts of •NO and superoxide radicals ( $O_2$ <sup>-•</sup>), which then react with each other producing a flux of ONOO<sup>-</sup> for at least 2 h (Álvarez *et al*., 2002). At pH 6.5 and 26°C, we could only detect weak nitration on immunoblots when 10 µM of GmLb*a* or PvLb*a* were treated with 1 mM SIN-1 for 1 h (6 µg protein) or for 2 h (3 µg protein) (Figure 6b). Nitration was not visible when 1 mM SIN-1 was applied for 1 h and 3 µg of Lb was loaded. Nevertheless, significant nitration occurred when the pH was raised to 7.4 and the temperature to 37C. To further support the absence of nitration at relevant conditions for nodule cells, Lbs were exposed to pure ONOO− in the presence of a physiological concentration of  $CO_2$  (5 mM HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> at pH 6.5) because  $CO_2$ potentiates the nitrating effect of ONOO− (Gow *et al*., 1996). We could detect significant nitration only when 30 µM Lb was treated with a bolus of 500 µM ONOO− and 6 µg protein was loaded on the gels, and nitration was negligible when 60  $\mu$ M Lb and 3  $\mu$ g protein were used (Figure 6c). Interestingly, in contrast with the SIN-1 treatment, which produces a controlled flux of ONOO− over time, only Lb aggregates could be observed with the bolus addition of pure ONOO− (Figure 6c).

#### **Nitration of Tyr residues in vitro at preparative scale**

The nitration reaction was scaled up using 1 mM Lb and proportions of Lb:  $H_2O_2$ :  $NO_2^-$  of 1:10:10 and 1:10:100. The resulting Lb derivatives were resolved on preparative native gels (Figure 7a). PvLb*a* and GmLba yielded three major products (B1–B3 and S1–S3) when nitration was performed with 10 mM  $H_2O_2 + 10$  mM  $NO_2^-$ . The same Lbs produced four major derivatives (B4-B7 and S4-S7) upon nitration with 10 mM  $H_2O_2 + 100$  mM NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>. Some of these protein derivatives are green, indicating modification of the hemes. Because our results showed formation of nitrating species during the reactions, we searched for the presence of nitrated hemes. These can be identified by MS as they have molecular ions of  $m/z$  661 (616 + 45 Da), corresponding to replacement of a H by a NO<sub>2</sub> group. Tandem MS revealed that the *m/z* 661 ion exhibits a fragmentation pattern identical to that of the nitriheme (Figure S2), suggesting that the NO<sub>2</sub> is also on a vinyl group (Navascués *et al.*, 2012). This peak was clearly detectable in proteins B1–B3 (red), B4 (green), S2 (red) and S3 (green). Therefore, the nitrated heme in those red proteins is at low levels, and the proteins B5-B7 (green) and S4–S7 (green), with virtually no peak at 661 Da, have other types of modified hemes as a result of the oxidative attack by  $H_2O_2$ .

All the proteins were eluted from the gels and analyzed by immunoblots, low-resolution MS (MALDI-TOF/TOF-MS) and high-resolution MS (Orbitrap nESI-FT-MS). Immunoblot (Figure 7b) and MS (Table S1) analyses revealed that each protein band contains  $NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr$ and is a mixture of monomers, dimers and in some cases trimers (B1, B4, S1 and S4), with

molecular masses in the range of ~15300–15600, ~30500–31900 and ~46400–47900 Da, respectively. High-resolution MS analysis  $(\pm 0.2 \text{ Da})$  of the monomers (Table S1) confirmed the presence of  $NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr$  (+45 Da) in most of the proteins, as well as dinitration (+90 Da) in B4. It also revealed changes in the monoisotopic molecular masses of the monomeric proteins compared with the control proteins (15479 for PvLb*a* and 15233 for GmLb*a*). These changes are +13 Da for B1 and −190 Da for B5, B6 and B7, but were not investigated further as their relevance *in vivo* is uncertain.

## **DISCUSSION**

Legume nodule senescence is characterized by, among other factors, a decline in  $N_2$ fixation, soluble protein and Lb (for reviews see Puppo *et al*., 2005; Becana *et al*., 2010). Indeed, we confirmed the senescent stage of nodules harvested from fruiting plants or from young plants supplied with  $NO_3^-$  because the total soluble protein and Lb of bean and soybean nodules decreased markedly due to an increase in endoprotease activity (Pfeiffer *et*  al., 1983; Pladys *et al.*, 1988). In particular, treatment of bean plants with 10 mM NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> for 4 days caused a major decrease of Lb and Lb/soluble protein ratio (from 16% to 7%), indicating that Lb is especially prone to degradation (Figures 1a,b). This is consistent with an early observation that proteolytic activity against Lb is augmented at the acid pH of senescent nodules (Pladys *et al*., 1988).

Bean nodules contained low amounts of  $NO_2^-$  but soybean nodules accumulated relatively high levels of this metabolite (Figure 1c). This is readily explained by the fact that bean nodule bacteroids (strain 3622) do not express nitrate reductase, whereas soybean nodule bacteroids (strain USDA110) display relatively high nitrate reductase activity, which is responsible for most NO<sup>2</sup> <sup>−</sup> produced in soybean nodules (Becana *et al*., 1989; Meakin *et al*., 2007). This  $NO_2^-$  accumulation may, in fact, be a contributing factor to the high nitration levels of soybean nodules relative to bean nodules (Figure 2a). However, nitration was clearly detectable in bean nodules that had not received any  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  (Figure 2a), evidencing that alternative sources to bacteroid and plant nitrate reductases also exist in nodules to generate •NO and/or the  $NO_2^-$  derived therefrom. In fact, •NO and  $NO_2^-$  are precursors of nitrating RNS and the presence of the LbNO complex has been reported in soybean nodules grown without NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (Mathieu *et al.*, 1998). A likely source of RNS is a NOS-like activity. In plants, this activity was originally described in lupin (*Lupinus albus*) roots and nodules and measured by the conversion of  ${}^{14}C$ -Arg into  ${}^{14}C$ -citrulline at a rate of 150–200 pmol •NO produced min−1 mg−1 protein (Cueto *et al*., 1996). We have observed similar values in bean nodules with a highly sensitive and specific assay in which •NO was detected by ozone chemiluminiscence (Corpas *et al*., 2007). Together, these observations give strong support to the presence of NOS-like activity in legume nodules.

