



Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Res. 2014 May 1; 74(9): 2476–2486. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-2968.

Telomere Length in Peripheral Blood Leukocytes and Lung Cancer Risk: A Large Case-Control Study in Caucasians

Beatriz Sanchez-Espiridion¹, Meng Chen¹, Joe Y. Chang², Charles Lu³, David W. Chang¹, Jack A. Roth⁴, Xifeng Wu¹, and Jian Gu¹

¹Department of Epidemiology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas

²Department of Radiation Oncology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas

³Department of Thoracic/Head and Neck Medical Oncology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas

⁴Department of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas

Abstract

Telomere dysfunction is a crucial event in malignant transformation and tumorigenesis. Telomere length in peripheral blood leukocytes has been associated with lung cancer risk, but the relationship has remained controversial. In this study, we investigated whether the association might be confounded by study of different histological subtypes of lung cancer. We measured relative telomere lengths in patients in a large case-control study of lung cancer and performed stratified analyses according to the two major histological subtypes (adenocarcinoma [AC] and squamous cell carcinoma [SCC]). Notably, AC patients had longer telomeres than controls, whereas SCC patients had shorter telomeres compared to controls. Long telomeres were associated with increased risk of AC, with the highest risk associated with female sex, younger age (<60 years) and lighter smoking (<30 pack-years). In contrast, long telomeres were protective against SCC, particularly in male patients. Our results extend the concept that telomere length affects risk of lung cancer in a manner that differs with histological subtype.

Keywords

Telomeres; peripheral blood leukocytes; adenocarcinoma; squamous cell carcinoma; lung cancer risk

Corresponding Author: Jian Gu, PhD, Department of Epidemiology, Unit 1340, The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, 1155 Pressler Boulevard, Houston, TX 77030. Phone: 713-792-80165 Fax: 713-745-1165; jiangu@mdanderson.org.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Introduction

Telomeres are dynamic nucleoprotein complexes located at the chromosome ends that are composed of TTAGGG repeats and telomere binding-associated proteins. They protect the chromosome ends against degradation, end-to-end fusion, and atypical recombination and thus play an important role in maintenance of chromosomal integrity (1). Telomeres are typically 10–15 Kilobases (kb) long and they shorten progressively with cell division owing to incomplete replication of linear DNA molecules. When they reach a critical length, they are recognized as double-strand breaks, resulting in cellular senescence or apoptosis mediated by the Rb and p53 signaling pathways (2). This progressive telomere shortening regulates cell proliferation and limits cell division to a finite number of cycles, thus acting as a “cellular mitotic clock” (3).

It has been proposed that telomere dysfunction plays a complex role in carcinogenesis. Telomere attrition may induce cells to undergo senescence or apoptosis, serving as a mechanism for tumor suppression. However, excessive telomere loss may lead to genomic instability that drives oncogenesis via both through the activation of telomerase and generation of other mutations necessary for tumor progression (4). Measurement of telomere length in surrogate tissue markers such as peripheral blood leukocytes has been used as a biomarker of telomere dysfunction and cancer risk. Previous reports have indicated that decreasing telomere length is accelerated by many factors, such as aging (5–7), smoking (8–10), obesity (11), oxidative stress (12), and socioeconomic and lifestyle factors (13, 14). Over the past few years, the number of epidemiological studies evaluating the association between telomere length and cancer risk has increased greatly; however, the findings have been inconsistent (15, 16). Most of the initial studies used case-control designs and found that short leukocyte telomeres were associated with increased risk of several cancers (17). However, recent publications have suggested that long telomeres are associated with increased risk of certain tumors, including pancreatic cancer, lymphoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, melanoma, and sarcoma (18–22). In a recent study evaluating the association of relative telomere length (RTL) with cancer risk and cancer survival in 47,102 Danish participants (23), the investigators concluded that short telomeres were associated with reduced survival after cancer but not with cancer risk. This work included a large sample size, prospective population-based study design, and long-term follow-up. However, a detailed analysis of the data from this study suggested an association of RTL with cancer risk in a cancer-site specific manner (24). When pooling data for all the cancer sites, opposite associations could cancel each other out, therefore resulting in the null finding. Results of previous studies of telomere length in lung cancer patients have been inconclusive (25–29). The initial studies reported that short telomeres were associated with increased lung cancer risk (25–27), whereas a later prospective study performed in male smokers suggested that long telomeres are associated with increased risk of lung cancer (28). In addition, another recent prospective study in a female nested case-control cohort has also reported longer telomeres in the lung cancer cases (29). Previous studies have shown that women have longer telomeres than men (8, 9, 30) and the association between telomere length and specific cancer risk may vary by sex, for example, as reported in bladder cancer (9).

In the present study, we aimed to determine whether this inconsistent relationship between RTL and lung cancer risk might be caused by differences in histological subtype and different sexes. We examined the RTLs in a large case-control study comprising of 1385 lung cancer cases patients and their respectively matched controls and evaluated the association of telomere length with the risk of lung cancer stratifying by the two main histological subtypes of lung cancer: adenocarcinoma (AC) and squamous cell carcinoma (SCC). To our knowledge, this is the largest epidemiological study of constitutive RTL and lung cancer risk. Our data support the role of telomere dysfunction in lung carcinogenesis in a histology-specific manner.

Materials and Methods

Study population and epidemiological data

This case-control study included 1,385 lung cancer patients and 1,385 healthy control subjects. The patients were recruited at The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center from September 1995 to March 2010 in a daily review of computerized appointment schedules. There was no sex, histological or disease stage restriction in this study. Control subjects with no prior history of cancer were identified at Kelsey-Seybold Clinic, the largest multispecialty physician group in the Houston metropolitan area. Cases and controls were limited to non-Hispanic white individuals (Caucasians) and equally matched to their corresponding controls with respect to age (± 1 year) and sex. Written informed consent to participate in the study was obtained from each participant. All participants were interviewed to collect information regarding demographics, smoking history, alcohol consumption, family cancer history, medical history, and working history. Blood samples (40mL each) were collected from the study participants in coded heparinized tubes after the interviews. This study was approved by the respective institutional review boards at MD Anderson and Kelsey-Seybold Clinic. A never-smoker was defined as an individual who had never smoked or had smoked fewer than 100 cigarettes in his or her lifetime. An ever-smoker was defined as an individual who was a smoker at the time of enrollment or had smoked 100 or more cigarettes in his or her lifetime. The cumulative cigarette dose (pack-years) was calculated using the following formula: pack-years = packs per day \times years smoked.

Overall RTL measurement real-time PCR

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBLs) using QIAamp Maxi DNA kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's protocol. RTL was measured using quantitative polymerase chain reaction (Q-PCR) method as previously described by Cawthon (31). Briefly, the RTL was determined by PCR through two steps of relative quantification. First, the ratio of the telomere repeat copy number (T) to the single gene (human globulin) copy number (S) was determined for each sample using standard curves. The derived T/S ratio was proportional to the overall RTL length. Second, the ratio for each sample was then normalized according to that in a calibrator DNA sample to standardize different runs.

