

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript Ann Surg Oncol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 November 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Ann Surg Oncol. 2014 November ; 21(12): 3780-3786. doi:10.1245/s10434-014-3851-y.

Estrogen Receptor, Progesterone Receptor, and HER2 Status Predict Lymphovascular Invasion and Lymph Node Involvement

Stacy Ugras, MD¹, Michelle Stempel, MPH¹, Sujata Patil, PhD², and Monica Morrow, MD¹

¹Breast Service, Department of Surgery, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY 10065

²Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY 10065

Abstract

Background—The ACOSOG Z0011 trial demonstrated that axillary dissection (ALND) is not necessary for local control or survival in women with T1/2cN0 cancer undergoing breast-conserving therapy. There is concern about applying these results to triple-negative (TN) cancers secondary to their high local-recurrence (LR) rate. We examined the frequency of lymphovascular invasion (LVI) and nodal metastases in TN cancers to determine whether ALND can be safely avoided in this subtype.

Methods—Data were obtained from a database of patients with invasive breast cancer treated at Memorial Sloan Kettering from 1/98–12/10. 11,596 tumors were classifiable into clinical surrogates for molecular subtype by immunohistochemical analysis: hormone receptor (HR)+/HER2+, HR+/HER2-, HR-/HER2+, and TN(HR-/HER2-). Multivariable logistic regression analysis (MVA)was used to determine associations between clinicopathologic variables and subtype.

Results—There were differences in age, tumor size, LVI, grade, and nodal involvement among groups. On MVA controlling for size, grade, and age, ER, PR, and HER2 status were significantly associated with LVI(p<.0001). Relative to TN tumors, HR+/HER2-, HR+/HER2+, and HR-/ HER2+ tumors had higher odds of demonstrating LVI of 1.8(OR,1.8; 95% CI,1.6–2.1), 2.5(2.5;2.0–3.0), and 1.7(1.7;1.4–2.1), respectively. On MVA adjusting for size, grade, LVI, and age, TN tumors had the lowest odds of having any or high-volume nodal involvement (4 nodes, p<.0001).

Conclusions—LVI and nodal metastases were least frequent in TN cancers compared with other subtypes, despite the uniformly worse prognosis and increased LR rate in TN tumors. This suggests TN cancers spread via lymphatics less frequently than other subtypes and ALND may be avoided in TN patients meeting Z0011 eligibility criteria.

Conflict of interest/financial disclosures: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Correspondence to: Monica Morrow, MD, Breast Service, Department of Surgery, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, 300 E. 66th St. New York, NY 10065, (T) 646 888 5350, (F) 646 888 5365, morrowm@mskcc.org.

Keywords

Molecular subtype; triple negative; breast cancer; lymphovascular invasion

Introduction

Gene expression profiling has established that breast cancer comprises a group of biologically distinct diseases.^{1,2} Expression levels of the estrogen and progesterone receptors, together defining hormone receptor (HR) status, and the HER2/*neu* receptor (HER2), characterize clinical surrogates for the molecular subtypes of breast cancer³: HR+/ HER2- (luminal A-like); HR+/HER2+ (luminal B-like); HR-/HER2+ (HER2 tumors); and HR-/HER2- (triple-negative [TN], also referred to as basal-like). Expression of these receptors can be measured by immunohistochemistry (IHC), allowing subtype classification to be widely applied in the clinical setting. This information is used to guide systemic therapy as well as predict response to treatment and prognosis.^{4–8}