The accumulation of nitrated proteins is assumed to be a marker of nitroxidative stress in animals (Schopfer *et al*., 2003; Radi, 2004) and plants (Corpas *et al*., 2007). Our finding that protein nitration decreases with nodule senescence was completely unexpected as nitrated proteins may accumulate during aging of leaves and roots of several plants, including legumes (Begara-Morales *et al*., 2013). At present we cannot offer an explanation for this other than it may be a feature of nodules and/or that nitrated proteins are preferential

substrates for the nodule proteases induced during senescence. Consistent with this decreasing trend for nitrated proteins of nodules in general, we demonstrate here that Lb nitration occurs *in vivo* and is more intense in young than in senescent nodules (Figure 4). We had anticipated that Lb may be prone to nitration in nodules because it reacts rapidly *in vitro* with ROS and RNS (Becana and Klucas, 1992; Moreau *et al*., 1995, 1996). However, other still unknown proteins appear to be more nitrated than Lb, for they exhibit intense bands on immunoblots and are considerably less abundant than Lb. Our data also show that a steady-state level of nitrated Lb, roughly estimated in the range of 0.1–1%, occurs as a result of normal nodule metabolism. A few unindentified nitrated proteins have been detected also by immunoblots in other 'control' (unstressed, young) plant tissues (Chaki *et al*., 2011; Galetskyi *et al*., 2011; Tanou *et al*., 2012; Begara-Morales *et al*., 2013). Thus, the existence of a basal nitration level is a general phenomenon, strongly suggesting that nitrated proteins perform biological functions and are not merely metabolic byproducts.

Previous findings of oxidative damage of crucial biomolecules (Evans *et al*., 1999) and production of nitri-Lbs (Navascués *et al*., 2012) in senescing soybean nodules indicate that nitroxidative stress occurs at very late stages of senescence. We are therefore bound to conclude that NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr in proteins is not a useful marker of nitroxidative stress, at least in nodules. Also, the decreased content of nitrated Lbs in senescing tissue strongly suggests that the two forms reported so far of modified Lbs, nitri-hemes and nitrated apoproteins, are generated by distinct mechanisms, as we will discuss below.

The mechanism of Tyr nitration in Lbs was investigated. Essentially, three types of mechanisms have been proposed for Tyr nitration of animal globins (Figure 8). (*a*) Formation of ferryl globins and their radical forms, which oxidize  $NO_2^-$  to  $\cdot NO_2$  (Figure 8a; Van der Vliet *et al*., 1997; Herold, 2004; Sakamoto *et al*., 2004). (*b*) Fenton reaction, catalyzed by traces of free or protein-associated transition metals, in which the resulting •OH oxidizes NO<sup>2</sup> <sup>−</sup> to •NO2 (Figure 8b; Thomas *et al*., 2002). (*c*) Formation of ONOO− or its derived species, peroxynitrous acid (ONOOH) and nitrosoperoxocarboxylate (ONOOCO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>), which may undergo homolytic cleavage to  $\cdot$ OH,  $\cdot$ NO<sub>2</sub> and carbonate radical (CO<sup>3</sup> <sup>−</sup>•) (Figure 8c; Radi, 2004; Bartesaghi *et al*., 2004, 2006). Mechanisms (a) and (b) require  $NO_2^- + H_2O_2$ , whereas mechanism (c) does not. In our experiments with Lb, the failure of DTPA to inhibit Tyr nitration rules out mechanism (b). However, ONOO− might be formed *via* •NO + O<sub>2</sub><sup>-•</sup> (Radi, 2004), and this possibility was examined by treating Lbs with the ONOO−-generating compound SIN-1 or with synthetic ONOO−. In both cases, we found no significant nitration when the reactions were carried out at pH 6.5 and 26C, physiological conditions of nodules (Pladys *et al*., 1988). Instead, we did detect nitration when a high flux of ONOO<sup>−</sup> was generated from SIN-1 at pH 7.4 and 37C for 2 h. Under these conditions, the production and stability of ONOO− are higher and thus 1 mM SIN-1 generates an accumulated level equivalent to ~0.5 mM ONOO− in 1 h (Álvarez *et al*., 2002). This is an extremely high amount, unlikely to be produced in healthy plant tissues and certainly not in young, actively  $N_2$ -fixing nodules. Taking into account that 500  $\mu$ M of pure ONOO− does not cause appreciable nitration of Lb and that the pH of nodules decreases from 6.5 to 5.5 during nodule senescence, we conclude that direct nitration of Lb by ONOO− is probably irrelevant *in vivo*, although we cannot entirely preclude the possibility

that some minor nitration occurs *via* ONOO−. This conclusion is consistent with previous *in vitro* studies showing that horse Mb and human Hb catalyze ONOO<sup>−</sup> isomerization without being significantly nitrated (Herold and Shivashankar, 2003).

The inhibition of nitration by DFO, pHPA and uric acid (Figure 6a), which preferentially scavenge •NO<sub>2</sub> (Bartesaghi et al., 2004, 2006; Castro et al., 2004), supports mechanism (a). Interestingly, DFO suppressed formation of dimers and other aggregates and decreased nitration of monomeric Lb, consistent with previous work showing that DFO inhibits dimerization and nitration of free Tyr by mechanisms that are independent of metal ions (Bartesaghi *et al*., 2004). In sharp contrast, cyanide completely inhibited nitration of the monomer, indicating the participation of the heme in the reaction. However, it did not inhibit dimerization, which may be attributed to side reactions of cyanide-derived radicals (Castro *et al*., 2004).

