A conserved sequence motif within the exceptionally diverse telomeric sequences of budding yeasts

(Candida/Kluyveromyces lacis/telomeric repeat variants)

MICHAEL J. MCEACHERN^{*} AND ELIZABETH H. BLACKBURN^{*†‡}

*Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Box 0414, and tDepartment of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of California, San Francisco, CA ⁹⁴¹⁴³

Contributed by Elizabeth H. Blackburn, December 29, 1993

ABSTRACT Telomeric DNA sequences have generally been found to be remarkably conserved in evolution, typically consisting of repeated, very short sequence units containing clusters of G residues. Recently however the telomeric DNA of the asexual yeast Candida albicans was shown to consist of much longer repeat units. Here we report the identification of seven additional telomeric sequences from sexual and asexual budding yeast species. The telomeric repeat units from this group of relatively closely related species show more phylogenetic diversity in length (8-25 bp), sequence, and composition than has been seen previously throughout a wide phylogenetic range of other eukaryotes. We also show that certain strains of the asexual diploid species Candida tropicalis have two forms of telomeric repeats, which appear to differ by a single base pair. Despite their great diversity, the telomeric repeat units of C. albicans, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, and all of the species we have examined in this report share a conserved \approx 6-bp motif of T and G residues resembling more typical telomeric sequences.

Telomeres are the DNA and protein structures at the termini of eukaryotic chromosomes (1-3). They function to protect the chromosome ends from sequence loss that would otherwise gradually occur from the failure of DNA polymerases to completely replicate ends of DNA molecules (1-3). They also appear to function as a means by which cells can distinguish between chromosome ends and double-stranded DNA breaks, the latter of which can lead to blockage of the cell cycle and cell death (4, 5). The DNA sequences of telomeres have been found to be highly similar between even distantly related eukaryotes, typically consisting of tandem arrays of 5- to 8-bp repeating units characterized by clusters of G residues on the strand running ⁵' to ³' toward the chromosomal end (1-3). In ciliated protozoans, slime molds, and the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, the terminal part of this G-rich strand exists as a single-stranded overhang in vivo (6-9). In vitro, the G-rich strand of telomeric sequences has been shown to be able to form unusual intramolecular and intermolecular structures stabilized by G-G base pairing (10-12). It has recently been shown in vitro that a subunit of an Oxytricha telomere end binding protein is capable of stimulating the appearance of unusual structures at salt levels well below those normally required for their formation (13). Whether any such structures involving non-Watson-Crick base pairing are involved in telomere function is unclear at present.

In certain ciliates and vertebrate cells it has been demonstrated that telomeric DNA repeats can be synthesized de novo onto DNA ends by ^a specialized ribonucleoprotein reverse transcriptase, telomerase (14-19). The telomerase enzymes of the ciliates Tetrahymena thermophila and Euplotes crassus contain identified RNA molecules, part of

which serve as a template for the synthesis of the speciesspecific telomeric repeats (17, 20). Thus, mutations in the template region of the T. thermophila telomerase RNA lead to the production of terminal telomeric repeats that contain the corresponding mutation (21, 22). Such incorporation of sequence changes in telomeric repeats can in turn lead to severe effects on cellular and nuclear morphology and eventual death of the cell culture (21, 23).

Recently, the telomeres of the opportunistic pathogenic yeast Candida albicans were shown to consist of tandem copies of 23-bp repeats that lack any appreciable strand composition bias (24). This atypical telomeric repeat raised the questions of whether the C. albicans telomeres are synthesized by a telomerase and how widespread is the occurrence of such long telomeric repeats. Here we report that a number of other yeast species have telomeres composed of relatively long telomeric repeats and that while they are diverse in sequence, each contains ^a T+G motif reminiscent of more typical telomeric repeats.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains. The yeast strains used in this study that have designations beginning with "B-" are National Institutes of Health strains generously provided by B. Wickes. The Candida tropicalis strain 1739-82 was provided by I. Polacheck (The Hebrew University-Hadassah Medical Center, Jerusalem). The Candida glabrata strain used in this work is American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) 34138.