Patterns of recurrence and outcome differ among breast cancer molecular subtypes.^{8–10} HER2 tumors, prior to the use of adjuvant trastuzumab, and TN tumors were associated with higher local recurrence (LR) rates⁹ and poorer overall survival (OS) than HR+ tumors.¹⁰ With the increased use of trastuzumab in the treatment of HER2 overexpressing breast cancer (includes the HR+/HER2+ and HR-/HER2+ subtypes), the rates of local failure and prognosis in this group have improved significantly¹¹, while TN breast cancers continue to have a poor prognosis and an increased rate of LR. Given this, there is concern about adopting any treatment strategy with less aggressive local management for patients with TN breast cancers. In particular, there is concern about applying results of the American College of Surgeons Oncology Group (ACOSOG) Z0011 trial, which demonstrated that axillary lymph node dissection (ALND) is not necessary for locoregional control or survival in women with T1/2cN0 cancer undergoing breast-conserving therapy (BCT), since relatively few women with HR- tumors were included in this study and HER2 status was unknown.^{12,13} Here we sought to quantify the frequency of lymphovascular invasion (LVI) and nodal metastases in each breast cancer subtype as approximated by ER, PR, and HER2, and to determine whether TN tumors have a higher risk of LVI and nodal metastases, in case this risk should preclude the application of ACOSOG Z0011 to this subtype.

Methods

Patient Population

A total of 11,449 patients with 11,715 invasive breast cancers were treated at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC) between January 1998 and December 2010. Of these, estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and HER2 status was available in all but 119 tumors, in which the HER2 status was equivocal, leaving 11,596 tumors which were classifiable into surrogates for molecular subtype on the basis of their biomarker profiles. Patients with prior malignancy were included, as long as their breast cancer was a primary breast cancer. In patients who had bilateral breast cancers, each cancer was included as a separate event and its biomarker profile recorded. Patients who were treated with

neoadjuvant systemic therapy were excluded. Data were obtained from a prospectively maintained registered database. This study was approved by the MSKCC institutional review board.

Classification of Groups

Tumors were classified as follows: HR+/HER2- (ER+ or PR+ and HER2-), HR+/HER2+ (ER+ or PR+ and HER2+), HR-/HER2+ (ER- and PR- and HER2+), and TN HR-/HER2- (ER- and PR- and HER2-). ER and PR status was determined by IHC. ER and PR positivity was defined as the presence of staining of 1% of tumor cells. Tumors were considered HER2+ if they scored "3+" by IHC or if they were HER2 amplified (ratio 2.2) on the basis of fluorescence in situ hybridization. Grade was defined as nuclear grade when available. When nuclear grade was not available or reported, histologic grade was used as a surrogate. If the histology was compatible with classic lobular carcinoma, the tumor was assigned "low" grade. Nodal positivity was defined as the presence of any tumor cells in a lymph node and included macrometastases, micrometastases, and isolated tumor cells.

Statistical Analysis

The x² test was used for categorical variables and analysis of variance for continuous variables to compare the distribution of clinicopathologic characteristics among the four subtypes. All percentages and statistical tests were based on available data. Multivariable logistic regression analysis (MVA) was used to examine whether subtype was independently associated with three outcomes of interest: LVI; any nodal involvement; and high-volume nodal involvement. All models were adjusted for tumor size (continuous), grade (high vs. intermediate/low), age (at time of surgery, continuous), and for the two nodal involvement models considered, LVI. TN was the reference group. Patients with missing co-variate data (n=571) were excluded from the MVA. All statistical tests were two sided, and a p-value of 0.05 was considered significant. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS Version 0.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Results

The distribution of subtype in the study population was 74% HR+/HER2-, 8% HR+/HER2+, 5% HR-/HER2+, and 13% TN. The presenting characteristics of the entire population are summarized in Table 1. Patient and tumor characteristics divided by subtype are displayed in Table 2. Among the four subtypes, there were significant differences in age, tumor size, LVI, grade, and nodal involvement (all p<0.0001). Patients with HR+/HER2- tumors presented at an older median age than the other subtypes with a median age of 57.5 (range, 22–96) years. HR-/HER2+ and TN- tumors were larger and more frequently high grade than the HR+ tumors. 25% of HR+/HER2- tumors were high grade compared to 89% and 86% of HR-/HER2+ and TN tumors, respectively. On univariate analysis, HR+/HER2- and TN tumors had lower rates of LVI than the HER2 overexpressing subtypes. HR+/HER2- and TN tumors were also less likely to have 1 lymph node involved and to have high-volume lymph node involvement (4 nodes involved) than HER2 overexpressing tumors.