Studies of Tyr nitration of Mb and Hb with  $NO_2^- + H_2O_2$  have led to the proposal that the nitrating compound is •NO<sub>2</sub> and/or heme-bound ONOO<sup>-</sup>, depending of the relative concentrations of  $NO_2^-$  and  $H_2O_2$  (Herold, 2004; Nicolis *et al.*, 2004). Moreover, in all cases, a tyrosyl radical (•Tyr) must be formed from Tyr, a reaction that cannot be achieved by ONOO<sup>−</sup> *per se* (Castro *et al*., 2004; Radi, 2004). We conclude that Tyr nitration of Lb occurs by mechanism (a), requiring ferryl Lb to generate both  $\cdot NO_2$  and  $\cdot Tyr$ , which then recombine to form  $NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr$  (Figure 8a). The inhibition of Lb nitration by cyanide further supports a ferryl-mediated, heme-dependent mechanism. This would explain why  $\text{Tyr}^{30}$ , located in the distal heme pocket, is a preferred target for *in vivo* and *in vitro* nitration. However, the oxidation of  $\text{Ty}^{30}$  by the oxoferryl intermediate is unlikely to be direct because the distance between the two structures is  $>7$  Å (Figure 5b,c). Generally, Tyr residues with at least one carbon within 4–5 Å from the oxoferryl are assumed to be amenable for their direct one-electron oxidation to •Tyr (Prasad *et al*., 2007). Thus, in the case of Lb, Tyr30 oxidation may be mediated *via* intramolecular electron transfer (Petruk *et al.*, 2012). Formation of  $\cdot$ Tyr must also occur on Tyr<sup>25</sup> and Tyr<sup>133</sup>, as they were found to be nitrated. Notably, a protein radical was detected by EPR in GmLba treated with  $H_2O_2$  in the absence of  $NO_2^-$ , and the signal was assigned to  $Tyr^{133}$  (Moreau *et al.*, 1996). This observation is not at odds with our results, considering that ferryl Lb is responsible for the H abstraction from Tyr according to mechanism (a).

The nitrated Lbs described here are different from nitri-Lbs (Navascués *et al*., 2012). Nitrated Lbs contain  $NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr$  whereas nitri-Lbs do not, probably as a result of the different free radical mediated reactions implicated in their production. Formation of nitrated Lbs requires  $H_2O_2$  to generate ferryl Lb and ultimately  $\cdot Tyr$ , whereas formation of nitri-Lbs requires very high  $NO_2^-$  concentrations but not  $H_2O_2$ , and involves  $HNO_2$  as precursor of the nitrating agent. Treatment of Lbs with  $NO_2^- + H_2O_2$  elicited not only Tyr nitration but also heme modifications and protein dimerization and aggregation, as shown by immunoblots (Figure 7b) and MS analysis (Table S1). These Lb derivatives are originated by intermolecular reactions of protein radicals, not necessarily entailing •Tyr. Notably, the dimers and aggregates contain high amounts of  $NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr$  relative to monomers. In this respect, we noted that ONOO− also produced aggregates of nitrated Lb, whereas SIN-1 formed mainly monomers (Figures 6b,c). We attribute this to differences in the

concentrations of •Tyr achieved with the different modes of exposure, which are much greater with the bolus addition of ONOO− than with SIN-1. Thus, in the first case, •Tyr radicals are more likely to recombine forming Tyr-Tyr bridges than with SIN-1.

The relative abundance of the two types of modified Lbs during nodule aging is also different. The content of nitrated Lbs is higher in young (very active) nodules, which evidences the production *in vivo* of ferryl Lb,  $\cdot NO_2$  and  $\cdot Tyr$ . Nitration of Tyr<sup>30</sup> may interfere with Lb function because this amino acid residue allows for a proper delivery of  $O<sub>2</sub>$ (Kundu *et al*., 2004). Our results show that a low steady-state level of nitrated Lbs is fully compatible with nodule activity and that Lb is nitrated by a mechanism involving oxyferryl heme rather than ONOO<sup>−</sup>, most likely as a result of the efficient capacity of Lb to isomerize ONOO− to NO<sup>3</sup> <sup>−</sup> (Herold and Puppo, 2005a). Taking these results together with other *in vivo* observations (Mathieu *et al*., 1998; Navascués *et al*., 2012), we propose that, in young nodules, Lbs may act as a sink of •NO and derived RNS such as ONOO− to keep the symbiosis active, preventing rejection of, or toxic effects on, the bacteroids. By contrast, the content of nitri-Lbs is higher in old (less active or inactive) nodules and may be a result of an excessive production of RNS associated to senescence and nitroxidative stress. Further work will be needed to determine if Lb dimers and other aggregates occur *in vivo* during legume nodule development and senescence.

## **EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

#### **Plant growth**

Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L. cv Contender) was inoculated with *Rhizobium leguminosarum*  bv *phaseoli* strain 3622 and grown in vermiculite-containing pots under controlled environment conditions (Gogorcena *et al.*, 1997) with either 0 or 0.25 mM NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> in the nutrient solution. This low  $NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>$  concentration stimulates nodulation of most legumes. Young, mature and senescent nodules were harvested from beans of  $\sim$ 1 month (vegetative stage),  $\sim$ 1.5 months (flowering stage) and  $\sim$ 2 months (fruiting stage), respectively. In another set of experiments, beans of  $\sim$ 1 month were supplied with 10 mM KNO<sub>3</sub> for 4 days to induce nodule senescence. Soybean (*Glycine max* Merr. cv Williams) was inoculated with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* strain USDA110 and grown in an experimental plot in Sevilla (Spain) from July to September. Young, mature and senescent nodules were harvested from soybeans of  $\sim$ 1 month (vegetative stage),  $\sim$ 2 months (late flowering-early fruiting stage) and ~3 months (fruiting stage), respectively. Bean and soybean nodules were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C.

#### **Physiological state and immunoblot analyses of nodules**

Total soluble protein and Lb were used as markers of the physiological state of nodules (Pfeiffer *et al*., 1983; Escuredo *et al*., 1996). Nodules (200 mg) were homogenized with 2 × 500 µl 20 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.0), and the extracts were cleared by centrifugation (20000 *g*, 20 min). Soluble protein was determined by the dye-binding microassay (Bio-Rad;<http://www.bio-rad.com>) using bovine serum albumin as a standard. Lb concentration was determined by the alkaline pyridine method, based on the differential spectra of the

dithionite-reduced *versus* ferricyanide-oxidized pyridine-hemochromes (556 nm *minus* 539 nm, extinction coefficient 23.4 mM<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>; Appleby and Bergersen, 1980).

Some biochemical markers relevant to our nitration studies, including  $NO_2^-$  concentrations and protein nitration profiles, were also determined in nodules. Nitrite was quantified by diazotization after rapid and complete deproteinization of nodule extracts (Becana *et al*., 1989; Arrese-Igor *et al*., 1998). Nodules (0.5 g) were ground in a mortar on melting ice with 5 ml of 1 M zinc acetate at 0°C. The precipitated proteins were removed by centrifugation and the supernatant mixed with an equal volume of ice-cold ethanol. After centrifugation, 500 µl of the clean extract was mixed with equal volumes of 1% sulfanilamide in 1.5 M HCl and 0.1% *N*-(1-naphthyl)-ethylenediamine dihydrochloride. Blanks containing sample but 1.5 M HCl instead of sulfanilamide were run in parallel. After 20 min at room temperature, the absorbance at 540 nm was read and  $NO_2^-$  concentration calculated with a calibration curve (0–20 nmol).