The PCR (15 μ L) for telomere amplification consisted of 1x SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), 200nmol/L Tel-1 primer, 200nmol/L Tel-2 primer, and 5 ng of genomic DNA. In addition, the PCR for human globulin (Hgb) amplification consisted of 1x SYBR Green Master Mix, 200nmol/L Hgb-1, 200nmol/L Hgb-2 primer, and 5 ng of genomic DNA. The thermal cycling conditions were at 95°C for 10 minute followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 seconds and at 56°C (for telomere amplification) or 58°C (for Hgb amplification) for 1 minute. The PCRs were done on separate 384-well plates including with the same samples in the same well positions. In each run, corresponding negative and positive controls, a calibrator DNA sample, and a standard curve were included. The positive controls contained a 1.2-kb telomere and a 3.9-kb telomere from a commercially available telomere length assay kit (Roche Applied Science). For each standard curve, 1 reference DNA sample (the same DNA sample for all runs) was diluted 2-fold serially to produce a 6-point standard curve between 20 ng and 0.625 ng of DNA in each reaction. The same reference DNA was used consistently for all plates in the present study and in our previous studies (32, 33). The coefficient of determination (R^2) for each standard curve was 0.99, with an acceptable standard deviation (SD) set at 0.25 (for the Ct values). If the result was outside the acceptable range, the sample was repeated. Each plate contained randomly selected samples to have equal representation of cases and controls. The adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma cases were intermixed on assays plates. The lab personal were blinded to case control status. Duplicates for each sample were done. The telomere and Hgb PCRs were done on separate 384-well plates, with the same samples in the same well positions. The intra assay coefficient of variation was <3% and the inter assay coefficient of variation was <5% for telomere length assay in our laboratory (22, 33). The intraclass correlation coefficient was 0.959 (95% CI 0.954–0.962) for telomere assay and 0.986 (95% CI 0.985–0.988) for Hgb assay.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the Stata 10.1 statistical software program (version 10.1; StataCorp). The analyses were restricted to AC and SCC, the two main histological subtypes of lung cancer. Further analyses were stratified by histological subtype in which cases and controls were equally matched to their corresponding controls. Differences in the distribution of the host characteristics between cases and controls were evaluated by Pearson χ^2 test for categorical variables (sex, age, smoking status, and cumulative smoking [pack-years]), whereas the Student *t*-test was used to test differences for continuous variables. RTL was analyzed as both a continuous and categorical variable. The Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to evaluate the difference in telomere length as a continuous variable case-control status by sex, age (younger-age <60 years or older-age 60 years), smoking history (never- or ever-smoker), and cumulative smoking (light smokers (pack-years<30) or heavy smokers (pack years 30)). Telomere length was also analyzed as a categorical variable by setting cutoff points at the median and quartile values in the overall control group. In addition, we performed decile analyses and generalized additive models to test for the potential non-linear relationships. The association between lung cancer risk and RTL was assessed using conditional multivariable logistic regression to determine the adjusted odds ratio (aOR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) adjusting for sex, age, smoking status, BMI and pack years (<30 pack-years vs 30 pack-years). Unconditional logistic

regression analyses were also performed and the results were similar to conditional logistic regression. We only presented data of conditional logistic regression. In addition to the overall association analysis, stratified analyses of both histological subtypes of lung cancer according to sex, age, smoking status, and cumulative smoking were performed. Tests for trend were obtained for the quartile values of telomere length. Spearman's correlation test was used to examine the association of RTL with all of the confounding variables. All statistical tests were two-sided, and associations were considered statistically significant at P levels less than 0.05.

Results

Patient host characteristics

In total, 1385 patients with lung cancer and 1385 matched controls were included in this study. By study design cases and controls were all Caucasians and they were matched on age (± 1 year) and sex. Further analyses were done stratifying by the two major histological subtypes (AC and SCC), final 706 AC and 320 SCC were matched their respective controls (Table 1). The mean (\pm SD) ages of the patients and controls were 62.49 ± 10.26 years and 62.38 ± 10.32 years, respectively, for AC and 65.00 ± 8.69 years and 64.82 ± 8.61 years, respectively, for SCC. There were not statistically significant differences in terms of age and sex between cases and controls for either subtype. However, there were significantly more ever-smokers among cases than among controls (82.44% in AC cases versus 58.49% in controls, $P < 0.001$; and 97.81% in SCC cases versus 61.44% in controls, $P < 0.001$). In addition, the number of pack-years in ever-smokers was significantly higher in the cases than in the controls (mean \pm SD: 46.84 ± 32.09 in AC versus 40.15 ± 37.54 in controls, $P = 0.004$; 62.02 ± 35.91 in SCC cases versus 42.13 ± 35.09 in controls, $P < 0.001$). In addition, we observed the association of SCC with smoking since, as expected, more smokers was present in SCC cases in comparison to AC cases and the number of pack-years was higher in SCC cases than AC cases (Table 1).

The association between telomere length and lung cancer risk differs by histology

A real-time PCR method was used to measure the RTLs in all samples. When a correlation analysis was performed, we observed an inverse association between RTL and age, smoking status and pack-years (Supplementary Table 1). We then performed separate analyses of RTL in AC and SCC patients. We observed that AC lung cancer cases had significantly longer overall telomeres lengths in cases than did the controls (mean \pm SD, 1.23 ± 0.38 versus 1.14 ± 0.37 ; $P < 0.001$) (Table 2), which was consistent regardless of sex, age (< 60 years versus ≥ 60 years), smoking status, and cumulative smoking. Conditional logistic regression analysis showed that when we used the median telomere length in the controls as the cutoff point between long and short telomeres, individuals with long RTLs exhibited significantly increased risk of lung AC (aOR, 1.56 [95% CI, 1.23–1.98]; $P < 0.001$). In contrast, we found the opposite effect in SCC patients. Overall, SCC cases had significantly shorter telomeres than did the controls (mean \pm SD, 1.10 ± 0.44 versus 1.13 ± 0.33 ; $P = 0.015$) (Table 2). When conditional logistic regression analysis was used, an overall borderline significant protective effect of long telomeres on SCC risk was observed (aOR, 0.66 [95% CI, 0.42–1.03]; $P = 0.068$) (Table 3). When BMI was added in the logistic

regression analysis, the adjusted OR (95% CI) were 1.70 (1.35–2.14), $p < 0.001$ for AC and 0.71 (0.49–1.04), $p = 0.082$ for SCC, compared to 1.56 (1.23–1.98), $p < 0.001$ for AC and 0.66 (0.42–1.03), $p = 0.068$ for SCC without BMI adjustment. The OR was comparable and therefore we did not include BMI in the final models. When adjusting the analyses for not only smoking status, but also smoking pack years (<30 pack-years vs. ≥ 30 pack-years), there were no significant differences in the risk estimates. In addition, we did not observe any pattern of non-linear relationship between telomere length and AC or SCC risk when decile analyses and generalized additive models were performed (data not shown).

