RESEARCH AND PRACTICE

Association Between HIV Programs and Quality of Maternal Health Inputs and Processes in Kenya

Margaret E. Kruk, MD, MPH, Aleksandra Jakubowski, MPH, Miriam Rabkin, MD, MPH, Davies O. Kimanga, MD, MSc, Francis Kundu, MA, Travis Lim, DrPH, MSc, Vane Lumumba, MA, Tom Oluoch, MSc, Katherine A. Robinson, MPH, and Wafaa El-Sadr, MD, MPH

We assessed whether quality of maternal and newborn health services is influenced by presence of HIV programs at Kenyan health facilities using data from a national facility survey. Facilities that provided services to prevent motherto-child HIV transmission had better prenatal and postnatal care inputs, such as infrastructure and supplies, and those providing antiretroviral therapy had better quality of prenatal and postnatal care processes. HIV-related programs may have benefits for quality of care for related services in the health system. (Am J Public Health. 2015;105: S207-S210. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2014. 302511)

In the past decade, the government of Kenya, with the support of international donors, has achieved a dramatic scale-up of HIV services, which has resulted in expanded coverage and a two thirds reduction in the number of AIDS-related deaths between 2002 and 2011.¹ However, although some studies have shown that targeted vertical HIV investments have a positive effect on other health services, others have shown a mixed effect, and few have focused on effect on quality.²⁻⁵

Questions about quality of care for mothers and newborns are particularly important in Kenya, a country in which the maternal mortality ratio and newborn deaths are high.⁶ Reducing maternal mortality requires that all women have access to emergency obstetric care to address complications during labor and delivery.⁷ These complications, ranging from postpartum hemorrhage to birth asphyxia in the newborn, frequently cannot be predicted in advance but can be successfully managed if detected by trained clinicians with access to required medicines and supplies.^{8–10} Quality of these services is critical to their success in saving lives.¹¹ In this study, we assessed whether the quality of maternal and newborn service inputs and processes was influenced by the presence of HIV programs at health facilities.

METHODS

We used data from the Kenva Service Provision Assessment, a nationally representative survey that assesses the health facilities' capacity to provide essential health care.¹² In 2010, 695 facilities (11%) were selected for the survey. For the dependent variables, based on Donabedian's¹³ quality-of-care framework, we a priori selected Kenya Service Provision Assessment variables that represented inputs (structure) of care (infrastructure, supplies, equipment, workers) and processes of care (type of care provided). Using principalcomponents analysis, we constructed 4 indices of maternal and newborn health inputs and processes with separate indexes created for hospitals and clinics. We used the first component, which accounted for the greatest variance in the underlying data, standardized to a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1.^{14,15} (Details are available in the supplement to the online version of this article at http://www. ajph.org.)

The key independent variables of interest were the presence of antiretroviral therapy (ART) and prevention of mother-to-child HIV transmission (PMTCT) programs in the facility. Potential confounders included overall facility quality index in areas unrelated to HIV or maternal and child health, funding type (private–nongovernmental vs governmental), number of health workers, and number of inpatient beds.

We conducted statistical analysis using Stata version 12 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX). We log transformed the continuous independent variables. We analyzed 12 separate multivariable ordinary least squares regression models with prenatal–postnatal (or delivery– newborn) input (or process) index score as the dependent variable and presence of HIV program along with confounders as independent variables. We used robust standard errors to account for dependence within regions of the country. For each dependent variable and facility type, we estimated 2 separate models for PMTCT and ART. Because virtually all hospitals provided PMTCT, in the hospital analysis we assessed only the effects of ART service.

RESULTS

Of the 703 Kenya Service Provision Assessment facilities, we included in this study 560 (237 hospitals, 323 clinics) that offered prenatal–postnatal services (Table 1). As shown in Table 2, in clinics, the presence of PMTCT programs was associated with a 0.56 SD increase in the prenatal–postnatal input quality score (P<.01; model 1). The effect was 0.57 SD (P<.01) when the model was adjusted for availability of ART programs (model 2).

