www.jcbfm.com

REVIEW ARTICLE Cannabinoids in experimental stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Timothy J England, William H Hind, Nadiah A Rasid and Saoirse E O'Sullivan

Cannabinoids (CBs) show promise as neuroprotectants with some agents already licensed in humans for other conditions. We systematically reviewed CBs in preclinical stroke to guide further experimental protocols. We selected controlled studies assessing acute administration of CBs for experimental stroke, identified through systematic searches. Data were extracted on lesion volume, outcome and quality, and analyzed using random effect models. Results are expressed as standardized mean difference (SMD) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). In all, 144 experiments (34 publications) assessed CBs on infarct volume in 1,473 animals. Cannabinoids reduced infarct volume in transient (SMD – 1.41 (95% CI – 1.71), – 1.11) $P < 0.00001$) and permanent (–1.67 (–2.08, -1.27), $P < 0.00001$) ischemia and in all subclasses: endocannabinoids (−1.72 (−2.62, −0.82), $P = 0.0002$), CB₁/CB₂ ligands (−1.75 (−2.19, −1.31), P < 0.00001), CB₂ ligands (−1.65 (−2.09, − 1.22), P < 0.00001), cannabidiol (−1.20 (−1.63, − 0.77), P < 0.00001), Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (−1.43 (−2.01, −0.86), P < 0.00001), and HU-211 (−2.90 (−4.24, −1.56), P < 0.0001). Early and late neuroscores significantly improved with CB use (-1.27 (-1.58, -0.95), $P < 0.00001$; -1.63 (-2.64, -0.62), $P < 0.002$ respectively) and there was no effect on survival. Statistical heterogeneity and publication bias was present, median study quality was 4 (range 1 to 6/8). Overall, CBs significantly reduced infarct volume and improve functional outcome in experimental stroke. Further studies in aged, female and larger animals, with other co-morbidities are required.

Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism (2015) 35, 348–358; doi[:10.1038/jcbfm.2014.218](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/jcbfm.2014.218); published online 10 December 2014

Keywords: cannabinoid; meta-analysis; neuroprotection; preclinical; stroke

INTRODUCTION

Components of the endocannabinoid system (ECS) are altered after ischemic stroke. The expression of cannabinoid $(CB)_1$ and CB_2 receptors is upregulated in the rat brain after cerebral ischemia, $1,2$ indicating that the ECS may have an important role in the endogenous response to stroke, though the relevance of these changes is not known. Human and animal in vivo data have shown increases in neurolonal levels of anandamide (AEA), oleoylethanolamide (OEA), and palmitoylethanolamide (PEA), with 2-arachidonoylglycerol levels either unchanged or increased.^{[3](#page-9-0)-8} Preclinical stroke studies have derived neuroprotective qualities from a range of approaches to manipulate the ECS. For example, $CB₂$ ligands can modify the poststroke inflammatory response, and $CB₁$ activation can initiate a chemical hypothermia, with both processes resulting in a decrease in stroke infarct volume. ^{[9](#page-9-0),[10](#page-9-0)} Activation of $CB₂$ receptors has only showed protective effects and the role of CB_1 activation is less clear with studies demonstrating efficacy of both CB_1 agonists and antagonists.^{[11](#page-9-0),[12](#page-9-0)}

Cannabinoids can be divided into three categories: endocannabinoids, phytocannabinoids, and synthetic CBs. Anandamide and 2-arachidonoylglycerol (both $CB_{1/2}$ agonists) are the best-studied endocannabinoids, but other chemically similar compounds have been suggested as endocannabinoids or endocannabinoid-like compounds, including OEA, PEA, lauroylethanolamide, and linoleoylethanolamide. Endocannabinoids also display activity at non-CB_{1/2} receptor sites, including TRPV1 (transient receptor potential cation channel subfamily V1), peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor (PPAR) $α/γ$, 5HT_{1A}, and GPR55.^{[13](#page-9-0)} Phytocannabinoids are derived from the cannabis plant, a unique source of over 60 different compounds, with Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and cannabidiol (CBD) already in clinical use to treat spasticity in multiple sclerosis (Sativex). Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol is a partial agonist for CB₁ and CB₂ receptors, while CBD displays low
affinity for CB receptors.^{[14](#page-9-0)} Synthetic CB compounds have been developed, some of which exhibit high potency at CB_1 (arachidonyl-2'-chloroethylamide) or CB_2 (JWH-133, O-1966, and O-3853) receptors, activate both $CB_{1/2}$ (CP 55940, HU-210, TAK-937, and WIN 55212-2), or activate non-CB receptors (e.g., HU-211, a proposed NMDA antagonist).

Given the accumulating preclinical evidence for the use of CBs in stroke, as well as the expansion in the use of CB-based medicines in other disorders, a systematic review of the currently available preclinical literature is warranted. While it is clear that there are many studies describing the benefit of administering CBs for experimental stroke, a number of unanswered questions remain before the transition is made into 'bedside' testing. It is unclear as to whether the optimal time of administration and dose of the various CB classes have been established, and whether the body of evidence is reliable and consistent. The aim of this study, therefore, is to systematically review and meta-analyze the effects of exogenous CB administration on infarct volume, functional outcome, and survival in animal models of ischemic stroke.

E-mail: timothy.england@nottingham.ac.uk

Department of Vascular Medicine, Division of Medical Sciences and Graduate Entry Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Derby, UK. Correspondence: Dr TJ England, Division of Medical Sciences and Graduate Entry Medicine, School of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, Royal Derby Hospital Centre, Uttoxeter Road, Derby DE22 3DT, UK.