Exploratory analyses of subgroups showed that in AC cases, the risk appeared to be higher in females (aOR, 1.83 [95% CI, 1.34–2.50]; $P < 0.001$), younger-age individuals (age < 60 years) (aOR, 2.01 [95% CI, 1.34–3.01]; $P < 0.001$), and light-smokers (pack-years < 30) (aOR, 3.50 [95% CI, 1.18–10.390]; $P = 0.0241$). In addition, we found a significant dose-response relationship between long RTLs and increased AC risk (Table 4). Compared to the individuals within the first (shortest) quartile of telomere length, the aORs for those in the second, third, and fourth quartiles were 1.20 (95% CI, 0.79–1.580), 1.44 (95% CI, 1.02–2.04), and 1.85 (95% CI, 1.33–2.57), respectively (P for trend < 0.001). In contrast, in SCC cases, we observed a significant protective effect of long RTLs in males (aOR, 0.55 [95% CI, 0.35–0.87]; $P = 0.010$) and in older-age individuals (age ≥ 60 years) (aOR, 0.64 [95% CI, 0.42–0.97]; $P = 0.037$). We observed a non-significant association in ever smokers, although a trend between longer RTL and decreased risk was observed in this stratum (Table 4). In addition, when quartiles were used as cutoff points, we observed the opposite dose-response relationship between long RTLs and SCC risk in males and older-age individuals (≥ 60 years) (Table 5).

Discussion

In this study, we investigated the association between leukocyte telomere length and risk of lung cancer. This relationship has been controversial in previous studies, which led us to determine whether the inconsistency resulted from different histological subtypes of lung cancer. We focused our analyses on AC and SCC, the two major histological subtypes of lung cancer. We found that the relationship between telomere length and lung cancer risk was histology-dependent. Our novel findings indicated that AC cases had longer RTLs than did controls and that long RTLs were associated with increased AC lung cancer risk. In contrast, SCC cases had shorter RTLs than did controls.

The number of epidemiological studies evaluating the association between leukocyte telomere length and cancer risk has increased greatly in recent years. The majority of these studies showed significant associations between telomeres in PBLs and altered risks of different carcinomas, although the relationships seemed to be cancer type-specific (23, 24). The specific association of telomere length with risk of each cancer type may be attributed to the distinct biologies of the cancers and their different routes of tumorigenesis. Authors have reported association of short telomeres with increased risk of bladder, esophageal, gastric, head and neck, ovarian, renal cancer, oral premalignant lesions and oral squamous cell carcinoma (16, 25, 34, 35). Other studies have found that long telomeres were associated with increased risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma (19) and sarcoma (22). In

addition, researchers did not find significant associations of telomere length with cancer risk in several large prospective studies (17, 34, 36, 37). Thus, additional large studies using consistent methodologies are needed to clarify the association of leukocyte telomere length with cancer risk.

Several studies have examined the link between telomere length and lung cancer risk. The first epidemiological study showing the association between telomere shortening and lung cancer risk was done by our group (25), which reported a significant association between short telomere lengths and increased risk of lung cancer. However, only 40 NSCLC cases were included in that study and no further stratification by histology type was done. In another study of 243 lung cancer cases and matched controls, researchers found shorter telomeres in the cases than in the controls and reported a significant association between short telomere length and lung cancer risk (26). In contrast, two recent studies (28, 29) reported that long telomeres were associated with increased risk of lung cancer. These findings agree with those of a recent prospective study of two cohorts of male smokers in Finland and nonsmokers in China, respectively, demonstrating that long telomeres in peripheral white blood cell DNA were associated with increased risk of lung cancer.

These inconsistent findings prompted us to ask whether the association between telomere length and lung cancer risk is histology-specific. Some of the previous lung cancer studies looked at telomere length according to histology. However, the investigators did not further stratify the patients according to histological subtype. Although differences in the molecular, histological, and clinical characteristics of SCC and AC (the two major histological types of non-small cell lung cancer [NSCLC]) have been reported, no large detailed studies have looked at the leukocyte telomere lengths. A previous study (26) found that leukocyte telomere length differed according to lung cancer histology and that the effect of short leukocyte RTLs on the risk of lung cancer was more pronounced in SCC than in AC patients, suggesting a histology-specific association of telomere length with lung cancer risk. In the present study, we observed for the first time an apparently opposite association of leukocyte RTL with AC and SCC risk.

In contrast to AC, shorter leukocyte telomeres were found in SCC, particularly in males and older individuals. Recent studies have suggested that telomere dysfunction has dual roles in cancer progression and carcinogenesis (38). In other cancer types including ovarian carcinoma and melanomas, the same histology-dependent relationship of leukocyte RTL and cancer risk has been observed (39, 40). The general perception has been that short RTLs confer increased risk of some cancers. However there are multiple lines of evidence supporting that short RTLs can confer reduced risk of other cancers. This includes indirect evidence, such as recent genome-wide association studies (41, 42) that identified loci affecting RTL and showed that alleles associated with both short and long RTLs may contribute to the development of specific cancers. In theory, either short or long telomeres can predispose individuals to development of cancer depending on the somatic mutation landscape of the cell's history and their particular microenvironment context (38, 43). When the cell cycle checkpoint, cellular senescence, and apoptosis pathways are not altered, short telomeres are expected to protect against cancer. In contrast, long telomeres may increase cancer risk, due to the additional cell division rounds allowed by the longer telomeres that

could lead to the accumulation of somatic mutations affecting apoptosis and senescence pathways, thus promoting tumorigenesis. Therefore, the importance of balance between elongation by telomerase and telomere shortening to produce a stabilized “optimal” length critical for cell proliferation, senescence and control has been suggested (44).

Furthermore, in our subgroup analyses, we observed an increased risk of AC in females (1.83-fold increased risk) and younger-age individuals (2.01-fold increased risk) and light - smokers (2.19-fold increased risk), consistent with pathological studies showing that AC was more prevalent in female and young-age onset than SCC (45–47). These studies also demonstrated a marked dose-response relationship. Moreover, our finding that long leukocyte telomeres are associated with AC risk is consistent with results of a recent prospective nested case-control study of 215 female lung cancer cases and 215 female controls, 94% of whom were never-smokers (29). In addition, subgroup analysis showed an inverse protective effect of long telomeres on SCC risk in males and older-age individuals in agreement with results of previous studies that have shown this histology-dependent relationship for other cancer types (40). To our knowledge, this is the largest epidemiological study to demonstrate a histology-dependent relationship between lung cancer risk and telomere length.