The presence of ART programs was associated with improved prenatal–postnatal quality in clinics and hospitals. In clinics, the presence of ART programs was associated with a 0.70 SD increase (P<.001) in prenatal–postnatal care process quality (model 4), controlling for PMTCT. In hospitals (Table 3), the presence of ART programs was associated with a 0.47 SD increase in prenatal–postnatal processes (P=.02). The association between ART programs and delivery–newborn care approached but did not reach significance at a *P* level of less than .05.

DISCUSSION

The presence of PMTCT and ART programs was associated with higher quality prenatal and postnatal health care inputs and processes in the same clinics and hospitals. The magnitude of change observed was moderate¹⁶; however, it equaled or exceeded that found in other studies evaluating quality improvement interventions.^{17,18} Given that the majority of PMTCT services are provided in prenatal care clinics, it is likely that investments in equipment, commodities, and human resources enhanced prenatal–postnatal care more

TABLE 1-Descriptive Characteristics of Facilities: Kenya Service Provision Assessment, 2010

| Characteristic | Overall (n = 560 ^a), No. (%) or Mean \pm SD | Clinics (n = 323), No. (%) or Mean \pm SD | Hospitals (n = 237), No. (%) or Mean \pm SI |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| | Independent variables | | |
| Facility services | | | |
| Facilities with PMTCT program | 468 (83.6) | 251 (77.7) | 217 (91.6) |
| Facilities with ART program | 272 (48.6) | 75 (23.2) | 197 (83.1) |
| Public facilities | 322 (57.5) | 172 (53.3) | 150 (63.3) |
| No. of qualified staff per facility | 15 ±54.1 | 3 ±2.9 | 32 ±80.0 |
| No. of beds per facility | 41 ±91.2 | 6 ±11.2 | 89 ±125.3 |
| Sample quality variables used in index of overall facility quality: infrastructure, pha | armacy | | |
| practices, HMIS, QA, infection control | | | |
| Record of management team meeting observed | 300 (53.8) | 123 (38.2) | 177 (75.0) |
| Routinely carried out quality assurance activities ^b | 252 (45.2) | 94 (29.3) | 158 (66.9) |
| Record of quality assurance activities observed | 137 (24.6) | 38 (11.8) | 99 (41.9) |
| | Dependent variables | | |
| Sample quality variables used in index of inputs for prenatal and postnatal care (| supplies, | | |
| equipment, human resources) | | | |
| Guidelines for prenatal-postnatal care available | 350 (62.6) | 182 (56.3) | 168 (71.2) |
| Teaching aids for prenatal-postnatal care available | 325 (58.2) | 174 (54.0) | 151 (64.0) |
| Thermometer available | 480 (85.9) | 285 (88.2) | 195 (82.6) |
| Sample quality variables used in index of processes of prenatal and postnatal care | e (routinely | | |
| provided services, evidence-based services) | | | |
| Blood test for syphilis routinely provided | 419 (75.0) | 196 (60.9) | 223 (94.1) |
| Blood group test routinely provided | 405 (72.5) | 184 (57.1) | 221 (93.2) |
| Urine protein test routinely provided | 392 (70.1) | 181 (56.2) | 211 (89.0) |
| Sample quality variables used in index of inputs for delivery-newborns and newbo | rn care | | |
| (supplies, equipment, human resources) | | | |
| Oxygen source observed | 229 (41.0) | 56 (17.3) | 173 (73.3) |
| Injectable metronidazole (antibiotic) observed | 141 (25.2) | 47 (14.6) | 94 (39.7) |
| Incubator observed | 152 (27.1) | 22 (6.8) | 130 (54.9) |
| Sample quality variables used in index of processes of delivery-newborns and new | born care | | |
| (routinely provided services, evidence-based services) | | | |
| Injectable antibiotics administered in past 3 mo | 270 (48.5) | 76 (23.6) | 194 (82.6) |
| Neonatal resuscitation performed in past 3 mo | 238 (43.0) | 65 (20.3) | 173 (73.9) |
| Maternal or newborn deaths or near misses reviewed | 215 (38.4) | 63 (19.5) | 152 (64.1) |

Note. ART = antiretroviral therapy; HMIS = health management information; PMTCT = prevention of HIV mother-to-child transmission; QA = quality assurance. These are sample variables in facility quality index. We calculated indices of quality of care using principal-components analysis. Indicators relevant to each index were selected from the Service Provision Assessment section relevant to that index. We give 3 variables that accounted for a substantial proportion of variability as examples for each index. The full list of indicators is given in Table A (available in the supplement to the online version of this article at http://www.ajph.org).

