

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *J Immunol*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 January 15.

Published in final edited form as:

*J Immunol*. 2015 January 15; 194(2): 531–541. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1401320.

## **CCR2-dependent dendritic cell accumulation in CNS during early effector EAE is essential for effector T cell restimulation in situ and disease progression**

**Benjamin D Clarkson**\*,†, **Alec Walker**\* , **Melissa G. Harris**\* , **Aditya Rayasam**§, **Matyas Sandor**\* , and **Zsuzsanna Fabry**\*

\*Departments of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53792

†Graduate Training Programs of Cellular and Molecular Pathology, School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53792

§Neuroscience, School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53792

## **Abstract**

Dendritic cells (DCs)—while absent from the healthy CNS parenchyma—rapidly accumulate within brain and spinal cord tissue during neuroinflammation associated with experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE, a mouse model of multiple sclerosis). Yet, while DCs have been appreciated for their role in initiating adaptive immune responses in peripheral lymphoid organ tissues, how DCs infiltrate the CNS and contribute to ongoing neuroinflammation in situ is poorly understood. Here we report that; 1) CD11c+ bone marrow-derived (BM)DCs and CNSinfiltrating DCs express chemokine receptor CCR2, 2) compared to CCR2+/+ cells, adoptively transferred CCR2−/− BMDCs or DC precursors do not accumulate in the CNS during EAE, despite abundance in blood, 3) CCR2−/− DCs show less accumulation in the inflamed CNS in mixed bone marrow chimeras, when compared to  $CCR2+/+DCs$ , and 4) ablation of  $CCR2+/+$ DCs during EAE clinical onset delays progression and attenuates cytokine production by infiltrating T cells. While the role of CCR2 in monocyte migration into the CNS has been implicated previously, the role of CCR2 in DC infiltration into the CNS has never been directly addressed. Our data suggest that CCR2-dependent DC recruitment to the CNS during ongoing neuroinflammation plays a crucial role in effector T cell cytokine production and disease progression, and signify that CNS-DCs and circulating DC precursors might be key therapeutic targets for suppressing ongoing neuroinflammation in CNS autoimmune diseases.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Dendritic cells (DCs) are antigen (Ag) presenting cells (APCs) capable of migrating from organ tissues to regional lymph nodes (LNs) and stimulating T cells to promote both tolerance and immunity to self and foreign Ag acquired in situ. In addition to their role in regulating adaptive immune responses in peripheral lymphoid organ (PLO) tissues, DCs accumulate in inflamed tissues where they are thought to present MHC class II-restricted Ag to co-infiltrating CD4+ effector T cells (1–3). We and others have shown that DCs

accumulate in perivascular spaces and within inflammatory foci in mouse models of stroke, multiple sclerosis, epilepsy, and traumatic brain injury, or after intracerebral injection of antigen or cytokines (4–14). In the context of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), a mouse model of multiple sclerosis, CD11b+CD11c+ myeloid DCs, which are derived from blood monocytes, represent the majority of these accumulating DCs (11, 13, 15). Recruitment of immature DCs to the CNS during EAE was also shown to be dependent upon alpha-4 beta-1 integrin, which binds to VCAM-1 on brain endothelium (16). Ex vivo assays suggest these DCs may be important for cross-presentation of MHC class I-restricted Ag to CD8+ T cells and restimulation of CD4+ T cells with MHC class II-restricted myelin Ag (11, 17, 18). Yet, how these inflammatory DCs home to the CNS remains unclear, and whether these cells are essential tissue APCs for in situ reactivation of CNS-infiltrating T cells is unknown.

Despite much research, no report to date has definitively identified chemokines and chemokine receptors that may contribute to DC migration across the *in vivo* endothelial blood brain barrier and into the perivascular space of the CNS post-capillary venules. Chemokine receptor CCR2 is expressed on monocytes, monocytoid DC precursors and circulating blood DCs (19). One recent study found that human monocyte-derived DCs migrate across brain vascular endothelial cells *in vitro* in response to CCL2 and that DCs were distributed adjacent to CCL2 in the CNS of mice with EAE (20). CCR2 has also been previously implicated in the migration of monocytes and myeloid DCs to inflammatory sites including: infected lung (21–23), psoriasis (19, 24), diabetes mellitus (25), and rheumatoid arthritis (26, 27). In CNS tissues, it was shown that astrocyte-specific overexpression of the CCR2 ligand CCL2 leads to spontaneous asymptomatic accumulation of perivascular monocytes in the brain with little infiltration into the CNS parenchyma (28). In relapsingremitting EAE in Lewis rats, CCL2 expression correlates with disease relapse (29). Similarly, CCL2−/− mice have impaired monocyte recruitment to CNS perivascular spaces during CNS viral infection (30). Consistent with this, CCR2−/− mice are protected from EAE and bone marrow chimera experiments revealed that host CCL2 deficiency but not donor deficiency protected mice from EAE by reducing the recruitment of monocytes and myeloid DCs (31), suggesting the CCL2-CCR2 axis may be important for myeloid cell recruitment to the perivascular spaces of the inflamed CNS. Additionally, whereas adoptively transferred CCR2−/− T cells are capable of inducing EAE in Wild Type (WT) mice, WT T cells are incapable of inducing EAE in CCR2−/− mice. This implies that CCR2 is required on one or more immune cell subsets other than T cells for disease onset (22, 32, 33). However, the potential role of CCR2 in recruiting myeloid DCs to the CNS remains unknown and has been largely overshadowed by the marked amelioration of EAE disease progression associated with reduced monocyte recruitment to CNS in CCR2−/− mice.

Thus, we sought to determine if CCR2 is directly required for DC recruitment into CNS perivascular space during the onset of neuroinflammation, and whether specific attenuation of DC recruitment to CNS tissues could slow disease progression. We report that both CNSinfiltrating DCs and bone marrow-derived (BM)DCs express CCR2 and migrate across brain endothelium in response to CCL2 *in vitro* and *in vivo*, and that CCR2−/− DCs or DC precursors are deficient in their accumulation in inflamed CNS despite abundance in blood

and PLO tissues. Moreover, ablation of CCR2+/+ DCs or MHC class II+/+ DCs during EAE clinical onset (but not at later time points) delays disease progression. These data suggest that CCR2-dependent DC recruitment to the CNS is essential for restimulation of CD4+ T cells with MHC class II-restricted myelin Ag during onset of EAE, but is dispensable at later time points.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Mice and bone marrow chimeras**

C57BL/6 wild-type (WT, stock# 000664), B6.129S4-Ccr2tm1Ifc/J (CCR2−/−, stock #004999), B6.PL-Thy1<sup>a</sup>/CyJ (Thy1.1, stock# 000406), B6.FVB-Tg(Itgax-DTR/ EGFP)57Lan/J (CD11c-DTR, stock# 004509), and B6.Cg-Tg(CAG-DsRed\*MST)1Nagy/J (Dsred, stock #006051) mice were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). B6.Cg-Tg(Itgax-Venus)1Mnz/J (CD11c-eYFP) transgenic mice on the C57BL/6 background were a generous gift from Dr. Michel C. Nussenzweig (Rockefeller University, NY). C57BL/6-Tg (Tcra2D2, Tcrb2D2)1Kuch/J (2D2) T cell receptor-transgenic mice with MOG<sub>35-55</sub>-H2<sup>b</sup>-restricted CD4+ T cells were a gift from Dr. Vijay Kuchroo (BWH, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA). 2D2 mice were crossed with homozygous Thy1.1+/+ or Dsred+/+ mice to generate 2D2Thy1.1+/− or 2D2Dsred+/− mice used for adoptive T cell transfer experiments. All F1 offspring used in experiments were screened for TCR- (Vα3Vβ11) and Dsred or Thy1.1-transgene expression by flow cytometry on immune cells isolated from blood. Standard PCR screening was used for CD11c-eYFP (tgc tgg ttg ttg tgc tgt ctc atc, ggg ggt gtt ctg ctg gta gtg gtc), CD11c-DTR (ggg acc atg aag ctg ctg ccg, tca gtg gga att agt cat gcc), and CCR2−/− (WT, cca cag aat caa agg aaa tgg, cca atg tga tag agc cct gtg; mutant, ctt ggg tgg aga ggc tat tc, agg tga gat gac agg aga tc) mice. CD11c-eYFP mice were bred and backcrossed with CCR2−/− mice to generate CCR2−/−.CD11c-eYFP mice. For preparation of chimeric mice by bone marrow (BM) transplantation, WT mice were irradiated (950 rads), and injected with a mixture of BM cells (10–15  $\times$ 10<sup>6</sup>, i.v.) from WT, CCR2−/−, CD11c-eYFP, CCR2−/−CD11c-eYFP, or CD11c-DTR mice 4–10 hours after irradiation. All animal procedures used in this study were conducted in strict compliance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and approved by the University of Wisconsin Center for Health Sciences Research Animal Care Committee.