Telomere length in PBLs could be altered by the presence of malignant disease and by the chemotherapy of radiation therapy prior to blood collection (48, 49) and reverse causation in retrospective case-control study may impact the comparisons between cases and controls and produce spurious or over-estimated associations (50). In our study, all the cases were sampled at diagnosis before receiving chemotherapy and radiotherapy and 203 cases had surgery before sampling. No significant differences in telomere length were found between cases receiving surgery and cases without surgery (mean \pm SD, 1.17 ± 0.38 vs 1.19 ± 0.40 , $P=0.500$). Controls were recruited within the same time frame of case recruitment and blood was collected and processed into DNA generally within two hours of blood drawing. All controls were from Texas and cases included 858 cases from Texas and 147 cases from outside Texas. Telomere length in cases from Texas and cases from outside Texas were comparable (mean \pm SD, 1.14 ± 0.39 vs 1.16 ± 0.31 , $P=0.432$). While population-based studies are inarguably the gold standard, the practicality is sometimes questioned when conducting phenotypic assays that require previously untreated patients. These difficulties are magnified when the patients, as in this study, come from an urban cancer center that serves as a tertiary referral center. Because our research is driven by a genetic hypothesis, the use of population-based control is not as critical as it may have been in epidemiological studies of disease and exposure. We do not believe that the case control recruitment scheme and blood collection and processing protocol would bias our results.

A recent article indicated that Qiamp columns truncate telomeric DNA compared to other extraction methods (phenol-chloroform and PureGene method) (51). It would be important to confirm this observation, which may have important implications in epidemiological study of telomere length. However, we do not think that DNA extraction method biased the results of our study. The DNA extraction method was consistent throughout our study. All the DNA samples in this study and in the majority of published epidemiological studies of telomere length have been extracted by Qiamp method. In fact, we used Qiamp columns to

clean previously phenol-chloroform-extracted DNAs. The RTLs for most samples in this current study were in the range of 1.0 to 1.3, comparable to literature reports using the same real-time PCR method. The cases and controls were recruited within the same time frame and their DNA samples were intermixed on each assay plate. The mean RTLs of samples from different time frame were similar (data not show). Therefore, the significant RTL differences between cases and controls observed in this study are not likely to be caused by DNA extraction methods.

Our data strongly support the role of telomere dysfunction in lung carcinogenesis, highlighting the differences between the two major histological subtypes of lung cancer. We found a differential association between relative telomere length and risk of AC and SCC, in which long telomeres were associated with increased risk of AC but decreased risk of SCC. Our findings provide strong evidence of a histology-specific association between telomere length and lung cancer risk. Additional studies are warranted to elucidate the mechanisms underlying this differential association.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Grant Support

This work was supported in part by grants from the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (RP1300502) and National Cancer Institute (P50 CA070907 and R01 CA131335). Additional funding was provided by an MD Anderson start-up fund to J. Gu, an MD Anderson Research Trust to X. Wu, and MD Anderson institutional support for the Center for Translational and Public Health Genomics.

References

1. Blasco MA. Telomeres and human disease: ageing, cancer and beyond. *Nat Rev Genet.* 2005; 6:611–22. [PubMed: 16136653]
2. Blackburn EH, Greider CW, Szostak JW. Telomeres and telomerase: the path from maize, Tetrahymena and yeast to human cancer and aging. *Nat Med.* 2006; 12:1133–8. [PubMed: 17024208]
3. Allsopp RC, Vaziri H, Patterson C, Goldstein S, Younglai EV, Futcher AB, et al. Telomere length predicts replicative capacity of human fibroblasts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 1992; 89:10114–8. [PubMed: 1438199]
4. Hahn WC. Role of telomeres and telomerase in the pathogenesis of human cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2003; 21:2034–43. [PubMed: 12743159]
5. Butler MG, Tilburt J, DeVries A, Muralidhar B, Aue G, Hedges L, et al. Comparison of chromosome telomere integrity in multiple tissues from subjects at different ages. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet.* 1998; 105:138–44. [PubMed: 9723031]
6. Friedrich U, Griese E, Schwab M, Fritz P, Thon K, Klotz U. Telomere length in different tissues of elderly patients. *Mech Ageing Dev.* 2000; 119:89–99. [PubMed: 11080530]
7. Cawthon RM, Smith KR, O'Brien E, Sivatchenko A, Kerber RA. Association between telomere length in blood and mortality in people aged 60 years or older. *Lancet.* 2003; 361:393–5. [PubMed: 12573379]
8. Valdes AM, Andrew T, Gardner JP, Kimura M, Oelsner E, Cherkas LF, et al. Obesity, cigarette smoking, and telomere length in women. *Lancet.* 2005; 366:662–4. [PubMed: 16112303]