The protein nitration profiles of nodules were obtained using immunoblots. Nodule extracts, SDS-gels and transfer of proteins to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (FluoroTrans; Pall, <http://www.pall.com>) were performed following standard protocols, but omitting reductants in the sample buffer. Membranes were probed with a monoclonal anti- $NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr$ (Cayman, <https://www.caymanchem.com>) antibody and in some cases with a polyclonal affinity-purified anti-Lb antibody (see below), both at a dilution of 1:1000. The secondary antibodies were, respectively, goat anti-mouse IgG (Bio-Rad) and goat anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma, <http://www.sigmaaldrich.com>) peroxidase conjugates, which were used at a dilution of 1:20000. Immunoreactive proteins were detected by chemiluminescence using the SuperSignal West Pico kit (Pierce, [http://www.piercenet.com\)](http://www.piercenet.com).

The antiserum against PvLb*a* was produced by injecting ~0.8 mg of protein in each of two white rabbits and was used to purify polyclonal monospecific antibodies by chromatography in CNBr-activated Sepharose 4 Fast Flow (GE Healthcare, [www.gelifesciences.com\)](http://www.gelifesciences.com). The immunoaffinity column was prepared with ~5 mg of protein. Conventional protocols for immunization and antibody purification were carried out by BioGenes ([http://](http://www.biogenes.de) [www.biogenes.de\)](http://www.biogenes.de).

#### **LC-MS/MS**

For the identification and quantification of NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr in Lbs *in vivo*, nodules (200 mg) were ground in a ice-cold mortar with a medium  $(400 \,\mu$ ) consisting of 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 0.5 mM EDTA, 1% polyvinylpyrrolidone-10 and protease inhibitor cocktail (Complete Mini; Roche, [https://lifescience.roche.com/shop/home\)](https://lifescience.roche.com/shop/home). Extracts were centrifuged (13000 *g*, 10 min) and the soluble proteins subjected to preparative native gel electrophoresis (12.5% acrylamide, 3 mm thick). Samples (400–500 µg of protein per lane) were mixed 1:4 (vol:vol) with loading buffer omitting SDS and reductants. Gels were run at 100 V for 2 h 15 min on a MGV-100 apparatus (CBS, [http://www.cbsscientific.com\)](http://www.cbsscientific.com). The bands of PvLba, GmLb*a* and GmLb*c* were carefully excised from the gels, cut into small pieces and kept at −20°C until MS analysis, which usually took place one week later. In-gel digestion was performed with trypsin at 37°C for 8 h with an automatic device (DigestPro MS; Intavis,

<http://www.intavis.com>). For some MS experiments, proteins were eluted overnight with 10  $mM NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub>$  with identical results.

Two types of analyses were used to detect and quantify the low abundant nitrated peptides of Lbs. The first analysis involved liquid chromatography coupled to tandem MS with micro-electrospray ionization ( $\mu$ LC-ESI-MS/MS). In this case, the instrument was an LTQ Velos (Thermo Scientific; [www.thermofisher.com\)](http://www.thermofisher.com). Aliquots of the tryptic digest were diluted to 20 µl with 5% methanol and 1% formic acid and loaded onto a chromatographic system consisting of a C18 preconcentration cartridge (Agilent Technologies; [http://](http://www.agilent.com) [www.agilent.com](http://www.agilent.com)) connected to a  $C_{18}$  tip column (15 cm  $\times$  150 µm, 5 µm; Nikkyo Technos, <http://www.nikkyo-tec.co.jp>). The separation was performed using a 40-min acetonitrile gradient from 3% to 40% (solvent A: 0.1% formic acid; solvent B: acetonitrile + 1% formic acid) at a flow rate of 1.0 μl min<sup>-1</sup>. The HPLC system comprised an Agilent 1200 capillary pump, a binary pump, a thermostatted micro-injector and a micro-switch valve. The MS/MS system was operated in the positive ion mode with a spray voltage of 2 kV. Initial identification of the non-nitrated and nitrated peptides in the chromatograms was carried out in the data dependent mode, acquiring a full scan followed by ten MS/MS scans of the ten most intense signals detected in the MS scan. An exclusion time of 30 s was included to avoid repetitive MS/MS analysis of the dominant MS signals. Peptides were identified by database search of the MS/MS spectra using SEQUEST (Proteome Discoverer 1.3; Thermo Scientific). Search was performed with the following parameters: peptide mass tolerance 5 ppm, fragment tolerance 0.8 Da, enzyme set as trypsin, allowance up to two missed cleavages, and dynamic modification for Met oxidation (+15.995 Da) and Tyr nitration (+44.985 Da). Relative quantification was carried out using SRM. The areas of the signals of the product ions were integrated and the ratios of the areas of the modified to unmodified peptides were calculated. The transitions used to integrate the peak areas are given in Table S2.

For the second analysis, peptide digests were applied to an EASY-Spray PepMap RSLC  $C_{18}$ column (15 cm  $\times$  50 µm; particle size, 2 µm; Thermo Scientific) coupled to a onedimensional nano-flow LC (Dionex UltiMate 3000; Thermo Scientific). The peptides were separated using a 60-min acetonitrile gradient from 95% of solvent A (0.1% formic acid) to 50% of solvent B (50% acetonitrile + 0.1% formic acid) at a flow rate of 300 nl min−1. The nLC-ESI-MS/MS analysis was performed on a LTQ Orbitrap Elite (Thermo Scientific) at a resolution of 60,000. The repeat count was set to 1, repeat duration 20 sec, exclusion list size 500, exclusion duration 60 sec and exclusion mass width 10 ppm. Charge state screening was enabled with rejection of unassigned and 1+ charge states. Minimum signal threshold counts were set to 5,000. Peptide identification was carried out with SEQUEST as indicated for the first method. ProtMAX software was used for relative quantification of the identified nitrated peptides with respect to the unmodified ones by the relative abundances calculated from automated extraction of the summed ion intensities of the targeted peptide precursor masses (Egelhofer *et al*., 2013).

For both analyses, the monitored tryptic peptides with UniProt accession numbers of the proteins are given in Table S2.