^aBased on sample of facilities that offer prenatal-postnatal care.

^bQuality assurance is defined as formal review system or comparison of work or system to a standard.

generally. ART investments, such as enhanced laboratories, health information systems, training, and supportive supervision, may have influenced maternal care quality.⁵

We found no associations between HIV programs and quality of delivery-newborn care at clinics. The links between ART and deliverynewborn care quality in hospitals were marginally significant and require further study given the poor quality of delivery–newborn services in many countries in the region.¹⁹⁻²²

This study had several limitations, including its cross-sectional nature, which precludes assessment of causality. It is possible that clinics and hospitals with stronger prenatal–postnatal and delivery–newborn care were more likely to be selected as sites for PMTCT and ART programs, though this is unlikely to be a main driver. Finally, assessing the association between HIV programs and health outcomes would have been ideal, but the latter were not available in this data set.

In conclusion, we found several positive associations between the presence of PMTCT

| | | Prenatal and | Prenatal and Postnatal Care | | | Delivery and I | Delivery and Newborn Care | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Clinics | Inputs: Model 1 ($n = 284$; $R^2 = 0.13$), Coefficient (P) | Inputs: Model 2 ($n = 284$; $R^2 = 0.13$), Coefficient (P) | Processes: Model 3 (n = 294; R ² = 0.22), Coefficient (P) | Processes: Model 4 (n = 294; R^2 = 0.29), Coefficient (<i>P</i>) | Inputs: Model 5 (n = 137 ; $R^2 = 0.46$), Coefficient (P) | Inputs: Model 6 ($n = 137$; $R^2 = 0.46$), Coefficient (P) | Processes: Model 7 (n = 142; R^2 = 0.18), Coefficient (P) | Processes: Model 8 ($n = 142$; $R^2 = 0.18$), Coefficient (<i>P</i>) |
| PMTCT available | 0.56 (< .01) | 0.57 (< .01) | 0.27 (.02) | 0.19 (.08) | -0.03 (.91) | -0.01 (.97) | 0.07 (.79) | 0.08 (.76) |
| ART available | | -0.11 (.51) | | 0.70 (< .01) | | -0.07 (.62) | | -0.05 (.75) |
| Clinic quality index | 0.11 (.24) | 0.11 (.26) | 0.20 (.03) | 0.15 (.08) | 0.00 (.99) | 0.00 (.93) | 0.17 (.06) | 0.17 (.06) |
| Public facility | 0.06 (.59) | 0.08 (.44) | -0.39 (<.01) | -0.53 (< .01) | -0.61 (.01) | -0.58 (.01) | -0.33 (.12) | -0.31 (.17) |
| No. health workers (In) | 0.08 (.15) | 0.08 (.09) | 0.05 (.03) | 0.03 (.06) | 0.77 (<.01) | 0.78 (<.01) | 0.16 (.1) | 0.16 (.1) |
| No. beds (In) | 0.01 (.32) | 0.01 (.29) | 0.02 (.02) | 0.01 (.06) | 0.02 (.14) | 0.02 (.16) | 0.03 (.07) | 0.03 (.1) |

RESEARCH AND PRACTICE

and ART programs and the quality of health services for mothers and newborns in Kenya.^{22,23} Additional gains may be possible if services are more closely integrated, as is currently pursued.^{24–26} Prospective evaluation research is needed to elucidate how to most efficiently harness HIV investments to benefit all people seeking health care. ■

About the Authors

At the time of the study, Margaret E. Kruk and Aleksandra Jakubowski were with the Department of Health Policy and Management, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, New York, NY. Miriam Rabkin and Wafaa El-Sadr are with the Departments of Epidemiology and Medicine, Columbia University, New York. At the time of this research, Davies O. Kimanga was with the National AIDS & STI Control Programme, Nairobi, Kenya. Francis Kundu and Vane Lumumba are with the National Council for Population and Development, Nairobi. Travis Lim is with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Atlanta, GA. Tom Oluoch and Katherine A. Robinson are with the CDC, Nairobi.