9. McGrath M, Wong JY, Michaud D, Hunter DJ, De Vivo I. Telomere length, cigarette smoking, and bladder cancer risk in men and women. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2007; 16:815–9. [PubMed: 17416776]
10. Morla M, Busquets X, Pons J, Sauleda J, MacNee W, Agusti AG. Telomere shortening in smokers with and without COPD. *Eur Respir J.* 2006; 27:525–8. [PubMed: 16507852]
11. Kim S, Parks CG, DeRoo LA, Chen H, Taylor JA, Cawthon RM, et al. Obesity and weight gain in adulthood and telomere length. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2009; 18:816–20. [PubMed: 19273484]
12. von Zglinicki T. Oxidative stress shortens telomeres. *Trends Biochem Sci.* 2002; 27:339–44. [PubMed: 12114022]
13. Lin J, Epel E, Blackburn E. Telomeres and lifestyle factors: roles in cellular aging. *Mutat Res.* 2012; 730:85–9. [PubMed: 21878343]
14. Needham BL, Adler N, Gregorich S, Rehkopf D, Lin J, Blackburn EH, et al. Socioeconomic status, health behavior, and leukocyte telomere length in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999–2002. *Soc Sci Med.* 2013; 85:1–8. [PubMed: 23540359]
15. Willeit P, Willeit J, Mayr A, Weger S, Oberhollenzer F, Brandstatter A, et al. Telomere length and risk of incident cancer and cancer mortality. *JAMA.* 2010; 304:69–75. [PubMed: 20606151]
16. Prescott J, Wentzensen IM, Savage SA, De Vivo I. Epidemiologic evidence for a role of telomere dysfunction in cancer etiology. *Mutat Res.* 2012; 730:75–84. [PubMed: 21756922]
17. Wentzensen IM, Mirabello L, Pfeiffer RM, Savage SA. The association of telomere length and cancer: a meta-analysis. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2011; 20:1238–50. [PubMed: 21467229]
18. Lynch SM, Major JM, Cawthon R, Weinstein SJ, Virtamo J, Lan Q, et al. A prospective analysis of telomere length and pancreatic cancer in the Alpha-Tocopherol Beta-Carotene cancer prevention (ATBC) study. *Int J Cancer.* 2013; 133:2672–80. [PubMed: 23674344]
19. Lan Q, Cawthon R, Shen M, Weinstein SJ, Virtamo J, Lim U, et al. A prospective study of telomere length measured by monochrome multiplex quantitative PCR and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2009; 15:7429–33. [PubMed: 19934287]
20. Fu X, Wan S, Hann HW, Myers RE, Hann RS, Au J, et al. Relative telomere length: a novel non-invasive biomarker for the risk of non-cirrhotic hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with chronic hepatitis B infection. *Eur J Cancer.* 2012; 48:1014–22. [PubMed: 22444598]
21. Han J, Qureshi AA, Prescott J, Guo Q, Ye L, Hunter DJ, et al. A prospective study of telomere length and the risk of skin cancer. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2009; 129:415–21. [PubMed: 18668136]
22. Xie H, Wu X, Wang S, Chang D, Pollock RE, Lev D, et al. Long telomeres in peripheral blood leukocytes are associated with an increased risk of soft tissue sarcoma. *Cancer.* 2013; 119:1885–91. [PubMed: 23408253]
23. Weischer M, Nordestgaard BG, Cawthon RM, Freiberg JJ, Tybjaerg-Hansen A, Bojesen SE. Short telomere length, cancer survival, and cancer risk in 47102 individuals. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2013; 105:459–68. [PubMed: 23468462]
24. Gu J, Wu X. Re: Short Telomere Length, Cancer Survival, and Cancer Risk in 47102 Individuals. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2013; 105:1157. [PubMed: 23868923]
25. Wu X, Amos CI, Zhu Y, Zhao H, Grossman BH, Shay JW, et al. Telomere dysfunction: a potential cancer predisposition factor. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2003; 95:1211–8. [PubMed: 12928346]
26. Jang JS, Choi YY, Lee WK, Choi JE, Cha SI, Kim YJ, et al. Telomere length and the risk of lung cancer. *Cancer Sci.* 2008; 99:1385–9. [PubMed: 18452563]
27. Hosgood HD 3rd, Cawthon R, He X, Chanock S, Lan Q. Genetic variation in telomere maintenance genes, telomere length, and lung cancer susceptibility. *Lung Cancer.* 2009; 66:157–61. [PubMed: 19285750]
28. Shen M, Cawthon R, Rothman N, Weinstein SJ, Virtamo J, Hosgood HD 3rd, et al. A prospective study of telomere length measured by monochrome multiplex quantitative PCR and risk of lung cancer. *Lung Cancer.* 2011; 73:133–7. [PubMed: 21507503]
29. Lan Q, Cawthon R, Gao Y, Hu W, Hosgood HD 3rd, Barone-Adesi F, et al. Longer Telomere Length in Peripheral White Blood Cells Is Associated with Risk of Lung Cancer and the

- rs2736100 (CLPTMIL-TERT) Polymorphism in a Prospective Cohort Study among Women in China. *PloS one*. 2013; 8:e59230. [PubMed: 23555636]
30. Mayer S, Bruderlein S, Perner S, Waibel I, Holdenried A, Ciloglu N, et al. Sex-specific telomere length profiles and age-dependent erosion dynamics of individual chromosome arms in humans. *Cytogenetic Genome Res*. 2006; 112:194–201.
 31. Cawthon RM. Telomere measurement by quantitative PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2002; 30:e47. [PubMed: 12000852]
 32. Xing J, Spitz MR, Lu C, Zhao H, Yang H, Wang W, et al. Deficient G2-M and S checkpoints are associated with increased lung cancer risk: a case-control analysis. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2007; 16:1517–22. [PubMed: 17627019]
 33. Gu J, Chen M, Shete S, Amos CI, Kamat A, Ye Y, et al. A genome-wide association study identifies a locus on chromosome 14q21 as a predictor of leukocyte telomere length and as a marker of susceptibility for bladder cancer. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila)*. 2011; 4:514–21. [PubMed: 21460395]
 34. Ma H, Zhou Z, Wei S, Liu Z, Pooley KA, Dunning AM, et al. Shortened telomere length is associated with increased risk of cancer: a meta-analysis. *PloS one*. 2011; 6:e20466. [PubMed: 21695195]
 35. Bau DT, Lippman SM, Xu E, Gong Y, Lee JJ, Wu X, et al. Short telomere lengths in peripheral blood leukocytes are associated with an increased risk of oral premalignant lesion and oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer*. 2013; 119:4277–83. [PubMed: 24105340]
 36. Hou L, Zhang X, Gawron AJ, Liu J. Surrogate tissue telomere length and cancer risk: shorter or longer? *Cancer Lett*. 2012; 319:130–5. [PubMed: 22269209]
 37. Mirabello L, Huang WY, Wong JY, Chatterjee N, Reding D, Crawford ED, et al. The association between leukocyte telomere length and cigarette smoking, dietary and physical variables, and risk of prostate cancer. *Aging cell*. 2009; 8:405–13. [PubMed: 19493248]
 38. Hackett JA, Greider CW. Balancing instability: dual roles for telomerase and telomere dysfunction in tumorigenesis. *Oncogene*. 2002; 21:619–26. [PubMed: 11850787]
 39. Kuhn E, Meeker AK, Visvanathan K, Gross AL, Wang TL, Kurman RJ, et al. Telomere length in different histologic types of ovarian carcinoma with emphasis on clear cell carcinoma. *Mod Pathol*. 2011; 24:1139–45. [PubMed: 21499239]
 40. Anic GM, Sondak VK, Messina JL, Fenske NA, Zager JS, Cherpelis BS, et al. Telomere length and risk of melanoma, squamous cell carcinoma, and basal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Epidemiol*. 2013; 37:434–9. [PubMed: 23523330]
 41. Codd V, Nelson CP, Albrecht E, Mangino M, Deelen J, Buxton JL, et al. Identification of seven loci affecting mean telomere length and their association with disease. *Nat Genet*. 2013; 45:422–7. 7e1–2. [PubMed: 23535734]
 42. Bojesen SE, Pooley KA, Johnatty SE, Beesley J, Michailidou K, Tyrer JP, et al. Multiple independent variants at the TERT locus are associated with telomere length and risks of breast and ovarian cancer. *Nat Genet*. 2013; 45:371–84. 84e1–2. [PubMed: 23535731]
 43. Savage SA, Gadalla SM, Chanock SJ. The long and short of telomeres and cancer association studies. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2013; 105:448–9. [PubMed: 23468461]
 44. Ducray C, Pommier JP, Martins L, Boussin FD, Sabatier L. Telomere dynamics, end-to-end fusions and telomerase activation during the human fibroblast immortalization process. *Oncogene*. 1999; 18:4211–23. [PubMed: 10435634]
 45. Kreuzer M, Kreienbrock L, Muller KM, Gerken M, Wichmann E. Histologic types of lung carcinoma and age at onset. *Cancer*. 1999; 85:1958–65. [PubMed: 10223236]
 46. Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, Parkin DM. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. *Int J Cancer*. 2010; 127:2893–917. [PubMed: 21351269]
 47. Belani CP, Marts S, Schiller J, Socinski MA. Women and lung cancer: epidemiology, tumor biology, and emerging trends in clinical research. *Lung Cancer*. 2007; 55:15–23. [PubMed: 17084482]
 48. Lee JJ, Nam CE, Cho SH, Park KS, Chung IJ, Kim HJ. Telomere length shortening in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma patients undergoing chemotherapy. *Ann Hematol*. 2003; 82:492–5. [PubMed: 12910376]