On MVA, after controlling for tumor size, grade, and age, subtype was independently associated with LVI (p<0.0001) (Table 3). Specifically, patients with the TN subtype had the lowest odds of demonstrating LVI, with patients with the HR+/HER2+ subtype being 2.5 times more likely than those with the TN subtype to have LVI (odds ratio [OR], 2.5; 95% confidence interval [CI], 2.0–3.0). Patients with the HR+/HER2- subtype were 80% more likely (OR, 1.8; 95% CI, 1.6–2.1) and patients with HR-/HER2+ were 70% more likely (OR, 1.7; 95% CI, 1.4–2.1) to have LVI than those with the TN subtype.

On MVA, after controlling for tumor size, grade, LVI, and age, subtype was independently associated with any lymph node involvement and high-volume lymph node involvement (4 lymph nodes involved, p<0.0001) (Table 4). Specifically, patients with the HR-/HER2-subtype had the lowest odds of having 1 positive node and of having high-volume lymph node involvement. HR-/HER2+ tumors were 2.0 times more likely than HR-/HER2- tumors to be associated with any nodal involvement (OR, 2.0; 95% CI, 1.6–2.5) and were 2.3 times more likely than HR-/HER2- tumors to have 4 nodes involved (OR, 2.3; 95% CI, 1.7–3.1).

Discussion

Our study confirms and expands upon our previous work indicating that presenting tumor features vary by breast cancer molecular subtype approximated by ER, PR, and HER2 status.¹⁴ These features include age, tumor size, LVI, grade, and nodal involvement. The previous study by Wiechmann et al included 6,072 breast tumors (the majority of which are included in the current study) and showed that TN tumors had the lowest risk of having any nodal disease and high-volume nodal disease. This observation is consistent with several published series relating these characteristics to molecular subtype. Crabb et al demonstrated in a retrospective analysis of 3,441 early-stage breast cancers that subtype as approximated by ER, PR, and HER2 was predictive of nodal involvement, independent of grade and tumor size.¹⁵ The TN subtype had the lowest odds of having axillary lymph node involvement, with an OR of 0.53 (95% CI, 0.41–0.6; p<0.0001) relative to the HR+/HER2- subtype. The current study, which includes 11,596 breast cancers and expands our group of TN cancers to 1517, represents the largest series classified by subtype and analyzed for features of lymphatic invasion and nodal involvement to the best of our knowledge. An additional finding in the current analysis is that TN tumors also have the lowest rate of LVI of all subtypes. To the best of our knowledge, this has not been previously demonstrated in any large series.

The finding of a low incidence of LVI calls into question the means through which TN tumors express their aggressive phenotype. Several studies link TN tumors to a high risk of LR and poor survival.^{10,16,17} Nguyen et al studied 793 patients with invasive breast cancer and clinically approximated molecular subtype and found that the 5-year cumulative incidence of LR was 7.1% for the TN tumor group and 8.4% for the HR-/HER2+ group compared to 0.8% and 1.5% for the HR+/HER2- and HR+/HER2+ groups, respectively.⁸ Lowery et al quantified the influence of subtype on locoregional recurrence following BCT and mastectomy by performing a meta-analysis of 12,592 patients.⁹ They found HR+ tumors had a lower risk of LR than TN (risk ratio (RR), 0.38; 95% CI, 0.23–0.61) and HER2 tumors (RR, 0.34; 95% CI, 0.26–0.45) following BCT. Following mastectomy, HR+ tumors had a

lower risk of LR than TN (OR, 0.61; 95% CI, 0.46–0.79) and HER2 tumors (OR, 0.69; 95% CI, 0.54–0.89). HER2 tumors had a higher risk of LR than TN tumors following BCT, but there was no difference between HER2 and TN tumors following mastectomy. Adjuvant trastuzumab was not used in the studies included in this analysis, and it is likely that since trastuzumab decreases LR in HER2 overexpressing breast cancer¹¹, TN tumors would be associated with the highest risk of LR if patients treated with more modern regimens were included in the analysis. A retrospective analysis of 2 prospective clinical trials performed by the Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group showed a significant improvement in overall survival in patients with HR+ and HER2- tumors that were treated with TN cancers or HER2 overexpressing cancers prior to the use of trastuzumab.. Smaller reductions in locoregional recurrence after PMRT were also seen in TN and HR-/HER2+ patients compared to those with HR+/HER2- tumors.¹⁸