Blotting and Hybridization. DNA was blotted from agarose gels onto Nytran membranes (Schleicher & Schuell) according to procedures recommended by the manufacturer. Hybridizations were carried out according to the procedure of Church and Gilbert (25) in Na₂HPO₄ and 7% (wt/vol) SDS. Washes were carried out at the same temperature as the hybridization, in solutions containing 2% SDS and Na₂HPO₄, at the Na+ concentrations given below. The hybridization probe used in Fig. ¹ was ^a 32P-labeled DNA fragment containing the telomeric repeats cloned from C. albicans strain WO-1 (24) prepared using a Multiprime kit (Amersham). The fragment was generated from PCR of a C. albicans telomeric clone and contained multiple tandem copies of C. albicans telomeric repeats and ≤ 10 bp on either end from the vector or from subtelomeric DNA. Hybridization was carried out at 55 \degree C, with washes using 200 mM Na⁺. For the Kluyveromyces lactis BAL-31 digest shown in Fig. 2, probing was done with a ⁵' 32P-end-labeled 25-base oligonucleotide identical in sequence to the K. lactis telomeric repeat shown in Fig. 3. Hybridization and washes were carried out at 49° C, with washes using 200 mM Na⁺. For *Candida* guillermondii, probing was done with 32P-labeled pCgui7, a pBluescript vector (Stratagene) carrying a 1.5- to 2-kb telo-

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Abbreviation: ATCC, American Type Culture Collection. tTo whom reprint requests should be addressed.

meric clone from C. guillermondii. Hybridization and washes (in 200 mM Na^+) were carried out at 54 \textdegree C. Sequences of the two oligonucleotides used in the hybridizations of Fig. 4 are ACGGATGTCACG (AC probe) and GTGTAAGGATG (AA probe) (the dimorphic base is underlined). Hybridization with the $5'$ ³²P-end-labeled AC probe was at 47°C, and hybridization with the AA probe was at 24°C. Washes for both were in 2% SDS with ⁵⁰⁰ mM Na+.

Cloning of Telomeres. Telomere-enriched libraries were constructed from genomic DNA by methods used previously for cloning C. albicans telomeres (24). Uncut yeast genomic DNA was ligated to ^a blunt-ended linearized plasmid vector, and then this ligated mix was digested with a restriction enzyme that cleaves both within the polylinker of the vector and within a few kilobases of at least some of the putative telomeric ends of the species in question. As with the previous cloning of C. albicans telomeres (24), no enzymatic pretreatment was done to produce blunt ends of the telomeres in the genomic DNA prior to the initial ligations. Plasmids were than recircularized with T4 DNA ligase and then transformed into Escherichia coli cells prior to screening for putative telomere clones by colony hybridization. The libraries from Candida maltosa, Candida pseudotropicalis, two strains of C. tropicalis, and K. lactis (ATCC 32143), all species whose genomic DNA showed multiple bands that cross-hybridized to the C. albicans telomeric repeat probe (see Fig. 1), were screened with this probe. A cloned S. cerevisiae telomere probe [repeat unit $TG_{2-3}(GT)_{1-6}$; refs. 26 and 271 was used to screen the telomere-enriched library from C. glabrata, whose genomic DNA cross-hybridized with this, but not with the \overline{C} . albicans, telomeric probe (data not shown). C. guillermondii DNA did not appreciably crosshybridize with either the C. albicans or the S. cerevisiae telomeric probes at the stringencies tested. The telomereenriched library from this species was screened using total genomic C. guillermondii DNA as ^a probe. This procedure can be used to identify all clones containing repetitive DNA sequences, and we reasoned that telomeres should make up a significant fraction of the repetitive sequences found in telomere-enriched libraries. Typically, a few hundred E. coli transformants were obtained for each small library, and up to nine putative telomere clones were obtained from each. Nine repetitive DNA clones were obtained from C. guillermondii, three of which proved to be telomeric.

RESULTS

To determine the relationship of the complex telomeric repeat sequence of C. albicans to the more usual, simple telomeric sequences, genomic DNA from budding yeast species related to both C . albicans and S. cerevisiae (28) was analyzed by Southern blotting, using cloned C. albicans telomeric repeats as the hybridization probe. Under lowstringency hybridization conditions, we detected multiple cross-hybridizing bands in several species (Fig. 1). In some cases, the cross-hybridizing bands were diffuse in appearance, a characteristic feature of telomeric restriction fragments caused by different numbers of telomeric repeats in individual telomeres among a population of cells (2, 24). No hybridization of C. albicans telomeric repeats was detected to DNA from S. cerevisiae, ^a species with short, heterogeneous telomeric repeats of the sequence $TG_{2-3}(TG)_{1-6}$. Use of S. cerevisiae telomeric DNA as ^a hybridization probe to genomic DNA from ^a variety of yeast species produced ^a much greater degree of nonspecific hybridization and, with the exception of the species C. glabrata, generally did not reveal any obvious candidate telomeric fragments (data not shown).