49. Schroder CP, Wisman GB, de Jong S, van der Graaf WT, Ruiters MH, Mulder NH, et al. Telomere length in breast cancer patients before and after chemotherapy with or without stem cell transplantation. *Br J Cancer*. 2001; 84:1348–53. [PubMed: 11355946]
50. Pooley KA, Sandhu MS, Tyrer J, Shah M, Driver KE, Luben RN, et al. Telomere length in prospective and retrospective cancer case-control studies. *Cancer Res*. 2010; 70:3170–6. [PubMed: 20395204]
51. Cunningham JM, Johnson RA, Litzelman K, Skinner HG, Seo S, Engelman CD, et al. Telomere length varies by DNA extraction method: implications for epidemiologic research. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2013; 22:2047–54. [PubMed: 24019396]

Table 1

Host Characteristic of cases and controls stratified by histology

| Characteristic | AC, n (%) | | p ^a | SCC, n (%) | | p ^a |
|---|---------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|
| | Cases | Controls | | Cases | Controls | |
| Mean age, years (SD) | 62.49 (10.26) | 62.38 (10.32) | 0.836 | 65.00 (8.69) | 64.82 (8.61) | 0.791 |
| Sex | | | | | | |
| Male | 328 (46.46) | 328 (46.46) | | 210 (65.63) | 210 (65.63) | |
| Female | 378 (53.54) | 378 (53.54) | 1.000 | 110 (34.38) | 110 (34.38) | 1.000 |
| Smoking status | | | | | | |
| Never-smoker | 124 (17.56) | 291 (41.51) | | 7 (2.19) | 123 (38.56) | |
| Ever-smoker | 582 (82.44) | 410 (58.49) | <0.001 | 313 (97.81) | 196 (61.44) | <0.001 |
| Mean number of pack-years (SD) ^b | 46.84 (32.09) | 40.15 (37.54) | 0.004 | 62.02 (35.91) | 42.13 (35.09) | <0.001 |

^a All statistical tests were two-sided.^b Ever-smokers only.

AC- adenocarcinoma; SCC- squamous cell carcinoma.

Significant *P* values in bold font.

Table 2
Effect of covariates on telomere length by case-control status stratified by histology

| Covariate | AC | | | | | | SCC | | | | | |
|---|-------|------------------|-----------------------|----------|------------------|-----------------------|-------|------------------|-----------------------|----------|------------------|-----------------------|
| | Cases | | | Controls | | | Cases | | | Controls | | |
| | N | Mean (\pm SD) | <i>P</i> ^a | N | Mean (\pm SD) | <i>P</i> ^a | N | Mean (\pm SD) | <i>P</i> ^a | N | Mean (\pm SD) | <i>P</i> ^a |
| Sex | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 328 | 1.16 \pm 0.35 | | 328 | 1.12 \pm 0.36 | 0.210 | 210 | 1.07 \pm 0.46 | | 210 | 1.13 \pm 0.33 | 0.006 |
| Female | 378 | 1.28 \pm 0.40 | | 378 | 1.16 \pm 0.39 | <0.001 | 110 | 1.16 \pm 0.40 | | 110 | 1.14 \pm 0.34 | 0.793 |
| <i>P</i> ^a | | <0.001 | | | 0.150 | | | 0.083 | | | 0.677 | |
| Age, years | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <60 | 257 | 1.35 \pm 0.39 | | 259 | 1.18 \pm 0.39 | <0.001 | 82 | 1.23 \pm 0.66 | | 84 | 1.16 \pm 0.30 | 0.725 |
| 60 | 449 | 1.15 \pm 0.36 | | 447 | 1.11 \pm 0.36 | 0.129 | 238 | 1.06 \pm 0.33 | | 236 | 1.12 \pm 0.34 | 0.010 |
| <i>P</i> ^a | | <0.001 | | | 0.011 | | | 0.002 | | | 0.358 | |
| Smoking status | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Never-smoker | 124 | 1.35 \pm 0.42 | | 291 | 1.15 \pm 0.35 | <0.001 | 7 | 1.35 \pm 0.45 | | 123 | 1.19 \pm 0.31 | 0.263 |
| Ever-smoker | 582 | 1.20 \pm 0.37 | | 410 | 1.13 \pm 0.39 | 0.006 | 313 | 1.10 \pm 0.44 | | 196 | 1.10 \pm 0.34 | 0.280 |
| <i>P</i> ^a | | <0.001 | | | 0.401 | | | 0.143 | | | 0.017 | |
| Cumulative smoking, pack-years ^b | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <30 | 192 | 1.26 \pm 0.40 | | 159 | 1.12 \pm 0.39 | 0.004 | 41 | 1.14 \pm 0.32 | | 62 | 1.06 \pm 0.30 | 0.249 |
| 30 | 386 | 1.17 \pm 0.36 | | 185 | 1.13 \pm 0.42 | 0.300 | 270 | 1.09 \pm 0.46 | | 84 | 1.10 \pm 0.39 | 0.405 |
| <i>P</i> ^a | | 0.007 | | | 0.901 | | | 0.532 | | | 0.503 | |

^aWilcoxon ranksum test.

^bEver-smokers only.

AC- adenocarcinoma; SCC- squamous cell carcinoma.

Significant *P* values in bold font.