Received 8 August 2014; revised 4 November 2014; accepted 5 November 2014; published online 10 December 2014

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Search Criteria

Experimental (nonhuman) studies in evaluating the effect of CBs on focal acute stroke were searched up to December 2013 in PubMed, Medline, Embase, ScienceDirect, and Web Of Science. Search keywords included were 'stroke,' 'ischemia,' 'cannabinoid,' 'cannabidiol,' 'delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinoid,' 'WIN 55212-2,' '2-Arachidonoy glycerol,' 'endocannabinoids,' 'CB₁ receptors,' and $'CB₂$ receptors.' References from included studies and conference proceedings were also searched. There was no protocol per se, although prespecified exclusion criteria were used to prevent bias and studies were included if the following were met: (1) a focal ischemic stroke model, not global; (2) treatment was given for an acute model (within 48 hours), not chronic; (3) only CB ligands were given; (4) there was a control group; (5) there were measures of infarct size, functional outcome, or survival; and (6) data were from an original article, not a review article. If an article was only available as an abstract, then it was not included.

Data Acquisition

Data on total infarct size, measured in percentage (%) or volume (mm³) were extracted from included papers. Volumes corrected for edema were chosen instead of uncorrected data. When all data were not available, authors were contacted for the exact numbers of animals used in each group for each experiment. If authors were unable to provide necessary information, then the lowest number of animals within the range given was used. The Grab application (version 1.5) was used to obtain values from figures given in published articles if no values were stated within the text. Similarly, information on vital status, weight (grams), Rotarod test (time spent on Rotarod expressed in seconds or percentage compared with baseline), and neurologic score were collected. If published articles used multiple groups (e.g., to assess time response relationships) with one control group, then the number of animals per control group was divided into the number of comparison groups. Since different procedures were used in different experiments, the total dose of drug given throughout a complete experiment was taken instead of a single dose. When drugs were given at more than one point of time, the earliest time of administration was used.

Quality

Methodological quality was assessed using an eight-point criteria
derived from STAIR,^{[15](#page-9-0)} as used previously,^{[16](#page-9-0),[17](#page-9-0)} with 1 point given to evidence of the following: the presence of randomization, monitoring temperature throughout the experiment, masked 349

outcome measurement, assessment of outcome at days 1 to 3, assessment of outcome at days 7 to 30, assessment of outcome other than just infarct size, dose-response relationship conducted, and therapeutic time window relationship of a particular agonist conducted.

Data Analysis

Data were grouped before analysis by (1) model type (permanent or transient ischemia); (2) species; (3) time to treatment; (4) total dose, and (5) CB type. Data from each of these groups were analyzed as forest plots using the Cochrane Review Manager software (version 5.2, Copenhagen, The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2012) and Stata (StataCorp. 2009. Stata Statistical Software: Release 11. College Station, TX, USA), as used in the previous animal meta-analyses.^{[17](#page-9-0)} Since heterogeneity was expected between study protocols (different species, stroke models, dose, and time), random-effect models were used. The results of continuous data are expressed as standardized mean difference (SMD), with 95% confidence intervals (CIs), which allows data measured on different scales and in different species to be merged. The results of binary data (survival) are expressed as odds ratios with 95% CI. Studies were weighted by sample size and statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$. PRISM 6 (GraphPad, Software, La Jolla, CA, USA) was used to compare the dose- and time-response relationship between drug classes. Infarct volume data acquired can be accessed at [http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.](http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.1228070) fi[gshare.1228070](http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.1228070).

RESULTS

Design of the Studies

The initial search for studies identified 111 relevant publications. Once the prespecified inclusion criteria were applied, a total of 34 publications were chosen for analysis (Figure 1; [Table 1\)](#page-2-0). These came from 18 laboratories in 9 countries (USA, Israel, Italy, Japan, Spain, Denmark, Germany, UK, and China). Studies were excluded if they examined global ischemia, neonatal animals, did not measure infarct volume or functional outcome, did not administer a CB receptor ligand, were review articles (not original articles), where induction of injury was by methods other than ischemia/ reperfusion or if the data were unobtainable.

In all, 19 of 34 publications studied 786 rats^{[5,10,18](#page-9-0)–34} and 14 studied 673 mice;^{[3,9](#page-9-0),[11](#page-9-0),[12,35](#page-9-0)-44} 1 article studied rats and primates.^{[45](#page-10-0)} Twenty-four articles examined greater than one experimental paradigm (total number of experiments 144). Transient ischemic models were used in 21 publications, with vessel occlusion time

Experiments 1-3 Wistar rats T 2.5 hours WIN 55212-2 0.1, 0.3, or

1 mg/kg

IV 5 minutes pre onset mm³ 24 hours 5

350

烏

Time of assessment STAIR score

Experiment 7

Experiment 19

Experiment 4

Hu 2010 [25](#page-9-0)

Lavie 2001 ^{[26](#page-9-0)}

Leker 1999²⁷

Leker 2003 ^{[10](#page-9-0)}

Mishima 2005 ^{[3](#page-9-0)}

Muthian 2004 ^{[5](#page-9-0)}

Table. 1 (Continued)

Murikinati 2010 ⁹
Nagayama 1999 ^{[29](#page-9-0)}

Schomacher 2008 ^{[30](#page-9-0)}

Sun 2007 40

Sun 2013a ^{[31](#page-9-0)}

Sun 2013b ^{[32](#page-9-0)}

Suzuki 2012a ^{[45](#page-10-0)}

Suzuki 2012b ³³
Teichner 2003 ^{[34](#page-9-0)}

Zarruk 2012 [41](#page-9-0)

Experiments 4 and 5 $Zhang$ 2007^{[43](#page-9-0)}
Experiments 1 and 3

 $Zhang\ 2008\ 11$ $Zhang\ 2008\ 11$
Experiments 1 and 2

Experiments 5 and 6

Zhang 2009 ^{[42](#page-9-0)}
Experiments 1-3

Zhou 2012 ^{[44](#page-10-0)}

Experiments 4–6 SR141716

Experiment 5 SR141716

Experiments 6 and 7 SR141716

Experiments 1–4 Spr-Dawley rats T 2 hours TAK-937

Experiments 1 and 2 Hypertensive rats P HU-211

Experiments 1 and 3 Mice P JWH-133

Experiments 2 and 4 and 1 minutes post-1966

Experiments 3 and 4 SR141716
Experiments 5 and 6 SR144528

Experiment 5 Cynomolgus monkeys T 0.5 hour

Experiment 3 AEA

Experiments 7 and 8 Aged rats P^a
Experiment 9 P^a

AEA, anandamide; CBD, cannabidiol; IP, intraperitoneal; IV, intravenous; MCAO, middle cerebral artery occlusion; OEA, oleoylethanolamide; P, permanent MCAO; PEA, palmitoylethanolamide; T, transient MCAO; THC, Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol. ^aPhotothrombotic model.

