Affected Twins in the Familial Intracranial Aneurysm Study

Jason Mackey, MD, MS[1], **Robert D. Brown Jr., MD**[2], **Laura Sauerbeck, MS**[3], **Richard Hornung, DrPH**[4], **Charles J. Moomaw, PhD**[3], **Daniel L. Koller, PhD**[5], **Tatiana Foroud, PhD**[5], **Ranjan Deka, PhD**[6], **Daniel Woo, MD, MS**[3], **Dawn Kleindorfer, MD**[3], **Matthew L. Flaherty, MD**[3], **Irene Meissner, MD**[2], **Craig Anderson, MD**[7], **Guy Rouleau, MD, PhD**[8], **E. Sander Connolly, MD**[9], **John Huston III, MD**[10], and **Joseph P. Broderick, MD**[3] for the FIA Investigators

[1]Department of Neurology, Indiana University, Indianapolis, IN

[2]Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

[3]Department of Neurology, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH

[4]Department of Emergency Medicine, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH

[5]Department of Medical & Molecular Genetics, Indiana University, Indianapolis, IN

[6]Department of Environmental Health, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH

[7]The George Institute for International Health, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

[8]Notre Dame Hospital, University of Montreal, Montreal, Canada

[9]Department of Neurosurgery, Columbia University, New York, NY

[10]Department of Radiology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

Abstract

Background and Purpose—Very few cases of intracranial aneurysms (IAs) in twins have been reported. Previous work has suggested that vulnerability to IA formation is heritable. Twin studies provide an opportunity to evaluate the impact of genetics on IA characteristics, including IA location. We therefore sought to examine IA location concordance, multiplicity, and rupture status within affected twin-pairs.

Methods—The Familial Intracranial Aneurysm study was a multicenter study whose goal was to identify genetic and other risk factors for formation and rupture of IAs. The study required at least three affected family members or an affected sibling pair for inclusion. Subjects with fusiform aneurysms, an IA associated with an AVM, or a family history of conditions known to predispose

Corresponding Author's name and complete mailing address: Jason Mackey, MD, MS, 355 West 16th St, Suite 3200, Indianapolis, IN 46202, Phone: 317-948-5450, Fax: 317-962-2141, jsmackey@iupui.edu.

to IA formation, such as polycystic kidney disease, Ehlers-Danlos syndrome, Marfan syndrome, fibromuscular dysplasia, or moyamoya syndrome, were excluded. Twin-pairs were identified by birth date and were classified as monozygotic (MZ) or dizygotic (DZ) through DNA marker genotypes. In addition to zygosity, we evaluated twin-pairs by smoking status, major arterial territory of IAs, and rupture status. Location concordance was defined as presence of an IA in the same arterial distribution (ICA, MCA, ACA, and vertebrobasilar), irrespective of laterality, in both members of a twin-pair. The Fisher exact test was used for comparisons between MZ and DZ twin-pairs.

Results—A total of 16 affected twin-pairs were identified. Location concordance was observed in 8 of 11 MZ twin-pairs but in only 1 of 5 DZ twin-pairs (p=.08). Three MZ subjects had unknown IA locations and comprised the three instances of MZ discordance. Six of the 11 MZ twin-pairs and none of the 5 DZ twin-pairs had IAs in the ICA distribution (p=.03). Multiple IAs were observed in 11 of 22 MZ and 5 of 10 DZ twin-pairs. Thirteen (13) of the 32 subjects had an IA rupture, including 10 of 22 MZ twins.

Conclusions—We found that arterial location concordance was greater in MZ than DZ twins, which suggests a genetic influence upon aneurysm location. The 16 twin-pairs in the present study are nearly the total of affected twin-pairs that have been reported in the literature to date. Further studies are needed to determine the impact of genetics in the formation and rupture of IAs.

Search terms

intracranial aneurysm; genetics; twins; familial; concordance

Introduction

Genetic and environmental factors have been implicated in intracranial aneurysm (IA) formation.[1] Previous work has suggested that vulnerability to IA formation is heritable. [2,3] Twin studies provide an opportunity to evaluate the impact of genetics on IA characteristics, including IA location. Few cases of IAs in twins have been reported in the literature,[4] and risk factor profiles and other clinical characteristics are often absent in these reports. While genetic SAHs appear rare,[5,6] an understanding of the genetic contributions to IA formation and rupture may help improve understanding of the underlying pathophysiology and stratify risk. We sought to examine the similarity of IA location ("location concordance"), IA multiplicity, and rupture status within affected twin-pairs in a large family-based IA study.