#### **Purification of Lbs and in vitro nitration**

For *in vitro* nitration experiments, bean and soybean Lbs were purified essentially as described (Jun *et al*., 1994). Nodule extracts were prepared in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), containing 1 mM EDTA and protease inhibitor cocktail. The protein was fractionated with 50–85% ammonium sulfate, resuspended in 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.2), and oxidized with excess ferricyanide. The protein was dialyzed overnight with 50 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.0), and applied to a hydroxyapatite column (HTP; Bio-Rad). The column effluent was concentrated and the buffer exchanged to 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) with Vivaspin devices (10 kDa cutoff; Sartorius, [http://www.sartorius.de\)](http://www.sartorius.de). The protein was loaded onto a weak anion-exchange column (Whatman DE-52 cellulose; GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0). PvLb*a* was eluted with 80 mM NaCl in buffer, GmLb*a* with buffer alone, and GmLbc ( $Lbc_1 + Lbc_2 + Lbc_3$ ) with a gradient of 0–100 mM NaCl in buffer.

Nitration of pure Lbs at analytical  $(10 \mu M$  ferric Lb) and preparative  $(1 \mu M)$  ferric Lb) scales was conducted with relative proportions of Lb:  $H_2O_2$ :  $NO_2^-$  of 1:10:10 and 1:10:100. The assays were carried out in 20 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) at 26°C for 30 min. The proteins were then immediately loaded on SDS-gels and immunoblots performed with anti- $NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr$  and anti-Lb antibodies. At the analytical scale, the effects of some compounds on nitration were tested by adding them in the reaction mix after Lb, but prior to  $NO_2^-$  +  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$ , at the following concentrations: DTPA (100  $\mu$ M), DFO (100  $\mu$ M), pHPA (5 mM), uric acid (10  $\mu$ M) and KCN (10 mM). Nitration of Lbs was also attempted by exposing the proteins to SIN-1 (0.2–1 mM) for 1–2 h or to synthetic ONOO<sup>-</sup> (100–500  $\mu$ M) for 5 min, at pH 6.5 and 26°C. For comparison, additional assays were performed by exposing Lbs to SIN-1 at pH 7.4 and 37°C for 1–2 h. Synthetic ONOO− was prepared by the reaction between  $H_2O_2$  and  $NO_2^-$  at acid pH following standard protocols (Gow *et al.*, 1996; Bartesaghi *et al.*, 2006). The ONOO<sup>−</sup> preparations had <20% NO<sub>2</sub><sup>−</sup> contamination but were free of  $H_2O_2$ . Any possible effect of contaminating  $NO_2^-$  was ruled out by control experiments with decomposed ONOO− ('reverse-order addition'; Bartesaghi *et al*., 2004).

At the preparative scale, the Lb nitration products were excised from gels, eluted with 10 mM NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub> and analyzed by MS to determine molecular masses of the holoproteins and hemes and to quantify NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr. Low-resolution MS was performed using different dilutions of the samples in 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid. The samples were spotted on a MALDI plate with sinapinic acid and analyzed using a MALDI-TOF/TOF mass spectrometer (4800 TOF/ TOF; AB Sciex, [http://www.absciex.com\)](http://www.absciex.com). High-resolution MS was performed by nLC-ESI-MS/MS with an LTQ XL-Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). For nESI-FT-MS analysis, 5  $\mu$ l of sample diluted in 50% MeOH + 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid was loaded in the nanospray needle. Full MS spectra were acquired (50 microscans/sec) at a resolution of 100,000 using an energy on source of 35 (full range of *m/z* 300–1800). For heme analyses, the signals at *m/z* 616 and 661 were selected as precursor masses and product ion spectra were obtained by collision induced dissociation (isolation width 2.5 and collision energy 35). Spectra were deconvoluted using the Xtract tool included in the Xcalibur 2.0 software (Thermo Scientific).

## **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank Javier Luque (Universidad de Barcelona) for his invaluable help with Figure 5. M.S. was the recipient of a predoctoral contract (Programa Junta de Ampliación de Estudios) from CSIC. L.C.-B. was the recipient of a predoctoral contract (Formación de Personal Investigador) from Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (MINECO). C.S. was funded by the Austrian Fonds zur Förderung der wis-senschaftlichen Forschung (P23441- B20). This work was mainly supported by MINECO-Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (AGL2011-24524 and AGL2014-53717-R) and CSIC (Proyecto Intramural Especial 201240E150). Additional funding was provided by grants of Agencia Nacional de Investigación e Innovación (Fondo Clemente Estable, FCE 6605) to S.B. and Universidad de la República and National Institutes of Health (RO1 AI095173) to R.R.