post onset

– 358

ب
57

 $\frac{1}{352}$

ranging between 30 minutes and 4 hours. Permanent models of ischemia were used in 13 articles (photothrombotic $n = 2$). Drugs were administered intravenously ($n = 17$) or via the peritoneum $(n = 16)$, and one study used the oral route.^{[44](#page-10-0)} Time of administration ranged from preischemia up to 5 days after middle cerebral artery occlusion (MCAo). Median study quality was 4 (range 1 to 6).

Infarct Volume

Overall, administration of CB receptor ligands reduced infarct volume in comparison with vehicle; SMD - 1.49, 95% CI, - 1.73 to -1.25 , $P < 0.00001$ (Figure 2; [Table 2\)](#page-5-0). If we only include the 18 publications (75 experiments, 767 animals) reporting absolute lesion volume in the analysis, then the weighted mean difference between groups was -28.3 mm³ (95% CI -32.4 , -24.2 , $P < 0.00001$) in favor of CBs; equivalent to an SMD of -1.27 (95% CI -1.58 , -0.97 , $P < 0.00001$).

Infarct volume was significantly reduced in rats and mice, SMD − 1.75, (95% CI − 2.15 to − 1.35, P < 0.00001) and − 1.34 (95% CI -1.61 to -1.06 , $P < 0.00001$), respectively. The only study involving primates revealed nonsignificant infarct volume reduction upon administration of TAK-937, a CB_1/CB_2 receptor ligand $(SMD - 0.55, 95\% CI - 1.62$ to 0.53, $P = 0.32$).

When grouped by drug class, synthetic agonists (mixed CB_1/CB_2 ligands (P < 0.00001), CB₂ ligands (P < 0.00001), HU-211 $(P < 0.0001)$), phytocannabinoids (THC and CBD (both $P < 0.00001$)), and endocannabinoids ($P = 0.002$) all reduced infarct volume significantly (see [Table 2\)](#page-5-0). The breakdown by individual compound can be seen in Figure 2; the most profound infarct volume reduction is seen with HU-210, a synthetic CB_1/CB_2 ligand (n = 8 experiments, 80 animals (SMD − 3.52, 95% CI − 5.34 to − 1.71).[10](#page-9-0) Methanandamide, lauroylethanolamide, and linoleoylethanolamide were all neutral in their effect, whereas AEA ($n = 3$, 28 animals) showed borderline significant infarct volume reduction (SMD -0.78 , 95% CI -1.64 to 0.08, $P = 0.07$).

Individual studies of the CB_1 antagonist SR141716 had a neutral effect on lesion volume except when used at a very high dose (20 mg/kg^{11}) leading to a significant reduction in lesion size (SMD) − 5.59 [95% CI − 9.69, − 1.49], P = 0.008). Trends to harm were seen using the CB₂ antagonist SR144528 (SMD 0.96, 95% CI -0.32 to 2.24 $P = 0.14$).

There was significant statistical heterogeneity $(l^2$ 56%, $P < 0.00001$, Figure 2) in the all study analysis.

Drug Dose

The effect of drug class dose on infarct volume was analyzed to help establish whether there was a dose-response relationship with infarct volume reduction for each class of CB ([Figure 3](#page-6-0)).

The CB_1/CB_2 agonists were significantly effective at numerous doses and showed a bimodal distribution of maximum effect with peaks at 45 mcg/kg (HU-210, SMD - 4.16, 95% CI - 7.17 to - 1.16, $P = 0.007$, $n = 5$ experiments, 50 animals)^{[10](#page-9-0)} and 5 mg/kg (WIN 55212-2, SMD − 6.0, 95% CI − 9.04 to − 2.95, P = 0.0001, n = 1, 13 animals,[25](#page-9-0) [Figure 3A\)](#page-6-0). Significant statistical heterogeneity was present (l^2 63%, $P < 0.00001$).

The CB_2 ligands were tested between total doses 0.5 and 10 mg/kg with peak effect at 5 mg/kg (JWH-133 and O-1966, SMD -2.38 , 95% CI -4.06 to -0.71 , $P = 0.005$, $n = 5$, 37 animals, [Figure 3B](#page-6-0)).^{[41](#page-9-0),[42](#page-9-0)} There was no significant statistical heterogeneity.

 Δ^9 -Tetrahydrocannabinol significantly reduced infarct volume at two doses, 10 and 20 mg/kg (SMD − 0.95, 95% CI − 1.92 to − 0.02, P = 0.05, n = 3, 27 animals; and SMD − 2.41, 95% CI − 3.29 to − 1.53, $P < 0.00001$, $n = 6$, 59 animals, respectively; [Figure 3D\)](#page-6-0). A doseresponse relationship was observed with CBD, the greatest lesion volume reduction using 6 mg/kg (SMD − 1.89, 95% CI − 2.7 to -1.07 , $P < 0.00001$, $n = 6$, 57 animals).^{[36,38,39](#page-9-0)} No effect was seen at the greater dose of 10 mg/kg ($n = 1$, 9 animals) ([Figure 3E](#page-6-0)).^{[9](#page-9-0)}

Figure 2. Forest plot of the effects of cannabinoids on experimental infarct volume subdivided by drug treatment. Each subgroup is ordered by increasing dose. Time of administration is given where 'pre' represents administration before stroke onset and 'h' the number of hours after. For full view of this figure please see the HTML version.