Telomere-enriched libraries were constructed (see Materials and Methods) from genomic DNA from seven budding

FIG. 1. Hybridization of C. albicans telomeric repeats to genomic DNAs of various yeast species. Genomic DNAs of eight yeast species were digested with EcoRJ, electrophoresed in a 0.8% agarose gel, blotted, and probed with a 32P-labeled telomeric fiagment from C. albicans WO-1. DNA size markers, measured in kilobase pairs, are shown at the right. The species and strains used here are indicated above each lane: C. gui., C. guillermondii; S. cer., S. cerevisiae; C. pse., C. pseudotropicalis; K. lac., K. lactis; C. lus., Candida lusitaniae; $C.$ tro., $\dot{C}.$ tropicalis; $C.$ mal., $C.$ maltosa; and $C.$ alb., $C.$ albicans. Asterisks indicate strains from which telomeres were cloned.

yeast species and strains. Putative telomeric clones from these species were identified by their ability to hybridize to known yeast telomeric repeats [either the 23-bp C. albicans repeat or the $TG_{2-3}(GT)_{1-6}$ repeat of S. cerevisiae (used for C. glabrata)] or by screening for end-linked repetitive DNA sequences without the use of a specific probe (used for C. guillermondii). Sequencing putative telomere fragment inserts from the different yeast species identified clones that contained tandem repeats with unit lengths of 8-25 bp, as discussed below. With a single exception (discussed below), the repeats showed no sequence variations within a species. In every case the repeat array extended to the very end of the insert, directly abutting vector sequences, as has been found previously for cloned telomeres. The repeat-containing clone from each species hybridized back to the same pattern of restriction fragments observed originally with the C. albicans or the S. cerevisiae probe used for library screening. Most of the hybridizing bands were preferentially sensitive to BAL-31 nuclease (Fig. 2 and data not shown), indicating that the bulk of the repeat sequences are present at the ends of chromosomes. The lengths of the tracts of repeats cloned from the different yeast species were typically between 250 and 600 bp, although those from the two C. tropicalis strains were only 130-175 bp. That this species has particularly short telomeres was also supported by their very rapid loss during BAL-31 digestion and by their relatively weak hybridization, even with species-specific telomere probes (data not shown).

Fig. 3 shows an alignment of these newly discovered telomeric repeat unit sequences together with those of C. albicans and S. cerevisiae. Two striking features are appar-

FIG. 2. BAL-31 nuclease sensitivity of genomic copies of the tandem repeats in K . lactis ATCC 32143 (A) and C . guillermondii B-3163 (B). Uncut yeast genomic DNAs were incubated with BAL-31 nuclease for increasing periods of time (given in minutes above each lane), digested with EcoRJ, electrophoresed in a 0.8% agarose gel, and blotted onto a Nytran membrane. Hybridizations were carried out using telomenic probes specific for each species. For C. guillermondii, most hybridizing bands were lost by the 1-min time point, and approximately three other bands were shortened but not lost at 3 min. These latter bands presumably are homologous to the particular subtelomeric sequences present in pCgui3. DNA size markers (in kilobase pairs) are indicated at the right of each panel.

ent. First, there is considerable variety with respect to both telomeric repeat unit lengths and sequence complexities, especially when compared to the telomeric repeats known from other eukaryotes. Second, despite these considerable variations between species, all of these yeast species, including S. cerevisiae, share an \approx 6-bp motif (boxed) containing only T and G residues that resembles typical telomeric sequences.

The sequence relationships among the telomeric repeats in Fig. 3 are generally consistent with the phylogenetic rela-

FIG. 3. Sequences of telomeric DNA repeats from several budding yeast species. The orientation with respect to the chromosome end is indicated. The box indicates the positions of base pairs that appear to be most conserved among the various telomeric repeats. The species shown here are C. albicans (C. alb.), C. tropicalis (C. tro.), C. maltosa (C. mal.), C. guillermondii (C. gui.), C. pseudotropicalis (C. pse.), K. lactis (K. lac.), C. glabrata (C. gla.), and S. cerevisiae (S. cer.). Alternate alignments of the G-rich C. glabrata repeat are possible.