In contrast to the increased rates of in breast recurrence and postmastectomy chest wall recurrence reported for TN cancers, evidence that rates of nodal recurrence are increased is lacking.. For instance, the ACOSOG Z0011 trial demonstrated that among patients with limited sentinel lymph node (SLN) metastases undergoing BCT, SLN biopsy alone resulted in rates of locoregional recurrence, disease-free survival (DFS), and OS similar to ALND, but with decreased morbidity.^{12,13} This was attributed to the effectiveness of the radiation therapy and systemic therapy (given to over 95% of patients) at reducing locoregional recurrence. Of the patients randomized into ACOSOG Z0011, 83% were ER+. HER2 status was not routinely determined during the time period of that study, raising concerns about the applicability of the results to TN breast cancers. No differences in DFS or OS were noted on the basis of HR status¹⁹, and the number of regional failures was too small to analyze based on HR status.

Dengel et al recently analyzed 287 patients who met ACOSOG Z0011 eligibility criteria and were treated with SLN biopsy alone if metastases were present in <3 sentinel nodes and gross extracapsular extension was not identified intraoperatively.²⁰ 84% of patients met indications for SLN biopsy alone and avoided ALND. The study demonstrated that age, HR status, HER2 status, and grade did not differ between the SLN biopsy-only and ALND groups (p<0.0001). Our study provides further reassurance that application of the ACOSOG Z0011 findings to patients with TN breast cancer is appropriate by demonstrating that they are actually less likely to have a heavy nodal disease burden than patients with other tumor subtypes. This conclusion is further supported by two large studies specifically examining predictors of nodal recurrence in patients undergoing ALND. Grills et al and Yates et al examined predictors of nodal recurrence in multivariate models which included HR status in 1500 and 1065 patients, respectively. In neither study was HR status found to be significant.^{21,22} In aggregate, the literature and the current study do not suggest a propensity for heavy nodal disease burden or an increased risk of isolated nodal recurrence in TN patients, and we routinely perform sentinel lymph node biopsy alone in patients meeting ACOSOG Z0011 eligibility criteria, regardless of HR and HER2 status. Thus, the elevated rates of locoregional recurrence reported for TN cancer likely reflects the excess of in-breast and chest wall recurrences in these patients.

Our study confirms the results of other studies indicating that presence of LVI is associated with an increased risk of nodal involvement (Table 4).^{15,23–27} When LVI was absent, the risk of any nodal involvement was 0.28 (95% CI, 0.26–0.31) compared to when it was present. Additionally, LVI is well documented to be a risk factor for local recurrence after both BCT and mastectomy^{28–30}, raising the possibility that an increased frequency of LVI could be responsible for the higher rates of local recurrence in the breast and chest wall seen with TN breast cancer. However, we found that of all the subtypes, TN cancers were least likely to have LVI.

A question that remains unanswered is the means through which TN breast cancers achieve their higher rate of LR. The findings of our study suggest that high-risk features of the primary tumor are unlikely to be the explanation. An alternative explanation is the lack of targeted therapy. The dramatic effect of targeted therapy on local outcomes is illustrated by the decrease in locoregional recurrence seen in HER2 overexpressing patients with the addition of trastuzumab to chemotherapy after both BCT and mastectomy. Kiess et al reported that rates of locoregional recurrence at 3 years after BCT decreased from 7% to 1% with the addition of trastuzumab treatment³¹ and a similar reduction was seen in patients undergoing mastectomy.³² The benefit of endocrine therapy in reducing local recurrence is well documented^{33,34}, with a 50% reduction in locoregional recurrence after 5 years of tamoxifen compared to placebo, with additional incremental benefit observed with the use of the aromatase inhibitors or switching strategies. The hypothesis that features of the primary tumor are unlikely to be responsible for the increased rates of local recurrence seen in TN breast cancer is further supported by observations that larger surgical procedures do not improve outcomes in TN breast cancer. Pilewskie et al demonstrated that margins >2mm do not decrease local recurrence compared to margins <2mm in size in TN patients undergoing BCT³⁵, and 3 retrospective studies have failed to demonstrate that mastectomy improves local control compared to BCT.^{28,36,37} Strengths of our study include its large size and the performance of ER, PR, and HER2 testing in a single pathology laboratory. However, there are several potential limitations to this study. First, the data used in this study are retrospective, although this is unlikely to influence the ascertainment of nodal metastases. Second, classification of subtype was based on IHC, which only approximates the genotypebased breast cancer subtype, such that there may be some level of misclassification of tumors.^{38,39} However, at this time, IHC surrogates are used for clinical decision making, so this approach provides information relevant to patient care.^{4–6,8}