Methods

The Familial Intracranial Aneurysm (FIA) Study was a multicenter international study whose goal was to identify genetic and other risk factors for IA formation and rupture. The FIA study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards/Ethics Committees of all recruitment and analytic sites.

The detailed FIA Study methodology has been published previously.[7]. Families with multiple affected members were enrolled and completed a detailed evaluation. At least three affected family members or an affected sibling-pair were required for inclusion in the study.

Subjects with fusiform aneurysms, an IA associated with an AVM, or a family history of conditions known to predispose to IA formation, such as polycystic kidney disease, Ehlers-Danlos syndrome, Marfan syndrome, fibromuscular dysplasia, or moyamoya syndrome, were excluded.

A verification committee of study neurologists reviewed the medical records. Two study neurologists independently reviewed the records and any discrepancy was settled by a third neurologist. For those subjects undergoing FIA study imaging, study neuroradiologists independently reviewed the images and any discrepancy was resolved by a third neuroradiologist. Each case was phenotyped as definite, probable, possible, or not a case. Definite was defined as an IA on angiography, operative report, autopsy, or an IA greater than 7mm on a noninvasive imaging report (MRA or CTA). Probable was defined as a death certificate mentioning probable IA or mentioning subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) without an aneurysm and a phone screen consistent with a ruptured IA, or noninvasive imaging demonstrating an IA less than 7mm but greater than 3mm. Possible was defined as noninvasive imaging demonstrating an IA between 2 and 3mm or if SAH was documented in the death certificate without any supporting documentation or if the death certificate listed "aneurysm" without specifying cerebral location or an accompanying SAH. If there was no evidence for a possible IA, the phenotype was not a case.

Twin-pairs were identified in the database by birth date. Genotypic data were used to classify twin-pairs as monozygotic (MZ) or dizygotic (DZ).[8] The present analysis included twin-pairs in which both twins were affected with IA. Demographics were recorded at the baseline interview. IA characteristics were recorded from available imaging and medical record reports.

Location concordance was defined as presence of an IA in the same major arterial territory—internal carotid artery (ICA), middle cerebral artery (MCA), anterior cerebral artery (ACA), or vertebrobasilar—irrespective of laterality—in both members of a twin-pair. Fisher's exact test was used to test whether concordance was greater in MZ as compared with DZ twin-pairs.

Results

Using birthdates and linkage testing, we identified 36 candidate families in the overall FIA study with twins. One family with three twins (two MZ siblings and one DZ sibling) was excluded because all three siblings were unaffected. Seven twin-pairs (two MZ, five DZ) were excluded because both twins were unaffected. Five twin-pairs (one MZ, four DZ) were excluded because only one twin was affected. Six twin-pairs (two MZ, four DZ) were excluded because one twin was affected but the other twin refused a study MRA. One twin-pair (DZ) was excluded because one twin was unaffected and the other twin refused a study MRA. A total of 16 affected twin-pairs met inclusion criteria for this study. All included subjects had a definite or probable phenotype with the exception of one twin in the DZ 5 twin-pair. Patient and IA characteristics are noted in the Table. Location concordance was observed in 8 of 11 MZ twin-pairs but in only 1 of 5 DZ twin-pairs (p=0.08). Three MZ subjects had unknown IA locations; these comprised the three instances of MZ discordance.

Data from twins with conclusive location results in a heritability estimate of 66%. Seven of the 11 MZ twin-pairs, versus none of five the DZ twin-pairs, were concordant in the ICA distribution (p=0.03). Five of the 11 MZ twin-pairs, versus one of the five DZ twin-pairs, had exact matches of IA location and laterality. Age, gender, hypertensive status, smoking behavior, IA location and size, and rupture status of affected twins are shown in the Table.

Discussion

We found that location concordance was greater in MZ than DZ twins in twin-pairs in which both twins are affected. Our previous work has demonstrated that IA location concordance is greater in several territories when probands are compared with their own affected first-degree relatives than with a randomly selected comparison family,[2] which suggests that anatomic vulnerability might be heritable. The present paper extends this work by comparing MZ and DZ twins.

Efforts to identify genes associated with IA formation have been modestly successful. Genome-wide association studies have demonstrated associations between multiple loci and IA formation,[8–15] although the effect sizes of these common SNPs are modest. This suggests that there are likely many common variants of modest effect contributing to IA risk. These studies did not stratify by IA location.