Table 3

Association between RTL and lung cancer risk stratified according to histology

| RTL | AC | | | | SCC | | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| | N (%) | | aOR (95% CI) ^a | P | N (%) | | aOR (95% CI) ^a | P |
| | Cases | Controls | | | Cases | Controls | | |
| Overall | | | | | | | | |
| Short | 299 (45.10) | 364 (54.90) | 1 | | 195 (55.08) | 159 (44.92) | 1 | |
| Long | 407 (54.34) | 342 (45.66) | 1.56 (1.23–1.98) | <0.001 | 125 (43.71) | 161 (56.29) | 0.66 (0.42–1.03) | 0.068 |
| Male | | | | | | | | |
| Short | 158 (47.59) | 174 (52.41) | 1 | | 138 (56.33) | 107 (43.67) | 1 | |
| Long | 170 (52.47) | 154 (47.53) | 1.25 (0.85–1.84) | 0.251 | 72 (41.14) | 103 (58.86) | 0.47 (0.27–0.85) | 0.012 |
| Female | | | | | | | | |
| Short | 141 (42.60) | 190 (57.40) | 1 | | 57 (52.29) | 52 (47.71) | 1 | |
| Long | 237 (55.76) | 188 (44.24) | 1.83 (1.34–2.50) | <0.001 | 53 (47.75) | 58 (52.25) | 1.18 (0.56–2.51) | 0.661 |
| Age <60 years | | | | | | | | |
| Short | 77 (39.49) | 118 (60.51) | 1 | | 41 (53.25) | 36 (46.75) | 1 | |
| Long | 180 (56.07) | 141 (43.93) | 2.01 (1.34–3.01) | <0.001 | 41 (46.07) | 48 (53.93) | 0.92 (0.30–2.84) | 0.890 |
| Age 60 years | | | | | | | | |
| Short | 222 (47.44) | 246 (52.56) | 1 | | 154 (55.60) | 123 (44.40) | 1 | |
| Long | 227 (53.04) | 201 (46.96) | 1.30 (0.96–1.76) | 0.091 | 84 (42.64) | 113 (57.36) | 0.64 (0.42–0.97) | 0.083 |
| Never-smokers | | | | | | | | |
| Short | 36 (20.00) | 144 (80.00) | 1 | | 2 (3.77) | 51 (96.23) | 1 | |
| Long | 88 (37.45) | 147 (62.55) | 1.48 (0.68–3.24) | 0.327 | 5 (6.49) | 72 (93.51) | 3.27 (0.29–35.98) | 0.332 |
| Ever-smokers | | | | | | | | |
| Short | 263 (54.56) | 219 (45.44) | 1 | | 193 (64.33) | 107 (35.67) | 1 | |
| Long | 319 (62.55) | 191 (37.45) | 1.37 (0.99–1.91) | 0.061 | 120 (57.42) | 89 (42.58) | 0.63 (0.40–1.02) | 0.059 |
| Cumulative smoking, pack-years <30 ^b | | | | | | | | |
| Short | 79 (48.17) | 85 (51.83) | 1 | | 20 (36.36) | 35 (63.64) | 1 | |
| Long | 113 (60.43) | 74 (39.57) | 3.50 (1.18–10.39) | 0.024 | 21 (43.75) | 27 (56.25) | NA | NA |
| Cumulative smoking, pack-years ≥30 ^b | | | | | | | | |
| Short | 182 (65.00) | 98 (35.00) | 1 | | 171 (78.44) | 47 (21.56) | 1 | |

| RTL | AC | | | SCC | | | | |
|------|-------------|------------|---------------------------|-------|------------|------------|---------------------------|-------|
| | Cases | Controls | aOR (95% CI) ^a | P | Cases | Controls | aOR (95% CI) ^a | P |
| Long | 204 (70.10) | 87 (29.90) | 0.96 (0.51–1.82) | 0.908 | 99 (72.79) | 37 (27.21) | 0.75 (0.35–1.61) | 0.459 |

^a Adjusted by age, sex, and smoking status.

^b Ever-smokers only, adjusted by age and sex.

RTL- relative telomere length categorized by median value in overall controls as cut-off point: Short- <1.14; Long- 1.14.

AC- adenocarcinoma; SCC- squamous cell carcinoma. NA- not able to estimate by conditional logistic regression analyses.

Significant *P* values in bold font.

Table 4

Association between RTL quartiles and lung cancer risk in AC patients and controls stratified according to selected characteristics

| RTL | N (%) | | aOR (95% CI) ^a | P |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| | Cases | Controls | | |
| Overall | | | | |
| 1st | 140 (44.30) | 176 (55.70) | 1 | |
| 2nd | 159 (45.82) | 188 (54.18) | 1.12 (0.79–1.58) | 0.519 |
| 3rd | 179 (51.44) | 169 (48.56) | 1.44 (1.02–2.04) | 0.038 |
| 4th | 228 (56.86) | 173 (43.14) | 1.85 (1.33–2.57) | <0.001 |
| P for trend ^b | | | <0.001 | |
| Male | | | | |
| 1st | 85 (49.42) | 87 (50.58) | 1 | |
| 2nd | 73 (45.63) | 87 (54.37) | 0.98 (0.59–1.60) | 0.922 |
| 3rd | 80 (50.96) | 77 (49.04) | 1.06 (0.63–1.79) | 0.820 |
| 4th | 90 (53.89) | 77 (46.11) | 1.40 (0.85–2.31) | 0.179 |
| P for trend ^b | | | 0.177 | |
| Female | | | | |
| 1st | 55 (38.19) | 89 (61.81) | 1 | |
| 2nd | 86 (45.99) | 101 (54.01) | 1.37 (0.83–2.25) | 0.210 |
| 3rd | 99 (51.83) | 92 (48.17) | 1.89 (1.16–3.06) | 0.009 |
| 4th | 138 (58.97) | 96 (41.03) | 2.46 (1.55–3.89) | <0.001 |
| P for trend ^b | | | <0.001 | |
| Age <60 years | | | | |
| 1st | 26 (33.33) | 52 (66.67) | 1 | |
| 2nd | 51 (43.59) | 66 (56.41) | 1.38 (0.69–2.75) | 0.356 |
| 3rd | 65 (50.78) | 63 (49.22) | 2.03 (1.03–3.98) | 0.039 |
| 4th | 115 (59.59) | 78 (40.41) | 2.71 (1.47–4.99) | <0.001 |
| P for trend ^b | | | <0.001 | |
| Age ≥60 years | | | | |
| 1st | 114 (47.90) | 124 (52.10) | 1 | |
| 2nd | 108 (46.96) | 122 (53.04) | 1.15 (0.76–1.73) | 0.507 |
| 3rd | 114 (51.82) | 106 (48.18) | 1.23 (0.81–1.88) | 0.333 |
| 4th | 113 (54.33) | 95 (45.67) | 1.55 (1.02–2.33) | 0.038 |
| P for trend ^b | | | 0.040 | |
| Never-smokers | | | | |
| 1st | 13 (15.66) | 70 (84.34) | 1 | |
| 2nd | 23 (23.71) | 74 (76.29) | 0.79 (0.19–3.29) | 0.745 |
| 3rd | 33 (32.04) | 70 (67.96) | 1.18 (0.38–3.50) | 0.776 |
| 4th | 55 (41.67) | 77 (58.33) | 1.55 (0.54–4.45) | 0.410 |
| P for trend ^b | | | 0.324 | |