In summary, our study demonstrates that TN tumors have the lowest risk of any subtype of having LVI or LN metastases, despite the uniformly worse prognosis and increased rate of local recurrence in these tumors. This implies the mode of recurrence of TN tumors is not driven by lymphatic invasion. We believe that among patients with early TN tumors and limited SLN metastatic breast cancer, treatment with BCT and SLNB alone, and not ALND, according to the ACOSOG Z0011 trial results, is adequate, safe, and reduces morbidity.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded in part through NIH/NCI Cancer Center Support Grant P30 CA008748 and supported in part by the Breast Cancer Alliance.

References

- Perou CM, Sorlie T, Eisen MB, et al. Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature. 2000; 406(6797):747–52. [PubMed: 10963602]
- Sorlie T, Perou CM, Tibshirani R, et al. Gene expression patterns of breast carcinomas distinguish tumor subclasses with clinical implications. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001; 98(19):10869–74. [PubMed: 11553815]
- Sorlie T, Tibshirani R, Parker J, et al. Repeated observation of breast tumor subtypes in independent gene expression data sets. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003; 100(14):8418–23. [PubMed: 12829800]
- Alvarenga CA, Paravidino PI, Alvarenga M, et al. Reappraisal of immunohistochemical profiling of special histological types of breast carcinomas: a study of 121 cases of eight different subtypes. J Clin Pathol. 2012; 65(12):1066–71. [PubMed: 22944625]
- Carey LA, Perou CM, Livasy CA, et al. Race, breast cancer subtypes, and survival in the Carolina Breast Cancer Study. Jama. 2006; 295(21):2492–502. [PubMed: 16757721]
- Harvey JM, Clark GM, Osborne CK, et al. Estrogen receptor status by immunohistochemistry is superior to the ligand-binding assay for predicting response to adjuvant endocrine therapy in breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 1999; 17(5):1474–81. [PubMed: 10334533]
- Kraeima J, Siesling S, Vliegen IM, et al. Individual risk profiling for breast cancer recurrence: towards tailored follow-up schemes. Br J Cancer. 2013; 109(4):866–71. [PubMed: 23860534]
- Nguyen PL, Taghian AG, Katz MS, et al. Breast cancer subtype approximated by estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor, and HER-2 is associated with local and distant recurrence after breastconserving therapy. J Clin Oncol. 2008; 26(14):2373–8. [PubMed: 18413639]
- Lowery AJ, Kell MR, Glynn RW, et al. Locoregional recurrence after breast cancer surgery: a systematic review by receptor phenotype. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2012; 133(3):831–41. [PubMed: 22147079]
- Metzger-Filho O, Sun Z, Viale G, et al. Patterns of Recurrence and outcome according to breast cancer subtypes in lymph node-negative disease: results from international breast cancer study group trials VIII and IX. J Clin Oncol. 2013; 31(25):3083–90. [PubMed: 23897954]
- Romond EH, Perez EA, Bryant J, et al. Trastuzumab plus adjuvant chemotherapy for operable HER2-positive breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2005; 353(16):1673–84. [PubMed: 16236738]
- Giuliano AE, Hunt KK, Ballman KV, et al. Axillary dissection vs no axillary dissection in women with invasive breast cancer and sentinel node metastasis: a randomized clinical trial. Jama. 2011; 305(6):569–75. [PubMed: 21304082]
- Giuliano AE, McCall L, Beitsch P, et al. Locoregional recurrence after sentinel lymph node dissection with or without axillary dissection in patients with sentinel lymph node metastases: the American College of Surgeons Oncology Group Z0011 randomized trial. Ann Surg. 2010; 252(3): 426–32. discussion 32–3. [PubMed: 20739842]
- Wiechmann L, Sampson M, Stempel M, et al. Presenting features of breast cancer differ by molecular subtype. Ann Surg Oncol. 2009; 16(10):2705–10. [PubMed: 19593632]
- Crabb SJ, Cheang MC, Leung S, et al. Basal breast cancer molecular subtype predicts for lower incidence of axillary lymph node metastases in primary breast cancer. Clin Breast Cancer. 2008; 8(3):249–56. [PubMed: 18650155]
- 16. Dent R, Trudeau M, Pritchard KI, et al. Triple-negative breast cancer: clinical features and patterns of recurrence. Clin Cancer Res. 2007; 13(15 Pt 1):4429–34. [PubMed: 17671126]
- 17. Voduc KD, Cheang MC, Tyldesley S, et al. Breast cancer subtypes and the risk of local and regional relapse. J Clin Oncol. 2010; 28(10):1684–91. [PubMed: 20194857]
- Kyndi M, Sorensen FB, Knudsen H, et al. Estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor, HER-2, and response to postmastectomy radiotherapy in high-risk breast cancer: the Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group. J Clin Oncol. 2008; 26(9):1419–26. [PubMed: 18285604]
- Giuliano AE, Hawes D, Ballman KV, et al. Association of occult metastases in sentinel lymph nodes and bone marrow with survival among women with early-stage invasive breast cancer. Jama. 2011; 306(4):385–93. [PubMed: 21791687]