Table 2. Change in infarct volume (according to stroke model, species, and drug class), motor impairment and survival after administration of any cannabinoid in experimental stroke

CB, cannabinoid; CBD, cannabidiol; CI, confidence interval; IS, ischemic stroke; THC, Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol; SMD, standardized mean difference.^a Weighted mean difference (seconds). ^bOdds ratio.

Peak effect with administration of endocannabinoids was seen at 20 mg/kg (SMD − 4.28, 95% CI − 6.85 to − 1.71, P = 0.001, n = 2, 16 animals 24,44 24,44 24,44) with significant but less potent effects seen with 30 and 40 mg/kg ([Figure 3F](#page-6-0)). Statistical heterogeneity was evident in the endocannabinoid analysis (l^2 78%, $P < 0.00001$) but not for THC and CBD.

Time of Administration

The CB_1/CB_2 agonists, assessed up to 8 hours after stroke, revealed a gradual decline in effect size over time, with significant effects seen up to 4 to 5 hours after insult [\(Figure 4A\)](#page-7-0). A similar pattern was seen for endocannbinoids but with loss of significant effect as soon as 2 to 3 hours after stroke [\(Figure 4F\)](#page-7-0). HU-211 produced significant infarct volume reduction as late as 6 hours after ictus [\(Figure 4C\)](#page-7-0). Both CBD (up to 6 hours) and THC (up to 4 hours) showed trends to infarct reduction with later administration but there were too few studies to produce significant values at these later time points ([Figures 4D and 4E\)](#page-7-0); 17 of 23 experiments using CBD (and 11 of 13 for THC) administered the drug before stroke onset.

Functional Outcome and Survival

Early neurologic outcome improved significantly when evaluated in 55 experiments (590 animals), SMD − 1.27 95% CI − 1.58 to − 0.95, P < 0.00001. Late neurologic impairment was only assessed in 8 experiments (126 animals) but this still resulted in a significantly improved outcome ($P = 0.002$, Table 2). No effect was seen on survival in 7 experiments (154 animals).

Ouality

In all, 10 of 34 publications used randomization in their design, 4 reported blinding of outcome assessments, 21 monitored temperature during surgery, 33 measured outcome at 1 to 3 days and 4 at 7 to 30 days, 28 measured outcomes other than lesion size, 12 assessed a time window for administration and 16 established dose-response effects.

There was no relationship between quality score and lesion volume effect size, Spearman's rho coefficient − 0.113, P = 0.18. Likewise, there were no significant differences in effect size when comparing individual components of the scale such as randomization and blinding of outcome assessment.

Publication Bias

Begg's funnel plots were visually analyzed to determine the presence or absence of publication bias. For all studies, significant bias was present (Egger's statistic $P < 0.001$, [Figure 5H](#page-8-0)).^{[46](#page-10-0)} A significant bias was present in the subgroups $CB_{1/2}$ agonists ($P < 0.001$, [Figure 5A](#page-8-0)), CB₂ agonists (P = 0.023, [Figure 5B](#page-8-0)), HU-211 (P < 0.001, [Figure 5C](#page-8-0)), and endocannabinoids ($P = 0.038$, [Figure 5F\)](#page-8-0).

DISCUSSION

This extensive meta-analysis has determined that CBs significantly reduce infarct volume in both transient and permanent models of ischemia, and improve both early and late functional outcome. Almost twice as many animals were studied in transient ($n = 945$) than in permanent ($n = 519$) models and greater infarct volume reductions were seen in permanent models (SMD − 1.67 versus -1.41). HU-210, a CB₁/CB₂ agonist, showed the greatest infarct volume reduction and the CB_1/CB_2 agonist group was effective

354

Figure 3. The effect of cannabinoid (CB) drug dose on experimental infarct volume subdivided by drug class. The standardized mean difference (SMD) in infarct volume is plotted against log [dose] for each drug subgroup (A–G). Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals (CI) and values are not significant where they cross zero. CBD, cannabidiol; THC, Δ⁹-Tetrahydrocannabinol.

when administered as late as 5 hours after stroke onset. HU-211, a proposed NMDA antagonist and enantiomer of HU-210, was effective up to 6 hours after onset.

The mechanisms of action responsible for the effects of CBs in the preclinical setting are multiple but not well understood or always explored within these studies. The CB_1 receptors are primarily located in the central nervous system, with activa-tion known to decrease excessive glutamate release,^{[38](#page-9-0)} allied excitotoxicity,^{[47](#page-10-0)} and enhance cerebral blood flow.^{[48](#page-10-0)} Δ^9 -Tetra-hydrocannabinol,^{[36](#page-9-0)} TAK-937,^{[33](#page-9-0)} WIN 55212-2,^{[19](#page-9-0)} and HU-2[10](#page-9-0)¹⁰ are protective through CB_1 -mediated hypothermia, an effect abolished by warming. The $CB₂$ receptors are expressed predominantly by cells of the immune system but they also display central nervous system presence, in particular, microglial cells activated during the course of inflammation express CB_2 receptors.^{[49](#page-10-0)} Activation of $CB₂$ receptors results in a decrease in the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, neutrophil recruitment,^{[9](#page-9-0),[41](#page-9-0)} and leukocyte adhesion to cerebral vessels.⁴