tionships of these yeasts deduced from comparison of ribosomal RNA sequences (28). As would be expected, the telomeric repeats of the two strains both considered to be C. tropicalis have nearly identical sequences. Likewise, the 25-bp telomeric repeats of the closely related K . *lactis* and C . pseudotropicalis differ at only one position. The telomeric repeat sequences from C. albicans, C. maltosa, C. pseudotropicalis, C. tropicalis, and K. lactis are 23-25 bp in length, with differences largely or entirely confined to the central part of the repeat. The 16-bp repeat unit from C . glabrata, the species in this study that may be most closely related to S. cerevisiae (28), is very G-rich, which probably contributes to its cross-hybridization to the heterogeneous and shorter S. cerevisiae telomeric repeats. All these budding yeast sequences, including the most common form of the irregular S. cerevisiae repeats, have a perfect or near match to the boxed 6-bp T+G sequence.

In the cloned telomere from C. tropicalis strain B-4414, we found two telomeric repeat sequences that differed at one position in the repeat, as shown in Fig. 3: six of the approximately seven repeat units in the B-4414 telomere were homogeneous (and will be termed the AC repeat), but the remaining repeat (henceforth termed the AA repeat) was identical to the homogeneous telomeric repeats cloned from strain C. tropicalis B-4443 (Fig. 3).

To determine the distribution of the AA and AC variant repeats among the telomeres and strains of C. tropicalis, genomic DNA from several C. tropicalis strains, including B-4414 and B-4443, and a control C. albicans strain was probed with oligonucleotide probes specific for either the AA or the AC repeat (Fig. 4). Only strains B-4414 and 1739-82, and to some extent the C. albicans telomeres, hybridized with the AC repeat-specific oligonucleotide probe (Fig. 4). However, genomic DNA from all of the C. tropicalis strains tested, including B-4443, but not from C. albicans, hybridized well with the oligonucleotide specific for AA repeats (Fig. 4 and data not shown). These results clearly indicate that both B-4414 and 1739-82 contain at least two forms of telomeric repeats, which most likely are variably interspersed in different telomeres, because signal ratios with the two probes differed between individual telomeric fragments in both panels of Fig. 4.

DISCUSSION

The recent demonstration that the telomeres of C. albicans are composed of complex 23-bp repeats (24) raised the possibility that the mechanisms involved in the function or maintenance of C. albicans telomeres might differ markedly from more typical eukaryotic telomeres, which are composed of 5- to 8-bp repeats and are synthesized by telomerase. The results presented here demonstrate that, like C. albicans, several other yeast species contain telomeres composed of relatively long repeat units. The telomeres that we have identified include repeat lengths of 8, 16, 23, and 25 bp. Although the variation in size and sequence of these different telomeric repeats is large, each contains a highly similar \approx 6-bp sequence composed of T and G residues that is reminiscent of more typical telomeres, including the short heterogeneous repeats of S. cerevisiae. Although embedded in an otherwise highly variable telomeric sequence, the conserved T+G motif is in the same orientation as the G-rich strand of other eukaryotes' telomeres.

Superficially, the long telomeric repeat units of C . albicans and other yeasts are similar to the diverse 31- to 53-bp repeats that have been identified at the ends of the linear mitochondrial DNA of six Tetrahymena species (29). However, these mitochondrial terminal repeats lack any identifiable conserved sequence motif. In some Tetrahymena species the telomeric repeats at the left and right sides of the mitochon-

FIG. 4. Two types of telomeric repeats are present in certain C. tropicalis (C. tro.) strains. Approximately equal amounts of genomic DNAs from five representative strains of C. tropicalis, and from C. albicans (C. alb.) WO-1 were digested with Cla I, electrophoresed in an 0.8% agarose gel, blotted, and probed with oligonucleotides specific to either the AC form of C. tropicalis telomeric repeat (Left) or the AA form of repeat (Right). The specificity of the AA probe is indicated by its failure to hybridize with the C. albicans telomeres, despite only one base mismatch and the fact that the C. albicans cells used here have much longer telomeres (and therefore many more telomeric repeats per telomere) than do C. tropicalis strains. The shortness of the C. tropicalis telomeres may explain why they appear to be particularly homogeneous in size, as indicated by the relative sharpness of individual telomeric bands (see also Fig. 1).

drial DNA are markedly different (29). The telomere length distribution also differs between the two ends (30). These two observations are more compatible with the proposal that they are maintained by recombination (29, 30) and not telomerase, since telomerase activity appears to act distributively among all the nuclear telomeres in a given cell (31).