Correspondence should be sent to Margaret E. Kruk, MD, MPH, Associate Professor of Global Health, Department of Global Health and Population, Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health, 665 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02115 (e-mail: mkruk@hsph.harvard.edu). Reprints can be ordered at http://www.ajph.org by clicking the "Reprints" link.

This article was accepted November 29, 2014.

Contributors

M. E. Kruk originated the study concept, developed the analytic framework contributions of all coauthors, led the writing, and oversaw the analysis. A. Jakubowski led the analysis and contributed to writing and editing. All other authors contributed to study design, interpretation of the results, and editing the article. All authors approved the final version for publication.

Acknowledgments

Funding for this research was provided by the US President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief through a cooperative agreement from the CDC, Division of Global HIV/AIDS (5U2GPS001537).

Note. The findings and conclusions in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the CDC or the Government of Kenya.

Human Participant Protection

No human participant protection was required because all data used in the analysis were secondary, de-identified data.

References

1. National AIDS Control Council, National AIDS and STI Control Programme. *Kenya AIDS Epidemic Update* 2011. Geneva, Switzerland: UNAIDS; 2012.

2. Grépin KA. HIV donor funding has both boosted and curbed the delivery of different non-HIV health services in sub-Saharan Africa. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2012;31 (7):1406–1414.

RESEARCH AND PRACTICE

TABLE 3—Associations Between Availability of ART Services and Quality of Prenatal-Postnatal and Delivery-Newborn Care in Hospitals: Kenya Service Provisions Assessment, 2010

| Hospitals | Prenatal and Postnatal Care | | Delivery and Newborn Care | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Inputs: Model 9 (n = 219; R^2 = 0.10), Coefficient (<i>P</i>) | Processes: Model 10 (n = 217; R^2 = 0.17), Coefficient (<i>P</i>) | Inputs: Model 11 (n = 210; R^2 = 0.38), Coefficient (<i>P</i>) | Processes: Model 12 (n = 215; R^2 = 0.40), Coefficient (P) |
| ART available | 0.05 (.86) | 0.47 (.02) | 0.37 (.07) | 0.25 (.06) |
| Hospital quality index | 0.26 (.14) | 0.25 (.03) | 0.34 (.052) | 0.37 (< .01) |
| Public facility | -0.30 (.02) | 0.15 (.3) | -0.34 (< .01) | 0.28 (.06) |
| No. health workers (In) | 0.03 (.75) | 0.05 (.42) | 0.13 (.31) | 0.12 (.3) |
| No. beds (In) | -0.07 (.13) | 0.00 (.97) | 0.04 (.52) | 0.10 (.13) |

Note. ART = antiretroviral therapy; In = natural logarithm. Ordinary least squares regression with robust standard errors clustered at region level.

3. Kruk ME, Jakubowski A, Rabkin M, Elul B, Friedman M, El-Sadr W. PEPFAR programs linked to more deliveries in health facilities by African women who are not infected with HIV. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2012;31 (7):1478–1488.

4. Brugha R, Simbaya J, Walsh A, Dicker P, Ndubani P. How HIV/AIDS scale-up has impacted on non-HIV priority services in Zambia. *BMC Public Health*. 2010;10 (1):540.

5. Dutta A, Wallace N, Savosnick P, et al. Investing in HIV services while building Kenya's health system: PEP-FAR's support to prevent mother-to-child HIV transmission. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2012;31(7):1498–1507.

 Kenya National Bureau of Statistics and ICF Macro. Kenya Demographic and Health Survey 2008-09. Calverton, MD: Kenya National Bureau of Statistics and ICF Macro; 2010.

 Ronsmans C, Graham WJ; Lancet Maternal Survival Series Steering Group. Maternal mortality: who, when, where, and why. *Lancet*. 2006;368(9542):1189–1200.