#### **Induction of EAE**

EAE was induced as previously described (34). Briefly, emulsion of equal volumes of CFA and 100 μg myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein peptide (MOG35–55,

MEVGWYRSPFSRVVHLYRNGK) supplemented with *M. tuberculosis* H37Ra (5 mg/ml, Difco, Detroit, MI) were injected subcutaneously in the scapular region of each mouse. MOG-CFA mixture was emulsified by sonication using an ultrasonic homogenizer (Model 300VT equipped with a titanium cup tip, Biologics Inc. Monassas, VA). Pertussis toxin (200 ng/mouse, i.p.) was injected on the days 0 and 2 relative to immunization. Clinical scores were monitored daily in a blinded manner and recorded as follows: 0, no clinical disease; 1, flaccid tail; 2, gait disturbance or hind limb weakness; 3, hind limb paralysis and no weight bearing on hind limbs; 4, hind limb paralysis with forelimb paresis and reduced ability to

move around the cage; and 5, moribund or dead. The mean daily clinical score and standard error of the mean were calculated for each group. The significance of differences was calculated by Student's *t* and Wilcox tests as described elsewhere (35).

#### **Histology**

For fluorescent microscopy, CNS tissues were fixed overnight in 3% formalin/25% sucrose, embedded in optimal cutting temperature (O.C.T) Compound (Tissue-Tek Sakura, Torrance, CA), and stored at −80°C. Cryosections (5–10 μm) were cut from O.C.T-embedded tissue samples, post-fixed for 20 min in ice-cold acetone, and washed with PBS (25–50 min). Sections were mounted with ProLong Gold anti-fade reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) with DAPI. Fluorescent images were acquired at 40–400x with Picture Frame software (Optronics Inc.) using an Olympus BX41 microscope (Leeds Precision Instruments) equipped with a camera (Optronics Inc., Goleta, CA). For bright field microscopy, CNS tissues were post-fixed in 10% formalin and embedded in paraffin for sectioning (10 μm). Tissue sections were stained with H&E or luxol fast blue (LFB) to detect infiltrating cells or demyelination, respectively. Bright-field images were acquired at 40–400x final magnification with Q-Capture software using an Olympus BX40 microscope equipped with a Q-Color 3 camera (Olympus America Inc.). Digital images were processed and analyzed using Photoshop CS4 software (Adobe Systems). Color balance, brightness, and contrast settings were manipulated to generate final images. All changes were applied equally to entire image.

#### **Mononuclear cell isolation**

For immune cell isolation from CNS tissues, brains and spinal cords were removed from saline-perfused mice, weighed, minced, and incubated with collagenase Type IV (1mg/mL) and DNase (28 U/mL) at 37°C for 45 minutes. Samples were further homogenized by trituration and filtered through a 70 μm cell strainers. The cell suspension was washed with HBSS, resuspended in 70% Percoll (Pharmacia, Piscataway, NY), and overlaid with 30% Percoll. The gradient was centrifuged at  $2,500 \times g$  (625g) for 30 min at 4<sup>o</sup>C without brake. Mononuclear cells were collected from the gradient interface and washed once for further analysis. For immune cell isolation from PLO tissues, spleen and cervical lymph nodes were gently dissociated between frosted slides and passed through a 70 μm cell strainers. Blood cells were collected transcardially using an insulin syringe (28g) and transferred into 100 volumes of 10mM EDTA HBSS. Red blood cells were removed from spleen and blood samples using Ammonium chloride potassium carbonate red blood cell lysis buffer and repeated washing.

#### **Intracellular cytokine staining and flow cytometry**

For ex vivo recall responses, single-cell suspensions from various tissues were cultured at 37°C in 10% FBS in RPMI 1640 media containing GolgiStop (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) with or without additional stimulating agents including PMA (50 ng/mL) and ionomycin (1 μg/mL), MOG<sub>35-55</sub> peptide (2–20 μg/mL) or anti-CD3 (1μg/mL)/ anti-CD28  $(2\mu g/mL)$  for 5 hours. For immune-fluorescent labeling,  $10^6$  cells isolated from CNS and PLO tissues were incubated for 30 min on ice with saturating concentrations of

fluorochrome-labeled mAbs. Unlabeled 2.4G2 mAb (40 μg/ mL) was used to block nonspecific binding of fluorochrome-labeled mAbs to Fc receptors. After staining, cells were washed 3 times using FACS buffer (1% BSA in PBS). For intracellular staining, cell suspensions were then fixed and permeabilized overnight (4°C) with Cytofix/ Cytoperm solution (BD Biosciences). The next day, cells were washed with Perm/ Wash Buffer (BD Biosciences) and stained with mAbs against IFNg and IL-17. Fluorochrome-labeled mAbs against CD45 (30-F11), CD11b (M1/70), CD11c (HL3), CD80 (16-10A1), CD86 (GL1), CD40 (3/23), PDL1(MIH5), PDL2 (Ty25), IA<sup>b</sup> (AF6-120.1), B220 (RA3-6B2), CD4 (RM4.5), Vβ11 (RR3-15), Thy1.1 (OX7),CD8a (53–6.7), IFN-γ (XMG1.2), IL-17 (TC11-18H10), and appropriate isotype controls were purchased from BD Biosciences (Minneapolis, MN). Anti CCR2 antibody (clone 475301, anti mouse/rat CCR2 Rat IgG2b) was obtained from R&D system (R&D systems Inc., Minneapolis MN). Fluorochromelabeled mAbs against CD45.1 (A20) and CD45.2 (104) were purchased from Ebioscience (San Diego, CA). Cell staining was acquired on a FACSCalibur or LSRII (BD Biosciences) and analyzed with FlowJo (Tree Star) software version 10.0.6.

#### **Bone marrow dendritic cell differentiation**

Dendritic cells were generated as previously described (9). Briefly, bone marrow cell suspensions obtained from femurs and tibias of C57BL/6 mice were resuspended in ACKcontaining RBC lysis buffer to remove erythrocytes, washed and plated in RPMI 1640 with 20% FBS supplemented with 100 U/ml penicillin/streptomycin and GM-CSF or FLT3- Ligand (FLT3L). GM-CSF and FLT3L were titrated from supernatants of the GM-CSFsecreting X-63 (gift from Dr. A. Erdei, Eotvos University, Budapest, Hungary) or FLT3Lsecreting Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cell lines (generated by Dr. Nicola, generous gift from Dr. Michel Nussenzweig, Rockefeller University, New York). In GM-CSF containing cultures, non-adherent and loosely adherent dendritic cell precursors were removed and replated in a new flask after 6 days in culture. Dendritic cells were collected and used for experiments between 9 and 13 days of culture. For antigen pulse, dendritic cells were cultured with or without  $MOG_{35-55}$  peptide (10 µg/ml) and LPS (500 ng/mL) for 4 hours. After pulsing, cells were washed extensively before use.

#### **T cell purification and adoptive transfer**

For adoptive transfer of 2D2 T cells, 2D2 mice were immunized with  $100 \mu g MOG_{35-55}$ emulsified in CFA and lymphocytes were isolated from PLO tissues 7 days later. To enrich for CD4+ T cells prior to FACS sorting, cells were stained with biotinylated mAbs against CD11b (M1/70) and B220 (RA3-6B2). Cells were then washed, incubated with Strepavidin Microbeads and separated on a MidiMACS Separator using LD columns according to the manufacturer's instructions (Miltenyi Biotec, San Diego, CA). After negative selection, cells were stained with fluorochrome labeled mAbs against CD4 (RM4.5), Vβ11 (RR3-15), Thy1.1 (OX7), CD44 (IM7), LFA-1 (2D7) and CD62L (MEL-14), before undergoing FACS on a FACSAria II SORP at the University of Wisconsin Carbone Cancer Center Flow Cytometry Laboratory. T cells were collected in FBS containing media, washed with PBS and transferred (i.v.) into recipients by retro-orbital injection (200 µl; naïve T cells  $5 \times 10^6$ cells/mouse, effector T cells  $1 \times 10^6$  cells/mouse).

