

CORRECTION

Correction: Combined Use of Systematic Conservation Planning, Species Distribution Modelling, and Connectivity Analysis Reveals Severe Conservation Gaps in a Megadiverse Country (Peru)

The PLOS ONE Staff

There are errors in [Table 2](#). The complete, correct [Table 2](#) can be viewed here.

There are errors in the second sentence in the Results subsection titled “Achievement of conservation goals in the current protected area system.” The correct sentence is: Reptiles, butterflies, and plants are the groups less satisfactorily protected with 50%, 42%, and 36%, of their species under protected, respectively.



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: The PLOS ONE Staff (2015) Correction: Combined Use of Systematic Conservation Planning, Species Distribution Modelling, and Connectivity Analysis Reveals Severe Conservation Gaps in a Megadiverse Country (Peru). PLoS ONE 10(3): e0122159. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0122159

Published: March 6, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 The PLOS ONE Staff. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Table 2. Species representation in the current protected area network of continental Peru based on the conservation goals defined in this study. Results are classified by taxonomic group, IUCN category and region.

| | Category | species protected (conservation goals met) | species under protected (conservation goals not met) |
|--------|-------------|--|--|
| Group | Amphibians | 93 (70%) | 40 (30%) |
| | Birds | 909 (78%) | 254 (22%) |
| | Butterflies | 51 (58%) | 37 (42%) |
| | Mammals | 148 (80%) | 37 (20%) |
| | Reptiles | 37 (50%) | 37 (50%) |
| | Plants | 788 (64%) | 438 (36%) |
| IUCN | CR | 0 (0%) | 10 (100%) |
| | EN | 4 (14%) | 24 (86%) |
| | VU | 26 (38%) | 42 (62%) |
| | NT | 37 (53%) | 33 (47%) |
| | LC | 1106 (83%) | 233 (17%) |
| | DD | 16 (67%) | 8 (33%) |
| Region | NE | 837 (63%) | 493 (37%) |
| | Coast | 174 (40%) | 257 (60%) |
| | Andes | 757 (64%) | 435 (36%) |
| Total | Amazon | 1387 (86%) | 226 (14%) |
| | | 2026 (71%) | 843 (29%) |

CR: Critically Endangered, EN: Endangered, VU: Vulnerable, NT: Near Threatened, LC: Least Concern, DD: Data Deficient, NE: Not evaluated

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0122159.t001

Reference

1. Fajardo J, Lessmann J, Bonaccorso E, Devenish C, Muñoz J (2014) Combined Use of Systematic Conservation Planning, Species Distribution Modelling, and Connectivity Analysis Reveals Severe Conservation Gaps in a Megadiverse Country (Peru). PLoS ONE 9(12): e114367. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0114367](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0114367) PMID: [25479411](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25479411/)