8. Kassebaum NJ, Bertozzi-Villa A, Coggeshall MS, et al. Global, regional, and national levels and causes of maternal mortality during 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet.* 2014;384(9947):980–1004.

9. Filippi V, Ronsmans C, Campbell OM, et al. Maternal health in poor countries: the broader context and a call for action. *Lancet*. 2006;368(9546):1535–1541.

10. Lee AC, Cousens S, Darmstadt GL, et al. Care during labor and birth for the prevention of intrapartum-related neonatal deaths: a systematic review and Delphi estimation of mortality effect. *BMC Public Health.* 2011;11 (suppl 3):S10.

 Souza JP, Gülmezoglu AM, Vogel J, et al. Moving beyond essential interventions for reduction of maternal mortality (the WHO Multicountry Survey on Maternal and Newborn Health): a cross-sectional study. *Lancet.* 2013;381(9879):1747–1755.

12. National Coordinating Agency for Population and Development, Ministry of Medical Services, Ministry of Public Health and Sanitation, Kenya National Bureau of Statistics, ICF Macro. *Kenya Service Provision Assessment Survey 2010*. Nairobi, Kenya: National Coordinating Agency for Population and Development, Ministry of Medical Services, Ministry of Public Health and Sanitation, Kenya National Bureau of Statistics, ICF Macro; 2011. 13. Donabedian A. The quality of care. *JAMA*. 1988;260(12):1743–1748.

14. Vyas S, Kumaranayake L. Constructing socioeconomic status indices: how to use principal components analysis. *Health Policy Plan*. 2006;21(6):459–468.

 Norman G, Streiner D. Principal components and factor analysis. In: *Biostatistics: The Bare Essentials*.
Hamilton, Ontario, Canada: BC Decker; 1994:163– 177.

16. Cohen J. *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences.* 2nd ed. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum; 1988.

17. Basinga P, Gertler PJ, Binagwaho A, Soucat AL, Sturdy J, Vermeersch CM. Effect on maternal and child health services in Rwanda of payment to primary health-care providers for performance: an impact evaluation. *Lancet.* 2011;377(9775):1421–1428.

 Peabody J, Shimkhada R, Quimbo S, et al. Financial incentives and measurement improved physicians' quality of care in the Philippines. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2011;30(4):773–781.

19. Hsia RY, Mbembati NA, Macfarlane S, Kruk ME. Access to emergency and surgical care in sub-Saharan Africa: the infrastructure gap. *Health Policy Plan.* 2012;27(3):234–244.

 Burkhalter B, Edson W, Harvey S, et al. Operations Research Results: Quality of Obstetric Care Observed in 14 Hospitals in Benin, Ecuador, Jamaica, and Rwanda.
Bethesda, MD: Quality Assurance Project; 2006.

21. Das J, Gertler PJ. Variations in practice quality in five low-income countries: a conceptual overview. *Health Aff* (*Millwood*). 2007;26(3):w296–w309.

22. Delvaux T, Konan JP, Ake-Tano O, et al. Quality of antenatal and delivery care before and after the implementation of a prevention of mother-to-child HIV transmission programme in Cote d'Ivoire. *Trop Med Int Health.* 2008;13(8):970–979.

 Rao KD, Ramani S, Hazarika I, George S. When do vertical programmes strengthen health systems? A comparative assessment of disease-specific interventions in India. *Health Policy Plan.* 2014;29(4):495–505.

24. Rabkin M, El-Sadr WM. Why reinvent the wheel? Leveraging the lessons of HIV scale-up to confront non-communicable diseases. *Glob Public Health.* 2011;6 (3):247–256. Myer L, Rabkin M, Abrams EJ, Rosenfield A, El-Sadr WM. Focus on women: linking HIV care and treatment with reproductive health services in the MTCT-Plus Initiative. *Reprod Health Matters*. 2005;13(25):136–146.

26. Odeny TA, Penner J, Lewis-Kulzer J, et al. Integration of HIV care with primary health care services: effect on patient satisfaction and stigma in rural Kenya. *AIDS Res Treat.* 2013;(2013):485715.