Cannabinoid-induced neuroprotection is also likely to be mediated through other receptor targets, though the only proven sites include $\overline{CB}_1^{36,38}$ $\overline{CB}_1^{36,38}$ $\overline{CB}_1^{36,38}$ $\overline{CB}_2^{9,11,41}$ $\overline{CB}_2^{9,11,41}$ $\overline{CB}_2^{9,11,41}$ and $\overline{5}HT_{1A}^{3,39}$ $\overline{5}HT_{1A}^{3,39}$ $\overline{5}HT_{1A}^{3,39}$ For example, the

effects of CBD are not inhibited by CB_1 , CB_2 , or TRPV1 (capsaicin receptor) antagonism, but its ability to decrease infarct volume and enhance cerebral blood flow appear to be mediated, at least in part, through $5HT_{1A}^{3,39}$ $5HT_{1A}^{3,39}$ $5HT_{1A}^{3,39}$ $5HT_{1A}^{3,39}$ $5HT_{1A}^{3,39}$ Other mechanisms, such as antiinflammatory effects, are yet to be linked to a particular target site, and other known CB target sites of action such as TRPs and PPARs require further exploration. The endocannabinoid PEA is asso-ciated with reduced cell death, edema, and inflammation,^{[18](#page-9-0)} and OEA is thought to mediate its infarct-reducing effects through PPARα, as the protective effects of OEA were absent in PPARa⁻ mice⁴⁰ and inhibited by a PPAR α antagonist.⁴

Our systematic review has highlighted many deficiencies in the existing literature that warrants further investigation. It is not apparent that CB_2 antagonists have been tested against mixed CB_1/CB_2 ligands, which is important considering that CB_2 activation is a potential therapeutic target. Furthermore, expression of $CB₂$ receptors decreases in the first 3 hours after MCAo and then gradually increases by 24 hours.^{[11](#page-9-0)} It may, therefore, be of benefit to stimulate CB_2 at later time points; our time-to-treatment analysis only showed a trend to infarct volume reduction at 2 to 3 hours with $CB₂$ agonists and there were no experiments

355

Figure 4. The effect of time of administration on experimental infarct volume subdivided by drug class. The standardized mean difference
(SMD) in infarct volume is plotted against time of administration for each drug sub (CIs) and values are not significant where they cross zero. CB, cannabinoid.

extending drug delivery beyond 3 hours. In this review, other CBs show promise with later administration causing significant infarct volume reduction, including CB_1/CB_2 receptor agonists (up to 5 hours) and HU-211 (up to 6 hours). Cannabidiol may also be beneficial at later time points with trends to reduce infarct volume as late as 6 hours but there were too few studies to show a significant effect; in one study, animal survival was significantly increased even when CBD was administered 3 days after stroke.^{[35](#page-9-0)}

The optimal dose of administration for each drug class also remains unclear. It was generally seen that higher doses resulted in a greater degree of infarct volume reduction. Furthermore, questions are raised with regard to the role of CB_1 antagonism; $CB₁$ agonists mediate their positive effects through the various mechanisms described but CB_1 antagonism with SR141716 used at a high dose (20 mg) also appeared to be beneficial (it was neutral at lower doses). The mechanisms of such an effect are not understood. If CB_1 agonism was detrimental in stroke, then the effects of the mixed CB_1/CB_2 agonists should be less than that of the CB_2 -specific drugs, although this was not observed. It is more likely that beneficial effects of the CB_1 antagonist at high doses are off-target effects, non-CB₁ mediated responses, as previously
suggested for SR141716A.^{[50](#page-10-0)}

Further data are also required exploring the effects of CBs in stroke in animals with other comorbidities, as would occur in humans. For example, only one group have also observed the effects of CBs (TAK-937) in aged and female rats and larger species.^{[28,](#page-9-0)[45](#page-10-0)} Moreover, TAK-937 is the only compound that has examined coadministration with thrombolysis, 28 essential with regard to safety since some neuroprotectants can enhance the risks of recombinant tissue plasminogen activator-associated

hemorrhage.^{[51](#page-10-0)} Hypertensive rats have been studied using HU-211 only, ^{[26,27,34](#page-9-0)} but largely data are absent on the effects of CBs in animals with comorbidities relevant to stroke. 52 It is also clear that neurologic assessments of functional outcome at later time points are lacking with only 8 of 144 experiments (HU-211, CBD, and TAK-937) measuring late neuroscores.^{[26,35](#page-9-0),[45](#page-10-0)} This is important considering the outcomes in future clinical trials will be related to functional outcome and safety.

There are limited data regarding the safety of CBs in humans and none in the stroke population. Sativex, licenced for use in treating spasticity secondary to Multiple Sclerosis, containing THC and CBD in a 1:1 ratio (2.7 mg: 2.5 mg per 100 μ L), is generally well tolerated but commonly causes transient dizziness, depression, euphoria, gastro-intestinal upset, and altered appetite; uncommonly it is associated with palpitations, tachycardia, hallucinations, and suicidal ideation.⁵³ In a 14-week open label study of 339 patients, 5% discontinued Sativex secondary to treatment-related side effects.^{[54](#page-10-0)} The psychotropic side effects appear to be mediated through THC CB_1 stimulation and studies using CBD alone, however, indicate that it is very well tolerated; in three small studies CBD did not affect heart rate and blood pressure using a single 600 mg dose, $55-57$ $55-57$ and in regular use for epilepsy (200 to 300 mg), no specific adverse events were reported (4 randomized studies of poor quality, total $n = 48$).^{[58](#page-10-0)}

Our paper has a number of limitations affecting interpretation of results, issues that confound many meta-analyses. First, significant heterogeneity is present secondary to the variability in design of individual studies. This is accounted for, in part, by using a random-effect model of analysis. Moreover, further heterogeneity is introduced by organizing compounds into Cannabinoids and stroke: a systematic review TJ England et al

356

Figure 5. Funnel plots for all studies (A) and each cannabinoid (CB) subgroup (B-H) evaluating publication bias. Standard error of the standardized mean difference (SE (SMD), y axes) for each study is plotted against its effect size (SMD, horizontal axes)). CBD, cannabidiol; THC, Δ⁹-Tetrahydrocannabinol.