Very few affected IA twin pairs have been reported in the literature,[4,5,16] with high location concordance rates in those studies which report IA locations. Most affected twin-pairs have both suffered a SAH. A study of the Nordic Twin Cohort found 6 instances (5 MZ) of concordant SAH in nearly 80,000 twin-pairs, of which ~30% were MZ. IA locations were not reported.[5] The reasons why so few cases have been reported are unclear.

The 16 twin-pairs in the present study are nearly the total of affected twin-pairs that have been reported in the literature to date, with the advantages of genetic confirmation of zygosity and risk factor profiles. However, this study has several limitations: it is small, and IA locations were unknown for three MZ twins. The small sample size also limits our ability to comment on the contribution of environmental risk factors such as smoking on IA formation. Because this was a cross-sectional study and IA can develop over time, we only included twin-pairs with both twins affected which limits the analysis of genetic contribution to IA location. In addition, phenotype verification in the FIA study was largely based on reports rather than images, so that independent verification of IA location by the study team was not possible. We cannot comment on IA development over time. We also cannot comment on IA anatomic or hemodynamic characteristics.

IA formation is a complex process. An improved understanding of the genetic component of IA formation could result in improved identification of at-risk individuals.

Acknowledgments

References

1. Ruigrok YM, Rinkel GJE. Genetics of intracranial aneurysms. Stroke. 2008; 39:1049–1055. [PubMed: 18258833]

2. Mackey J, Brown RD, Moomaw CJ, Hornung R, Sauerbeck L, Woo D, et al. Familial intracranial aneurysms: Is anatomic vulnerability heritable? Stroke. 2013; 44:38–42. [PubMed: 23204049]

3. Mackey J, Brown RD, Moomaw CJ, Sauerbeck L, Hornung R, Gandhi D, et al. Unruptured intracranial aneurysms in the familial intracranial aneurysm and international study of unruptured intracranial aneurysms cohorts: Differences in multiplicity and location. J Neurosurg. 2012; 117:60–64. [PubMed: 22540404]

4. ter Laan M, Kerstjens-Frederikse WS, Metzemaekers JD, van Dijk JM, Groen RJ. Concordant symptomatic intracranial aneurysm in a monozygotic twin: A case report and review of the literature. Twin Res Hum Genet. 2009; 12:295–300. [PubMed: 19456222]

5. Korja M, Silventoinen K, McCarron P, Zdravkovic S, Skytthe A, Haapanen A, et al. Genetic epidemiology of spontaneous subarachnoid hemorrhage: Nordic twin study. Stroke. 2010; 41:2458–2462. [PubMed: 20847318]

6. Bor AS, Rinkel GJ, Adami J, Koffijberg H, Ekbom A, Buskens E, et al. Risk of subarachnoid haemorrhage according to number of affected relatives: A population based case-control study. Brain. 2008; 131:2662–2665. [PubMed: 18819992]

7. Broderick JP, Sauerbeck LR, Foroud T, Huston J III, Pankratz N, Meissner I, et al. The familial intracranial aneurysm (fia) study protocol. BMC Medical Genetics. 2005; 6:17. [PubMed: 15854227]

8. Foroud T, Sauerbeck L, Brown R, Anderson C, Woo D, Kleindorfer D, et al. Genome screen in familial intracranial aneurysm. BMC Med Genet. 2009; 10:3. [PubMed: 19144135]

9. Deka R, Koller DL, Lai D, Indugula SR, Sun G, Woo D, et al. The relationship between smoking and replicated sequence variants on chromosomes 8 and 9 with familial intracranial aneurysm. Stroke. 2010; 41:1132–1137. [PubMed: 20190001]

10. Bilguvar K, Yasuno K, Niemelä M, Ruigrok YM, Von Und Zu Fraunberg M, Van Duijn CM, et al. Susceptibility loci for intracranial aneurysm in european and japanese populations. Nature Genetics. 2008; 40:1472–1477. [PubMed: 18997786]

11. Yasuno K, Bilguvar K, Bijlenga P, Low SK, Krischek B, Auburger G, et al. Genome-wide association study of intracranial aneurysm identifies three new risk loci. Nature Genetics. 2010; 42:420–425. [PubMed: 20364137]

12. Yasuno K, Bakırcıo lu M, Low SK, Bilgüvar K, Gaál E, Ruigrok YM, et al. Common variant near the endothelin receptor type a (ednra) gene is associated with intracranial aneurysm risk. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011; 108:19707–19712. [PubMed: 22106312]

13. Foroud T, Koller DL, Lai D, Sauerbeck L, Anderson C, Ko N, et al. Genome-wide association study of intracranial aneurysms confirms role of anril and sox17 in disease risk. Stroke. 2012; 43:2846–2852. [PubMed: 22961961]