### **REFERENCES**

- Álvarez MN, Trujillo M, Radi R. Peroxynitrite formation from biochemical and cellular fluxes of nitric oxide and superoxide. Methods Enzymol. 2002; 359:353–366. [PubMed: 12481586]
- Álvarez C, Lozano-Juste J, Romero LC, García I, Gotor C, León J. Inhibition of*Arabidopsis O*acetylserine(thiol)lyase A1 by tyrosine nitration. J. Biol. Chem. 2011; 286:578–586. [PubMed: 21047785]
- Appleby, CA.; Bergersen, FJ. Preparation and experimental use of leghaemoglobin. In: Bergersen, FJ., editor. Methods for Evaluating Biological Nitrogen Fixation. Chichester: Wiley; 1980. p. 315-335.
- Arrese-Igor C, Gordon AJ, Minchin FR, Denison RF. Nitrate entry and nitrite formation in the infected region of soybean nodules. J. Exp. Bot. 1998; 49:41–48.
- Aviram I, Wittenberg BA, Wittenberg JB. The reaction of ferrous leghemoglobin with hydrogen peroxide to form leghemoglobin (IV). J. Biol. Chem. 1978; 253:5685–5689. [PubMed: 670221]
- Balanbali B, Kamisaki Y, Martin E, Murad F. Requirements for heme and thiols for the nonezymatic modification of nitrotyrosine. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 1999; 96:13136–13141. [PubMed: 10557286]
- Bartesaghi S, Trujillo M, Denicola A, Folkes L, Wardman P, Radi R. Reactions of desferrioxamine with peroxynitrite-derived carbonate and nitrogen dioxide radicals. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 2004; 36:471–483. [PubMed: 14975450]
- Bartesaghi S, Valez V, Trujillo M, Peluffo G, Romero N, Zhang H, Kalyanaraman B, Radi R. Mechanistic studies of peroxynitrite-mediated tyrosine nitration in membranes using the hydrophobic probe *N*-*t*-BOC-L-tyrosine*tert*-butyl ester. Biochemistry. 2006; 45:6813–6825. [PubMed: 16734418]
- Becana M, Minchin FR, Sprent JI. Short-term inhibition of legume  $N_2$  fixation by nitrate. I. Nitrate effects on nitrate-reductase activities of bacteroids and nodule cytosol. Planta. 1989; 180:40–45. [PubMed: 24201842]
- Becana M, Klucas RV. Oxidation and reduction of leghemoglobin in root nodules of leguminous plants. Plant Physiol. 1992; 98:1217–1221. [PubMed: 16668778]
- Becana M, Matamoros MA, Udvardi M, Dalton DA. Recent insights into antioxidant defenses of legume root nodules. New Phytol. 2010; 188:960–976. [PubMed: 21039567]
- Begara-Morales JC, Chaki M, Sánchez-Calvo B, Mata-Pérez C, Leterrier M, Palma JM, Barroso JB, Corpas FJ. Protein tyrosine nitration in pea roots during development and senescence. J. Exp. Bot. 2013; 64:1121–1134. [PubMed: 23362300]
- Bondoc LL, Timkovich R. Structural characterization of nitrimyoglobin. J. Biol. Chem. 1989; 264:6134–6145. [PubMed: 2703482]
- Castro L, Eiserich JP, Sweeney S, Radi R, Freeman BA. Cytochrome *c*: a catalyst and target of nitritehydrogen peroxide-dependent protein nitration. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 2004; 421:99–107. [PubMed: 14678790]
- Cecconi D, Orzetti S, Vandelle E, Rinalducci S, Zolla L, Delledonne M. Protein nitration during defense response in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Electrophoresis. 2009; 30:2460–2468. [PubMed: 19598157]
- Chaki M, Valderrama R, Fernández-Ocaña AM, Carreras A, Gómez-Rodríguez MV, López-Jaramillo J, Begara-Morales JC, Sánchez-Calvo B, Luque F, Leterrier M, Corpas FJ, Barroso JB. High temperature triggers the metabolism of*S*-nitrosothiols in sunflower mediating a process of nitrosative stress which provokes the inhibition of ferredoxin-NADP reductase by tyrosine nitration. Plant Cell Environ. 2011; 34:1803–1818. [PubMed: 21676000]
- Corpas FJ, del Río LA, Barroso JB. Need of biomarkers of nitrosative stress in plants. Trends Plant Sci. 2007; 12:436–438. [PubMed: 17826297]
- Cueto M, Hernández-Perera O, Martín R, Bentura ML, Rodrigo J, Lamas S, Golvano MP. Presence of nitric oxide synthase activity in roots and nodules of *Lupinus albus*. FEBS Lett. 1996; 398:159– 164. [PubMed: 8977098]
- Egelhofer V, Hoehenwarter W, Lyon D, Weckwerth W, Wienkoop S. Using ProtMAX to create highmass-accuracy precursor alignments from label-free quantitative mass spectrometry data generated in shotgun proteomics experiments. Nature Protocols. 2013; 8:595–601.
- Escuredo PR, Minchin FR, Gogorcena Y, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Klucas RV, Becana M. Involvement of activated oxygen in nitrate-induced senescence of pea root nodules. Plant Physiol. 1996; 110:1187–1195. [PubMed: 12226252]
- Evans PJ, Gallesi D, Mathieu C, Hernández MJ, de Felipe MR, Halliwell B, Puppo A. Oxidative stress occurs during soybean nodule senescence. Planta. 1999; 208:73–79.
- Fuchsman WH, Appleby CA. Separation and determination of the relative concentrations of the homogenous components of soybean leghemoglobin by isoelectric focusing. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 1979; 579:314–324. [PubMed: 575296]
- Galetskiy D, Lohscheider JN, Kononikhin AS, Popov IA, Nikolaev EN, Adamska I. Phosphorylation and nitration levels of photosynthetic proteins are conversely regulated by light stress. Plant Mol. Biol. 2011; 77:461–473. [PubMed: 21901528]
- Gogorcena Y, Gordon AJ, Escuredo PR, Minchin FR, Witty JF, Moran JF, Becana M. N<sub>2</sub> fixation, carbon metabolism, and oxidative damage in nodules of dark-stressed common bean plants. Plant Physiol. 1997; 113:1193–1201. [PubMed: 12223669]
- Gow A, Duran D, Thom SR, Ischiropoulos H. Carbon dioxide enhancement of peroxynitrite-mediated protein tyrosine nitration. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1996; 333:42–48. [PubMed: 8806752]
- Herold S. Nitrotyrosine, dityrosine, and nitrotryptophan formation from metmyoglobin, hydrogen peroxide, and nitrite. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 2004; 36:565–579. [PubMed: 14980701]
- Herold S, Puppo A. Oxyleghemoglobin scavenges nitrogen monoxide and peroxynitrite: a possible role in functioning nodules? J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 2005a; 10:935–945. [PubMed: 16267661]
- Herold S, Puppo A. Kinetics and mechanistic studies of the reactions of metleghemoglobin, ferrylleghemoglobin, and nitrosylleghemoglobin with reactive nitrogen species. J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 2005b; 10:946–957. [PubMed: 16267660]
- Herold S, Shivashankar K. Metmyoglobin and methemoglobin catalyze the isomerization of peroxynitrite to nitrate. Biochemistry. 2003; 42:14036–14046. [PubMed: 14636072]
- Jun HK, Sarath G, Moran JF, Becana M, Klucas RV, Wagner FW. Characteristics of modified leghemoglobins isolated from soybean (*Glycine max* Merr.) root nodules. Plant Physiol. 1994; 104:1231–1236. [PubMed: 12232161]
- Kundu S, Blouin GC, Premer SA, Sarath G, Olson JS, Hargrove MS. Tyrosine B10 inhibits stabilization of bound carbon monoxide and oxygen in soybean leghemoglobin. Biochemistry. 2004; 43:6241–6252. [PubMed: 15147208]
- Lee KK, Shearman L, Erickson BK, Klucas RV. Ferric leghemoglobin in plant-attached leguminous nodules. Plant Physiol. 1995; 109:261–267. [PubMed: 12228593]
- Lozano-Juste J, Colom-Moreno R, León J. *In vivo* protein tyrosine nitration in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J. Exp. Bot. 2011; 62:3501–3517. [PubMed: 21378116]
- Mathieu C, Moreau S, Frendo P, Puppo A, Davies MJ. Direct detection of radicals in intact soybean nodules: presence of nitric oxide leghemoglobin complexes. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 1998; 24:1242–1249. [PubMed: 9626580]