#### **Dendritic cell ablation with diphtheria toxin**

To ablate CD11c+ DCs, diphtheria toxin (DT, 625 ng/kg, 500 μl, i.p., List Biological Laboratories Inc., Campbell, CA) was injected into CD11c-DTR mice mixed BM chimera mice. DC ablation was consistently ~90% efficient (data not shown). Ablation was maintained by repeating the injection every 48 hours for up to 6 days. No mouse received more than four injections of diphtheria toxin. All mice weighed  $\sim$ 20–25g upon EAE induction and were monitored for clinical score and body condition scoring starting at day 7. If noticeable weight loss was observed following DT treatment animals were weighed and excluded from analysis if they had lost >20% of their body mass. In our hands, DT treatment was not associated with noticeable weight loss.

#### **Statistical analyses**

Results are given as means plus or minus one standard deviation. Multiple comparisons were made using one-way ANOVA. Where appropriate, two-sided Student's *t-*test analysis was used to compare measures made between two groups. *P* values < 0.05 were considered significant.

#### **RESULTS**

#### **Ablation of Cd11c+ dendritic cells during preclinical EAE ameliorates disease**

In the context of EAE, DCs are thought to be important for T cell priming and the initiation of adaptive immunity to myelin antigens in PLO tissues. However, T cells also require TCRrestimulation within the CNS perivascular space in order to enter the CNS parenchyma (36, 37). Myeloid DCs have also been shown to accumulate in the CNS preclinically and during disease onset (13, 15, 20) where they express MHC class II and form close interactions with T cells (3, 11). Yet, since other APCs such as perivascular macrophages also accumulate within the CNS and interact with T cells (38, 39), whether DCs are essential APCs during the effector phase of EAE is controversial. To address this question, we induced EAE in mice expressing the human diphtheria toxin receptor (DTR) downstream of the DCassociated CD11c promoter and administered DT during disease onset or during peak disease. DTR expression in a majority of CD11c+ DCs allowed for transient ablation of >90% of CD11c+ DCs in CNS and PLO tissues for 48 hours following administration of diphtheria toxin (DT, 625ng/kg, i.p.) (Fig. 1A, supplemental Figure 1). As shown in Figure 1B, DC ablation during disease onset delayed and partially ameliorated EAE clinical course. In contrast, mice treated with DT during peak disease maintained higher clinical scores than PBS-treated control mice, but these differences were not statistically significant. These data suggest that DCs may differentially contribute to or regulate onset and progression of neuroinflammation at different time points during disease. Specifically the amelioration of EAE clinical scores in mice treated with DT prior to disease onset highlighted the essential role of DCs—potentially as proinflammatory APCs during this time period when these cells first begin to accumulate in the CNS (15, 40 and unpublished observations).

## **CD11c+ bone marrow-derived and CNS-infiltrating DCs express CCR2 and CCR2 contributes to BMDC infiltration into the inflamed CNS**

CCR2 has been implicated in monocyte migration from blood and bone marrow into tissues. Thus, we sought to determine the role of CCR2 in DC recruitment to the CNS during neuroinflammation. We observed a dose-dependent increase in migration of BMDCs across mouse brain endothelial cell monolayers in response to CCL2 as previously reported (20) (Fig. 2A). Similarly, we found that relative to mice i.c. injected with PBS, mice that received i.c. injections of CCL2 exhibited an increased frequency of brain-infiltrating myeloid cells (CD45-high CD11b+) and DCs (CD45-high CD11b+CD11c+), but not microglia (CD45 low CD11b (Fig. 2B). Flow cytometry of cells isolated from CNS and peripheral lymphoid organ tissues during EAE also confirmed the expression of the CCR2 on CD11b+CD11c+ brain infiltrates and splenocytes (Fig. 2C). As in vitro differentiated BMDCs express high level of CCR2 (Fig. 2D **left column**) we decided to further test the direct role of CCR2 in DC recruitment to the inflamed CNS. We cultured BMDCs from CCR2 sufficient (CCR2+/+)/CD11c-eYFP and congenic CCR2 deficient (CCR2−/−)/CD11c-eYFP mice and transferred equal numbers of these cells  $(25 \times 10^6 / \text{ mouse})$  into congenic WT colorless recipients with active clinical disease at day post immunization (DPI) 12–13. Cells from CNS and PLO tissues were isolated 4 days later to compare BMDC recruitment. As shown, CCR2+/+ and CCR2−/− BMDCs expressed similar levels of the eYFP transgene on the day of transfer (Fig. 2D **left column**). We observed no difference in the expression of CD11b or costimulatory markers between CCR2+/+ and CCR2−/− BMDCs (data not shown). At peak disease (DPI 16–17) the frequency of CD11c-eYFP+ BMDCs in spleens (Fig. 2D) and cervical lymph nodes (cLN, data not shown) did not differ between mice receiving CCR2+/+ and CCR2−/− BMDCs. In contrast, at this time point higher numbers of CCR2+/+ CD11c-eYFP+ BMDCs were present in inflamed CNS tissues compared to their CCR2−/− counterparts (Fig. 2D). Interestingly, mice receiving CCR2+/+ BMDCs during early disease exhibited larger increases in clinical scores when assessed 2–4 days later (Fig. 2D–E), suggesting that—much as ablating DCs during this time period can delay EAE clinical progression—increasing the frequency of circulating CD11c+ DCs during this timeframe can accelerate disease progression. To control for the potentially confounding influence of endpoint clinical score on BMDC recruitment we also compared recruitment of CCR2+/+ and CCR2−/− BMDCs to CNS tissues, grouping mice with similar clinical scores. Even within these constraints, we saw a higher frequency of CCR2+/+ BMDCs in CNS tissues compared to CCR2−/− BMDCs (Fig. 2E). These data provide direct evidence that CCR2 expressed on DCs promotes recruitment of these cells to the inflamed CNS.

## **Compared to CCR2+/+ cells, adoptively transferred CCR2 −/− DC precursors do not accumulate in the CNS during EAE, despite abundance in blood**

In order to further understand the role of CCR2 in regulating CD11c+ cell migration into the CNS in vivo, we induced EAE in CCR2+/+ mice expressing Dsred fluorescent protein ubiquitously under the CAG-promoter (CD45.2+/+CCR2+/+Dsred+/+), as well as in CCR2−/− colorless mice (CD45.2+CCR2−/−Dsred−/−) and CD45.1+/+ colorless mice. At DPI 12 or 16, we transferred 50/50 mixtures of BM cells from CD45.2+/+CCR2−/−Dsred− and CD45.2+/+CCR2+/+Dsred+ donor mice into congenic CD45.1+/+ recipients with

synchronous EAE (10–15  $\times$ 10<sup>6</sup> / mouse, i.v.). Four days later, we isolated immune cells from CNS and PLO tissues and analyzed the frequency of CCR2+/+ (Dsred+) and CCR2−/− (Dsred−) cells among CD45.2+CD11b+CD11c+ donor derived DCs by flow cytometry. We first gated on CD45.2+CD45.1− cells (Fig. 3A) and then gated on CD11b+CD11c+ cells to compare the relative frequency of CCR2+/+ (Dsred+) and CCR2−/− (Dsred−) donor derived DCs in BM, blood, spleen, and CNS (gating shown in Fig. 3D). At both end points (DPI 16 and DPI 20) we observed similar frequencies of CCR2+/+ and CCR2−/− DCs in spleen, with elevated relative frequencies of CCR2−/− DCs in both BM and blood (Fig. 3C). Despite the relatively high level of CCR2−/− DCs observed in circulation, we detected a much lower frequency of CCR2−/− DCs in CNS tissues relative to CCR2+/+ DCs, especially at the earlier time point (DPI 12–16). To illustrate this point, we also present the relative frequency of CCR2+/+ and CCR2−/− DCs in blood and CNS of individual recipient mice (Fig. 3B). In all cases, CCR2−/− DCs were present at lower frequencies in CNS tissues relative to their frequency among donor cells in the blood of the same mouse. The reciprocal was true of CCR2+/+ DCs, which were present at higher frequencies in CNS tissues than in blood of all animals (mean difference  $61.8\% \pm 10.9\%$ , DPI 12–16; 37%  $\pm$  12.3%, DPI 16– 20). These studies provide strong evidence that CCR2 is crucial for DC recruitment to the inflamed CNS, at least within the limited time frames under which we could study this phenomenon in vivo.