| RTL | N (%) | | aOR (95% CI) ^a | P |
|---|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------|
| | Cases | Controls | | |
| Ever-smokers | | | | |
| 1st | 127 (54.51) | 106 (45.49) | 1 | |
| 2nd | 136 (54.62) | 113 (45.38) | 0.81 (0.50–1.30) | 0.376 |
| 3rd | 146 (60.33) | 96 (39.67) | 1.11 (0.69–1.77) | 0.665 |
| 4th | 173 (64.55) | 95 (35.45) | 1.35 (0.86–2.11) | 0.188 |
| <i>P</i> for trend ^b | | | 0.089 | |
| Cumulative smoking, pack-years <30 ^c | | | | |
| 1st | 34 (44.16) | 43 (55.84) | 1 | |
| 2nd | 45 (51.72) | 42 (48.28) | 0.46 (0.10–2.10) | 0.314 |
| 3rd | 47 (58.02) | 34 (41.98) | 2.46 (0.58–10.49) | 0.222 |
| 4th | 66 (62.26) | 40 (37.74) | 2.46 (0.63–9.63) | 0.195 |
| <i>P</i> for trend ^b | | | 0.072 | |
| Cumulative smoking, pack-years ≥30 ^c | | | | |
| 1st | 92 (66.19) | 47 (33.81) | 1 | |
| 2nd | 90 (63.83) | 51 (36.17) | 0.83 (0.37–1.83) | 0.637 |
| 3rd | 99 (69.23) | 44 (30.77) | 0.91 (0.38–2.14) | 0.828 |
| 4th | 105 (70.95) | 43 (29.05) | 0.85 (0.37–1.95) | 0.697 |
| <i>P</i> for trend ^b | | | 0.743 | |

^a Adjusted by age, gender and smoking status.

^b *P* for trend for the quartile values of the telomere length.

^c Ever-smokers only, adjusted by age and sex.

RTL- relative telomere length categorized by quartile values in overall controls: 1st- 0.93; 2nd- 0.94–1.14; 3rd- 1.15–1.33; 4th- >1.33.

Significant *P* values in bold font.

Table 5

Association between RTL quartiles and lung cancer risk in SCC patients stratified according to selected characteristics

| RTL | N (%) | | aOR (95% CI) ^a | P |
|--------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| | Cases | Controls | | |
| Overall | | | | |
| 1st | 115 (58.38) | 82 (41.62) | 1 | |
| 2nd | 80 (50.96) | 77 (49.04) | 0.70 (0.39–1.25) | 0.230 |
| 3rd | 61 (42.66) | 82 (57.34) | 0.47 (0.25–0.90) | 0.023 |
| 4th | 64 (44.76) | 79 (55.24) | 0.66 (0.35–1.24) | 0.197 |
| P for trend ^b | | | 0.078 | |
| Male | | | | |
| 1st | 76 (57.58) | 56 (42.42) | 1 | |
| 2nd | 62 (54.87) | 51 (45.13) | 0.83 (0.40–1.71) | 0.615 |
| 3rd | 40 (42.11) | 55 (57.89) | 0.46 (0.21–1.02) | 0.057 |
| 4th | 32 (40.00) | 48 (60.00) | 0.40 (0.17–0.96) | 0.041 |
| P for trend ^b | | | 0.012 | |
| Female | | | | |
| 1st | 39 (60.00) | 26 (40.00) | 1 | |
| 2nd | 18 (40.91) | 26 (59.09) | 0.33 (0.10–1.05) | 0.061 |
| 3rd | 21 (43.75) | 27 (56.25) | 0.45 (0.14–1.50) | 0.195 |
| 4th | 32 (50.79) | 31 (49.21) | 1.12 (0.42–2.96) | 0.833 |
| P for trend ^b | | | 0.794 | |
| Age <60 years | | | | |
| 1st | 21 (55.26) | 17 (44.74) | 1 | |
| 2nd | 20 (51.28) | 19 (48.72) | 0.75 (0.19–3.02) | 0.686 |
| 3rd | 20 (44.44) | 25 (55.56) | 0.43 (0.10–1.82) | 0.255 |
| 4th | 21 (47.73) | 23 (52.27) | 1.81 (0.44–7.46) | 0.409 |
| P for trend ^b | | | 0.615 | |
| Age ≥60 years | | | | |
| 1st | 94 (59.12) | 65 (40.88) | 1 | |
| 2nd | 60 (50.85) | 58 (49.15) | 0.69 (0.36–1.35) | 0.280 |
| 3rd | 41 (41.84) | 57 (58.16) | 0.57 (0.27–1.21) | 0.143 |
| 4th | 43 (43.43) | 56 (56.57) | 0.49 (0.24–1.03) | 0.061 |
| P for trend ^b | | | 0.045 | |
| Never-smokers | | | | |
| 1st | 2 (8.00) | 23 (92.00) | 1 | |
| 2nd | 0 (0.00) | 28 (100) | NA | NA |
| 3rd | 1 (2.78) | 35 (97.22) | NA | NA |
| 4th | 4 (9.76) | 37 (90.24) | NA | NA |
| P for trend ^b | | | NA | |

| RTL | N (%) | | aOR (95% CI) ^a | P |
|--|-------------|------------|---------------------------|-------|
| | Cases | Controls | | |
| Ever-smokers | | | | |
| 1st | 113 (66.08) | 58 (33.92) | 1 | |
| 2nd | 80 (62.02) | 49 (37.98) | 0.73 (0.41–1.30) | 0.287 |
| 3rd | 60 (56.07) | 47 (43.93) | 0.47 (0.25–0.88) | 0.020 |
| 4th | 60 (58.82) | 42 (41.18) | 0.64 (0.34–1.20) | 0.161 |
| <i>P</i> for trend ^b | | | 0.061 | |
| Cumulative smoking, pack-years <30 ^c | | | | |
| 1st | 13 (37.14) | 22 (62.86) | 1 | |
| 2nd | 7 (35.00) | 13 (65.00) | NA | NA |
| 3rd | 9 (34.62) | 17 (65.38) | NA | NA |
| 4th | 12 (54.55) | 10 (45.45) | NA | NA |
| <i>P</i> for trend ^b | | | NA | |
| Cumulative smoking, pack-years ≥ 30 ^c | | | | |
| 1st | 99 (79.20) | 26 (20.80) | 1 | |
| 2nd | 72 (77.42) | 21 (22.58) | 0.53 (0.18–1.62) | 0.268 |
| 3rd | 51 (76.12) | 16 (23.88) | 0.76 (0.25–2.33) | 0.628 |
| 4th | 48 (69.57) | 21 (30.43) | 0.41 (0.13–1.31) | 0.131 |
| <i>P</i> for trend ^b | | | 0.211 | |

^a Adjusted age, sex, and smoking status.

^b *P* for trend for the quartile values of the telomere length

^c Ever-smokers only; NA- Not available

NA-not able to estimate by conditional logistic regression analyses.

RTL- relative telomere length categorized by quartile values in overall controls as cut off points: 1st- 0.93; 2nd- 0.94–1.14; 3rd- 1.15–1.33; 4th- >1.33

Significant *P* values in bold font.