14. Low SK, Takahashi A, Cha PC, Zembutsu H, Kamatani N, Kubo M, et al. Genome-wide association study for intracranial aneurysm in the japanese population identifies three candidate susceptible loci and a functional genetic variant at ednra. Hum Mol Genet. 2012; 21:2102–2110. [PubMed: 22286173]

15. Hashikata H, Liu W, Inoue K, Mineharu Y, Yamada S, Nanayakkara S, et al. Confirmation of an association of single-nucleotide polymorphism rs1333040 on 9p21 with familial and sporadic intracranial aneurysms in japanese patients. Stroke. 2010; 41:1138–1144. [PubMed: 20395613]

16. Leung HK, Lam Y, Cheng KM, Chan CM, Cheung YL. Intracranial aneurysms in twins: Case report and review of the literature. Hong Kong Med J. 2011; 17:151–154. [PubMed: 21471597]

Table

Characteristics of Monozygotic (MZ) and Dizygotic (DZ) Twin-Pairs at Time of Initial Diagnosis of Intracranial Aneurysm (IA)

	Age	Gender	HTN	Smoking (pack-years)	IA Location(s) and Size	Rupture
MZ twin-pairs						
1	22 / 22	F / F	no / no	never / never	**L ICA** (unk), **R ICA** (6mm) / **L ICA** (unk), AComm (unk)	yes (L ICA) / yes (L ICA)
2	56 / 55	F / F	no / yes	former (43.5) / former (3.6)	L MCA (6mm), **L AntChor** (unk), Bas (unk), **L SupHyp** (3mm) / **L ICA** (3mm)	yes (record does not indicate which IA) / no
3	43 / 43	F / F	no / no	current (14.5) / current (21.8)	L ICA (7mm), L Ophth (1.5mm) / not specified on report	no / yes
4	41 / 27	M / M	yes / no	never / never	**R ICA** (3mm) / **R ICA** (6mm)	no / yes
5	32 / 31	F / F	no / yes	current (14.3) / current (18)	R MCA (unk), L MCA (unk) / not specified on report	no / yes
6	51 / 53	F / F	yes / yes	never / never	**AComm** (7mm) / **AComm** (4mm)	yes / yes
7	54 / 53	M / M	no / no	former (25.1) / current (21)	**R ICA** (7mm), L SupCer (3mm) / L MCA (17mm), **R PComm** (5mm), AComm (unk)	no / no
8	56 / 56	F / F	no / no	never / never	**R ICA** (8mm), Bas (3mm) / **L ICA** (unk)	no / no
9	45 / 44	F / F	no / no	current (20.3) / current (11)	R MCA (unk), **R PComm** (unk), **AntChor** (unk) / **R PComm** (11mm)	yes (R MCA) / no
10	68 / 66	F / F	no / no	former (14) / former (34)	**L ICA** (unk), **L MCA** (unk) / **L MCA** (5mm), **L ICA** (2mm)	no / no
11	50 / 42	F / F	no / no	current (17) / current (20.3)	MCA (report does not mention side or size) / not specified on death certificate (unk)	no / yes
DZ twin-pairs						
1	64 / 64	F / F	no / yes	never / current (43)	R ICA (4.5mm) / L MCA (2mm)	no / no
2	61 / 60	F / F	yes / yes	former (8.7) / never	R ICA (8mm), R ICA (1mm) / AComm (15mm)	no / yes
3	46 / 46	F / F	no / no	former (16.5) / former (5.8)	**L MCA** (4mm), **Bas** (3mm) / **R MCA** (7mm), R ACA (2.9mm), **Bas** (0.5mm)	yes (Bas) / no
4	44 / 35	F / F	no / no	former (2) / current (20)	AComm (unk) / L MCA (unk)	no / yes
5	51 / 51	F / F	no / yes	former (17.8) / current (34)	L MCA (6mm), R MCA (2mm) / AComm (3mm), L PComm (3mm)	no / no

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Note: IAs in bold type denote location concordance within twin-pairs.

ICA territory: ICA=internal carotid artery, PComm=posterior communicating artery, Ophth=ophthalmic artery, AntChor=anterior choroidal artery, SupHyp=superior hypophyseal artery.

MCA territory: MCA=middle cerebral artery.

ACA territory: ACA=anterior cerebral artery, AComm=anterior communicating artery.

Vertebrobasilar territory: Bas=basilar tip, SupCer=superior cerebellar artery

CTA: CT angiogram

Unk: unknown