## **CCR2−/− DCs show less accumulation in the inflamed CNS in mixed bone marrow chimera when compared to CCR2+/+ DCs**

Next, we generated mixed BM chimera mice, wherein we could track CCR2-dependent DC recruitment to the CNS throughout the course of EAE onset. We reconstituted WT (C57BL/6) mice with a 50/50 mixture of WT BM cells and BM cells from either congenic CCR2−/−CD11c-eYFP or CCR2+/+CD11c-eYFP mice. After reconstitution and recovery, we immunized these mice with MOG<sub>35-55</sub>/CFA to induce EAE. We isolated immune cells from CNS and PLO tissues from these mice 8, 12, and 16 days later to compare recruitment of CCR2+/+ and CCR2−/− CD45+ CD11c-eYFP cells (gating shown in Fig. 4A). As expected, due to the presence of a partially WT hematopoietic system no difference in the onset or severity of EAE was observed between mice in these groups (data not shown). We also observed similar levels of CD11c-eYFP+ cells in both spleen and cLNs of CCR2+/ +CD11c-eYFP and CCR2−/−CD11c-eYFP BM recipients (Fig. 4C). In sharp contrast, as early as DPI 12 we saw markedly reduced accumulation of CCR2−/−CD11c-eYFP+ cells in CNS relative to CCR2+/+CD11c-eYFP+ cells. To assess regional differences in DC recruitment, we dissected CNS anatomical regions prior to immune cell isolation and flow cytometric analysis. By this method we found that while the frequency of host derived radioresistant microglial cells (CD45-low CD11b+) did not differ between groups in most regions, the frequency of donor derived CD11c-eYFP+CD45-high cells was markedly lower in CCR2−/−CD11c-eYFP recipients in all CNS tissues analyzed, save hippocampus. These differences in recruitment persisted or increased in all CNS anatomical regions by DPI 16 (Fig. 4B).

Further investigation of the in situ distribution of these CD11c-eYFP+ cells by fluorescent microscopy revealed that the majority of CD11c-eYFP+ cells found in the CNS of CCR2−/

−CD11c-eYFP recipients were restricted to the choroid plexus of the lateral and third ventricles adjacent to the hippocampus. A minority of CD11c-eYFP+ cells in these mice were also found in the taenia tecta and the islands of Calleja on the ventral side of the olfactory tubercle (Fig. 4D). In contrast, CCR2+/+CD11c-eYFP recipients exhibited disseminated infiltration of CD11c-eYFP+ cells throughout the CNS, with prominent infiltrates in the white matter tracks of the cerebellum, the cerebral cortex, and ventral portions of the olfactory bulb, as well as the ventricles and surrounding neuropil of the hippocampus and superior colliculus (Fig. 4D). These studies confirmed that CCR2 is required for DC recruitment to the CNS but not PLO tissues during EAE.

#### **Ablation of CCR2+/+ DCs during EAE clinical onset delays progression**

To explore the functional consequences of DC recruitment to CNS tissues on disease progression, we generated mixed BM chimera mice in which we could transiently ablate CCR2+/+ DCs and thereby diminish DC recruitment to the CNS. We generated mixed bone marrow chimeric mice by reconstituting irradiated WT mice with BM cells from congenic CD11c-DTR mice and BM cells from CCR2−/− or CCR2+/+ mice. These mice are referred to hereafter as CCR2−/−:CD11cDTR mice and CCR2+/+:CD11cDTR mice After reconstitution and recovery, we immunized these mice with MOG / CFA to induce EAE and administered DT (625 ng/kg, i.p.) during disease onset (DPI 8–12) or during peak disease (DPI 14–18) to ablate CD11c-DTR+ DCs. When we administered DT during peak disease, we observed no difference between CCR2+/+: CD11c-DTR or CCR2−/−: CD11c-DTR mice in subsequent clinical scores or effector T cell cytokine production within the CNS (data not shown). In contrast, when we ablated CD11c-DTR+ DCs during clinical onset, DT-treated CCR2−/− :CD11c-DTR mice exhibited a significant delay in clinical progression compared to either DT-treated CCR2+/+: CD11c-DTR BM recipients or PBS-treated CCR2−/−: CD11c-DTR recipients (Fig. 5A). Ablation of CD11cDTR+ DCs also correlated with reduced levels of IFNγ- and IL-17-producing CD4+ T cells as well as reduced levels of IFNg-producing CD8+ T cells in the brain and spinal cord of these mice relative to controls (Fig. 5B). Further examination of spinal cord tissues revealed a reduced number of inflammatory foci and demyelinated lesions in mice with ablated CCR2+/+ DCs (micrographs shown in Fig. 5D; demyelination quantified in Fig. 5C). These data indicate that CCR2-dependent recruitment of CD11c+ DCs to CNS tissues during onset of EAE critically contributes to CNS-infiltrating T cell cytokine production and EAE disease pathology.

#### **Preclinical ablation of MHC class II+ DCs prevents recruitment of antigen-experienced encephalitogenic T cells to CNS and ameliorates EAE**

Once they have infiltrated the CNS, CD4+ T cells must first re-encounter their cognate antigen in the context of MHC class II molecules on local APCs in order to carry out effector functions, such as cytokine secretion (36, 37, 41). Thus, to explore the potential role of DCs as tissue APCs that might contribute to T cell restimulation *in situ*, we analyzed the relative localization of CNS-infiltrating DCs and T cells. We purified MOG-specific CD4+ T cells from T cell receptor transgenic 2D2 mice bred onto a Dsred background (2D2.Dsred) and transferred these cells into CD11c-eYFP mice prior to EAE induction by MOG immunization in order to visualize the in situ localization of CNS-infiltrating

encephalitogenic MOG-specific 2D2.Dsred and co-infiltrating CD11c-eYFP+ DCs by fluorescent microscopy. As shown in Figure 6A, both MOG-specific CD4+ T cells and CD11c-eYFP+ cells accumulated within the ventricles and surrounding tissues of the CNS at the time of clinical onset (DPI 12). We observed extensive co-localization of these cells within the CNS (unpublished data), suggesting these cells may form functional interactions in situ.

To determine whether these co-localizations could represent functional T cell-APC (TCR-Ag-MHC class II) interactions, we analyzed CNS leukocyte subsets for the expression of MHC class II by flow cytometry. While CD11c+ cells represented a minority of total leukocytes within inflamed CNS tissues, CD11c+ cells exhibited higher frequencies of MHC class II+ cells than CD11c− cells among both CD11b+ and CD11b− cell subsets (Fig. 6B) —indicating that CNS-infiltrating CD11c+ cells are well equipped to regulate the activity of co-infiltrating CD4+ T cells through MHC class II-antigen-T cell receptor interactions. This is consistent with a recent study showing higher expression of MHC class II on CD11c+ than CD11c− cells (42). Thus, to explore this potential, we generated mixed BM chimera mice in which we could transiently ablate MHC class  $II+/+DCs$ . We reconstituted irradiated WT mice with 50/50 mixtures of congenic CD11c-DTR BM cells and BM cells from either MHC class II−/− or MHC class II+/+ mice. After reconstitution and recovery, we immunized these mice with MOG / CFA to induce EAE and administered DT (625 ng/kg, i.p.) during disease onset (DPI 8–12). As shown, we observed normal disease onset in both DT-treated MHC class II+/+: CD11c-DTR BM recipients as well as PBS-treated MHC class II−/−: CD11c-DTR BM recipients. However, clinical onset was comparatively delayed and partially ameliorated in DT-treated MHC class II−/−: CD11c-DTR BM recipient mice (Fig. 6C). This was associated with reduced frequency of IFN $\gamma$ producing and IL-17-producing CD4+ MOG-specific T cells in the CNS at peak disease (DPI 16, Fig. 6D)—indicating that MHC class II-dependent antigen presentation by CD11c+ DCs is required after initial T cell priming for disease onset.

In separate experiments using these mice, we transferred  $(1-5 \times 10^6, i.v.)$  sorted populations of MOG-specific CD4+ antigen-experienced 2D2 T cells (CD44+ CD62L− CD4+ Vβ11+ Dsred+ Thy1.1−, isolated from MOG35-55 immunized mice) and naïve 2D2 T cells (CD44- CD62L+ CD4+ Vβ11+ Dsred− Thy1.1+, isolated from unimmunized mice), which had been fluorescently labeled with Violet Cell Trace in order to track proliferation. T cell populations were transferred into MHC class II−/−: CD11c-DTR and MHC class II+/ +:CD11c-DTR mixed BM chimera mice one day following the first administration of DT (DPI 9) in order to ensure DC ablation. At peak disease (DPI 16), immune cells were isolated from CNS and PLO tissues and accumulation and proliferation of transferred naïve (CD4+ Vβ11+ Thy1.1+ Dsred−) and antigen-experienced (CD4+ Vβ11+ Thy1.1− Dsred+) 2D2 T cells were analyzed by flow cytometry (gating shown in Fig. 6F). As shown, transferred naïve T cells displayed minimal proliferation in CNS or PLO tissues and no difference in frequency of proliferated cells was observed between DT-treated and PBStreated mice. However, we did observe a reduction in the frequency of total unproliferated cells in both spleens and cLNs of mice in which MHC class  $II^{+}/+DCs$  had been ablated by DT treatment, indicating—not surprisingly—that MHC class II+ DCs are required for survival of circulating naïve donor T cells. More importantly, whereas the frequency of

proliferated antigen-experienced 2D2 T cells was not statistically different in either cLNs or spleens of PBS- or DT-treated mice, ablation of MHC class II+/+ DCs profoundly diminished the CNS recruitment of transferred MOG-specific antigen-experienced CD4+ T cells ( $p = 0.0096$ , Fig. 6E). In summary, these data together with our previous findings support the conclusion that CCR2-dependent recruitment of CD11c+ DCs to the CNS during onset of EAE contributes to disease progression by supporting the CNS recruitment of antigen-experienced encephalitogenic T cells and production of inflammatory cytokines by these cells through MHC class II-dependent in situ restimulation.