- Dengel LT, Van Zee KJ, King TA, et al. Axillary dissection can be avoided in the majority of clinically node-negative patients undergoing breast-conserving therapy. Ann Surg Oncol. 2014; 21(1):22–7. [PubMed: 23975314]
- 21. Grills IS, Kestin LL, Goldstein N, et al. Risk factors for regional nodal failure after breastconserving therapy: regional nodal irradiation reduces rate of axillary failure in patients with four or more positive lymph nodes. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2003; 56(3):658–70. [PubMed: 12788171]
- 22. Yates L, Kirby A, Crichton S, et al. Risk factors for regional nodal relapse in breast cancer patients with one to three positive axillary nodes. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2012; 82(5):2093–103. [PubMed: 21530101]
- 23. Barth A, Craig PH, Silverstein MJ. Predictors of axillary lymph node metastases in patients with T1 breast carcinoma. Cancer. 1997; 79(10):1918–22. [PubMed: 9149018]
- Gajdos C, Tartter PI, Bleiweiss IJ. Lymphatic invasion, tumor size, and age are independent predictors of axillary lymph node metastases in women with T1 breast cancers. Ann Surg. 1999; 230(5):692–6. [PubMed: 10561094]
- 25. Greer LT, Rosman M, Charles Mylander W, et al. A Prediction Model for the Presence of Axillary Lymph Node Involvement in Women with Invasive Breast Cancer: A Focus on Older Women. Breast J. 2014
- Olivotto IA, Jackson JS, Mates D, et al. Prediction of axillary lymph node involvement of women with invasive breast carcinoma: a multivariate analysis. Cancer. 1998; 83(5):948–55. [PubMed: 9731899]
- 27. Viale G, Zurrida S, Maiorano E, et al. Predicting the status of axillary sentinel lymph nodes in 4351 patients with invasive breast carcinoma treated in a single institution. Cancer. 2005; 103(3): 492–500. [PubMed: 15612028]
- Abdulkarim BS, Cuartero J, Hanson J, et al. Increased risk of locoregional recurrence for women with T1-2N0 triple-negative breast cancer treated with modified radical mastectomy without adjuvant radiation therapy compared with breast-conserving therapy. J Clin Oncol. 2011; 29(21): 2852–8. [PubMed: 21670451]
- 29. Kim RG, Kim EK, Kim HA, et al. Prognostic significance of molecular subtype in T1N0M0 breast cancer: Korean experience. Eur J Surg Oncol. 2011; 37(7):629–34. [PubMed: 21596514]
- 30. Lin PH, Yeh MH, Liu LC, et al. Clinical and pathologic risk factors of tumor recurrence in patients with node-negative early breast cancer after mastectomy. J Surg Oncol. 2013; 108(6):352–7. [PubMed: 23996583]
- 31. Kiess AP, McArthur HL, Mahoney K, et al. Adjuvant trastuzumab reduces locoregional recurrence in women who receive breast-conservation therapy for lymph node-negative, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive breast cancer. Cancer. 2012; 118(8):1982–8. [PubMed: 21887681]
- 32. Lanning RM, Riaz N, Morrow M, et al. Effect of adjuvant trastuzumab on locoregional recurrence in human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive breast cancer treated with post-mastectomy radiation therapy. J Clin Oncol. 2013; 31(suppl 26):abstr 61.
- 33. Clarke M, Collins R, Darby S, et al. Effects of radiotherapy and of differences in the extent of surgery for early breast cancer on local recurrence and 15-year survival: an overview of the randomised trials. Lancet. 2005; 366(9503):2087–106. [PubMed: 16360786]
- Mannino M, Yarnold JR. Local relapse rates are falling after breast conserving surgery and systemic therapy for early breast cancer: can radiotherapy ever be safely withheld? Radiother Oncol. 2009; 90(1):14–22. [PubMed: 18502528]
- 35. Pilewskie M, Olcese C, Eaton A, et al. Perioperative Breast MRI Is Not Associated with Lower Locoregional Recurrence Rates in DCIS Patients Treated With or Without Radiation. Ann Surg Oncol. 2014
- Adkins FC, Gonzalez-Angulo AM, Lei X, et al. Triple-negative breast cancer is not a contraindication for breast conservation. Ann Surg Oncol. 2011; 18(11):3164–73. [PubMed: 21947595]