The conservation of a $T+G$ motif in the yeast nuclear telomeric repeats that are otherwise very dissimilar in repeat unit length and sequence suggests that these repeats are likely to share some common feature in their synthesis or function. A possible function of this $T + G$ motif might involve proteins that bind to telomeres. One or more of the three classes of telomere-interacting proteins that have been identifiedtelomerase (18), proteins that bind to the single-stranded overhang at the telomere terminus (32, 33), and proteins that bind to double-stranded telomeric repeats (34) —may be highly conserved in function, thus constraining telomeric repeat sequences.

An alternative explanation for the conservation of the $T+G$ motif may be the formation of unusual base-paired DNA structures involving G.G pairing. Numerous studies have shown that the G-rich strand of typical telomeric sequences is capable of forming unusual structures, including multistranded forms, in vitro (ref. 10; reviewed in ref. 12). There is no conclusive evidence that any of these structures are formed in vivo; however, in vitro, the β -subunit of the Oxytricha telomere end binding protein catalyzes the formation of intermolecular complexes between oligonucleotides consisting of two repeats of Oxytricha or Tetrahymena telomeres (13). Also, a fraction of both the linear rRNA-encoding DNA of Tetrahymena and a linear plasmid DNA isolated from S. cerevisiae is found in a circular form, most likely as a result of interactions between the single-stranded G-rich overhangs of the two ends of the molecule (9, 35). If the ability to form some unusual terminal structure utilizing G-G base pairing is an essential feature of chromosomal telomeres, then it is predicted that telomeres composed of long repeats such as those of C. albicans and the other yeasts described here should also to be able to form such a structure. despite their overall paucity of G residues.

A third possibility is that the conservation of G clusters in telomeric DNA reflects a requirement of the telomeric DNA synthetic process, rather than a requirement of a function of telomeric DNA itself. We note that a mutation of a C residue in telomerase RNA that is copied into a G by the Tetrahy*mena* telomerase causes inability of telomeres to be maintained, leading to telomere loss and senescence (21) perhaps a requirement for one or more C residues for telomerase RNA function leads to the conserved presence of G residues in telomeric DNA.

It is premature to speculate about why the budding yeasts should exhibit such diversity in their telomeric repeats compared with other eukaryotes in general. Chromosome ends of the dipteran insect *Drosophila melanogaster* appear to be maintained by periodic additions of retrotransposons of complex sequence to chromosomal termini (36, 37), further suggesting that the more common, very simple G-rich telomeric repeats, while widely found, are not invariably necessary for telomeric DNA function.

A model of telomere maintenance by telomerase predicts that there will be a telomerase RNA gene that contains a sequence identical to that of a telomeric repeat, which is used as a template in the synthesis of new telomeric repeats. A corollary prediction of the telomerase model is that a species could exhibit two forms of telomeric repeats if it carries two copies of a telomerase RNA gene that differ in the sequence of the template for telomere synthesis, just as has been found experimentally in vivo in Tetrahymena when two different telomerase RNAs are present (22). As described here, certain strains of the diploid species C . *tropicalis* do contain alternate forms of telomeric repeats that appear to differ by a single base substitution. While not excluding alternate mechanisms for telomere maintenance, this observation appears most consistent with the possibility that C. tropicalis encodes two variant telomerase RNA genes.

For must eukaryotes, telomeric repeats are too short to be useful for identifying telomerase RNA genes by nucleic acid hybridization; however, the long telomeric repeats we have found in species such as C. albicans and K. lactis make it possible to probe directly for telomerase RNA gene candidates. BAL-31 nuclease digestion experiments have demonstrated the existence of such telomerase RNA gene candidates in K . lactis (see Fig. 2) and C . albicans and C . glabrata (M.J.M., unpublished results), consistent with the possibility that telomerase is involved in telomere synthesis in these species.

We thank S. Johnson for critical comments on the manuscript. We gratefully acknowledge support for this work by National Institutes of Health Grant GM26259 to E.H.B. and a gift from the Geron Corporation. Support for laboratory facilities was provided by the Lucille P. Markey Charitable Trust.