## **DISCUSSION**

Building on previous work demonstrating the importance of CCR2/CCL2 axis in neuroinflammation (20, 22, 29, 31–33, 43, 44), we show for the first time that CCR2 is essential for DC recruitment to the CNS during EAE. Specifically, we show that unlike for monocytes, CCR2 might not be essential for emigration of DC from BM as CCR2−/− DCs were found in blood and spleen in mixed BM chimera mice. However, despite their abundance in blood and peripheral lymphoid organ tissues, CCR2−/− DCs failed to accumulate in the inflamed CNS, suggesting that CCR2 directly contributes to DC migration from blood to CNS tissues. We also show that CCR2-dependent DC migration into the inflamed CNS is essential for disease progression during the early effector phase of EAE and that CNS-infiltrating DCs contribute to this progression by presenting antigen to encephalitogenic CD4+ T cells in the context of MHC class II.

Several studies have shown that DCs contribute to demyelinating lesion formation by promoting T cell activation and infiltration of the parenchyma through multiple mechanisms, including breakdown of the glia limitans (45, 46), chemokine secretion (47– 49), and MHC- and cofactor-dependent restimulation (2, 3, 11, 18, 36, 37, 39, 50–52). In order to enter CNS parenchyma, perivascular cells must cross the glia-limitans and its associated basement membrane. Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), especially MMP-9 and MMP-2, which are required for EAE clinical onset, have been shown to contribute to breakdown of dystro-glycan linkages between astroglial end feet and the glia limitans basal lamina (45). These MMPs are thought to be produced by perivascular myeloid cells. We have shown that, upon transmigrating across brain microvessel endothelial cells in vitro, DCs upregulate expression of MMP9 and MMP2 (46), suggesting that this might be one mechanisms by which DCs promoted infiltration into the CNS parenchyma during EAE. Others demonstrated that human monocytes transform into DCs upon in vitro migration across artificial blood brain barriers and upregulate expression of the cytokines TGF-beta and IL-6, which might promote differentiation of co-infiltrating effector T cells in to inflammatory Th17 cells (50). Tissue DCs also produce T cell-attracting chemokines (53), and in murine glioma models have been shown to attract cytotoxic T cells to the tumor microenvironment through CXCL10 (47). Additionally, DCs producing CXCL13 have been detected in ectopic lymphoid structures in the cerebral meninges of patients with secondary progressive MS (48) and in mice with EAE (49), which may attract T cells or promote DC-T cell clustering and contact dependent interactions in the perivascular space.

In spite of the extensive knowledge describing the important role of DCs in initiating CNS neuroinflammation, the exact mechanism regarding their migration into the CNS is less known. Here, we demonstrate that CCR2-mediated migration is critical for DC accumulation in the CNS and provide further evidence that CNS DCs promote EAE disease progression through MHC class II-dependent restimulation of effector T cells. CNSinfiltrating T cells must re-encounter their cognate antigen in the context of MHC molecules in order to carry out effector functions, such as cytokine secretion. In seminal experiments, Greter and colleagues have shown that restricting antigen presentation by MHC class II to BM-derived CD11c+ cells is sufficient for EAE onset and progression  $(3)$ . Here, we propose that infiltrating CD11c+ cells are not only sufficient but also required for T cell restimulation during EAE disease onset. Consistent with our data, ex vivo assays have shown that CNS myeloid DCs—though not macrophages or microglia—present endogenously acquired myelin antigens to T cells and drive effector T cell differentiation (1, 11). More recently, Wlodarczyck et al. have shown that during EAE, CD11c+ cells found in the CNS include both infiltrating CD11c+ cells and CD11c+ microglia. They further showed that, unlike CD11c− microglia, CD11c+ microglia, expressed MHC class II and were capable of stimulating proliferation of myelin-specific T cells. However, compared to infiltrating CD11c+ cells, these cells were deficient in their expression of "third signal" cytokines necessary for driving Th1 and Th17 cell differentiation, including IL-1β, IL-12, IL-6, and IL-23, and favored Th2 and Treg differentiation instead. Interestingly, they also showed that infiltrating CD11c+ cells expressed higher levels of CCR2 than CD11c+ or CD11c− microglia (42). Additionally, recent work has shown that DC-T cell interactions in the CNS are important for cross presentation of CNS antigens to CD8+ T cells (18) and epitope spreading among CD4+ T cells (2), both of which are thought to contribute to MS disease progression. In humans, MHC class II+ DCs are present in demyelinating MS lesions, where they have been shown to acquire myelin debris and interact with proliferating T cells (52). Taken together, these studies strongly imply a central role for CNS DCs in driving T cell restimulation in situ. This hypothesis is further supported by studies using the adoptive transfer EAE model where i.v. delivery of myelin antigen during initial T cell entry into the CNS promoted local T cell activation and exacerbated disease (54). The CNSspecific effect of this study was confirmed by intravital imaging of myelin-specific T cells in meningeal tissue, where Pesic et al. noted increased T cell infiltration into parenchyma following intrathecal injection of antigen-pulsed DCs (39).

Similarly, we previously demonstrated that during EAE, intracerebral injection of myelin antigen-pulsed DCs exacerbates disease and promotes MOG-specific T cell recruitment, cytokine production, and proliferation (34). In line with these data, our present studies show that depleting DCs during EAE disease onset delays disease progression. In contrast, others have previously shown that CD11c+ DCs may be dispensable during the priming phase of EAE (Day  $0-10$ ) (55). We show similarly that depletion of CD11c+ cells after disease onset does not support recovery—suggesting an important window period during the early effector phase of EAE in which DCs are required for onset of neuroinflammation. Outside of this window it is likely that CD11c+ cell functions are non-rate limiting and / or redundant with other APCs such as B cells, macrophages, or other CD11c− DC populations. Moreover, these findings could imply that DCs play different potentially redundant and non-redundant

roles during distinct phases of EAE pathogenesis. Indeed, in our hands depletion of DCs at later time points tended to worsen EAE or slow recovery, perhaps due to the presence of a tolerogenic DC population during the recovery phase. This is consistent with previous reports where DCs isolated from CNS during EAE onset stimulated robust Th1 and Th17 responses, whereas DCs isolated at later time points were inefficient APCs that supported regulatory T cell-mediated suppression (1, 56, 57).

A non-redundant pathogenic role of CD11c+ DCs during EAE onset is consistent with previous work where reducing the number of circulating DCs indirectly by neutralizing the DC-growth factor FLT3L ameliorated EAE (58). Conversely, Greter et al. showed that EAE clinical disease is exacerbated when the level of circulating DCs is increased by i.v. administration of FLT3L.Ig (3), and others have shown that FLT3L administration causes spontaneous EAE in transgenic mice constitutively expressing CCL2 in CNS tissues. We show similarly that directly increasing the level of circulating DCs during active disease by i.v. transfer of high numbers of CCR2+/+ BMDCs accelerates disease progression. We also show that transient ablation of  $CCR2+/+$  or MHC class II+/+ DCs ameliorates disease suggesting that the observed DC contribution to EAE disease progression at this time point is dependent upon both CCR2-mediated recruitment to effector tissues and MHC class IImediated restimulation of antigen-experienced T cells within the CNS.

In summary, our findings suggest that targeted strategies that inhibit the CCR2-dependent migratory capacity or MHC class II-dependent antigen-presentation capacity of circulating DCs may be beneficial during acute neuroinflammatory episodes or relapses in CNS autoimmune disease. Since CCR2 contributes to an array of cell migration events in vivo, selective targeting of circulating DCs would be crucial to minimizing potential side-effects of CCR2 inhibitors. Future work should focus on exploring the therapeutic potential of CCR2 inhibition using selective delivery systems that target DCs, as well as identifying molecules that specifically contribute to DC migration across the BBB.