- Zumsteg ZS, Morrow M, Arnold B, et al. Breast-conserving therapy achieves locoregional outcomes comparable to mastectomy in women with T1–2N0 triple-negative breast cancer. Ann Surg Oncol. 2013; 20(11):3469–76. [PubMed: 23686101]
- McCarty KS Jr, Miller LS, Cox EB, et al. Estrogen receptor analyses. Correlation of biochemical and immunohistochemical methods using monoclonal antireceptor antibodies. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 1985; 109(8):716–21. [PubMed: 3893381]
- Taylor CR, Levenson RM. Quantification of immunohistochemistry--issues concerning methods, utility and semiquantitative assessment II. Histopathology. 2006; 49(4):411–24. [PubMed: 16978205]

Synopsis

Triple-negative breast cancers have higher locoregional recurrence rates than other subtypes. We found they are the least likely subtype to have lymphovascular invasion and nodal metastases, suggesting axillary dissection may be avoided in cases meeting ACOSOG Z0011 eligibility criteria.

TABLE 1

Population characteristics

| Characteristic | <u>Value (%)</u> |
|------------------------------|------------------|
| Tumor stage, n (%) | |
| ТО | 16 (0%) |
| T1 | 4379 (74%) |
| T2 | 1339 (23%) |
| Т3 | 91 (2%) |
| TX | 35 (1%) |
| No. of positive nodes, n (%) | |
| 0 | 6737 (58%) |
| 1–3 | 3592 (31%) |
| 4 | 1267 (11%) |
| Nuclear grade, n (%) | |
| High | 3889 (38%) |
| Intermediate | 4717 (46%) |
| Low | 1598 (16%) |
| Histologic grade, n (%) | |
| High | 4411 (39%) |
| Intermediate | 5117 (46%) |
| Low | 1691 (15%) |
| LVI, n (%) | |
| No | 8104 (70%) |
| Yes | 3492 (30%) |
| Age, years | |
| 45 | 2270 (20%) |
| 45-65 | 6042 (52%) |
| 65 and up | 3284 (28%) |