subgroups; although we have classed the drugs by mechanisms of action, it is likely that many will act on other target sites not identified and therefore statements on efficacy could be an underestimate or overestimate. Second, caution must also be taken due to the presence of significant publication bias; 59 our search strategy may have missed publications in less well-known journals; the noninclusion of some studies means that the estimated treatment effects could be inaccurate. Third, the results also depend on study quality that can also impact report precision; 10 of 34 publications used randomization in their design and only 4 reported blinding of outcome assessments. The impact of various quality items on reported efficacy has been previously assessed;^{[60](#page-10-0)} the presence or absence of randomization to a treatment group, blinding of drug allocation and blinding of outcome assessments were the most powerful determinants of outcome. In contrast, this review did not find any relationship between study quality and efficacy, even when individual components of quality were analyzed. However, the absence of some of the parameters in our 'quality' score does not necessarily mean that the experiment was performed to a poor standard; for example, evaluating timing of outcome assessments is simply expanding the cohort of evidence rather than improving the study quality. It may be that some studies did not report specific

found no relationship between quality and efficacy. Fourth, many publications would often use an inadequate number of animals in the control arms of the experiments involving multiple comparisons (e.g., comparing several dose arms with one control group) resulting in smaller control groups in the meta-analysis (it is important not to count the control animals more than once). Moreover, the small group sizes produce imprecise estimates of the variance and, therefore, the SMD. Standardized mean difference, and not weighted mean difference, was used to merge different scales measuring the same parameter; of 34 publications, 14 measured infarct volume as percentage and 18 used absolute volume (mm³). Interpretation of SMD is less intuitive but it has allowed us to include significantly more studies within the analysis.

components such as randomization, which could explain why we

The failure of multiple neuroprotective agents to be translated into the clinical setting has been extensively highlighted in the literature, ^{[15](#page-9-0)[,61](#page-10-0)} hence, evaluating preclinical data thoroughly and systematically before progressing to design human clinical trials is of great importance. Indeed, before moving novel experimental ideas into clinical trials, it is proposed that multicenter phase IIItype preclinical studies are performed [\(www.dcn.ed.ac.uk/multi](www.dcn.ed.ac.uk/multipart) [part](www.dcn.ed.ac.uk/multipart)). There are no previous clinical trials using CBs in stroke but

positive data from trials using CBs in other neurologic diseases already exist.^{[62](#page-10-0)} The pleiotropic effects of CBs on the ischemic penumbra and cerebral vasculature after stroke, combined with their excellent tolerability, make them promising candidates for future treatment.