- Meakin GE, Bueno E, Jepson B, Bedmar EJ, Richardson DJ, Delgado MJ. The contribution of bacteroidal nitrate and nitrite reduction to the formation of nitrosylleghaemoglobin complexes in soybean root nodules. Microbiology. 2007; 153:411–419. [PubMed: 17259612]
- Melo PM, Silva LS, Ribeiro I, Seabra AR, Carvalho HG. Glutamine synthetase is a molecular target of nitric oxide in root nodules of *Medicago truncatula* and is regulated by tyrosine nitration. Plant Physiol. 2011; 157:1505–1517. [PubMed: 21914816]
- Moreau S, Davies MJ, Puppo A. Reaction of ferric leghemoglobin with  $H_2O_2$ : formation of hemeprotein cross-links and dimeric species. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 1995; 1251:17–22. [PubMed: 7647088]
- Moreau S, Davies MJ, Mathieu D, Hérouart D, Puppo A. Leghemoglobin-derived radicals. Evidence for multiple protein-derived radicals and the initiation of peribacteroid membrane damage. J. Biol. Chem. 1996; 271:32557–32562. [PubMed: 8955081]
- Navascués J, Pérez-Rontomé C, Gay M, Marcos M, Yang F, Walker FA, Desbois A, Abián J, Becana M. Leghemoglobin green derivatives with nitrated hemes evidence production of highly reactive nitrogen species during aging of legume nodules. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2012; 109:2660– 2665. [PubMed: 22308405]
- Nicolis S, Monzani E, Roncone R, Gianelli L, Casella L. Metmyoglobin-catalyzed exogenous and endogenous tyrosine nitration by nitrite and hydrogen peroxide. Chem. Eur. J. 2004; 10:2281– 2290. [PubMed: 15112218]
- Otsuka M, Marks SA, Winnica DE, Amoscato AA, Pearce LL, Peterson J. Covalent modifications of hemoglobin by nitrite anion: formation kinetics and properties of nitrihemoglobin. Chem. Res. Toxicol. 2010; 23:1786–1795. [PubMed: 20961082]
- Petruk AA, Bartesaghi S, Trujillo M, Estrin DA, Murgida D, Kalyanaraman B, Marti MA, Radi R. Molecular basis of intramolecular electron transfer in proteins during radical-mediated oxidations: computer simulation studies in model tyrosine-cysteine peptides in solution. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 2012; 525:82–91. [PubMed: 22640642]
- Pfeiffer NE, Torres CM, Wagner FW. Proteolytic activity in soybean root nodules. Activity in host cell cytosol and bacteroids throughout physiological development and senescence. Plant Physiol. 1983; 71:797–802. [PubMed: 16662910]
- Pladys D, Barthe P, Rigaud J. Changes in intracellular pH in French-bean nodules induced by senescence and nitrate treatment. Plant Sci. 1988; 56:99–106.
- Prasad JC, Goldstone JV, Camacho CJ, Vajda S, Stegeman JJ. Ensemble modeling of substrate binding to cytochromes P450: analysis of catalytic differences between CYP1A orthologs. Biochemistry. 2007; 46:2640–2654. [PubMed: 17300179]
- Puppo A, Groten K, Bastian F, Carzaniga R, Soussi M, Lucas MM, de Felipe MR, Harrison J, Vanacker H, Foyer CH. Legume nodule senescence: roles for redox and hormone signalling in the orchestration of the natural aging process. New Phytol. 2005; 165:683–701. [PubMed: 15720680]
- Radi R. Nitric oxide, oxidants, and protein tyrosine nitration. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2004; 101:4003–4008. [PubMed: 15020765]
- Sakamoto A, Sakurao SH, Fukunaga K, Matsubara T, Ueda-Hashimoto M, Tsukamoto S, Takahashi M, Morikawa H. Three distinct *Arabidopsis* hemoglobins exhibit peroxidase-like activity and differentially mediate nitrite-dependent protein nitration. FEBS Lett. 2004; 572:27–32. [PubMed: 15304319]
- Schopfer FJ, Baker PRS, Freeman BA. NO-dependent protein nitration: a cell signaling event or an oxidative inflammatory response? Trends Biochem. Sci. 2003; 28:646–654. [PubMed: 14659696]
- Söderling A, Hultman L, Delbro D, Højrup P, Caidahl K. Reduction of the nitro group during sample preparation may cause underestimation of the nitration level in 3-nitrotyrosine immunoblotting. J. Chromatography B. 2007; 851:277–286.
- Tanou G, Filippou P, Belghazi M, Job D, Diamantidis G, Fotopoulos V, Molassiotis A. Oxidative and nitrosative-based signaling and associated post-translational modifications orchestrate the acclimation of citrus plants to salinity stress. Plant J. 2012; 72:585–599. [PubMed: 22780834]
- Thomas DD, Espey MG, Vitek MP, Miranda KM, Wink DA. Protein nitration is mediated by heme and free metals through Fenton-type chemistry: an alternative to the  $NO/O_2^-$  reaction. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2002; 99:12691–12696. [PubMed: 12226478]

Van der Vliet A, Eiserich JP, Halliwell B, Cross CE. Formation of reactive nitrogen species during peroxidase-catalyzed oxidation of nitrite. J. Biol. Chem. 1997; 272:7617–7625. [PubMed: 9065416]





Nodule contents of total soluble protein (a), Lb (b) and  $NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>$  (c) during natural and  $NO<sub>3</sub>$ induced senescence. The NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> content of bean nodules was ~5–8 nmol  $g^{-1}$  fresh wt, close to the detection limit of the assay, and therefore it was not shown in the figure. Y, young nodules; M, mature nodules; S, senescent nodules; 4N, young nodules after 4 days of  $NO_3^$ treatment. Data are means  $\pm$  SE of four to six biological replicates. Means denoted by the same letter do not significantly differ at *P*<0.05 based on the Duncan's multiple range test.



**Figure 2. Immunoblots showing the presence of nitrated proteins in bean and soybean nodules** (a) Bean plants were grown in the absence of  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  or under common conditions with 0.25 mM NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, and soybean plants were grown in the field. Nodule extracts were analyzed on 12.5% SDS-gels. Immunoblots *(up)* and Coomassie-stained gels *(down)* are shown. Protein loaded was 50 µg (bean) or 20 µg (soybean) per lane.