LVI, lymphovascular invasion

TABLE 2

Patient and tumor characteristics by subtype*

| Clinicopathologic variable | HR+/HER2- | HR+/HER2+ | HR-/HER2+ | HR-/HER2- | <u>p-value</u> |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| Ν | 8526 | 928 | 625 | 1517 | <.0001 |
| | 74% | 8% | 5% | 13% | |
| Age | | | | | |
| No. missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Median (range) | 57.5 (22–96) | 51.5 (21–89) | 53.3 (25–90) | 54.9 (19–95) | <.0001 |
| Tumor size | | | | | |
| No. missing | 142 | 18 | 17 | 40 | |
| Median (cm) | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | <.0001 |
| LVI | | | | | |
| No. missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| % Yes | 28% | 43% | 40% | 32% | <.0001 |
| Grade ** | | | | | |
| No. missing | 253 | 38 | 41 | 45 | |
| % High grade | 25% | 63% | 89% | 86% | <.0001 |
| Nodal involvement | | | | | |
| No. missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| % 1 positive LN | 40% | 49% | 53% | 41% | <.0001 |
| % 4 positive LN | 6% | 16% | 22% | 13% | <.0001 |
| - | | | | | |

Percentages and statistical tests are based on available data for subtypes.

** Nuclear grade when available, otherwise histologic grade. Classic lobular histology was assigned low grade.

LVI, lymphovascular invasion; LN, lymph node

TABLE 3

Multivariate logistic regression of LVI (n = 11,025) (Covariates = subtype, tumor size, grade, age)

| <u>Variable</u> | | <u>Outcome</u> | |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------|
| | | LVI | |
| | | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | p-value |
| Subtype | HR-/HER2- | 1.0 | <.0001 |
| | HR+/HER2- | 1.8 (1.6–2.1) | |
| | HR+/HER2+ | 2.5 (2.0–3.0) | |
| | HR-/HER2+ | 1.7 (1.4–2.1) | |
| Tumor size (cm) * | | 1.8 (1.7–1.9) | <.0001 |
| Grade ** | Low/intermediate | 1.0 | <.0001 |
| | High | 2.1 (1.9–2.4) | |
| Age | | 0.983 (0.980–0.987) | <.0001 |

 * Odds of outcome occurrence with a 1 cm increase in tumor size.

** Nuclear grade when available, otherwise histologic grade. Classic lobular histology was assigned low grade.

LVI, lymphovascular invasion; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio

TABLE 4

Multivariate logistic regression of nodal involvement (n = 11,025) (Covariates = subtype, tumor size, grade, LVI, age)

| Variable | | | | Outcome | |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| | | Any nodal involvement (1 | positive LN) | High-volume nodal involvement | (4 positive LN) |
| | | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | P-value | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | P-value |
| Subtype | HR-/HER2- | 1.0 | <.0001 | 1.0 | <.0001 |
| | HR+/HER2- | 1.7 (1.4–1.9) | | 1.3 (1.0–1.6) | |
| | HR+/HER2+ | 1.6 (1.3–2.0) | | 1.4 (1.1–1.9) | |
| | HR-/HER2+ | 2.0 (1.6–2.5) | | 2.3 (1.7–3.1) | |
| Tumor size (cm) | | 1.9 (1.8–2.0) | <.0001 | 1.7 (1.7–1.8) | |
| Grade | Low/intermediate | 1.0 | 0.0065 | 1.0 | 0.0009 |
| | High | 1.2 (1.0–1.3) | | 1.3 (1.1–1.5) | |
| LVI | Yes | 1.0 | <.0001 | 1.0 | <.0001 |
| | No | 0.28 (0.26–0.31) | | 0.22 (0.19–0.25) | |
| Age | | $0.985\ (0.982-0.988)$ | <.0001 | 0.922 (0.987–0.997) | 0.0021 |
| | | | | | |

LN, lymph node; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; LVI, lymphovascular invasion