- Zakian, V. A. (1989) Annu. Rev. Genet. 23, 579-604. $\mathbf{1}$.
- Blackburn, E. H. (1991) Nature (London) 350, 569-573.
- $\overline{3}$. Biessmann, H. & Mason, J. M. (1992) Adv. Genet. 30, $185 - 247.$
- 4. Bennett, C. B., Lewis, A. L., Baldwin, K. K. & Resnick, M. A. (1993) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90, 5613-5617.
- 5. Sandell, L. L. & Zakian, V. A. (1993) Cell 75, 729-739.
- 6. Klobutcher, L. A., Swanton, M. T., Donini, P. & Prescott, D. M. (1981) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78, 3015-3019.
- 7. Henderson, E. R. & Blackburn, E. H. (1989) Mol. Cell. Biol. 9, 345-348.
- 8. Pluta, A. F., Dani, G. M., Spear, B. B. & Zakian, V. A. (1982) Nucleic Acids Res. 10, 8145-8154.
- 9. Wellinger, R. J., Wolf, A. J. & Zakian, V. A. (1993) Cell 72, 51-60.
- 10. Henderson, E., Hardin, C. C., Walk, S. K., Tinoco, I. J. & Blackburn, E. H. (1987) Cell 51, 899-908.
- 11. Cech, T. R. (1988) Nature (London) 332, 777-778.
- 12. Williamson, J. R. (1993) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90, 3124.
- 13. Fang, G. & Cech, T. R. (1993) Cell 74, 875-885.
14. Greider. C. W. & Blackburn. E. H. (1985) Cell 4
-
- 14. Greider, C. W. & Blackburn, E. H. (1985) Cell 43, 405-413.
15. Zahler, A. M. & Prescott, D. M. (1988) Nucleic Acids Res. 16 Zahler, A. M. & Prescott, D. M. (1988) Nucleic Acids Res. 16, 6953-6972.
- 16. Morin, G. B. (1989) Cell 59, 521-529.
- 17. Shippen-Lentz, D. & Blackburn, E. H. (1990) Science 247, 5466-5472.
- 18. Blackburn, E. H. (1992) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 61, 113-129.
- 19. Prowse, K. R., Avilion, A. A. & Greider, C. W. (1993) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90, 1493-1497.
- 20. Greider, C. W. & Blackburn, E. H. (1989) Nature (London) 337, 331-337.
- 21. Yu, G.-L., Bradley, J. D., Attardi, L. D. & Blackburn, E. H. (1990) Nature (London) 344, 126-132.
- 22. Yu, G.-L. & Blackburn, E. H. (1991) Cell 67, 823-832.
- 23. Lee, M. S., Gallagher, R. C., Bradley, J. & Blackburn, E. H. (1993) Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 58, in press.
- 24. McEachern, M. J. & Hicks, J. B. (1993) Mol. Cell. Biol. 13, 551-560.
- 25. Church, G. M. & Gilbert, W. (1984) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81, 1991-1995.
- 26. Shampay, J., Szostak, J. W. & Blackburn, E. H. (1984) Nature (London) 310, 154-157.
- 27. Wang, S. & Zakian, V. A. (1990) Mol. Cell. Biol. 10, 4415- 4419.
- 28. Barns, S. M., Lane, D. J., Sogin, M. L., Bibeau, C. & Weisburg, W. G. (1991) J. Bacteriol. 173, 2250-2255.
- 29. Morin, G. B. & Cech, T. R. (1988) Cell 52, 367-374.
30. Morin, G. B. & Cech, T. R. (1988) Mol. Cell. Biol.
- 30. Morin, G. B. & Cech, T. R. (1988) Mol. Cell. Biol. 8, 4450- 4458.
- 31. Larson, D. D., Spangler, E. A. & Blackburn, E. H. (1987) Cell 50, 477-483.
- 32. Gottschling, D. E. & Zakian, V. A. (1986) Cell 47, 195-205.
33. Price. C. M. & Cech. T. R. (1987) Genes Dev. 1, 783-793.
- 33. Price, C. M. & Cech, T. R. (1987) Genes Dev. 1, 783–793.
34. Longtine, M. S., Wilson, N. M., Petracek, M. E. & Berman
- Longtine, M. S., Wilson, N. M., Petracek, M. E. & Berman, J. (1989) Curr. Genet. 16, 225-239.
- 35. Blackburn, E. H. & Gall, J. G. (1978) J. Mol. Biol. 120, 33-53. 36. Biessmann, H., Valgeirsdottir, K., Lofsky, A., Chin, C., Ginther, B., Levis, R. W. & Pardue, M. L. (1992) Mol. Cell. Biol. 12, 3910-3918.
- 37. Levis, R. W., Ganesan, R., Houtchens, K., Tolar, L. A. & Sheen, F. (1993) Cell 75, 1083-1093.