(b) Extracts from similar nodule samples as above were loaded on preparative native gels, then Lb bands were eluted and proteins were resolved on 15% SDS-gels and blotted. Protein loaded was 20 µg per lane.

Y, young nodules; M, mature nodules; S, senescent nodules; 4N, young nodules after 4 days of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> treatment. Molecular mass (kDa) markers are indicated on the left. Nitrated protein bands and nitrated Lb bands are marked with arrowheads and arrows, respectively. Immunoblots are representative of six (a) or three (b) blots obtained with different nodule samples, except that in a few cases nitration intensity was similar in Y and M nodules of bean plants grown on  $0.25$  mM NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>.



#### **Figure 3. Identification of nitrated peptides**

Fragmentation spectra of the unmodified GNIPQYSVVFYTSILEK peptide *(up)* of PvLb*a*  and of its  $NO_2$ -Tyr<sup>25</sup> *(centre)* and  $NO_2$ -Tyr<sup>30</sup> *(down)* modified forms. The spectra were obtained with the LTQ Velos system on a data-dependent mode.

Sainz et al. Page 22



**Figure 4.** *In vivo* **nitration of PvLb***a***, GmLb***a* **and GmLb***c1* **during natural and nitrate-induced senescence of nodules**

Y, young nodules; M, mature nodules; S, senescent nodules; 4N, young nodules after 4 days of  $NO_3^-$  treatment. Data are given as percentage of  $NO_2$ -Tyr<sup>30</sup> relative to Tyr<sup>30</sup>, and represent the mean  $\pm$  SE of two or three biological replicates corresponding to different protein preparations. Asterisks denote statistical differences relative to young nodules at *P*<0.05 based on the Student's *t*-test. No differences in the NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr<sup>30</sup> content of PvLba were found in nodules treated with  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  for 1, 2 or 3 days relative to untreated (control) nodules.



#### **Figure 5. Molecular model of GmLb***a* **showing the three Tyr residues**

(a) Positions of Tyr<sup>25</sup>, Tyr<sup>30</sup> and Tyr<sup>133</sup> in relation to the heme.

(b)  $Tyr^{30}$  located in the distal heme pocket.

(c) Tyr133 in the edge of the heme, showing in both cases the distance between the Tyr

hydroxyl and the oxoferryl. The GmLb*a* crystal structure (PDB accession 1BIN) was used for modeling with PyMOL software [\(www.pmol.org\)](http://www.pmol.org).

**Author Manuscript** Author Manuscript



#### **Figure 6.** *In vitro* **nitration of Lb**

(a) PvLb*a* (10  $\mu$ M) was treated with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (100  $\mu$ M) + NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> (1 mM), then immediately loaded on SDS-gels, blotted and probed with anti- $NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr$  antibody. (C+) positive control with complete mix. (C-) negative control omitting  $H_2O_2$ . Concentrations of compounds (added to the proteins before inclusion of  $H_2O_2 + NO_2^-$ ): 100 µM DTPA, DFO and uric acid; 5 mM pHPA; 10 mM KCN. Similar results were obtained for GmLb*a*. (b) Effect of SIN-1 on GmLb*a* and PvLb*a* nitration. The asterisk is a positive control of nitrated iron-superoxide dismutase (1 µg; Castro *et al*., 2004). Numbers are the

concentrations of SIN-1 (0.2–1 mM) and Lb (10–20 µM) used for the reactions and the amounts of Lb protein (3–6 µg) loaded per lane.

(c) Effect of ONOO− on GmLb*a* and PvLb*a* nitration. Lbs were treated with 500 µM ONOO<sup>-</sup> + HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (5 mM) + DTPA (100  $\mu$ M) for 5 min. Numbers are the concentrations of Lb (10–60  $\mu$ M) used for the reactions and the amounts of Lb protein (3–6  $\mu$ g) loaded per lane. Symbols +/− indicate addition or omission of ONOO−. In panels (a) and (b), arrows mark the position of the monomeric Lbs. In panels (a) and (c), immunoreactive protein bands with molecular masses >27 kDa correspond to dimers and aggregates of nitrated Lb. For all panels, experiments were performed in 20 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) at 26C, and molecular mass (kDa) markers are shown to the left.



#### **Figure 7. Preparative separation and immunoblot analysis of Lb derivatives generated by nitration**

(a) Separation of Lb derivatives on preparative native gels. PvLb*a* (*upper gels*) and GmLb*a*  (*lower gels*), both at 1 mM, were treated for 30 min with 10 mM  $H_2O_2 + 10$  or 100 mM  $NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>$  in 20 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 6.5). The protein products (bean, B1 to B7; soybean, S1 to S7) were eluted from gels and analyzed by MS.

(b) Immunoblot analyses of proteins eluted from (a) using antibodies against  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ -Tyr and Lb. Besides monomeric nitrated Lb, dimers and other Lb aggregates (>27 kDa) were formed with different levels of nitration. GmLb*a* was more resilient to nitration than PvLb*a*, as the

former showed less intense signal with the  $NO_2$ -Tyr antibody and maintained most Lb in monomeric form.

 Author Manuscript**Author Manuscript** 







#### **Figure 8. Mechanisms of protein Tyr nitration**

(a) Nitration mediated by ferryl globin (Glb<sup>4+</sup>=O), which oxidizes  $NO_2^-$  to  $\cdot NO_2$ . The tyrosyl ( $\blacktriangledown$ Tyr) radical cannot be generated directly from Tyr by reaction with  $\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}_2$  or ONOO<sup>-</sup> and its formation is very slow with •NO<sub>2</sub> (Radi, 2004).

(b) Iron-catalyzed Fenton reaction followed by  $\cdot$ OH-mediated NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> oxidation.

(c) Nitration mediated by ONOO<sup>-</sup> and/or its derived radicals •NO<sub>2</sub>, •OH and CO<sub>3</sub><sup>-•</sup>. The nitrating species are indicated within boxes. For further explanation see Discussion.

#### **Table 1**

*In vitro* nitration of Tyr residues of Lbs



 $a$ <br>All Lbs were used at a concentration of 10  $\mu$ M.

*b* Percentage of nitration = [NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr/(NO<sub>2</sub>-Tyr + Tyr)] × 100. Values are means of two or three biological replicates with SE<10%.