#### **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

### **Acknowledgments**

We would like to thank Satoshi Kinoshita for expert histopathology services, and members of our laboratory for helpful discussions and constructive criticisms of this work. We would also like to thank Khen Macvilay for his expertise provided for cytofluorimetry.

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants NS37570-01A2 (to Z.F) and American Heart Association award #12PRE12060020 (to B.C.).

## **References**

- 1. Juedes AE, Ruddle NH. Resident and infiltrating central nervous system APCs regulate the emergence and resolution of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. J Immunol. 2001; 166:5168–5175. [PubMed: 11290800]
- 2. McMahon EJ, Bailey SL, Castenada CV, Waldner H, Miller SD. Epitope spreading initiates in the CNS in two mouse models of multiple sclerosis. Nature medicine. 2005; 11:335–339.

- 3. Greter M, Heppner FL, Lemos MP, Odermatt BM, Goebels N, Laufer T, Noelle RJ, Becher B. Dendritic cells permit immune invasion of the CNS in an animal model of multiple sclerosis. Nature medicine. 2005; 11:328–334.
- 4. Bulloch K, Miller MM, Gal-Toth J, Milner TA, Gottfried-Blackmore A, Waters EM, Kaunzner UW, Liu K, Lindquist R, Nussenzweig MC, Steinman RM, McEwen BS. CD11c/EYFP transgene illuminates a discrete network of dendritic cells within the embryonic, neonatal, adult, and injured mouse brain. The Journal of comparative neurology. 2008; 508:687–710. [PubMed: 18386786]
- 5. Gottfried-Blackmore A, Kaunzner UW, Idoyaga J, Felger JC, McEwen BS, Bulloch K. Acute in vivo exposure to interferon-gamma enables resident brain dendritic cells to become effective antigen presenting cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2009; 106:20918–20923. [PubMed: 19906988]
- 6. Felger JC, Abe T, Kaunzner UW, Gottfried-Blackmore A, Gal-Toth J, McEwen BS, Iadecola C, Bulloch K. Brain dendritic cells in ischemic stroke: time course, activation state, and origin. Brain, behavior, and immunity. 2010; 24:724–737.
- 7. D'Agostino PM, Kwak C, Vecchiarelli HA, Toth JG, Miller JM, Masheeb Z, McEwen BS, Bulloch K. Viral-induced encephalitis initiates distinct and functional CD103+ CD11b+ brain dendritic cell populations within the olfactory bulb. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2012; 109:6175–6180. [PubMed: 22474352]
- 8. Kaunzner UW, Miller MM, Gottfried-Blackmore A, Gal-Toth J, Felger JC, McEwen BS, Bulloch K. Accumulation of resident and peripheral dendritic cells in the aging CNS. Neurobiology of aging. 2012; 33:681–693 e681. [PubMed: 20692074]
- 9. Karman J, Ling C, Sandor M, Fabry Z. Initiation of immune responses in brain is promoted by local dendritic cells. J Immunol. 2004; 173:2353–2361. [PubMed: 15294948]
- 10. Newman TA, Galea I, van Rooijen N, Perry VH. Blood-derived dendritic cells in an acute brain injury. Journal of neuroimmunology. 2005; 166:167–172. [PubMed: 16005526]
- 11. Bailey SL, Schreiner B, McMahon EJ, Miller SD. CNS myeloid DCs presenting endogenous myelin peptides 'preferentially' polarize CD4+ T(H)-17 cells in relapsing EAE. Nature immunology. 2007; 8:172–180. [PubMed: 17206145]
- 12. Fischer HG, Bonifas U, Reichmann G. Phenotype and functions of brain dendritic cells emerging during chronic infection of mice with Toxoplasma gondii. J Immunol. 2000; 164:4826–4834. [PubMed: 10779791]
- 13. Fischer HG, Reichmann G. Brain dendritic cells and macrophages/microglia in central nervous system inflammation. J Immunol. 2001; 166:2717–2726. [PubMed: 11160337]
- 14. Reichmann G, Schroeter M, Jander S, Fischer HG. Dendritic cells and dendritic-like microglia in focal cortical ischemia of the mouse brain. Journal of neuroimmunology. 2002; 129:125–132. [PubMed: 12161028]
- 15. Serafini B, Columba-Cabezas S, Di Rosa F, Aloisi F. Intracerebral recruitment and maturation of dendritic cells in the onset and progression of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. The American journal of pathology. 2000; 157:1991–2002. [PubMed: 11106572]
- 16. Jain P, Coisne C, Enzmann G, Rottapel R, Engelhardt B. Alpha4beta1 integrin mediates the recruitment of immature dendritic cells across the blood-brain barrier during experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. J Immunol. 2010; 184:7196–7206. [PubMed: 20483748]
- 17. Miller SD, McMahon EJ, Schreiner B, Bailey SL. Antigen presentation in the CNS by myeloid dendritic cells drives progression of relapsing experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2007; 1103:179–191. [PubMed: 17376826]
- 18. Ji Q, Castelli L, Goverman JM. MHC class I-restricted myelin epitopes are cross-presented by Tip-DCs that promote determinant spreading to CD8(+) T cells. Nature immunology. 2013; 14:254– 261. [PubMed: 23291597]
- 19. Vanbervliet B, Homey B, Durand I, Massacrier C, Ait-Yahia S, de Bouteiller O, Vicari A, Caux C. Sequential involvement of CCR2 and CCR6 ligands for immature dendritic cell recruitment: possible role at inflamed epithelial surfaces. Eur J Immunol. 2002; 32:231–242. [PubMed: 11782014]
- 20. Sagar D, Lamontagne A, Foss CA, Khan ZK, Pomper MG, Jain P. Dendritic cell CNS recruitment correlates with disease severity in EAE via CCL2 chemotaxis at the blood-brain barrier through

paracellular transmigration and ERK activation. Journal of neuroinflammation. 2012; 9:245. [PubMed: 23102113]

- 21. Osterholzer JJ, Curtis JL, Polak T, Ames T, Chen GH, McDonald R, Huffnagle GB, Toews GB. CCR2 mediates conventional dendritic cell recruitment and the formation of bronchovascular mononuclear cell infiltrates in the lungs of mice infected with Cryptococcus neoformans. Journal of immunology. 2008; 181:610–620.
- 22. Fife BT, Huffnagle GB, Kuziel WA, Karpus WJ. CC chemokine receptor 2 is critical for induction of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. The Journal of experimental medicine. 2000; 192:899–905. [PubMed: 10993920]
- 23. Park SJ, Burdick MD, Brix WK, Stoler MH, Askew DS, Strieter RM, Mehrad B. Neutropenia enhances lung dendritic cell recruitment in response to Aspergillus via a cytokine-to-chemokine amplification loop. Journal of immunology. 2010; 185:6190–6197.
- 24. Charbonnier AS, Kohrgruber N, Kriehuber E, Stingl G, Rot A, Maurer D. Macrophage inflammatory protein 3alpha is involved in the constitutive trafficking of epidermal langerhans cells. The Journal of experimental medicine. 1999; 190:1755–1768. [PubMed: 10601351]
- 25. Piemonti L, Leone BE, Nano R, Saccani A, Monti P, Maffi P, Bianchi G, Sica A, Peri G, Melzi R, Aldrighetti L, Secchi A, Di Carlo V, Allavena P, Bertuzzi F. Human pancreatic islets produce and secrete MCP-1/CCL2: relevance in human islet transplantation. Diabetes. 2002; 51:55–65. [PubMed: 11756323]
- 26. Page G, Lebecque S, Miossec P. Anatomic localization of immature and mature dendritic cells in an ectopic lymphoid organ: correlation with selective chemokine expression in rheumatoid synovium. Journal of immunology. 2002; 168:5333–5341.
- 27. Chabaud M, Page G, Miossec P. Enhancing effect of IL-1, IL-17, and TNF-alpha on macrophage inflammatory protein-3alpha production in rheumatoid arthritis: regulation by soluble receptors and Th2 cytokines. Journal of immunology. 2001; 167:6015–6020.
- 28. Toft-Hansen H, Buist R, Sun XJ, Schellenberg A, Peeling J, Owens T. Metalloproteinases control brain inflammation induced by pertussis toxin in mice overexpressing the chemokine CCL2 in the central nervous system. Journal of immunology. 2006; 177:7242–7249.
- 29. Jee Y, Yoon WK, Okura Y, Tanuma N, Matsumoto Y. Upregulation of monocyte chemotactic protein-1 and CC chemokine receptor 2 in the central nervous system is closely associated with relapse of autoimmune encephalomyelitis in Lewis rats. Journal of neuroimmunology. 2002; 128:49–57. [PubMed: 12098510]
- 30. Savarin C, Stohlman SA, Atkinson R, Ransohoff RM, Bergmann CC. Monocytes regulate T cell migration through the glia limitans during acute viral encephalitis. J Virol. 2010; 84:4878–4888. [PubMed: 20200240]
- 31. Dogan RN, Elhofy A, Karpus WJ. Production of CCL2 by central nervous system cells regulates development of murine experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis through the recruitment of TNF- and iNOS-expressing macrophages and myeloid dendritic cells. J Immunol. 2008; 180:7376–7384. [PubMed: 18490737]
- 32. Izikson L, Klein RS, Charo IF, Weiner HL, Luster AD. Resistance to experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis in mice lacking the CC chemokine receptor (CCR)2. The Journal of experimental medicine. 2000; 192:1075–1080. [PubMed: 11015448]
- 33. Gaupp S, Pitt D, Kuziel WA, Cannella B, Raine CS. Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) in CCR2(−/−) mice: susceptibility in multiple strains. The American journal of pathology. 2003; 162:139–150. [PubMed: 12507897]
- 34. Zozulya AL, Ortler S, Lee J, Weidenfeller C, Sandor M, Wiendl H, Fabry Z. Intracerebral dendritic cells critically modulate encephalitogenic versus regulatory immune responses in the CNS. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2009; 29:140–152. [PubMed: 19129392]
- 35. Fleming KK, Bovaird JA, Mosier MC, Emerson MR, LeVine SM, Marquis JG. Statistical analysis of data from studies on experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Journal of neuroimmunology. 2005; 170:71–84. [PubMed: 16198426]
- 36. Bartholomaus I, Kawakami N, Odoardi F, Schlager C, Miljkovic D, Ellwart JW, Klinkert WE, Flugel-Koch C, Issekutz TB, Wekerle H, Flugel A. Effector T cell interactions with meningeal