DISCLOSURE/CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1 Jin KL, Mao XO, Goldsmith PC, Greenberg DA. CB1 cannabinoid receptor induction in experimental stroke. Ann Neurol 2000; 48: 257–261.
- 2 Ashton JC, Rahman RM, Nair SM, Sutherland BA, Glass M, Appleton I et al. Cerebral hypoxia-ischemia and middle cerebral artery occlusion induce expression of the cannabinoid CB2 receptor in the brain. Neurosci Lett 2007; 412: 114–117.
- 3 Mishima K, Hayakawa K, Abe K, Ikeda T, Egashira N, Iwasaki K et al. Cannabidiol prevents cerebral infarction via a serotonergic 5-hydroxytryptamine1A receptordependent mechanism. Stroke 2005; 36: 1077–1082.
- 4 Schabitz WR, Giuffrida A, Berger C, Aschoff A, Schwaninger M, Schwab S et al. Release of fatty acid amides in a patient with hemispheric stroke: a microdialysis study. Stroke 2002; 33: 2112–2114.
- 5 Muthian S, Rademacher DJ, Roelke CT, Gross GJ, Hillard CJ. Anandamide content is increased and CB1 cannabinoid receptor blockade is protective during transient, focal cerebral ischemia. Neuroscience 2004; 129: 743–750.
- 6 Franklin A, Parmentier-Batteur S, Walter L, Greenberg DA, Stella N. Palmitoylethanolamide increases after focal cerebral ischemia and potentiates microglial cell motility. J Neurosci 2003; 23: 7767–7775.
- 7 Degn M, Lambertsen KL, Petersen G, Meldgaard M, Artmann A, Clausen BH et al. Changes in brain levels of N-acylethanolamines and 2-arachidonoylglycerol in focal cerebral ischemia in mice. J Neurochem 2007; 103: 1907–1916.
- 8 Naccarato M, Pizzuti D, Petrosino S, Simonetto M, Ferigo L, Grandi FC et al. Possible Anandamide and Palmitoylethanolamide involvement in human stroke. Lipids Health Dis 2010; 9: 47.
- 9 Murikinati S, Juttler E, Keinert T, Ridder DA, Muhammad S, Waibler Z et al. Activation of cannabinoid 2 receptors protects against cerebral ischemia by inhibiting neutrophil recruitment. FASEB J 2010; 24: 788–798.
- 10 Leker RR, Gai N, Mechoulam R, Ovadia H. Drug-induced hypothermia reduces ischemic damage: effects of the cannabinoid HU-210. Stroke 2003; 34: 2000–2006.
- 11 Zhang M, Martin BR, Adler MW, Razdan RK, Ganea D, Tuma RF et al. Modulation of the balance between cannabinoid CB(1) and CB(2) receptor activation during cerebral ischemic/reperfusion injury. Neuroscience 2008; 152: 753–760.
- 12 Hayakawa K, Mishima K, Nozako M, Hazekawa M, Ogata A, Fujioka M et al. Delta9tetrahydrocannabinol (Delta9-THC) prevents cerebral infarction via hypothalamicindependent hypothermia. Life Sci 2007; 80: 1466–1471.
- 13 Pertwee RG, Howlett AC, Abood ME, Alexander SP, Di Marzo V, Elphick MR et al. International Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology. LXXIX. Cannabinoid receptors and their ligands: beyond CB(1) and CB(2). Pharmacol Rev 2010; 62: 588–631.
- 14 Pertwee RG. The diverse CB1 and CB2 receptor pharmacology of three plant cannabinoids: Delta(9)-tetrahydrocannabinol, cannabidiol and Delta(9)-tetrahydrocannabivarin. Br J Pharmacol 2008; 153: 199–215.
- 15 STAIR. Recommendations for standards regarding preclinical neuroprotective and restorative drug development. Stroke 1999; 30: 2752–2758.
- 16 Gibson CL, Gray LJ, Bath PM, Murphy SP. Progesterone for the treatment of experimental brain injury; a systematic review. Brain 2008; 131: 318–328.
- 17 England TJ, Gibson CL, Bath PM. Granulocyte-colony stimulating factor in experimental stroke and its effects on infarct size and functional outcome: a systematic review. Brain Res Rev 2009; 62: 71–82.
- 18 Ahmad A, Genovese T, Impellizzeri D, Crupi R, Velardi E, Marino A et al. Reduction of ischemic brain injury by administration of palmitoylethanolamide after transient middle cerebral artery occlusion in rats. Brain Res 2012; 1477: 45-58.
- 19 Bonfils PK, Reith J, Hasseldam H, Johansen FF. Estimation of the hypothermic component in neuroprotection provided by cannabinoids following cerebral ischemia. Neurochem Int 2006; 49: 508–518.
- 20 Berger C, Schmid PC, Schabitz WR, Wolf M, Schwab S, Schmid HH et al. Massive accumulation of N-acylethanolamines after stroke. Cell signalling in acute cerebral ischemia? J Neurochem 2004; 88: 1159–1167.
- 21 Belayev L, Busto R, Zhao W, Ginsberg MD. HU-211, a novel noncompetitive N-methyl-D-aspartate antagonist, improves neurological deficit and reduces infarct volume after reversible focal cerebral ischemia in the rat. Stroke 1995; 26: 2313–2319, discussion 2319-20.
- 22 Belayev L, Busto R, Watson BD, Ginsberg MD. Post-ischemic administration of HU-211, a novel non-competitive NMDA antagonist, protects against blood-brain barrier disruption in photochemical cortical infarction in rats: a quantitative study. Brain Res 1995; 702: 266–270.
- 23 Garg P, Duncan RS, Kaja S, Koulen P. Intracellular mechanisms of N-acylethanolamine-mediated neuroprotection in a rat model of stroke. Neuroscience 2010; 166: 252–262.
- 24 Garg P, Duncan RS, Kaja S, Zabaneh A, Chapman KD, Koulen P et al. Lauroylethanolamide and linoleoylethanolamide improve functional outcome in a rodent model for stroke. Neurosci Lett 2011; 492: 134–138.
- 25 Hu B, Wang O, Chen Y, Du J, Zhu X, Lu Y et al. Neuroprotective effect of WIN 55212-2 pretreatment against focal cerebral ischemia through activation of extracellular signal-regulated kinases in rats. Eur J Pharmacol 2010; 645: 102-107.
- 26 Lavie G, Teichner A, Shohami E, Ovadia H, Leker RR. Long term cerebroprotective effects of dexanabinol in a model of focal cerebral ischemia. Brain Res 2001; 901: 195–201.
- 27 Leker RR, Shohami E, Abramsky O, Ovadia H. Dexanabinol; a novel neuroprotective drug in experimental focal cerebral ischemia. J Neurol Sci 1999: 162: 114–119.
- 28 Murakami K, Suzuki M, Suzuki N, Hamajo K, Tsukamoto T, Shimojo M et al. Cerebroprotective effects of TAK-937, a novel cannabinoid receptor agonist, in permanent and thrombotic focal cerebral ischemia in rats: therapeutic time window, combination with t-PA and efficacy in aged rats. Brain Res 2013; 1526: 84–93.
- 29 Nagayama T, Sinor AD, Simon RP, Chen J, Graham SH, Jin K et al. Cannabinoids and neuroprotection in global and focal cerebral ischemia and in neuronal cultures. J Neurosci 1999; 19: 2987–2995.
- 30 Schomacher M, Muller HD, Sommer C, Schwab S, Schabitz WR. Endocannabinoids mediate neuroprotection after transient focal cerebral ischemia. Brain Res 2008; 1240: 213–220.
- 31 Sun J, Fang Y, Chen T, Guo J, Yan J, Song S et al. WIN55212-2 promotes differentiation of oligodendrocyte precursor cells and improve remyelination through regulation of the phosphorylation level of the ERK 1/2 via cannabinoid receptor 1 after stroke-induced demyelination. Brain Res 2013; 1491: 225–235.