vascular structures in nascent autoimmune CNS lesions. Nature. 2009; 462:94–98. [PubMed: 19829296]

- 37. Kivisakk P, Imitola J, Rasmussen S, Elyaman W, Zhu B, Ransohoff RM, Khoury SJ. Localizing central nervous system immune surveillance: meningeal antigen-presenting cells activate T cells during experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Annals of neurology. 2009; 65:457–469. [PubMed: 18496841]
- 38. Hickey WF, Kimura H. Perivascular microglial cells of the CNS are bone marrow-derived and present antigen in vivo. Science. 1988; 239:290–292. [PubMed: 3276004]
- 39. Pesic M, Bartholomaus I, Kyratsous NI, Heissmeyer V, Wekerle H, Kawakami N. 2-photon imaging of phagocyte-mediated T cell activation in the CNS. The Journal of clinical investigation. 2013; 123:1192–1201. [PubMed: 23454769]
- 40. Clarkson BD, Heninger E, Harris MG, Lee J, Sandor M, Fabry Z. Innate-adaptive crosstalk: how dendritic cells shape immune responses in the CNS. Advances in experimental medicine and biology. 2012; 946:309–333. [PubMed: 21948376]
- 41. Becher B, Bechmann I, Greter M. Antigen presentation in autoimmunity and CNS inflammation: how T lymphocytes recognize the brain. J Mol Med (Berl). 2006; 84:532–543. [PubMed: 16773356]
- 42. Wlodarczyk A, Lobner M, Cedile O, Owens T. Comparison of microglia and infiltrating CD11c(+) cells as antigen presenting cells for T cell proliferation and cytokine response. Journal of neuroinflammation. 2014; 11:57. [PubMed: 24666681]
- 43. Furtado GC, Pina B, Tacke F, Gaupp S, van Rooijen N, Moran TM, Randolph GJ, Ransohoff RM, Chensue SW, Raine CS, Lira SA. A novel model of demyelinating encephalomyelitis induced by monocytes and dendritic cells. J Immunol. 2006; 177:6871–6879. [PubMed: 17082601]
- 44. Schellenberg AE, Buist R, Del Bigio MR, Toft-Hansen H, Khorooshi R, Owens T, Peeling J. Blood-brain barrier disruption in CCL2 transgenic mice during pertussis toxin-induced brain inflammation. Fluids and barriers of the CNS. 2012; 9:10. [PubMed: 22546091]
- 45. Agrawal S, Anderson P, Durbeej M, van Rooijen N, Ivars F, Opdenakker G, Sorokin LM. Dystroglycan is selectively cleaved at the parenchymal basement membrane at sites of leukocyte extravasation in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. The Journal of experimental medicine. 2006; 203:1007–1019. [PubMed: 16585265]
- 46. Zozulya AL, Reinke E, Baiu DC, Karman J, Sandor M, Fabry Z. Dendritic cell transmigration through brain microvessel endothelium is regulated by MIP-1alpha chemokine and matrix metalloproteinases. J Immunol. 2007; 178:520–529. [PubMed: 17182592]
- 47. Fujita M, Zhu X, Ueda R, Sasaki K, Kohanbash G, Kastenhuber ER, McDonald HA, Gibson GA, Watkins SC, Muthuswamy R, Kalinski P, Okada H. Effective immunotherapy against murine gliomas using type 1 polarizing dendritic cells--significant roles of CXCL10. Cancer Res. 2009; 69:1587–1595. [PubMed: 19190335]
- 48. Serafini B, Rosicarelli B, Magliozzi R, Stigliano E, Aloisi F. Detection of ectopic B-cell follicles with germinal centers in the meninges of patients with secondary progressive multiple sclerosis. Brain Pathol. 2004; 14:164–174. [PubMed: 15193029]
- 49. Magliozzi R, Columba-Cabezas S, Serafini B, Aloisi F. Intracerebral expression of CXCL13 and BAFF is accompanied by formation of lymphoid follicle-like structures in the meninges of mice with relapsing experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Journal of neuroimmunology. 2004; 148:11–23. [PubMed: 14975582]
- 50. Ifergan I, Kebir H, Bernard M, Wosik K, Dodelet-Devillers A, Cayrol R, Arbour N, Prat A. The blood-brain barrier induces differentiation of migrating monocytes into Th17-polarizing dendritic cells. Brain : a journal of neurology. 2008; 131:785–799. [PubMed: 18156156]
- 51. Kawakami N, Nagerl UV, Odoardi F, Bonhoeffer T, Wekerle H, Flugel A. Live imaging of effector cell trafficking and autoantigen recognition within the unfolding autoimmune encephalomyelitis lesion. J Exp Med. 2005; 201:1805–1814. [PubMed: 15939794]
- 52. Serafini B, Rosicarelli B, Magliozzi R, Stigliano E, Capello E, Mancardi GL, Aloisi F. Dendritic cells in multiple sclerosis lesions: maturation stage, myelin uptake, and interaction with proliferating T cells. Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology. 2006; 65:124–141. [PubMed: 16462204]

- 53. Tang HL, Cyster JG. Chemokine Up-regulation and activated T cell attraction by maturing dendritic cells. Science. 1999; 284:819–822. [PubMed: 10221917]
- 54. Odoardi F, Kawakami N, Klinkert WE, Wekerle H, Flugel A. Blood-borne soluble protein antigen intensifies T cell activation in autoimmune CNS lesions and exacerbates clinical disease. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2007; 104:18625–18630. [PubMed: 18000062]
- 55. Isaksson M, Lundgren BA, Ahlgren KM, Kampe O, Lobell A. Conditional DC depletion does not affect priming of encephalitogenic Th cells in EAE. European journal of immunology. 2012; 42:2555–2563. [PubMed: 22806332]
- 56. Deshpande P, King IL, Segal BM. Cutting edge: CNS CD11c+ cells from mice with encephalomyelitis polarize Th17 cells and support CD25+CD4+ T cell-mediated immunosuppression, suggesting dual roles in the disease process. J Immunol. 2007; 178:6695– 6699. [PubMed: 17513712]
- 57. Suter T, Biollaz G, Gatto D, Bernasconi L, Herren T, Reith W, Fontana A. The brain as an immune privileged site: dendritic cells of the central nervous system inhibit T cell activation. Eur J Immunol. 2003; 33:2998–3006. [PubMed: 14579268]
- 58. Whartenby KA, Calabresi PA, McCadden E, Nguyen B, Kardian D, Wang T, Mosse C, Pardoll DM, Small D. Inhibition of FLT3 signaling targets DCs to ameliorate autoimmune disease. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2005; 102:16741–16746. [PubMed: 16272221]



**Figure 1. Ablation of CD11c+ DCs during pre-clinical EAE clinical delays disease progression A)** CD11c-DTR mice were injected with diphtheria toxin (DT) (625ng / kg, i.p.) or PBS control and 24–48 hours later immune cells were isolated from CNS tissues, spleen, and pooled lymph nodes (axial, mesenteric, cervical). CNS tissues were harvested from mice with EAE on day 13 post immunization (receiving i.p. injections of DT on day 7, 9, and 11). Representative dot plots show CD11b and CD11c expression on CD45-high gated leukocytes (above). Numbers indicate percentage of cells in boxed gate. Data representative of 2 independent experiments with  $n = 3$  mice per group. **B**) Mean clinical scores in CD11c-DTR mice following EAE induction by MOG<sub>35-55</sub>-immunization. CD11c+ cells were ablated on days 7, 9 and 11 (green lines) or day 14, 16, 18, and 20 (blue lines) by administration of diphtheria toxin (625ng / kg, i.p.). Data representative of 2 independent

experiments with  $n = 3-5$  mice per group. \*p < 0.05 Student's t test. Error bars indicate s.e.m.