- 32 Sun J, Fang YQ, Ren H, Chen T, Guo JJ, Yan J et al. WIN55212-2 protects oligodendrocyte precursor cells in stroke penumbra following permanent focal cerebral ischemia in rats. Acta Pharmacol Sin 2013; 34: 119–128.
- 33 Suzuki N, Suzuki M, Hamajo K, Murakami K, Tsukamoto T, Shimojo M et al. Contribution of hypothermia and CB1 receptor activation to protective effects of TAK-937, a cannabinoid receptor agonist, in rat transient MCAO model. PLoS ONE $2012 \cdot 7.40889$
- 34 Teichner A, Ovadia H, Lavie G, Leker RR. Combination of dexanabinol and tempol in focal cerebral ischemia: is there a ceiling effect? Exp Neurol 2003; 182: 353–360.
- 35 Hayakawa K, Irie K, Sano K, Watanabe T, Higuchi S, Enoki M et al. Therapeutic time window of cannabidiol treatment on delayed ischemic damage via high-mobility group box1-inhibiting mechanism. Biol Pharmaceutical Bull 2009; 32: 1538–1544.
- 36 Hayakawa K, Mishima K, Abe K, Hasebe N, Takamatsu F, Yasuda H et al. Cannabidiol prevents infarction via the non-CB1 cannabinoid receptor mechanism. Neuroreport 2004; 15: 2381–2385.
- 37 Hayakawa K, Mishima K, Irie K, Hazekawa M, Mishima S, Fujioka M et al. Cannabidiol prevents a post-ischemic injury progressively induced by cerebral ischemia via a high-mobility group box1-inhibiting mechanism. Neuropharmacology 2008; 55: 1280–1286.
- 38 Hayakawa K, Mishima K, Nozako M, Hazekawa M, Irie K, Fujioka M et al. Delayed treatment with cannabidiol has a cerebroprotective action via a cannabinoid receptor-independent myeloperoxidase-inhibiting mechanism. J Neurochem 2007; 102: 1488–1496.
- 39 Hayakawa K, Mishima K, Nozako M, Ogata A, Hazekawa M, Liu AX et al. Repeated treatment with cannabidiol but not Delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol has a neuroprotective effect without the development of tolerance. Neuropharmacology 2007; 52: 1079–1087.
- 40 Sun Y, Alexander SP, Garle MJ, Gibson CL, Hewitt K, Murphy SP et al. Cannabinoid activation of PPAR alpha; a novel neuroprotective mechanism. Br J Pharmacol 2007; 152: 734–743.
- 41 Zarruk JG, Fernandez-Lopez D, Garcia-Yebenes I, Garcia-Gutierrez MS, Vivancos J, Nombela F et al. Cannabinoid type 2 receptor activation downregulates strokeinduced classic and alternative brain macrophage/microglial activation concomitant to neuroprotection. Stroke 2012; 43: 211–219.
- 42 Zhang M, Adler MW, Abood ME, Ganea D, Jallo J, Tuma RF et al. CB2 receptor activation attenuates microcirculatory dysfunction during cerebral ischemic/ reperfusion injury. Microvasc Res 2009; 78: 86–94.
- 43 Zhang M, Martin BR, Adler MW, Razdan RK, Jallo JI, Tuma RF et al. 2) receptor activation decreases cerebral infarction in a mouse focal ischemia/ reperfusion model. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2007; 27: 1387–1396.
- 358
- 44 Zhou Y, Yang L, Ma A, Zhang X, Li W, Yang W et al. Orally administered oleoylethanolamide protects mice from focal cerebral ischemic injury by activating peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha. Neuropharmacology 2012; 63: 242–249.
- 45 Suzuki N, Suzuki M, Murakami K, Hamajo K, Tsukamoto T, Shimojo M et al. Cerebroprotective effects of TAK-937, a cannabinoid receptor agonist, on ischemic brain damage in middle cerebral artery occluded rats and non-human primates. Brain Res 2012; 1430: 93–100.
- 46 Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997; 315: 629–634.
- 47 Shen M, Piser TM, Seybold VS, Thayer SA. Cannabinoid receptor agonists inhibit glutamatergic synaptic transmission in rat hippocampal cultures. J Neurosci 1996; 16: 4322–4334.
- 48 Parmentier-Batteur S, Jin K, Mao XO, Xie L, Greenberg DA. Increased severity of stroke in CB1 cannabinoid receptor knock-out mice. J Neurosci 2002; 22: 9771–9775.
- 49 Howlett AC, Barth F, Bonner TI, Cabral G, Casellas P, Devane WA et al. International Union of Pharmacology. XXVII. Classification of cannabinoid receptors. Pharmacol Rev 2002; 54: 161–202.
- 50 Raffa RB, Ward SJ. CB. (1)-independent mechanisms of Delta(9)-THCV, AM251 and SR141716 (rimonabant). J Clin Pharm Ther 2012; 37: 260–265.
- 51 Zechariah A, ElAli A, Hermann DM. Combination of tissue-plasminogen activator with erythropoietin induces blood-brain barrier permeability, extracellular matrix disaggregation, and DNA fragmentation after focal cerebral ischemia in mice. Stroke 2010; 41: 1008–1012.
- 52 Fisher M, Feuerstein G, Howells DW, Hurn PD, Kent TA, Savitz SI et al. Update of the stroke therapy academic industry roundtable preclinical recommendations. Stroke 2009; 40: 2244–2250.
- 53 Electronic Medicines Compendium Sativex: Summary of Product Charactreristics [http://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/medicine/23262.](http://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/medicine/23262)
- 54 Langford RM, Mares J, Novotna A, Vachova M, Novakova I, Notcutt W et al. A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study of THC/CBD oromucosal spray in combination with the existing treatment regimen, in the relief of central neuropathic pain in patients with multiple sclerosis. J Neurol 2013; 260: 984–997.
- 55 Fusar-Poli P, Allen P, Bhattacharyya S, Crippa JA, Mechelli A, Borgwardt S et al. Modulation of effective connectivity during emotional processing by Delta 9-tetrahydrocannabinol and cannabidiol. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 2010; 13: 421–432.
- 56 Borgwardt SJ, Allen P, Bhattacharyya S, Fusar-Poli P, Crippa JA, Seal ML et al. Neural basis of Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol and cannabidiol: effects during response inhibition. Biol Psychiatry 2008; 64: 966–973.
- 57 Bergamaschi MM, Queiroz RH, Chagas MH, de Oliveira DC, De Martinis BS, Kapczinski F et al. Cannabidiol reduces the anxiety induced by simulated public speaking in treatment-naive social phobia patients. Neuropsychopharmacology 2011; 36: 1219–1226.
- 58 Gloss D, Vickrey B. Cannabinoids for epilepsy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014; 3: Cd009270.
- 59 Sena ES, van der Worp HB, Bath PM, Howells DW, Macleod MR. Publication bias in reports of animal stroke studies leads to major overstatement of efficacy. PLoS Biol 2010; 8: e1000344.
- 60 Crossley NA, Sena E, Goehler J, Horn J, van der Worp B, Bath PM et al. Empirical evidence of bias in the design of experimental stroke studies: a metaepidemiologic approach. Stroke 2008; 39: 929–934.
- 61 O'Collins VE, Macleod MR, Donnan GA, Horky LL, van der Worp BH, Howells DW et al. 1,026 experimental treatments in acute stroke. Ann Neurol 2006: 467–477.
- 62 Collin C, Ehler E, Waberzinek G, Alsindi Z, Davies P, Powell K et al. A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study of Sativex, in subjects with symptoms of spasticity due to multiple sclerosis. Neurol Res 2010; 32: 451–459.