#### **Figure 2. CD11c+ bone marrow-derived and CNS-infiltrating DCs express CCR2 and CCR2 contributes to BMDC infiltration into the inflamed CNS**

A) Migration of GFP+ BMDCs across mouse brain endothelial cell monolayers into lower compartments of single-layer transwell artificial blood brain barrier models in response to dose curve of CCL2. Measurements were made by flow cytometry and quantified relative to number of non-fluorescent loading-control cells (added directly to lower chamber). B) Column graphs show absolute number of CD45-low CD11b+ microglia, infiltrating myeloid cells (CD45-high CD11b+), and dendritic cells (CD45-high CD11b+ CD11c+) in the ipsilateral hrmisphere of mice i.c. injected as indicated. C) CCR2 expression on indicated cell populations isolated from CNS tissues of C57BL6 mice with MOG-induced EAE (DPI 12). CD11c+ cells were gated from CD11b+ population. D) BMDCs generated from CCR2–/–CD11c-eYFP or CCR2+/+CD11c-eYFP mice were transferred  $(25 \times 10^6, i.p.)$  into WT C57BL/6 mice with ongoing MOG-induced EAE (DPI 12–13). Histograms show CCR2 expression on BMDCs before transfer. Dot plots show CD11c-eYFP+ cells among BMDCs before transfer and among CD45+ immune cells isolated from spleen and CNS tissue 4 days later (quantified below). Data representative of 2 independent experiments with  $n = 3-6$ mice per group. E) Clinical scores in individual BMDC recipient mice from (C). Frequency of CD11c-eYFP+ cells in CNS of CCR2+/+ BMDC and CCR2−/− BMDC recipients with similar clinical scores.  $\frac{1}{p}$  < 0.05 Student's t test. Error bars indicate s.e.m.



**Figure 3. CCR2−/− DCs do not accumulate in inflamed CNS despite abundance in blood** CD45.2+ bone marrow cells were adoptively transferred into CD45.1+ hosts at day 12 or day 16 of EAE and mice were euthanized for tissue collection 4 days later at day 16 or day 20, respectively. **A)** Flow plots showing spleen cells from CD45.1 mice adoptively transferred with either PBS or equal mixtures of bone marrow (BM) from CD45.2+/+ CCR2+/+ DsRed+ and CD45.2+/+ CCR2−/− DsRed+ mice. **B)** Frequency of CCR2+/+ (Dsred+) and CCR2−/− (Dsred−) among donor (CD45.2+) cells in blood and CNS. Lines indicate difference in frequency in blood and CNS from individual mice. **C)** Frequency of CCR2+/+ (Dsred+) and CCR2−/− (Dsred−) among donor (CD45.2+) cells in bone marrow, spleen, blood, and CNS at day 16 and 20 of EAE. Data are representative of 3 independent experiments with  $n = 3$  mice per group. Error bars indicate s.e.m. **D**) Representative flow plots showing percentage of cells CCR2+/+ (Dsred+) and CCR2−/− (Dsred−) among donor (CD45.2+CD45.1−) CD11b+ and CD11c+ cells in blood, spleen, and CNS of mice from (A) Data are representative of 3 independent experiments with  $n = 3$  mice per group.



#### **Figure 4. Deficient CNS accumulation of CCR2−/− CD11c-eYFP+ DCs in mixed bone marrow chimeras**

**A)** Flow plots showing frequency of CD45highCD11c-eYFP+ in cerebellum, spinal cord, and spleen of at day 12 of EAE in mixed BM chimera mice receiving CCR2+/+CD11c-eYFP or CCR2−/−CD11c-eYFP BM cells. **B)** Total number of CD45-high CD11c-eYFP+ cells and number of microglia (CD11b+CD45-low cells) per gram tissue in the indicated CNS regions at day 12 and day 16 EAE in CCR2+/+CD11c-eYFP and CCR2−/−CD11c-eYFP BM recipients. **C)** Frequency of CD11c+ cells among CD45+, CD45+CD11b+ and CD45+CD11b− cell populations from spleen and cervical lymph node of CCR2+/+CD11ceYFP and CCR2−/−CD11c-eYFP BM recipients at day 12 of EAE. **D)** Fluorescent micrographs from CCR2+/+CD11c-eYFP and CCR2−/−CD11c-eYFP BM recipient chimeric mice depicting CD11c-eYFP+ cell accumulation in cerebellum, olfactory bulb and tissue surrounding the lateral ventricle. Boxes indicate region magnified below. Scale bars 50 microns. \*p < 0.05 Student's t test. Error bars indicate s.e.m.



**Figure 5. Ablation of CCR2+/+ DCs during EAE clinical onset delays disease progression A**) Mean clinical scores following  $MOG_{35-55}$ -immunization induced EAE shown for groups of WT mice  $(n = 5)$  reconstituted with mixtures of BM cells from the indicated donors. Mice were treated with diphtheria toxin (625ng / kg, i.p.) or PBS on DPI 8, 10, and 12. **B)** Total number (per gram tissue) and frequency of CNS-infiltrating CD4+ and CD8+ T cells expressing the indicated cytokines after 5 hour ex vivo restimulation with PMA / ionomycin in DT treated mice. **C**) Spinal cord demyelination expressed as percent of total crosssectional area still myelinated at day 16 EAE (n =5 mice per group). **D**) Luxol fast blue

(LFB) and hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stained micrographs of spinal cord tissue from DT-treated CCR2+/+:CD11c-DTR and CCR2−/−: CD11c-DTR recipient mixed BM chimeric mice with EAE (DPI 16). Arrows indicate inflammatory foci (outlined with dashed lines, bottom) and demyelinated lesions (outlined with dashed lines, top) \*p < 0.05 Student's t test. Error bars indicate s.e.m.



**Figure 6. MHC class II expression on CNS-infiltrating DCs contributes to proliferation, recruitment, and cytokine production by co-infiltrating, myelin specific effector T cells A)** Fluorescent micrographs of DAPI-stained sagittal brain sections showing developing peri-ventricular inflammatory lesions in CD11c-eYFP mice after adoptive transfer of purified CD4+ MOG-specific 2D2.Dsred T cells and EAE induction (DPI 12). CD11c-eYFP + cells shown in green. 2D2.Dsred T cells shown in red. **B)** Frequency of MHC class IIexpressing cells among various CD11c+ and CD11c− cell subsets isolated from CNS of CD11c-eYFP mice with EAE (DPI 12). **C)** Mean EAE clinical score is shown for groups (n =3) of WT mice reconstituted with mixtures of BM cells from the indicated donors. Mice were treated with diphtheria toxin (625ng/kg, i.p.) or PBS on DPI 8, 10, 12, and 14. **D)** Total number (per gram tissue) of spinal cord-infiltrating CD4+ T cells that produced IFNγ or IL-17 following 5 hour ex vivo restimulation with MOG35-55 peptide (20μg/mL). **E**) Pure FACS-sorted populations of MOG-specific naïve 2D2.Thy1.1 (CD4+ Vβ11+ CD62L+ CD44− Thy1.1+ Dsred−) or antigen-experienced 2D2.Dsred (CD4+ Vβ11+ CD62L− CD44+ Thy1.1− Dsred+) T cells were mixed together, labeled with violet cell trace, and adoptively transferred into congenic MHC II−/−:CD11c-DTR mice with EAE (DPI 9). Recipient mice were treated with either diphtheria toxin (625ng / kg, i.p.) or PBS on DPI 8, 10, 12, and 14. Bar graphs show frequency of proliferated (LFA1-high violet cell trace-low)

and unproliferated (LFA1-low violet cell trace-high) transferred 2D2 T cells in cervical lymph node, spleen, and CNS tissues (expressed per gram tissue). Gating of transferred cells is shown in (**F**). \*p < 0.05 Student's t test. Error bars indicate s.e.m.